THE

LAWS OF ENGLAND

BEING

A COMPLETE STATEMENT OF THE WHOLE LAW OF ENGLAND.

BY

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE

EARL OF HALSBURY

LORD HIGH CHANCELLOR OF GREAT BRITAIN, 1885-86, 1886-92, and 1895-1905,

AND OTHER LAWYERS.

VOLUME III.

BILLS OF SALE.
BONDS.
BOUNDARIES, FENCES
AND PARTY-WALLS.

BUILDING CONTRACTS,
ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS.
BUILDING SOCIETIES.
BURIAL AND CREMATION.

LONDON:

BUTTERWORTH & CO., 11 & 12, BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR.

Law Publisbers.

1908.

Editor in Chicf.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE

EARL OF HALSBURY,

LORD HIGH CHANCELLOR OF GREAT BRITAIN, 1885-86, 1886-92, and 1895-1905.

Revising Editors:

For Equity and Chancery Titles:

THE HON. SIR CHARLES SWINFEN EADY, ONE OF HIS MAJESTY'S JUDGES OF THE CHANCERY DIVISION.

Assisted by

T. H. CARSON, Esq., K.C.

For Real Property and Conveyancing Titles:

ARTHUR UNDERHILL, Esq., M.A., LL.D.,

OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER-AT-LAW, ONE OF THE CONVEYANCING COUNSEL OF THE COURT.

For Common Law Titles and Practice and Procedure:

T. WILLES CHITTY, Esq.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW,

A MASTER OF THE SUPREME COURT.

For Local Government Titles and Licensing:

WILLIAM MACKENZIE, Esq., M.A., of Lincoln's inn, barbister-at-law.

Managing Editor.

T. WILLES CHITTY, Esq.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW,

A MASTER OF THE SUPREME COURT.

Assistant Managing Editor.

HUMPHREY H. KING, Esq., B.A., LL.B., of the inner temple, barrister-at-law, late scholar of pembroke college, cambridge.

Sub=Editors:

AUSTIN FLEEMING JENKIN, ESQ. CECIL B. GEDGE, ESQ., B.A. HAROLD B. BOMPAS, ESQ., M.A. SIDNEY W. CLARKE, ESQ. A. W. BAKER WELFORD, ESQ., M.A.

BARRISTERS-AT-LAW.

Assisted by

H. M. CHATAWAY, ESQ., M.A., EDWARD J. M. CHAPLIN, ESQ., M.A., MONTAGUE R. EMANUEL, ESQ., M.A., B.C.L., L. T. FORD, ESQ., and H. CLOVER, ESQ., B.A. BARRISTERS-AT-LAW.

The Titles in this Volume have been contributed by the following gentlemen:—

TITLE.	CONTRIBUTED BY
BILLS OF SALE.	HERBERT REED, Esq., K.C.
BONDS	The Right Hon. Sir Edward Carson, K.C., M.P., a Member of His Majesty's Most Honourable Privy Council; and WILLIAM BOWSTEAD, Esq., Barrister-at-Law.
BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS.	CECIL A. HUNT, Esq., M.A., LL.B.; and LINTON T. THORPE, Esq., LL.B., Barristers-at-Law.
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS.	A. A. Hudson, Esq., President of the Tribunal of Appeal under the London Building Acts, 1894—1905, one of the Commissioners for the Board of Trade under the Boiler Explosions Acts, 1882 and 1890, and one of the Arbitrators and Conciliators for the Board of Trade under the Conciliation Act, 1896; and H. L. Ormsby, Esq., B.A., Barrister-at-Law.
BUILDING SOCIETIES.	Sir EDWARD BRABROOK, C.B., F.S.A., late Chief Registrar of Friendly Societies; and D. D. Reid, Esq., M.A., Barrister-at-Law.
BURIAL AND CREMATION.	J. BROOKE LITTLE, Esq., B.A., Barrister-at-Law.

The Tables of Abbreviations Statutes and Cases have been compiled by Mr. H. I. Whitaker, Assistant Librarian to the Honourable Society of Lincoln's Inn.

In this Volume the Law is stated as at August 1st, 1908.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANI

TABLE OF CROSS-REFERENCES.

Table of A	Abbreviation	8									PA:	
Table of S	Statu tes		-						•		. x	lv
Table of C	Cases -		•			•				•	- l:	KV
BILLS OF	SALE		-						•		1—'	77
PART I.	THE BILL	s of	Sale	Ac	87	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
SECT.	1. The State	ites		-			•	•			•	3
Sect.	2. Objects o	f the	Statu	tes		•			-	•	•	3
SECT.	3. Applicati	on of	the S	tatut	89						•	4
	Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	To w	hat B	ills o	f Sal		•	:	•	•	:	4
	Sub-sect. 3.	Bills	of Sa	le Al	osolut	te, an	d by	way o	of Sec	urity	•	5
PART II.	WHAT IS	Вп	L OF	SAT	Æ	•		-			•	5
SECT.	1. General l	Princi	ples	-							•	5
	Sub-sect. 1.		-		Crans	fer			-			5
	Sub-sect. 2.								ion	•	•	6
_	Sub-sect. 3.						-		•	•	•	7
	2. Bills of S		_					3 -	•	•	•	8
	3. Declarati							•	•	•	•	8
	4. Inventori				-						•	9
SECT.	5. Powers of session							nces	to tak	e Po		10
	Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	In G	enera	ĺ		-						10 12
SECT.	6. Agreemer											13
	7. Instrume		ith P	ower	o f Di	stress	١.					14
PART III.	Instrumen		TO	WITH	IN ?	THE	EXPI	RESSI	on '	'Bn		
	OF SALI	_	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
SECT.	1. Assignme	ents fo	or the	Bene	efit of	f Cred	litors	•	•	•	•	16
SECT.	2. Marriage	Settle	ement	8	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
SECT.	3. Mercanti	le Tra	nsfers	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
	Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Tran Tran	sfers :	of Sh in the	ips e Ord	- inary	Cou	rse of	Busi	ness		17 18
Sect.	4. Debentur	es	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	19
PART IV.	Subject-M	ATTE	R OF	Bn	LS (of S	ALE			-	. 9	20
SECT.	1. In Gener	al										20
SECT.	2. Chattels	capabl	le of (Com	lete '	Trans	fer by	v Del:	iverv	•	•	22

PART	IV.	SUBJECT-MATTER	of]	Bills	OF	Sale-	-con	tinued	d.	PAGE
		3. Growing Crops				•	•			23
	SECT.	4. Fixtures -		•	-	•	•			24
	SECT.	5. Trade Machiner	у -	•		•				25
		6. The Schedule, I	•	tion of	Chat	tels				26
	SECT.	7. Chattels of which	h Gra	ntor is	not I	True O	wner			28
		Sub-sect. 1. After Sub-sect. 2. Who	acqui	red Cha	ttels		•			28 30
PART	v.	STATUTORY REC	UIRE	IENTS	•	•	-	•		80
	SECT.	1. Consideration		-	•	•	-			30
		Sub-sect. 1. Minin Sub-sect. 2. States	num A	mount f Consi	derat	ion	:		 	30 31
	SECT.	2. Form of Bill of	Sale -	-		-				34
		Sub-sect. 1. When		tory Fo	orm I	equisit	е			34
		Sub-sect. 2. Accor	dance	with F	orm	-	•	•		35
		Sub-sect. 3. Analy						•	• •	36
		3. Defeasance, Co					Trus	t	•	43
	SECT.	4. Attestation			·		•	•	•	45
		Sub-sect. 1. Neces Sub-sect. 2. Absol	sity fo	r Attes	tatio	n. •	•	•	· ·	45 45
		Sub-sect. 3. Bills	of Sale	by wa	y of	Securit	y			46
	SECT.	5. Registration		-	-	•				46
		Sub-sect. 1. In Go	neral		•	•				
		Sub-sect. 2. Time	for R	egistrat	ion		•			47
		Sub-sect. 3. True Sub-sect. 4. Descr	Copy,	and All	naav Hoa e	nd Att	egistr esting	auon Witn	- esses -	
	Sear	6. Local Registrat	-	01 1 41.			•			51
		7. Renewal of Reg		on .						52
T					. .					53
Part		AVOIDANCE OF				•	•	•	•	
		1. Bills of Sale by			rity	•	•	•		53
	SECT.	2. Absolute Bills			•	•	•	•	•	55 55
		Sub-sect. 1. Exter Sub-sect. 2. Appa				:	:	:		56
	Spor	3. Avoidance again					_			57
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. Trust						_		57
		Sub-sect. 2. Repu	ted Ov	vnershi	р. Р.		•	-		59
		Sub-sect. 3. Exec	ution (Creditor	rs -	-	•	•		61
		Sub-sect. 4. Frau	dulent	Conve	yanc	9 •	•	•	•	61 62
		Sub-sect. 5. Inter Sub-sect. 6. Rates	and !	r - Faxes	:	•	•			63
	SECT.	4. Successive Bills	of Sa	le -		•	•	•		64
PART	. VII.	RIGHTS AND L	IABIL	TIES (ог Р	ARTIE	8 -			- 64
	SECT.	1. Rights of the C	ranto	r -	•	•	•	•	-	- 64
		Sub-sect. 1. In G	eneral	•	-	_•_	• .	٠_	•	- 64
		Sub-sect. 2. Relie Sub-sect. 3. Frau					mptio	n; Da	mage	s 65 - 67
	Secon	2. Rights of the G	-	•						- 68
	DEUL	Sub-sect. 1. Righ				•				- 68
		Sub-sect. 2. Caus	es of S	eizure			•	•	•	- 68
		Sub-sect. 3. Whe	n Chat	tels dis	train	ed •	•	•	-	· 70
		Sub-sect 4. Remo			•	•	•	•	•	- 70 - 71

Table of Contents.			x
PART VII. RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF PARTIES—	contin	ued.	PAGI
SECT. 3. Transfer SECT. 4. Satisfaction	•	•	- 72 - 78
PART. VIII. PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE		•	. 74
SECT. 1. Rectification, and Extension of Time			74
SECT. 2. Registrar and Register, Searches, Inspect	tion, at	na Om	100 - 78
SECT. 3. Stamps and Fees	•	-	- 76
For effect of Reputed Ownership, and other Incidents of Bankruptcy - See title BANKRUPTCY Mortgages generally ,, MORTGAGE. Pledge of Chattels ,, PAWNBROKE			
BIRDS.			
See Animals; Game.			
BIRTH,			
Concealment of.—See Criminal Law and	Proce	DURE.	
Notification and Registration of See Publ	ыс Н	EALTE	ETC.
Proof of.—See Evidence.			
BISHOPS.			
See Ecclesiastical Law.			
BLACKMAIL.			
See Criminal Law and Procedur	E.		
BLASPHEMY.			
See Criminal Law and Procedur	127		
DOU CHIMINAL DAW AND THOUSEN	13.		
BOARD OF AGRICULTURE AND FIS	HER	IES.	
See Agriculture.			
BOARD OF TRADE.			
See Constitutional Law; Trade and Tra	DE U	NIONS	_
	DE 0	11101113	•
BOILERS.			
See Factories and Workshops.			
BONDS		- 7	9—10
SECT. 1. Nature and Form of a Bond	•	•	- 80
SECT. 2. Different Kinds of Bonds	•	•	- 8
SECT. 3. Capacity of Parties	•	•	- 8
SECT. 4. Validity SECT. 5. Interpretation	•	•	- 8
prot. o. morbiomon.	-	•	- 0



BOR	IDS—continued.									1	PAGE
	SECT. 6. Operation	and Inc	idents	١-	•	•		-	•		89
	Sub-sect. 1.				•	•	•	. •		•	89
	Sub-sect. 2.								:4:	•	92
	Sub-sect. 3.		recov	erab	ne on	Drea	CH OI	Conc	uuon	-	93
	SECT. 7. Assignme		•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	97
	SECT. 8. Discharge		_			•	-	•	•	•	97
	SECT. 9. Statute o			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	99
	SECT. 10. Lost Bon			-	-	•	•	•	•	•	101
	SECT. 11. Actions o	n Bonds	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	101
	SECT. 12. Stamp D	uties -	•	•	•	-	-	•	•	•	103
For	Administration Bonds		See ti	itle I	EXECU	TORS	AND	ADM	INISTE	LAS	ors.
	Admiralty Bail Bonds		,,	A			; Sh	IPPIN	G AND	N	AVI-
	D J				GATI	on.					
	Bonds given as Secur Costs	ny jor	,,	TP	RACT	ICE A	ND]	PROCE	DURE.		
	Bonds given by Railw	ay and	,,	_	141101			LICOL	DUM		
	other Companies	•	**	C	OMPA Cana		;	RAIL	WAY8		AND
	Bonds given by Rece	ivers as									
	Security	4	,,	F	RECEL	VERS.	•				
	Bonds secured by Mor	igage of		M	CORTG	AGE					
	Bottomry and Respo	ndentia	,,	24	LUMIU	AGE.					
	Bonds		"	A	DMIR GATI		; Si	IIPPIN	G ANI	N	AVI-
	Fidelity, Guarantee, o	ind In-		_							
	demnity Bonds .	•, •	,,		UARA				D		
	Foreign Government Bo	mas -	,,	1	Noti	OF D ES A MENT	ND		Prox		
	Replevin Bonds -		,,	Ι				REPLE	VIN.		
	Resignation Bonds -		,,				TCAL	LAW			
	Voluntary Bonds .	• •	,,	G	IFT8.						
	I	BOROU	GH 1	EN(GLIS	SH.					
See	DESCENT AND DIS	TRIBUTIO	on:	Rea	ır F	ROP	ERTY	AND	Сн	۸TM	2.TST
200			REA			_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Ų II.		
		70.0		~ **	.~						

BOROUGHS.

See LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

BOTTOMRY.

See Admiralty; Shipping and Navigation.

BOU	NDAR	IES,	FENC	ES I	AND	PA	RTY	-WAL	LS	-	10)7—	-150
PART	I.	Deli	MITATIO	N OF	Bou	NDAI	RIES						108
	SECT.	1. In	General			•	-	•			-		108
	SECT.	2. Bo	undarie	fixed	l by A	cts o	f the	Parties		•	-		109
		Sub-s	ect. 1.]	By Ag	reeme	ent -		•		•			109
		Sub-s	ect. 2.]	By As	suran	ce -	-			•			110
		Sub-s	ect. 2.] ect. 3.]	By Ur	ndistu	rbed	Posse	ession	•	•	•		111

PART I.	DELIMITATIO	on of B	OUND	ARIES	3c o	ntini	ued.			3	PAGE
SEC	r. 3. Boundar	ies fixed b	y Stat	utory	or J	udicis	l Au	thori	t y	•	112
	Sub-sect. 1.	By the E	braod	of Ag	ricul	ture a	nd F		ies	•	112
	Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.	. Under ti . Under th	10 Сор 10 Сал	удок М Тъ	ı Act, məfer	. 1894 : Acts	. 187	5 and	1897	:	114 114
	Sub-sect. 4.	By the C	ourt	-	•		•	-		•	115
Sec	T. 4. Boundari							•	•	-	118
	Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Nature o	of Pres	sump	tion	•	•	•	•	-	118
	Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.		θ-	•	•		•	•	•	•	118 120
	Sub-sect. 4.	Rivers	-			•		•	•		120
	Sub-sect. 4. Sub-sect. 5. Sub-sect. 6.	Highway	s and	Priv	ate W	ays	•	•	•	•	122 124
	Sub-sect. 7.	Hedges	and D	itche	3.	:	:	:	:	:	124
PART II.	_					A8 T	FE	NCES	١ -		126
SEC	r. 1. In Gener	al -	•		•						126
SEC	r. 2. Trees on	or near Bo	oundar	ries		•		-	•		126
SEC	r. 3. Dangero	us Fences			•			•			127
SEC	r. 4. Erection,	, Maintens	ince ai	nd Re	pair (of Fe	nces	•	•		128
	Sub-sect. 1.	No Gene	ral Li	abilit	y to f	ence	•	•	•	•	128
	Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.	Special I	nabilit	ty to	fence	· · of T	iohili	tu to	fonce	•	129
	Sub-sect. 4.	Extingui	shmer	it of	Liabi	lity	•		101100	•	134
PART III	. PARTY-WA	ALLS -			-	•		-			134
PART IV	EVIDENCE	or Bou	NDARI	ES				•			138
SEC	r. 1. Nature o	f Evidenc	θ-	-	•						138
	Sub-sect. 1.			-	•	•	•	•	•	•	138
	Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.			ence		•	•	•	•	•	139 141
SEC	r. 2. Particula						•	•	•	•	
2_0	Sub-sect. 1.					rders	etc.		•		143
	Sub-sect. 2.	Private I	Oocum	ents	•	•	•	•	•	-	146
	r. 3. Perambu					Owne	rship	•	•	-	148
	r. 4. Boundari		holds	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	149
SEC	r. 5. Discover	y	-	•	-	•	•	•	•	-	150
For Alterat	ion of Areas of tion of Electora	Local Auth	orities	- Se			LL GO		n men	r .	
	aries of Highw		-	•	"				STI	æ	ETS
Round	aries of Mines	and Ovam	···	_				Moor			
Dound	arres of Mines	ини ушин	168	-	,,		ES, JARR		ERALS		AND
	aries of Privat	•	•	•	**		EMEN Pren		ND P	RO	FIT8
	aries of Wat	lers and	Water	r-		777					
cour		• •	•	-	**		TERS URSE	an. S.	D W	A	TER-
	to Fence as	between L	andlo 1	rd		_			_		
	Tenant - o Fence on the	part of	- Railwo	เซ	,,	LAN	DLOR	D AN	10 TE	NA.	NT.
and	Canal Compani	ies -	-	-	,,	RAI	LWA	S AN	D CAI	AA.	LS.
M isdes	cription of Bou ts for Sale of L	ndaries in	Agree	•		Q	g 0.5	T. 4	_		
	cription of Bou		Wills	•	"	WIL		LAN	υ,		
Parish	Boundaries		-	-	,,	Loc	AL G	O VE R	NMEN:	r.	
Party.	Walls in Count	y of Lond	o n	•	,,	MET	ROPO	LIS.			

BRAWLING.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE; ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

BREACH OF PROMISE OF MARRIAGE.

See Husband and Wife.

BREACH OF THE PEACE.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE; MAGISTRATES.

BREAD.

See FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS; FOOD AND DRUGS.

BRIBERY.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

BRIDGES.

See HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

BRITISH DOMINIONS.

See Dependencies and Colonies.

BRITISH SUBJECTS.

See ALIENS; CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

BROKERS.

See Agency; Insurance; Sale of Goods; Stock Exchange.

BROTHELS.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHI-	PAGE
TECTS	153—317
PART I. APPLICATION OF TERMS	· · 158
SECT. 1. Building and Engineering Contracts etc	158
SECT. 2. Parties to the Contract	159
Sub-sect. 1. Building Owners and Employers -	159
Sub-sect. 2. Builders and Contractors	159
SECT. 3. Persons connected with the Contract	160
Sub-sect. 1. Architects	160
Sub-sect. 2. Engineers	160
Sub-sect. 3. Quantity Surveyors	161
Sub-sect. 4. Surveyors	162
Sub-sect. 5. Valuers	162
Sub-sect. 6. Clerks of the Works	163
Sub-sect. 7. Builders' Merchants	163

Part	I.	APPLICATION OF TERMS—continued	AGE
	SECT.	4. Bills of Quantities	163
	SECT.	5. Incidents of Building, Engineering, and Shipbuilding Contracts	164
PART	II.	FORMATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONTRACT -	166
	SECT.	1. Tenders	166
		Sub-sect. 1. Mode of obtaining Tenders Sub-sect. 2. Invitation to tender Sub-sect. 3. Acceptance of a Tender Sub-sect. 4. Acceptance obtained by Secret Commission Sub-sect. 5. Acceptance where the Tender has been induced	166 166 167 168
		by Misrepresentations	169
		Sub-sect. 6. Unauthorised Acceptance by Agent - Sub-sect. 7. Stamping of an Acceptance	169 170
		Sub-sect. 8. Agreements not to Tender	170
	SECT.	2. Formation of the Contract	170
		Sub-sect. 1. In General Sub-sect. 2. Necessity of Writing Sub-sect. 3. Contracts with Local Authorities and Corporations	170 171 172
	SECT.	3. Construction of the Contract	173
		Sub-sect. 1. In General- Sub-sect. 2. Implied Stipulations - Sub-sect. 3. Words and Phrases occurring in Building Contracts -	173 174
	~		174
		4. Novation	179
		5. Implied Contract to pay Quantum Meruit	179
		6. Variation of Contracts	179
	SECT.	7. Rescission and Rectification of Contracts	180
PART	III.	Completion · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	182

Sub-sect. 2. Effect of Non-completion of Entire Contract

Sub-sect. 3. Entire Contracts for a Lump Sum ascertained -

Sub-sect. 4. Separate Prices for Separate Parts of a Whole Work

Sub-sect. 5. Contracts to construct Works without Mention

Sub-sect. 7. Contracts to do more than one Part of a Whole-Sub-sect. 8. Performance of an Entire Contract

Sub-sect. 6. Entire Contracts for a sum to be ascertained

Sub-sect. 1. Where no time is specified - - - Sub-sect. 2. Where a particular Time is specified - Sub-sect. 3. When Time is of the Essence of the Contract

Sub-sect. 9. Implied Condition as to Workmanship

SECT. 3. Contracts to reinstate Buildings which have

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire

of Price

Excuses for Non-completion

Sub-sect. 1. Definition -

SECT. 2. Time for Completion

destroyed

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance

Sub-sect. 1. Impossibility

PART IV.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

IV

182

182

183

183

184

184

185

185 186

189

189

189 190

191

192

192

192

Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.	. Preventic	n by	the J	imple	yer	•	•	•		196	
Sub-sect. 4.	. Waiver	-	-	•	•	-	•	•	-	199	

Digitized by Google

Part	IV.	Excuses for Non-completion—continued	l.		PAGE
	SECT.	2. Arising during Performance		_	199
		Sub-sect 1 Impossibility		Ī	199
		Sub-sect. 2. Illegality		:	200
		Sub-sect. 3. Prevention by the Employer -			200
		Sub-sect. 4. Prevention by Architect etc.		-	202
		Sub-sect. 5. Waiver			202
	SECT.	3. Acceptance		•	203
Part	V. 8	Supervision and Approval		-	205
	SECT.	1. Approval by the Employer		-	205
		Sub-sect. 1. In General			205
		Sub-sect. 2. Matters of Taste			206
	SECT.	2. Approval by Architect or Engineer			207
		3. Approval by Employer and Architect -			208
_		•• • • •			
Part	VI.	CERTIFICATES		•	208
	SECT.	1. In General · · · · ·		-	208
		Sub-sect. 1. Different Classes of Certificates -			208
		Sub-sect. 2. Certificates as Conditions Precedent	to Pay	ment	210
	SECT.	2. Progress Certificates			212
		3. Final Certificates			213
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. Nature and Form of a Final Certific	no to	•	213
		Sub-sect. 2. Conclusiveness of a Final Certificate		•	215
		Sub-sect. 3. Disqualification of the Certifier -			218
		Sub-sect. 4. Dispensing with a Certificate -			220
		Sub-sect. 5. Who is to give the Certificate -			221
D	7771				222
PART	ATI	. Price	• •	•	222
	SECT.	1. Price for a Completed Contract			222
		Sub-sect. 1. Lump Sum Contracts		•	222
		Sub-sect. 2. Price fixed by a Schedule of Prices		•	222
		Sub-sect. 3. Interest		-	223
		Sub-sect. 4. Promise to pay more than agreed		•	223
	_	Sub-sect. 5. Where no price is fixed -		•	223
		2. Price where Contract is not completed -		•	224
	SECT.	3. Bonus and Deductions	• •	•	224
PART	VIII	. Payment			225
	SECT.	1. Payments on Account		_	225
		Sub-sect. 1. In General		-	225
		Sub-sect. 2. Recovering back Instalments paid			225
	SECT.	2. Payment on Completion		-	226
		3. Mode of Payment			226
		•	•	•	
	SECT.	4. Appropriation of Payments		-	227
PART		ALTERATIONS, ADDITIONS AND OMISSIONS	•	•	228
	SECT.	1. Extras			228
		Sub-sect. 1. In General			228
		Sub-sect. 2. Necessary Works		-	229
		Sub-sect. 3. Works outside the Contract		•	230
		Sub-sect. 4. Alterations, Additions and Omissio		•	230
	SECT.	2. Liability to pay for Alterations and Addition	ns -	•	231
		Sub-sect. 1. In General			231
		Sub-sect. 2. When the Employer is not liable for	r Extra	. B.	232
		Sub-sect. 3. Extras ordered by the Architect	·	•	233
		Sub-sect. 4. Effect of Final and Conclusive Cert	incate -		233

		TABLE OF CONTENTS	x vií
D	TV	A A A Ourserous	PAGE
PART		ALTERATIONS, ADDITIONS AND OMISSIONS—continued.	
	SECT.	3. Orders in Writing	- 234
		Sub-sect. 1. In General Sub-sect. 2. Effect of Refusal to give Orders in Writing	· 234 · 236
Part	x. :	Maintenance and Defect Clauses	- 236
	SECT.	1. Distinction between Maintenance and Defect Clauses	- 236
		2. Notice to remedy Defects	- 237
PART		Breach of the Contract	- 238
	SECT.	1. What amounts to Breach of the Contract	- 238
		Sub-sect. 1. By the Employer Sub-sect. 2. By the Contractor	238239
	SECT.	2. Damages for Breach of Contract	- 239
		Sub-sect. 1. Damages for Default of the Contractor .	- 239
		Sub-sect. 2. Damages for the Default of the Employer	. 242
	SECT.	3. Penalties and Liquidated Damages	- 242
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	- 242
		General	- 243
		Sub-sect. 3. Release by Interference and Prevention - Sub-sect. 4. Release by Failure to deduct the Liquidated	- 244 1
		Damages	- 246
		Sub-sect. 5. Release by Forfeiture Sub-sect. 6. Release by Waiver	246247
		Sub-sect. 7. Recovery of Liquidated Damages	. 247
		Sub-sect. 8. Recovery of Unliquidated Damages when Right	
		to Liquidated Damages has gone	- 248
	SECT.	4. Specific Performance	- 248
PART	XII.	Forfeiture · · · · · · · · ·	- 249
	SECT.	. 1. In General	- 249
	SECT.	2. Wrongful Forfeiture	- 249
	SECT.	. 3. Forfeiture of the Contract	. 251
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	. 251
		Sub-sect. 2. On Bankruptcy of the Contractor	- 252
	SECT.	. 4. Forfeiture of Property of the Contractor	- 252
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	- 252
		Sub-sect. 2. Forfeiture on Bankruptcy Sub-sect. 3. Forfeiture on Events other than Bankruptcy	- 254 - 255
	SECT	. 5. Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfeit	- 256
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. How the Right to forfeit is to be exercised	. 256
		Sub-sect. 2. Time for exercising the Right to forfeit	- 256
		. 6. Waiver of Right to forfeit	- 258
	SECT.	7. Position of the Employer if he completes after For	- - 258
	SECT.	. 8. How far Forfeiture Clause is a Bill of Sale	- 259
PART	XII	II. MATERIALS	- 260
	SECT.	. 1. Vesting of Property in Materials etc.	- 260
		Sub-sect. 1. By affixing Materials etc. to the Freehold	- 260
		Sub-sect. 2. By Agreement as to Unfixed Materials -	- 261
		Sub-sect. 3. Rights qualifying Vesting of Materials Sub-sect. 4. How far a Vesting Clause is a Bill of Sale	- 262 - 264
**	,	11	201

Part	XIII.	MATERIALS—continued.				PAGE
	SECT.	2. Lien				264
		Sub-sect. 1. For the Benefit of the Contract	tor			264
		Sub-sect. 2. For the Benefit of the Employe				265
	SECT.	3. Materials belonging to the Employer	•			266
Part	XIV	. Assignment and Devolution of	r Rı	онтя	AND	
	'	LIABILITIES AS BETWEEN ASSIG			_	
			NEB	AND	10111-	266
	a	PLOYER	•	•	•	
	SECT.	1. Assignment of Rights	•	•	•	266
		Sub-sect. 1. In General Sub-sect. 2. What may be assigned -	•	•	•	266 268
		Sub-sect. 3. Equities to which the Assignm	ent is	subi	ect •	269
		Sub-sect. 4. Assignment subject to Forfeit	ure	•		270
	SECT.	2. Assignment of Liabilities				270
		Sub-sect. 1. Assignment by the Contractor				270
		Sub-sect. 2. Assignment by the Employer				271
	SECT.	3. Bankruptcy				272
	SECT.	4. Devolution on Death				273
		Sub-sect. 1. Death of the Employer .				273
		Sub-sect. 2. Death of the Contractor -		-		273
	Sect.	5. Attachment of Instalments and Retention	n Mor	ne y		274
PART	XV.	SUBSTITUTED CONTRACTS AND SUB-CO	ONTR	ACTS		274
		1. Substituted Contracts				274
		2. Sub-contracts · · · ·			•	275
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. Relations between Sub-contract		d Tom		
		Sub-sect. 2. Relations between Bub-contract Sub-sect. 2. Relations between Principal	Con	tracto	r and	210
		Sub-contractor	•	•		276
		Sub-sect. 3. Prime Cost and Provisional Su	ııns	•	-	277
PART	XVI	. Guarantees and Sureties -				279
	SECT.	1. For due Performance by the Contractor				279
•		2. For Payment of the Contractor .				281
		3. For Financing the Contractor -			•	281
	DECI.	o. 101 1 maneing the contractor	•	•	•	201
PART	XVI	I. Arbitration Clauses in Building	Con	TRACI	rs -	281
	SECT.	1. In General				281
	SECT.	2. What is included in an Arbitration Clau	se			282
		3. Difference between Arbitration and Cert		7.		283
		4. Disqualification of Arbitrator		•	_	286
				•	•	200
PART	XVI	II. ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS -	-	-		288
	SECT.	1. Employment and Authority				288
		Sub-sect. 1. In General				288
		Sub-sect. 2. Authority as Agent -		•		288
	SECT.	2. Duties of Architects and Engineers -				291
		Sub-sect. 1. Professional Skill and Care		•		291
		Sub-sect. 2. Quasi-judicial Duties -	•	•		292
		Sub-sect. 3. Delegation of Duties - Sub-sect. 4. Personal Contracts	•	-	• •	293
		Sub-sect. 5. Duration of Duties .	•	•	•	293

Pa	RT XVI	II. Archi	TECTS	AND	Engi	neers	—contina	ued.		PAGE
	SECT.	3. Liabilitie	s of Aı	chitec	ts and	Engin	eers to the	ir Emp	loyers	295
		Sub-sect. 1	. In G	eneral		•		-		295
		Sub-sect. 2	. Liabi	lity fo		ure to	examine S	Site, Fo	unda-	
		Sub-sect. 3		ns etc.			ooification	. oto		297
		Sub-sect. 4						etc.	• •	297 298
		Sub-sect. 5						ceptano	ce of a	
			Te	nder -	•	•		-		2 98
		Sub-sect. 6 Sub-sect. 7	Liabi Liabi	lity as	to Su	perinte rtificate	ndence	-	· ·	298 299
		Sub-sect. 8								299
	SECT.	4. Liabilitie	s of Aı	chitect	s and	Engine	ers to Cor	itractor	rs -	301
		Sub-sect, 1.	In Ge	neral		•		•		301
		Sub-sect. 2.	Liabil	ity for	Plans	, Quan	tities etc.	•		302
		Sub-sect. 3.	Liabil	ity for	Frau	d -		•	-	303
	SECT.	5. Liability	of Arc	hitects	and I	Engine	ers to Pros	ecution	etc	303
	SECT.	6. Limitatio	n of A	ctions	•	•		•		304
	SECT.	7. Remuner	ation o	f Arch	itects	and En	gineers			304
		Sub-sect. 1	Payn	ent fo	r com	pleted \	Work -			304
		Sub-sect. 2.	Wher	e the	Work	is not c	ompleted	:		305
		Sub-sect. 3.							•	306
		Sub-sect. 4. Sub-sect. 5.	By w	hom th	ie Des	ign and	i Superint	endence	θ .	307 307
		Sub-sect. 6.	Wher	the B	emun	eration	is pavable	• •		308
		Sub-sect. 7.	Bank	ruptcy	of the	Archi	tect or En	gineer -		309
D	т XIX.	O	. 0	******	. ~					000
LAN		•				•	- •	•	• •	809
	SECT.	1. Employm					•		· •	309
		Sub-sect. 1.		oymen Jenera		ke out	Quantities	for Te	nders	309
		Sub-sect. 2.				duce T	enders			310
		Sub-sect. 3.	Empl	oymen	t to m	easure	up Deviat	ions -	-	310
		Sub-sect. 4.	Meası	iring u	ıp Dev	riations	for the B	uilder -	•	311
	SECT.	2. Liabilitie	of Qu	antity	Surve	yors	• •		-	311
		Sub-sect. 1.							-	311
	_	Sub-sect. 2.							•	311
	SECT.	3. Remunera	tion of	Quan	tity S	urveyo	rs -	• •	•	312
		Sub-sect. 1.	Amou	nt of t	he Re	munera	tion -		-	312
		Sub-sect. 2.	Lithog	graphe	rs' Ch	arges			-	312
		Sub-sect. 3. Sub-sect. 4.	When	the C	ontrac	tor is li	iole to pay	' ▼ -	•	313 313
		Sub-sect. 5.	When	the A	rchite	t is lia	ble to pay		-	314
							- •			
Par	т XX.	Injuries	то Рв	RSONS	OR .	Prope	RTY -		-	315
For	Accidente	due to Defec	tive Co	nstruct	ion - i	See title	NEGLIGE	NCE.		
	Building		. .			,,	LANDLOR		TENA	NT.
	Building	Regulations	•		-	,,	METROP			
							HEALTI			
		Schemes affect			Land	,,	SALE OF	-		
		for Accidente			•	**	MASTER .		ERVAN	T.
	London l	Building Acts			•	,,	METROPO	LIS.		

BUILDING DISPUTES

Generally.—See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects. Under London Building Acts.—See Metropolis.

BUILDING LEASES.

See Landlord and Tenant.

BUILDING MATERIALS.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING OWNER.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING QUANTITIES.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING REGULATIONS.

See METROPOLIS; PUBLIC HEALTH ETC.

BUILDING RESTRICTIONS.

See REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; SALE OF LAND.

BUIL	DING	SOCIETIES	5	PAG: 321—40	_
Part	I.	NATURE AND CLASSES OF BUILDING SOCIETIE	. 82	- 32	3
	SECT.	1. Introductory	•	- 32	3
		Sub-sect. 1. General Nature of Building Societies	-	- 32	_
		Sub-sect. 2. The Registrar	-	· 32	4
	SECT.	2. Different Classes of Societies	-	- 32	4
		Sub-sect. 1. General Division Sub-sect. 2. Unincorporated and Incorporated Soci	- eties	- 32 - 32	_
		Sub-sect. 3. Terminating and Permanent Societies	-	- 32	_
Part	7	Incorporation of Building Societies -	-	- 32	7
	SECT.	1. Incorporation of Newly-established Societies .		- 32	27
	SECT.	2. Incorporation of Unincorporated Societies -		- 32	27
Part		Name and Chief Office of Society -	•	- 32	9
	SECT.	1. Name of Society	•	- 32	29
		Sub-sect. 1. Original Name	-	- 32	29
		Sub-sect. 2. Change of Name	-	- 33	30
	SECT.	2. Chief Office or Place of Meeting	-	- 33	30
PART	IV.	Rules of a Society		- 39	1
	SECT.	1. Incorporated Society	-	- 33	31
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	•	- 3	31
		Sub-sect. 2. Contents of Rules	-	- 3	
		Sub-sect. 3. Alteration of Rules	-	- 39	32

			TABLE	of (CONT	ENT	s.					X Xi
PART	· IV.	Rules of	A Socia	ETY	cont	inue	d.					PAGE
	SECT.	2. Unincorp	orated So	ciet y	-	-	-	•		-	-	335
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral		•	-	•	-	-	-	335
		Sub-sect. 2.	Alteration	on of h	Rules	•	•	•	•	-	•	335
PART	v.	Officers of	F A Soc	IETY		•		•	•	-		886
	SECT.	1. Incorpora	ted Socie	t y -	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	336
		Sub-sect. 1.	Officers (Genera	lly	-	-	-	-	-	-	336
		Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.			•	•	-	•	-	-	-	341
		Sub-sect. 4.			-	-	-	-	•	•	-	342 343
	SECT.	2. Unincorpe	rated So	ciet y	-						-	344
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Officers (The Com	Genera mitte	illy and	the '	Treas	- urer	or Tr	_ ustees	•	344 347
PART	VI.	Members		-	-	-	-					348
	SECT.	1. Membersh	ip -			-	-			-		348
		2. Rights an	-	ies of	Mem	bers				_		
		3. Meetings		-	•	•	-			•		353
PART	VII.	Shares										
I AMI		1. In Genera		•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	855
		2. Transfer		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	355
				-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	357
		3. Withdraw		•	•	-	•	-	•	•	•	358
	SECT.	4. Fines and		re	•	•	•	-	•	•	-	361
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.		re e		•	:	•	-	:	:	361 362
Part	VIII	. Advances		-		-		-				8 63
	Sect.	1. In Genera	1 -			-						363
	SECT.	2. Security o	n which	Advan	ces m	ay b	e ma	de	-	•		364
	SECT.	3. Constructi	on and E	ffect o	f Mo	rtgag	es				_	365
	SECT.	4. Redemptio	n -		-		•					366
	SECT.	5. Enforceme	nt of Mo	rtgage								
		Sub-sect. 1.	Sale -		-	-						
		Sub-sect. 2.	Foreclosu	ire	-	•	-	•	•	-		369
	Q	Sub-sect. 3.			uptcy	7	•	-	•	•	•	370
	_	6. Discharge				•	•	•	•	•	•	370
	SECT.	7. Stamp Du	nes and 1	ncome	Tax		•	•	•	•	•	372
Part		Borrowing				•	•			-		373
	Sect.	1. Power to I	Borrow an	d Len	d Mo	ney	-	-	•	•	-	373
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Incorpora Unincorp	ted Soorated	cietie Soci	es eties		:				373 375
	Sect.	2. Exercise of					-	•				375
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	In Genera Excessive	al Exer	- cise o	- of Bo	rrow	ing P	- owers	- I -	-	375 376

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

_	~~	~ -											PAGE
PART	х.	Surplus I	'UNDS	-	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	-	378
		1. Investme			App	licati	on	-	-	•	-	-	378
	SECT.	2. Transfer	of Sto	c k	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	-	380
Part	XI.	DISPUTES	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	380
	SECT.	1. In Gener	al	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	380
	SECT.	2. Arbitrat	ion	-	-		-	-	-	•	-	-	381
		Sub-sect. 1						-	<i>.</i> .	-	•	•	381
		Sub-sect. 2 Sub-sect. 3				egard -	to A	rbitri -	ation	:	•	•	382 384
	SECT.	3. The Cour	it y Cou	ırt	•	•	•	-	•	-	-		385
	SECT.	4. Justices	of the l	Peace)	-	-	-	-	-	•		386
	SECT.	5. The Regi	istrar -		-		•	-		-	•		386
	SECT.	6. What Di	sputes	must	be r	eferr	ed	-	-	-	•	-	387
		Sub-sect. 1.	Unin	отро	rated	Soci	eties	-	•	-	•	•	387
		Sub-sect. 2	Incor	porat	ed S	ocieti	es	•	•	•	•	•	388
Part	XII.	ACCOUNT	B, AND	Ins	BPEC'	rion	OF	Boo	KS (or A	FFAI	RS	389
	SECT.	1. Accounts	٠ .	•	-	•	•	-	-	-	•	•	389
	SECT.	2. Inspection	n of B	ooks	or A	ffairs	-	-	-	•		•	390
Part	XIII	. Amalgan	MOITA	ANI	Т	ANSI	ER		•	-	•	-	891
Part	XIV.	Dissolur	ION A	ND (CANO	ELLA	TION	OR	Sus	PENS	on o) F	
		REGIS			-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	392
	SECT.	1. Incorpora				-	•	-	•	•	•	•	392
		Sub-sect. 1.							•	•	•	•	392
		Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.							trar	•	:	•	393 394
		Sub-sect. 4.	Windi	ng-u	p un	der tl	ie Co:	mpar	nies A	cts	•	•	394
	_	Sub-sect. 5.				-	ensior	of l	Regis	try	•	•	3 96
	SECT.	2. Unincorp				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	397
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Dissol Windi	ution ng-uj	p uno	- ler tl	ne Co	աթա	ies A	cts	•	:	397 398
For	Co-ope	erative Buildi	ng Soci	eties		- Se	e titl		DU8T AND		Pro		ENT
	Other	Societies -							TIES. RIENI		Som	ייעו	ies ;
							,,			STRL			VI-
									DENT		D 8	IM:	ILAR
										ETII ETIES.		Т	OAN

BURGAGE TENURE.

See REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL.

BURGLARY.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

		TABLE OF CONTENTS.	am
BURI	AL A	PA IND CREMATION 4015	GE 78
PART		Duties of Executors and Others as to Burial - 4	• -
LABI			
			104 106
	BECT.	2. Fullerat Expenses	100
Part	II.	CHURCHYARDS AND BURIAL IN CHURCHYARDS 4	07
	SECT.	1. Property etc. in Churchyards 4	407
	SECT.	2. Consecration of Churchyards and Burial Grounds -	412
	SECT.	3. Right of Burial	413
	SECT.	4. Burial in Churches	415
	SECT.	5. Monuments in Churches and Churchyards	416
	SECT.	6. Exclusive Rights of Burial	419
	SECT.	7. Burial Service	420
	SECT.		421
	SECT.	9. Brawling and Nuisances	422
	SECT.	10. Use of Churchyard for Secular Purposes 4	42 3
Part	TTT	Burial in Consecrated Ground without Service	
LABI	111.	of the Church of England 4	24
Part	IV.	FEES ON BURIAL IN CHURCHYARD ETC 4	28
	SECT.		428
	SECT.		431
T		<u>-</u>	
Part			
		•	432
			434
			436
			4 36
			44 1
		•	441
		•	142
		••	144
	SECT.	9. Vesting of Property in Burial Grounds 4	145
PART	VI.	Provision of Burial Grounds under Burial	
		Acrs 4	45
	SECT.	1. Preliminary 4	145
			145
			148
	0		150
	BECT.		151
			151 156
		Sub-sect. 3. Proceedings and Powers of Burial Boards . 4	157
		Sub-sect. 4. Provision of Burial Ground, Acquisition etc. of	
		Sub-sect. 5. Consecrated and Unconsecrated Portions of	160
		Burial Ground 4	166
			168 168
		Dan-soom is included or population, Dance of incumpents etc. 4	168

									PAGE
Part	VI.	Provision Acts—c		Burial ued.	Grou	NDS	UNDER	Burial	1
	SECT.	2. Elective I	Burial	Boards in	Urban I	Distric	ts-cont	inued.	
		Sub-sect. 8.	Char	ges of Bu	rial Boar	d for l	Burial et	c	473
		Sub-sect. 9.					• •	<u>,</u>	474
		Sub-sect. 10. Sub-sect. 11.							479 483
	Snow.	3. Urban Au		•	-				484
	BLCI.	Sub-sect. 1.			- OWELD U	. Duii	ai Doaid		484
		Sub-sect. 1.	Order			sting		n Council	
		Sub-sect. 3.	Order U	in Cou	ncil in	vesting	g Non-	municipal s of Burial	l
		Sub-sect. 4.	Urbai Bu	n Author rial Boar	rd unde	r s.		Powers of the Local	•
		Sub-sect. 5.	Urbar	vernment Authorit ard under	y investe	ed with	Powers	of Burial Act, 1866	· 489 l · 490
		Sub-sect. 6.	Urban Bu	1 Author	ity inve d unde	ested er s.	with I	owers of the Local	t
		Sub-sect. 7.				•			491
	SECT.	4. Burial Au	thorit	ies under	the Bur	ial Act	ts in Ru	ral Areas	492
	SECT.	5. Joint Con	nmitte	es with Po	wers of	Buria	l Boards		499
	SECT.	6. Burial Au	ıthorit	ies under	Burial A	Acts fo	r Areas	in London	500
	SECT.	7. Miscellan	eous			•			- 503
Part	VII.	CEMETERI Act,			BLIC H	EALT:	н (Іпт	ERMENTS) - 506
	Q=								. 506
		 Provision Burials in 		•			. •	•	- 509 - 509
				•				 	
	SECT.	3. Protection	1 01 (эшесегу;	Account	8 01 17	ocai Aui	mority etc.	. 512
Part	VIII	. Private	Семе	TERIES		•		•	- 512
PART	TX.	THE CEN	ETER	TES CLAI	ISES A	ст. 18	847 -		- 514
1 4111	SECT.	1. Applicat						_	- 514
	SECT.	2. Making							- 515
	SECT.	3. Preventi		•					- 317
	SECT.	4. Burials							- 517
	SECT.	5. Exclusiv		•				intions etc	
	SECT.	6. Paymen	_					•	. 522 - 522
	SECT.	_ ~ ~							- 523
	SECT.				- ·				- 523
	SECT.	9. Recover							- 523
		10. Miscella		011411100, 2		- 000.			- 525
_				•	•	~		•	
Part		CLOSED AN 1. Closing						ns agains	. 525 t
	~===	openin	g Nev	v Burial G	rounds	•		•	- 525
	SECT.	2. Building	upon	Disused E	urial G	rounds			- 532
	SECT.	3. Conveyar		d Utilisat	ion of H	Burial •	Ground	s for Oper	n - 53 3

														PAGE
Par	r XI.	S	UPERVISI MENT D)F [MR]	Buri	AL	Gr	OUN.	DS 1	3 Y .	Gove	RN-	537
	SECT	1	Sanitary :					vectio		Ruria	1 G	trounde		537
			Inquiries	•			-			Duria			•	539
	DECI.	٠.	mquines	by Se	CIOU	ary or	Sta	10-	•	•		•	•	000
Par	r XII.		BURIAL	or Po	OR	Pers	оив	•	•	•	•	•	•	539
Part	XIII	•	BURIAL	or P	ERS	омв]	Tov	nd]	Drov	WNED		•	•	547
PART	XIV.		BURIAL	OF	P	RSON	s	Dyı	NG	OF	I	FECTI		
			DISEAS	ES	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	549
	SECT.	1.	Provision	s appl	icab.	le in I	ond	OII	-	•				549
	SECT.	2.	Provision	s of th	e In	fection	us D	iseas	e (Pr	event	iou) Act, 1	890	550
	SECT.	3.	Provision	s of th	ne Pr	ublic I	Heat	th Ac	t, 18	75				552
			Miscellan			•	•	-	•		-		-	553
Par	r XV.		DISINTER	RMENT	OF	DEA	ъΒ	ODIE	8	•		•		55 3
Part	r XVI.	,	Registra	TION	of	Bur	IALS	3 -		-				555
	SECT.	1.	Burials in	Chui	rehya	ards e	tc.							555
			Burials in					emet	eries	etc.	_			559
			Burials i	n Co	onsec	rated					(Church	of	561
	C	_	_				- 1 12	1.20.	· ·	•		•	•	
			Destruction										•	561
			Coroner's								or 1	Buriai	-	562
			Still-born								•	•	-	563
	SECT.	7.	Coffins co	ntaını	ng n	ore t	han	One	Body	•	•	•	•	56 4
Part	r XVII	[.	Mortua	RIES		•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	564
Part	r XVII	II.	CREMAT	ION	-	•		•			-		-	568
	SECT.		Statutory				•			-	-		•	5 68
		St	ib-sect. 1.	Intro	duct	ory							-	568
		Sı	ıb-sect. 2.	Crema	atori	8.	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	568
			ib-sect. 3. ib-sect. 4.			ts and	1 Co	rone	- 87	•	•	•	•	569 569
			ib-sect. 5.			certa	in E	nacti	ment	8 -		•	•	570
	SECT.		Regulatio	_							_		_	570
	~201.		ib-sect. 1.				-					-		
		Sı	ib-sect. 2. ib-sect. 3.	Main	tena	nce ar	id I	иврес	tion	of Cr	c	alona		570
				plac	ю	•	-			•	··-	шау и		571
		Sı	b-sect. 4.	Dispo	sal	of As	hes			•	-	-	•	574
			ib-sect. 5.								•	-	•	574
	SECT.	3.	Penalty fo	or Bre	ach	of St	atut	e or l	Regu	lation	8 -	•	•	575
For (Constitut	ion	and Gener	al Po	wers	of								
	Boa	rde	of Guard	iuns -		. .		- Se	e title	Poo				
			olitan Boro					•	,,	ME	RO	POLIS.		
			Authoriti Vestries -	cs, P	uT18/i	· Cou	пси	,		T.00	A T	Gover	****	ידער
			al Law Ger	reralli,	, .			•	"			IASTICA		
	Be lig i ou			•	-			•	,,			IASTICA		

BYE-LAWS.

See Companies; Local Government; Open Spaces and Recreation Grounds; Public Health etc.; Railways and Canals; and other titles passim.

CABLES.

See Telegraphs and Telephones.

CABS.

See STREET TRAFFIC.

CANADA.

See Dependencies and Colonies.

CANAL BOATS.

See Public Health etc.

CANALS.

See RAILWAYS AND CANALS.

CANCELLATION OF DOCUMENTS.

See Deeds and Other Instruments.

CANON LAW.

See Ecclesiastical Law.

CAPITAL AND INCOME.

See SETTLEMENTS; WILLS.

CAPTURE.

See Conflict of LAWS.

CARDS.

See Gaming and Wagering; Revenue.

CARGO.

See Shipping and Navigation.

CARRIAGE BY SEA.

See Shipping and Navigation.

USED IN THIS WORK.

A.C. (preceded	by date)	Law Reports, Appeal Cases, House of Lords, since 1890 (e.g. [1891] A. C.)
AG		Attorney-General
Act		Acton's Reports, Prize Causes, 2 vols., 1809-1811
Ad. & El.		Adolphus and Ellis's Reports, King's Bench and Queen's Bench, 12 vols., 1831—1842
Adam		Adam's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 1893—(current)
Add		Addams' Ecclesiastical Reports, 3 vols., 1822—1826
AdvGen.		Advocate-General
A.c. & N.		Alcock and Napier's Reports, King's Bench (Ireland), 1 vol., 1813—1833
Alc. Reg. Cas.		Alcock's Registry Cases (Ireland), 1 vol., 1832—1841
A 1		Aleyn's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1646—1649
		Ambler's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1725—1783
4 - 1	••	Anderson's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1535
And		—1605
Andr	••	Andrews' Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1737—1740
Anon		Anonymous
Anst		Anstruther's Reports, Exchequer, 3 vols., 1792—1797
App. Cas.		Law Reports, Appeal Cases, House of Lords, 15 vols.,
Arkley		1875—1890 Arkley's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 1 vol., 1846— 1848
Arm. M. & O.		Armstrong, Macartney, and Ogle's Civil and Criminal Reports (Ireland), 1840—1842
Arn		Arnold's Reports, Common Pleas, 2 vols., 1838—1839
Arn. & II.		Arnold and Hodges' Reports, Queen's Bench, 1 vol., 1840—1841
Asp. M. L. C.		Aspinall's Maritime Law Cases, 1870—(current)
	••	Atkyns' Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1736—1754
Atk	••	
Ayl. Pan.	••	Ayliffe's New Pandect of Roman Civil Law.
Ayl. Par.	••	Ayliffe's Parergon Juris Canonici Anglicani.
B. & Ad		Barnewall and Adolphus' Reports, King's Bench, 5 vols., 1830—1834
B. & Ald.		Barnewall and Alderson's Reports, King's Bench, 5 vols., 1817—1822
B. & C		Barnewall and Cresswell's Reports, King's Bench, 10 vols., 1822—1830
B. & S		Best and Smith's Reports, Queen's Bench, 10 vols., 1861—1870
Bac. Abr.		Bacon's Abridgment
Bail Ct. Cas.	••	Bail Court Cases (Lowndes and Maxwell), 1 vol.,
Dati Ot. Ods.	••	
Ball & B.		1852—1854 Ball and Beatty's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 2 vols., 1807—1814

xxviii

Bankr. & Ins. R.		Bankruptcy and Insolvency Reports, 2 vols., 1853—1855
Bar. & Am		Barron & Arnold's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1843—1846
Bar. & Aust		Barron & Austin's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1842
Barn. (CH.)	• •	Barnardiston's Reports, Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1740— 1741
Barn. (K. B.)	••	Barnardiston's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 2 vols., 1726—1734
Barnes	••	Barnes' Notes of Cases of Practice, Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1732—1760
Batt	••	Batty's Reports, King's Bench (Ireland), 1 vol., 1825 —1826
Beat	••	Beatty's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1813— 1830
Beav. & Wal	••	Beavan's Reports, Rolls Court, 36 vols., 1838—1866 Beavan and Walford's Railway Parliamentary Cases, 1 vol., 1846
Beaw Bellewe	• •	Beawes's Lex Mercatoria Bellewe's Cases temp. Richard II., King's Bench,
Bell, C. C.		1 vol. T. Bell's Crown Cases Reserved, 1 vol., 1858—1860
Bell, Ct. of Sess.	• •	R. Bell's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 1 vol., 1790—1792
Bell, Ct. of Sess. fol.		R. Bell's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1794—1795
Bell, Dict. Dec.	• •	S. S. Bell's Dictionary of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 2 vols., 1808—1833
Bell, Sc. App		S. S. Bell's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 7 vols., 1812—1850
Belt's Sup		Belt's Supplement to Vesey Sen., Chancery, 1 vol., 1746—1756
Benl	٠.	Benloe's (or Bendloe's) Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1515—1627
Ben. & D		Benloe and Dalison's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1357—1579
Bing	• •	Bingham's Reports, Common Pleas, 10 vols., 1822—
Bing. (N. C.)		Bingham's New Cases, Common Pleas, 6 vols., 1834 —1840
Bitt. Prac. Cas		Bittleston's Practice Cases in Chambers under the Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, 1 vol., 1875—1876
Bitt. Rep. in Ch.	••	Bittleston's Reports in Chambers (Queen's Bench Division), 1 vol., 1883—1884
Bl. Com		Blackstone's Commentaries
Bl. D. & Osb.	• •	Blackham, Dundas, and Osborne's Reports, Practice and Nisi Prius (Ireland), 1 vol., 1846—1848
Bli		Bligh's Reports, House of Lords, 4 vols., 1819—1821
Bli. (n. s.)	• •	Bligh's Reports, House of Lords, New Series, 11 vols., 1827—1837
Bos. & P		Bosanquet and Puller's Reports, Common Pleas, 3 vols., 1796—1804
Bos. & P. (N. R.)		Bosanquet and Puller's New Reports, Common Pleas, 2 vols., 1804—1807
Bract		Bracton De Legibus et Consuetudinibus Angliæ
Bro. Abr		Sir J. Brooke's Abridgment
Bro. C. C.		W. Brown's Chancery Reports, 4 vols., 1778—1794
Bro. Ecc. Rep	• •	W. G. Brooke's Ecclesiastical Reports, Privy Council, 1 vol., 1850—1872
Bro. (N. C.)		Sir R. Brooke's New Cases, 1 vol., 1515—1558
Bro. Parl. Cas	• •	J. Brown's Cases in Parliament, 8 vols., 1702—1800
Bro. Supp. to Mor.		M. P. Brown's Supplement to Morison's Dictionary
Bro. Synop		of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 5 vols. M. P. Brown's Synopsis of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 4 vols., 1532—1827

Brod. & Bing	••	Broderip and Bingham's Reports, Common Pleas,
Brod. & F	••	3 vols., 1819—1822 Brodrick and Fremantle's Ecclesiastical Reports,
Broun	••	Privy Council, 1 vol., 1705—1864 Broun's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 2 vols., 1842—1845
Brown. & Lush.	••	Browning and Lushington's Reports, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1863—1866
Brownl	••	Brownlow and Goldesborough's Reports, Common Pleas, 2 parts, 1569—1624
Bruce	••	Bruce's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 1714
Buchan		Buchanan's Reports, Court of Session and Justiciary (Scotland), 1806—1813
Buck Bulst	••	Buck's Cases in Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1816—1820 Bulstrode's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 3 parts in
Bunb		1 vol., 1610—1626 Bunbury's Reports, Exchequer, fol., 1 vol., 1713—
Burr. S. C	•••	Burrow's Reports, King's Bench, 5 vols., 1756—1772 Burrow's Settlement Cases, King's Bench, 1 vol.,
Burrell	••	1733—1776 Burrell's Reports, Admiralty, ed. by Marsden, 1 vol., 1648—1840
C. A		Court of Appeal
C. B	• •	Common Bench Reports, 18 vols., 1845—1856
C. B. (N. s.)	• •	Common Bench Reports, New Series, 20 vols., 1856— 1865
C. C. Ct. Cas	• •	Central Criminal Court Cases (Sessions Papers), 1834 —(current)
C. L. R		Common Law Reports, 3 vols., 1853—1855
C. P. D	••	Law Reports, Common Pleas Division, 5 vols., 1875 —1880
C. & P	••	Carrington and Payne's Reports, Nisi Prius, 9 vols., 1823—1841
Cab. & El	٠.	Cababé and Ellis's Reports, Queen's Bench Division, 1 vol., 1882—1885
Cald. Mag. Cas.		Caldecott's Magistrates Cases, 1 vol., 1777—1786
Calth	••	Calthrop's City of London Cases, King's Bench, 1 vol., 1609—1618
Camp	• •	Campbell's Reports, Nisi Prius, 4 vols., 1807—1816
Carp. Pat. Cas Car. & Kir	• •	Carrington and Kirwan's Reports, Nisi Prius, 3 vols.,
	•	1815—1853
Car. & M	• •	Carrington and Marshman's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1841—1843
Cart	••	Carter's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1664— 1673
Carth	••	Carthew's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1687—1700
Cary	• •	Cary's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol.
Cas. in Ch. Cas. Pract. K. B.	• •	Cases in Chancery, fol., 3 parts, 1660—1697 Cases of Practice, King's Bench, 1 vol., 1655—1775
Cas. Sett	••	Cases of Settlements and Removals, 1 vol., 1689—1727
Cas. temp. Finch		Cases temp. Finch, Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1673—1680
Cas. temp. King	••	Select Cases temp. King, Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1724 —1733
Cas. temp. Talb		Cases in Equity temp. Talbot, fol., 1 vol., 1730—1737
Ch. (preceded by date	e)	Law Reports, Chancery Division, since 1890 (e.g. [1891] 1 Ch.)
Ch. App	•	Law Reports, Chancery Appeals, 10 vols., 1865—1875
Ch. D	• •	Law Reports, Chancery Division, 45 vols., 1875—1890

XXX

Oh Dah	
Ch. Rob Christopher Robinson's Reports, Admiralty, 1798—1808	6 vols.,
Char. Pr. Cas	
Chit Charley's Chamber Cases, 1 vol., 1813—1816 Chit Chitty's Practice Reports, King's Bench, 1770—1822	vols.,
Cl. & Fin Clark and Finnelly's Reports, House of L vols., 1831—1846	ords, 12
Clay Clayton's Reports and Pleas of Assises at 1 vol., 1631—1650	Yorke,
Clif. & Rick	s, 3 vols.,
Clif. & Steph Clifford and Stephens' Locus Standi Reports 1867—1872	, 2 vols.,
Cockb. & Rowe Cockburn and Rowe's Election Cases, 1 vol.	. 1833
Co. Ent Coke's Entries	,
Co. Inst Coke's Institutes	
Co. Litt Coke on Littleton (1 Inst.)	
Co. Rep Coke's Reports, 13 parts, 1572—1616	
Coll Collyer's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1844—1	.846
Coll. Jurid Collectanea Juridica, 2 vols.	
Colles Colles' Cases in Parliament, 1 vol., 1697—17	13
Colt Coltman's Registration Cases, 1 vol., 1879—	
Com Comyns' Reports, King's Bench, Common P.	leas, and
Exchequer, fol., 2 vols., 1695—1740	,
Com. Cas Commercial Cases, 1895—(current)	
Com. Dig Comyns' Digest	
Comb Comberbach's Reports, King's Bench, fol.,	, 1 vol.,
Con. & Law Connor and Lawson's Reports, Chancery (1	Ireland),
2 vols., 1841—1843 Clarke and Alexak's Remarks Finals Banck (T13\
Cooke & Al Cooke and Alcock's Reports, King's Bench (7	reiana),
Color D. Color Develop Develop Division	1 70
1706—1747	, 1 101.,
Cooke, Pr. Reg Cooke's Practical Register of the Common 1 vol., 1702—1742	n Pleas,
Coop. G G. Cooper's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1792-	-1815
Coop. Pr. Cas C. P. Cooper's Reports, Chancery Practice	
1837—1838 D. Carrello	
Coop. temp. Brough C. P. Cooper's Cases temp. Brougham, C. 1 vol., 1833—1834	
Coop. temp. Cott. C. P. Cooper's Cases temp. Cottenham, C 2 vols., 1846—1848 (and miscellaneous earli	
Corb. & D Corbett and Daniell's Election Cases, 1 vol.,	
Couper Couper's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 5 vo	
—1885	
Cowp Cowper's Reports, King's Bench, 2 vols., 177	4-1778
Cox, C. C E. W. Cox's Criminal Law Cases, 1843—(curr	rent)
Cox & Atk Cox and Atkinson's Registration Appeal Case 1843—1846	s, 1 vol.,
Cox, Eq. Cas S. C. Cox's Equity Cases, 2 vols., 1745—179	7
Cox, M. & H Cox, Macrae, and Hertslet's County Courts C Appeals, Vol. I., 1846—1852	ases and
Cr. & J Crompton and Jervis's Reports, Exchequer 1830—1832	, 2 vols.,
Cr. & M Crompton and Meeson's Reports, Exchequer 1832—1834	, 2 vols.,
Cr. M. & R Crompton, Meeson, and Roscoe's Reports, Ex	chequer,
2 vols., 1834—1835 Cr. & Ph Craig and Phillips' Reports, Chancery, 1 vol. 1841	, 1840—
Charles & D. Charles and Dir's Circuit Cases (Incland)	3 vols
1838—1846	,

Craw. & D. Abr. C.		Crawford and Dix's Abridged Cases (Ireland), 1 vol., 1837—1838
Cress. Insolv. Cas. Cripps' Church Cas.		Cresswell's Insolvency Cases, 1 vol., 1827—1829 Cripps' Church and Clergy Cases, 2 parts, 1847—1850
Cro. Car		Croke's Reports temp. Charles I., King's Bench and Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1625—1641
Cro. Eliz	••	Croke's Reports temp. Elizabeth, King's Bench and Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1582—1603
Cro. Jac	••	Croke's Reports temp. James I., King's Bench and Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1603—1625
Cru. Dig	• •	Cruise's Digest of the Law of Real Property, 7 vols.
Cunn	• •	Cunningham's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1734—1735
Curt	••	Curteis' Ecclesiastical Reports, 3 vols., 1834—1844
Dalr	• •	Dalrymple's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1698—1720
Dan	••	Daniell's Reports, Exchequer in Equity, 1 vol., 1817 —1823
Dan. & Ll	••	Danson and Lloyd's Mercantile Cases, 1 vol., 1828— 1829
Dav. & Mer	••	Davison and Merivale's Reports, Queen's Bench, 1 vol., 1843-1844
Dav. Pat. Cas		Davies' Patent Cases, 1 vol., 1785—1816
Dav. Ir	••	Davys' (or Davies' or Davy's) Reports (Ireland), 1 vol., 1604-1611
Day	• •	Day's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1892—1893
Dea. & Sw	••	Deane and Swabey's Ecclesiastical Reports, 1 vol., 1855—1857
Deac		Deacon's Reports, Bankruptcy, 4 vols., 1834—1840
Deac. & Ch	••	Deacon and Chitty's Reports, Bankruptcy, 4 vols., 1832—1835
Dears. & B	••	Dearsly and Bell's Crown Cases Reserved, 1 vol., 1856—1858
Dears. C. C.		Dearsly's Crown Cases Reserved, 1 vol., 1852—1856
Deas & And	••	Deas and Anderson's Decisions (Scotland), 5 vols., 1829—1832
De G	• •	De Gex's Reports, Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1844—1848
De G. F. & J	• •	De Gex, Fisher, and Jones's Reports, Chancery, 4 vols., 1859—1862
De G. & J	• •	De Gex and Jones's Reports, Chancery, 4 vols., 1857 —1859
De G. J. & Sm	• •	De Gex, Jones, and Smith's Reports, Chancery, 4 vols., 1862—1865
De G. M. & G	••	De Gex, Macnaghten, and Gordon's Reports, Chancery, 8 vols., 1851—1857
De G. & Sm	••	De Gex and Smale's Reports, Chancery, 5 vols., 1846 —1852
Delane	• •	Delane's Decisions, Revision Courts, 1 vol., 1832— 1835
Den		Denison's Crown Cases Reserved, 2 vols., 1844—1852
Dick		Dickens' Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1559—1798
Dig	• •	Justinian's Digest or Pandects Disloten's Desirions Court of Session (Seetland)
Dirl	••	Dirleton's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1665—1677
Dods		Dodson's Reports, Admiralty, 2 vols., 1811—1822
Donnelly		Donnelly's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1836—1837
Doug. El. Cas		Douglas' Election Cases, 4 vols., 1774—1776 Douglas' Penerta King's Bouch 4 role, 1778
Doug. (K. B.) Dow	• •	Douglas' Reports, King's Bench, 4 vols., 1778—1785 Dow's Reports, House of Lords, 6 vols., 1812—1818
Dow & Cl	• •	Dow and Clark's Reports, House of Lords, 2 vols.,
	••	1827—1832
Dow. & L	••	Dowling and Lowndes' Practice Reports, 7 vols., 1843—1849

Dow. & Ry. (K. 1	в.)	Dowling and Ryland's Reports, King's Bench, 9 vols., 1822—1827
Dow. & Ry. (M.	c.)	Dowling and Ryland's Magistrates' Cases, 4 vols.,
Dow. & Ry. (n.	P.)	1822—1827 Dowling and Ryland's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 part,
T) 1 / 1		Dowling's Practice Reports, 9 vols., 1830—1841 Dowling's Practice Reports, New Series, 2 vols.,
Dr. & Wal.		1841—1843 Drury and Walsh's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 2 vols., 1837—1841
Dr. & War		Drury and Warren's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 4 vols., 1841—1843
D . 0 C		Drewry's Reports, Chancery, 4 vols., 1852—1859 Drewry and Smale's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1859 —1865
Drinkwater Drury temp. Naj	p	Drinkwater's Reports, Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1839 Drury's Reports temp. Napier, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1858—1859
Drury temp. Sug	5	Drury's Reports temp. Sugden, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1841—1844
Dugd. Orig. Dunl. (Ct. of Sec	 ss.)	Dugdale's Origines Juridiciales Dunlop, Court of Session Cases (Scotland), 2nd series, 24 vols., 1838—1862
Dunning	•	Dunning's Reports, King's Bench, 1 vol., 1753—1754
Durie	•• ••	Durie's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1621—1642
Dyer		Dyer's Reports, King's Bench, 3 vols., 1513—1581
E. & B		Ellis and Blackburn's Reports, Queen's Bench, 8 vols., 1852—1858
E. & E		Ellis and Ellis's Reports, Queen's Bench, 3 vols., 1858—1861
E. B. & E.		Ellis, Blackburn, and Ellis's Reports, Queen's Bench, 1 vol., 1858—1860
Eag. & Y.		Eagle and Younge's Tithe Cases, 4 vols., 1223—1825
East	••	East's Reports, King's Bench, 16 vols., 1800—1812
East, P. C. Ecc. & Ad.	••	East's Pleas of the Crown Spinks' Ecclesiastical and Admiralty Reports, 2 vols.,
Edon		1853—1855 Edon's Poports Changers 9 role 1757 1766
Eden Edgar	•• ••	Eden's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1757—1766 Edgar's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1724—1725
Edw		Edwards' Reports, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1808-1812
	••	Elchies' Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 2 vols., 1733—1754
Eng. Pr. Cas. Eq. Cas. Abr.		Roscoe's English Prize Cases, 2 vols., 1745—1858 Abridgment of Cases in Equity, fol., 2 vols., 1667—
77 D		1744 Fig. 10:10 10:10 10:11
Eq. Rep.	••	Equity Reports, 3 vols., 1853—1855 Espinassa's Reports, Nici Priva 6 vols., 1703, 1810
Esp Exch	••	Espinasse's Reports, Nisi Prius, 6 vols., 1793—1810 Exchequer Reports (Welsby, Hurlstone, and Gor-
Excu	••	don), 11 vols., 1847—1856
Ex. D	••	Law Reports, Exchequer Division, 5 vols., 1875— 1880
F. & F		Foster and Finlason's Reports, Nisi Prius, 4 vols., 1856—1867
F. (Ct. of Sess.)		Fraser, Court of Session Cases (Scotland), 5th series, 1898—1906
Fac. Coll. (with	date)	Faculty of Advocates, Collection of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1st and 2nd series, 21 vols., 1752—1825

Fac. Coll. (N. s.)	(with	Faculty of Advocates, Collection of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), New Series, 16 vols., 1825—1841
Falc	• •	Falconer's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland),
Falc. & Fitz		2 vols., fol., 1744—1751 Falconer and Fitzherbert's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1835 —1838
Ferg	••	Ferguson's Consistorial Decisions (Scotland), 1 vol.,
Fitz-G	••	1811—1817 Fitz-Gibbons' Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol.,
Fitz. Nat. Brev.		1728—1731 Fitzherbert's Natura Brevium
Fl. & K	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Flanagan and Kelly's Reports, Rolls Court (Ireland), 1 vol., 1840—1842
Fonbl	• •	Fonblanque's Reports, Bankruptcy, 2 parts, 1849—1852
For		Forrest's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol., 1800—1801
Forb	••	Forbes' Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1705—1713
Fort. De Laud.		Fortescue, De Laudibus Legum Angliæ
Fortes. Rep		Fortescue's Reports, fol., 1 vol., 1692—1736
Fost	• •	Foster's Crown Cases, 1 vol., 1743—1760 Fountainhall's Danisions, Court of Session (Seetland)
rount	• •	Fountainhall's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 2 vols., 1678—1712
Fox & S. Ir	• •	M. C. Fox and T. B. C. Smith's Reports, King's Bench (Ireland), 2 vols., 1822—1825
Fox & S. Reg	••	J. S. Fox and C. L. Smith's Registration Cases, 1 vol., 1886—1895
Freem. (CII.)		Freeman's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1660—1706
Freem. (K. B.)	•••	Freeman's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1670—1704
Gal. & Dav	••	Gale and Davison's Reports, Queen's Bench, 3 vols., 1841—1843
Gale		Gale's Reports, Exchequer, 2 vols., 1835—1836
Gib. Cod	• •	Gibson's Codex Juris Ecclesiastici Anglicani
Giff Gilb	• •	Giffard's Reports, Chancery, 5 vols., 1857—1865
GIID	••	Gilbert's Cases in Law and Equity, 1 vol., 1713—1714
Gilb. C. P	••	Gilbert's History and Practice of the Court of Common Pleas
Gilb. (ch.)	••	Gilbert's Reports, Chancery and Exchequer, fol., 1 vol., 1706—1726
Gilm. & F	••	Gilmour and Falconer's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 2 parts, Part I. (Gilmour) 1661—1666,
Gl. & J	••	Part II. (Falconer) 1681—1686 Glyn and Jameson's Reports, Bankruptcy, 2 vols.,
Glany		1819—1828 Glanville, De Legibus et Consuetudinibus Regni
~-muy	••	Angliæ
Glanv. El. Cas		Glanville's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1623—1624
Glascock	• •	Glascock's Reports (Ireland), 1 vol., 1831—1832
Godb	• •	Godbolt's Reports, King's Bench, Common Pleas.
013.1		and Exchequer, 1 vol., 1574—1637
Gouldsb	••	Gouldsborough's Reports, Queen's Bench and King's Bench, 1 vol., 1586—1601
Gow	• •	Gow's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1818—1820
Gwill	• •	Gwillim's Tithe Cases, 4 vols., 1224—1824
H. & C	• •	Hurlstone and Coltman's Reports, Exchequer, 4 vols., 1862—1866
H. & N	••	Hurlstone and Norman's Reports, Exchequer, 7 vols., 1856—1862
H.L.—III.		c

xxxiv Abbreviations.

II. & Tw	·.	Hall and Twells' Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1848— 1850
H. & W		Hurlstone and Walmsley's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol., 1840—1841
H. L. Cas		Clark's Reports, House of Lords, 11 vols., 1847—1866
Hag. Adm		Haggard's Reports, Admiralty, 3 vols., 1822-1838
Hag. Con	••	Haggard's Consistorial Reports, 2 vols., 1789—1821
Hag. Ecc	• •	Haggard's Ecclesiastical Reports, 4 vols., 1827—1833 Hailes's Decisions Court of Session (Seetland)
Hailes	••	Hailes's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 2 vols., 1766—1791
Hale, C. L		Hale's Common Law
Hale, P. C.		Hale's Pleas of the Crown, 2 vols.
llar. & Ruth	• •	Harrison and Rutherfurd's Reports, Common Pleas,
Har. & W	••	1 vol., 1865—1866 Harrison and Wollaston's Reports, King's Bench and Bail Court, 2 vols., 1835—1836
Harc	••	Harcarse's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 1 vol., 1681—1691
Hard		Hardres' Reports, Exchequer, fol., 1 vol., 1655—1669
Hare		Hare's Reports, Chancery, 11 vols., 1841—1853
Hawk. P. C		Hawkins's Pleas of the Crown, 2 vols.
Hayes	••	Hayes's Reports, Exchequer (Ireland), 1 vol., 1830— 1832
Hayes & Jo	••	Hayes and Jones's Reports, Exchequer (Ireland), 1 vol., 1832—1834
Hem. & M	••	Hemming and Miller's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1862—1865
Het	••	Hetley's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1627 1631
Hob	••	Hobart's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1613 —1625
Hodg	••	Hodges' Reports, Common Pleas, 3 vols., 1835—1837
Hog	••	Hogan's Reports, Rolls Court (Ireland), 2 vols., 1816 —1834
Holt (ADM.)	••	W. Holt's Rule of the Road Cases, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1863—1867
Holt (EQ.)		W. Holt's Equity Reports, 1 vol., 1845
Holt (K. B.)	• •	Sir John Holt's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol.,
Holt (N. P.)		1688—1710 F. Holt's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1815—1817
Home, Ct. of Sess.	••	Home's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland),
		fol., 1 vol., 1735—1744
Hop. & Colt	• •	Hopwood and Coltman's Registration Cases, 2 vols.,
Hop. & Ph		1868—1878 Hopwood and Philbrick's Registration Cases, 1 vol., 1863—1867
Horn & H		Horn and Hurlstone's Reports, Exchequer, 2 vols.,
Hov. Suppl	••	Hovenden's Supplement to Vesey Jun.'s Reports,
Hud. & B		Chancery, 2 vols., 1753—1817 Hudson and Brooke's Reports, King's Bench and Exchange (Falanch) 2 vols. 1827 1821
Hume		Exchequer (Ireland), 2 vols., 1827—1831 Hume's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 1 vol., 1781—1822
Hut	••	Hutton's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1617—1638
Ну. Ві	••	Henry Blackstone's Reports, Common Pleas, 2 vols., 1788—1796
TOTE		Trick Common Law Deports 17 1- 1040 1000
I. C. L. R I. Ch. R	• •	Irish Common Law Reports, 17 vols., 1849—1866 Irish Chancery Reports, 17 vols., 1850—1867
I. Eq. B	• •	Irish Equity Reports, 13 vols., 1838—1851
I. L. R	• •	Irish Law Reports, 13 vols., 1838—1851
		- · · · · ·

I. L. T. I. R. (preceded by date) I. R. C. L. I. R. Eq. Ir. Circ. Cas. Ir. Jur. Ir. L. Rec. 1st ser.	Irish Law Times, 1867—(current) Irish Reports, since 1893 (e.g. [1894] 1 I. R.) Irish Reports, Common Law, 11 vols., 1866—1877 Irish Reports, Equity, 11 vols., 1866—1877 Irish Circuit Cases, 1 vol., 1841—1843 Irish Jurist, 18 vols., 1849—1866 Law Recorder (Ireland) 1st series, 4 vols., 1827—1831
Ir. L. Rec. (N. 8.)	Law Recorder (Ireland) New Series, 6 vols., 1833— 1838
Irv	Irvine's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 5 vols., 1852— 1867
J. Bridg	Sir John Bridgman's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1613—1621
J. P	Justice of the Peace, 1837—(current)
J. Shaw, Just	J. Shaw's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 1 vol., 1848 —1852
Jac	Jacob's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1821—1823
Jac. & W	Jacob and Walker's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1819 —1821
Jebb, C. C	Jebb's Crown Cases Reserved (Ireland), 1 vol., 1822
Jebb & B	—1840 Jebb and Bourke's Reports, Queen's Bench (Ireland), 1 vol., 1841—1842
Jebb & S	Jebb and Symes' Reports, Queen's Bench (Ireland), 2 vols., 1838—1841
Jenk	Jenkins' Reports, 1 vol., 1220—1623
Jo. & Car	Jones and Carey's Reports, Exchequer (Ireland), 1 vol., 1838—1839
Jo. & Lat	Jones and La Touche's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 3 vols., 1844—1846
Jo. Ex. Ir	T. Jones' Reports, Exchequer (Ireland), 2 vols., 1834 —1838
John	Johnson's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1858—1860
John. & H	Johnson and Hemming's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1860—1862
Jur Jur. (n. 8.)	Jurist Reports, 18 vols., 1837—1854 Jurist Reports, New Series, 12 vols., 1855—1867
Just. Inst.	Justinian's Institutes
K. & G	Keane and Grant's Registration Cases, 1 vol., 1854—
K. & J	1862 Kay and Johnson's Reports, Chancery, 4 vols., 1853—1858
K. B. (preceded by date)	Law Reports, King's Bench Division, since 1900 (e.g., [1901] 2 K. B.)
Kames, Dict. Dec	Kames, Dictionary of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 2 vols., 1540—1741
Kames, Rem. Dec	Kames, Remarkable Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 2 vols., 1716—1752
Kames, Sel. Dec	Kames, Select Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 1 vol., 1752—1768
Kay	Kay's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1853—1854
Keb Keen	Keble's Reports, fol., 3 vols., 1661—1677 Keen's Reports, Rolls Court, 2 vols., 1836—1838
Keil	Keilwey's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1327—
Kel	1578 Sir John Kelyng's Reports, Crown Cases, fol., 1 vol.,
Kel. W	W. Kelynge's Reports, fol., 1 vol., Chancery, 1730—
Keny	1732; King's Bench, fol., 1731—1734 Kenyon's Notes of Cases, King's Bench, 2 vols.,
mony,	1753—1759

xxxvi

Кепу. (сн.)	Chancery Cases in Vol. II. of Kenyon's Notes of
Kilkerran	Cases, 1753—1754 Kilkerran's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland),
Кпарр	fol., 1 vol., 1738—1752 Knapp's Reports, Privy Council. 3 vols., 1829—1836
Kn. & Omb	Knapp and Ombler's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1834—1835
I. A L. & G. temp. Plunk	Lord Advocate. Lloyd and Goold's Reports temp. Plunkett, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1834—1839
L. & G. temp. Sugd	Lloyd and Goold's Reports temp. Sugden, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1835
L. & Welsb	Lloyd and Welsby's Commercial and Mercantile Cases, 1 vol., 1829—1830
<u>L</u> . <u>G</u> . <u>R</u>	Local Government Reports, 1902—(current)
L. J	Law Journal, 1866—(current)
L. J. (ADM.) L. J. (BCY.)	Law Journal, Admiralty, 1865—1875 Law Journal, Bankruptey, 1832—1880
L. J. (CH.)	Law Journal, Chancery, 1822—(current)
L. J. (c. p.)	Law Journal, Common Pleas, 1822—1875
L. J. (ECCL.)	Law Journal, Ecclesiastical Cases, 1866—1875
L. J. (EX.)	Law Journal, Exchequer, 1830—1875
L. J. (EX. EQ.)	Law Journal, Exchequer in Equity, 1835—1841
L. J. (K. B. or Q. B.)	Law Journal, King's Bench or Queen's Bench, 1822—(current)
L. J. (M. C.) L. J. N. C	Law Journal, Magistrates' Cases, 1826—1896 Law Journal, Notes of Cases, 1866—1892 (from 1893,
L. J. N. C	see Law Journal).
L. J . (o. s.)	Law Journal, Old Series, 10 vols., 1823—1831
L. J. (P.)	Law Journal, Probate, Divorce and Admiralty, 1875 —(current)
L. J. (P. & M.)	Law Journal, Probate and Matrimonial Cases, 1858— 1859, 1866—1875
L. J. (P. C.)	Law Journal. Privy Council, 1865—(current)
L. J. (P. M. & A.)	Law Journal, Probate, Matrimonial and Admiralty, 1860—1865
L. M. & P	Lowndes, Maxwell, and Pollock's Reports, Bail Court and Practice, 2 vols., 1850—1851
L. R	Law Reports
L. R. A. & E	Law Reports, Admiralty and Ecclesiastical Cases, 4 vols., 1865—1875
L. R. C. C. R	Law Reports, Crown Cases Reserved, 2 vols., 1865— 1875
L. R. C. P	Law Reports, Common Pleas, 10 vols., 1865—1875
L. R. Eq L. R. Exch	Law Reports, Equity Cases, 20 vols., 1865—1875 Law Reports, Exchequer, 10 vols., 1865—1875
L. R. Exch L. R. H. L	Law Reports, English and Irish Appeals and Peerage
L. R. Ind. App	Claims, House of Lords, 7 vols., 1866—1875 Law Reports, Indian Appeals, Privy Council, 1873—
L. R. Ind. App. Supp.	(current) Law Reports, Indian Appeals, Privy Council,
Vol.	Supplementary Volume, 1872—1873
L. R. Ir	Law Reports (Ireland), Chancery and Common Law, 32 vols., 1877—1893
L. R. P. C	Law Reports, Privy Council, 6 vols., 1865—1875
L. R. P. & D	Law Reports, Probate and Divorce, 3 vols., 1865— 1875
I., R. Q. B	Law Reports, Queen's Bench, 10 vols., 1865—1875
L. R. Sc. & Div	Law Reports, Scotch and Divorce Appeals, House of Lords, 2 vols., 1866—1875
I. T	Law Times Reports, 1859—(current)
L. T. Jo	Law Times Newspaper, 1843—(current)
L. T. (o. s.)	Law Times Reports, Old Series, 34 vols., 1843—1860

Lane	Lane's Reports, Exchequer, fol., 1 vol., 1605—1611 Latch's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1625—1628
Laws. Reg. Cas. Ld. Raym	 Lawson's Registration Cases, 1885—(current) Lord Raymond's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, 3 vols., 1694—1732
Leach Lee	Leach's Crown Cases, 2 vols., 1730—1814 Sir G. Lee's Ecclesiastical Judgments, 2 vols., 1752—
Lee temp. Hard.	1758 T. Lee's Cases temp. Hardwicke, King's Bench, 1 vol., 1733—1738
Le. & Ca	Leigh and Cave's Crown Cases Reserved, 1 vol., 1861 —1865
Leon	Leonard's Reports, King's Bench, Common Pleas and Exchequer, fol., 4 parts, 1552—1615
Lev	. Levinz's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 3 vols., 1660—1696
Lew. C. C	Lewin's Crown Cases on the Northern Circuit, 2 vols., 1822—1838
	Ley's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1608—1629
Lib. Ass Lilly	 Liber Assisarum, Year Books, 1—51 Edw. III. Lilly's Reports and Pleadings of Cases in Assize, fol., 1 vol.
Litt	Littleton's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1627
Lofft	Lofft's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1772—1774
Long. & T	Longfield and Townsend's Reports, Exchequer (Ireland), 1 vol., 1841—1842
Lud. E. C.	Luders' Election Cases, 3 vols., 17841787
Lumley, P. L. C. Lush.	Lumley's Poor Law Cases, 2 vols., 1834—1842
Lusn	Lushington's Reports, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1859—1862 Sir E. Lutwyche's Entries and Reports, Common
Lut. Reg. Cas	Pleas, 2 vols., 1682—1704 A. J. Lutwyche's Registration Cases, 2 vols., 1843—
Lynd	1853 Lyndwood, Provinciale, fol., 1 vol.
M. & S	. Maule and Selwyn's Reports, King's Bench, 6 vols.,
M. & W	1813—1817 Meeson and Welsby's Reports, Exchequer, 16 vols., 1836—1847
Mac. & G	. Macnaghten and Gordon's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1849—1852
Mac. & H	Macrae and Hertslet's Insolvency Cases, 1 vol., 1847—1852
M'Cle	M·Cleland's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol., 1824
M'Cle. & Yo	M'Cleland and Younge's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol., 1824—1825
Macfarlane	Macfarlane's Jury Trials, Court of Session (Scotland), 3 parts, 1838—1839
Macl. & Rob.	Maclean and Robinson's Scotch Appeals (House of Lords), 1 vol., 1839
Macph. (Ct. of Sess.)	Macpherson, Court of Session (Scotland), 3rd series, 11 vols., 1862—1873
Macq	Macqueen's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 4 vols., 1849—1865
Macr	Macrory's Patent Cases, 2 parts, 1847—1856
Madd Madd. & G	Maddock's Reports, Chancery, 6 vols., 1815—1821 Maddock and Geldart's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol.,
Madox	1819—1822 (Vol. VI. of Madd.) Madox's Formulare Anglicanum
Madox, Exch	Madox's History and Antiquities of the Exchequer, 2 vols.
Man. & G	Manning and Granger's Reports, Common Pleas, 7 vols., 1840—1845

xxxviii

Man. & Ry. (K	. в.)	•	Manning and Ryland's Reports, King's Bench, 5 vols., 1827-1830
Man. & Ry. (M.	. c.)	••	Manning and Ryland's Magistrates' Cases, 3 vols., 1827—1830
Mans	••	••	Manson's Bankruptcy and Company Cases, 1893— (current)
Mar. L. C.	••	••	Maritime Law Reports (Crockford), 3 vols., 1860—
March	••	••	March's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1639—1642
Marr Marsh		••	Marriott's Decisions, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1776—1779 Marshall's Reports, Common Pleas, 2 vols., 1813—
Mayn	••	••	Maynard's Reports, Exchequer Memoranda of Edw. I. and Year Books of Edw. II., Year Books, Part I.,
Mom			1273—1326 Megone's Companies Acts Cases, 2 vols., 1889—1891
Meg	•	• •	
Mer	• •	• •	Merivale's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1815—1817
Milw	••	• •	Milward's Ecclesiastical Reports (Ireland), 1 vol., 1819 —1843
Mod. Rep.			Modern Reports, 12 vols., 1669—1755
Mol	•	••	Molloy's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 3 vols., 1808—
			1831
Mont	• •		Montagu's Reports, Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1829—1832
Mont. & A.	••	•	Montagu and Ayrton's Reports, Bankruptcy, 3 vols.,
Mont. & B.			1832—1838 Wenterm and Bligh's Deports Bankrunter 1 mil
Mont. & D.	••	• •	Montagu and Bligh's Reports, Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1832—1833
Mont. & Ch.	••	• •	Montagu and Chitty's Reports, Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1838—1840
Mont. D. & De	G.		Montagu, Deacon, and De Gex's Reports, Bank-
Mont. & M.			ruptcy, 3 vols., 1840—1844 Montagu and Macarthur's Reports, Bankruptcy, 1 vol., 1826—1830
Moo. P. C. C.			Moore's Privy Council Cases, 15 vols., 1836—1863
Moo. P. C. C. (N. S.)	• •	Moore's Privy Council Cases, New Series, 9 vols.,
•	•	•	1862—1873
Moo. Ind. App.	• • •	••	Moore's Indian Appeal Cases, Privy Council, 14 vols., 1836—1872
Moo. & P.	••	••	Moore and Payne's Reports, Common Pleas, 5 vols., 1827—1831
Moo. & S.	••	••	Moore and Scott's Reports, Common Pleas, 4 vols., 1831—1834
Mood. & M.	••	••	Moody and Malkin's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1826 —1830
Mood. & R.	••	••	Moody and Robinson's Reports, Nisi Prius, 2 vols., 1830—1844
Mood. C. C.			Moody's Crown Cases Reserved, 2 vols., 1824-1844
Moore (K. B.)	• •		Sir F. Moore's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol.,
Moore (c. p.)			1485—1620 J. B. Moore's Reports, Common Pleas, 12 vols., 1817
Mor. Dict.	••		—1827 Morison's Dictionary of Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), 43 vols., 1532—1808
36			(Scotland), 43 vols., 1532—1808
Morr	••	• •	Morrell's Reports, Bankruptcy, 10 vols., 1884—1893
Mos	••	• •	Moseley's Reports, Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1726—1730 Murphy and Hurlstone's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol.,
Murp. & H.	••	• •	1837
M urr	••	••	Murray's Reports, Jury Court (Scotland), 5 vols., 1816—1830
My. & Cr.	••	• •	Mylne and Craig's Reports, Chancery, 5 vols., 1835
36. 0. 77			—1841 Mulus and Kasala Bananta Chancers 2 mala 1929
Му. & К.	••	••	Mylne and Keen's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1832 —1835

Nels	··	Nelson's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1625—1692 Nevile and Manning's Reports, King's Bench, 6 vols.,
Nev. & M. (M. c.)	٠.	1832—1836 Nevile and Manning's Magistrates' Cases, 3 vols.,
Nev. & P. (K. B.)	••	1832—1836 Nevile and Perry's Reports, King's Bench, 3 vols.,
Nev. & P. (M. c.)		1836—1838 Nevile and Perry's Magistrates' Cases, 1 vol., 1836—
New Mag. Cas	••	1837 New Magistrates' Cases (Bittleston, Wise and Parnell), 2 vols., 1844—1848
New Pract. Cas.	••	New Practice Cases (Bittleston and Wise), 3 vols., 1844—1848
New Rep New Sess. Cas	••	New Reports, 6 vols., 1862—1865 New Sessions Magistrates' Cases (Carrow, Hamer-
Nolan Notes of Cases		ton, Allen, etc.), 4 vols., 1844—1851 Nolan's Magistrates' Cases, 1 vol., 1791—1793 Notes of Cases in the Ecclesiastical and Maritime
Noy		Courts, 7 vols., 1841—1850 Noy's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1558—1649
O Paida		Sin Orlanda Bridannan'a Reporta Common Placa
O. Bridg	••	Sir Orlando Bridgman's Reports, Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1660—1666
0'М. & П	••	O'Malley and Hardcastle's Election Cases, 1869— (current)
Owen	••	Owen's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1557—1614
P. (preceded by date)		Law Reports, Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divi-
P. D		sion, since 1890 (e.g., [1891] P.) Law Reports, Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divi-
P. Wms		sion, 15 vols., 1875—1890 Peere Williams' Reports, Chancery and King's Bench, 3 vols., 1695—1735
Palm	••	Palmer's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1619—1629
Park	••	Parker's Reports, Exchequer, fol., 1 vol., 1743—1766
Pat. App	••	Paton's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 6 vols., 1726—1822
Pater. App	••	Paterson's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 2 vols. 1851—1873
Peake		Peake's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1790—1794
Peake, Add. Cas.	••	Peake's Additional Cases, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1795— 1812
Peck	••	Peckwell's Election Cases, 2 vols., 1803—1804
Per. & Dav	••	Perry and Davison's Reports, Queen's Bench, 4 vols., 1838—1841
Per. & Kn Ph	• •	Perry and Knapp's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1833 Phillips' Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1841—1849
Phil. El. Cas	• •	Philipps' Election Cases, 1 vol., 1780
Phillim	••	J. Phillimore's Ecclesiastical Reports, 3 vols., 1754—
Phillim. Eccl. Jud.		1821 Sir R. Phillimore's Ecclesiastical Judgments, 1 vol.,
Pig. & R	••	1867—1875 Pigott and Rodwell's Registration Cases, 1 vol., 1843
Pitc		—1845 Pitcairn's Criminal Trials (Scotland), 3 vols., 1488—
Dlomd		1624 . Plawdan's Reports fol 2 vols 15501579
Plowd Poll		Plowden's Reports, fol., 2 vols., 1550—1579 Pollexfen's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1670
Poph		—1682 Popham's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1591—
<u>.</u>	- •	1627

Pow. R. & D	Power Redwell and Dow's Flories Come 9 mile
row. R. & D	Power, Rodwell, and Dew's Election Cases, 2 vols., 1848—1856
Price	Precedents in Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1689—1722 Price's Reports, Exchequer, 13 vols., 1814—1824
Q. B	Queen's Bench Reports (Adolphus and Ellis, New Series), 18 vols., 1841—1852
Q. B. (preceded by date)	Law Reports, Queen's Bench Division, 1891—1901
Q. B. D	(e.g., [1891] 1 Q. B.) Law Reports, Queen's Bench Division, 25 vols., 1875—1890
R	The Reports, 15 vols., 1893—1895
R. (Ct. of Sess)	Rettie, Court of Session Cases (Scotland), 4th series, 25 vols., 1873—1898
R. P. C	Reports of Patent Cases, 1884—(current)
R. R	Revised Reports Rules of the Supreme Court
Rast	Rastell's Entries
Rayn	Rayner's Tithe Cases, 3 vols., 1575—1782
Rep. Ch	Real Property Cases, 2 vols., 1843—1847 Reports in Chancery, fol., 3 vols., 1615—1710
Rick. & M	Rickards and Michael's Locus Standi Reports, 1 vol., 1885—1889
Rick. & S	Rickards and Saunders' Locus Standi Reports, 1 vol., 1890—1894
Ridg. temp. H	Ridgeway's Reports, temp. Hardwicke, 1 vol., King's Bench, 1733—1736; Chancery, 1744—1746.
Ridg. L. & S	Ridgeway, Lapp, and Schoales' Reports (Ireland), 1 vol., 1793—1795
Ridg. Parl. Rep	Ridgeway's Parliamentary Reports (Ireland), 3 vols., 1784—1796
Rob. Eccl Rob. L. & W	Robertson's Ecclesiastical Reports, 2 vols., 1844—1853 Roberts, Leeming, and Wallis' New County Court
Robert. App	Cases, 1 vol., 1849—1851 Robertson's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 1 vol. 1709—1727
Robin. App	Robinson's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 2 vols., 1840—1841
Roll. Abr	Rolle's Abridgment of the Common Law, fol., 2 vols.
Roll. Rep	Rolle's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 2 vols., 1614—1625
Rom	Romilly's Notes of Cases in Equity, 1 part, 1772— 1787
Rose Ross, L. C	Rose's Reports, Bankruptcy, 2 vols., 1810-1816 Ross's Leading Cases in Commercial Law (England
Rowe	and Scotland), 3 vols. Rowe's Reports (England and Ireland), 1 vol., 1798—
Rul. Cas	1823 Campbell's Ruling Cases, 25 vols.
Russ	Russell's Reports, Chancery, 5 vols., 1824—1829
Russ. & M	Russell and Mylne's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1829 —1833
Russ. & Ry	Russell and Ryan's Crown Cases Reserved, 1 vol., 1800—1823
Ry. & Can, Cas	Railway and Canal Cases, 7 vols., 1835-1854
Ry. & Can. Tr. Cas.	Railway and Canal Traffic Cases, 1855—(current)
Ry. & M	Ryan and Moody's Reports, Nisi Prius, 1 vol., 1823 —1826
s. c	Same Case
S. C. (preceded by date)	Court of Session Cases (Scotland), since 1906 (e.g.,
	[1908] S. C.)
SG Salk	Solicitor-General Salkeld's Reports, King's Bench, 3 vols., 1689—1712
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Sau. & Sc	••	Sausse and Scully's Reports, Rolls Court (Ireland), 1 vol., 1837—1840
Saund Saund. & A	••	Saunders's Reports, King's Bench, 2 vols., 1666—1672 Saunders and Austin's Locus Standi Reports, 2 vols.,
Saund. & B		1895—1904 Saunders and Bidder's Locus Standi Reports, 1905—
Saund. & C		(current) Saunders and Cole's Reports, Bail Court, 2 vols., 1846
Saund. & M		—1848 Saunders and Macrae's County Courts and Insolvency Cases (County Courts Cases and Appeals, Vols. II.
Sav		and III.), 2 vols., 1852—1858 Savile's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1580— 1591
Say	••	Sayer's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1751—1756
Sc. Jur		Scottish Jurist, 46 vols., 1829—1873
	• •	
Sc. L. R	• •	Scottish Law Reporter, 1865—(current)
Sch. & Lef	••	Schoales and Lefroy's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 2 vols., 1802—1806
Sc. R. R		Scots Revised Reports
Scott	• • •	Scott's Reports, Common Pleas, 8 vols., 1834—1840
Secret for Tal		Scott's New Reports, Common Pleas, 8 vols., 1840—
Scott (N. R.)	••	1845
Sea. & Sm	••	Searle and Smith's Reports, Probate and Divorce, 1 vol., 1859—1860
Sel. Cas. Ch	• •	Select Cases in Chancery, fol., 1 vol., 1685—1698 (Pt. III. of Cas. in Ch.)
Sess. Cas. (K. B.)	••	Sessions Settlement Cases, King's Bench, 2 vols., 1710—1747
Sh. & Mael		Shaw and Maclean's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 3 vols., 1835—1838
Sh. (Ct. of Sess.)	. •	Shaw, Court of Session Cases (Scotland), 1st series, 16 vols., 1821—1838
Sh. Dig	• •	P. Shaw's Digest of Decisions (Scotland), ed. by Bell and Lamond, 3 vols, 1726—1868
Sh. Just	••	P. Shaw's Justiciary Decisions (Scotland), 1 vol., 1819—1831
Sh. Sc. App	••	P. Shaw's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 2 vols., 1821—1824
Sh. Teind Ct	• •	P. Shaw's Teind Court Decisions (Scotland), 1 vol., 1821—1831
Shep. Touch		Sheppard's Touchstone of Common Assurances
Show	• •	Shower's Reports, King's Bench, 2 vols., 1678—1695
Show. Parl. Cas.		Shower's Cases in Parliament, fol., 1 vol., 1694—1699
Sid		Siderfin's Reports, King's Bench, Common Pleas,
		and Exchequer, fol., 2 vols., 1657—1670
Sim		Simons' Reports, Chancery, 17 vols., 1826—1852
Sim. (N. 8.)		Simons' Reports, Chancery, New Series, 2 vols.,
Sim. & St	• •	1850—1852 Simons and Stuart's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1822 —1826
Skin		Skinner's Reports, King s Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1681—1697
Sm. & Bat		Smith and Batty's Reports, King's Bench (Ireland), 1 vol., 1824—1825
Sm. & G	••	Smale and Giffard's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1852
Smith, K. B	••	J. P. Smith's Reports, King's Bench, 3 vols., 1803—1806
Smith, L. C		Smith's Leading Cases, 2 vols.
	• •	C. L. Smith's Registration Cases, 1895—(current)
Smith, Reg. Cas.	• •	Swathe's Reports Common Diese (Trained) 1 1
Smythe	••	Smythe's Reports, Common Pleas (Ireland), 1 vol., 1839—1840

ABBREVIATIONS.

Sol. Jo Spinks Stair Rep		Solicitors' Journal, 1856—(current) Spinks' Prize Court Cases, 2 parts, 1854—1856 Stair's Decisions, Court of Session (Scotland), fol., 2 vols., 1661—1681
Stark	· ··	Starkie's Reports, Nisi Prius, 3 vols., 1814—1823 State Trials, 34 vols., 1163—1820 State Trials, New Series, 8 vols., 1820—1858 Strange's Reports, 2 vols., 1716—1747
Stu. M. & P Sty		Stuart, Milne, and Peddie's Reports (Scotland), 2 vols., 1851—1853 Style's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1646—
_	• ••	1655
Sw. & Tr.		Swabey's Reports, Admiralty, 1 vol., 1855—1859 Swabey and Tristram's Reports, Probate and Divorce, 4 vols., 1858—1865
Swan Swin	-	Swanston's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1818—1821 Swinton's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 2 vols., 1835 —1841
Syme	••	Syme's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 1 vol., 1826—1829
T. & M		Temple and Mew's Criminal Appeal Cases, 1 vol., 1848—1851
T. Jo		Sir T. Jones's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1669—1684
T. L. R		The Times Law Reports, 1884—(current) Sir T. Raymond's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1660—1683
Taml Taunt		Tamlyn's Reports, Rolls Court, 1 vol., 1829—1830 Taunton's Reports, Common Pleas, 8 vols., 1807— 1819
Tax Cas Term Rep.		Tax Cases, 1875—(current) Term Reports (Durnford and East), fol., 8 vols., 1785 — 1800
Toth. Trist. Tudor, L. C. Mer		Tothill's Transactions in Chancery, 1 vol., 1559—1646 Tristram's Consistory Judgments, 1 vol., 1873—1892 Tudor's Leading Cases on Mercantile and Maritime
	_	Law
Tudor, L. C. Real	-	Tudor's Leading Cases on Real Property Turner and Russell's Reports, Chancery, 1 vol., 1822 —1825
Tyr. & Gr.		Tyrwhitt's Reports, Exchequer, 5 vols., 1830—1835 Tyrwhitt and Granger's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol. 1835—1836
Vaugh		Vaughan's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1666 —1673
Vent	• ••	Ventris' Reports (Vol. I., King's Bench; Vol. II., Common Pleas), fol., 2 vols., 1668—1691
Vern. & Scr.		Vernon's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1680—1719 Vernon and Scriven's Reports, King's Bench (Ireland), 1 vol., 1786—1788
Ves. & B.		Vesey Jun.'s Reports, Chancery, 19 vols., 1789—1817 Vesey and Beames's Reports, Chancery, 3 vols., 1812 —1814
Ves. Sen Vin. Abr	• • •	Vesey Sen.'s Reports, 2 vols., 1747—1756 Vinor's Abridgment of Law and Faulty fel. 22 role
Vin. Supp.	• ••	Viner's Abridgment of Law and Equity, fol., 22 vols. Supplement to Viner's Abridgment of Law and Equity, 6 vols.
W. Jo	••	Sir W. Jones's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1620—1640
W. N. (preceded b	oy date)	Law Reports, Weekly Notes, 1866—(current) (e.g. [1866] W. N.)

ABBREVIATIONS.

W. R		Weekly Reporter, 54 vols., 1852—1906 Wallis's Reports, Chancery (Ireland), 1 vol., 1766— 1791
Web. Pat. Cas Welsh, Reg. Cas. Went. Off. Ex West West temp. Hard.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Webster's Patent Cases, 2 vols., 1602—1855 Welsh's Registry Cases (Ireland). 1 vol., 1832—1840 Wentworth's Office and Duty of Executors West's Reports, House of Lords, 1 vol., 1839—1841 West's Reports temp. Hardwicke, Chancery, 1 vol.,
West. Tithe Cas.		1736—1740 Western's London Tithe Cases, 1 vol., 1592—1822 White's Justiciary Reports (Scotland), 3 vols., 1886 —1893
White & Tud. L. Wight. Will. Woll. & Da		White and Tudor's Leading Cases in Equity, 2 vols. Wightwick's Reports, Exchequer, 1 vol., 1810—1811 Willmore, Wollaston, and Davison's Reports, Queen's Bench and Bail Court, 1 vol., 1837
Will. Woll. & H.		Willmore, Wollaston, and Hodges' Reports, Queen's Bench and Bail Court, 2 vols., 1838—1839
Willes	-	Willes' Reports, Common Pleas, 1 vol., 1737—1758 Wilmot's Notes of Opinions and Judgments, 1 vol., 1757—1770
Wils	•	G. Wilson's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 3 vols., 1742—1774
Wils. & S	• ••	Wilson and Shaw's Scotch Appeals, House of Lords, 7 vols., 1825—1835
Wils. (CH.) Wils. (EX.)	•	J. Wilson's Reports, Chancery, 2 vols., 1818—1819 J. Wilson's Reports, Exchequer in Equity, 1 part, 1817
Win	• ••	Winch's Reports, Common Pleas, fol., 1 vol., 1621—
Wm. Bl	•	William Blackstone's Reports, King's Bench and Common Pleas, fol., 2 vols., 1746—1779
Wm. Rob. Wms. Saund.		William Robinson's Reports, Admiralty, 3 vols., 1838 —1850 Williams' Notes to Saundors' Paparts, 2 vols.
Wolf. & B.	•	Williams' Notes to Saunders' Reports, 2 vols. Wolferstan and Bristowe's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1859—1864
Wolf. & D.	• ••	Wolferstan and Dew's Election Cases, 1 vol., 1857— 1858
Wood		Wollaston's Reports, Bail Court and Practice, 1 vol., 1840—1841
Y. & C. Ch. Cas.	• ••	Wood's Tithe Cases, Exchequer, 4 vols., 1650—1798 Younge and Collyer's Reports, Chancery Cases,
Y. & C. (Ex.) .		2 vols., 1841—1843 Younge and Collyer's Reports, Exchequer in Equity,
Y. & J		4 vols., 1834—1842 Younge and Jervis' Reports, Exchequer, 3 vols., 1826—1830
Valv		Year Books Yelverton's Reports, King's Bench, fol., 1 vol., 1602
You	• ••	—1613 Younge's Reports, Exchequer in Equity 1 vol., 1830 —1832

		PAGE
4 Edw. 1, stat. 1.	(Extenta Manerii)	149
13 Edw. 1, stat. 4.	(Circumspecte Agatis)	429
35 Elw. 1, stat. 2.	(Circumspecte Agatis)	400
9 Edw. 2, stat. 1, c. 1.	(Antiqui Claui) a 0	490
21 Hen. 8, c. 6.	(Mortuguies)	432
23 Hen. 8, c. 10.	(Mortuaries)	400
5 & 6 Edw. 6, c. 4.	(Mortmain)	433
Ja v Eun. U, C. 4.	a 1	422
	s. 1	423
43 Eliz. c. 2.	(Poor Relief Act, 1601), s. 4 (or s. 5 in some ed	ditions of
39 LHZ. C. Z.	the statute)	TITIOUS OI
1 Jac. 1, c. 12.	the statute)	565
	(Panish Passants) - 10	553 421
3 Jac. 1, c. 5.	(Popish Recusants), s. 10	
13 & 14 Car. 2, c. 4.	(Act of Uniformity)	. 420, 421
22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 10.	(Popish Recusants), s. 10 (Act of Uniformity) (Statute of Distribution) (Statute of Frauds)—	. 353, 375
29 Car. 2, c. 3.	(Statute of Frauds)—	110 171 170
	(Statute of Frauds)— 8. 4 8. 17	110, 171, 172
1.1	8. 1/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	229
1 Jac. 2, c. 17.	(Administration of Intestates' Estates), s. 7.	. 353, 375
7 & 8 Will. 3, c. 6.	(Recovery of Small Tithes), s. 2 (Frivolous Suits), s. 8	431
8 k 9 Will. 3, c. 11.	(Frivolous Suits), 8. 8	. 94, 102
4 & 5 Anne, c. 16.	(Princess Sophia, Naturalization)—	
	s. 12	97
	5.10	94
9 Anne, c. 14.	(Private Act), s. 1. (Mortuaries (Bangor etc.) Abolition Act, 1713)	86
13 Anne, c. 6.	(Mortuaries (Bangor etc.) Abolition Act, 1713)	432
Geo. 1, c. 22.	(Criminal Law), s. 7	177
Geo. 2, c. 5.	(Witchcraft Act, 1735)	553
28 Geo. 2, c. 6. 14 Geo. 3, c. 78.	(Blortuaries (Chester) Act, 1755)	3 432
14 Geo. 3, c. 78.	(Fires Prevention (Metropolis) Act, 1774), s. 8	3 191
34 Geo. 3, c. 75.	(Crown Land Revenue), s. 8	143
13 Geo. 3, c. 108.	(Gifts for Churches Act, 1803)	434
	8.1	
	8. 2	
44.0	8.4	435
46 Geo. 3, c. 43.	Gifts for Churches Act, 1803) s. 1 s. 2 s. 4 (Appraisers' Licences Act, 1806), s. 4 (Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808) s. 1 s. 2	162
48 Geo. 3, c. 75.	(Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808).	547
	8.1	547
		548
	8.3	548
	s. 4	548
	8.5	548
		548
	8. 7	
	s. 8	548
	8.9	548
	s. 10 · · · · · · · · ·	549
	8.11	548
	s. 12 · · · · · · · ·	547
	e 13	540

F1 G 0 . 11F	(0:0 •	~,									:	PAGE
51 Geo. 8, c. 115.	(Gifts fo	or Ch	urch	es Ac	et, 18	11)—						435
	8. Z	•					:	:	:		:	435
52 Geo. 3, c. 146.	(Paroch			ers 🛦	.ct, 18	312)-	-					
	s. 1 ss. 1-	-3	:	:	•	•	•	•	•		•	55 5 55 6
	8. 4		•	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	F F 0
	s. 5	•	•	•				•		•		
	ss. 6- s. 10		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	558
	ss. 11,		:	:	:	:	:	•	:	•	:	556 558
	s. 16							•			:	558
	s. 18 s. 20		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	558
55 Geo. 3, c. 68.	(Highwa	iv Ac	t. 18	15)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	558 412
56 Geo. 8, c. 50.	(Sale of	Farm	ing i	Stock	: Act.	1810	3), s	. 1i	:	:	•	23
c. 141.	(Burial	Groui	nd A	ct, 18	316)—	-						
	s. 1 s. 2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	436 436
	s. 3	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	436
	s. 4			•							408,	409,
57 Geo. 8, c. xxix.	(Matron	.1:4	. D			0171						445
58 Geo. 3, c. 45.	(Metrop (Church	Buil	l Fav	Act.	1818) 911):	•	•	•	•	414	53 3 , 431
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s. 33					.	:	:	:	:		437
	s. 34	٠,,	•	•	•	•		•				, 438
	88. 35- 8. 51	-43	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		436
	s. 80	•		:	:	:	:	•	:	:	•	440 416
c. 69.	(Vestries	Act,	181	8), s .	1				:	:	÷	
59 Geo. 3, c. 12. c. 134.	(Poor Re	lief 1	Act,	(819)			•	•	•	•	•	565
C. 102.	s. 34 ss. 35 s. 51 s. 80 (Vestries (Poor Re (Church s. 11 s. 18	Dun.	amg	ACL,	1918) 						431
			:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:	:	431
	8. 22			•		•		•	•			440
	ss. 36- s. 37		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		436,	
	s. 38			:	:	:		:	•	:	437,	439
	s. 39							·	:	:		412
3 Geo. 4, c. 72.	(Church				1822)—						
	s. 1 s. 2	•	•	:	:	•	•	•		•	•	438 438
	88. 2 88. 7	4	•			:	:	•	:	:	:	40-
			•	•		•	•	•	•			437
	s. 26 s. 28		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		440
	s. 29		•	•	:		:	•	:	•	437,	438 439
									•	•	20,,	440
4 Geo. 4, c. 52.	s. 34 (Burial o	f Sni	Sidal	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		440
5 Geo. 4, c. 103.	(Church	Build	ling	Act.	18 2 4)	. s. 1	4	:	:	•		422 439
7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 72.	(Church (Church (Savings	Build	ling .	Act,	1827)	, s. 2	•		:	:	:	414
9 Geo. 4, c. 92. 10 Geo. 4, e. 56.	(Savings	Ban	k Ac	t, 182	28)		•	•	•	•		379
10 000. 2, 4. 50.	(Friendly			ACL,	102	·)—					948	356
	s. <u>5</u>		•	•	•	•	•	:	:	:		000
	8.7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		328	. 335,	354
	s. 8 s. 9		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		, 344, 995	
	s. 10 .		•	•	:	:	:	:	:	3 30.	335, 331,	345 336.
								-	-	,	345,	355
	s. 11 .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	344,	345,
	s. 12 .								_		345,	354 347
	s. 13 .				•	•	•	:	:	:	347,	
	s. 14 .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	345,	389
	ss. 15— s. 16 .		. '		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	348
	J	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	380

TABLE	OF	Sta	TUT	ES.		
Friendly			•	•	-	

xlvii

	PAGI	E
10 Geo. 4, c. 56.	(Friendly Societies Act, 1829)—	_
	я. 18	
	s. 19	
	8. 22	
	s. 23	
	s. 24 · · · · · · · · · · · · 344, 350	3
	8. 25	
	8. 26 349, 354, 397	
	a. 27	
	R. 28	
	s. 29	
	s. 31	9
	s. 32	
	8. 33	
	8. 37	
Il Can A b 1 Will A o BB	Schedule	
1 & 2 Will. 4, c. 38.	(Church Building Act, 1881)—	,
2, 0. 00.	8. 9	G
		è
с. 60.	S8. 17—18	L
2 & 3 Will. 4, c. 75.	(Anatomy Act, 1832)	
C. CX.	(Kensai Green Connectry)	
3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 27.	(Real Property Limitation Act, 1833) 111	L
с. 42.	(Civil Procedure Act, 1833)— 8.3	
	8.3	
	s. 16	
	s. 28	L
4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40.	(Friendly Societies Act, 1834) 325	
	s. 4	
	8.5	
	8.7	
	s. 9	
	8. 12	
c. 76.	(Poor Law Amendment Act, 1834)—	
	s. 21	
	B. 23	
5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 50.	8. 109	Ė
0 a 0 Will. 4, C. 50.	(Highway Act, 1835)— s. 1	2
	8. 46	
c. 69.	(Union and Parish Property Act, 1835) 443, 565	5
	8. 70	
. PA	8. 9	
c. 76. 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32.	(Municipal Corporations Act, 1000)	
1 mm. 4, C. 32.	(Building Societies Act, 1836) 323, 324, 348, 353, 356, 379	
	s. 1	
	356, 358, 361, 362	
	8.3 335	5
	s. 4 . 325, 328, 349, 350, 353, 354, 355, 356, 378, 379.	,
	381, 382, 384, 385, 386, 389	
c. 71.	8. 5	
U. /1.	- 0	
	s. 24	
	88. 43—45	
	8. 52	L
	8.64	
- CO	8. 90	
c. 86.	(Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836) 560	
	8. 1	
		•

xlviii

	PAGE
7 Will. 4 & 1 Vict. c. 50.	(Union and Parish Property Act, 1837)
1 & 2 Vict. c. 106.	(Pluralities Act, 1838), s. 131
с. 107.	(Church Building Act, 1838)—
	s. 6
	s. 8
2 & 3 Vict. c. 62.	(Tithe Act, 1839), s. 9
3 & 4 Vict. c. 60.	(Church Building Act, 1840)—
	ss. 2—4
4 to E Wind - 90	
4 & 5 Vict. c. 30. c. 38.	(Ordnance Survey Act, 1841)
5 & 6 Viet. c. 35.	(Income Tax Act, 1841)
c. 45.	(Copyright Act, 1842), s. 6
c. 82.	(Stamp Duties Act (Ireland), 1842), s. 19 162
6 & 7 Viet. c. 37.	(New Parishes Act, 1843)
	8. 22
7 & 8 Vict. c. 85.	(Railway Regulation Act, 1844), s. 19 82
с. 94.	(New Parishes Act, 1844)
	8.7
c. 101.	(Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844)—
	s. 31 540, 542,
	543 s. 56 541, 542
c. 102.	(D. man Cathalian)
8 & 9 Vict. c. 16.	(Roman Catholics)
3 & 7 VICU. C. 10.	8. 41
	Sched. D
c. 18.	(Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845) 176, 461,
	515
	8 . 70
	s . 92
	ss. 95—98
	s. 132
c. 20.	(Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845) 516, 517
	8. 68
	8s. 140—161
	517, 524 8, 143
	8. 143
	s. 154
c. 63.	(Geological Survey Act, 1845)
c. 70.	(Church Building Act, 1845)—
	s. 13 439, 441
	8.14
	ss. 19—21
	8. 24
	s. 25 · · · · · · · · · 414, 431, 436,
e. 76.	(Revenue Act 1841) • 1
c. 118.	(Revenue Act, 1841), s. 1
c. 110.	8. 45
	8. 72
	8. 73
	8. 83
	s. 104 · · · · · · ·
	s. 105 · · · · · ·
	s. 113 · · · · ·
0 to 10 Wint - 00	8. 148 (Purial Service in Charale Act. 1946)
9 & 10 Vict. c. 68.	(Burial Service in Chapels Act, 1846)—
	s. 1
	s. 2
	8.4
	8.5
с. 70.	(Inclosure Act, 1846)—
	s. 6
	5. 8
c. 93.	(Lord Campbell's Act)

		40		_							P	AGE
10 & 11 Vict	. c. 16.	(Comm					•	•		4-7	170	400
			5—88 ·		•	•	•	•		477,		477
		Sche		•	•	•	•		:	•	•	477
		Sche		:	•	•	•		•	:	:	478
	c. 17.	(Water		Clar	1868 /	Act. 1	847)	ss. 28—	34 .	•		517
	c. 34.							, 1847)				195
	c. 65.	(Cemet								513,	514,	524,
		•							•	•		561
		ss. 1	5		•	•						514
		s. 6										507
		ss. 6					•			•	•	515
		s. 9	-	•	•	•	•		•	•		508
		s. 10		•	•	•	•		•	•		, 510
		s. 11		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	
			1-14	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	508
			1—17		•	•	•		•	•	509	516
			3 - 24 $3 - 39$		•	•	•	• •	•	•		517 517
			5—31		•	:	•		•	•	•	518
			834		•	:		• •	:	:	:	517
		s. 29		:	:	:		· :	:	·	•	511
		s. 32		:	:	-			•		559.	560
			2—39				•				•	519
		s. 33							•			560
		s. 34										511
		s. 38		•								511
		s. 39										512
		s. 40										511
			–51		•	•			•			
			3—49		•				•	•	•	521
)—57	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	522
		s. 52		•	•	•			•	•	•	
		s. 57		•	•	•			•	•	•	570
			7—60	•	•	•		• •	•	•	•	523
		s. 58	· 60	•	•	•			•	•	•	466 512
		ss. 55 s. 59		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	466
		s. 62		•	•	•		• •	468	512,	K1R	
		s. 64		•	•	•				-		524
			-68	•		:		· ·	:	•	:	525
		Sched		:	:				•	•	:	520
11 & 12 Vict.	c. 63.	(Public		th Ac	t. 18	48)						487
		s. 83				•						416
	c. 99.	(Inclosi	are A	et, 18	48),	s. 12						130
	c. clxiii.	(City of	Lone	lon S	ewer	s Act,	1848)), s. 110) .			503
12 & 13 Vict.	c. 49.	(School	Sites	Act.	1849) [441
	c. 103.	(Poor L		mend	men	t Act,	1849)	-				
		s. 16	•	•		•		•	•	•		542
19 6 14 77 1		s. 17	٠.	•	• .	·		•	•	•	542,	
13 & 14 Vict.		(Truste	е Арр	ointņ	nent	Act,	(850)	:	•	•		445
14 & 15 Vict.	c. 101.	(Poor L	aw A	mend	meni	Act,	1850)), s. Z	•	•	540,	544
ar at 15 vict.	c. 97.	(Church		aing	Act,	1851))—					441
		8. 24	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	441
		s. 27 s. 28	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	437 441
	c. xci.	(City of	Tond	ion S	•	Ant	1851	99	3g	•	•	503
15 & 16 Vict.	c. 79	(Inclose	iro A	+ 18	591	90	1001,	,, 55. 02	-00	•	:	113
	c. 85.	(Burial	Act	1852)	02),	. 20		•	•	. 4:	30 et	
		8. 2		•		:			Ċ		526,	
		s. 3	:								•	528
		8. 4										526
		s. 5									•	527
		s. 6										528
		s. 7									483,	
		s. 8		•		•					527,	
		s. 9		•	•	•					525,	565
		s . 10		•	•	•		451,	453,	454,	493,	565
H.L.—II	7									,		
	1.									d		

	(D : 1)								PA	GE
15 & 16 Vict. c. 85.	(Burial Ac	et, 1852)	-					451	454,	455
	s. 11 . s. 13 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	401,		457
	8. 14 .	•	: :	:	÷	•	:	·	457,	
	s. 15 .			•	•				479,	
	ss. 16, 1	7.		•	•		•	•		458
	8. 18 .	•		•	•	•			458,	
	s. 19 . s. 20 .	•		•	•	•			475,	
	s. 20 . ss. 21, 2		• •	•	•	•	401,	470,	477,	479
	s. 23 .		: :	:	:	:	:	:	418,	
	s. 24 .	•			•		•			456
	s. 25 .						•		460,	
	s. 26 .	•		•	•	•	460,	461,	483,	
	s. 27 .			•	•	•	•	460	487,	461 405
	ss. 28, 2 s. 30			•	•	•	•		467,	
	s. 31 .	:	: :	:	:	•	:			460
	s. 32 .	•					•	•	469,	
	s. 33 .				•		•		473,	481
	8.34 .	•		•	•	•	•	•		
	s. 36 .	•		•	•	•	•	•	481,	
	s. 37 . s. 38 .	•		•	•	•	•	•	482,	
	s. 39 .	:		:	•	•	•	•	465,	473
	s. 40 .	:	: :	:	:	:	:	:	466,	
	B. 41 .				•	:	·			483
	8.42 .						461,	495,	564,	565
	s. 43 .	•			•	•	•			503
	8. 44 .	•		•	•	•	•	•		537
	8. 49 . 8. 50 .	•		•	•	•	•	•		546
	s. 50 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	481,	53 2
	s. 52 .	•		•	:	•	448.	451.	481,	
	s. 53 .	•			•	•		•	445,	
	Sched	A.					•			445
	Sched.			•	•	•		.:-	539,	
16 & 17 Vict. c. 134.	(Burial Ac	t, 1853)		•	•	•			484,	
	s. 1 . s. 2 .	•	• •	. •	•	•	•	525,	526,	527 528
	s. 3 .	•	• •	•	:	•	•	•		52 6
	8.4.	•	: :	:	:	·	:	:		528
	s. 5 .	•								528
	s. 6 .	•				. • .	. • .			525
	8.7.	•		44	5, 467,	468,				
с. 137.	s. 8 .	la Tomat	. A a t	1852	. 17	•	557,		560,	
17 & 18 Vict. c. 32.	(Charitab (Church F				8. 17	•	•	•		463 437
с. 36.	(Bills of S				·	:	·	3. 4	, 13,	
	8.7.				•		•		•	23
c. 87.	(Burial A	c t, 1854)—							
	s. 1 .	•		•	•	•	•		485,	
	s. 2 . s. 3 .	•		•	•	•	•	•	458,	486
	8.4 .	•	• •	•	:	•	:	•	477,	
	8.5	:	•				•		477,	
	s. 6 .	•								485
	8. 7 .	•			•	•	•	•		485
	8.9 .	•	•		•	•	•	•	485,	
c. 97.	s. 11 . (Inclosure	Ant 19	354) -	10	•	•	•	•	•	487 113
18 & 19 Vict. c. 79.	(Poor (Bu				•	•	•	•	•	110
	s. 1 .	•	,						541,	542
	8.2.								•	545
c. 120.	(Metropol	is Mans	gemen	t Act,	1855)	•	•	191,	195,	
	. 0								446,	
	s. 8 s. 250	•		•	•	•	•	•	502,	
	a. 200	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	410

104 10 77' 4 100	(Descript A.A.	10551					PAGE
18 & 19 Vict. c. 128.	(Burial Act, s. 1	1855)-	-				527, 529
	s. 2 .	: :			:	: :	. 526
	s. 3 .		•		•		453, 454
	8.4. 8.5.		•		•	• •	. 455 . 457
	8. 5 . 8. 6 .		•	: :	•	: :	461, 564
	s. 8 .				•		. 537
	8.9.		•		.:.		, 512, 544
	s. 11 . s. 12 .	•	•	•			474, 477 455, 474
	s. 12 . s. 13 .		• •	: :	• •		474, 476
	s. 14 .						. 468
	s. 15 .				•		465, 466
	s. 16 . s. 17 .	•	•	• •	•		. 468 . 462
	s. 18 .	: :	•	: :	:	: :	529, 530
	s. 19 .				•		. 491
	s. 20 .		•		•		. 492
c. clix.	s. 21 . (Great Nortl	own T	ndon Co	· ·	A a+ 10		. 448 . 513
19 & 20 Vict. c. 55.	(Church Bui	lding	maon Cei Commissi	oners (ransfer	of Pow	
	Act, 185						. 431
	s. 1 ·	٠ .	:		:.		411, 437
c. 97.	(Mercantile	Law A	mendmen	t Act, I	.8 56), s .		. 100
с. 104.	(New Parish	es Act,			•		414, 471
	s. 10 .				:	: :	. 439
с. 112.	(Metropolis	Manage	ement Ac	t, 1856)	, s. 3		. 566
20 & 21 Vict. c. 35.	(City of Lone	don Bu	rial Act,				. 503
c. 81.	(Burial Act,		•	• •		• •	452, 489
	8. 1 . 8. 2 .		•		•	: :	. 457 . 457
	s. 3 .						. 460
	8. 4 .				•		488, 491
	s. 5 .		•	•	•		452, 471
	s. 6 . s. 7 .		•			: :	543, 544 463, 495
	s. 8 .	: :		: :	:	: :	. 531
	s. 9 .						. 452
	s. 10 .		•		•		. 539
	s. 11 . s. 12 .	•	•	•	•	•	. 467 . 467
	s. 13 .	: :		: :	·	: :	. 467
	s. 14 .						. 484
	s. 15 .		•		•		. 562
	s. 16 . s. 19 .		•	• •	•	• •	. 556 477, 478
	s. 10 . s. 21 .	: :		: :	·	: :	. 478
	s. 22 .						. 486
	s. 23 .				•		. 538
	s. 24 . s. 25 .		•		•	• •	. 531
	s. 25 . s. 26 .	: :	•		•		554 , 555
	s. 27 .						. 451
01 4 00 371	s. 30 .			<u>.</u> .	•		. 448
21 & 22 Viet. c. 98.	(Local Gover		•	8)—			40=
	s. 6 . s. 49 .		•		•	•	. 487 484, 489
22 Vict. c. 1.	(Burial Act,	1859)-	_ `		•	•	201, 100
	s. 1 .				•		. 538
99 to 99 Vint - 40	8. 2 .		0) - 11		•		. 448
22 & 23 Vict. c. 43. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 32.	(Inclosure A (Ecclesiastica	ct, 185 1 Court	<i>y)</i> , 8. 14 ta Juriedi	ction A	ct 1880		. 113
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s. 1		· · ·		• 1000	,, 	. 422
	s. 2 .						. 422
	s. 3 .				•		. 422
	s. 4 .		•	•	•	• •	422, 453
						d 2	

						PAGE
23 & 24 Vi		(Ecclesiastical Courts J	urisdiction	Act, 180	30), s. 5	. 42:
	c. 64.	(Burial Act, 1860)	• •			. 489
		s. 1 s. 2	• •			. 488
		s. 3	•	• •		. 498
	c. 142.	(Union of Benefices Act	1860)	• •		. 533
24 & 25 Vie		(Local Government Act			t Act. 18	
21 a 25 vi	c. c. o	8. 21				. 530
	c. 98.	(Forgery Act, 1861)-	•	•		
*	**	s. 36				456, 562
		s. 37				. 562
	c. 100.	(Offences against the Pe	rson Act, 1	1861), s.	3	. 422
25 & 26 Vi	c t. c. 89.	(Companies Act, 1862)-	_			
		8.4	• •			325, 397
		s. 20	• •	• • •		. 329
		8. 164	• •			. 359
		ss. 199—204 .	• •	• •		. 398 . 227
	c. 100.	Table A, clause 10	• •	• •	• •	. 489
	c. 102.	(Burial Act, 1862). (Metropolis Managemen	t Act 186	2)		. 195
27 & 28 Vid		(Revenue (No. 2) Act, 1			•	. 162
w -o	c. 97.	(Registration of Burials			•	
		8.1				. 559
		s. 2				. 560
		s. 3				. 560
		8.4				. 561
		8.5				. 560
		8.6				557, 560
00 4 00 TT		8. 7	. 1005	• •		. 559
28 & 29 Vid	et. c. 79.	(Union Chargeability A		•		E 40
		8. 1 8. 10		• •	• •	. 540 . 541
29 & 30 Vid	at a 90 `	(Sanitary Act, 1866), s.		• •	• •	484, 490
23 & 30 110	c. 96.	(Bills of Sale Act, 1866)	1 9 4	• •	: :	. 3
30 & 31 Vid		(Metropolitan Poor Act,		•	•	
		s. 24				. 542
		s. 32				. 542
	c. 102.	(Representation of the F	eople Act,	1867)		. 176
	c. 131.	(Companies Act, 1867)-				•
		_				. 226
		s. 37	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		. 173
	c . 133.	(Consecration of Church	yards Act,	1867)		. 544
		8.1	• •	•		175, 413
		s. 2 s. 3	• •	• •	• •	. 418
		8.4		• •	: :	. 441
		в. 10 .	• •	•	: :	. 442
		s. 11				442, 528
	c. 135.	(Ecclesiastical Fees Act	, 1867)			. 412
31 & 32 Vio	ct. c. 24.	(Capital Punishment A:		Act, 186	8)—	
		s. 6				. 422
	c. 47.	(Consecration of Church	ıyards Act,	1868)—	•	
		s. 1	• •			. 442
		8. 2	• •			441, 544
		s. 4	• •			. 441
		8.5	• •	• •		. 441
		s. 6 s. 7	• •		• •	. 441 . 441
	c. 109.	(Compulsory Church R	te Aboliti	on Act 1	(888	411, 437,
	O. 100.	Comparisory Charen 10	21001111	J. 21(1) I		463
	c. 117.	(Incumbents Act, 1868))			. 409
	c. 122.	(Poor Law Amendment	Act, 1868), s. 13		. 542
32 & 33 Vic		(Trustee Appointment	Act, 1869)			. 445
	c. 41.	(Poor Rate Assessment		tion Act,	1869)-	-
		s. 7		•		. 449
		s. 15				. 449
	45	8. 19		•		. 449
	c. 62.	(Debtors Act, 1869), s. 1	I3 (2) .			• 62

32 & 33 Vict. c. 71	(Bankr	unter	. A at	196	۵١							159
32 & 33 VICE. C. 71	s. 60			., 100	ره	•	•	•	•	•	:	51
	s. 94		:	:	:	•	•	Ċ	:	:	·	265
c. 94	(New I	arish	es A	cts a	nd C	hurch	Bui	lding	Acts	Ame	end-	
	men'	t Act,	1869	9), s s.				•				440
33 Vict. c. 13	(Survey	Act,	187	0)		•			•			112
33 & 34 Viet. c. 78	(Tramy	ays A	Act,	1870)	-							
	8. 3	· .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	487
		ed. A			٠.,		•	•	•	•	•	487
c. 91	(Clerica					1870)		•	•	•	•	428
34 & 35 Vict. c. 16 c. 33	(Anator				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	405
C. 55 C	8. 1			1)—								453
	s. 2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	448
c. 43	(Eccles	iastica	al Di	ilapid	atior	ıs Act	. 187	'1). s	. 4		·	130
35 & 36 Vict. c. 50.	(Places								•	•	437,	442
	` s. 1							•		442,	443,	
	s. 2											444
	s. 3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		443,	444
	8. 4	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		444
	8. 5		٠,,	. • ,		. :	٠.		٠.	•		444
c. 77.	(Metal		us M	ines	Kegu	lation	Act	, 187	2).	•	•	195
36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.	s. 13 (Supre			۰. T.,	.1:		٠. ١	9721	•	•	•	130
00 a 37 viet. c. 00.	8. 16			•	uicai	uio A	۱ وان	.0107		_	_	435
	s. 17		:	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	·	435
	s. 25		:	•		·		•	97.	267,	268,	269
		(7)							• '	•	•	190
	s. 34			•					•			435
	8. 76	-	•	. •		•	•	•	•	•		435
37 & 38 Vict. c. 42.	(Build	ing S	ociet	ies A	ct, 1	874)	•	•	•	325,	348,	
	8. 2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	325
	8. 4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	201	325,	208	330
	8. 5 8. 7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	324, 325,	925, 997	3020, 308	335
	8. 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	020,	021,	345,	355
	s. 8										325,	
	s. 9							325.	327,	329,		
	s. 10	•						•		• '	325,	
	s. 11	•					•				325,	328
	s. 12		•			. •					328,	
	s. 13		•	823,	327,	348,	349,	355,	356,			
	s. 14		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	352,	374,	
	s. 15		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	324 373
		(1) (2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	373
		(3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	373
		(5)	•	:	·	·	:	:	·	•	374,	
	s. 16						•	•	•	•		332
		(1)								329,	330,	355
		(2)		•			•	•		•	•	356
		(4)	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	356,	
		(6)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	337
		(7)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		355
		(8)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	344,	
		(9) (10)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	381 330
		(12)	:	:	:	•	•	•	:	•	•	337
		(13)		•		•	•	:	·	:	Ċ	361
	s. 17	/		•			324.	327.	329,	331.	343.	
	s. 18						. ′	• '	• '		333,	
	s. 19	•	•	•	•			•	•	. •	332,	376
	s. 20	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•			331,	
	s. 21	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		337,	
	8. 22	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	330,	
	s. 23 s. 24	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	336	342,	345	33/ 380
	s. 24 s. 25	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		342,		
	J. 20	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	501,	U 12,	J. 0,	010

	4D 111				• 0 •						P	AGE
37 & 38 Vict. c. 42	(Buildi		ettes	Act	, 187	4)—				0.40	0.40	000
	s. 26		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	342,	343,	
	s. 27		•			•		•	•	•	•	329
	s. 28	}.							•		337,	36 5
	s. 29	•								342,	353,	375
	s. 30) .									353,	369
	s. 31									338.	339,	353
	s. 32							_	-		354,	
	5. 0-	(1)	Ĭ.		·	·				• • •		324
		(2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	393
		(3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	950	392
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20.4		
		(4)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	394,	395,	
	8. 33		•	•	•	•	.:.	•••	•••	•••	354,	
	s. 34		•	•	•	•	354,	381,	382,	384,		
	s. 35	5 (1)		•	•	•	•	•		•	382,	384
		(2)				•						385
	s. 36	3.							383,	384,	385,	387
	s. 37									• '		379
	s. 38	3.								337.	350,	363
	s. 39		_	_		-		-	-			357
	8. 40		-	•	•	•	394	349	945	355,	380	
	8, 41		•	•	•	•	· .,	012,	010,	000,	000,	37 2
			•	•	•	•	•	240	0 10	970	271	
	8. 42		•	•	•	•	•			3 70,		
	8. 43		•	•	•	•	•	327,	840,	342,		
		edule	•	•		. •	•	•	. •	•	337,	342
c. 57	(Real :	Propert	y Lii	mitat	ion .	Act, 1	1874), s.∵	1.			111
c. 85	(Public	. Wors	hip H	tegul:	ation	Act,	, 187	4), 8	. 8			421
c. 88	(Birth:	s and I)eath	s Res	gistra	ation	Act.	187	1)—			
	`s. 1			. `					´.			564
	s. 17		_	_				-		-	562,	
	s. 18				•	•	Ť.			•	- ,	564
	s. 19		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	564
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	s. 40		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	564
	8. 45		•	٠	•		•	•	•	•	563,	
38 & 39 Vict. c. 9.	(Build	mg Soc	cieties	s Act	, 187	5)	•	•	•			348
	8. 2	•	•	•		•		• .	• .		327,	
c. 55	, (Publi	c Heal	th Ac	et, 18	75)		130,	195,	487,	506,	517,	524
	s. 2											506
	8. 4										410,	552
	ss. 3	2 - 34										507
	s. 13		_	_	_							553
	s. 14	_	_	-	•	Ť.	Ť			506	509,	
	s. 14		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	000,	506,	
	s. 14		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0 00,	566
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	s. 17		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	288
		(1)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	168
		(4)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	279
		79—18		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. .•	517
		82—18	Ü	•	•	•		•	•	•	508,	5 30
	s. 19		•				•					303
	s. 20	8.	•									488
	s. 21	1 (4)										507
		33 <u>—24</u>	4				_		_	_	_	567
	s. 2 8		_	_		-			-	-		567
	s. 31	_		-		•	·	-	•	•	•	487
	s. 31		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	524
	s. 34		•	•	•	•	•	110	404	180	400	
		d. V.	•	•	•	•	•	410,	101	488,	400	400
			•	•	•	•	•	410,	204,	488,	409,	
	Part			•	٠,		•	•	•	•	•	530
c. 6 0		lly Soc	ettes	Act	, 187	o)—						
	s. 15		•	•			•		•	•	•	3 37
	s. 16			• .	•	•	•	•	•			3 39
c. 66	(Statu	te Law	Revi	sion	Act,	1875	5)					565
	`s. 1											524
	s. 25											524
	s. 25										-	524
	s. 25			_			-	-	-	•	•	524
	Sche			-		•	•	•	•	•	•	524
	Sche	41110	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	04E

40 L 00 W. 4 - CO	/Tasal T	·		1075						PA	GE
38 & 39 Vict. c. 83.	(Local I			18/9)—						479
	s. 31	•					•	:	:		479
c. 87.	(Land T	ransf	er Act	t, 187	75)			114	, 144,	364,	372
	s. 83 ((5)		,				•			115
c. 89.	(Public		ks Lo	ns A	.c t, 1 8	375)—	-				
	s. 11					•	•	•	•		479
	s. 55 Sched	т.	•	•		•	•	•	•		479 479
39 & 40 Vict. c. 36.	(Custom		nsolid	ation	Act.	1876	<u> </u>	•	•	•	110
37	s. 104		•	,	•						105
c. 56.	(Commo	ns A	ct. 18	76), 1	s. 2 .						113
c. 61.	(Divided		rishe s	and	Poo	r La	w An	nendm	ent A	Act,	
	187	რ)—									F 4 0
	s. 21 s. 37	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		542 476
	s. 44	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	542
40 & 41 Vict. c. 35.	(Open S	· paces	Act.	1877	; .	•	•	•	•		534
c. 63.	(Buildin	•			·)					348
	ъ. 2	•		• ′				330	, 334,	343,	354
	s. 3	•						•		•	329
	8. 4				•			•	•	•	329
41 h 40 V:	s. 5	•				1070	:		•	-	391
41 & 42 Vict. c. 16.	(Factory							07 .	•	•	552 195
c. 25. c. 26.	(Public (Parlian							on Act	187	٠	183
C. 20.	s. 5		•		-	. 160g			,, 10,	•	176
	s. 14	:	•		:						449
c. 31.	(Bills of	_	Act,	1878)						3,	265
	`s.3									•	4, 7
	s. 4	. 4	1, 6, 8	, 9, 1	l 1, 1 8	3, 16,	17, 1	18, 20,	21, 2	3, 24,	52,
										264,	
	s. 5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		21, 25	
	s. 6 s. 7	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•		14, 15 23, 24	
	s. 8	•	•	•	•		. 31.	45, 46,			
	s. 9	•		•	:					53, 54	
	s. 10									5, 17	
	(1)									45
		2)		•	•	•	•			43, 48	
		3)	•	•	•	•	•	• •	9,	40, 43	
	8. 10	•	1.	•	•	•	•		•		72
	s. 11 s. 12	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	52, 54	75
	s. 13	•	•	•	•	•		: :	•	•	75
	s. 14	:							:	47, 53	
	s. 15	•									, 73
	s . 16										76
	8. 17	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	48
	s. 18	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	٠.,	77
	s. 20	•	•	•	•	•			•	5 , 59	
	s. 22 s. 23	•	•	•	•			• •	•	•	47 4, 52
	s. 24	•	•	:	•	•		• •	•	• 1	4
	Schee	1. A		:	:					:	52
	Scheo										75
to Vist c. 42.	(Tithe	Act,	1878),	s. 1	· .	•					463
12 Viet c. 10.	(Assess	ed R	tes A	ct, 1	879)	•	•	••			449
42 & 43 Vict. c. 31.	(Public										
		DII,	513,	14,	015, 5	16, 5	17, 51	8, 519			
	s. 1								ออย	, 561,	506 506
	s. 2 ($\dot{\mathbf{n}}$	•	•	•	•	:		•	506	509
		2)	•		:		:		•	• • • •	507
		3)	•		•			. :			507
	s. 3	•	•_	• .	•	•.					506
c. 50.	(Bills o	f Sal	e (Ire	and)	Act,	1879			-		4
c. 54.	(Poor I	.aw A	1ct, 18	179),	s. 17	•	•		•	•	476

									PAGE
43 & 44 Vict. c. 41.	(Burial	Laws	Amen	dment	Act,	1880)	. 404	, 421,	422, 424,
	s. 1								544, 545
	s. 1 s. 2	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	424, 425
	s. 2 s. 3	•	•	•	•	•		•	. 425 425, 426
	8. 4		: :	•	:	•	: :	:	. 426
	s. 5								427, 481
	s. 6								426
	8. 7	•			•				. 427
	8. 8	•		•	•	•		•	. 427
	s. 9 s. 10	•	• •	•	•	•		•	. 428
	s. 10 s. 11	•		•	•	•	• •	•	428, 561
	s. 12	:	• •	•	•	•	•	•	428, 563 427, 467
	s. 13				:	•	: :	414.	421, 428
	s. 14							,	. 428
	Sched								. 561
44 & 45 Vict. c. 2.	(Burial	and i	Registra	ation A	cts (Doubt	s Remo	val) 1	
c. 34,	1881),	8. Z .	O					•	. 563
c. 31.	(Metrope	onein	Open a	paces	Act,	1881)			. 534
U. 11.	(Convey		ganu		Frop	erty A	ci, 1001	<i>,</i> —	. 35
	s. 19	• (0)	•	•	•	•		•	26, 42
	s. 20				•	:	•	:	. 42
	s. 59			•					. 89
	s. 60			•				•	. 91
45 to 40 Wint - 10	8. 61		·, ,·	. : .	:			•	91, 99
45 & 46 Vict. c. 19.	(Interme	nts (16	elo de s	se) Act	, 188	2)—			400
	s. 1 s. 2	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	. 422
	s. 2 s. 3		•	•	•	•	• •	•	. 422 . 422
	8. 4			:	•	•	• •	•	. 422
c. 21.	(Places o	of Wor	ship S	ites Ar	nendi	ment A	ct, 1882	2) .	437, 442
	s. 1								. 443
с. 43.	(Bills of	Sale A	ct (18	78) An	iendn	ient A	et, 1882	2) . 3	, 73, 265
	8. l		•	•	•	•		•	. 3
	s. 2 e. 3	•	•	•	•	•	٠.	. :	. 4
	e. o 9. 4		•	•	•	. 91			6, 17, 53 37, 54, 61
	s. 5		:	:	· 21.	23, 24	28. 37	43. 5	54, 61, 72
	8. ổ					21, 23,	24, 25	27. 2	28, 29, 37
		2) .				. ′		•	22, 23
	8.7		•	•	•	. 34,	40, 41,	61, 6	5, 66, 71
		1) .	•	•	•		•	•	68, 69
		2) . 3) .	•	•	٠	•	•	•	40, 69
•		4) .	•	•	:	•	•	•	67, 69
	;	5) .	•	•	:	: :	•	•	. 69 61, 69
	s. 8						31.	45. 4	6, 47, 53
	8.9.					11, 21,			4, 53, 54
	s. 10	• •	•	•	•			•	5, 45, 46
	8 . 11	2) .	•	•	•		•	•	46, 47
	8. 11 8. 12	•	•	•	•		•	٠,	52, 53
	s. 13	•	•	•	:	• •	•		5 49 70
	s. 14	_	•	:	:				5, 42, 70 4, 63, 70
	8. 15			•				. "	. 5, 60
,	8. 16		•	•	•			•	. 76
	8. 17 .	•	•	•	•		•		. 19
	s. 18 .	•	•	•	•		•	•	. 4
	8. 21 . Schedu	· ·	•	•	•		•	•	. 52
c. 50.	(Municipa	al Cor	oratio	ns Act	. 1889	·.	•	•	21, 43
	s. 213 ((5) ·					_		. 501
	8. 242		•				:	:	485, 486
- 70	Sched.	IX.					•		485 486
c. 58.	(Divided	Paris	hes an		r La	w Am	endmei	it Ac	t,
	1882), s. 1	4.	•	•		•	•	. 565

			T.	ABLE	of S	STAT	UTES	3.				1	lvii
			(3 5			n			000\			P.	AGE
45 & 46 Vict.	c. 7	5.		ied Wo (1)	men s	Prop	erty A	Act, 1	882)	•			434
				6—8	:	•	•	•		•	:	•	350
			s. 9		:	:	•			•	÷	·	358
			s. 1		:	•	:		. :	•		•	350
			s. 1							·			350
16 Vict. c. 7.					le (Ire	land)) Act	(187	9) Am	endme	at A	ict,	
			1	883)									4
46 & 47 Vict.	с. :	37.	(Publ	lic Hea	lth 🗚	t, 18	75 (St	appor	t of Se	wers) A	l me	nd-	
			n n	nent Ao	et, 188	33)		•	•	•		•	195
	c.	52.		ruptcy		1883)	_						
			8. 4	(1) (b).	•	•	•		•	•	•	58
			8. 3		•	•				•	•	•	370
				0.	•	•				•	•	•	370
				0 (3)	•	•			• •	•	•	ΚΩ	347 60,
			8.4	4 (iii.)	•	•			• •	•		, 60,	
			0. ·1			•				•	00	, 00,	265
				3 .		:				:	:	•	309
				54.	:	:			•	:	:	Ċ	272
				6 .	•						Ċ	·	51
				149.	•					•			59
				68.				•					252
				ed. II.			•					57,	370
			Sch	ied. III	[,.	•	•	•				•	51
47 & 48 Vict.	c. 4	11.	(Buile	ling So	cieties	Act,	1884) .					348
			8. 2		•			•		•			388
	c. 4	13.	(Sum	mary J	urisdie	ction							
			s. 4		•	•	•			•	•	422,	
												548,	
			8. 5		· · .	: .			• •	•	٠	•	548
	c.			shire I						•	105	400	372
	c.	72.		sed Bu		rouna	s Act	, 100	± <i>)</i> •		195,	423,	
			8. 2		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	533 532
			s. 3		•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	533
			8. 5		•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	532,	
48 & 49 Vict.	c. 4	01			orla ((Cont	exted	Elec	tions)	Act,	188	35),	000
			8. 4									,,,	455
50 & 51 Vict.	c.	32.		i Space	s Act.	1887). s. 4			•	:	533,	
	С.			tments						•			497
	с.			ls of A									16
			s. 1	1.	. ~								76
	c.	58.	(Coal	Mines	Regul	ation	Act,	1887)					195
			s. 3	7.			•			•			130
		59.	(State	ute Lav	v Revi	sion 2	Act, 1	. 887)		•	•	•	431
	c.	71.		ners Ac									
					•	•		•		•	•	•	562
			s. 4		•					•	•	•	562
	_	70	Sci	ed. III	• • • • • •	· •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		•	•	•	562
51 & 52 Vict.	c.	/ 3.	(Copy	ied. III shold A l Gover	ct, 18	8/), B	1000		•		•	407	114
a oz vict.	С.	±1.	(Loca	Gover		t Act	, 1000	,	•	•	•	487,	
			8. 5 8. 6		•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	505 503
	c .	42	/Mort	main a	nd Ch	arital	ia IIa		+ 1889	٠.	•	357,	
	c. l		(Publ	ic Heal	th (R	niblin	ge in	Stree	ta) Ac	t, 1888)	•	001,	195
	٠. ١	-	8. 8		ui (D		Po			0, 10 00)	•	•	177
	c. (32.	(Pref	erentia	Pav	ment	s in	Bank	ruptev	Act,	188	38).	
• • •			8. 2							,		, ,	347
52 & 53 Vict.	с. :	30	(Boar	d of A	ricult	ure A	ct, 18	389).		:	·	114,	
	'	-	8. 2							•		,	112
				2(1)						•			463
				` (c)								•	112
			Sch	ıed. Ì.,	Part 1	I.				•			463
	c. 4	19		tration								282,	
			s. 1		•	•	•	•		•		•	285
			SS.	5, 6	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	285

			DAGE
52 & 53 Vict	. c. 49.	(Arbitration Act, 1889)—	PAGE
		s. 19	. 383
		8. 24	. 383
	c. 63.	(Interpretation Act, 1889)—	
		8.5	448, 4 56
		s. 16 (2)	. 539
		s. 31	. 570
		- 98	. 480 . 476
		(1)	. 468
	c. 69.	(Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act, 1889)-	. 303
		ss. 1—2	. 168
	c. 72.	(Infectious Disease (Notification) Act, 1889)—	
		8.6	552 , 553
		8.7	. 553
FO # F # 37:-4	- K	8. 16	. 551
53 & 54 Vict	. c. b.	(Lunacy Act, 1890)— s. 258.	- 4 -
		959	. 545
		970	. 545 . 546
		88. 286—291	. 545
		8. 297	. 545
			. 546
	c. 15.	(Open Spaces Act. 1890)	. 534
	c. 19.	(Trustee Appointment Act, 1890).	. 445
	c. 21.	(Inland Revenue Act. 1890), s. 21 (1)	. 162
	c. 34.	(Infectious Diseases Prevention Act, 1890)	. 550
		8.2	. 552
		ss. 2, 3	. 551
		a 8	. 550
		8.9	. 551 . 552
		s. 10	551, 552
		s. 16	. 552
		s. 18	. 552
	c. 89.	(Partnership Act, 1890)—	
		8.2	. 267
		88. 5—8	. 174
	c. 51. c. 53.	(Statute Law Revision Act (No. 2), 1890), s. 9 (Bills of Sale Act, 1890)	. 432
	U. 00.		. 3
		8. 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 9 . 19
	c. 59.	(Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1890)	705
	c. 63.	(Companies Winding-up Act, 1890)	. 195
		s. 1 (1)	. 395
		$(2) \qquad . \qquad $. 395
		$\mathcal{L}^{(3)}$. 395
		8. 10	. 337
		s. 14	. 394
	c. 71.	(Bankmenton Act 1900) a 9 (10) (17)	. 395
54 & 55 Vict.		(Stamp Act, 1891)—	55, 69
		ss. 1 64	. 575
		Sched. I.	575
	c. 35.	(Bills of Sale Act, 1891)	. 3
		s. l	9, 19
	c. 89.	(Stamp Act, 1891)—	- 7
		ss. 1, 64	. 557
		s. 15 (2) (c), (d)	. 76
		8. 24	. 163
		s. 41	. 76
		s. 86	. 104
		s. 86 (1) (e), (d)	. 104 . 103
		s. 89	. 372
		Sched. I 103, 104	, 163, 170
	c. 43.	(Forged Transfers Act, 1891)	. 380
	c. 65.	(Lunacy Act, 1891), s. 22	. 546

										_	
** 1 ** 37* 1 . 20	() Comtract	.:	a Cha	-i+abla	TTage /	٠ مه ١	10011				435
54 & 55 Vict. c. 73. c. 76.	(Mortma (Public							•	•	433,	100
Ç. 10.	8. 55		-		, 10		_				550
	F /2							•	•		550
	s. 58										550
	s. 72					•	•		•	•	550
	8. 73		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	550
	s. 88		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	566
	s. 89	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	549 567
	s. 90 s. 91		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	567
	s. 91 s. 92		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	567
	s. 93		•	•	•	:	:	•	•	·	567
	s. 105	(2) (c) .		•					•	567
	s. 133										566
	s. 141					•					550
55 & 56 Vict. c. 19.	(Statute	Law]	Revisi	on Act,	, 1892)—					
	s. 1	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	524
	Sched	ule .			\a\ .	•	•	•	•	•	524
c. 36.	(Forged				92), s.	1	•	•	•	•	380
55 & 57 Viet. c. 32.	(Barbed (Indust				Sociat	A	a+ 1	603/	. 30	•	128 351
c. 39. c. 53.	(Truste				BUCIEL	103 V	, 1	000),	5. 00	•	348
C. 30.	8. 1		1000,	:	:	•	:	:	:	:	378
	s. 2	•		•	·	:	·	·	•	•	378
	s. 5 (1)									378
с. 69.	(Saving	s Banl	Act,	1893)-	_						
	`s. 5 ັ			•	•				•	•	378
		1)			•		•	•	•	•	380
		2)		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	380
	Sched			10001	•	•	•	•	•	3/8,	380
c. 71.	(Sale of		-		-					171,	990
	s. 4 s. 18	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1,1,	260
с. 73.	(Local		ment	Act 1	894)	:	•	447	450,	487.	
C. 10.	(Locar	40.011		1100, 1		•	•	,	-00,		556
	s. 5									•	547
		(2)								463,	566
	s. 6	(1) (a)								531,	
		(b)			•	•	•	•	527,	529,	
) (ii.)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	- 7) (iii.)		•	•	•	•	•	4.17	566
		$\binom{1}{2}$		•	•	•	•	•	•	44/,	492 493
		(2) (3)	: :	:	:	:	447	495	531,	532	
		(4)		•	:	÷				492,	
		(5)				•	:	:		493,	
		(6)			•					•	497
		(7)			•					447,	493
		(8)			•			•	447,	450,	
		(2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	497
	s. 9	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		497
	s. 11	a in		•	•	•	•	•	•	496	498
		(1) (8)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	106	496
	s. 12		•		•	•	•	•	•		498 497
	s. 17			•	:	·	•	•	•	•	557
	s. 19				•		•	493.	498,	531	566
		(6)					•	•	,		498
		(7)								463,	498
		(9)			•	•	. • .			•	498
			•		•	•	447,	494,	504,	505,	
	s. 21		•		400	K00	FO-	F 0.7			491
	s. 33	•	•	. 403	3, 490,	0UZ,	505,	527,	ə30 ,		
	s. 35		_	_							, 566
	s. 33 s. 37	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	491 496
	s. 49		•		•	:	•	•	•	•	490

į

56 & 57 Wint a 79	(Tabul Cours			100					I	AG K
56 & 57 Vict. c. 73.	(Local Gover				1)—					449
	8. 52 (ii.)	•	•	•		•	•	400	404	443 504
	s. 53 (1)	•	•				4 47	, 4 56	400	504 504
	(2)	•	•							
	(3)	•	•	•		-	•	•	400	504
	(4)	· ·	•	•			•	•		, 505
	- 57	(2)	•	•		•	•	•	•	494
	s. 57 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	499
	B. 58 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	400	488
	s. 62 .	•	•	•		•	•	•		490
	(1)	•				•	•	•		, 504
	(2)	•	•	•		•	•	•		451
	ss. 67—70	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	503
	s. 75 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	505
	s. 81 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	503
	s. 84 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	498
	ss. 85—88	Ď., 4.1	;	•		•	•	•	•	503
	Sched. I.,			•		•	•	•	•	493
F7 6 F0 W-4 - 40		Part 1		•		•	•	•	•	499
57 & 58 Vict. c. 46.	(Copyhold A	ct, 18	94)			•	•	•	•	144
	R. 5 .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	114
	s. 52 (2)	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	114
	(3)	•	•	• •			•	•	•	114
.=	(4)	•	٠	• :		•	•	• •	•	144
c. 47.	(Building So	cieties	a Act,	1894		•	•			400
	8. 1	•	•	• •		•	•	332,		373
	(b)	•	•	•		•	•	•		358
	(c)	•		. ,		•	•	•		358
	(q)	•	•	•		•	•	•	356,	357
	(e)	•	•			•	•	•	•	36 6
	8. 2		•			•	•	•	•	324
	(1)	•	•			•	•	•		389
	(2)	•	•			•	•		344,	389
	(3)	•	•			•	•	•		389
	(4)					•	•	•		389
	s. 3		•				•	•	•	344
	88. 4—7	•						•	•	324
	8. 4 (1)	•				•		•	•	39 0
	(2)	•				•	•		•	390
	(3)	•	•			•			•	39 0
	(4)	•	•			•	•	•		39 0
	s. 5 (1)	•	•			•			3 55,	390
	(2) (c)		• .			•	•	•		39 6
	(d)									39 0
	(3)		•			•				39 0
	(5)	•					•		355,	391
	s. 6 (1)						•			39 7
	(2)									397
	(3)		. ,					•	•	39 7
	(4)									397
	(5)	•								39 7
	s. 7 (1)									394
	(2)	•	•							394
	(3)					•	•			394
	s. 8 .					•	•		•	395
	s. 9 .					•	•		•	39 3
	ss. 9—11					•	•		-	394
	s. 10 ,								393,	
	s. 11 .					•	•		3 90,	393
	s. 12 .								335,	
	s. 13 .					•	•			342
	(1)				•					364
	(2)				•	•				364
	(3)		•							341
	s. 14 .				•	•			373,	374
	s. 15 .					•		330,	342,	374
	s. 16 (1) (a) .				•	•	•	•	38C
	` (b) .					•			378
	-									

									F	AG E
57 & 58 Vict. c. 47	(Building So	cieties	Act	, 1894) 				000	040
	s. 18 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		342
	s. 19 . s. 20 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	982	$\frac{391}{387}$
	s. 20 . s. 21 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	310	0, 342	
	(1)	•	•	:	:	:	:			389
	s. 23 .	:	:	:	:	:	:	: :		, 339
	s. 24 .	•	•		•					340
	s. 25 .								324,	325
	(1)								355,	389
	(2)	•	•			•			325	, 345
	s. 27 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	
	8. 28	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	340
	Sched. II.		•	•	•	•	•	• •	220	340
c. 56.	Sched. III (Statu te La v		iuian	Aat	1804\	<u>.</u>	•	• •	330,	3 39
c. 56.	8. l	. 1101		Act,	10011				418	, 565
	Sched. I.	•	:	•	•	:	•	: :	•	446
c. 60.	(Merchant S	hippi	ng A	ct, 18	94)—		•	•	•	
	s. 31 (1)		•	•						18
	s. 207 (1)									407
	(5)				•	•			•	407
	ss. 260—2	66	•	•	•	•	•		•	407
	s. 742.	<u>.</u> .	÷ .	٠.	•	•	•	• •	•	407
	Sched. I.,	Part.				•	•	• •	•	17
c. cexiii.	/Landon Bu	ildina		orm E		•	•		124	18
c. cexiii.	(London Bu s. 5 (33)	namg	Act	, 1094	,	•	•	• •	-	195 159
59 & 60 Vict. c. 14.	(Short Title:	Act.	1896	; ·	:	•	•		•	324
	s. 2 .		•		:	:	:		436.	445
	Sched. II.						:			445
c. 16.	(Agricultura	l Rate	s Ac	t, 189	6)					475
	s. 3 .			•	•					476
	s. 8 .	•	•			•			496,	498
	8.9 .		٠.,	•	•	٠ _	•		•	466
c. 20.	(Public Heal							• •	•	551
c. 25.	(Friendly So									904
	s. 1 . s. 2 .	•	•	•	•	•		• •		$\frac{324}{324}$
с. 35,	(Judicial Tr	101009	Act	1896)	· . 3	· /11	•	• •	•	393
c. 42.	(Public Wor	ka Lo:	ang A	Act 12	, a. o 1961	(-)		• •	•	479
60 & 61 Vict. c. 37.	(Workmen's							• •	:	572
c. 40.	(Local Gove							1897)		447
	s. 1 (1) (a		. `							499
	(l					•				500
	(0	?)	•	•	•	•			•	499
	(2)	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	499
	(3)	·	:		•		•	٠.;		500
с. 65.	(Land Trans	ier A	t, 18	97)	•		•		1, 364,	
	s. 2 .	•	•	•				• •	•	$\begin{array}{c} 273 \\ 365 \end{array}$
	s. 9 (3) s. 14 (1)	•	•	•	•				•	115
		•	•	•				• •	•	115
c. exxxiii.	(2) (City of Lone (Public World)	don S	ewers	Act.	1897	,		•	503,	
61 & 62 Vict. c. 54.	(Public Worl	s Los	ns A	ct. 18	98), s	. 5			• • • •	479
	(London Bui	lding	Act,	1894.	Ame	$_{ m endm}$	ent A	ct, 189	8) .	134
62 & 63 Vict. c. 14.	(London Gov	ernme	nt A	ct, 18	99)			446	, 447,	504
	8.4 .	•	•	•	•	•	. 4	47, 501	, 531,	566
	(2)	•	•	•	•	•	•			502
	s. 5 (2)	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	503
	s. 10 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	502
	s. 11 . s. 16 (1) (ં	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	547
	8. 16 (1) (6 8. 16 (3)	ر.	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	$\begin{array}{c} 530 \\ 501 \end{array}$
	s. 10 (5) s. 23 .	•	•	•	•	•			•	531
	(3)	:		•	•				547,	
	ss. 29—31	•							•	505
	s. 33 (2)								•	505

	PAG E
62 & 63 Vict. c. 14.	(London Government Act, 1899)—
02 40 00 7 1000 00 111	8. 55 (2)
	Sched. II
	Sched. III
c. 19.	(Electric Lighting Clauses Act, 1899), Schedule, s. 8 . 508
с. 30.	(Commons Act, 1899—
	8. 17
	8. 22
	Sched. I
63 & 64 Vict. c. 15.	(Burial Act, 1909)
	8. 1
	(1)
	(2)
	8. 2
	(1)
	(2)
	s. 3
	(2)
	(3)
	(4)
	(i.)
	(ii.)
	(5)
	(6)
	(7) 483
	s. 4 . 452, 454, 461, 462, 474, 498, 525, 527, 528,
	529, 530, 537, 538, 539, 564
	8. 5
	8.6 462, 508
	8.7 424, 510, 518,
	559
	8.8 425
	8.9 509, 511, 561
	s. 10
	s. 11
	s. 12 . 430, 460, 464, 467, 468, 469, 471, 473, 474,
	481, 482, 513
	8. 13
	Sched. I 452, 525, 527, 528, 529, 530, 537,
	538, 539, 564
c. 48.	Sched. II
C. 40.	(Companies Act, 1900)— s. 7 (1) (b)
	s. 7 (1) (b)
c. 51.	(Moneylenders Act, 1900)
0. 01.	8. 2
	s. 4
с. 62.	(Colonial Stock Act, 1900), s. 2
1 Edw. 7, c. 7	(Finance Act, 1901)
c. 22.	(Factory and Workshop Act, 1901) 195, 572
2 Edw. 7, c. 8.	(Cremation Act, 1902)
•	`s. 2
	8.4
	s. 7 570, 575
	8.8
	s. 13
	8.14
3 Edw. 7, c. 31.	(Board of Agriculture and Fisheries Act, 1903) . 112, 114,
	146, 463
с. 46.	(Revenue Act, 1903)—
	8.6
5 D.l. 7	8. 7
5 Edw. 7, c. ccix.	(London Building Acts (Amendment) Act, 1905). 134, 195
6 Edw. 7, c. 25.	(Open Spaces Act, 1906)
	8.1
	s. 6 · · · · · · · · 534

	TABLE	of	Sta	TUT	ES.]	lxiii
										P	AGE
6 Edw. 7, c. 25.	(Open Spaces	s Ac	t, 19	0 6)—							
	s. 9 .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	534
	s. 10 .				•				•	•	535
	s. 11 (1)—	(4)		•				•			535
	(5)				•	•	•	•			536
	89. 12, 13							•	•	•	536
	8s. 15, 16						•				536
	s. 23 .										533
	(b)										534
	Schedule										533
c. 33.	(Local Autho	ritie	s (T	reasui	y Po	wers)	Act,	1906)—		
	s. 1 .		·		٠.		•		•		489
	(1)										476
c. 34.	(Prevention of	of Co	rrup	tion .	Act,	1906)			168,	303,	339
	s. 1 (1)				•	•		•	•		300
c. 44.	(Burial Áct,	1906	3)							445,	544
	s. 1 .		٠.								464
	s. 2 .									507,	515
	s. 3 .									•	445
c. 58.	(Workmen's	Com	pens	ation	Act.	1906)—				
	` s. 10 .		٠.		• '	•	•				572
7 Edw. 7, c. 50	(Companies .	Act.	1907	7), 8,]	10.						19
c. 53	(Public Heal					t Act	190	7)—			
	s. 3 .							ĺ.			553
	s. 6 .										524
	s. 13 .				•						553
	s. 68 .	·									553
	s. 95 .		-						492.	507,	
a. cxl.	(City of Lone	don ((Úni	on of	Paris	hes) .	Act,	1907)		•	503

TABLE OF CASES.

A.

PA	GE
	118
Abrams, Ex parte, Re Johnstone. See Johnstone, Re. Ex parte Abrams.	
Adames v. Hallett (1868), L. R. 6 Eq. 468; 18 L. T. 789	86
Adams v. Bateson (1829), 6 Bing. 110; 3 Moo. & P. 339; 7 L, J. (o. s.) (c. p.) 251	98
v. Graham (1864), 33 L. J. (q. B.) 71; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 356; 9 L. T. 606;	50
12 W. R. 282	50
	287
	267
Adlam r. Colthurst (1867), L. R. 2 A. & E. 30; 36 L. J. (ECCL.) 14; 15 L. T. 635.	554
	433
Ador, Er parte, Re Browne & Wingrove. See Brown & Wingrove, Re, Ex parte	
Ador.	
African Co. v. Mason (1714), cited 1 Str. 227	87
Alabama (State of) r. Georgia (State of) (1859), 64 U. S. 505	122
Albert v. Grosvenor Investment Co. (1867), 8 B. & S. 664; L. R. 3 Q. B. 123;	
37 L. J. (Q. B.) 24	66
Albion Mutual Permanent Building Society, Re (1888), 57 L. J. (CH.) 248; 48	
Ch. D. 410. n	400
Alchorne v. Favill (1825) 4 L. J. (o. s.) (CH.) 47; 6 Moore, 202, n	192
Alcock v. Cooke (1829), 5 Bing, 340; 2 Moo. & P. 625; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (c. p.) 126	143
Aldborough Hotel Co., Simpson's Case (1869), 4 Ch. App. 184; 39 L. J. (CH.)	
¹²¹ : 17 W. R. 424	227
Alexander, Ex parte, Re Eslick. See Eslick, Re, Ex parte Alexander.	-
r. Worman (1860), 6 H. & N. 100; 30 L. J. (Ex.) 198; 3 L. T. 477 . 8	845
Alford r. Lee (1586), Cro. Eliz. 54; 2 Leon. 110	84
Allam, Exparte, Re Munday. See Munday, Re, Exparte Allam.	-
	340
v. Urquhart (1887), 15 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 56: 25 Sc. L. R. 47	-
Allard Exparte, Re Simons. See Simons, Re, Exparte Allard.	500
v. Bourne (1863), 15 C. B. (N. s.) 468; 3 New Rep. 42	343
Allen, Exparte, Exparte Page, Re Middleton. See Middleton, Re, Exparte	040
Allen. Ex parte Page.	
- the (1992) 1 I I (0 0) (7 n) 904 9 Down & Dr. (7 n) 96	176
*Ayre (1823), 1 L. J. (o. s.) (k. s.) 204; 3 Dowl. & Ry. (k. s.) 96.	110
r. Hayward (1845), 7 Q. B. 960; 4 Ry. & Can. Cas. 104; 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 99;	01 6
10 Jur. 92	315
t. Thompson (1856), 1 H. & N. 15; 25 L. J. (Ex.) 249; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 451;	
4 W. R. 506	50
v. Yoxall (1844), 1 Car. & Kir. 315	170
Alliance Bank of Simla v. Carey (1880), 5 C. P. D. 429; 49 L. J. (c. P.) 781; 29	
W. R. 306: 44 J. P. 735.	101
Alliance Society, Re (1885), 28 Ch. D. 559; 54 L. J. (ch.) 540; 52 L. T. 695, C. A.	358
T. Davies (1706) Peake Add Cas 89	266
7 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	
1, 320° IN W D 195	5, 9
**************************************	98
	62
Altree r. Altree, [1898] 2 Q. B. 267; 67 L. J. (q. B.) 882; 78 L. T. 794; 47	
	, 36
The street Building Society Re [1896] 1 Ch 89:65 L J. (CH) 113:	
	360
	273
	117
Ambrose v. Dunmow Union (1846), 9 Beav. 508, 515	301
H I. —111	

T 1 (1000) 40 (1 D === 0.00 ==		PAGE
Ambrose v. Kerrison (1851), 10 C. B. 776; 20 L. J. (c. P.) 135	405, 406	3, 407
Amory v. Meryweather (1824), 2 B. & C. 573		86
Amos v. Smith (1862), 1 H. & C. 238; 31 L. J. (Ex.) 423; 7 L. T. 66; 10 W.	R 759	100
Amott v. Holden (1852), 18 Q. B. 593; 22 L. J. (Q. B.) 14; 17 Jur. 318	100	100
1 Dogge & Dogge (1976) 1 Fr. D. 905 . 46 T. T. (m.) 11 OF T. M.		100
Ancona v. Rogers (1876), 1 Ex. D. 285; 46 L. J. (Ex.) 121; 35 L. T. 1		
W. R. 1000	. 5	66, 57
Anderson v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. (1885), 2 T. L. R. 191; 5	5 L. J.	-
(Q. B.) 146; 34 W. R. 189, C. A.		192
v. Martindale (1801), 1 East, 497		
Distribute (1001), I East, 251		91
v. Richards (1906), 70 J. P. 231; 4 L. G. R. 404		176
v. Tuapeka County Council (1900), 19 New Zealand L. R. 1		245
v. Walker (1692), 2 Lut. 1030; 3 Salk. 86		429
	• •	
Indiama Famata De Walla Cas Falla De Walland		481
Andrews, Exparte, Re Fells. See Fells, Re. Exparte Andrews.		
—— r. Belfield (1857), 2 C. B. (N. S.) 779	• (206
v. Cawthorne (1745), Willes, 536		429
v. City Permanent Benefit Building Society (1881), 44 L. T. 6	11	365
1 Lawrence (1865) 10 C B (v. c.) 769		
v. Lawrence (1865), 19 C. B. (N. s.) 768	. 20	0, 281
0. Patriotic Assurance Co. (1886), 18 L. R. 1r. 355		191
v. Smith (1835), 2 Cr. M. & R. 627; 1 Tyr. & Gr. 173; 5 L. J. (1	ex.) 80 .	276
r. Symson (1676), 3 Keb. 504		429
Angell v. Paddington Vestry (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 714; 9 B. & S. 496; 8	17 T. J	
(M. C.) 171; 16 W. R. 1167		
(m. c.) 1/1, 10 W. Iv. 110/	. 41	0, 465
Anglo-Egyptian Co. v. Rennie (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 271; 44 L. J. (c. 1	?.) 130 ;	;
32 L. T. 467; 23 W. R. 626		194
Angus v. Dalton. See Dalton v. Angus.		
Annadale v. Harris (1727), 2 P. Wms. 432; 1 Bro. Parl. Cas. 250 .		85
Anon. (1668), 1 Vent. 9.		59
——————————————————————————————————————		132
—— (1682), 2 Show. 184		429
(1688) 1 Salk 170		93
—— (1717), 1 Str. 95	• •	
		147
(1773), Lofft, 358		122
, cited by Patteson, J., in Wentworth v. Cock (1839), 10 Ad. & El	.45.	271
Anstee v. Nelms (1856), 1 H. & N. 225; 26 L. J. (Ex.) 5; 4 W. R. 612		1, 146
Antisell v. Doyle, [1899] 2 I. R. 275		310
Interior of Device, [1001] 2 L. R. D. SOO. 70 T. T. T. D. SOO. 95 T. M.	200 . 40	
Antoniadi v. Smith, [1901] 2 K. B. 589; 70 L. J. (R. B.) 869; 85 L. T. 9		
W. R. 693; 8 Mans. 335		57, 73
Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651; 36 L. J. (c. p.) 331; 16 L. 7	Ր. 669 .	165,
182, 183, 184, 185, 194, 225,		
Anthorno e St. Aubun (1995) 1 T. T. P. 970	200, 20.	
Apthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 T. L. R. 279	n' '	229
Archer r. Harrison (1857), 7 De G. M. & G. 404; 3 Jur. (N. S.) 194; 21 J.	P. 215.	367
Archer's Case. See North Australian Territory Co., Archer's Case.		
Arlington v. Merricke (1672), 2 Saund. 411; 3 Keb. 45, 59.		. 87
Armitage v. Walker (1855), 2 K. & J. 211; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 13; 20 J. P. 53	90	4, 387
	. 03	
Armstrong v. Cahill (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 440	. :·	. 88
v. Jones (1869), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., V	/ol. 11.,	,
p. 15	. 29	5, 299
v. South London Tramways Co. (1890), 7 T. L. R. 123, C. A.		. 2 19
Arnold, Ex parte, Re Wright. See Wright, Re, Ex parte Arnold.	•	
		045
v. Walker (1859), 1 F. & F. 671	.	247
Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangar River Drainage Board (1880), 6 L. R.	lr. 513.	. 183,
197, 198,	221, 25	1, 252
Ashby v. Harris (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 523; 37 L. J. (M. c.) 164; 18 L. T.	$719 \div 16$,
W. R. 869	T 105	5, 474
Ashton v. Blackshaw (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 510; 39 L. J. (CH.) 205; 22 L.	T. 197;	i
18 W. R. 307		. 17
Ashworth v. Heyworth (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 316; 10 B. & S. 309; 38 L.	J. (м. с.))
91; 20 L. T. 439; 17 W. R. 668		176
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		110
Askew v. Lewis (1883), 10 Q. B. D. 477; 1 Cab. & El. 34; 48 L. T.	.0.	
	534 ; 31	
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312		53
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312		53
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Re, Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30	Ch. D.	53
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Re, Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (CH.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 269,	Ch. D. 270, 27	53 2, 281
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Re, Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (ch.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 209, Athenæum Life Assurance Society v. Pooley (1858), 3 De G. & J. 294;	Ch. D. 270, 27	53 2, 281
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., <i>Re</i> , Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (ch.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 269, Athenæum Life Assurance Society v. Pooley (1858), 3 De G. & J. 294; (ch.) 119; 5 Jur. (n. s.) 129; 7 W. R. 167	Ch. D. 270, 279 28 L. J.	53 2, 281 228
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Re, Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (ch.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 209, Athenæum Life Assurance Society v. Pooley (1858), 3 De G. & J. 294;	Ch. D. 270, 279 28 L. J.	53 2, 281 228
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Re, Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (ch.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 269, Athenæum Life Assurance Society v. Pooley (1858), 3 De G. & J. 294; (ch.) 119; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 129; 7 W. R. 167	Ch. D. 270, 279 28 L. J.	53 2, 281 228
W. R. 567; 47 J. P. 312 Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., <i>Re</i> , Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 216; 54 L. J. (ch.) 460; 53 L. T. 65; 33 W. R. 513, C. A 269, Athenæum Life Assurance Society v. Pooley (1858), 3 De G. & J. 294; (ch.) 119; 5 Jur. (n. s.) 129; 7 W. R. 167	Ch. D. 270, 279 28 L. J.	53 2, 281 228

TABLE OF CASES.

	AGE
Atkinson v. Bradford Third Equitable Benefit Building Society (1890), 25	
Q. B. D. 377; 59 L. J. (q. b.) 360; 62 L. T. 857; 38 W. R. 630,	
C. A	376
v. Fell (1816), 5 M. & S. 240	163
v. Laing (1822), Dow. & Ry. (N. P.) 16	272
v. Leonard (1791), 3 Bro. C. C. 218	101
Attenborough's Case. See Cunningham & Co., Ltd, Re, Attenborough's Case.	0 00
Attiar. Finch (1904), 91 L. T. 70	8, 39
L. G. R. 1071; 21 T. L. R. 471	144
- v. Bower (1800), 5 Ves. 300	117
t. Briggs (1855), 1 Jur. (n. s.) 1084	209
- r. Chambers (1854), 4 De G. M. & G. 206; 23 L. J. (CH.) 662; 18 Jur.	200
779; 2 W. R. 636 108, 118, 120	. 121
- v AG. v. Rees (1859), 4 De G. & J. 55; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 745; 7	,
W. R. 404	108
- t. Conduit Colliery Co., [1895] 1 Q. B. 308; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 20; 71 L. T.	
771; 43 W. R. 366; 59 J. P. 70; 15 R. 267	136
v. Croydon (1889), 42 Ch. D. 178; 58 L. J. (ch.) 527; 61 L. T. 291; 37	
W. R. 648 : 53 J. P. 726	449
- r. Edwards, [1891] 1 Ch. 194; 63 L. T. 639	177
— t. Emerson, [1891] A. C. 649; 65 L. T. 564; 55 J. P. 709, H. L.	149
— r. Hatch, [1893] 3 Ch. 36; 62 L. J. (сн.) 857; 69 L. Т. 469; 57 J. Р.	
825, C. A	178
	, 139
- v. London Parochial Charities Trustees, [1896] 1 Ch. 541; 65 L. J. (CH.)	F 110
242; 74 L. T. 184; 44 W. R. 395	53 3
- v. Manchester (Bishop) (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 436; 15 L. T. 646; 15	, 439
W. R. 673	123
— (Cork County Council) r. Perry, [1904] 1 I. R. 247, C. A	123
r. Rees. See AG. v. Chambers, AG. v. Rees.	120
- v. St. Aubyn (Sir J.) (1811), Wight. 167, 230	116
- v. St. Pancras Vestry (1893), 69 L. T. 627; 58 J. P. 22	533
- r. Stephens (1855), 1 K. & J. 724, 751; 3 Eq. Rep. 1072; 24 L. J. (CH.)	
694; 1 Jur. (n. s.) 1039; 3 W. R. 649	117
r. — (1855). 6 De G. M. & G. 111; 25 L. J. (CH.) 888; 2 Jur. (N.S.)	
	, 145
	, 170
v. Strong (1868), Seton on Decrees, 6th ed., p. 559	414
v. Warren (1844), Cripps, Law of the Church and Clergy, 6th ed., p. 435.	409
for Ireland v. Vandeleur, [1907] A. C. 369; 97 L. T. 221, H. L.	139
of Straits Settlements v. Wemyss (1888), 13 App. Cas. 192; 57 L. J. (P. C.)	110
62; 58 L. T. 358, P. C.	118
Attwater, Exparte, Re Turner. See Turner, Re, Exparte Attwater.	
L. T. 585: 1 New Rep. 170	965
Attwood r. Emery (1856), 1 C. B. (N. S.) 110; 26 L. J. (C. P.) 73; 5 W. R. 19	189
Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12 App. Cas. 197; 56	-00
4 % (P. C.) 57: 56 L. T. 776: 35 W. R. 632: 14 R. (Ct. of Sess.), 27,	
331 332 334 359	2, 356
Australian Joint Stock Bank v. Bailey [1899] A. C. 396: 68 L. J. (P. C.) 95. P. C.	88
" (CH.) 546; 28 L. T.	
011 , 21 W R 491	86
" Alchdala (1603) Cro Eliz 990 · Moore (K R) 679	83
	216
"'," " " ADDOT / 1940) 14 () R 1 · 18 1 · 1 (O 10) 314 · 14 1117 314	431
Ayshford, Re, Ex parte Lovering (1887), 35 W. R. 652.	59
TO CO	
В.	
Bache r. Billingham, [1894] 1 Q. B. 107; 9 R. 79; 63 L. J. (M. c.) 1; 69 L. T.	
940: 42 W R. 217 - 58 J P 181 G A	384
0. Finctor (1790) 1 Dong 200 a	37, 92
	•
Badgley r. Dickson (1886), 13 Ontario Appeals, 494	291

TABLE OF CASES.

Bailes v. Sunderland Equitable Building Society, [1886] W. N. 191; 55 L. T.
808; 51 J. P. 310
Bailey, Ex parte, Re Barrell. See Barrell, Re, Ex parte Bailey. Bainbridge, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1878), 8 Ch. D. 218; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 70; 38
L. T. 229; 26 W. R. 439
Baird v. Fortune (1861), 4 Macq. H. L. 127; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 926; 5 L. T. 2; 10
W. R. 2, H. L. 139 Baker v. Ambrose, [1896] 2 Q. B. 372; 65 L. J. (q. B.) 589
Baker v. Ambrose. [1896] 2 Q. B. 372; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 589
(к. в.) 193
v. Gray (1856), 17 C. B. 462; 25 L. J. (c. P.) 161; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 400; 4
W. R. 297
36 W. R. 840
v. Yorkshire Fire and Life Assurance Co., [1892] 1 Q. B. 144; 61 L. J.
(Q. B.) 838; 66 L. T. 161 Ballard r. Tomlinson (1885), 29 Ch. D. 115; 54 L. J. (CH.) 454; 52 L. J. 942;
33 W. R. 533; 49 J. P. 692, C. A
Bamford, Re, Ex parte Games (1879), 12 Ch. D. 314; 40 L. T. 789; 27 W. R.
744
Banbury v. White (1863), 2 H. & C. 300; 32 L. J. (Ex.) 258
33 W. R. 321
Bank of New Zealand v. Simpson, [1900] A. C. 182; 69 L. J. (P. c.) 22; 82
L. T. 102; 48 W. R. 591, P. C
Bansha Woollen Mills Co., Re (1889), 21 L. R. Ir. 181
54 L. T. 894; 34 W. R. 168, 237, 287, 507
r. Whiteley (1865), 34 L. J. (q. B.) 212; 11 Jur. (n. S.) 822; 13 L. T. 319;
13 W. R. 774
r. Messenger (1874), 43 L. J. (ch.) 449; 30 L. T. 350; 22 W. R. 522 . 257
Bardell v. Daykin (1887), 3 T. L. R. 526
Bardin v. Calcott (1789) 1 Hag. Con. 14
L. T. 763; 10 R. 74
Baring Brothers v. Doulton (1892), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 704
Barker, Re, Ex parte Gorely (1864), 4 De G. J. & S. 477; 34 L. J. (BCY.) 1;
10 Jur. (N. s.) 1085; 11 L. T. 319; 13 W. R. 60
269; 44 J. P. 264
Re, Re Jones, Ex parte Jones, Ex parte Constable (1890), 25 Q. B. D.
285; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 331; 38 W. R. 609; 7 Morr. 111 309 v. Richardson (1827), 1 Y. & J. 362 98, 99
Barkworth v. Young (1856), 4 Drew. 1, 25; 26 L. J. (CH.) 153; 3 Jur. (N. S.) 34; 5
W. R. 156
Barnard v. De Charleroy (1899), 81 L. T. 497, P. C
585
Barnes v. Mawson (1813), 1 M. & S. 77
—— v. Ward (1850) 9 C. B. 392; 2 Car. & Kir. 661; 19 L. J. (c. P.) 195; 14 Jur. 334
Jur. 334
Barr v. Dunfermline Rail. Co. (1855), 17 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 582; 27 Sc. Jur.
289
v. Kingsford (1887), 56 L. T. 861
27 Sc. Jur. 246
Barraud, Re, Ex parte Leman (1876), 4 Ch. D. 23; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 38; 35 L. T.
422; 25 W. R. 65
988: 2 W. R. 401
Barter, Ex parte, Ex parte Black, Re Walker. See Walker, Re, Ex parte
Barter, Ex parte Black. Bartholomew r. Markwick (1864), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 711; 39 L. J. (C. P.) 145; 10
Jur. (n. s.) 615: 9 L. T. 651; 12 W. R. 314
Bartlett v. Viner (1692), Carth. 251; Skin. 322; Holt, 739 195
Barton v. Dawes (1850), 10 C. B. 261; 19 L. J. (c. P.) 302

	AGE
Barton v. Piggott (1874), L. R. 10 Q. B. 86; 44 L. J. (m. c.) 5; 31 L. T. 404; 28 W. R. 233	196
Basten v. Butter (1806), 7 East, 479	188
Bateman (Baroness) and Parker, Re. [1899] 1 Ch. 599; 68 L. J. (CH.) 330; 80 L. T. 469; 47 W. R. 516; 63 J. P. 345	413
(Lord) v. Thompson (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,	
Vol. II., p. 23, C. A. 204, 205, 208, Bates r. Pilling (1826), 6 B. & C. 38; 9 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 44; 5 L. J. (o. s.)	210
(K B) 40 Bath. Es parte, Re Phillips. See Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath.	317
Batten r. Gedye (1889), 41 Ch. D. 507; 58 L. J. (CH.) 549; 60 L. T. 802; 37	400
Batterbury v. Vyse (1863), 2 H. & C. 42; 32 L. J. (Ex.) 177; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 754;	420
8 L. T. 283; 11 W. R. 283	219
	0, 59
r. Wilkins (1849), 7 C. B. 886; 18 L. J. (c. p.) 273.	305
Baylis r. Dineley (1815), 3 M. & S. 477 Bayly v. Garford (1641), March, 125	83 99
Beacon Life and Fire Assurance Co. v. Gibb (1862), 1 Mco. P. C. C. (N. s.) 73;	
9 Jur. (s. s.) 185: 7 L. T. 574; 11 W. R. 194 Beale v. Mouls (1847), 10 Q. B. 976; 5 Ry. & Can. Cas. 105; 16 L. J. (q. B.)	178
410; 11 Jur. 845	174
Beales v. Tennant (1860), 29 L. J. (Q. B.) 188; 6 Jur. (N. S.) 628; 1 L. T. 295. Beamish v. Stoke (Overseers) (1851), 11 C. B. 29; 2 Lutw. Reg. Cas. 189; 21	50
L. J. (c. p.) 9; 16 Jur. 597; 16 J. P. 150	364
	308,
Beaufort (Duke) v. John Aird & Co. (1904), 20 T. L. R. 602 143, 146,	314
v. Smith (1849), 4 Exch. 450: 19 L. J. (Ex.) 97	143
v. Swansea Corporation (1849), 3 Exch. 413	139 291
Beckett v. Leeds Corporation (1872), 7 Ch. App. 421; 26 L. T. 375; 20	
W. R. 454	124
497; 39 W. R. 438; 55 J. P. 438 Beckwith v. Harding (1818), 1 B. & Ald. 508 416	13
Beddow r. Beddow (1878), 9 Ch. D. 889; 47 L. J. (ch.) 588; 26 W. R. 570	417 287
Bede Steamship Co. v. River Wear Commissioners, [1907] 1 K. B. 310; 76 L. J.	
(K. B.) 434: 96 L. T. 370; 10 Asp. M. C. 370, C. A	174 407
Beeston v. Marriott (1863), 8 L. T. 690; 4 Giff. 436; 9 Jur. (N. s.) 960; 11	10,
W. R. 896. Beevor v. Savage (1867), 16 L. T. 358	, 262
Begbie v. Fenwick (1871), 24 L. T. 58: 19 W. R. 402	16 26
Beigheil v. Stewart (1900), 16 T. L. R. 177	302
Reicher v. Roedean School Site and Buildings, Ltd. (1901), 85 L. T. 468, C. A. Belfast Dock Act, Re, Exparte Ranfurly (Earl) (1867), I. R. 1 Eq. 128	287 109,
119, 125, 139	
Bell v. Keesing (1888), 7 New Zealand L. R. 155.	283
v. London and South Western Bank, [1874] W. N. 10	, 369
49 L. T. 555	57
Bellamie's Case (1615), 1 Roll. Rep. 255 Bellamy v. Davey, [1891] 3 Ch. 540; 60 L. J. (ch.) 778; 65 L. T. 308; 40 W. R.	409
119	275
501; 84 L. T. 523; 49 W. R. 459; 65 J. P. 456	123
Benjamin v. Storr (1874), L. R. 9 C. P. 400; 43 L. J. (c. p.) 162; 30 L. T. 362;	916
22 W. R. 631	316 316
Bennett v. Bonaker (1828-9), 2 Hag. Ecc. 25; 3 Hag. Ecc. 17	410
Bent, Re, Exparte Mackenzie (1873), 42 L. J. (BCY.) 25; 28 L. T. 486 Denwell, Exparte, Re Hutton. See Hutton, Re, Exparte Benwell.	49
Peresford v. Browning (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 564; 33 L. T. 118: affirmed, 45	
L. J. (CH.) 36; 1 Ch. D. 30; 33 L. T. 524; 24 W. R. 120. 8 (Lady) v. Driver (1851), 14 Beav. 387; 20 L. J. (CH.) 476	9, 91 298

PA	GE
Berridge v. Ward (1861), 10 C. B. (n. s.) 400; 30 L. J. (c. p.) 218; 7 Jur. (n. s.)	123
Berry, Re, Ex parte Wilkinson (1883), 22 Ch. D. 788; 52 L. J. (CH.) 657; 48	58
Berwick, Ex parte, Re Young. See Young, Re, Ex parte Berwick.	00
——— v. Alker. See Beswick v. Alker. Beswick v. Alker (1872), L. R. 8 C. P. 265; 42 L. J. (c. p.) 26; 27 L. T. 423; 21	
W. R. 72 v. Swindells (1834), 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 159; 5 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 378; 3	110
A. & E. 868; 5 L. J. (ex.) 287; 5 B. & Ad. 914; 3 L. J. (k. b.) 88	93 123
Betts, Re. Ex parte Harrison (1881), 18 Ch. D. 127; 50 L.J. (ch.) 832; 45 L.T.	14
—— (Frederick), Ltd. v. Pickfords, Ltd., [1906] 2 Ch. 87; 75 L. J. (ch.) 483;	288
	41
	108
C.A	144
Bigg's Case (1865), L. R. 1 Eq. 309; 35 L. J. (ch.) 216; 13 L. T. 627; 12 Jur.	123
	362
Bigland v. Skelton (1810), 12 East, 436	
Bill of Sale, In re, [1894] 2 Q. B. 923; 71 L. T. 614; 1 Mans. 378	73
Bilson v. Chapman (1735). Lee temp. Hard. 190	123
Binks v. South Yorkshire and River Dun Co. (1862), 3 B. & S. 244; 32 L. J.	
(Q. B.) 26; 7 L. T. 350; 11 W. R. 66	129
	241
	176
— v. Davey, [1891] 1 Q. B. 29; 60 L. J. (q. B.) 8; 63 L. T. 741; 39 W. R.	
40	43
— v. Lake (1863), 1 Hem. & M. 111; 8 L. T. 632	
— v. M'Gaheg (1849), 2 Car. & Kir. 707	000
	311
Birkenhead Union Guardians v. Brookes (1906), 95 L. T. 359; 70 J. P. 406; 4 L. G. 1. 388; 22 T. L. R. 583.	543
Birrell v. Dryes (1884), 9 App. Cas. 345; 51 L. T. 130; 5 Asp. M. C. 267,	144
Bishop v. Bedford Charity (Trustees) (1859), 1 E. & E. 697; 29 L. J. (q. B.) 53;	
v. Consolidated Credit Corporation (1889), 5 T. L. R. 378	129 33
Black, Ex parte, Ex parte Barter, Re Walker. See Walker, Re, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black.	
v. Christchurch Finance Co., [1894] A. C. 48; 63 L. J. (P. c.) 32; 70 L. T. 77; 58 J. P. 332; 6 R. 394, P. C.	316
Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society, Re (1883), 24 Ch. D. 421; 52	
L. J. (сн.) 894; 49	
L. T. 730; 32 W. R.	
	B 59
Re, Ex parte Graham (1889),	000
42 Ch. D. 343; 38 W. R.	200
	399
	000
	37 7
Blackburn Building Society v. Cunliffe, Brooks & Co. (1882), 29 Ch. D. 61; 52	
L. J. (ch.) 92; 48 L. T. 33; 31 W. R. 98, C. A	
Blackmore, Ex parte (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 122 410, 413, 415, 4	431
Blackwell v. England (1857), 8 E. & B. 541; 27 L. J. (Q. B.) 124; 3 Jur. (N. S.)	
1302; 6 W. R. 59	50
Blaiberg, Ex parte. Re Toomer. See Toomer, Re, Ex parte Blaiberg.	
	40.
	44
v. Parke (1882), 10 Q. B. D. 90; 52 L. J (q. B.) 110; 48 L. T. 311; 31	
	48
W. R. 246 Blair v. Broadfoot's Trustees (1890), 27 Sc. L. R. 859	359
v. Ormond (1851), 17 Q. B. 423; 20 L. J. (q. B.) 444; 15 Jur. 1054.	10 0
	TUL!

PAGF Blake r, Izard (1867), 16 W. R. 108
v. Thirst (1863), 2 H. & C. 20; 32 L. J. (Ex.) 188; 8 L. T. 251; 11 W. R. 1034
r. Woolf, [1898] 2 Q. B. 426; 67 L. J. (q. B.) 813; 79 L. T. 188; 47 W. R. 8: 62 J. P. 659
Blakely Ordnance Co., Re. Ex parte New Zealand Banking Corporation (1867), 3 Ch. App. 154; 37 L. J. (CH.) 418: 18 L. T. 132; 16 W. R. 533
Blandy-Jenkins v. Dunraven (Earl), [1899] 2 Ch. 121; 68 L. J. (CH.) 589;
Blankenstein v. Robertson (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 543; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 315; 62 L. T.
38, 48 Elenkhom, Re, Exparte Jay (1874), 9 Ch. App. 697; 43 L. J. (BCY.) 122; 31
L. T. 260; 22 W. R. 907
v. Woods (1831), 3 Hag. Ecc. 486
Blount r. Harris (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 603; 48 L. J. (Q. B.) 159; 39 L. T. 465; 27 W. R. 202.
Blythe r. Topham (1607), Cro. Jac. 158
565: 12 L. T. 457; 13 W. R. 775
804 : 35 W. R. 530. H. L
Boast r. Firth (1868), L. R. 4 C. P. 1; 38 L. J. (c. p.) 1; 19 L. T. 264; 17 W. R. 29.
Boden r. Roscoe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 608 : 63 L. J. (q. B.) 767 ; 70 L. T. 450 ; 42 W. R. 445 : 58 J. P. 368 : 10 R. 173
Boldero v. London and Westminster Discount Co. (1879), 5 Ex. D. 47; 42 L. T. 56: 28 W. R. 154
Bolland, Ex parte, Re Roper. See Roper, Re, Ex parte Bolland. Ex parte, Re Winter. See Winter, Re, Ex parte Bolland.
Bolt v. Thomas (1859), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 4 Bolton r. Carlisle (Bishop) (1793), 2 Hy. Bl. 259.
r. Liverpool Corporation (1831), 3 Sim. 467
Boney v. Charter, [1887] W. N. 52 Bonnard v. Dott. [1906] 1 Ch. 740; 75 L. J. (ch.) 446: 94 L. T. 656; 22 T. L. R. 399 67
Bonsall r. Byrne (1867), I. R. 1 C. L. 573
Boone r. Eyre (1777), 1 Hy. Bl. 273, n. Born r. Turner, [1900] 2 Ch. 211; 69 L. J. (ch.) 593; 83 L. T. 148; 48 W. R.
697
Boston (City of) v. Richardson (1866), 13 Allen (Mass.), 146
93 L. T. 226; 54 W. R. 39; 8 L. G. R. 849; 69 J. P. 337; 21 T. L. R. 608, C. A
Boteler v. Spelman (1673), Cas. temp. Finch, 96
64 L. J. (ch.) 609; 13 R. 591; 72 L. T. 722; 44 W. R. 12
Botterill r. Ware Board of Guardians (1886), 2 T. L. R. 621, C. A
v. Fisher (1862), 1 H. & C. 211; 31 L. J. (Ex.) 417; 8 Jur. (N. S.) 895; 6 L. T. 688; 10 W. R. 669; 27 J. P. 23
Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 220, C. A 169, 183, 187, 193, 195, 230, 303
Bouchette v. Consolidated Credit Corporation (1889), 5 T. L. R. 653 66 Bourne v. Wall (1891), 64 L. T. 530 : 39 W. R. 510
Souverie r. Prentice (1778), 1 Bro. C. C. 200
r Swadlin (1738), 1 Atk. 294
Sowes Re. Ex parte Jackson (1880), 14 Ch. D. 725; 43 L. T. 272; 29 W. R. 253
— v. Law (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 636; 39 L. J. (сн.) 483; 22 L. Т. 267; 18 W. R. 640
Bowker v. Williamson (1889), 5 T L. R. 382 Bowling and Welby, Re. [1895] 1 Ch. 633; 64 L. J. (ch.) 427; 72 L. T. 411; 43
W. R. 417; 2 Mans. 257; 12 R. 218, C. A

}

	AGE
Bowyer v. Stantial (1878), 3 Ex. D. 315; 38 L. T. 271.	522
Boyce v. Paddington Borough Council, [1908] 1 Ch. 109; 2 Ch. 556; 72 L. J.	
(CH.) 28, 695; S. C. sub nom. Paddington Corporation v. AG., [1906] A. C.	
1; 75 L. J. (ch.) 4; 93 L. T. 678; 54 W. R. 317; 70 J. P. 41; 4 L. G. R. 19;	£ 0.0
22 T. L. R. 55, H. L	233
	141
v. Tamlyn (1827), 6 B. & C. 329; 9 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 430; 5 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 134	140
(K. B.) 134	149
	210
Scott (N. R.), 79; 11 L. J. (c. P.) 209	
Bradbury v. Wild, [1893] 1 Ch. 377; 62 L. J. (ch.) 503; 68 L. T. 50; 41 W.R.	, 91
361; 57 J. P. 68; 3 R. 195	334
v. Morgan (1862), 1 H. & C. 249; 31 L. J. (ex.) 462; 8 Jur. (N. s.)	003
918; 7 L. T. 104; 10 W. R. 776	273
Bradford v. Dublin and Kingstown Rail. Co. (1858), 7 I. C. L. R. 624; 3 Ir. Jur.	210
(N. S.) 317	140
Bradlaugh v. Clarke (1883), 8 App. Cas. 354; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 505; 48 L. T. 681;	
31 W. R. 677; 47 J. P. 405, H. L	563
	409
Bradly v. Stratchy (1740), Barn. (ch.) 399	
(N. s.) 1228; 6 L. T. 458	407
Bramah v. Abingdon (Lord), cited in Paterson v. Gandasequi (1812), 15 East, 62,	
at p. 66	275
Brangwin r. Perrot (1777), 2 Wm. Bl. 1190	93
Branscombe v. Scarborough (1844), 6 Q. B. 13: 13 L. J. (Q. B.) 247	93
Brantom v. Griffits (1877), 2 C. P. D. 212; 46 L. J. (c. P.) 408; 36 L. T. 4;	
	, 23
Braunstein r. Accidental Death Insurance Co. (1861), 1 B. & S. 782; 31 L. J.	, -
(Q. B.) 17; 8 Jur. (N. S.) 506; 5 L. T. 550	205
Brecknock and Abergavenny Canal Navigation v. Pritchard (1796), 6 Term	
Rep. 750	237
Breeks v. Woolfrey (1838), 1 Curt. 880	418
Brenner, Re, Ex parte Saffery (1881), 16 Ch. D. 668; 44 L. T. 324; 29 W. R.	
749	57
Breton v. Knight (1837), cited in Roscoe, Nisi Prius, 18th ed., p. 220	146
Brett, Ex parte, Re Hodgson. See Hodgson, Re, Ex parte Brett.	
v. Monarch etc. Society, [1894] 1 Q. B. 367	376
Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 569; 47 L. J. (Q. B.) 722: 38 L. T. 739;	
26 W. R. 670, C. A	281
——- v. Wilson (1834), 3 Nev. & M. (к. в.) 512; 3 L. J. (к. в.) 93	406
Bridge v. Brown (1843), 2 Y. & C. Ch. Cas. 181	406
Bridgman (Sir George) v. Jennings (1699), 1 Ld. Raym. 734	147
Bridgwater Trustees v. Bootle-cum-Linacre (Surveyor) (1866), 7 B. & S. 348:	
L. R. 2 Q. B. 4; 36 L. J. (Q. B.) 41; 15 L. T. 351; 15 W. R. 169 . 118,	
Brierley v. Kendall (1852), 21 L. J. (Q. B.) 161; 17 Q. B. 937	67
Briggs v. Boss (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 268; 37 L. J. (Q. B.) 101; 17 L. T. 599; 16	
W. R. 480	50
v. Pike (1892), 66 L. T. 637; 61 L. J. (q. B.) 418	41
Brindley, Ex parte, Re Brindley. See Brindley, Re. Ex parte Brindley.	
	200
596	309
Brisco v. Lomax (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 198; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 308; 7 L. J. (Q. B.)	140
1482; 2 Jur. 682	145
Bristol and Clifton Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Harbour (1886), 81	951
L. T. Jo. 171	331
Bristol, Cardiff and Swansea Aerated Bread Co. v. Maggs (1890), 44 Ch. D. 616;	167
59 L. J. (ch.) 472; 62 L. T. 416; 38 W. R. 393	167
Bristow v. Cormican (1878), 3 App. Cas. 641, H. L 120, 146,	111
Britannia Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, [1891] W. N. 123; 65 L. T.	400
British Thomson-Houston Co. v. West Brothers (1903), 19 T. L. R. 493	247
British Wagon Co. v. Lea (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 149; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 321; 42 L. T.	211
437; 28 W. R. 349; 44 J. P. 440	203
Briton Friendly Society, Re (1852), 1 W. R. 50	
Broadwater v. Blot (1817). Holt (N. P.), 547	133
Brocket, Re. [1908] 1 Ch. 185; 77 L. J. (CH.) 245; 97 L. T. 780	141
Brocklebank v. Lancashire and Yorkshire Rail. Co. (1887), 3 T. L. R. 575, C. A.	307

	PAGE
Brocklehurst v. Railway Printing Co., [1884] W. N. 70	20
Brodrick v. Scalé (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 98; 40 L. J. (c. P.) 130; 23 L. T. 864;	
	49, 50
Broke v. Smith (1601), Moore (K. B.), 679	88
Brooke, Re. [1894] 2 Ch. 600: 64 L. J. (CH.) 21; 71 L. T. 398; 8 R. 444.	26
Brookes v. Harrison (1885), 6 L. R. Ir. 85, 332, C. A	4
Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn and District Benefit Society (1884), 9 App. Cas. 857.	
54 L. J. (ch.) 376; 52 L. T. 225; 33 W. R. 309, H. L	5, 377
Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; 36 L. J. (c. P.) 134: 15 L. T. 658;	
15 W. R. 350	
	12, 54
v. Johnson (1842), 10 M. & W. 331; Car. & M. 440; 11 L. J. (Ex.) 373.	243
v. Laurie (1854), 5 Lower Canada Reports, 65	297
v. London Corporation (1861), 9 C. B. (N. s.) 726; 13 C. B. (N. s.) 828;	
30 L. J. (c. p.) 225; 31 L. J. (c. p.) 280; 8 Jur. (n. s.) 1103; 9 W. R.	0.0
336; 10 W. R. 522; 3 L. T. 813	93 48
v. London and County Advance Co. (1889), 5 T. L. R. 199	233
v. Royal Insurance Co. (1859), 1 E. & E. 853; 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 275; 5	200
Jur. (N. s.) 1255; 7 W. R. 479	106
v. Wales (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 142; 42 L. J. (CH.) 45; 27 L. T. 410; 21	2, 130
W. R. 157	150
v. Windsor (1830), 1 Cr. & J. 20	315
Browne and Wingrove, Re, Ex parte Ador, [1891] 2 Q. B. 574; 61 L. J. (Q. B.)	0.0
15; 65 L. T. 495; 40 W. R. 71; 8 Morr. 264, C. A	370
Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235; 48 L. T. 881: 47 J. P. 757.	• • •
H. L	3, 400
Brownlow v. Metropolitan Board of Works (1864), 16 C. B. (N. s.) 546; 33 L. J.	.,
(C. P.) 233 : 12 W. R. 871	317
Brunsden v. Beresford (1883), 1 Cab. & El. 125	220
Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272 . 215, 216, 233, 234	1, 285
Bryan v. Whistler (1828), 8 B. & C. 288; 6 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 302 419), 474
Bryant v. Flight (1839), 5 M. & W. 114	1, 306
v. Foot (1868), L, R, 3 Q, B, 497; 9 B, & S, 444; 37 L, J, (Q, B,) 217;	
18 L.T. 587; 16 W. R. 808	430
Bubb v. Yelverton (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 471; 39 L. J. (ch.) 428; 22 L. T. 258;	
18 W. R. 512	86
Buchan r. Melville (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 620; 39 Sc. L. R. 398; 9 Sc. L. T.	005
459 . Buck a Pober (1970) 0.0 P. D. COC. 40 T. T. (2 T.) 050 . 20 T. W. 2015 . 00	287
Buck v. Robson (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 686; 48 L. J. (Q. B.) 250; 39 L. T. 325; 26 W. R. 804	0.07
Buckland v. Barton (1793), 2 Hy. Bl. 136	267
and Garrard v. Pawson & Co. (1890), 6 T. L. R. 421	92 307
Buckle v. Lordonny (1887), 56 L. J. (CH.) 437; 56 L. T. 273; 35 W. R. 360; 51	301
J. P. 422	3, 387
Buckler v. Millerd (1688), 2 Vent. 107.	87
Bucknal v. Roiston (1709), Prec. in Ch. 285	5
Budgett & Co. v. Binnington & Co., [1891] 1 Q. B. 35; 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 1; 63	•
L. 1, 742: 39 W. R. 131: 6 Asp. M. C. 592, C. A.	200
Builded v. Fournier (1894), 11 T. L. B. 62	300
Bullock, Re, Ex parte Ward, [1899] 2 Q. B. 517; 68 L. J. (Q. B.) 953; 81 L. T.	
268; 48 W. R. 46	41
v. Dommitt (1796) 6 Term Rep. 650 : 2 Chit. 608	237
Bulwer v. Hase (1803), 3 East, 217	l, 417
Different v. Lancaster (1697), 1 Salk, 232: Holt, 317	429
Burden, L. parte Re Neil See Neil, Re. Ex parte Burden.	
Builder, Re. Ex parte, Byrne (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 310: 57 L. J. (O. B.) 263: 58	
4-4-08-36 W R 198 345-5 Morr 32 C A 92 25 35 2	64, 85
Burgess, Exparte, Re Hood. See Hood, Re, Exparte Burgess.	
- V Uray (1845) 1 C B 5/8 · 14 L J (C P) 184	316
174181 V. Fleston (1800) 8 Term Rep. 483	88
**************************************	410
Burn t. Miller (1813), 4 Taunt. 745 Burns t. Bryan (an Martin) (1897), 19 App. Cos. 184 : 14 B. (Ct. of Soc.) 20	, 203
Burns r. Bryan (or Martin) (1887), 12 App. Cas. 184; 14 R. (Ct. of Sess.), 20, H. L.	92
Do Tree	5, 307
Burrell v. Nicholson (1893), 1 My. & K. 680; 3 B. & Ad. 649	150
& Son r. Russell (1900), 2 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 80; 37 Sc. L. R. 641, H. L.	173

	AGE
Burton, Re (1897), 13 T. L. R. 275 ————————————————————————————————————	366
W. R. 592	49
Bush v. Steinman (1799), 1 Bos. & P. 404	129
	198
681; 15 L. J. (CH.) 60	150
Butler v. Hunter (1862), 7 H. & N. 826: 31 L. J. (Ex.) 214; 10 W. R. 214 .	315
Buxton v. Cornish (1844) 12 M. & W. 426; 1 Dow. & L. 585; 13 L. J. (Ex.) 91;	001
8 Jur. 46	231
L. J. (q. B.) 258; 18 L. T. 795; 16 W. R. 1124	131
Byerley v. Prevost (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 144.	9
Byford v. Russell, [1907] 2 K. B. 522; 76 L. J. (K. B.) 744; 97 L. T. 104 . 253, 262,	201, 266
Byrd v. Wilford (1592), Cro. Eliz. 464	83
Byrne, Ex parte, Re Burdett. See Burdett, Re, Ex parte Byrne.	
Bywaters & Sons v. Curnick & Co. (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. I., p. 793, A. C	994
ord ed., von 1., p. 100, n. o	221
, C.	
CALDECOTT, Ex parte, Re Mapleback. See Mapleback, Re, Ex parte Caldecott.	
Calmady v. Calmady (1795), 2 Ves. 568	117
v. Rowe (1844), 6 C. B. 861	139
Calvert v. London Dock Co. (1838), 7 L. J. (ch.) 90; 2 Keen, 638: 2 Jur. 62. v. Thomas (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 204; 56 L. J. (q. B.) 470; 57 L. T. 441;	280
35 W. R. 616	42
Cambrian Steam Packet Co., Ex parte, Re Trent and Humber Co. See Trent	
and Humber Co., Re, Ex parte Cambrian Steam Packet Co. Camden (Marquis) v. Batterbury (1860), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 864; 28 L. J. (C. P.)	
335; 5 Jur. (N. s.) 1405; 7 W. R. 616	203
Camden Town Burial Ground, Re (1889), 5 T. L. R. 311	5 36
	l, 92
	529
v. Mesier (1820), 4 John. Ch. (New York) 334	138
v. Paddington (Parishioners) (1852), 16 Jur. 646; 2 Rob. Ecc. Rep. 558	423
———— (D.) & Son v. Blyton (1893), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 250	314
Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case (1875), 10 Ch. App. 593; 44 L. J.	
(ch.) 72; 33 L, T. 466; 24 W. R. 191	300
Cann, Re, Ex parte Hunt (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 36	33 92
Capper, Ex parte, Re Newman. See Newman, Re, Ex parte Capper.	-
Card v. Carr (1856), 1 C. B. (N. S.) 197; 26 L. J. (c. P.) 113.	362
Carew, Re (1858), 26 Beav. 187; 28 L. J. (CH.) 218; 4 Jur. (N. s.) 1290; 7 W. R. 81 Carlisle Banking Co. v. Thompson (1885), 28 Ch. D. 398; 33 W. R. 119	170 370
——————————————————————————————————————	0.0
L. T. 133; 18 W. R. 318	122
Carlisle's (Dean) Case (1866), <i>Times</i> , December 21, 1866	465
Carr v. Mostyn (1850), 5 Exch. 69: 19 L. J. (ex.) 249.	145
Carrard v. Meek (1880), 43 L. T. 760; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 187: 29 W. R. 244 . 32	, 64
Carrick (North British Building Society in Liquidation), Re (1885), 22 Sc. L. R.	260
833; 12 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1271	300
264 : 2 Jur. 871	133
Cart v. Marsh (1738), 2 Stra. 1080.	417
Carter, Ex parte, Re Hamilton. See Hamilton, Re, Ex parte Carter. ———————————————————————————————————	
r. Laudry (1880), 3 Pugsley and Burbridge (New Brunwick), 516	248
v Ring (1813) 3 Camp. 459	92
Cartwright v. Regan, [1895] 1 Q. B. 900; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 507; 43 W. R. 660; 15 R. 385	, 69
Carus-Wilson and Greene, Re (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 7; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 530; 55	
L. T. 864. C. A.	284

A	PAGE
Cassen v. Churchley (1884), 53 L. J. (Q.B.) 335; 50 L. T. 568	17, 45
W. R. 257	. 49
	5, 144
Central Bank of London v. Hawkins (1890), 62 L. T. 901	. 36
Chadwick v. Trower (1839), 6 Bing. (N. c.) 1; 8 Scott, 1; 8 L. J. (Ex.) 286	. 135
Challinor, Ex parte, Re Rogers. See Rogers, Re, Ex parte Chalinor.	
Chambers v. Goldthorpe, [1901] 1 K. B. 624; 70 L. J. (K. B.) 482; 84 L. T. 444	
49 W. R. 401, C. A	9, 292
v. Manchester and Milford Rail. Co. (1864), 5 B. & S. 588; 33 L. J	
	83, 97
Champney v. Davy (1879), 11 Ch. D. 949; 48 L. J. (ch.) 268; 40 L. T. 189; 27 W. R. 390	. 4 35
Champneys v. Arrowsmith (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 602; L. R. 3 C. P. 107; 36	. 400
L. J. (c. p.) 265; 37 L. J. (c. p.) 22; 16 L. T. 589; 17 L. T. 261; 15 W. R	
1011; 16 W. R. 277	. 408
Chanter v. Hopkins (1838) 4 M. & W. 399; 1 Horn & H. 377; 8 L. J. (Ex.) 14	;
3 Jur. 58	. 189
Chapel v. Hickes (1833), 2 Cr. & M. 214; 4 Tyr. 43; 3 L. J. (Ex.) 38.	. 240
Chapleo r. Brunswick Building Society (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 696; 50 L. J. (Q. B.)
372; 44 L. T. 449; 29 W. R. 529, C. A	5, 377
Chapman, Exparte, Re Davey, See Davey, Re, Exparte Chapman.	`
L. T. 214; 32 W. R. 693; 48 J. P. 648	. 307
v. Edinburgh Prison Board (1844), 6 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 1288; 10	
Sc. Jur. 563	. 216
v. Knight (1880), 5 C. P. D. 308; 49 L. J. (c. P.) 425; 42 L. T. 538	;
28 W. R. 919 : 44 J. P. 491	72, 73
v. Royal Bank of Scotland (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 136; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 670	;
45 L. T. 215; 30 W. R. 81; 46 J. P. 38	. 177
v. Spencer (1732), 2 Eq. Cas. Abr. 163	. 116
v. Walton (1833), 10 Bing. 57; 3 Moo. & S. 389; 2 L. J. (c. P.	
213 . Chapple, Re, Ex parte Izard (1883), 23 Ch. D. 409; 52 L. J. (ch.) 802; 49 L. T	. 2 95
230; 32 W. R. 218	. 60
r. Cooper (1844), 13 M. & W. 252; 13 L. J. (Ex.) 286	. 407
Charing Cross Bank, Ex parte, Re Parker. See Parker, Re, Ex parte Charing	
Cross Bank.	,
Charlesworth r. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 830; 66 L. T. 690; 4	1
W. R. 129; 56 J. P. 628, H. L	, 8, 11
Charlton v. Gibson (1844), 1 Car. & Kir. 541	. 176
Chattock v. Miller (1878), 8 Ch. D. 177	. 170
Chauntler v. Robinson (1849), 4 Exch. 163; 19 L. J. (Ex.) 170	. 136 28, 129
Chesworth v. Hunt (1880), 5 C. P. D. 266; 49 L. J. (c. p.) 507; 42 L. T. 774	
28 W. R. 815 : 44 J. P. 605	. 72
Chidley v. Norris (1862), 3 F. & F. 228	. 301
Chief Official Receiver. See Official Receiver.	
Child v. Douglas (1854), 5 De G. M. & G. 739; Kay, 575; 2 Jur. (N. s.) 950	;
2 W. R. 701	. 176
v. Hearn (1874), L. R. 9 Exch. 176; 43 L. J. (Ex.) 100; 22 W. R. 864	. 131
Chitty r. Bray (1883), 48 L. T. 860; 47 J. P. 695.	. 176
Chorley, Exparte, Re South Essex Estuary Co. See South Essex Estuary Co.	٠,
Re, Ex parte Chorley. Christ Church, East Greenwich (Vicar), Ex parte. See London County Counci	ı
Exparte, Exparte Christ Church, East Greenwich (Vicar).	۱,
Christie v. Mitchinson (1877), 36 L. T. 621.	. 138
v. Northern Counties Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 4	3
Ch. D. 62: 59 L. J. (ch.) 210; 61 L. T. 796; 38 W. R. 280	31, 3 82
Church v. King (1836), 2 My. & Cr. 220	89, 92
v. Sage (1892), 67 L. T. 800: 41 W. R. 175: 5 R. 140	. 259
	28, 129
Churchward v. R. (1865), L. R. 1 Q. B. 173; 14 L. T. 57	. 174
Cilloden Benefit Building Society, Re (1868), 3 Ch. App. 462	. 3 98
Civil Service Co-operative Society v. General Steam Navigation Co., [1903]	
K. B. 756; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 933; 89 L. T. 429, C. A	10 19

PAG	E
	19
v. Bulmer (1843), 11 M. & W. 243; 1 Dow. & L. 367; 12 L. J. (Ex.) 463. 17	_
v. Elphinstone (1880), 6 App. Cas. 164: 50 L. J. (P. c.) 22, P. C 14	19
v. London General Omnibus Co., Ltd., [1906] 2 K. B. 648; 75 L. J. (K. B.)	07
907; 95 L. T. 435, C. A	,,
	6 3
	93
v. Spence (1836), 4 Ad. & El. 448; 6 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 399; 1 Har. & W.	
760; 5 L. J. (K. B.) 161	63
v. Watson (1865), 18 C. B. (N. S.) 278; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 148; 11 L. T.	
679; 13 W. R. 345	5 0
Clarkson v. Edge (1863), 33 Beav. 227; 33 L. J. (CH.) 443; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 871;	^^
12 W. R. 518	90
	71
	iī
Clayton's Case (1816), 1 Mer. 572	
Cleaver, Re, Ex parte Rawlings (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 489; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 197;	-
56 L. T. 593 ; 35 W. R. 281	71
	43
Clemence v. Clarke (1879), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd. ed., Vol. II.,	
p. 41, C. A	
Clements v. Matthews (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 808; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 772	23
Clifford v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, [1896] 2 Q. B. 187; 65 L. J.	
	04
717; 18 W. R. 925	93
	16
Climpson v. Coles (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 465; 58 L. J. (q. B.) 346; 61 L. T. 116;	
	59
Close, Ex parte, Re Hall. See Hall, Re, Ex parte Close. Clothier v. Chapman (1805), 14 East, 331, n	42
Clough, Ex parte, Re Reis. See Reis, Re, Ex parte Clough.	10
v. Samuel. See Reis, Re, Ex parte Clough.	
Clydebank Engineering and Shipbuilding Co. v. Yzquierdo y Castaneda (Don	
José Ramos), [1905] A. C. 6; 91 L. T. 666; 74 L. J. (P. c.) 1; 21 T. L. R.	
58, H. L	
	92
	47
Coburn v. Collins (1887), 35 Ch. D. 373; 56 L. J. (ch.) 504; 56 L. T. 431; 35	**
	10
Cochrane, Exparte, Re Mead. See Mead, Re, Expart Cochrane.	
	33
v. Entwistle (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 116; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 418; 62 L. T.	~~
852; 38 W. R. 587, C. A	
v. Minto (Earl). (1815). 6 Pat. App. 139. r. Moore (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 57; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 377; 63 L. T. 153;	20
38 W. R. 588; 54 J. P. 804	33
r. Rymill (1879), 40 L. T. 744: 27 W. R. 776.	67
Coggs v. Bernard (1703), 1 Smith. L. C., 11th ed., p. 173; 2 Ld. Raym. 909182, 1	184
Cohen v. Higgins (1891), 8 T. L. R. 8	34
v. Mitchell (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 262; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 409; 63 L. T. 206;	~-
	65
Coker r. Young (1860). 2 F. & F. 98	129
916; 20 W. R. 882	59
Colebeck r. Girdless Co. (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 234; 45 L. J. (Q. B.) 225; 34 L. T.	
350: 24 W. R. 577	134
Coleby r. Coleby (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 803; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 496; 14 L. T. 697 . 4	407
Coleman v. Gittins (1884), 1 T. L. R. 8.	214
v. Kirkaldy. [1882] W. N. 103	144
Coles v. Hulme (1828), 8 B. & C. 568; 3 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 86; 7 L. J. (o. s.)	97
(K. B.) 29 • Sims (1854), 5 De G. M. & G. 1; 2 Eq. Rep. 951; 23 L. J. (CH.) 258; 18	01
	176

PAGE
Collen r. Wright (1857), 7 E. & B. 647; 27 L. J. (q. b.) 215; 4 Jur. (n. s.) 357: 6 W. R. 123
Collier r. Mason (1858), 25 Beav. 200
— Ex parte, Re Keen and Keen. See Keen and Keen, Ex parte, Re Collins.
—— Ex parte, Re Yarrow. See Yarrow, Re, Ex parte Collins. —— c. Blantern (1767), 1 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 369; 2 Wils. 347 . 85, 86
W. R. 115
— r. — (1871), 40 L. J. (CH.) 541; 24 L. T. 780; 19 W. R. 971 357 — r. Gwynne (1831), 5 Moo. & P. 276, 282; 7 Bing. 423; 9 L. J. (o. s.)
(c. P.) 130
(K. B.) 212
Coliver r. Isaacs (1881), 19 Ch. D. 342; 51 L. J. (CH.) 14; 45 L. T. 567; 30
W. R. 70
362: 34 W. R. 705, H. L
342. C. A
W. R. 12
Columbus Co. r. Clowes, [1903] 1 K. B. 244; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 330; 51 W. R. 366 207, 306
Commissioner of Stamps v. Hope, [1891] A. C. 476; 60 L. J. (P. c.) 44; 65
L. T. 268. P. C
Conelly v. Steer (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 520; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 326; 45 L. T. 402; 29 W. R. 529
Conning, Exparte, Re Steele. See Steele, Re, Exparte Conning. Connor and Olley v. Belfast Water Commissioners (1871), 5 I. R. C. L. 55 . 216,
229, 233, 290 and Solley v. Belfast Water Commissioners. See Connor and Olley v.
Belfast Water Commissioners.
Consolidated Co. v. Curtis & Son, [1892] 1 Q. B. 495; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 40 W. R. 426; 56 J. P. 565
Consolidated Credit Land Mortgage Corporation v. Gosney (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 24: 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 61: 54 L. T. 21; 34 W. R. 106
Constable, Ex parte. See Barker, Re, Re Jones, Ex parte Jones, Ex parte Constable.
Constable's Case (1601), 5 Co. Rep. 106 a; And, 86
Constant r. Kincaid & Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 901; 39 Sc. L. R. 636; 10 Sc. L. T. 97
Cook r. Ipswich Local Board (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 451; 40 L. J. (M. C.) 169; 24 L. T. 579: 19 W. R. 1079
— r. Taylor (1887), 3 T. L. R. 800
Cooke v. Banks (1826), 2 Car. & P. 478
v. Lamotte (1851), 15 Beav. 234; 21 L. J. (ch.) 371
W. R. 181, H L
Coombs v. Coether and Wheeler (1829), Mood. & M. 398
Cooper, Er parte, Re Baum. See Baum, Re, Ex parte Cooper.
r. Davis (1883), 32 W. R. 329; 48 L. T. 831
v. Evans (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 45; 36 L. J. (ch.) 431; 15 W. R. 60J . 84
v. Ibberson (1881), 44 L. T. 309; 29 W. R. 566 50
v. Jarman (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 98; 36 L. J. (ch.) 85; 12 Jur. (n. s.) 956; 15 W. R. 142.
v. Langdon (1841), 9 M. & W. 60; 1 Dowl. (n. s.) 392; 11 L. J. (ex.) 222. 228, 229, 233
v. Tatham (1866), 15 L. T. 218
" CHOACLEI DUITAL DOBLE (1000), 11 12. 1. 000 , 210, 200

PAGE
Cooper v. Woolley (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 88; 36 L. J. (m. c.) 27; 15 L. T. 539; 15 W. R. 450
v. Zeffert (1883), 32 W. R. 402
Coote r. Jecks (1872), L. R. 13 Eq. 597; 41 L. J. (CH.) 599
Cope r. Barber (1872), L. R. 7 C. P. 393; 41 L. J. (m. c.) 137; 26 L. T. 891; 20 W. R. 885
Copland v. Bartlett (1848), 6 C. B. 18; 2 Lutw. Reg. Cas. 102; 18 L. J. (c. P.)
50; 13 Jur. 127
Corbett v. Hill (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 671; 39 L. J. (ch.) 547; 22 L. T. 263. 109 Corby v. Hill (1858), 4 C. B. (n. s.) 556; 27 L. J. (c. p.) 318; 4 Jur. (n. s.) 512;
6 W. R. 575
Cordingley v. Alliance Society, [1887] W. N. 220
251, 258
Cork and Youghal Rail. Co., Re (1869), 4 Ch. App. 748; 39 L. J. (ch.) 277;
21 L. T. 735; 18 W. R. 26
584
W. R. 42
Cornwell r. Metropolitan Commissioners of Sewers (1855), 10 Exch. 771; 3 C. L. R. 417
Corry v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 322; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 386; 44 L. T. 701; 29 W. R. 623; 45 J. P. 712, C. A
44 L. T. 701; 29 W. R. 623; 45 J. P. 712, C. A
J. P. 561; 6 L. G. R. 78; 24 T. L. R. 80, C. A
(1851), 17 Q. B. 127; 20 L. J. (q. B.) 460; 15 Jur. 877 197, 239
Corven v. Pym (1605), 12 Co. Rep. 105; Godb. 199; Moore, 878
L. T. 495; 16 W. R. 457
Coton, Re, Ex parte Payne (1887), 56 L. T. 571; 35 W. R. 476; 4 Morr. 90 . 38 Cotterell v. Stratton (1872), 8 Ch. App. 295; 42 L. J. (ch.) 417; 28 L. T. 218;
21 W. R. 284: 87 J. P. 4
Cotton, Ex parte (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 301; 49 L. T. 52; 32 W. R. 58; 47 J. P. 599
Coulson v. Dickson (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110: 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 189; 62 L. T. 479; 38 W. R. 388. See also Parsons r. Brand
Counsell v. London and Westminster Loan Co. (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 512; 56
L. J. (Q. B.) 622; 36 W. R. 53
819; 69 L. J. (ch.) 798; 49 W. R. 71
Courtenay v. Waterford and Central Ireland Rail. Co. (1878), 4 L. R. Ir.
11
Coventry r. London, Brighton and South Coast Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 5 Eq.
104; 37 L. J. (ch.) 90: 17 L. T. 368: 16 W. R. 267
120 : 30 W. R. 529
Cowley (Lord) r. Byas (1877), 5 Ch. D. 944; 37 L. T. 238; 26 W. R. 1, C. A 404, 464, 512
v. Tyler, [1884] W. N. 77
Cox r. Ricraft (1757), 2 Lee, 373
W. R. 904
—— v. Tickell (1789), 1 Ves. 60
Crawcour, Ex parte, Re Robertson. See Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour. - v. Salter (1881), 18 Ch. D. 30; 51 L. J. (CH.) 495; 45 L. T. 62; 30
$W. R. 21 \dots \dots 62$
Crease v. Barrett (1835), 1 Cr. M. & R. 919; 5 Tyr. 458; 4 L. J. (Ex.)
297. Credit Co. v. Pott (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 295; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 106; 44 L. T. 506; 29
W. R. 326
Crew v. Cummings (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 420; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 641; 59 L. T. 886; 36 W. R. 908, C. A.

PAG	GE
Cribb v. Kynoch, Ltd., [1907] 2 K. B. 559; 76 L. J. (K. B.) 948; 97 L. T. 181;	
23 T. L. R. 550	37
Criddle v. Scott (1895), 11 T. L. R. 222; 59 J. P. 119	32
477; Holt, 502	86
Cronshaw v. Wigan Burial Board (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 217; 42 L. J. (Q. B.) 137;	00
28 L. T. 283	72
Crook r. Seaford Corporation (1871), 6 Ch. App. 551; 25 L. T. 1; 19 W. R.	
938	19
Crosbie Hill v. Sayer, [1908] 1 Ch. 866; 24 T. L. R. 442	$\frac{71}{79}$
Cross, Re, Ex parte Payne (1879), 11 Ch. D. 539; 40 L. T. 563; 27 W. R.	13
808, C. A	59
v. Fisher, [1892] 1 Q. B. 467; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 609; 65 L. T. 448; 40	
W. R. 265; 56 J. P. 372, C. A 312, 343, 37	76
v. Leeds Corporation (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,	^=
Vol. II, 369, C. A	
	70 45
	67
Crowhurst v. Amersham Burial Board (1878), 4 Ex. D. 5; 48 L. J. (EXCH.) 109:	
39 L. T. 355; 27 W. R. 95	65
Cruikshank v. Duffin (1872), L. R. 13 Eq. 555; 41 L. J. (CH.) 317; 26 L. T. 121;	
20 W. R. 354	
Crux r. Aldred (1866), 14 W. R. 656	43
(K. B.) 306	96
	48
Cuckson v. Stones (1858) 1 E. & E. 248; 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 25; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 337;	_
	93
Cullen v. Thomson's Trustees and Kerr (1862), 4 Macq. 424, 432; 9 Jur. (N. 8.)	
	03
Cullerne v. London and Suburban General Permanent Building Society (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 485; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 525; 63 L. T. 511; 39 W. R. 88, C. A. 341, 36	G.A
Cumberland v. Bowes (1854), 15 C. B. 348; 3 C. L. R. 149; 24 L. J. (c. P.) 46;	O.E
	16
Cunliffe r. Hampton Wick Local Board (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts,	
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 274; 9 T. L. R. 378	37
Cunningham v. Dunn (1878), 3 C. P. D. 443; 48 L. J. (c. P.) 62; 38 L. T. 631; 3	^0
Asp. M. C. 359, C. A	93
L. J. (ch.) 448; 52 L. T. 214; 33 W. R. 387	19
	35
C	40
Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494; 17 L. J. (Ex.) 177 . 353, 356, 378, 3	79
	86
Cuther v. Close (1832), 5 C. & P. 337 —— r. Southern (1666), 1 Saund. 116; 1 Lev. 194	.88 .09
r. Southern (1666), 1 Saund. 116; 1 Lev. 194	84
20101 0. 10 000 (1,00), 2 0m102, 24 0., 1102 00., p. 2, 0 10102 10p. 010	-
D.	
υ,	
D. Coom, m. Domin (1500), 1 Don. B.D. 040	04
Da Costa v. Davis (1798), 1 Bos. & P. 242	84
Dallman v. King (1837), 4 Bing. (N. C.) 105; 5 Scott, 382; 3 Hodges, 283; 7 L. J.	
(c. p.) 6	206
Dalton r. Angus (1881), 6 App. Cas. 740; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 689; 44 L. T. 844; 30	
W. R. 191. H. L	
	.49
Dann, Ex parte, Re Parker. See Parker, Re, Ex parte Dann. Darling's Trustees v. Caledonian Rail. Co. (1903), 5 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1001; 40	
	22
Darlington Wagon Co. v. Harding and Trouville Pier and Steamboat Co., [1891]	
1 Q. B. 245; 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 110; 64 L. T. 409; 39 W. R. 167, C. A 2	288
Darlow r. Bland, [1897] 1 Q. B. 125; 66 L. J. (q. B.) 157; 75 L. T. 537; 45	96
M R 199	

PAGE
Dartford Union (Guardians of the Poor of) v. Trickett (1888), 59 L. T. 754; 58
J. P. 277
Davenport v. R. (1877), 3 App. Cas. 115; 47 L. J. (P. c.) 51; 38 L. T. 233, P. C. 258
Davey, Re, Ex parte Chapman (1881), 45 L. T. 268
Davidson v. Carlton Bank (1892), 8 T. L. R. 741
641; 41 W. R. 132; 4 R. 100, C. A
358
v. Jenkins, [1900] 1 Q. B. 133; 69 L. J. (Q. B.) 187; 81 L. T. 788; 48
W. R. 286; 7 Mans. 149
v. Jones (1862), 10 W. R. 779; 7 L. T. 130
v. Rees (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 408; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 54 L. T. 813; 34
W. R. 573, C. A
v. Second Chatham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 61
L. T. 680
Davis, Ex parte, Re Sneezum. See Sneezum, Re, Ex parte Davis.
——————————————————————————————————————
C. A
r, Black (1841), 1 Q. B. 900; 1 Gale & Dav. 432; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 338;
6 Jur. 55
r. Cary (1850), 15 Q. B. 418; 20 L. J. (Q. B.) 48; 15 Jur. 310 93
r. Goodman (1880), 5 C. P. D. 128; 49 L. J. (c. p.) 344; 42 L. T. 288;
28 W. R. 559
r. Hedges (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 687; 40 L. J. (q. B.) 276; 25 L. T. 155;
20 W. R. 60
—— r. Shepherd (1866), 1 Ch. App. 410; 35 L. J. (ch.) 581; 15 L. T. 122 . 108—— r. Usher (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 490; 53 L. J. (q. B.) 422; 51 L. T. 297; 32
W. R. 832
Davis' Case. See Durham County Permanent Investment Land and Building
Society, Davis' Case.
Davison v. Reeves (1892), 8 T. L. R. 391
Dawdy, Re (1855), 15 Q. B. D. 426; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 574; 53 L. T. 800, C. A 284
Dawney r. Dee (1621), Cro. Jac. 605; Bridg. 4; Palm. 46; 2 Roll. Rep. 139 . 416
Dawson v. Midland Rail. Co. (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 8; 42 L. J. (Ex.) 49; 21
W. R. 56
W. R. 514
Day, Re, [1898] 2 Ch. 510: 67 L. J. (ch.) 619; 79 L. T. 437; 47 W. R. 238 273
- v. Beddingfield. See Francis v. Lev.
v. Peacock (1865), 18 C. B. (N. S.) 702; 34 L. J. (c. P.) 225; 11 Jur. (N. S.)
428; 12 L. T. 571; 13 W. R. 717
Dean r. Newhall (1799), 8 Term Rep. 168
Debenham r. King's College, Cambridge (1884). 1 T. L. R. 170; 1 Cab. & El. 438. 307
De Bernardy v. Harding and Poole (1853), 8 Exch. 822; 1 C. L. R. 884; 22
L. J. (EX.) 340 : 1 W. R. 415
De Braam v. Ford. [1900] 1 Ch. 142; 69 L. J. (ch.) 82; 81 L. T. 568; 7 Mans. 28. 38 Deffell v. White (1866), L. R. 2 C. P. 144; 36 L. J. (c. P.) 25; 12 Jur. (N. s.)
902: 15 L. T. 211; 15 W. R. 68
De Morgan, Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills, Re (1892), Hudson on
Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 198; 8 T. L. R. 292, C. A. 161, 202, 221.
226, 303
Denew r. Daverell (1813), 3 Camp. 451
Dennis v. Snape (1687), Comb. 60
Dennison r. Jeffs, [1896] 1 Ch. 611; 65 L. J. (ch.) 435; 74 L. T. 270; 44 W. R.
476
D'Epineuil (Count), Re (1882), 20 Ch. D. 217; 51 L. J. (ch.) 491; 46 L. T. 409; 30 W. R. 423
30 W. R. 423
Derry r. Peck (1889), 14 App. Cas. 337; 50 L. J. (ch.) 864; 61 L. T. 265;
38 W. R. 33: 1 Meg. 292, H. L
Devaynes v. Noble (1816), 1 Mer. 530
D. Villa Ampeld (1899) 10 Price (EV.) 91

1 7	AGE
Devonald v. Rosser, [1906] 2 K. B. 728; 75 L. J. (K. B.) 688; 95 L. T. 232; 22	
T. L. R. 682, C. A. Devonshire (Duke) r. Pattinson (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 263; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 189;	174
58 L. T. 392; 52 J. P. 276, C. A	, 121
De Waal r. Adler (1886), 12 App. Cas. 141; 56 L. J. (P. c.) 25, P. C.	226
Dewhurst v. Clarkson (1854), 3 E. & B. 194; 2 C. L. R. 1143; 23 L. J. (Q. B.)	
	, 347
De Worms (Baron) r. Mellier (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 554	218
De Wyche's Case (1469), Y. B. 9 Edw. 4, 14, A	418
Diamond v. McAnnany (1865), 16 Upper Canada C. P. 9	235
Dickin, Ex parte, Re Waugh. See Waugh, Re, Ex parte Dickin.	
Dickinson v. Richmond Main Sewerage Board (1893), Hudson on Building	050
Contracts, 3rd. ed., Vol. II., p. 254.	256
Dickson r. Swansea Vale Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 4 Q. B. 44; 38 L. J. (q. B.) 17; 19 L. T. 346; 17 W. R. 51	97
Diestal r. Stevenson, [1906] 2 K. B. 345; 75 L. J. (K. B.) 797; 22 T. L. R. 673.	96
Digby v. Atkinson (1815), 4 Camp. 275	237
Dike and Dunstan's Case (1586), Godb. 52	127
Dillon r. Jack (1903), 23 New Zealand L. R. 547	259
Diplock r. Hammond (1854), 2 Sm. & G. 141; 23 L. J. (CH.) 550; 5 De G. M.	
& G. 320	267
District of Columbia v. Clephane (1884), 110 U. S. 212	237
Dixon, Re. [1892] P. 386: 86 J. P. 841	554
	101
C. A	276
r. London Small Arms Co. (1876), 1 App. Cas. 632; 46 L. J. (Q. B.) 617;	210
35 L. T. 559; 25 W. R. 142, H. L.	171
Dobbin's Settlement, Re (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 295; 57 L. T. 277	75
	350
Dobson r. Hudson (1857), 26 L. J. (c. P.) 153; 1 C. P. (n. 8.) 652; 3 Jur. (n. 8.)	
216; 5 W. R. 308	235
r. Keys (1610), Cro. Jac. 261: 1 Brownl. 110; Yelv. 193	84
Dodd r. Churton, [1897] 1 Q. B. 562; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 477; 76 L. T. 438; 45	
W. R. 490, C. A	
	816
Dodson r. Kayes (1610), Yelv. 193; Cro. Jac. 261; 1 Brownl. 110	83
Doe d. Barrett v. Kemp (1831), 7 Bing. 332, 2 Scott, 9; 2 Bing. (N. c.) 102; 1 Hodges, 231; 4 L. J. (Ex.) 331.	149
Didebury - Thomas (1911) 14 Foot 909	142
—— Freeland v. Bust (1787), 1 Term Rep. 701	139
— Garnons v. Knight (1826), 5 B. & C. 671; 8 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 348; 4	
L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 161	84
— Gore v. Langton (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 680	139
— Hughes v. Lakin (1836), 7 C. & P. 481	147
Jones v. Richards (1798), Peake, Add. Cas. 180	141
— Molesworth v. Sleeman (1846), 9 Q. B. 298; 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 338; 10 Jur. 568.	141
Morrison r. Glover (1850), 15 Q. B. 103	387
Padwick v. Skinner (1848), 3 Exch. 84: 18 L. J. (Ex.) 107	141 141
	123
- Renow v. Ashley (1847), 10 Q. B. 663; 16 L. J. (N. 8.) (Q. B.) 356	140
Roberts v. Parry (1944), 13 M. & W. 356.	110
—— Seebkristo r. East India Co. (1856), 10 Moo. P. C. C. 140; 6 Moo.	
Ind. App. 267, P. C.	119
—— Smith v. Cartwright (1824), 1 C. & P. 218; Ry. & M. 62	146
v. Galloway (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 43; 2 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 240; 2	
L. J. (K. B.) 182	141
—— Stansbury v. Arkwright (1833), 2 Ad. & El. 182, n.; 1 Nev. & M. (K. B.)	140
731; 2 L. J. (K. B.) 102. Strode v. Scaton (1834), 2 Ad. & El. 171; 4 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 81; 4 L. J.	146
/F B \ 19	146
(K. B.) 13. — William IV. v. Roberts (1844), 13 M. & W. 520; 14 L. J. (EX.) 274	143
Dolcini v. Dolcini, [1895] 1 Q. B. 898; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 427; 43 W. R. 542; 15	-10
R. 408	5, 49
Doncaster Permanent Benefit Building and Investment Society, Re (1866),	•
L. R. 3 Eq. 158; 14 L. T. 13; 15 L. T. 270; 15 W. R. 102; 31 J. P. 310.	931,
361, 368	, 398

H.L.—111.

PAGE	
Doncaster Permanent Building Society, Rs (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 579; 36 L. J. (CH.) 871; 32 J. P. 39	,
Donegall (Marquis) v. Templemore (1858), 9 I. C. L. R. 374 139, 146 Donkin and Company of Proprietors of Leeds and Liverpool Canal, Re (1893), 9	
T. L. R. 192	;
Doubleday v. Hosking (1869), L. R. 15 Eq. 344, n	
Douglas. Re, [1905] 1 Ch. 279; 74 L. J. (CH.) 196; 92 L. T. 78; 21 T. L. R. 140. 432, 434 Dovaston v. Payne (1795), 2 Hy. Bl. 527	
Downs v. Salmon (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 775; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 454; 59 L. T. 374;	
36 W. R. 810	
Drew v. Drew (1852), 14 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 559; Times, March 12, 1855;	
24 Sc. Jur. 268; 1 Stuart, 501; 2 Macq. 1; 18 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 4; 27 Sc. Jur. 273, H. L	,
— v. Joselyne (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 590; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 490; 57 L. T. 5; 35	
W. R. 570, C. A	
Druce v. Young, [1899] P. 84	
Drury v. Army and Navy etc. Supply, Ltd., [1896] 2 Q. B. 271; 65 L. J. (m. c.) 169; 74 L T. 621; 44 W. R. 560; 60 J. P. 421.	,
169; 74 L T. 621; 44 W. R. 560; 60 J. P. 421	
Duckworth v. Alison (1836), 1 M. & W. 412; 2 Gale, 11; 1 Tyr. & G. 742; 5	
L. J. (Ex.) 171	
Dunaberg and Witepsk Rail. Co. v. Hopkins, Gilkes & Co., Ltd. (1877), 36 L. T.	
733	
Dunraven (Earl) v. Llewellyn (1850), 15 Q. B. 791; 19 L. J. (q. B.) 388 142	
Durham and Northumberland Working Men's Permanent Building Society v. Davidson (1892), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 473; 67 L. T. 269; 56 J. P. 660, C. A. 368, 370)
Durham Brothers v. Robertson, [1898] 1 Q. B. 765; 67 L. J. (Q. B.) 484; 78	
L. T. 438, C. A. Durham County Permanent Investment Land and Building Society, Davis'	3
Case, L. R. 12 Eq. 516; 41 L. J. (ch.) 124; 25 L. T. 83	j
Durham County Permanent Investment Land and Building Society, Wilson's Case, L. R. 12 Eq. 521; 41 L. J. (ch.) 124; 25 L. T. 83	s
Duvergier v. Fellowes (1828), 2 Moo. & P. 384; 5 Bing. 248; 7 L. J. (o. s.)	
(c. p.) 15	5
L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 270, H. L	
Duyer v. Rich (1871), I. R. 4 C. L. 424; I. R. 6 C. L. 144	
Dyte v. St. Pancras Board of Guardians (1872), 27 L. T. 342 280	,
E.	
EALES, Re, Ex parte Steel (1906), 54 W. R. 202	7
Earl v. Lewis (1801), 4 Esp. 1	5
W. R. 145: 21 T. L. R. 71, C. A	7
East and West India Dock Co. v. Kirk and Randall (1887), 12 App. Cas. 738;	7
East India Co. r. Boddam (1804), 9 Ves. 464	-
East London Waterworks Co. v. Charles, [1894] 2 Q. B. 730; 63 L. J. (M. C.)	
209: 71 L. T. 200: 42 W. R. 702; 58 J. P. 764; 10 R. 435	
Ebsworth and Tidy, Re (1889), 42 Ch. D. 23; 58 L. J. (ch.) 665; 60 L. T. 841;	_
Eccles r. Southern (1861), 3 F. & F. 142	
Ecclesiastical Commissioners and New City of London Brewery Co., Re. [1895]	9
1 Ch. 702: 64 L. J. (ch.) 646; 72 L. T. 481; 43 W. R. 457; 18 R. 409 . 196, 53; Eckersley v. Mersey Docks and Harbour Board, [1894] 2 Q. B. 667; 9 R. 827;	J
71 T. T. 308 C. A	7
Eclipse Mutual Benefit Association, Re (1851), 1 K. & J. 30; 23 L. J. (CH.) 280; 2 Eq. Rep. 221; 2 W. R. 113.	8
Ecroyd v. Coulthard, [1897] 2 Ch. 554: 66 L. J. (ch.) 751; 77 L. T. 357; 46	0
W. R. 119: 61 J. P. 791	9
Edelston r. Crossley & Sons, Ltd. (1868), 18 L. T. 15	

Edie c. Kingsford (1854), 14 C. B. 759; 2 C. L. R. 832; 23 L. J. (c. p.) 123	231
Edinburgh (2nd) and Leith (493rd) Star-Bowkett Building Society v. Aitken (1892), 29 Sc. L. R. 456	392
Edmonds r. Blains Furnace Co. (1887), 36 Ch. D. 215; 56 L. J. (ch.) 815; 57 L. T. 139; 35 W. R. 798	20
Edmunds v. Wallingford (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 811; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 305; 52 L. T. 720; 33 W. R. 647; 49 J. P. 549	70
Edwards, Ex parte (1840), 1 Mont. D. & De G. 3	159
v. Edwards (1834), 2 Cr. & M. 612; 3 L. J. (n. s.) (ex.) 204; 4 Tyr. 438 v. ————————————————————————————————————	406
24 W. R. 713	3, 61
r. Marcus, [1894] 1 Q. B. 587; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 70 L. T. 182; 1 Mans. 70	44
v. Marston, [1891] 1 Q. B. 225; 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 202; 64 L. T. 97; 39	
W. R. 165 Egerton v. All of Odd Rode, [1894] P. 15	39 418
Egham Burial Board, Re (1857), 8 Jur. (n. s.) 956	463
Elbinger Actien Gesellschaft v. Armstrong (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 478; 43 L. J. (Q. B.) 211; 30 L. T. 871; 23 W. R. 127	240
Ellesmere Brewery Co. v. Cooper, [1896] 1 Q. B. 75; 65 L. J. (q. B.) 173; 73	00
L. T. 567; 44 W. R. 254 Elliot v. Davis (1800), 2 Bos. & P. 338.	98 84
Elliott v. Crutchlev, [1906] A. C. 7: 75 L. J. (K. B.) 147: 94 L. T. 5: 54 W. R.	104
349; 22 T. L. R. 83, H. L	194 47
Ellis, Ex parte. See Ellis. Re.	58
Re. Ex parte Ellis (1876), 2 Ch. D. 797; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 159; 34 L. T. 705 Re. Ex parte Ellis. [1898] 2 Q. B. 79; 67 L. J. (Q. B.) 734; 78 L. T. 733;	93
46 W. R. 531; 5 Mans. 231	
t. Lortus Iron Co. (1874). L. R. 10 C. P. 10: 44 L. J. (C. P.) 24: 31 L. T.	, 200
483; 23 W. R. 246. r. Plumstead Board of Works (1893), 68 L. T. 291; 41 W. R. 496; 57 J. P.	132
359; 5 R, 237	176
r. Sheffield Gas Consumers Co. (1853), 2 El. & Bl. 767; 23 L. J. (q. B.) 42; 2 C. L. R. 249; 18 Jur. 146; 2 W. R. 19	316
t. Wright (1897) 76 T. T. 522	44
Ellisen v. Laurie (1878), Times, February 19, 1878 Elmes v. Burgh Market Co. (1891), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,	295
Vol. II., p. 183 Elmore v. Tucker (1704), 6 Mod. Rep. 198	214 133
Liphinstone (Lord) v Monkland Iron and Coal Co. (1886) 11 App. Cas. 332: 35	100
W. R. 17, H. L. Elsee r. Galward (1793), 5 Term Rep. 143	$\begin{array}{c} 256 \\ 184 \end{array}$
Clambre v. St. Briavella (Inhabitants) (1828), 8 B. & C. 461: 2 Man. & Rv.	
(K. B.) 514; 6 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.) 372 Elwes r. Brigg Gas Co. (1886), 33 Ch. D. 562; 56 L. J. (CH.) 734; 35 W. R. 192;	177
00 H. T. 831	187
v. Maw (1802), 3 East, 38 . Ely (Bishop) v. Kenrick (1732), Bunb. 332 .	260 116
Emanuel v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 286; 43 L. J. (Q. B.) 96; 30 L. T. 194; 22 W. R. 404	
Emden v. Carte (1881) 17 Ch D 768 · 51 L J (CH) 41 · 44 L T 636. C. A.	57 309
The son, By pure Re Hawking See Hawking Re War narte Emerson	
Emery, Re, E. parte Chief Official Receiver (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 405: 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 629; 87 W. R. 21	3, 74
23 T. T. 508	76
Empson's Case. See Victoria Permanent Benefit Building Investment and Freehold Land Society, Empson's Case. England r. March. (1997).	
	70
706; 14 L. T. 405; 14 W. R. 650	10
102; 6 Jur. 763 Entwistle v. Davis 11007; T. D. A. E. 270; 20 T. J. Corr.) 205; 21 J. D. 709	
Entwistle v. Davis (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 272; 36 L. J. (CH.) 825; 31 J. P. 708 Equitable Investment Co., Ex parte, Re Davies. See Davies, Re, Ex parte	357
Ersking t. Adean (1970) O.C. App. 1860, 40 T. T. (cv.) 925, 90 T. T. 921, 91	
W. R. 802	128
f2	
<i>y</i> 2	

PAGE
Escott v. Martin (1842). 4 Moo. P. C. C. 104; 6 Jur. 765
L. T. 914; 25 W. R. 260
v. Getting (1834). 6 C. & P. 586
79 L. T. 578
v. Taylor (1838), 7 Ad. & El. 617; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 174; 7 L. J. (Q. B.) 73 143 v. Wright (1858), 2 H. & N. 527; 27 L. J. (EX.) 50
F.
FAIBBROTHER, Re, Ex parte Harding (1873), L. R. 15 Eq. 223; 42 L. J. (BCY.
80; 28 L. T. 241
(P. C.) 89; 82 L. T 506, P. C
649; 24 J. P. 663 387
n.; 7 W. R. 362; 23 J. P. 230
Farrow v. Wilson (1869), L. R. 4 C. P. 744, 746; 38 L. J. (c. p.) 326; 20 L. T. 810; 18 W. R. 43
Farthing v. Tomkins (1893), 9 T. L. R. 566
Fearnside v. Flint (1883), 22 Ch. D. 579; 52 L. J. (ch.) 479; 48 L. T. 154; 31 W. R. 318
Feast v. Robinson (1894), 70 L. T. 168; 63 L. J. (CH.) 321; 8 R. 531 51 Fells, Re, Ex parte Andrews (1876), 4 Ch. D. 509; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 23; 36 L. T.
Felton v. Wharrie (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 455, C. A. 201, 246, 247, 249
Fenn v. Bittleston (1851), 7 Exch. 152; 21 L. J. (ex.) 41
Fenton v. Blythe (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 417; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 589; 63 L. T. 453; 39 W. B. 79
Field, Re, Ex parte Pratt (1890), 63 L. T. 289; 7 Morr. 192
Finlay, Ex parte, Re Linton. See Linton, Re, Ex parte Finlay. Firbanks' Executors v. Humphreys (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 54; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 57;
56 L. T. 36; 35 W. R. 92, C. A
Firth, Ex parte, Re Cowburn. See Cowburn, Re, Ex parte Firth. v. Bowling Iron Co. (1878), 3 C. P. D. 254; 47 L. J. (c. P.) 358; 38 L. T.
568; 26 W. R. 558
v. Brierly (1860), 29 L. J. (ch.) 477; 6 Jur. (n. s.) 615; 8 W. R. 485 . 435v. Ford (1840), 4 Jur. 1034; 1 Arn. & H. 12
—— v. Mowbray (1807), 8 East, 330
Fisk v. AG. (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 521: 17 L. T. 27; 15 W. R. 1200
Fitzhugh v. Dennington (1704), 2 Salk. 585; 3 Salk. 309; 6 Mod. Rep. 227, 259; 2 Ld. Raym. 1094; Holt, 68.

Fleming r. Self (1854), 3 De G. M. & G. 997; 3 Eq. Rep. 14; 24 L. J. (ch.) 29; 1
Jur. (N. 8) 25; 3 W. R. 89; 18 J. P. 772
Fletcher, Ex parte, Re Bainbridge. See Bainbridge, Re. Ex parte Fletcher.
- Ex parte, Re Henley. See Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher.
v. Birkenhead Corporation, [1907] 1 K. B. 205; 76 L. J. (K. B.) 218;
96 L. T. 287; 71 J. P. 111; 5 L. G. R. 293; 23 T. L. R. 195, C. A. 516
v. Dyche (1787), 2 Term Rep. 32
Folkestone Corporation v. Woodward (1972), L. R. 15 Eq. 159; 42 L. J. (ch.) 782;
27 L. T. 574; 21 W. R. 97
Foot v. Hodgson (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 160; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 343; 55 J. P. 116 . 178
Foote, Ex parte, Re St. George's Benefit Building Society. See St. George's
Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte Foote.
Forbes v. Ecclesiastical Commissioners (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 51; 42 L. J. (CH.)
97; 27 L. T. 511; 21 W. R. 169
Ford, Re, Ex parte Official Receiver (1901), 84 L. T. 329
v. Cotesworth (1868), L. R. 5 Q. B. 544; 9 B. & S. 559; 10 B. & S. 991; 38
L. J. (Q. B.) 52; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 188; 19 L. T. 634; 23 L. T. 165; 17
W. R. 282; 18 W. R. 1169 190, 248
v. Kettle (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 139; 51 L. J. (q. B.) 558; 46 L. T. 666; 30
W. R. 741
v. Lacey (1861), 7 H. & N. 151; 30 L. J. (Ex.) 351; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 684 . 119
& Co. and Bemrose & Sons, Re (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts,
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 354; 18 T. L. R. 443, C. A 169, 186, 229
Forman & Co. Proprietary v. the Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190; 82 L. T.
991 . O Am N C AK D C 104 107 009 005 001 000 000
Forrest v. Aramayo (1900), 83 L. T. 935; 9 Asp. M. C. 134, C. A
Forster c. Clowser, [1897] 2 Q. B. 362; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 693; 76 L. T. 825 66
Forsyth v. Bristowe (1853), 8 Exch. 716; 22 L. J. (ex.) 255; 17 Jur. 675; 1
W. R. 356
Fortescue v. Lostwithiel and Fowey Rail. Co., [1894] 3 Ch. 621; 64 L. J. (ch.)
37; 71 L. T. 423; 43 W. R. 138; 8 R. 664
Foster v. Dodd (1867), L. R. 3 Q. B. 67; 8 B. & S. 842; 37 L. J. (Q. B.) 28; 17
L. T. 614; 16 W. R. 155
v. Fraser, [1893] 3 Ch. 158; 63 L. J. (CH.) 91; 69 L. T. 136; 42 W. R.
11: 57 J. P. 646; 3 R. 635
—— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736 248, 250
—— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
—— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736 248, 250
—— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736
— and Dicksee r. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736

Friar v. Grey (1850), 15 Q. B. 891, 910; 5 Ex. 597; 15 Jur. 814; affirmed	
	, 94
Friend v. Harrison (1827), 2 C. & P. 584	86
Frisby, Re (1889), 43 Ch. D. 106; 59 L. J. (CH.) 94; 61 L. T. 632; 38 W. R. 65, C. A.	100
Frost v. Knight (1872), L. R. 7 Exch. 111; 41 L. J. (Ex.) 78; 26 L. T. 77; 20	
W. R. 471	239
Fry v. Lane (1888), 40 Ch. D. 312; 58 L. J. (ch.) 113; 60 L. T. 12; 37 W. R.	
135	86 415
Fulham Burial Fees, Re (1878), Times, November 26, 1878	
	191
	259
Fulwood's Case (1590), 4 Co. Rep. 64 b	83
Furber, Ex parte, Re Parsons. See Parsons, Re, Ex parte Furber. v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 273; 56 L. T. 689; 35	
W. R. 398	54
Furnivall v. Hudson, [1893] 1 Ch. 325; 62 L. J. (CH.) 178; 68 L. T. 378; 41	,
	, 14
•	
G.	
C	070
Gallagher v. Hirsh (1899), N. Y. 45 App. Div. 467 188, Galton v. Emuss (1844), 13 L. J. (ch.) 388; 8 Jur. 507	275 170
Games, Ex parte, Re Bamford. See Bamford, Re, Ex parte Games.	1.0
Gann v. Whitstable (Free Fishers) (1864), 11 H. L. Cas. 192; 20 C. B. (N. S.)	
	121
Gapp v. Bond (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 200; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 438; 57 L. T. 437; 35 W. R. 683.	17
Gardner v. Shaw (1871), 24 L. T. 319; 19 W. R. 753	, 17 47
	164
Garrett v. Banstead Downs and Epsom Downs Rail. Co. (1864), 4 De G. J. & S.	
	250
	969
Garrud, Re, Ex parte Newitt (1861), 16 Ch. D. 522; 44 L. T. 5; 29 W. R. 344,	203
C. A	259
Garside v. Liverpool Railway Permanent Benefit Building Society (1897), 13	
T. L. R. 189 Gayford r. Nicholls (1854), 9 Exch. 702; 2 C. L. R. 1066; 23 L. J. (Ex.) 205;	363
2 W. R. 453	135
Geary, Walker & Co. v. Lawrence & Son (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts,	200
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 406, C. A	277
	255
Gell v. Birmingham Corporation (1864), 10 L. T. 497	473 283
General Furnishing Co. v. Venn (1863), 2 H. & C. 153; 32 L. J. (Ex.) 220; 9	200
Jur. (N. s.) 550; 8 L. T. 432; 11 W. R. 756	16
General Steam Navigation Co. v. Rolt (1859), 6 C. B. (N. S.) 550, 584; 6 Jur.	
(n. s.) 801; 8 W. R. 223	280
Gerish r. Chartier (1845), 1 C. B. 13; 14 L. J. (c. P.) 84; 9 Jur. 69 Gerrard v. Clowes, [1892] 2 Q. B. 11; 61 L. J. (q. B.) 487; 67 L. T. 204	276 101
Gery v. Redman (1975), 1 Q. B. D. 161; 45 L. J. (q. B.) 267; 24 W. R. 270	145
Gibbon v. Pease, [1905] 1 K. B. 810; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 502; 92 L. T. 433; 53	
W. R. 417; 69 J. P. 209; 3 L. G. R. 461; 21 T. L. R. 365, C. A.	298
Gibbons v. Chambers (1885), 1 T. L. R. 530; 1 Cab. & El. 577 v. Hickson & Sons (1885), 53 L. T. 910; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 119; 34 W. R.	196
140	57
Gibbs v. Parsons (1887), 22 L. J. N. C. 96	42
r. Southam (1834), 5 B. & Ad. 911; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 155	92
Gibraltar Sanitary Commissioners v. Orfila (1890), 15 App. Cas. 400; 59 L. J.	104
(P. C.) 95; 63 L. T. 58, P. C	194
18 W. R. 776	146
Gilbert v. Buzzard (1820), 3 Phillim. 335 405, 415, 419, 429,	

	PAG B
Giles v. Walker (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 656; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 416; 62 L. T. 933; 38	•
W. R. 782; 54 J. P. 599	127
	, 240
Gillett v. Mawman (1808), 1 Taunt. 137	194
Gillingham v. Gwyer (1867), 16 L. T. 640	123
Gilroy v. Bowey (1888), 59 L. T. 223	10, 69
Gingell r. Stepney Borough Council, [1908] 1 K. B. 115; 77 L. J. (K. B.) 347;	.0, 00
97 L. T. 598; 71 J. P. 486; 6 L. G. R. 180; 23 T. L. R. 759; 24 T. L. R.	
148, C. A	108
Ginger, Re, Ex parte London and Universal Bank, [1897] 2 Q. B. 461; 66 L. J.	
(Q. B.) 777; 76 L. T. 808; 46 W. R. 144; 4 Mans. 149	61
Girdlestone v. Stanley (1839), 3 Y. & C. (Ex.) 421; 3 Jur. 382	114
Glasgow (Lord Provost etc.) v. Farie (1888), 13 App. Cas. 657; 58 L. J. (P. c.)	
33; 60 L. T. 274; 37 W. R. 627, H. L	178
Glasse v. Marshall (1845), 15 Sim. 71; 15 L. J. (CH.) 25	97
Glenn v. Leith (1853), 1 C. L. R. 569	210
Glover v. Giles (1881), 18 Ch. D. 173; 50 L. J. (CH.) 568; 45 L. T. 344; 29	
	200
	328
	2, 92,
	5, 101
Godden v. Hythe Burial Board, [1906] 2 Ch. 270; 75 L. J. (CH.) 595; 95 L. T.	
129; 4 L. G. R. 787; 22 T. L. R. 631, C. A	464
Goldsmith, Re, Ex parte Osborne (1874), 10 Ch. App. 41; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 1; 31	
L. T. 366; 23 W. R. 49	369
Goldstrom v. Tallerman (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 1; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 22; 55 L. T. 866;	
	9, 41
Goman v. Salisbury (1684), 1 Vern. 240	180
Goodman v. Layborn (1881), Roscoe, Digest of Building Cases, 4th ed., p. 162.	214
Goodtitle v. Alker and Elmes (1757), 1 Burr. 133; 1 Keny. 427	122
	, 140
Goodwin v. R. (1898), 28 Can. S. C. R. 273	286
	, 2 83
Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. p.)	
12; 1 Har. & Ruth. 67 . 205, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 229, 233, 284, 290	
Gordon, Re, Ex parte Bernstein (1883), 74 L. T. Jo. 245	33
v. Millar (1838), 1 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 832; 14 Faculty of Decisions 910.	2 95
v. Rae (1858), 8 E. & B. 1065, 1086, 1087; 27 L. J. (Q. B.) 185; 4 Jur.	
(м. в.) 530	88
v. Street, [1899] 2 Q. B. 641; 69 L. J. (q. B.) 45; 81 L. T. 237; 48	
W. R. 158	67
Gorely, Ex parte, Re Barker. See Barker, Re, Ex parte Gorely.	
Goss v. Nugent (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 58; 2 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 28; 2 L. J. (K. B.) 127	180
- v. Quinton (1842), 3 Man. & G. 825; 4 Scott (N. B.), 471; 12 L. J. (c. P.)	
173; 7 Jur. 901	262
Gough r. Everard (1863), 2 H. & C. 1; 32 L. J. (Ex.) 210; 8 L. T. 363; 11 W. R.	
702	56
Gould, Ex parte, Re Walker. See Walker, Re, Ex parte Gould.	
Gowlett v. Hariforth (1774), 2 Wm. Bl. 958	95
	10
Grace r. Gard (1890), 6 T. L. R. 74	
	224
v. Eastern Counties Rail. Co. (1853), 8 Exch. 699	210
Graham, Ex parte, Re Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society. See	
Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parts	
Graham.	
r. Edge (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 683; 57 L. J. (q. B.) 406; 58 L. T. 913;	
36 W. R. 529, C. A	399
v. Furber (1854), 14 C. B. 134; 23 L. J. (c. P.) 51; 2 C. L. R. 10; 18	
Jur. 61	62
v. Johnson (1869), L. R. 8 Eq. 36; 38 L. J. (ch.) 374; 21 L. T. 77; 17	
W. R. 810	97
v. Wilcockson (1876), 46 L. J. (Ex.) 55; 35 L. T. 601	9
Grainger v. Raybould (1840), 9 C. & P. 229	266
Grannell v. Monck (1889), 24 L. R. Ir. 241	38
Grant r. Gold Exploration and Development Syndicate of British Columbia,	
[1900] 1 Q. B. 233; 69 L. J. (Q. B.) 150; 82 L. T. 5; 45 W. R. 280, C. A.	168
r. Grant (1830), 3 Sim. 340: 3 Russ. 598	93 2 90
v. Norway (1851), 10 C. B. 665; 20 L. J. (c. P.) 93: 15 Jur. 296	250 50
	ÜÜ

Graveley v. Barnard (1874), L. R. 18 Eq. 518; 43 L. J. (ch.) 659; 30 L. T.
863
Gray, Ex parte, Re Holt. See Holt, Re, Ex parte Gray. v. Jones (1863), 14 C. B. (N. S.) 743
— r. Pullen (1864), 5 B. & S. 970; 34 L. J. (q. B.) 265; 11 L. T. 569; 13 W. R. 257.
Great Northern Rail. Co. c. Coal Co-operative Co., [1896] 1 Ch. 187; 65 L. J. (CH.) 214; 73 L. T. 443; 44 W. R. 252; 2 Mans.
621
23 L. J. (¬x.) 308; 2 W. R, 626 211
r. Witham (1878), L. R. 9 C. P. 16; 43 L. J. (c. P.) 1; 29 L. T. 471; 22 W. R. 48
Great Torrington Commons Conservators r. Moore Stevens, [1904] 1 Ch. 347: 73 L. J. (ch.) 124; 89 L. T. 667; 68 J. P. 111; 2 L. G. R. 397 . 121, 122, 144
Green v. Attenborough (1864), 3 H. & C. 468; 34 L. J. (Ex.) 88; 11 Jur. (N. 8.) 141; 11 L. T. 513; 13 W. R. 185
r. Eales (1841), 2 Q. B. 225; 1 Gale & Dav. 468; 11 L. J. (q. B.) 63; 6 Jur. 436
r. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330; 61 L. J. (q. B.) 442; 66 L. T. 480; 40 W. R. 449; 56 J. P. 839
& H. 460; 7 L. J. (Q. B.) 236; 2 Jur. 567
Greenhill r. Isle of Wight (Newport Junction) Rail. Co. (1871), 23 L. T. 885; 19 W. R. 345
Greenock Parochial Board r. Coghill & Son (1878), 5 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 732 . 286 Greenslade r. Darby (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 421; 9 B. & S. 428; 37 L. J. (Q. B.)
137; 18 L. T. 463; 16 W. R. 898
88; 21 W. R. 722
242
Greville v. Attkins (1829), 2 B. & C. 462 : 4 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 372
Grierson v. Evre (1804), 9 Ves. 341, 345
v. Dighton (1864), 5 B. & S. 93; 33 L. J. (Q. B.) 181; 9 L. T. 814; 10 L. T. 814; 12 W. R. 441
r. Union Deposit Bank (1887), 3 T. L. R. 608
Grigg r. National Guardian Assurance Co., [1891] 3 Ch. 206; 61 L. J. (ch.) 11; 64 L. T. 787; 39 W. R. 684
Grimes v. Harrison (1859), 26 Beav. 435; 28 L. J. (CH.) 823; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 528: 23 J. P. 421
Grosvenor House Property Acquisition and Investment Building Society, Re,
[1902] W. N. 115; 71 L. J. (ch.) 748; 50 W. R. 630
Grove r. Johnston (1889), 24 L. R. Ir. 852
Guardian Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re (1882), 23 Ch. D. 440; 52 L. J. (CH.) 857; 48 L. T. 134; 32 W. R. 73 374, 375, 376
Gugen v. Sampson (1866), 4 F. & F. 974
Guy v. West (1808). cited in 2 Selwyn's N. P., 13th ed. p. 1244
477; 70 L. J. (K. B.) 614; 84 L. T. 824; 49 W. R. 655 Gwyther v. Gaze (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.
p. 21
H.
HACK v. London Provident Building Society (1883), 23 Ch. D. 103; 52 L. J.
(CH.) 541; 48 L. T. 247; 31 W. R. 392, Č. A
Haddon v. Ayres (1858), 1 E. & E. 118; 28 L. J. (Q. B) 105; 23 Jur. 408; 32 L. T. 145

Haddow v. Morton, [1894] 1 Q. B. 565; 63 L. J. (q. B.) 431; 70 L. T. 470.
Hadley r. Baxendale (1854), 9 Exch. 341, 354; 2 C. L. R. 517; 23 L. J. (Ex.)
179; 18 Jur. 358; 2 W. R. 302
v. Beedom, [1895] 1 Q. B. 646; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 240; 72 L. T. 493; 43
W. R. 218; 2 Mans. 47; 15 R. 183
v. Taylor (1865), L. R. 1 C. P. 53; 11 Jur. (N. s.) 979; 13 L. T. 368; 14
W. R. 59
Haigh v. West, [1893] 2 Q. B. 19; 62 L. J. (Q. B.) 532; 69 L. T. 165; 57 J. P.
358, C. A
Halbot v. Lens, [1901] 1 Ch. 344; 70 L. J. (CH.) 125; 83 L. T. 702; 49 W. R. 214. 301
Hall, Ex parte, Re Townsend. See Townsend, Re, Ex parte Hall.
— Re (1893), unreported case, cited in R. v. Tristram (No. 1), [1898] 2
Q. B. 373
— Re. Ex parte Close (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 386; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 43; 51 L. T.
795: 33 W. R. 228
r. Burke (1886), 3 T. L. R. 165
v. Comfort (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 11; 56 L. J. (q. B.) 185; 55 L. T. 550; 35
W. R. 48
r. Smith (1887), 3 T. L. R. 805
Hallas v. Robinson (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 288: 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 364; 33 W. R. 426
Halliday v. Hamilton's (Duke) Trustees (1903), 5 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 800; 40
Sc. L. R. 628; 11 Sc. L. T. 65
Hamer v. Sharp (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 108; 44 L. J. (CH.) 53; 81 L. T. 643; 23
W. R. 158
Hamilton, Re, Ex parte Carter, [1905] 2 K. B. 772; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 905; 93
L. T. 591; 21 T. L. R. 757
v. Chaine (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 319; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 456; 44 L. T. 764;
29 W. R. 676
Hamlyn v. Betteley (1880), 5 C. P. D. 327; 49 L. J. (c. P.) 465; 42 L. T. 573;
28 W. R. 956; 44 J. P. 411
& Co. v. Wood & Co., [1891] 2 Q. B. 488; 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 734; 65
L. T. 286; 40 W. R. 24, C. A
Hammond v. Bradstreet (1856), 10 Exch. 390; 2 C. L. R. 1195; 23 L. J. (EX.)
332; 2 W. R. 625
- v. Hocking (1884). 12 Q. B. D. 291; 53 L. J. (q. B.) 205; 50 L. T. 267 . 39
and Waterton, Re (1890). 62 L. T. 808
Hanbury r. Guest (1811), 14 East, 401
v. Jenkins, [1901] 2 Ch. 401; 70 L. J. (ch.) 730; 49 W. R. 615; 65
J. P. 631
Hancock v. Podmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 260; 8 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 403 406
Handley v. Farmer (1861), 29 Beav. 362
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 . 423
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 . 423
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardcastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 v. South Yorkshire Rail. and River Dun Co. (1859), 4 H. & N.
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 303; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, (1896) 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 ———————————————————————————————————
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, (1896) 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 . 423 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 303; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 ———————————————————————————————————
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 v. South Yorkshire Rail. and River Dun Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 67: 28 L. J. (Ex.) 139; 5 Jur. (N. B.) 150; 7 W. R. 326 Harding, Ex parte, Ite Fairbrother. See Fairbrother, Ite, Ex parte Harding. v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 Hardwick, Ite, Ex parte Hubbard (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 690; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 490; 59 L. T. 172, n.; 35 W. R. 2; 3 Morr. 246 r. Martin (1794), 5 Term Rep. 636 r. Martin (1783), 1 Cox, Eq. Cas. 26 v. Metropolitan Land and Finance Co. (1872), 7 Ch. App. 427; 41 L. J. (CH.) 257; 26 L. T. 407; 20 W. R. 425 Harman v. Scott (1874), 2 Johnst. N. Z. R. 407
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 ———————————————————————————————————
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 ———————————————————————————————————
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A. Hardastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184 —— v. South Yorkshire Rail. and River Dun Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 67; 28 L. J. (EX.) 139; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 150; 7 W. R. 326 —— v. Suffolk (Cauntess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 —— v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 —— v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 —— v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 —— v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33 —— v. Suffolk (Countess) (1636), 17 Q. B. D. 690; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 490; 59 L. T. 172, n.; 35 W. R. 2; 3 Morr. 246 —— v. Martin (1794), 5 Term Rep. 636 —— v. Metropolitan Land and Finance Co. (1872), 7 Ch. App. 427; 41 L. J. (Ch.) 257; 26 L. T. 407; 20 W. R. 425 Harman v. Scott (1874), 2 Johnst. N. Z. R. 407 Harmer v. Cornelius (1858), 5 C. B. (N. S.) 236; 28 L. J. (C. P.) 85; 4 Jur. (N. S.) 110; 6 W. R. 749 Harrap v. Armitage (1823), 12 Price, 441 Harrington v. Victoria Graving Dock Co. (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 549; 47 L. J. (Q. B.)
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A
Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46 Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 363; 74 L. T. 69; 44 W. R. 323; 60 J. P. 196, C. A

PAGE
Harris v. Pepperell (1867), L. R. 5 Eq. 1; 17 L. T. 191; 16 W. R. 68 . 110, 181
— v. Watson (1791), 1 Peake, 72
Harrison, Ex parte, Re Betts. See Betts, Re, Ex parte Harrison.
v. Seymour (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 518; 35 L. J. (c. P.) 264; 12 Jur.
(N. 8.) 924
v. Southwark and Vauxnah Water Co., [1891] 2 Ch. 409; 60 L. J.
(CH.) 630; 64 L. T. 864
Harrold v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1866), 14 L. T. 440
Harrow-on-the-Hill Churchwardens, Case of, Burn's Ecclesiastical Law, Vol. I.,
p. 250
Hart, Re, Ex parte Bayly (1880), 15 Ch. D. 223; 43 L. T. 181
v. Porthgain Harbour Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 690; 72 L. J. (ch.) 426; 88
L. T. 341; 51 W. R. 461
Hartley v. Rice (1808), 10 East, 22
Harvey, Re, [1896] 1 Ch. 137; 65 L. J. (ch.) 370; 73 L. T. 613; 44 W. R. 242, C. A. 363
r. Lawrence (1867), 15 L. T. 571
r. Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society (1884), 26 Ch. D.
273; 53 L. J. (сн.) 1126; 51 L. T. 408; 32 W. R. 557, С. А 367, 371
v. Truro Rural District Council, [1903] 2 Ch. 638; 72 L. J. (CH.) 705; 89
L. T. 90; 19 T. L. R. 576; 1 L. G. R. 758
Harvey's Case, Co. Ent. 8
Haslewood v. Consolidated Credit Co. (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 555; 60 L. J. (Q. B.)
13; 63 L. T. 71; 39 W. R. 54
Hasluck, Ex parte, Re Bargen. See Bargen, Re, Ex parte Hasluck.
Hastings (Corporation) v. Ivall (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 558; 22 W. R. 724 . 119, 146
Hatton v. English (1857), 7 E. & B. 94; 26 L. J. (Q. B.) 161; 3 Jur. (N. 8.) 294,
v. Harris, [1892] A. C. 547; 62 L. J. (P. c.) 24; 67 L. T. 722, H. L 93
Hauxwell, Ex parte, Re Hemmingway. See Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte
Hauxwell.
Hawken v. Shearer (1887). 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 286
Hawker v. Hallewell (1856), 25 L. J. (ch.) 558; 2 Jur. (n. s.) 794; 4 W. R. 621. 97
Hawkins, Re, Ex parte Emerson (1871), 41 L. J. (BCY.) 20; 20 W. R. 110 12
Hawthorn v. Newcastle etc. Rail. Co. (1842), 3 Q. B. 734, n.; 2 Rail. Cas. 288;
9 L. J. (q. B.) 385
Transferred Butlin (1979) T. P. Q.O. R. 990 + 49 T. T. (A. p.) 169 + 90 T. T. 599 +
Hawtry v. Butlin (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 290; 42 L. J. (Q. B.) 163; 28 L. T. 522;
21 W. R. 633
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 (BCY.) 63: 439; 63 L. J. (CH.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. 123 Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 340, 375 Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847
21 W. R. 633
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (CH.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 86 L. J. (CH.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 Hebby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J. (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (CH.) 787; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hendry, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCV.) 98; 37 L. T.
21 W. R. 633
21 W. R. 633
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Haynes, Re, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42; 49 L. J. (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (CH.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 840, 875 Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 86 L. J. (CH.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 170 Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (CH.) 787; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hennly, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 93; 37 L. T. 758; 25 W. R. 573 Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 137 Henburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Haynes, Re, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42; 49 L. J. (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (CH.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 36 L. J. (CH.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J. (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (CH.) 787; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hendry, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 93; 37 L. T. 758; 25 W. R. 573 Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 137 Hepburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660 Herbage Rents Charity, Greenwich, Re, [1896] 2 Ch. 811; 65 L. J. (CH.) 871;
21 W. R. 633
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Haynes, Re, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42; 49 L. J. (BCX) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (ch.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 86 L. J. (ch.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (ch.) 737; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hendry, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCX) 93; 37 L. T. 758; 25 W. R. 573 Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 137 Hepburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660 Herbage Rents Charity, Greenwich, Re, [1896] 2 Ch. 811; 65 L. J. (ch.) 871; 75 L. T. 148; 45 W. R. 74 Hercules Insurance Co., Re, Brunton's Claim (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 302; 44 L. J.
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Haynes, Re, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42; 49 L. J. (BCY.) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (CH.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 406, 553 Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 86 L. J. (CH.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 170 Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (CH.) 787; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hennly, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCV.) 93; 37 L. T. 758; 25 W. R. 573 Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 137 Hepburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660 Herbage Rents Charity, Greenwich, Re, [1896] 2 Ch. 811; 65 L. J. (CH.) 871; 75 L. T. 148; 45 W. R. 74 Hercules Insurance Co., Re, Brunton's Claim (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 302; 44 L. J. (CH.) 450: 31 L. T. 747; 23 W. R. 286
21 W. R. 633 Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. R. 11 Hay's Case. See Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case. Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 Hayne's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113 Haynes, Re, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42; 49 L. J. (BCX) 62: 43 L. T. 36; 28 W. R. 848 v. King, [1893] 3 Ch. 439; 63 L. J. (ch.) 21; 69 L. T. 855; 42 W. R. Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 86 L. J. (ch.) 372; 15 W. R. 390 Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471; 64 L. J. (q. B.) 465; 72 L. T. 841; 43 W. R. 561; 60 J. P. 20; 11 R. 232, H. L. Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J (Ex.) 245; 2 C. M. & R. 385; 1 Gale, 206; 5 Tyr. 887; 1 Jur. 893 Hemmingway, Re, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626; 52 L. J. (ch.) 737; 48 L. T. 742; 31 W. R. 711 Henderson, Re, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626; 24 L. T. 745; 19 W. R. 835 Hendry, Re (1887), 56 L. T. 908; 35 W. R. 730 Henley, Re, Ex parte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809; 46 L. J. (BCX) 93; 37 L. T. 758; 25 W. R. 573 Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 137 Hepburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660 Herbage Rents Charity, Greenwich, Re, [1896] 2 Ch. 811; 65 L. J. (ch.) 871; 75 L. T. 148; 45 W. R. 74 Hercules Insurance Co., Re, Brunton's Claim (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 302; 44 L. J.

PAG	B
Herne Bay Urban District Council v. Payne, [1907] 2 K. B. 130; 76 L. J. (K. B.) 685; 96 L. T. 666; 5 L. G. R. 631; 71 J. P. 282; 23 T. L. R. 442	
Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547; 62 L. J. (Q. B.) 5; 67 L. T. 611; 41	
W. R. 67	
412; 33 W. R. 103. Hewer, Re. Ex parte Kahen (1882), 21 Ch. D. 871; 51 L. J. (CH.) 904; 46 L. T.	
856; 30 W. R. 954	50 50
Heys v. Indall (1861), 1 B. & S. 296; 30 L. J. (q. B.) 362; 4 L. T. 403; 9 W. R. 664	
Hibbert v. Acton Local Board (1889), 5 T. L. R. 274, C. A	76
Rickey v. Browne (1842), 4 I. L. R. 277 Hickley v. Greenwood (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 277; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 413; 63 L. T.	
288; 38 W. R. 686. Hickson v. Darlow (1883), 23 Ch. D. 690; 48 L. T. 449; 31 W. R. 417	6
Hill, Ex parte, Re Lane. See Lane, Re, Ex parte Hill.	54 38
v. Featherstonhaugh (1831), 7 Bing. 569; 5 Moo. & P. 541	98 16
	91 31
v. South Staffordshire Rail. Co. (1865), 12 L. T. 63; 11 Jur. (N. s.) 192. 219, 23	
Hill's Case. See Victoria Permanent Benefit Building Investment and Freehold Land Society, Hill's Case. 1010	20
Hilton v. Ankesson (1872), 27 L. T. 519	92 11, 19
	8 3 7
Hinds v. Chester (Bishop) (1631), Cro. Car. 237. 41. Hindson v. Ashby, [1896] 2 Ch. 9; 65 L. J. (CH.) 515; 74 L. T. 327; 60 J. P.	3 2
484, C. A	
Hirth, Re, [1899] 1 Q. B. 612; 68 L. J. (Q. B.) 287; 80 L. T. 63; 47 W. R. 243 (Hitchcock v. Walter (1838), 6 Dowl. (K. B.) 457	62 18
Hoare v. Osborne (1866), L. R. 1 Eq. 585; 35 L. J. (CH.) 345; 12 Jur. (N. S.)	80 34
	25
Hockaday, Re, Ex parte Nelson (1887), 55 L. T. 819; 85 W. R. 264; 4 Morr. 12 Hockey v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 390; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 253; 56 L. T. 179; 35	32 ~~
Hodges v. Horsfall (1829), 1 Russ. & M. 116	63 40 23
v. Smith (1599), Cro. Eliz. 623. Hodgkin, Re, Ex parte Softley (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 746; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 107; 33	98
L. T. 62; 24 W. R. 68	71 84 45
Hodgson, Re (1885), 31 Ch. D. 177; 55 L. J. (CH.) 241; 54 L. T. 222; 34 W. R.	40 99
, Re, Ex parte Brett (1875), 1 Ch. D. 151; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 17; 33 L. T. 711: 24 W. R. 101, C. A.	18
	92 95

raga
Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation Re (1986) 9 T. L. R. 470 - 54 L. T. 596 C. A. 905 906
Contract Corporation, Re (1986), 2 T. L. R. 470; 54 L. T. 596, C. A 205, 206,
211, 215, 282, 286
Holbach v. Warner (1623), Cro. Jac. 665; Palm. 331
Holbard v. Stevens (1841), 5 Jur. 71
Holden v. Webber (1860), 29 Beav. 117
Holder v. Chambury (1734), 3 P. Wms. 256
Holderness v. Rankin (1860), 28 Beav. 180; 2 De G. F. & J. 258; 29 L. J. (CH.)
758; 8 W. R. 713; 6 Jur. (n. s.) 908, 928
Hole v. Sittingbourne and Sheerness Rail. Co. (1861), 6 H. & N. 488; 30 L. J.
(Ex.) 81, 83; 3 L. T. 750; 9 W. R. 274
Holford v. Bailey (1849), 13 Q. B. 426; 18 L. J. (q. B.) 109; 13 Jur. 278 . 108, 149
Holland v. Wallen (1894), 70 L. T. 376; 10 R. 583
Holliday v. National Telephone Co., [1899] 2 Q. B. 392; 68 L. J. (Q. B.) 1016;
81 L. T. 252; 47 W. R. 658, C. A
Holme v. Guppy (1838), 3 M. & W. 387; 1 Jur. 825 197, 198, 199, 245
Holme's Estate, Re (1861), 5 L. T. 382; 3 Giff. 337; 8 Jur. (N. s.) 252 98
Holmes v. Bell (1841), 3 Man. & G. 213; 3 Scott (N. R.), 479
v. Bellingham (1859), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 829; 29 L. J. (c. P.) 132: 6 Jur.
(N. S.) 534
v. Ivy (1680), 2 Show. 15
v. North Eastern Rail. Co. (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 123; 40 L. J. (EX.)
121 : 24 L. T. 69
Holroyd v. Marshal (1862), 10 H. L. Cas. 191; 33 L. J. (ch.) 193; 9 Jur. (n. s.)
Holt, Re, Ex parte Gray (1888), 58 L. J. (Q. B.) 5
v. Holt (1694), 2 Vern. 321; 1 Ch. Cas. 190
Homersham v. Wolverhampton Waterworks Co. (1851), 6 Exch. 137; 6 Ry. &
Can. Cas. 790
Hood, Re, Ex parte Burgess (1893), 42 W. R. 23; 4 R. 502; 10 Morr. 231 9, 10
Hooman, Ex parte, Re Vining. See Vining, Re, Ex parte Hooman.
Hooper v. Parmenter (1862), 10 W. R. 648
Hopkins v. Gudgeon, [1906] 1 K. B. 690; 75 L. J. (K. B.) 452; 94 L. T. 578; 54
W. R. 419
v. Smethwick Local Board (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 712; 59 L. J. (Q. B.)
250; 62 L. T. 783; 38 W. R. 499; 54 J. P. 693, C. A
Hopkinson v. Lee (1845), 6 Q. B. 964; 14 L. J. (q. B.) 101; 9 Jur. 616 102
Hopper v. Davis (1754), 1 Lee, 640
, Re (1867), L. Ř. 2 Q. B. 367; 8 B. & S. 100; 36 L. J. (q. B.) 97; 15 L. T.
566; 15 W. R. 443
Hornby v. Toxteth Park Burial Board (1862), 31 Beav. 52; 31 L. J. (ch.) 643;
8 Jur. (n. s.) 531; 6 L. T. 146; 10 W. R. 550
Horne v. Hughes (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 676; 44 L. T. 678; 29 W. R. 576; 45 J. P.
604
Hornsey School of Art v. Edmonton Union Assessment Committee (1905). 70
J. P. 121; 94 L. T. 203; 4 L. G. R. 178
Horsley v. Style (1893), 69 L. T 222; 58 J. P. 38; 4 R. 574
Hosier v. Searle (1800), 2 Bos. & P. 299 Hosking v. Pahang Corporation, Ltd. (1891), 8 T. L. R. 125, C. A
HOSKING V. FARANG COLPORATION, LIGHT, CO. I. H. 14, 129, U. A
Hotham v. East India Co. (1787), 1 Term Rep. 638, 645
Hough v. Clark and Hall (1907), 23 T. L. R. 692; 5 L. G. R. 1195 114, 121
Hounsell v. Smyth (1960), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 731; 29 L. J. (C. P.) 203; 6 Jur. (N. S.)
897; 1 L. T. 440; 8 W. R. 277
Howard r. Sheward (1866), L. R. 2 C. P. 148; 36 L. J. (c. P.) 42; 12 Jur. (N. S.)
1015; 15 L. T. 183; 15 W. R. 45
Hubbard, Ex parte, Re Hardwick. See Hardwicke, Re, Ex parte Hubbard.
Huckle v. Wilson (1877), 2 C. P. D. 410; 26 W. R. 98
Hudson v. Revett (1829), 2 Moo. & P. 663; 5 Bing. 368; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (c. p.)
145
Hugall v. M. Lean (1885), 53 L. T. 94; 33 W. R. 588, C. A
Hughes v. Budd (1840), 8 Dowl, 478
v. Lenny (1839), 5 M. & W. 183; 2 Horn. & H. 13; 8 L. J. (Ex.)
177
v. Little (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 32; 56 L. J. (q. B.) 96; 55 L. T. 476; 35
W. R. 36
r. Liverpool Corporation, Annual Practice, 1890-1891, p. 152 287

PAGE
Hughes v. Lloyd (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 157; 58 L. J. (Q. B.) 122; 60 L. T. 675; 37 W. R. 381; 53 J. P. 310
r. Percival (1883), 8 App. Cas. 443; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 719; 49 L. T. 189;
31 W. R. 725: 47 J. P. 772. H. L
v. Wynne (1832), 1 My. & R. 23; 2 L. J. (ch.) 28
Hull and Selby Rail. Co., Re (1839). 5 M. & W. 327; 8 L. J. (Ex.) 260 . 120,122
Humble v. Hunter (1848), 12 Q. B. 310; 17 L. J. (Q. B.) 350; 12 Jur. 121 . 271
Humphreys v. Jones and Pickering (1850), 5 Exch. 952; 20 L. J. (ex.) 88
Hunt, Ex parte, Re Cann. See Cann, Re, Ex parte Hunt.
r. Bishop (1853) 8 Exch. 675: 22 L. J. (ex.) 337 198, 252, 256 v. Remnant (1854), 9 Exch. 135; 23 L. J. (ex.) 135; 18 Jur. 335; 2 W. R.
276
v. South Eastern Rail, Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (c. P.) 87, H. L 179, 183, 202,
210, 251 — v. White, Calendar of Chancery Proceedings, temp. Queen Elizabeth
(Record Commission Publication), Vol. I., p. cxlvii
r. Wimbledon Local Board (1878), 4 C. P. D. 48, 54; 48 L. J. (c. p.) 207:
39 L. T. 35; 27 W. R. 123, C. A
00 W D 400
Hurst v. Jennings (1826), 5 B. & C. 650; 8 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 424 81, 88, 95
v. Taylor (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 918; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 310; 33 W. R. 582; 49 J. P. 359
Husband v. Davis (1851), 10 C. B. 645; 2 L. M. & P. 50; 20 L. J. (c. P.)
118
Hutchins v. Denziloe (1792), 1 Hag. Con. 176
Hutchinson v. Mains (1832), Alc. & N. 155
Hutton, Re, Exparte Benwell (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 301; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 53; 51 L. T. 677; 33 W. R. 342, C. A
v. Eyre (1815), 6 Taunt, 289 : 1 Marsh, 603
Hydraulic Engineering Co. v. M'Haffie (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 670; 27 W. R.
221
v. Spencer & Sons (1886) 2 T. L. R. 554, C. A. 186, 229
v. Spencer & Sons (1886) 2 T. L. R. 554, C. A. 186, 229 I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T.
v. Spencer & Sons (1886) 2 T. L. R. 554, C. A. 186, 229 I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (CH.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. Lifond Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295 Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, Re, [1901] 1 Ch. 113: 70 L. J. (ch.) 66: 84 L. T. 146 325, 341, 361, 397, 398
I. Lifond Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295 177 Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, Re, [1901] 1 Ch. 113: 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 189, 240. 277 186, 229 177 Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, Re, [1901] 1 Ch. 113: 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 295 Imperial Ottoman Bank v. Cowan (1873), 29 L. T. 52; 21 W. R. 770 290
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (CH.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (CH.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295 177 Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, Re, [1901] 1 Ch. 113: 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 70 L. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 70 L. J. (ch.) 65; 341, 361, 397, 398 Imperial Ottoman Bank v. Cowan (1873), 29 L. T. 52; 21 W. R. 770 290 Inchbald v. Western Neilgherry Coffee Co. (1864), 17 C. B. (n. s.) 733; 34 L. J. (c. p.) 15; 10 Jur. (n. s.) 1129; 11 L. T. 345; 13 W. R. 95 11 L. T. 345; 13 W. R. 95 12 L. J. (ch.) 103; 3 Eq. Rep. 176; 3 W. R. 101; 5 De G. M. & G. 324; 24
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (CH.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (CH.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LEFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LEFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (Ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295 TOL. J. (ch.) 66; 84 L. T. 146 TOL. J. (ch.) 69; 89 L. T. 290 Inchbald v. Western Neilgherry Coffee Co. (1864), 17 C. B. (n. s.) 733; 34 L. J. (c. p.) 15; 10 Jur. (n. s.) 1129; 11 L. T. 345; 13 W. R. 95 TOL. J. (ch.) 103; 3 Eq. Rep. 176; 3 W. R. 101; 5 De G. M. & J. 145; 24 L. J. (ch.) 103; 3 Eq. Rep. 176; 3 W. R. 101; 5 De G. M. & G. 324; 24 L. J. (ch.) 713; 1 Jur. (n. s.) 761; 3 W. R. 396 TOL.
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (Ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. ILFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (Ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295
I. LIFORD Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522; 72 L. J. (ch.) 699; 89 L. T. 295

PAGE
Ives and Barker v. Willans, [1894] 2 Ch. 478; 63 L. J. (ch.) 521; 70 L. T. 674;
42 W. R. 483, C. A
J.
JACK v. M'Intyre (1845), 12 Cl. & Fin. 151, H L
Jacobs v. Seward (1872), L. R. 5 H. L. 464; 41 L. J. (c. P.) 221; 27 L. T. 185, H. L. 137
Jacobson v. St. Pancras Vestry (1880), 44 J. P. 184
Jackson, Ex parte, Re Bowes. See Bowes, Re, Ex parte Jackson.
v. Barry Rail. Co., [1893] 1 Ch. 238; 2 R. 207; 68 L. T. 472, C. A. 219, 287
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 67, C. A
v. Stopherd (1834), 2 Cr. & M. 361; 4 Tyr. 330; 3 L. J. (Ex.) 95 . 163
v. Swarbrick, [1870] W. N. 133
——— t. Winnifith (1882), 47 D. T. 243
James, Ex parte, Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society. See
Mutual Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte James. ———————————————————————————————————
L. T. 621; 23 W. R. 536.
v. Cotton (1831), 7 Bing. 266; 5 Moo. & P. 26
v. Masters, [1893] 1 Q. B. 855; 67 L. T. 855; 41 W. R. 174; 57 J. P.
167; 5 R. 112
(K. B.) 207
Jameson v. Brick and Stone Co., Ltd. (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 208; 48 L. J. (Q. B.)
249; 39 L. T. 594; 27 W. R. 221
133
Jardine, Ex parte, Re McManus. See McManus, Re, Ex parte Jardine.
Jarvis, Ex parte, Re Spanton. See Spanton, Re, Ex parte Jarvis.
v. Jarvis (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 10; 69 L. T. 412; 1 Mans. 199; 8 R. 361.
13, 14, 20, 22
Jay, Ex parte, Re Blenkhorn. See Blenkhorn, Re, Ex parte Jay. ———————————————————————————————————
Jeavons, Re, Ex parte Mackay (1873), 8 Ch. App. 643; 42 L. J. (BCY.) 68; 28
T. T. 828: 21 W. R. 664
Jenkins v. Betham (1854), 15 C. B. 168: 3 C. L. R. 373; 24 L. J. (c. p.) 94; 1
Jur. (N. s.) 237; 3 W. R. 283
v. Tucker (1788), 1 Hy. Bl. 91
Jennings v. Brigton Intercepting and Outfall Sewers Board (1872), 4 De G. J.
& Š. 735, n
Jersey (Earl) v. Neath Guardians (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 555; 58 L. J. (Q. B.) 573;
37 W. R. 388 : 53 J. P. 404, C. A
Johns v. Ware, [1899] 1 Ch. 354; 68 L. J. (ch.) 155; 80 L. T. 112; 47 W. R. 202; 6 Mans. 38
Johnson, Ex parte, Re Chapman. See Chapman, Re, Ex parte Johnson.
v. Altrincham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1883), 49 L. T.
568; 48 J. P. 24
v. Crew (1836), 5 Upper Canada Q. B. (o. s.) 200 165, 264
v. Friend (1860), 6 Jur. (n. s.) 280
v. Gandy (1855), 26 L. T. (o. s.) 72; 4 W. R. 22
v. Oldham (1700), 1 Ld. Raym. 609
v. Raylton (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 438; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 753; 45 L. T. 374; 30 W. R. 350
30 W. R. 350
v. Wrightson (1701), 1 Lut. 1066
Johnston v. Cheape (1817), 5 Dow, 247
v. Robertson (1861), 23 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 646; 33 Sc. Jur. 335
Johnstone, Re, Ex parte Abrams (1884), 50 L. T. 184; 1 Morr. 32
Jolliffe v. Woodhouse (1894), 10 T. L. R. 553, C. A
John Woodhouse (1934), 19 L. L. 1995, J. L

	AGE
Jones, Re. See Barker, Re, Re Jones, Ex parte Jones, Ex parte Constable.	
— Re, Ex parte Nichols (1883), 22 Ch. D. 782; 52 L. J. (CH.) 635; 48 L. T.	
.00 04 111 10 001 0 1	oco
492; 31 W. R. 661, C. A	269
v. Bright (1829), 5 Bing. 533; 3 M. & P. 155; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (c. p.) 213.	241
— - v. Cannock (1852), 3 H. L. Cas. 700; 5 Exch. 713; 19 L. J. (Ex.) 371, H. L.	198
— r. Ellis (1828), 2 Y. & J. 265	410
v. Gough (1865), 3 Moo. P. C. C. (N. S.) 1; 11 Jur. (N. S.) 251; 12 L. T.	
	470
31; 13 W. R. 509	472
v. Harris (1871), L. R. 7 Q. B. 157; 41 L. J. (q. B.) 6; 25 L. T. 702; 20	
W. R. 143	49
r. Heavens (1877), 4 Ch. D. 636; 25 W. R. 460	90
— r. Howell (1835), 4 Dowl. (K. B.) 176	231
T. HOWER (1999), F. DOWL, R. B. J. 100	201
v. Just (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 197; 37 L. J. (q. B.) 89; 18 L. T. 208; 16	
W. R. 643	189
v. Merionethshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1892] 1 Ch. 173;	
61 L. J. (CH.) 138; 65 L. T. 685; 40 W. R. 273; 17 Cox, C. C. 389, C. A.	339
—- v. North (1875), L. R. 19 Eq. 426; 44 L. J. (CH.) 388; 32 L. T. 149; 23	000
W. R. 468	17C
— v. Powell (1826), 5 B. & C. 647; 8 Dow. & Ry. (к. в.) 416; 4 L. J. (о. в.)	
(к. в.) 481	133
- r. Pritchard, [1908] 1 Ch. 636	
v. Read (1876), I. R. 10 C. L. 315	
v. Roberts (1890), 34 Sol. Jo. 254	28
r. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115; 40 L. J. (q. B.) 80;	
23 L. T. 803; 19 W. R. 276 174, 196, 199, 207, 208, 211, 245,	247
v. Swansea Cambrian Benefit Building Society (1880), 29 W. R. 382; 50	
	905
L. J. (Q. B.) 428; 44 L. T. 106; 45 J. P. 507	
v. Tower Furnishing Co. (1889), 61 L. T. 84; 6 Morr. 193 10	, 12
v. Williams (1837), 2 M. & W. 326; Mac. & H. 51; 6 L. J. (Ex.) 107	148
—— and Matthews v. Herbert (1817), 7 Taunt. 421	98
Lordon Da Francete Summono (1990), 14 Ch D 602 : 40 T. T. 106 : 90 W D	00
Jordan, Re, Exparte Symmons (1880), 14 Ch. D. 693; 42 L. T. 106; 28 W. R.	
803, C. A	59
Jorden v. Money (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 185; 23 L. J. (ch.) 865, H. L	98
Jordin v. Crump (1841), 8 M. & W. 782; 5 Jur. 1113; 11 L. J. (Ex.) 74 . 128,	130
Joseph v. Lyons (1884), 15 Q. B. D. 280; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 1; 51 L. T. 740; 33	
	70
W. R. 145	72
r. Webb (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 262	67
Joyce, Re, Ex parte Barclay (1874), 9 Ch. App. 576; 43 L. J. (BCY.) 137; 30	
L. T. 479; 22 W. R. 608	26
Judd v. Evans (1795), 6 Term Rep. 399	
Vida v. Livans (1750), v Term 1869. 555	102
K.	
 -	
Killen Er narte Re Hawer See Hewer Re Er narte Kahen	
KAHEN, Ex parte, Re Hewer. See Hewer, Re, Ex parte Kahen.	907
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1	207
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1. Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (Q. B.)	
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1	207 73
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1	
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T.	73
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A.	
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q.B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T.	73 195
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (Q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909	73 195 14
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (Q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909	73 195
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 96 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183	73 195 14
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.)	73 195 14 317
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q.B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145	73 195 14
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q.B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26	73 195 14 317 263
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552	73 195 14 317
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552	73 195 14 317 263
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R.	73 195 14 317 263 365
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q.B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C.	73 195 14 317 263 365 418
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q.B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 State v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. 411, Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339	73 195 14 317 263 365
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 96 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. Keilett v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Kellett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 220
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet v. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. 411, Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 8rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet v. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. 411, Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 8rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 220 294
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. 411, Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154 Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (CH.) 383; 42 L. T. 827	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 220
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (Q. B.) 548; 96 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Kellett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154 Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (CH.) 383; 42 L. T. 827 - & Co. v. Kellond (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 569; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 330; 58 L. T.	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 290 294 267
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154 Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (Ch.) 383; 42 L. T. 827 & Co. v. Kellond (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 569; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 330; 58 L. T. 263; 36 W. R. 363, C. A.	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 220 294 267
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (CH.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. 411, Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 8rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154 Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (CH.) 383; 42 L. T. 827 d. Co. v. Kellond (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 569; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 330; 58 L. T. 203; 36 W. R. 363, C. A. Kelsall v. Tyler (1856) 11 Exch. 513; 25 L. J. (Ex.) 153	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 290 294 267
Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports 1 Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361; 46 L. J. (q. B.) 548; 36 L. T. 694; 25 W. R. 691 Kearley v. Thompson (1899), 24 Q. B. D. 742; 59 L. J. (q. B.) 288; 63 L. T. 150; 38 W. R. 614; 54 J. P. 804, C. A. Kearsley v. Phillips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 581; 49 L. T. 435; 31 W. R. 909 Keates v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1873), 28 L. T. 183 Keen and Keen, Re, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 487; 86 L. T. 235; 50 W. R. 834; 9 Mans. 145 Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 644; 38 L. T. 286; 26 W. R. 552 Keet r. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73; 45 L. J. (P. C.) 10; 33 L. T. 794; 24 W. R. 375, P. C. Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 339 Keilett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329 v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154 Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (Ch.) 383; 42 L. T. 827 & Co. v. Kellond (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 569; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 330; 58 L. T. 263; 36 W. R. 363, C. A.	73 195 14 317 263 365 418 102 220 294 267

_	
Kemble r. Farren (1829), 6 Bing. 141; 3 Moo. & P. 425; 3 C. & P. 623; 7 L. J.	AGE
(0, 8.) (C. P.) 258	3. 9 7
Kemp v. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258; 4 Jur. (N. 8) 919; 32 L. T. 51173, 174, 179, 186,	
r. Wickes (1809), 3 Phillim. 264	
Kendall v. Hamilton (1879), 4 App. Cas. 504; 48 L. J. (C. P.) 705; 48 L. T. 418:	135
28 W. R. 97, H. L	103
Kennedy, Ex parte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Ex parte Kennedy.	
	117
92 L. T. 601; 53 W. R. 622; 69 J. P. 250; 21 T. L. R. 426	422
Kent Benefit Building Society, Re (1861), 1 Drew. & Sm. 417: 30 L. J. (CH.)	144
785; 7 Jur. (N. s.) 1045; 4 L. T. 10; 9 W. R. 686	379
Ker v. Mitchel (1786), 2 Chit. 487	92
Merr, Re, [1894] P. 284	261
Keys v. Harwood (1846), 2 C. B. 905; 15 L. J. (c. p.) 207	227
Kilner, Ex parte, Re Barker, See Barker, Re. Ex parte Kilner.	
Kimber v. Admans, [1900] 1 Ch. 412; 69 L. J. (ch.) 296; 82 L. T. 136; 48 W. R.	
322, C. A. Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. R. 13 Eq. 1; 41 L. J. (ch.) 38; 25 L. T. 476; 20	177
W. R. 49	289
Kimp v. Cruwes (1696), 2 Lut. 1573	133
King. See also Locke-King.	
King v. Hoare (1844), 13 M. & W. 494; 2 Dow. & L. 383; 14 L. J. (ex.) 29	102
	198
Kingsmill v. Millard (1855), 11 Exch. 313; 3 C. L. R. 1022	139
Kingston-on-Hull Corporation v. Harding, [1892] 2 Q. B. 494; 62 L. J. (Q. B.)	
55; 67 L. T. 239; 41 W. R. 19, C. A.	280
Kingston-upon-Hull (Governor, Guardians etc.) r. Petch (1854), 10 Exch. 610; 3 C. L. R. 196; 24 L. J. (Ex.) 23	167
Kirk v. Bromley Union (1848), 2 Phil. 640; 17 L. J. (ch.) 127; 12 Jur. 85231, 234.	
Kirkdale Burial Board r. Liverpool Corporation, [1904] 1 Ch. 829: 73 L. J.	
(ch.) 529; 91 L. T. 28; 52 W. R. 427; 68 J. P. 289; 2 L. G. R. 763; 20	
T. L. R. 406	
Kirton v. Dear (1869), L. R. 5 C. P. 217; 39 L. J. (c. P.) 36; 21 L. T. 532; 18	293
W. R. 144: 1 Hop. & Colt. 349	410
Knight, Re, Ex parte Voisey (1882), 21 Ch. D. 442; 47 L. T. 362; 31 W. R.	
19, C. A	362
	316
	010
(Ex.) 78	174
r. Mosely (1753), Amb. 176	409
	134
	104
	3 33
Knightley, Ex parte, Re Moulson. See Moulson, Re, Ex parte Knightley.	
Knipe r. Blair, [1900] 1 I. R. 372, C. A	93
Knox r. Shepherd (1860), 2 L. T. 351	330
78 L. T. 409; 46 W. R. 616	63
L.	
	~~
LACY v. Kynaston (1702), 12 Mod. Rep. 548; Salk. 572, 575; Holt, 178, 218 Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal	99
Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238 . 205, 209, 216, 229, 233, 246, 247, 284, 289,	291
Laidler v. Burlinson (1837), 2 M. & W. 602; 6 L. J. (Ex.) 160	260
Laing v. Reed (1869), 5 Ch. App. 4; 39 L. J. (ch.) 1; 21 L. T. 773; 18 W. R.	000
76; 34 J. P. 134	333
97 Tr. Tr. 816. H. Tr.	260
Lainson v. Tremere (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 792; 3 Nev. & M. (R. B.) 603; 4 L. J.	
(K. B.) 207	90

PAGE
Lakeman v. Mountstephen (1874), L. R. 7 H. L. 17; 43 L. J. (Q. B.) 188; 30
L. T. 437; 22 W. R. 617, H. L
Lamb v. Bruce (1876), 35 L. T. 425; 45 L. J. (Q. B.) 538; 24 W. R. 645 . 48, 50
Lambert v. Branthwaite (1732), 2 Stra. 945
Lambeth Overseers v. London County Council, [1897] A. C. 625; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 806: 76 L. T. 795; 46 W. R. 79; 61 J. P. 580, H. L
Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 282. 179, 190, 212,
221, 227, 229, 235
Lancashire Asylums Board v. Manchester Corporation, [1900] 1 Q. B. 458; 69
L. J. (Q. B.) 234; 82 L. T. 1; 48 W. R. 356; 64 J. P. 101, C. A 475
Lancaster v. Eve (1859), 5 C. B. (N. S.) 717; 28 L. J. (C. P.) 235; 5 Jur. (N. S.)
683; 7 W. R. 260
Landless v. Wilson (1880), 8 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 289; 18 Sc. L. R. 206 304, 305
Lane, Re. Ex parte Hill (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 74; 3 Morr. 148
v. Tyler (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 461
v. Williams (1693), 2 Vern. 292; Eq. Cas. Abr. 870, p. 3
Langdon v. Goole (1681), 3 Lev. 21
Lanphier v. Phipos (1838), 8 C. & P. 475, at p. 479
Lansdowne v. Somerville (1962), 3 F. & F. 236
Lapthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 T. L. R. 279; 1 Cab. & El. 486 . 216, 233, 291
Larchin v. North-Western Deposit Bank (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 64; 44 L. J. (Ex.) 1: 33 L. T. 124: 23 W. R. 325
Laughter's Case (1595), 5 Co. Rep. 21
Laurie v. West Hartlepool Third Indemnity Association (1899), 4 Com. Cas.
322
Laver v. Botham & Sons, [1895] 1 Q. B. 59: 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 110; 71 L. T. 570;
43 W. R. 25; 59 J. P. 454; 15 R. 44
v. Chesterfield Union. See Laver v. Bothham & Sons.
Lavery v. Pursell (1888), 39 Ch. D. 508; 57 L. J. (ch.) 570; 58 L. T. 846; 37
W. R. 163
Lavy v. London County Council, [1895] 2 Q. B. 577; 64 L. J. (M. c.) 262; 73
L. T. 106; 43 W. R. 677; 59 J. P. 630; 14 R. 634, C. A
Law v. Redditch Local Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 127; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 172; 66
L. T. 76: 56 J. P. 292, C. A
Lawford v. Billericay Rural District Council, [1903] 1 K. B. 772; 72 L J. (K. B.)
554; 88 L. T. 317; 51 W. R. 630; 67 J. P. 245; 1 L. G. R. 535, C. A
Lawrence v. Clements (1874), 31 L. T. 670
r. Hitch (1869), L. R. 3 Q. B. 521; 9 B. & S. 467; 37 L. J. (Q. B.) 209; 18 L. T. 483; 16 W. R. 813
τ. Jenkins (1873). L. R. 8 Q. B. 274; 42 L. J. (Q. B.) 147; 28 L. T.
406; 21 W. R. 577
v. Twentiman (1611), 1 Roll. Abr. tit. Condition, G. 10 195
Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1882-1883), 11 Q. B. D. 229; 47 L. T. 625;
48 L. T. 507; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 309, n.; 47 J. P. 437, C. A 198, 211, 215, 216
242 , 246, 282, 285, 288
Lax v. Darlington Corporation (1879), 5 Ex. D. 29; 49 L. J. (EX.) 105; 41 L. T.
481; 28 W. R. 221; 44 J. P. 312, C. A
Lechmere v. Carlisle (Earl) (1733), 3 P. Wms. 211
v. Fletcher (1833), 1 Cr. & M. 623; 3 Tyr. 450; 2 L. J. (Ex.) 219 . 103
Lee v. Barnes (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 77; 34 W. R. 640
- v. Bateman (Lord) (1893), Times, October 31, 1893 163, 198
r. Griffin (1861), 1 B. & S. 272; 30 L. J. (q. B.) 252; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 1302; 4 L. T. 546; 9 W. R. 702
L. T. 546; 9 W. R. 702
r. Johnstone (1869), L. R. 1 Sc. & Div. 426
- v. Riley (1865), 18 C. B. (N. s.) 722; 34 L. J. (c. p.) 212; 11 Jur. (n. s.)
822; 12 L. T. 388; 13 W. R. 51
— v. Turner (1898), 20 Q. B. D. 773: 59 L. T. 320 49, 50
- v. Walker (1872), L. R. 7 C. P. 121; 41 L. J. (c. P.) 91; 26 L. T. 70
Leeds v. Burrows (1810), 12 East, 1
— (Duke) v. Powell (1748), 1 Ves. Sen. 171
Leeds Guardians v. Wakefield Guardians (1857), 7 E. & B. 258; 26 L. J. (M. C.)
37: 3 Jur. (n. s.) 292; 5 W. R. 259
Leeds Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Mallandaine, [1897] 2 Q. B. 402;
66 L. J. (Q. B.) 813; 77 L. T. 122; 61 J. P. 675, C. A
Lees. Re, Ex parté Collins (1875), 10 Ch. App. 367; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 78; 32 L. T. 108: 23 W. R. 862
108; 23 W. R. 862
000 or remitted (TOZO)! TH A. D. TOZO! TO D. A. (A. D.) 30 ! TO A GT: 780 ! 910
H.L.—III。
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PAGE
Leicester Corporation v. Brown (1892), 62 L. J. (M. C.) 22; 41 W. R. 78; 67 L. T. 686; 9 T. L. R. 8
L. T. 686; 9 T. L. R. 8
v. Lloyd (1865), 2 De G. J. & S. 330; 34 L. J. (ch.) 646; 12 L. T. 815; 13
W. R. 1054
Leitrim (Earl) v. Stewart (1870), I. R. 5 C. L. 27
W. R. 468; 57 J. P. 484; 4 R. 274, C. A
Lemaitre v. Davis (1881), 19 Ch. D. 281; 51 L. J. (CH.) 173; 46 L. T. 407; 30
W. R. 360
Lemmon v. Webb, [1895] A. C. 1; 64 L. J. (CH.) 205; 71 L. T. 647; 59 J. P.
564; 11 R. 116, H. L
Leslie & Co., Ltd. v. Metropolitan Asylums District Managers (1901), 68
J. P. 86, C. A
Levy v. Abercorris Slate Co. (1887), 37 Ch. D. 260; 57 L. J. (ch.) 202; 58 L. T.
218; 36 W. R. 411
Lewis, Ex parte, Re Henderson. See Henderson Re, Ex parte Lewis.
v. Brass (1877), 3 Q. B. D. 667; 37 L. T. 738; 26 W. R. 152, C. A. 167, 170
v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66; 29 W. R. 357, H. L
212, 277, 280
694: 78 L. T. 745
v. Nicholson (1852), 18 Q. B. 503; 21 L. J. (q. B.) 311; 16 Jur. 1041 . 173
Leyton Local Board v. Causton (1893), 9 T. L. R. 180: 57 J. P. 135 177 Liberator Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re (1894), 71 L. T. 406; 14 R.
149; 2 Mans. 100
Liford's Case (1614), 11 Co. Rep. 46 b.; 1 Roll. Rep. 95
Lightbound v. Higher Bebington Local Board (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 577; 55 L. J.
(M. C.) 94; 53 L. T. 812; 34 W. R. 219; 50 J. P. 500, C. A
Lincoln Wagon Co. v. Mumford (1879), 41 L. T. 655
Lindsay, Re. Ex parte Lambton (1875), 10 Ch. App. 405; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 81; 32
L. T. 980; 23 W. R. 662
Linfoot v. Pockett, [1895] 2 Ch. 835; 64 L. J. (CH.) 752; 73 L. T. 154; 44 W. R.
66
Linton, Re, Ex parte Finlay (1893), 10 Morr. 258
176; 41 W. R. 626; 57 J. P. 725; 4 R. 474, C. A 177, 236
v. Pickford (1865), 34 Beav. 576; 6 New Rep. 243; 34 L. J. (ch.) 582; 11
Jur. (N. s.) 649; 12 L. T. 587; 13 W. R. 827
——- & Co. v. Stubbs (1890), 45 Ch. D. 1; 59 L. J. (сн.) 570; 63 L. Т. 75; 38 W. R. 548, C. A.
Littledale v. Liverpool College, [1900] 1 Ch. 19; 69 L. J. (CH.) 87; 81 L. T. 564;
48 W. R. 177. C. A
Littlewood v. Williams (1815), 6 Taunt. 277; 1 Marsh. 589
354; 19 W. R. 47
Liverpool etc. Building Society, Re (1871), 15 Sol. Jo. 177
Liverpool Commercial Investment Society v. Richardson (1886), 2 T. L. R. 602 41
Liverpool Corporation v. West Derby Union (1905), 69 J. P. 277; 92 L. T. 467; 53 W. R. 633; 3 L. G. R. 647; 21 T. L. R. 469
v. West Derby Union (1908), 93 L. T. 420; 72 J. P. 328. 466
Liverpool Waterworks Co. v. Atkinson (1805), 6 East, 507; 2 Smith, 654. 87
Llewellyn v. Jersey (Earl) (1843), 11 M. & W. 183; 12 L. J. (Ex.) 243 . 110, 140 Lloyd v. Lloyd (1852), 2 Sim. (N. S.) 255; 21 L. J. (CH.) 596; 16 Jur. 306 . 433
—— Brothers v. Milward (1895), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,
Vol. II., p. 288, C. A
Lloyds Bank, Ltd. v. Bullock, [1896] 2 Ch. 192; 65 L. J. (CH.) 680; 74 L. T.
687: 44 W. R. 633
Locke r. Morter (1885), 2 T. L. R. 121
Locke-King v. Woking Urban District Council (1898), 77 L. T. 790; 62 J. P.
167

PAGE	
Lodder r. Slowey, [1904] A. C. 442; 73 L. J. (P. c.) 82; 91 L. T. 211; 53 W. R. 131; 20 T. L. R. 597, P. C)
96 L. T. 301; 23 T. L. R. 187	
Loggins v. Titherton (1613), Yelv. 225; Hob. 18	
London (City of) v. Nash (1747), 3 Atk. 511; 1 Ves. Sen. 12	
London and County United Building Society v. Angell (1896), 65 L. J. (Q. B.)	L
London and Eastern Counties Loan Co. r. Creasey, [1897] 1 Q. B. 768; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 503; 76 L. T. 612; 45 W. R. 497 London and Laucashire Paper Mills Co., Ltd., Re (1888), 58 L. T. 798	
London and Metropolitan Counties Benefit Building and Investment Society,	
Re. [1889] W. N. 18 London and South-Western Rail. Co. v. Flower (1875), 1 C. P. D. 77; 45 L. J.	
(c. P.) 54: 33 L. T. 687	3
London and Universal Bank. London and Westminster Loan Co. v. London and North-Western Rail. Co.,	
[1893] 2 Q. B. 49; 62 L. J. (Q. B.) 370; 69 L. T. 320; 41 W. R. 670)
875; 36 W. R. 135 90	8
London, Brighton and South Coast Rail. Co. v. Goodwin (1849), 3 Exch. 736; 6 Ry. & Can. Cas. 177; 18 L. J. (Ex.) 174	
London, Chatham and Dover Rail. Co. v. South-Eastern Rail. Co., [1892] 1 Ch.	
120; 61 L. J. (ch.) 294; 65 L. T. 722; 40 W. R. 194, C. A	
Lendon County Council, Ex parte, Ex parte Christ Church, East Greenwich	
(Vicar), [1896] 1 Ch. 520; 65 L. J. (ch.) 331; 74 L. T. 18; 44 W. R. 520	9
v. Dundas, [1904] P. 1	2
J. P. 821, H. L	5
r. Wandsworth Borough Council, [1903] 1 K. B. 797; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 399; 88 L. T. 783; 51 W. R. 499; 67 J. P. 215; 1 L. G. R.	_
462, C. A	
London Guarantee Co. r. Fearnley (1880), 5 App. Cas. 911; 43 L. T. 390; 28	
London, Leith, Edinburgh and Glasgow Shipping Co. v. Duffus (1841), 3 Macph.	
(Ct. of Sess.) 929	
L. T. 8 London Provident Building Society v. Morgan, [1893] 2 Q. B. 266; 62 L. J.	8
(Q. B.) 544; 69 L. T. 595; 57 J. P. 696; 5 R. 510	0
Vol. II., p. 189	.1
v. Northcroft (1889), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 142	.2
Vol. II., p. 165	1
London Steam Stone Saw Mills v. Lorden (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts,	
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 332	
L. T. 499; 26 W. R. 494	79
— r. Collier (1828), 4 Russ. 267 Longbottom v. Berry (1869), L. R. 5 Q. B. 123; 10 B. & S. 852; 39 L. J. (q. B.)	19
37; 22 L. T. 385	24)3
Looker v. Wrighy (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 397; 46 J. P. 758	77
Lopez r. Muddun Mohun Thakoor (1870), 13 Moo. Ind. App. 467	9
Lord's Trustee v. Great Eastern Rail. Co., [1908] 1 K. B. 195; [1908] 2 K. B. 54; 77 L. J. (K. B.) 140; 97 L. T. 760, C. A 7, 11, 1	_
g 2	



	PAGE
Lord Advocate v. Blantyre (1879), 4 App. Cas. 770	. 146
v. Young (1887), 12 App. Cas. 544, H. L	. 119 . 121
Lorden v. Price (1896), The Builder, April 25, 1896	. 234
Lound v. Grimwade (1888), 39 Ch. D. 605; 57 L. J. (CH.) 725; 59 L. T. 168	85, 86
Love, Re, Ex parte Watson (1877), 5 Ch. D. 35; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 97; 37 L. T. 75	
25 W. R. 489, C. A.	. 18
Lovejoy v. Mulkern (1877), 46 L. J. (CH.) 630; 37 L. T. 77, C. A Lovelock r. King (1831), 1 Moo. & Ry. (K. B.) 60	. 362
Lowe v. Govett (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 863; 1 L. J. (K. B.) 224.	. 118
v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1852), 18 Q. B. 632; 21 L. J	
(Q. B.) 361; 7 Ry. & Can. Cas. 524; 17 Jur. 375	. 231
r. Peers (1768), 4 Burr. 2225	. 243
Lowther v. Heaver (1889), 41 Ch. D. 248; 58 L. J. (CH.) 482; 60 L. T. 310; 3	
W. R. 465, C. A. Lucas r. Commerford (1790), 3 Bro. C. C. 166; 1 Ves. J. 235	. 248
v. Godwin (1837), 3 Bing. (n. c.) 737; 4 Scott, 502; 3 Hodges, 114;	
	. 190
L. J. (C. P.) 205 Luckin v. Hamlyn (1869), 21 L. T. 366; 18 W. R. 43 Ludbrooke v. Barrett (1877), 46 L. J. (C. P.) 798; 36 L. T. 616; 25 W. R	50, 51
	;. 31 909
649)1, 303 E
432	. 316
r. Simmons (1887), 34 Ch. D. 698; 56 L. J. (ch.) 329; 56 L. T. 134; 3	5
W. R. 422	
Lusty, Exparte, Re Lusty. See Lusty, Re, Exparte Lusty.	90
——— Re, Ex parte Lusty (1889), 60 L. T. 160; 37 W. R. 304; 6 Morr. 18 Luxmore v. Robson (1818), 1 B. & Ald. 584.	. 26
Lyde r. Russell (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 394; 9 L. J. (o. s.) (k. b.) 23	. 260
Lyell v. Kennedy (1883), 8 App. Cas. 217; 52 L. J. (CH.) 385; 48 L. T. 585; 3	
W. R. 618 H. L.	. 150
Lyle v. Richards (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 222; 35 L. J. (Q. B.) 214; 12 Jur. (N. S.	
947; 15 L. T. 1, H. L	39, 14 <i>1</i> \
889; 83 L. T. 329; 49 W. R. 85; 5 Com. Cas. 397, C. A	. 189
Lyon v. Fishmongers' Co. (1875), 10 Ch. App. 679; 1 App. Cas. 662; 46 L.	
(сн.) 68; 35 L. T. 569; 25 W. R. 165, H. L	. 121
v. Morris (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 139; 56 L. J. (q. B.) 378; 56 L. T. 915; 5	7
L. T. 324; 35 W. R. 707 Lyons v. Tucker (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 523; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 661; 45 L. T. 403	. 42
Lysaght v. Pearson (1879), Times, March 3, 1879	. 201
Lyttelton Times Co., Ltd. v. Warners, Ltd., [1907] A. C. 476; 76 L. J. (P. c	.)
100 · 97 L T 496 · 23 T L R 751 · P C	174
Lytton v. Great Northern Rail. Co. (1856), 2 K. & J. 394; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 436;	
W. R. 441	. 178
M.	
7/1.	
MAAS v. Pepper, [1905] A. C. 102; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 452; 92 L. T. 371; 53 W. I	₹.
513; 12 Mans. 107; 21 T. L. R. 304, H. L	. 13
M'Alpine v. Lanarkshire and Ayrshire Rail. Co. (1889), 17 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 113	
27 Sc. L. R. 81	74, 221
M'Bain v. Wallace & Co. (1881), 6 App. Cas. 588; 45 L. T. 261; 30 W. R. 65, H. 1 McCartney v. Brighton Corporation (1904), Times, May 20, 1904	L. 263 . 181
McClean r. Kennard (1874), 9 Ch. App. 336: 43 L. J. (CH.) 323: 30 L. T. 186	
22 W. R. 382	74, 273
M'Clure r. Dunken (1801), 1 East, 436	. 98
	08, 314
Macdonald r. Malcolm (1855), 17 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 1033; 27 Sc. Jur. 534 McDonald v. Workington Corporation (1893), Hudson on Building Contract	. 285
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 240, C. A	. 195
McEntire r. Crossley Brothers, Ltd., [1895] A. C. 457: 64 L. J. (P. c.) 129; 1	1
R. 207; 72 L. T. 731; 2 Mans. 334, H. L.	. 6, 19
Macey v. Gilbert (1888), 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 461	41, 45
McGough v. Lancaster Burial Board (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 323; 57 L. J. (Q. B.	i.) 79. 474

PAGE

McGreevy v. Russell (1867), 56 L. T. 501	3
M'Hattie, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Rc, Ex parte M Hattie. McHole v. Davies (1875), 1 Q. B. D. 59; 45 L. J. (n. c.) 30; 33 L. T. 502; 24	
W. R. 343	3
Macintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1850), 2 Mac. & G. 74; 19 L. J. (CH.)	
374; 2 H. & Tw. 250; 14 Jur. 819 . 220, 309	
v(1863), 1 De G. J. & S. 443	
	,
Cas. 780; 14 L. J. (Ex.) 338	1
McIntosh and Pontypridd Improvement Co., Re (1891), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 164 . 178	5
M'Intosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1855), 3 Sm. & G. 146	7
M'Intyre v. Belcher (1863), 32 L. J. (c. p.) 254; 14 L. J. (c. p.) 654; 10 Jur. (n. s.) 239; 8 L. T. 461; 11 W. R. 889	6
v. Gallacher (1883), 11 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 64: 21 Sc. L. R. 58	
Mackay, Exparte, Re Jeavons. See Jeavons, Re, Exparte Mackay.	
r. Bannister (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 174; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 106; 53 L. T.	
567; 34 W. R. 121	L
697	7
r. Dick (1881), 6 App. Cas. 251; 29 W. R. 541, H. L 183, 220, 246	5
& Son v. Leven Police Commissioners (1893), 20 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1003;	
30 Sc. L. R. 919	4
	3
McManus, Re, Ex parte Jardine, (1875), 10 Ch. App. 322; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 58; 32 L. T. 681; 23 W. R. 736	_
32 L, T, 681; 23 W, R, 736	1
W. R. 754; 51 J. P. 708	1
Mackworth r. Thomas (1800), 5 Ves. 329	
M Myn. Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 575; 55 L. J. (CH.) 845; 55 L. T. 834; 35 W. R.	_
179	
MacQueen r. Nottingham Caledonian Society, Re (1861), 9 C. B. (n. s.) 793 . 383 Maddever, Re (1884), 27 Ch. D. 523; 53 L. J. (ch.) 998; 52 L. T. 35; 33 W. R.	,
286	1
Madell v. Thomas, [1891] 1 Q. B. 230; 60 L. J. (q. B.) 227; 64 L. T. 9; 39	
W. R. 280	
Maggi, Re (1882), 20 Ch. D. 545; 51 L. J. (CH.) 560; 46 L. T. 362; 30 W. R. 729. 34' Magnay v. St. Michael (Rector etc.) (1827), 1 Hag. Ecc. 48	
Maidman r. Malpas (1794), 1 Hag. Con. 205 416, 417, 418, 436	
Major v. Major (1852), 1 Drew. 165	
Makin r. Watkinson (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 25; 23 L. T. 592; 19 W. R. 286 . 236	3
Malcolmson v. O'Dea (1863), 10 H. L. Cas. 593; 9 Jur. (n. s.) 1135; 9 L. T. 93; 12 W. R. 178, H. L	7
Malloch v. Hodghton (1849), 12 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 215; 22 Sc. Jur. 33 22-	
Manby v. Curtis (1816), 2 Price, 284	2
Manchester Corporation v. Chorlton Union Assessment Committee (1897), 15 T. L. R. 327	e
T. L. R. 327 Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co.	•
(1888), 13 App. Cas. 554; 58 L. J. (ch.) 219; 59 L. T. 730; 37 W. R.	
305. H. L	3
Manley r. St. Helens Canal and Rail. Co. (1858), 2 H. & N. 840; 27 L. J. (Ex.) 159: 6 W. R. 297	a
159: 6 W. R. 297	,
481	
Manning v. Fitzgerald (1859), 29 L. J. (ex.) 24	
Manser, Re, [1905] 1 Ch. 68	
Mansfield r. Doolin (1869), I. R. 4 C. L. 17, 25	
Mapleback, Re. Ex parte Caldecott (1876), 4 Ch. D. 150; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 14; 35	_
L. T. 172; 25 W. R. 103	3
Mappin Brothers v. Liberty & Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 118; 72 L. J. (ch.) 63; 87 L. T. 523; 51 W. R. 264; 67 J. P. 91; 1 L. G. R. 167	4
Marfell r. South Wales Rail, Co. (1860), 8 C. B. (N. S.) 525; 29 L. J. (C. P.) 315;	~
7 Jur. (n. s.) 290; 2 L. T. 629; 8 W. R. 765	
Marine Mansions Co., Re (1867) L. R. 4 Eq. 601; 87 L. J. (CH.) 113	
Marke r. Gilbert. (1665), 1 Sid. 263: 1 Keb. 919	4

	AGE
Marker v. Cross (1614), 2 Buls. 133	85
Marks v. Derrick (1899), 80 L. T. 60	, 50
"Marpessa," The, [1906] P. 95; 75 L. J. (P.) 18; 94 L. T. 428; 54 W. R. 339;	
10 Asp. M. C. 232, C. A.; affirming 22 T. L. R. 42	298
	, 56
Marriott v. Tarpley (1838), 9 Sim. 279; 7 L. J. (CH.) 245	410
Marsden v. Meadows (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 80, C. A.; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 536; 45 L. T.	110
301; 29 W. R. 816	•
	050
v. Sambell (1880), 43 L. T. 120; 28 W. R. 952 . 249, 252, 253, 257,	258
Marshall v. Broadhurst (1831), 1 Cr. & J. 403; 1 Tyr. 348; 3 L. J. (o. s.) (Ex.)	
105	273
v. Macintosh (1898), 78 L. T. 750; 46 W. R. 580	240
—— v. Robertson (1905), 50 Sol. Jo. 75.	111
v. Taylor, [1895] 1 Ch. 641; 64 L. J. (ch.) 416; 72 L. T. 670; 12 R.	
810, C. A	124
Martin v. Crompe (1697), 1 Ld. Raym. 340; Comb. 474; Salk. 444	91
	J
	03
406	83
	519
Martinson v. Clowes (1882), 21 Ch. D. 857; 51 L. J. (CH.) 594; 46 L. T. 882:	
	369
	51
Maryon v. Carter (1830), 4 C. & P. 295	200
Mason, Ex parte, Re Isaacson. See Isaacson, Re, Ex parte Mason.	
v. Wood (1875), 1 C. P. D. 63; 45 L. J. (c. P.) 76; 33 L. T. 571; 24	
W. R. 41	76
Massey v. Sladen (1868), L. R. 4 Exch. 13; 38 L. J. (Ex.) 34	
Massey V. Siaden (1007), L. N. & Exch. 15; 30 L. J. (Ex.) 34	66
Masters v. Pollie (1619), 2 Roll. Rep. 141	127
Masterton v. Brooklyn Corporation (1845), 7 Hill (N. Y.), 69	242
Mathews v. Keble (1868), 3 Ch. App. 691; 37 L. J. (CH.) 657; 16 W. R. 1213.	93
Matson v. Baird (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1082; 39 L. T. 304; 26 W. R. 835, H. L.	131
v. Booth (1816), 5 M. & S. 223	98
Matterson v. Elderfield (1869), 4 Ch. App. 207; 20 L. T. 503; 17 W. R. 422;	
33 J. P. 326	369
Matthews v. Buchanan (1889), 5 T. L. R. 373	50
	50
v. Jeffrey (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 290; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 164; 43 L. T. 796;	E01
29 W. R. 282; 45 J. P. 361	
Matts v. Hawkins (1813), 5 Taunt. 20	
Mauleverer v. Hawxby (1670), 2 Saund. 78	5, 87
May v. Gilbert (1613), 2 Bulst. 150	418
v. Lane (1894), 43 W. R. 193; 64 L. J. (q. B.) 236; 71 L. T. 869; 14 R.	
149, C. A	267
v. Platt, [1900] 1 Ch. 616; 69 L. J. (ch.) 357; 83 L. T. 123; 48 W. R. 617	110
Mayer and Fulda v. Mindlevich (1888), 59 L. T. 400	33
Mayfair Property Co. v. Johnston, [1894] 1 Ch. 508; 8 R. 781; 63 L. J. (CH.)	
	120
399; 70 L. T. 485	, 136
Mead, Re, Ex parte Cochrane (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 282; 44 L. J. (BCY.) 87; 32	60
L. T. 508; 23 W. R. 726.	68
Meade v. Mouillot (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 267	, 223
Meadows and Kenworthy, Re (1897), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,	
Vol. II., p. 292, H. L	, 303
Mease v. Mease (1774), 1 Cowp. 47	87
Meath (Bishop) v. Winchester (Marquess) (1836), 3 Bing. (N. c.) 183; 4	
Cl. & Fin. 445; 10 Bli. (N. S.) 330; 8 Scott, 561	147
"Mediana," The, [1900] A. C. 113; 69 L. J. (P.) 35; 82 L. T. 95; 48 W. R.	
398; 9 Asp. M. C. 41, H. L	, 212
	302
Meek v. Wendt, [1889] W. N. 19, C. A	302
	٥-
W. R. 942	65
Mellick v. Asylum (President and Guardians) (1821), 1 Jac. 180	433
Mellor v. Britton (1900), 16 T. L. R. 465	314
v. Walmesley, [1904] 2 Ch. 530; 73 L. J. (CH.) 756; 91 L. T. 317; 52	
W. R. 665; 20 L. T. 695; [1905] 2 Ch. 179; 74 L. J. (ch.) 475; 93	
L. T. 574; 53 W. R. 581; 21 T. L. R. 591, C. A 110, 118, 119,	121.
122, 142	
v. Watkins (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 400; 23 W. R. 55	253
Melville v. Stringer (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 132; 53 L. J. (q. B.) 175; 50 L. T. 531;	
32 W. R. 388	27

PAGE
Melville r. Stringer (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 392; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 482; 50 L. T. 774; 32 W. R. 890, C. A
Menetone v. Athawes (1764), 3 Burr. 1592
Mercer, Ex parte, Re Wise. See Wise, Re, Ex parte Mercer.
v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538; 74 L. J. (CH.) 723; 93 L. T. 412; 3 L. G. R. 1293; 21 T. L. R. 760, C. A
v. Peterson (1868), L. R. 3 Exch. 104; 37 L. J (Ex.) 54; 18 L. T. 30; 16
W. R. 486
24 L. T. 861; 19 W. R. 707
Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co. (1884), 9 App. Cas. 434; 53 L. J. (q. B.) 497; 51 L. T. 637; 32 W. R. 989, H. L 197, 239, 272
Metcalfe v. Beckwith (1726), 2 P. Wms. 376
Metropolitan Asylums Board v. Kingham & Sons (1890), 6 T. L. R. 217 167
Meux v. Jacobs (1875), L. R. 7 H. L. 481; 44 L. J. (ch.) 481; 32 L. T. 171; 23 W. R. 526
Michael v. Hart, [1902] 1 K. B. 402; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 265; 86 L. T. 474; 50
W. R. 308, C. A. 197 Micklethwait v. Newlay Bridge Co. (1886), 33 Ch. D. 133; 55 L. T. 336, C. A.
109, 121
Middlesbrough Building Society, Re (1884), 54 L. J. (ch.) 592; 51 L. T. 743; 49 J. P. 278
Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent
Benefit Building Society, Re (No. 2) (1885), 53 L. T. 203 . 349, 358, 359, 360 Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent
Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte Wythes (1885), 53 L. T. 492 373
Middlesbrough etc. Building Society, Re (1889), 58 L. J. (CH.) 771 352, 354, 368, 399
Middleton, Re, Ex parte Allen, Ex parte Page (1870), L. R. 11 Eq. 209; 40 L. J.
(BCY.) 17; 19 W. R. 274
468; 4 New Rep. 536; 33 L. J. (ch.) 739; 11 Jur. (n. s.) 229; 13 W. R. 339;
29 J. P. 613
L. T. 260; 15 W. R. 671
v. Haunchwood Brick and Tile Co. (1882), 20 Ch. D. 552; 51 L. J. (ch.) 778; 46 L. T. 301; 30 W. R. 640 177
and Kettering, Thrapston and Huntingdon Rail, Co. r.
Robinson (1889), 15 App. Cas. 19; 59 L. J. (ch.) 442; 62 L. T. 194; 38
W. R. 577; 54 J. P. 580, H. L
12 L. J. (Q. B.) 74: 8 Jur. 81
13, 119
v. Fawtrey. See Millen v. Fandrye.
Miller v. Warmington (1820), 1 Jac. & W. 484
L. J. (q. B.) 19
8 L. T. 392: 11 W. R. 598
Milner r. Field (1850), 5 Exch. 829; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 68
Mitchel v. Reynolds (1711), 1 P. Wms. 181; Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 406 Mitchell v. Guildford Union (Guardians) (1903), 68 J. P. 84; 1 L. G. R. 857 202
v. Caledonian Property Investment Building Society (1886), 23 Sc. L. R.
Moffatt v. Dickson (1853), 13 C. B. 543; 22 L. J. (M. C.) 265 178, 304, 305
v. Farquhar (1878), 7 Ch. D. 591; 47 L. J. (Ch.) 355; 38 L. T. 18; 26 W. R. 522
W. R. 522
283; 3 W. R. 252
W R 66 : 50 J. P. 342. C. A
Mogul Steamship Co. v. McGregor, Gow & Co., [1892] A. C. 25; 61 L. J. (Q. B.)
Mohan and Holmes r. Dundalk, Newry and Greenore Rail. Co. (1880), 6 L. R.
Ir. 477
Molyneux r. Richard, [1906] 1 Ch. 34; 75 L. J. (CH.) 39; 93 L. T. 698; 54 W R. 177 · 99 T I. R. 76

Mondal # Steel (1841) S. W. & W. 258 - 10 T. T. (v. c.) (pw.) 214 - 0 Down
Mondel v. Steel (1841), 8 M. & W. 858; 10 L. J. (N. S.) (EX.) 314; 9 Dowl. 812
812
59 L. T. 311
Moneypenny v. Hartland (1824), 1 C. & P. 352; 3 L. J. (o. s.) (k. b.) 66 293
V. ——— (1826), 2 C. & P. 378
Monks v. Dillon (1884), 10 L. R. Ir. 349; 12 L. R. Ir. 321
Monson v. Milner (1892), 8 T. L. R. 447
Montagu, Ex parte, Re O'Brien. See O'Brien, Re, Ex parte Montagu.
Moodie v. Bannister (1859), 4 Drew. 433; 28 L.J. (ch.) 881; 5 Jur. (n.s.) 402;
7 W. R. 278
Moody and Yates, Re (1885), 28 Ch. D. 661; 54 L. J. (ch.) 584; 52 L. T.
419 : 33 W. R. 362
Moon v. Witney (Guardians) (1837), 3 Bing. (N. c.) 814; 5 Scott, 1; 3 Hodges,
206; 6 L. J. (c. p.) 305
"Moorcock," The (1889), 14 P. D. 64; 58 L. J. (P.) 73; 60 L. T. 654; 37 W. R.
439: 6 Asp. M. C. 373, C. A.; affirming 13 P. D. 157; 58 L. J. (P.) 15; 59
L. T. 872; 87 W. R. 31; 6 Asp. M. C. 957
Moore, Re, Ex parte Official Receiver (1897), 4 Mans. 51
v. Campbell (1854), 10 Exch. 323; 2 C. L. R. 1084; 23 L. J. (EX.) 310 . 180
v. Rawlins (1859), 6 C. B. (N. s.) 289 : 28 L. J. (c. p.) 287
v. Shelley (1883), 8 App. Cas. 285; 52 L. J. (P. c.) 35; 48 L. T. 918, P. C. 67
Moorhouse v. Woolfe (1882), 46 L. T. 374
Moors v. Marriott (1878), 7 Ch. D. 543; 47 L. J. (CH.) 331; 26 W. R. 626; 42
J. P. 452
Moreland v. Richardson (1857), 24 Beav. 33; 26 L. J. (ch.) 600; 3 Jur. (n. s.)
1189; 5 W. R. 672
Morewood v. Wood (1792), 14 East, 327
Morgan v. Birnie (1833), 9 Bing. 672; 3 Moo. & S. 76 207, 210, 214
v. Larivière (1875), L. R. 7 H. L. 423, 436, H. L
——— v. Morgan (1832), 2 L. J. (Ex.) 56; 1 Dowl. (к. в.) 611
193
v. Stevens (1879), 6 Abb. (N.Y.) New Cases, 356
Morish r. Harris (1865), L. R. 1 C. P. 155
Morrant v. Gough (1827), 7 B. & C. 206; 1 Man. & Ry. 41; 6 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.)
14
Morris, Re, Ex parte Webster (1882), 22 Ch. D. 136; 52 L. J. (ch.) 375; 48
L. T. 295; 91 W. R. 111
v. Delobbel-Flipo, [1892] 2 Ch. 352; 61 L. J. (CH.) 518; 66 L. T. 320;
40 W. R. 492
v. Morris, [1895] A. C. 625; 64 L. J. (p. c.) 136; 72 L. T. 879; 44
W. R. 65; 11 R. 554
Morrison, Exparte, Re Westray. See Westray, Re, Exparte Morrison.
v. Glover (1849), 4 Exch. 430; 19 L. J. (EX.) 20; 14 J. P. 84 . 348, 356,
379, 38 7
Morritt, Re, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222; 56 L. J. (Q. B.)
139; 56 L. T. 42; 35 W. R. 277
Mort's Dock Co. v. Wadey (1905), 22 T. L. R. 61, P. C
Morton v. Woods (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 293; 9 B. & S. 659; 38 L. J. (q. B.) 81;
17 W. R. 414
Moseley v. Rendell (1871). L. R. 6 Q. B. 338; 40 L. J. (Q. B.) 11; 23 L. T. 774;
19 W. R. 619
Moser v. St. Magnus and St. Margaret (Churchwardens) (1795), cited in Worsley
v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710
Mosley v. Baker (1849), 1 H. & Tw. 301; 3 De G. M. & G. 1032, n.; 18 L. J.
(сн.) 457; 13 Jur. 817
Moss, Exparte, Re Toward. See Toward, Re. Exparte Moss.
Mouflet r. Cole (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 32; 42 L. J. (Ex.) S; 27 L. T. 678; 21
W. R. 175
Moulson, Re, Ex parte Knightley (1882), 46 L. T. 776; 51 L. J. (CH.) 823; 30
W. R. 844
Mourmand v. Le Clair, [1903] 2 K. B. 217; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 496; 88 L. T. 738;
51 W. R. 589: 10 Mans. 261
Moxon v. Berkeley Mutual Benefit Building Society (1890). 59 L.J. (CH.) 524; 62
J., T. 250

	AGE
Mowbray v. Merryweather, [1895] 2 Q. B. 640; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 50; 73 L. T. 459;	
44 W. R. 49; 59 J. P. 804; 14 R. 767, C. A	241
Move v. Sparrow (1870), 18 W. R. 400; 22 L. T. 154	376
Movers v. Soady (1886), 18 L. R. Ir. 499	282
Moysey v. Hillcoat (1828), 2 Hag. Ecc. 30	473
Muldoon v. Pringle (1882), 9 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 915; 19 Sc. L. R. 668	205
Mulkern r. Lord (1879), 4 App. Cas. 182; 48 L. J. (ch.) 745; 40 L. T. 594; 27	
W. R. 510. H. L.	387
Mullick v. Mullick (1829), 1 Knapp. 245	406
Mullineux v. Mullineux (1616) Toth 39	117
	387
Mumford v. Collier (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 279; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 552; 38 W. R. 716. 1	
	2, 40
Municipal Building Society v. Kent (1884), 9 App. Cas. 260; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 290;	-, 10
51 L. T. 6; 32 W. R. 681; 48 J. P. 352, H. L.	388
Permanent Investment Building Society v. Richards (1888), 39 Ch.	000
1) 279 : 50 T T (orr) 0 : 50 T M (or) 1 : 27 W D 104 C A 290 290	900
D. 372; 58 L. J. (ch.) 8; 58 L. T. 931; 37 W. R. 184, C. A. 338, 339, Munro v. Athenry and Ennis Junction Rail. Co. (1868), I. R. 2 C. L. 477.	
Rule (1000) 1 F. B. D. 200 1 A. L. (1000) 1 R. 2 C. L. 477	227
r. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738; 4 Jur. (n. s.) 1231 . 184, 187, 203, 204	, 205
v. Wivenhoe and Brightlingsea Rail. Co. (1865), 4 De G. J. & S. 723:	0.0
	250
Murchie r. Black (1865), 19 C. B. (n. s.) 190; 34 L. J. (c. p.) 337; 11 Jur. (n. s.)	100
608; 12 L. T. 735; 13 W. R. 896	136
Murdoch F. Luckie (1897), 15 New Zealand L. R. 296 236,	245
Murly r. M'Dermott (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 138; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 356; 1 Will.	
Woll. & H. 226; 7 L. J. (Q. B.) 242; 8 Jur. 806	, 139
Murphy v. Bower (1866), I. R. 2 C. L. 506	303
Murray v. Cohen (1888), 9 New South Wales Rep. Eq. 121.	294
v. Currie (1870), L. R. 6 C. P. 24; 40 L. J. (C. P.) 26; 23 L. T. 557;	
19 W. R. 104	316
r. Hall (1849), 7 C. B. 441; 18 L. J. (c. p.) 161; 13 Jur. 262	137
v. Mackenzie (1875). L. R. 10 C. P. 625; 44 L. J. (c. P.) 313; 32 L. T.	
177: 23 W. R. 595	9, 50
r. Rennie (1897), 24 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 965; 34 Sc. L. R. 709	167
v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519; 53 L. J. (ch.) 745; 51 L. T. 462; 33	
W. R. 178, H. L 323, 332, 352, 357	. 376
W. R. 173, H. L	, 376 4. 94
v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte. Re Cole. See Cole. Re. Exparte Mutton.	, 376 4, 9 4
v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte. Re Cole. See Cole. Re. Exparte Mutton.	, 376 4, 94
v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48	4, 94
W. R. 173, H. L. 323, 332, 357 **r. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54	, 376 4, 94 313
W. R. 173, H. L. 323, 332, 357 v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182: 54	4, 94
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Ex parte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Ex parte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (CH.) 493; 52 L. T.	4, 9 4 31 3
W.R. 173, H. L	4, 94
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350
V. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Myers Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338	313 350
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350 376 38
V. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Myers Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Nyers, Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (CH.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 . Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (CH.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Nyers, Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 NATIONAL Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57, 50 L. T. 38, 32 W. R. 236	313 350 376 38
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (Ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 L. J. (Ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Ners r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 . Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 . Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Negrs r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 . v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (x. s.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 . National, Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank, National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis, See Francis, Re.	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Ners r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank, National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Guardian Assurance Co.	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885), 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Ners r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank, National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Guardian Assurance Co. National Mercantile Bank, Exparte, Re Haynes. See Haynes, Re, Exparte	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L.	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Rc, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 192: 54 L. J. (Ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (Ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802: 34 W. R. 143, C. A. v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Deposit Bank, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank, National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Guardian Assurance Co. National Mercantile Bank, Exparte, Re Haynes. See Haynes, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank, Exparte, Re Parte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Myers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. National Mercantile Bank, Exparte, Re Haynes. See Haynes, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank.	313 350 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Wers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Deposit Bank, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank.	343 350 , 376 38 , 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Rc, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Wyers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Deposit Bank, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (CH.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank.	343 350 , 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Wers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Coffee Palace, Re, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (Ch.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. v. Hampson (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 177; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 480; 28 W. R. 424 Provilled (1881), 44 L. T. 767	313 350 , 376 38 5, 235 302
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole. Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 Myers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. - Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. - T. Hampson (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 177; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 480; 28 W. R. 424 v. Rymill (1881), 44 L. T. 767. National Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, [1867] W. N. 225.	343 350 , 376 38 3, 235
W. R. 173, H. L. v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; 3 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 278 . 81, 8 Mutton, Exparte, Re Cole. See Cole, Re, Exparte Mutton. Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Rc, Exparte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54 Re (1885). 29 Ch. D. 182; 54 L. J. (ch.) 493; 52 L. T. 406; 33 W. R. 575 Re (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434; 55 L. J. (ch.) 111; 52 L. T. 802; 34 W. R. 143, C. A. Wyers r. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 233; 34 W. R. 338 v. Sarl (1860), 3 E. & B. 306; 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 97; 9 W. R. 96 National Deposit Bank, Exparte Panmure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367; 53 L. J. (CH.) 57; 50 L. T. 38; 32 W. R. 236 National Deposit Bank, Exparte, Re Willis. See Willis, Re, Exparte National Bank. National Guardian Assurance Co., Exparte, Re Francis. See Francis, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte National Mercantile Bank. Exparte. See Phillip, Re, Exparte National Mercantile Bank.	343 350 , 376 38 3, 235 302 68 67 398

PAG	} E
National Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, Re (1889), 43 Ch. D. 431; 59 L. J. (ch.) 403; 62 L. T. 596; 38 W. R. 475	
Navan Union v. M'Loughlin (1855), 4 I. C. L. R. 451; 7 Ir. Jur. (o. s.) 287 . 16	67 29
Needler v. Guest (1647), Aleyn, 9; Sty. 12. Needle v. Hendon Urban District Council (1899), 81 L. T. 405; 63 J. P. 724,	25 23
Neil, Re, Ex parte Burden (1881), 16 Ch. D. 675: 29 W. R. 879	95 5,
— v. Midland Rail. Co. (1869), 17 W. R. 871; 20 L. T. 864	
Neverson v. Seymour (1908), 97 L. T. 788 Nevill v. Baker (1862), cited in 1 Phillimore, Ecclesiastical Law, 2nd ed., p. 655 v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Exch. 214; 43 L. J. (Ex.) 147; 30 L. T. 690; 22 W. R. 740.	51 20
	86
Newcastle (Duke) v. Broxtowe (Hundred) (1832), 4 B. & Ad. 273; 1 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 598; 2 L. J. (M. C.) 47.	
Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 199; 57 L. J. (P. c.) 35; 58 L. T. 285, P. C 182, 184, 186, 225, 2 Newhaven Local Board v. Newhaven School Board (1885), 30 Ch. D. 350; 53	
L. T. 571; 34 W. R. 172, C. A	55
Newitt, Ex parte, Re Garrud. See Garrud, Re, Ex parte Newitt. Newlove v. Shrewsbury (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 41; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 476; 36 W. R. 835, C. A	10
Newman, Re, Ex parte Capper (1876), 4 Ch. D. 724; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 57; 35 L. T. 718; 25 W. R. 244, C. A	72 85
Newsham, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Newsham.	43
Newton v. Forster (1844), 12 M. & W. 772	66
and a set of the set o	74 41
Nichols, Ex parte, Re Jones. See Jones, Re, Ex parte Nichols. Nicol v. Beaumont (1863), 50 L. T. 112; 53 L. J. (ch.) 853	23 34
	82
	.80
	57
Smith's Case. Norfolk Rail. Co. r. M'Namara (1849), 3 Exch. 628	90
	.26 .18
W. R. 223: 56 J. P. 389 173, 310, 312, 313, 3	99
Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630; 3 C. L. R. 409; 24 L. J. (c. p.) 60; 1 Jur. (n. s.) 211; 3 W. R. 179 . 163, 172, 209, 215, 216, 232, 256, 282, 2	

North Australian Territory Co., Re, Archer's Case, [1892] 1 Ch. 322; 61 L. J.	AUA
(ch.) 129: 65 L. T. 800: 40 W. R. 212. C. A	3 00
North British Building Society v. M'Lellan (1887), 14 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 827; 24 Sc. L. R. 600	3 62
North British Rail. Co. v. Turners, Ltd. (1904), 6 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 900; 41 Sc. L. R. 706	178
North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B. 835; 77 L. J. (K. B.) 661; 72 J. P. 171; 6 L. G. R. 427, C. A 410, 415, 437	. 465
North Western Bank, Ex parte, Re Slee. See Slee, Re, Ex parte North Western Bank.	,
Northern Counties of England Fire Insurance Co. v. Whipp (1884), 26 Ch. D. 482;	363
53 L. J. (ch.) 629; 51 L. T. 806; 32 W. R. 626, C. A	196
56 L. T. 833, C. A. Norton v. Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1895]	130
1 Q. B. 246; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 214; 14 R. 59; 71 L. T. 790; 43 W. R. 178; 59 J. P. 149, C. A	, 388
v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1879), 13 Ch. D. 268; 47 L. J. (CH.) 859; 41 L. T. 429; 28 W. R. 173, C. A.	111
v. Syms (1614), Moore (K. B.), 856; Hob. 12; 1 Brownl. 65; Godb. 212. Norwich and Norfolk Provident Permanent Building Society, Smith's Case	85
(1875), 1 Ch. D. 481; 45 L. J. (CH.) 143; 24 W. R. 103 331, 334 Norwood v. Lathrop (1901), 178 Massachusetts Rep. 208	, 360 233
Nottingham Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Thurstan, [1903] A. C. 6;	
72 L. J. (CH.) 134; 87 L. T. 529; 51 W. R. 273; 67 J. P. 129, H. L 350 Nowell v. Smith (1598), Cro. Eliz. 709	132
c. Worcester Corporation (1854), 9 Exch. 457; 2 C. L. R. 981; 23 L. J. (EX.) 139; 18 Jur. 64	460
Nurse v. Henslowe (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, 272.	, 137 421
Nuttail r. Manchester Corporation (1892), 8 T. L. R. 513	287 183
Nye v. Mosely (1826), 6 B. & C. 133; 9 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 165	85
0.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Oakes r. Green (1907), 23 T. L. R. 560 Oastler v. Pound (1863), 7 L. T. 852; 11 W. R. 518	45 281
Oates r. Bromil (1705), 1 Salk. 75; 6 Mod. Rep. 160; Holt, 82 OBrien, Re, Ex parte Montagu (1876), 1 Ch. D. 554; 34 L. T. 197; 24 W. R. 309	214 60
v. Tyssen (1984), 28 Ch. D. 372; 54 L. J. (ch.) 284; 51 L. T. 814; 33 W. R. 428	435
Odell, Ex parte, Re Walden. See Walden, Re, Ex parte Odell.	
O Donohoe. Re (1876), I. R. 10 Eq. 221, C. A	369 3 5
Official Receiver, Ex parte, Re Ford. See Ford, Re, Ex parte Official Receiver. Ex parte, Re Moore. See Moore, Re, Ex parte Official Receiver.	
- Ex parte, Re Watson. See Watson, Re, Ex parte Official	
Consider the Chief Research Re	
Official Receiver. Offin v. Rochford Rural Council, [1906] 1 Ch. 342; 75 L. J. (сн.) 348; 94 L. T.	
669; 54 W. R. 244; 70 J. P. 97; 4 L. G. R. 595	123 406
O'Hara v. Strange (1847), 11 I. Eq. R. 262	117 110
Old Battersea and District Building Society v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, [1898] 2 Q. B. 294; 67 L. J. (q. B.) 696; 78 L. T. 746.	
	379
Oldfield r. Lowe (1829), 9 B. & C. 73; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 142	$\begin{array}{c} 372 \\ 272 \end{array}$
Oldfield r. Lowe (1829), 9 B. & C. 73; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (k. b.) 142 Olley v. Fisher (1886), 34 Ch. D. 367; 56 L. J. (ch.) 208; 55 L. T. 807; 35 W. R.	
Oldfield r. Lowe (1829), 9 B. & C. 73; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 142 Olley r. Fisher (1886), 34 Ch. D. 367; 56 L. J. (ch.) 208; 55 L. T. 807; 35 W. R. 301 Ollivant r. Bayley (1843), 5 Q. B. 288; 1 Dav. & Mer. 373; 13 L. J. (q. B.) 34; 7 Jur. 1130	272
Oldfield r. Lowe (1829), 9 B. & C. 73; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 142 Olley v. Fisher (1886), 34 Ch. D. 367; 56 L. J. (ch.) 208; 55 L. T. 807; 35 W. R. 301 Ollivant r. Bayley (1843), 5 Q. B. 288; 1 Dav. & Mer. 373; 13 L. J. (q. B.) 34; 7 Jur. 1130 Onward Building Society, Re. [1891] 2 Q. B. 463; 60 L. J. (q. B.) 752; 65	272 248

P. J. GOOD O. D. G. T. A. T. CO. C. T. C. L. C. T. C.	AGE
Ormes v. Beadel (1860), 2 De G. F. & J. 333; 30 L. J. (ch.) 1; 6 Jur. (n. s.) 1003; 3 L. T. 344; 9 W. R. 25	169 4 07
Osborne, Exparte, Re Goldsmith. See Goldsmith, Re, Exparte Osborne. Outram v. Morewood (1793), 5 Term Rep. 121	142
Overton v. Freeman (1852), 11 C. B. 867; 21 L. J. (c. P.) 52; 3 Car. & Kir. 52; 16 Jur. 65. Owen v. Homan (1851), 3 Mac. & G. 878; 20 L. J. (ch.) 314; 15 Jur. 339	316
Owen v. Homan (1001), 5 mac. & G. 516; 20 H. J. (CH.) 514; 15 Jul. 559	90
Р.	
PADDINGTON Burial Board v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 9; 53 L. J. (q. B.) 224; 50 L. T. 211; 32 W. R. 551; 48 J. P. 311 Paddington Corporation v. AG. See Boyce v. Paddington Borough Council.	479
v. AG., [1906] A. C. 1; 75 L. J. (ch.) 4; 93 L. T. 673; 54 W. R. 817; 70 J. P. 41; 4 L. G. R. 19; 22 T. L. R. 55, H. L. Padley v. Lincoln Waterworks Co. (1850), 2 Mac. & G. 68; 2 H. & Tw. 295; 19	171
L. J. (ch.) 436; 14 Jur. 299	3 0 3
Page, Ex parte. See Middleton, Re, Ex parte Allen, Ex parte Page. —— Re (No. 2) (1863), 32 Beav. 485	370
 v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347. 207, 209, 215, 217, 219, v. Taunton Urban District Council (1904), Hudson on Building Contracts, 	220
3rd ed., Vol I., p. 205	182
Paget v. Marshall (1884), 28 Ch. D. 255; 54 L. J. (ch.) 575; 51 L. T. 351; 33 W. R. 608; 49 J. P. 85	181
Paice v. Canterbury (Archbishop) (1807), 14 Ves. 364	406 16
Paisley Cemetery Co., Ltd. v. Ruth (Surveyor of Taxes) (1898), 63 J. P. 806	513
Palk v. Force (1848), 12 Q. B. 666; 17 L. J. (q. B.) 299; 12 Jur. 797 Palliser v. Dale, [1897] 1 Q. B. 257; 66 L. J. (q. B.) 236; 76 L. T. 14; 45	163
W. R. 291, C. A. Palmer v. Exeter (Bishop) (1724), 1 Stra. 576	387 416
v. Mallet (1887), 36 Ch. D. 411; 57 L. J. (ch.) 226; 58 L. T. 64; 36 W. R. 460, C. A	102
v. Sparshott (1842), 4 Man. & G. 137; 4 Scott (N. R.), 743; 11 L. J. (C. P.)	l, 99
Panama and South Pacific Telegraph Co. v. India-rubber, Gutta-Percha and Telegraph Works Co. (1875), 10 Ch. App. 515; 45 L. J. (CH.) 121; 32 L. T.	
Panmure, Exparte, Re National Coffee Palace Co. See National Coffee l'alace	, 300
Co., Re, Ex parte Panmure. Paquin v. Robinson (1901), 85 L. T. 5	62
Pardoe, Re, [1906] 2 Ch. 184; 75 L. J. (CH.) 455; 94 L. T. 567; 54 W. R. 561; 22 T. L. R. 452	432
Parke, Re (1884), 13 L. R. Ir. 85. Parker, Re, Ex parte Charing Cross Bank (1880), 16 Ch. D. 35; 50 L. J. (CH.)	75
157; 44 L. T. 113; 29 W. R. 204. Re, Ex parte Dann (1881), 17 Ch. D. 26; 51 L. J. (CH.) 290; 44 L. T.	3 3
760; 29 W. R. 771	58
L. T. 579; 33 W. R. 437, C. A 60). 7 2
v. Lyon (1888), 5 T. L. R. 10	, 366 8
v. Wise (1817), 6 M. & S. 239 Parkhurst v. Smith (1742), Willes, 327, 332	88 8 5
Parnacott v. Dieudonné (1885), 2 T. L. R. 98 Parry v. Liverpool Malt Co., [1900] 1 Q. B. 339; 69 L. J. (q. B.) 161; 81 L. T.	10
621. C. A	283
Parson v. Sexton (1847), 4 C. B. 899; 16 L. J. (c. P.) 181; 11 Jur. 849 Parsons, Exparte, Re Townsend. See Townsend, Re, Exparte Parsons.	205
Re. Ex parte Furber, [1893] 2 Q. B. 122; 62 L. J. (q. B.) 365; 68 L. T.	75
v. Brand, Coulson v. Dixon (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 189; 62 L. T. 479; 38 W. R. 388	3, 45
v. Dewsbury (1887), 3 T. L. R. 354	5 5
Partington Advertising Co. v. Willing & Co., Ltd. (1896), 12 T. L. R. 176. Parton v. Cole (1841), 11 L. J. (q. B.) 70	261 232

						AGE
Pashly v. Birmingham Corporation (1856), 18 C. B. 2.		. 9	215, 2	216, 2	231,	282
Patman v. Pilditch (1904), The Builder, July 16, 1904						164
Patten v. Castleman (1753), 1 Lee, 387						429
Pattinson v. Luckley (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 330; 44 L.	I. (Ex	.) 180): 33	L. '	Т.	
360; 24 W. R. 224	. (==	.,	,			173
Pauling v. Dover Corporation (1855), 10 Exch. 753; 24 L	Ť (p	÷ \ 1	ဂ်စ	•	•	258
Tanden and North Western Dail Co. (1959)	J. (E	A.) 1	20 7 . 6	ъ.,	9.	200
v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1853),	F E XC	n. 86	1; 7	ĸу.	α	
Can. Cas. 816; 23 L. J. (Ex.) 105	•	•	•	•	•	231
v. Pontifex (1852), 20 L. T. (o. s.) 126; 1 W. R.	54	•	•	•	•	167
Pawley v. Turnbull (1861), 3 Giff. 70; 7 Jur. (N. S.) 792;	4 L. 7	Ր. 672	}	. 2	219,	256
Paxton, Re. Ex parte Pope (1889), 60 L. T. 428					41	, 69
r. Popham (1808), 9 East, 408						85
Payler v. Homersham (1815), 4 M. & S. 423					•	87
Payne, Ex parte (1849), 5 Dow. & L. 679; 18 L. J. (Q. B.)	197 •	19.3	ine 6	31	•	381
En parte Re Coton See Coton Re En parte Po	ma,	10 .	ui. c		•	001
Exparte, Re Coton. See Coton, Re, Exparte Pa	y 116.					
Er parte, Re Cross. See Cross, Re, Ex parte Pay	110.	*** *				^=
r. Fern (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 620; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 440					:	67
v. Mortimer (1859), 4 De G. & J. 447; 28 L. J. (cr	t.) 710	5;5	Jur.	(N. E	3.)	
749; 7 W. R. 646		•				97
—— v. Rogers (1794), 2 Hy. Bl. 349						129
Peace v. Brookes, [1895] 2 Q. B. 451; 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 7	47:7	2 L.	T. :	798 :	2	
Mans. 491; 15 R. 593						, 46
Peachey v. Rowland (1853), 13 C. B. 182; 22 L. J. (c. P.)	81 .	17 Ju	r 76.	4	-,	315
Dougos En parte De Williams See Williams De France	/a D		1. 10	•	•	010
Pearce, Ex parte, Re Williams, See Williams, Re, Ex parte, Proche (1966), I. B. 1 Freb 212, 25 I. J. (27)	104	10	T	/ ·	- \	
v. Brooks (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 213; 35 L. J. (Ex.)	154;	12 .	ur.	(3. 8	š.)	
342; 14 L. T. 288; 14 W. R. 614	•		•		•	195
—— v. Tucker (1862), 3 F. & F. 136	•	•	•	•	•	189
Pearsall r. Summersett (1812), 4 Taunt. 593		•				87
Pearson v. Cox (1877). 2 C. P. D. 369; 36 L. T. 495, C. A.						316
v. Graham (1834), 3 L. J. (Ex.) 175			_			272
# Stood [1009] D 66	•	•	•	•	•	418
(S) & Son Itd a Dublin Corporation [1007] A	ຕໍລະຈ	. 77	т . т	in c	. :	410
(S.) & Son, Ltd. v. Dublin Corporation, [1907] A.	U. 331	, , ,	ப. ச.	Cr. C	1.00	000
I, II. L		•		U*, .	TOO.	289
Pease v. Jackson (1868), 3 Ch. App. 376; 37 L. J. (CH.)	(25; 1	17 W	. K.	1;	32	
J. P. 757						371
Peat r. Jones (1881), 8 Q. B. D. 147; 51 L. J. (q. B.) 128	; 30 V	V.R.	433,	C. A	۱.	272
Peckering v. Kimpson (1630), Toth, 39				. 1	116.	117
Pedley v. Dodds (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 819; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 7	59:1	4 T.	T. 89	23 :	14	
W. R. 884			0.	,	• •	140
	•	•	•	•	•	110
Peek v. Derry. See Derry v. Peek.	017					057
Pender r. Lushington (1877), 6 Ch. D. 70; 46 L. J. (CH.)	317	•	•	•	•	357
Penn v. Baltimore (Lord) (1750), 1 Ves. Sen. 444.	<u>-</u>	•	<u> </u>	•	•	109
Penny v. Wimbledon Urban District Council, [1899] 2 Q.	B. 72	; 68	L. J	. (Q. 1	в.)	
704; 80 L. T. 615; 47 W. R. 565; 63 J. P. 406, C. A				•		316
Penwarden r. Roberts (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 137; 51 L. J.	Q. B.	312	: 46	L.	Т.	
161: 30 W. R. 427						46
Pépé r. City and Suburban Building Society, [1893] 2 Ci	311	. 62	Ť. J	CI	τŇ	
501; 68 L. T. 846: 41 W. R. 548; 3 R. 471	011	. 02	٠. ٠			359
	•	•	•			
Pepper r. Burland (1792), 1 Peake, 139.	ດໍ ເດ	÷ m		•	100,	230
Percival r. Dunn (1885), 29 Ch. D. 128; 54 L. J. (ch.) 57	U; 52	ь. т	. 320	•	•	267
Perkins v. Potts (1814), 2 Chit. 399	•	•	•	•		163
Perratt v. London Scottish Permanent Benefit Buildi	ng So	ociety	r (18	88),	59	
L. T. 31			•	•		361
Perry r. Barnett (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 388, 393; 54 L. J. (Q. B.	466	; 53	L.	T.	
585. C. A						307
Pettit v. Lodge and Harper, [1908] 1 K. B. 749; 77 L. J.	K. B	1413	•	•	49	3, 44
Peyton v. London Corporation (1829), 9 B. & C. 725; 3	C	D 3	63	1 150		,
	O. W	1.0	oo , .	* 1·14	ш.	105
& Ry. (K. B.) 625; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 322	•	•	•	•	•	135
Pfluger r. Hocken (1858), 1 F. & F. 142	:	: ,.	•	•	:	137
Pharmaceutical Society v. London and Provincial Supply	ASSO	ciatio	m (18	880),	5	
App. Cas. 857; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 736; 43 L. T. 389; 28		. 05	7 · 4!	. T	Ρ.	
	8 W. I	16 90	, 10	,		
20, H. L	W. 1		_	•		351
20, H. L. Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450: 48 L.	W. 1		_	•		351
Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450; 48 L.	W. 1 T. 293	3; 31	W. 1	R. 28		
Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450: 48 L. C. A.; (1884) 27 Ch. D. 509; 51 L. T. 520: 32	7. 293 W. R	; 31 . 808.	W. I C. A	• R. 28	31,	351 370
Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450; 48 L. C. A.; (1884) 27 Ch. D. 509; 51 L. T. 520; 32	7. 293 W. R	; 31 . 808.	W. I C. A	• R. 28	31,	370
Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450; 48 L. C. A.; (1884) 27 Ch. D. 509; 51 L. T. 520; 32	7. 293 W. R	; 31 . 808.	W. I C. A	• R. 28	31,	370 23
Phillips, Re, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450; 48 L. C. A.; (1884) 27 Ch. D. 509; 51 L. T. 520; 32	7. 293 W. R	; 31 . 808.	W. I C. A	• R. 28	31,	370

PAGE
Phillips v. Hudson (1867), 2 Ch. App. 243; 36 L. J. (CH.) 301; 16 L. T. 221; 15 W. R. 370
v. Hull Alhambra Palace Co., [1901] 1 Q. B. 59; 70 L. J. (K. B.) 26;
83 L. T. 431; 49 W. R. 223 Phillipson v. Gibbon (1871), 6 Ch. App. 428; 40 L. J. (ch.) 406; 24 L. T. 602;
19 W. R. 661
Phipps v. Edinburgh and Glasgow Rail. Co. (1843), 5 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 1025; 15 So. Jur. 387
Pickard v. Marriage (1876), 1 Ex. D. 364; 45 L. J. (ex.) 594; 35 L. T. 343; 24
W. R. 886
Pickering v. Cape Town Rail. Co. (1865), L. R. 1 Eq. 84; 13 L. T. 570
v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 235; 37 L. J. (c. p.) 118: 17 L. T. 650; 16 W. R. 458
c. Rudd (1815), 4 Camp. 219; 1 Stark. 56 109, 126, 127
Pickthall v. Merthyr Tydvil Local Board (1886), 2 T. L. R. 805
Pigot's Case (1615), 11 Co. Rep. 26, b.; 2 Bulst. 246; 1 Roll. Rep. 39
Pilley v. Robinson (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 155; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 54; 58 L. T. 110;
36 W. R. 269
Pipe v. Fulcher (1858), 1 E. & E. 111; 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 12; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 146;
7 W. R. 19
Pitts v. Kingsbridge Highway Board (1871), 25 L. T. 195; 19 W. R. 884. 316 Planet Benefit Building and Investment Society (1872), L. R. 14 Eq. 441; 41
L, J. (ch.) 738; 27 L. T. 638; 20 W. R. 935,
Platt v. Parker (1886), 2 T. L. R. 786, C. A
Plimsaul v, Kilmorey (Lord) (1884), 1 T. L. R. 48
Plumstead Board of Works v. British Land Co. (1894), L. R. 10 Q. B. 16; 44 L. J. (q. B.) 38; 32 L. T. 94; 23 W. R. 634
Plumstead Burial Ground, Re, [1895] P. 225
Plumstead District Board of Works v. Ecclesiastical Commissioners, [1891] 2
Q. B. 361
Pollard, Re (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 656; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 273; 59 L. T. 96, C. A.; 36
W. R. 515
Polus v. Henstock (1671), 1 Vent. 97; Ld. Raym. 192; 2 Keb. 686, 707 134
Pomfret v. Ricroft (1680), 1 Wms. Saund. 557; 2 Keb. 505, 543, 569; 1 Sid. 429; 1 Vent. 26
Ponsford and Newport District School Board, Re, [1894] 1 Ch. 454; 63 L. J.
(CH.) 278; 70 L. T. 502; 42 W. R. 358; 7 R. 622, C. Å
Pontifex v. Wilkinson (1845), 2 C. B. 349
W. R. 506: 58 J. P. 559: 10 R. 265
Poole v. Longuevill (1670), 2 Saund. 282; 2 Keb. 660, 680, 729; 3 Salk. 166 . 133 Pope, Ex parte, Re Paxton. See Paxton, Re, Ex parte Pope.
Re (1851), 15 Jur. 614
Poppleton, Ex parte, Re Thomas. See Thomas, Re, Ex parte Poppleton. Popplewell, Ex parte, Re Storey. See Storey, Re, Ex parte Popplewell.
Postman v. Middleton (1858), 4 C. B. (N. S.) 322; 27 L. J. (C. P.) 231; 4 Jur.
(N. s.) 689; 6 W. R. 598
646; 69 L. T. 138; 41 W. R. 587 395
v. Barclay, [1894] 3 Ch. 86; 63 L. J. (ch.) 837; 71
L. T. 82; 1 Mans. 339; 8 R. 389. 341
579: 72 L. T. 744: 12 R. 324, C. A
Potter v. Parry (1859), 7 W. R. 182 Poulton v. Lattimore (1829), 9 B. & C. 259; 4 Man. & Ry. (K. B.), 208; 7 L. J.
(o. s.) (k. s.) 225
——— v. Wilson (1858), 3 F. & F. 403
94 W R 819
Fowell r. Brodhurst, [1901] 2 Ch. 164; 70 L. J. (ch.) 587; 84 L. T. 620; 49

	AGE
Powell v. Doubble (1832), Sugden, Vendor and Purchaser, 14th ed., p. 29.	175
r. Evan Jones & Co., [1905] 1 K. B. 11; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 115; 92 L. T.	100
430; 53 W. R. 277; 10 Com. Cas. 36; 21 T. L. R. 55, C. A Salisbury (1828), 2 Y. & J. 391	168 132
Powers, Re (1885). 30 Ch. D. 291; 53 L. T. 647, C. A.	100
Pratt, Ex parte, Re Field. See Field, Re, Ex parte Pratt.	200
Preece r. Gelling (1885), 53 L. T. 763	10
Preist v. Last, [1903] 2 K. B. 148; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 657; 89 L. T. 33; 51 W. R.	
678, C. A	188
Prentice v. London (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 679; 44 L. J. (c. p.) 353; 33 L. T. 251; 39 J. P. 711	, 387
Preston r. Dania (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 19; 42 L. J. (ex.) 33; 27 L. T. 612; 21	, 501
W. R. 128	. 102
Price v. Moulton (1851), 10 C. B. 501: 20 L. J. (c. p.), 108: 15 Jur. 228	90
v. Taylor (1860), 5 H. & N. 540; 29 L. J. (Ex.) 331; 6 Jur. (N. s.) 402; 2	
L. T. 221; 8 W. R. 419; 24 J. P. 470	340
v. Torrington (Earl) (1703), 1 Salk. 285; Holt, 300	142
66; 5 W. R. 117	305
Priest v. Parrot (1751), 2 Ves. Sen. 160	85
Priestley r. Hopwood (1864), 10 L. T. 646; 4 New Rep. 646; 12 W. R. 1031;	
28 J. P. 628	
v. Stone (1888), 4 T. L. R. 730, C. A	
Primrose v. Bromley (1739), 1 Atk. 89	9, 91
Co., Ltd. (1904), 90 L. T. 527; 9 Asp. M. C. 555, C. A	27 7
Prior r Hembrow (1841), 8 M. & W. 873; 10 L. J. (Ex.) 371	273
Proctor r. Lucius (1903), 19 T. L. R. 458	49
Professional, Commercial and Industrial Benefit Building Society, Re (1871). 6	
Ch. App. 856; 25 L. T. 397; 19 W. R. 1153	, 398
Protector Loan Co. v. Grice (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 592; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 812; 43 L. T. 564	95
Proud v. Piper (1689), 3 Mod. Rep. 218; Comb. 116; Carth. 97	432
Proudfoot r. Hart (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 42; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 389; 63 L. T. 171;	
38 W. R. 730; 55 J. P. 20, C. A.; affirming 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 129; 54 J. P. 547.	236
Provident Permanent Building Society v. Greenhill (1878), 9 Ch. D. 122; 38	
L. T. 140; 27 W. R. 110	, 369
Public Works Commissioners r. Hill, [1906] A. C. 368; 75 L. J. (P. c.) 69; 94 L. T. 833; 22 T. L. R. 589, P. C.	96
Pulbrook v. Ashby (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 376; 35 W. R. 779	15
Pullerton r. Agnew (1703), 1 Salk. 172; Holt, 148	84
Pyer r. Carter (1857), 1 H. & N. 916; 26 L. J. (Ex.) 258; 5 W. R. 371	136
Q.	
•	
Queen's Benefit Building Society, Re (1871), 6 Ch. App. 815; 40 L. J. (CH.)	000
381; 24 L. T. 346; 19 W. R. 597, 762	$\frac{398}{273}$
Quick and Harris v. Ludborrow (1615), 2 Bulst. 29, 30; 1 Roll. Rep. 196. Quicke, Re, [1908] 1 Ch. 887.	191
Quilter v. Newton (1691), Carth. 151; Holt, 592	423
4 ————————————————————————————————————	
R.	
16.	
R. v. Abney Park Cemetery (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 515; 42 L. J. (M. c.) 124; 29)
L T 174 · 21 W R 847	513
-v. Abrey (1854), 3 E. & B. 779; 23 L. J. (M. c.) 154; 2 C. L. R. 1488; 18	444
Jur. 1032; 2 W. R. 489	441
16 J P 149	, 345
- v. Arkwright (1848), 12 Q. B. 960; 18 L. J. (q. B.) 26; 13 Jur. 300	412
- v. Bannatyne (1851), 2 L. M. & P. 213; 20 L. J. (Q. B.) 210	335
- v. Barnes Overseers, Ex parte Ratcliffe (1896), 13 T. L. R. 25	487
- v. Barrow (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 577; 10 B. & S. 674; 20 L. T. 760; 17 W. R.	. 51

		P	AGR
R. v.	Basingstoke Burial Board (1896), 60 J. P. 708		466
- r.	Bedford (Inhabitants) (1855), 4 E. & B. 535; 3 C. L. R. 442; 24 L.	J.	
	(Q. B.) 81; 1 Jur. (N. S.) 208; 3 W. R. 205; 6 Cox, C. C. 505.	141,	142
41	Berger, [1894] 1 Q. B. 823; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 529; 70 L. T. 807; 42 W.		
- U	541; 58 J. P. 416		1/5
		142,	
	Bishop Wearmouth Burial Board (1879), 5 Q. B. D. 67	•	530
r	. Bliss (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 550; 2 Nev. & P. (к. в.) 464; Will. Woll. & D	av.	
	624; 7 L. J. (Q. B.) 4	141,	142
- v	. Boynes (1843), 1 Car. & Kir. 65		335
	Brabrook (1893), 69 L. T. 718; 58 J. P. 117; 10 R. 1	334	859
	Bruce or Bramley Union, [1892] 2 Q. B. 136; 67 L. T. 314; 40 W.		000
- ı,		16.	E 1 E
	686; 56 J. P. 567		545
	Coleridge (1819), 2 B. & Ald. 806; 1 Chit. 588 413,		415
— r	. Coleshill Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 825; 31 L. J. (q. b.) 219; 34 L.	J.	
	(Q. B.) 96; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 226; 7 L. T. 244	475,	477
_ r	. Connah's Quay Overseers, [1901] 2 K. B. 174; 70 L. J. (K. B.) 651;	84	
-	L. T. 601; 49 W. R. 463; 65 J. P. 500		504
	. Cox and Railton (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 153; 54 L. J. (M. C.) 41; 52 L. T.		.00_
-v		υ,	co
	33 W. R. 396	oċ	62
- v	. Creese (1874), L. R. 2 C. C. R. 105; 43 L. J. (M. C.) 51; 29 L. T. 897;	22	
	W. R. 375; 12 Cox, C. C. 539		16
- v	. D'Eyncourt (1864), 4 B. & S. 820; 3 New Rep. 420; 33 L. J. (M. c.) 8	39:	
	10 Jur. (N. S.) 513; 9 L. T. 712; 12 W. R. 408; 28 J. P. 116 . 362.	379.	385
•	Durham County Council (1897), Local Government Chronicle, 1897, p. 7		503,
(. Duraming Council (2001), 200111 Government Cutomicie, 1001, p. 1	٠.	505
	For (1941) 9 O D 946 . 1 Cal & Day 500		406
v	. Fox (1841), 2 Q. B. 246; 1 Gal. & Dav. 566		400
- v	Gainsborough Overseers (1901), Jenkin, Overseers' Manual, 3rd	ea.,	
	p. 344		500·
- v	. Grant (1849), 14 Q. B. 43; 4 New Sess. Cas. 13; 19 L. J. (M. c.) 59;	13	
	Jur. 1026		384
1	. Gregory (1833), 5 В. & Ad. 555; 3 L. J. (м. с.) 25; 2 Nev. & M. (к.	R.Ì	
0		٠.,	175
	478	•	
	7. Hall (1881), 45 J. P. 436	.:	561
r	. Hampton (1865), 6 B. & S. 923; 12 Jur. (N. s.) 583; 13 L. T. 431;	19	
	W. R. 43	•	449
- 1	Hermitage (Inhabitants) (1693), Carth. 239		13 4
1	. Hopkins, [1896] 1 Q. B. 652; 65 L. J. (M. c.) 125; 44 W. R. 585		62
	Jones (1812), 3 Camp. 230		316
		475	505
		'	000
1	r. Kent County Treasurer (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 603; 58 L. J. (M. c.) 71;	60	-40
	L. T. 426; 37 W. R. 619; 55 J. P. 279; 16 Cox, C. C. 583		548
<u> </u>	o. Kerswill, [1895] 1 Q. B. 1; 64 L. J. (m. c.) 70; 71 L. T. 574; 43 W. R	. 59 ;	
	59 J. P. 342; 18 Cox, C. C. 49; 10 R. 476		524
1	E. Kilham, Yorkshire, Burial Board (1885), 1 T. L. R. 678, C. A.		461
	r. Lambert (1854), 2 C. L. R. 883	_	471
_ :	Tandulph (Inhabitanta) (1824) 1 Mos & R 303	•	121
- 7	Landulph (Inhabitants) (1834), 1 Moo. & R. 393	D.	121
— ī	D. Lee (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 75; 48 L. J. (M. C.) 22; 39 L. T. 605; 27 W.	10.	410
	151	•	410
- 1	v. Lynn (1788), 2 Term Rep. 733	_:	553
— 1	c. Manchester Justices (1855), 5 E. & B. 702; 25 L. J. (M. c.) 45; 2 Jur. (N		
	182; 4 W. R. 98.	528	, 546
1	v. Meakin (1869), 11 Cox, C. C. 270; 20 L. T. 544; 17 W. R. 683		68
;	. Metropolitan Board of Works (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 358; 10 B. & S. 3	91:	
•	38 L. J. (Q. B.) 201; 17 W. R. 1094	,	316
_	v. Milton (Inhabitants) (1843), 1 Car. & Ker. 58	144	. 147
- 1	There (1000) OF FF 557. OF T I a 1100. C Tue (201)		
- 1	r. Mytton (1860), 2 E. & E. 557; 29 L. J. (M. C.) 109; 6 Jur. (N. S.) 341	, 2	114
	L. T. 12; 8 W. R. 275	•	141
- 1	. North Kelsey Burial Board (1892), Times March 22, 1892	•	466
- 1	Orton, cited Stephen's Dig. Evidence, 6th ed., p. 48		144
	r. Perry (1861), 3 E. & E. 640; 30 L. J. (q. B.) 141; 7 Jur. (n. s.) 655	; 3	
	L. T. 885; 9 W. R. 383		472
	r. Peters (1856), 6 E. & B. 226		451
'	Data (1896) 1 V & I 160	•	94
- 1	v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 169	920	
1	. — (1826), 1 Y. & J. 37	15	, 250
1	r. Price (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 247; 53 L. J. (M. C.) 51; 33 W. R. 45, n.;	10	F00
	Cox, C. C. 389	, 4 U5	, 569
1	r. Redford (1869). 11 Cox, C. C. 367; 21 L. T. 508	•	348
1	Registrar of Friendly Societies (1852), 16 J. P. 613		331

D. D. 11 (1998) A. T. J. 247, A. C. J. J. 114	PA	G K
R. r. Reynell (1805). 6 East, 315; 2 Smith, 406		411
- v. St. John, Westgate, Burial Board (1862), 2 B. & S. 703; 31 L. J.	(Q. B.)	
205; 6 L. T. 504; 10 W. R. 600.		530
- r. St. Mary (Inhabitants) (1821), 4 B. & Ald. 462	. 113.	
-r. St. Mary Abbot's Kensington (Inhabitants) (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 82-		513
-r. St. Mary, Islington, Vestry (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 523; 59 L. J. (Q. B.	1.460	010
69 I. T 996 20 W D 10 64 T D 907) 402;	F 00
63 L. T. 226: 39 W. R. 10; 54 J. P. 807.		529
- r. St. Marylebone Vestry, [1895] 1 Q. B. 771; 64 L. J. (q. B.) 622; 72		
11; 14 R. 172, C. A		482
- r. Sampson (1885), 52 L. T. 772; 49 J. P. 807.		68
- r. Sharpe (1857), 26 L. J. (M. C.) 47; Dears. & B. 160; 3 Jur. (N. S.)	192:5	
W. R. 318; 7 Cox, C. C. 215	. 405,	553
-v. Smith (1780), 2 Doug. 441		121
-r. South Weald Overseers (1864), 5 B. & S. 391; 33 L. J. (M. C.) 19		121
	•	4 = =
Jur. (n. s.) 1099; 10 L. T. 498: 12 W. R. 873		455
-r. Stephens (1866). L. R. 1 Q. B. 702; 13 L. T. 593; 14 W. R. 859		316
- r. Stephenson (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 331; 53 L. J. (m. c.) 176; 52 L. T. 2	67; 33	
W. R. 44; 49 J. P. 486	. 405,	569
-v. Stewart (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 773: 4 Per. & Dav. 349	. 405,	540
-r. Stock (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 405; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 420; 1 Will. Woll. &	H. 394	412
-r. Strand Board of Works (1863), 4 B. & S. 526, 551; 33 L. J. (M. C.)		
L. T. 183; 12 W. R. 828	. 121,	124
- r. Sudbury Burial Board (1858), E. B. & E. 264; 27 L. J. (Q. B.) 232;	4 Jur	
(N. 8.) 948; 6 W. R. 551		457
	. 448,	101
-v. Taylor (1720), 1 Burn's Ecclesiastical Law, 258; cited in Andrews		
	13, 414,	420
- v. Thomas (1870), 22 L. T. 138		18
- r. Tiverton Burial Board (1858), 31 L. T. (o. s.) 233; 6 W. R. 662		4 67
-r. Tonbridge Overseers (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 339; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 488; 5	1 L.T.	
179; 33 W. R. 24; 48 J. P. 740, C. A		442
- v. Townshend (1884), 15 Cox, C. C. 466	9.	18
-v. Trafford (1854), 4 E. & B. 122; 15 Q. B. 200; 24 L. J. (M. c.) 20;		
(N. S.) 252		387
- r. Tristram (No. 1), [1898] 2 Q. B. 371; 67 L. J. (Q. B.) 857; 79 L.	T 74 ·	
46 W. R. 653	. 554,	555
-r. Twiss (1889), L. R. 4 Q. B. 407; 10 B. & S. 298; 38 L. J. (Q. B.) 29	98 . 90	000
L. T. 522; 17 W. R. 765		423
- r. United Kingdom Electric Telegraph Co., Ltd. (1862), 31 L. J. (M. C.	100	240
2 B. & S. 647, n.; 8 Jur. (N. s.) 1153; 6 L. T. 378; 10 W. R. 5		
Cox, C. C. 174		123
-r. Vann (1851), 2 Den. 325; T. & M. 632; 21 L. J. (M. c.) 39; 15 Jur.		
5 Cox, C. C. 379		405
- r. Walcot Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 555; 31 L. J. (m. c.) 217; 6 L. 7	r. 320 :	
10 W. R. 599	. 449,	452
- v. Walcot St. Swithin Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 571; 31 L. J. (M. c.)	221:6	
L. T. 325; 10 W. R. 602		452
-v. Wimbledon Urban District Council (1897), 14 T. L. R. 146		458
-v. Wolferstan, [1893] 2 Q. B. 451; 62 L. J. (M. C.) 148; 69 L. T. 49		100
		477.0
W. R. 176		476
-r. Wright (1862), 8 Jur. (n. s.) 260; 5 L. T. 345; 10 W. R. 86		449
-v. Yarborough (Lord) (1824), 3 B. & C. 91; 2 Bli. (N. s.) 147; 1 I	Jow &	
Cl. 178; 5 Bing. 163; 4 D. & R. 790	•	119
Railton v. Wood (1890), 15 App. Cas. 363: 59 L. J. (P. c.) 84; 63 L. T. 13	8, P. C.	70
Ramsay v. Brand (1898), 25 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1212; 35 Sc. L. R. 927; 6 Sc.	e. L. T.	
114		290
v. Margrett, [1894] 2 Q. B. 18; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 513; 70 L. T.	788 : 1	
Mans 184	. 8. 9. 10.	. 17
Ramsden r. Dyson (1865), L. R. 1 H. L. 129; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 506; 14 W.	R. 926.	
H. L		205
v. Lupton (1873), L. R. 9 Q. B. 17; 43 L. J. (Q. B.) 17; 29 L. 7	T F10	
22 W. R. 129	· nu·	
		. fi4
Randell v Trimon (1856) 18 C R 786 · 95 T. I (c p) 907	6	
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. P.) 307	. 301,	
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. P.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 239;	6 . 301, 1 Will.	302
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. p.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. B.) 132; 2 Jur. 324	6 . 301, 1 Will.	
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. p.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (k. b.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. b.) 132; 2 Jur. 324 Ranfurly (Earl), Ex parte, Re Belfast Dock Act. See Belfast Dock A	6 . 301, 1 Will.	302
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. p.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (k. b.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. b.) 132; 2 Jur. 324 Ranfurly (Earl), Ex parte, Re Belfast Dock Act. See Belfast Dock A Ex parte Ranfurly (Earl).	6. 301, 1 Will. ct, <i>Re</i> ,	302 316
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. P.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. B.) 132; 2 Jur. 324 Ranfurly (Earl), Ex parte, Re Belfast Dock Act. See Belfast Dock A Exparte Ranfurly (Earl). Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, H. L. 206, 2	301, 1 Will. ct, Re,	302 316 222
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. p.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (k. b.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. b.) 132; 2 Jur. 324 Ranfurly (Earl), Ex parte, Re Belfast Dock Act. See Belfast Dock A Ex parte Ranfurly (Earl).	301, 1 Will. ct, Re,	302 316 222
Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; 25 L. J. (c. P.) 307 Randleson r. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; 3 Nev. & P. (K. B.) 239; Woll. & H. 149; 7 L. J. (q. B.) 132; 2 Jur. 324 Ranfurly (Earl), Ex parte, Re Belfast Dock Act. See Belfast Dock A Exparte Ranfurly (Earl). Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, H. L. 206, 2	301, 1 Will. ct, Re,	302 316 222

PAGE
Raper v. Birkbeck (1812), 15 East. 17
Jur. 606
Rashleigh v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1851), 10 C. B. 612
- Ex parte, Re Davis. See Davis, Re, Ex parte Rawlings.
Read v. Joannon (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 300; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 544; 63 L. T. 387; 38 W. R. 784; 2 Meg. 275
v. Lincoln (Bishop), [1892] A. C. 644; 62 L. J. (P. c.) 1; 67 L. T. 128;
56 J. P. 725, P. C
Real and Personal Advance Co. v. Clears (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 304; 57 L. J. (Q. B.)
164; 58 L. T. 610; 36 W. R. 256
Reed, Re, Ex parte Brown (1878), 9 Ch. D. 389; 48 L. J. (BCY.) 10; 39 L. T.
338; 27 W. R. 219
—— v. Jackson (1801), 1 East, 355
v. Norris (1837), 2 My. & Cr. 361; 6 L. J. (ch.) 197; 1 Jur. 233
Reedie v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1849), 4 Exch. 244; 6 Ry.
& Can. Cas. 184; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 65
Reeve v. Whitmore (1863), 33 L. J. (CH.) 58; 4 De G. J. & S. 1; 3 W. R. 15;
Reeves. Re (1890), 55 J. P. 24
v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 192: 50 L. T. 782: 32 W. R. 672, C. A
v. White (1852), 17 Q. B. 995; 21 L. J. (q. B.) 169; 16 Jur. 637; 16
J. P. 118
r. Fairbanks (1853), 13 C. B. 692; 1 C. L. R. 787; 22 L. J. (c. p.) 206;
17 Jur. 918
20 T. L. R. 316. H. L
Reis, Re. Ex. parte Clough, [1904] 2 K. B. 769; 73 L. J. (K. B.) 929; 91 L. T. 592; 53 W. R. 122; 11 Mans. 229; 20 T. L. R. 547; affirmed in H. L. sub
nom. Clough v. Samuel, [1905] A. C. 442; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 918; 93 L. T.
491; 54 W. R. 114; 21 T. L. R. 762
L. T. 823
Renshaw v. Queen Anne's Mansion Co., [1897] 1 Q. B. 662; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 496; 76 L. T. 611; 45 W. R. 487, C. A
Reynolds v. Ashby, [1905] A. C. 446; 73 L. J. (K. B.) 946; 91 L. T. 607; 53
W. R. 129; 20 T. L. R. 766, H. L. Rhymney Rail Co. v. Brecon and Merthyr Tydfil Junction Rail. Co. (1900), 67
L. J. (ch.) 813; 83 L. T. 111; 49 W. R. 116, C. A
Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164 411, 415, 416, 419, 430
Richards v. James (1867), L. R. 2 Q. B. 285; 8 B. & S. 302; 36 L. J. (Q. B.)
116; 16 L. T. 174; 15 W. R. 580
35 W. R. 355
v. Kidderminster Overseers, [1896] 2 Ch. 212; 65 L. J. (ch.) 502: 74 L. T. 483; 44 W. R. 505
r. May (1883), 10 Q. B. D. 400; 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 272; 31 W. R. 708 208, 233
v. Rose (1853), 9 Exch. 218; 2 C. L. R. 311; 23 L. J. (ex.) 3; 17 Jur.
1036
т. Т. 833 · 26 W. B. 764
Richardson v. Dumfriesshire Road Trustees (1890), 17 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 865; 27 Sc. L. R. 650
v. Harris (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 268; 37 W. R. 426 32, 83
v. Horton (1843), 6 Beav. 185; 12 L. J. (CH.) 333; 7 Jur. 1144. 89, 91
v. Williamson (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 276; 40 L. J. (Q. B.) 145. 341, 375.
877

	PAGE
Rickard r. Robson (1862), 31 Beav. 344; 31 L. J. (ch.) 897; 8 Jur. (n. s.) 655;	
7 L. T. 87; 10 W. R. 657	433
Ricker v. Fairbanks (1855), 40 Maine State Rep. 43	255
Ricketts r. East and West India Docks etc. Rail. Co. (1852), 12 C. B. 160: 7	
Ry. & Can. Cas. 295; 21 L. J. (c. p.) 201; 16 Jur. 1072	l, 133
Rigby v. Bristol Corporation (1860), 29 L. J. (Ex.) 359	236
Rigge v. Burbidge (1846), 15 M. & W. 598; 4 Dow. & L. 1; 15 L. J. (Ex.) 309.	241
Right v. Baynard (1674), Freem. (K. B.) 379; S. C. sub nom. Smith v. Baynard,	
3 Keb. 388, 417	133
	l, 435
Ripley v. Lordan (1860), 2 L. T. 154	9, 205
Ritchings v. Cordingley (1868), L. R. 3 A. & E. 113; 19 L. T. 26	418
Roach r. Great Western Rail. Co. (1841), 16 L. J. (Q. B.) 89; 4 Per. & Dav. 686;	
2 Ry. & Can. Cas. 505; 5 Jur. 821	, 252
Roakes v. Manser (1845), 1 C. B. 531: 3 Dow. & L. 17; 14 L. J. (c. p.) 199	95
Robbins r. Goddard, [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21	
T. L. R. 120, C. A	205
v. Jones (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 221; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 1; 10 Jur. (N. S.)	
239; 9 L. T. 523; 12 W. R. 248	129
Roberts, Re (1887), 36 Ch. D. 196; 56 L. J. (CH.) 952; 57 L. T. 79; 35 W. R.	
684; 51 J. P. 757	10
v. Barnard (1884), Cab. & El. 336	309
v. Brett (1865), 11 H. L. Cas. 337; 34 L. J. (c. p.) 241; 11 Jur. (n. s.)	505
	000
377; 12 L. T. 286; 13 W. R. 587, H. L.	280
r. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; 39 L. J. (c. p.) 129;	
22 L. T. 132; 18 W. R. 702 . 183, 197, 198, 201, 207, 211, 215	
246, 251	, 256
r. Davey (1831), 4 B. & Ad. 665; 1 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 443; 2 L. J.	
(к. в.) 141	249
r. Great Western Rail. Co. (1858), 4 C. B. (N. s.) 506; 27 L. J. (C. P.)	
266; 4 Jur. (n. s.) 1240	131
r. Harnage (1704), 2 Salk. 659; 6 Mod. Rep. 228	85
v. Havelock (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 404	. 225
r. Karr (1809), 1 Taunt. 495	175
v. Price (1847), 4 C. B. 231; 16 L. J. (c. P.) 169; 11 Jur. 352	337
r. Roberts (1730), 3 P. Wms. 66, 75	86
r (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 313; 50 L. T. 351;	
32 W. R. 605	11 96
e. Watkins (1863), 14 C. B. (N. S.) 592; 32 L. J. (c. P.) 291; 9 Jur.	1, 00
(N. S.) 128; 8 L. T. 460; 11 W. R. 783	
	014
	214
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39	
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 783	12
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 783	12 301
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 783 r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395	12
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84.	12 301
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J.	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J.	12 301 56, 57
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57 45 293
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 ———————————————————————————————————	12 301 66, 57 45 293 364 187
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - 138	12 301 56, 57 45 293
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 - r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190;	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A.	12 301 66, 57 45 293 364 187
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 - r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (Ch.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A. Robing r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 T. L. R. 120, C. A.	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173 371
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 - r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (Ch.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A. Robins r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 T. L. R. 120, C. A. Robson r. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 - r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Mine (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A. Robins r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 T. L. R. 120, C. A. Robson r. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.)	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173 371 , 286 180
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173 371
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173 371 , 286 180
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A Robing r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 - T. L. R. 120, C. A Robing v. Goddrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.) - 187 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) - 113; 13 Jur. 1087	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 , 173 371 , 286 180
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 - r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (Ch.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A. Robins r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 - T. L. R. 120, C. A 211, 215 - 210 - 211, 215 - 211 - 213 - 213 - 214 - 215 - 216 - 217 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) - 113; 13 Jur. 1087 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) - 113; 13 Jur. 1087 - Rodick v. Gandell (1856), L. R. 1 Exch. 106: 4 H. & C. 178; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 71; 12	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 173 371 286 180 , 271 268
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T. 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J. (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A. Robins r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 T. L. R. 120, C. A. Robson v. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt. 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (o. S.) (K. B.) 187 Redick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) 113; 13 Jur. 1087 Roe r. Bradshaw (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 106: 4 H. & C. 178; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 71; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 29; 14 L. T. 641; 14 W. R. 284	12 301 36,57 45 293 364 187 ,173 371 ,286 180 ,271 268 48
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A Robins r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 - T. L. R. 120, C. A 211, 215 - Robson v. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.) 187 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) 113; 13 Jur. 1087 - Roe r. Bradshaw (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 106: 4 H. & C. 178; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 71; 12 - Jur. (N. S.) 29; 14 L. T. 641; 14 W. R. 284	12 301 56, 57 45 293 364 187 173 371 286 180 , 271 268
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A Robing r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 - T. L. R. 120, C. A 211, 215 - Robson v. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.) 187 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) 113; 13 Jur. 1087 - Roe r. Bradshaw (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 106: 4 H. & C. 178; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 71; 12 - Jur. (N. S.) 29; 14 L. T. 641; 14 W. R. 284 - v. Mutual Loan Fund, Ltd. (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 347; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 541;	12 301 36,57 45 293 364 187 , 173 871 , 286 180 , 271 268 48 140
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 ———————————————————————————————————	12 301 36,57 45 293 364 187 , 173 871 286 180 , 271 268 48 140 55
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 - r. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167 Robinson r. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 17; 23 L. T. 395 - r. Collingwood (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 777; 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18; 10 - Jur. (N. S.) 1080; 11 L. T. 313; 13 W. R. 84 r. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269; 40 L. J. (Ex.) 172; 24 L. T 755; 19 W. R. 1036 - r. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 478; 1 Hop. & Ph. 1; 33 L. J (C. P.) 57; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 1342; 9 L. T. 481; 12 W. R. 202; 28 - J. P. 438 - r. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070 - r. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137 - r. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 85; 50 L. T. 190; 32 W. R. 374, C. A Robing r. Goddard [1905] 1 K. B. 294; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 167; 92 L. T. 10; 21 - T. L. R. 120, C. A 211, 215 - Robson v. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark. 275; Holt, 236 - and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ald. 303; 9 L. J. (O. S.) (K. B.) 187 - Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; 12 Beav. 325; 19 L. J. (CH.) 113; 13 Jur. 1087 - Roe r. Bradshaw (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 106: 4 H. & C. 178; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 71; 12 - Jur. (N. S.) 29; 14 L. T. 641; 14 W. R. 284 - v. Mutual Loan Fund, Ltd. (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 347; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 541;	12 301 36,57 45 293 364 187 , 173 871 , 286 180 , 271 268 48 140
Robertson, Re, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 94; 39 L. T. 2; 26 W. R. 733 ———————————————————————————————————	12 301 36,57 45 293 364 187 , 173 871 286 180 , 271 268 48 140 55



Pagara at Allan (1909) 1 Comm 900	PAGE
Rogers v. Allen (1808), 1 Camp. 309 v. James (1891), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., V	146
56 J. P. 277, C. A.	210, 295, 299
v. Price (1829), 3 Y & J. 28 v. Wood (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 245.	406
v. Wood (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 245	142, 146
Roles v. Rosewell (1794), 5 Term Rep. 538	94
Rolleston v. Cope (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 292; 1 Hop. & Colt. 160;	40 L. J. (c. p.)
160 : 24 L. T. 890 : 19 W. R. 927 : 35 J. P. 406	364
Rolph, Ex parte, Re Spindler. See Spindler Re, Ex parte Rolph, Roone v. Hackney Public Baths Commissioners (1895),	·
	The Builder,
December 17, 1895	
Roper, Re, Ex parte Bolland (1882), 21 Ch. D. 543; 52 L. J. (CH.)	113 : 47 L. T.
488: 31 W. R. 102. C. A.	
Rose v. Buckett, [1901] 2 K. B. 449; 70 L. J. (K. B.) 736; 84	
W. R. 8: 8 Mans. 259, C. A. r. Groves (1843), 5 Man. & G. 613; 6 Scott (N. R.), 645; 1	67
12 L. J. (c. P.) 251; 7 Jur. 951	316
Rosenberg v. Northumberland Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D.	D. 373; 60 L. T.
558; 37 W. R. 368, C.A	333, 334
Rosher v. Northfleet (Vicar) (1825), 3 Add. 14 Roundwood Colliery Co., Re, [1897] 1 Ch. 378; 66 L. J. (ch.) 186	419
45 W. R. 324	; 75 L. T. 641; 11, 15
Rous v. Barker (1725), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 260.	116
Rowe v. Brenton (1828), 8 B. & C. 737; 3 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 257	
Rowntree v. Jacob (1809), 2 Taunt, 141	90
Ruabon Brick and Terra Cotta Co. v. Great Western Rail. Co., [18	
62 L. J. (ch.) 483; 68 L. T. 110; 41 W. R. 418; 2 R. 237. Rugg v. Kingsmill (1867), L. R. 2 P. C. 59; 5 Moo. P. C. C. (N. S.	178
(ECCL.) 13: 18 L. T. 94	411, 415, 419
Rumney and Smith, Re, [1897] 2 Ch. 351; 66 L. J. (CH.) 641;	76 L. T. 800 :
45 W. R. 678, C. A.	364, 369
Rumsey r. North Eastern Rail. Co. (1863), 14 C. B. (N. s.) 641; 244; 10 Jur. (N. s.) 208; 8 L. T. 666; 11 W. R. 911	004
Russel v. Lee (1663), 1 Lev. 86; 1 Keb. 382, 416, 423	
Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. s.) 149:	
68; 9 Jur. (n. s.) 718; 7 L. T. 804 211, 22	
v. St. Botolph (Parishioners) (1859), 5 Jur. (N. s.) 300	
——— v. Trickett (1865), 13 L. T. 280	170, 280 179, 231
Rylands r. Fletcher (1868), L. R. 3 H. L. 330; 37 L. J. (Ex.) 161	; 19 L. T. 220.
H. L	316
S.	
υ.	
SG. v. Bath Corporation (1818), 18 L. J. (CH.) 275; 13 Jur. 866	117
Sackville (Sir George) v. Milward (1444), Vin. Abr. tit. Fences	
22 H. 6, 78	133, 134
	ery. L.J. (K. B.) 151 193
St. Andrew's, Hove (Vicar) v. Mason, [1895] P. 228, n	423
St. Benet Fink Churchyard, Re, Re St. Nicholas Cole Abbey. Se	
Cole Abbey, Re, Re St. Benet Fink Churchyard.	400
St. Benet Sherehog, [1893] P. 66, n	423 P. 69 533
St. Botolph-without-Aldgate (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 16	
(No. 2), [189	92] P. 173 . 536
St. Gabriel, Fenchurch Street (Rector) v. City of London Real	Property Co.,
[1896] P. 95 St. George, Hanover Square (Rector) v. Hall (1879), 5 P. D. 42.	424
v. Steuart (1740), 2 Stra. 11	423 126 423
St. George-in-the-East (Rector), Re (1876), 1 P. D. 311	424
St. George's Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte Foote (1858)), 6 W. R. 766
	349, 3 63
St. George's Building Society, Re (1857), 4 Drew. 154; 27 L. J. (C (N. s.) 683; 5 W. R. 771; 21 J. P. 501	H.) 96; 8 Jur. 898
Z., 2,, 000, 0 11, 21, 112, 22 0, 21 002 1 1	

	GE
St. Giles, Camberwell, Vestry v. London Cemetery Co., [1894] 1 Q. B. 699; 63	
L. J. (M. c.) 74; 70 L. T. 734; 42 W. R. 446; 58 J. P. 382	513
St. Helen's, Bishopsgate, with St. Mary, Outwich (Rector etc.) v. Parishioners,	
	554
St. James-the-Less, Bethnal Green (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1899] P. 55 . 423,	532
	423
St. John's, Walbrook (Rector) v. Parishioners (1852), 2 Rob. Eccl. 515; 16 Jur.	
	423
St. Margaret, Rochester, Burial Board v. Thompson (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 445:	
40 L. J. (c. P.) 213; 24 L. T. 673: 19 W. R. 892	479
St. Martin's, Birmingham (Rector), Exparte (1870), L. R. 11 Eq. 23; 40 L. J.	X 10
	52 9
	538
	539
	423
	423
St. Pancras Burial Ground, Re (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 173; 36 L. J. (CH.) 52; 14	
	520
St. Pancras Vestry v. St. Martin-in-the-Fields (Vicar etc.) (1860), 6 Jur. (N. S.)	
540	555
St. Saviour's Rectory Trustees and Oyler, Re (1886), 31 Ch. D. 412; 55 L. J.	
(ch.) 269; 54 L. T. 9; 34 W. R. 224; 50 J. P. 325 196,	532
St. Sepulchre, Holborn Viaduct, Re (1903), 19 T. L. R. 723 423,	532
Salford Corporation r. Lever, [1891] 1 Q. B. 168; 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 39; 63 L. T.	
658; 39 W. R. 85; 55 J. P. 244, C. A	300
Salisbury (Marquis) and Ecclesiastical Commissioners, Re (1876), 2 Ch. D. 29;	
	443
Sanders v. Coward (1846), 15 M. & W. 48; 3 Dow. & L. 281; 15 L. J. (Ex.) 97;	
	100
	267
Sanderson v. Cockermouth and Workington Rail. Co. (1849), 11 Beav. 497;	
	178
v. Graves (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 234; 44 L. J. (Ex.) 210; 33 L. T.	
269; 23 W. R. 797	180
Sangster v. Cochrane (1884), 28 Ch. D. 298; 54 L. J. (CH.) 301; 51 L. T. 889;	100
	371
33 W. R. 221; 49 J. P. 327	011
Sanguinetti v. Stuckey's Banking Co., [1895] 1 Ch. 176; 64 L. J. (CH.) 181; 13	5 8
R. 66; 71 L. T. 872; 43 W. R. 154	
Sansom v. Bell (1809), 2 Camp. 39	88
Sargent, Re (1890), 15 P. D. 168	
	, 36
Satterthwaite, Re (1895), 2 Mans. 52; 15 R. 242.	17
Sattin v. Poole (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 337.	245
Saunders v. White, [1902] 1 K. B. 472; 71 L. J. (K. B.) 318; 86 L. T. 173; 50	
	, 36
and Collard v. Broadstairs Local Board (1890), Hudson on Building	000
The second secon	
Sawden v. Andrew (1874), 30 L. T. 23	242
Sayer v. Chaytor (1699), Lut. 695	89
Scadding v. St. Pancras Burial Board, [1889] W. N. 45, 120	479
Schweitzer r. Mayhew (1862), 31 Beav. 37	370
Scotson v. Pegg (1861), 6 H. & N. 295; 30 L. J. (Ex.) 225; 3 L. T. 753; 9 W. R.	
	272
Scott r. Brown, Doering, McNab, & Co., [1892] 2 Q. B. 724; 61 L. J. (Q. B.)	
738: 41 W. R. 116, C. A	171
v. Carluke Local Authority (1879), 6 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 616; 16 Sc. L. R. 435	
	287
286,	201
286,	
286, — v. Liverpool Corporation (1856), 25 L. J. (ch.) 227; 2 Jur. (n. s.) 111; 4 W. R. 210.	303
v. Liverpool Corporation (1856), 25 L. J. (ch.) 227; 2 Jur. (n. s.) 111; 4	
	303
v. Liverpool Corporation (1856), 25 L. J. (ch.) 227; 2 Jur. (n. s.) 111; 4 W. R. 210	303
— v. Liverpool Corporation (1856), 25 L. J. (ch.) 227; 2 Jur. (N. s.) 111; 4 W. R. 210	303
286, 287, 2 Jur. (N. s.) 111; 4 W. R. 210	303 284 191
286, 287, 2 Jur. (N. s.) 111; 4 W. R. 210	303 284 191 119
286, 287, 21 Sc. L. R. 189	303 284 191 119 186,
286, 287, 287, 288, 288, 288, 288, 288, 288	303 284 191 119 186, 312

PAGE	
Seal, Re, [1894] 1 Ch. 316; 63 L. J. (ch.) 275; 70 L. T. 329, C. A	
W. R. 598	
Searby v. Tottenham Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 5 Eq. 409	
Searle r. Cooke (1890), 43 Ch. D. 519; 59 L. J. (ch.) 259; 62 L. T. 211, C. A 117 —— v. Laverick (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 122; 43 L. J. (q. B.) 43; 30 L. T. 89;	
22 W. R. 367	
Searles r. Scarlett, [1892] 2 Q. B. 56; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 573; 66 L. T. 837; 40	
W. R. 696; 56 J. P. 789	
Seaton Brick and Tile Co. r. Mitchell (1900), 2 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 550; 37 Sc.L. R. 400 167	
v. Henson (1678), 2 Lev. 220; 2 Show. 28. 98. 99 Second Commercial Benefit Building Society, Re (1879), 48 L. J. (ch.) 753 398	
Second Commercial Benefit Building Society, Re (1879), 48 L. J. (CH.) 753 . 398 Second East Dulwich 745th Starr-Bowkett Building Society, Re (1899), 47	
W. R. 408; 68 L. J. (CH.) 196; 79 L. T. 726	
Second Edinburgh and Leith Building Society r. Aitken (1892), 29 Sc. L. R. 456 392 Seed v. Bradley, [1894] 1 Q. B. 819; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 387; 9 R. 171; 70 L. T.	
214; 42 W. R. 257; 1 Mans. 157	
Selway v. Fogg (1839), 5 M. & W. 83; 8 L. J. (Ex.) 199 169	
Sevenoaks and Maidstone and Tunbridge Rail. Co. r. London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co. (1879), 11 Ch. D. 625; 48 L. J. (CH.) 518; 40 L. T. 545; 27	
W. R. 672	
Seymour v. Bridge (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 460; 54 L. J. (q. B.) 347	
v. Coulson (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 359; 49 L. J. (Q. B.) 604 65 Shadwell v. Shadwell (1860), 9 C. B. (N. S.) 159; 30 L. J. (C. P.) 145; 7 Jur.	
(N. s.) 311 : 3 L. T. 628 : 9 W. R. 163	
Sharp r. Brown (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427: 57 L. J. (ch.) 961; 57 L. T. 606	
264, H. L	
v. McHenry (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427; 57 L. J. (ch.) 961; 57 L. T. 60692, 36, 44, 51	
Sharpe v. Birch (1881), 8 Q. B. D. 111; 51 L. J. (q. B.) 64; 45 L. T. 760; 30 W. R. 428; 46 J. P. 246	
—— r. Hansard (1830), 3 Hag. Ecc. 335	
v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, 601, 613; 29 L. T. 9 164,	
170, 186, 209, 216, 223, 229, 232, 284, 285, 286, 288, 299, 290 Shaw v. Benson (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 563; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 575, C. A 325, 397	
r. Worcester (Marquis) (1830), 6 Bing. 385; 4 Moo. & P. 21; 8 L. J. (0.8.)	
(C. P.) 98	
241 : 12 Jur. (N. s.) 785 : 14 L. T. 286 : 14 W. R. 209	
Sheffield Improved Industrial and Provident Society r. Jarvis, [1871] W. N. 208 135	
Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society, Re (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 470; 58 L. J. (Q. B.)	
265; 60 L. T. 186;	
53 J. P. 375 . 351, 352, 360, 396	
v. Aizlewood (1889),	
44 Ch. D. 412; 59	
L. J. (CH.) 34; 62 L. T. 678 . 341, 364	
v. Harrison (1884),	
15 Q. B. D. 358; 54 L. J. (q. B.) 15; 51 L. T. 649; 33 W. R. 144	
20 T. L. R. 517	
Shelley r. Wright (1773). Willes, 9	
Shelly's Case (1693), 1 Salk. 296	
r. Pybus (1842), 2 Man. & G. 868; 4 Scott (N. R.), 434; 11 L. J. (C. P.)	
101	
Sheriff v. Glenton (1873), 28 L. T. 65	
Shields, Whitby and District Amalgamated Model Building Society v. Richards	
(1901), 84 L. T. 587	
146; 40 W. R. 386; 9 Morr. 40, C. A	
Shore v. Shore (1847), 2 Ph. 378; 17 L. J. (ch.) 59	

PAG K
Shutt v. Proctor (1816). 2 Marsh. 221
Simmonds r. Hughes (1890). 6 T. L. R. 443. Simmons r. Woodward, [1892] A. C. 100; 61 L. J. (ch.) 252; 63 L. T. 534; 40 W. R. 641, H. L. 36, 43, 50 Simons v. Patchett (1857), 7 E. & B. 568; 26 L. J. (q. B.) 195; 8 Jur. (N. S.) 742; 5 W. R. 500 Re, Ex parte Allard (1881), 16 Ch. D. 505; 29 W. R. 406 65 Simpson r. Charing Cross Bank (1886), 34 W. R. 568 r. Hartopp (1744), Willes, 512 r. Scottish Union Fire and Life Assurance Co. (1863), 32 L. J. (ch.) 329; 1 Hem. & M. 618; 9 Jur. (N. S.) 711; 8 L. T. 112; 11 W. R. 459; 1 New Rep. 537 r. Trim Town Commissioners (1898), 31 L. L. T. 120 v. Wood (1852), 7 Exch. 349; 21 L. J. (Ex.) 153. Simpson's Case. See Aldborough Hotel Co., Simpson's Case. Sims r. London Necropolis Co. (1885), 1 T. L. R. 584 v. Trollope & Sons, [1897] 1 Q. B. 24; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 11; 75 L. T. 351; 45 W. R. 97 r. Urry (1676), 2 Cas. in Ch. 225; Eq. Cas. Abr. 92
Sinclair r. Bowles (1829), 9 B. & C. 92; 4 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 1; 7 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 178 Singleton, Ex parte, Re Tritton. See Tritton, Re, Ex parte Singleton.
r. Shove (1895), [1899] 2 Ch. 64, n.: 68 L. J. (cH) 476; 81 L. T. 86; 47 W. R. 647 Skinners' Co. r. Jenes (1837), 3 Bing. (N. c.) 481; 4 Scott, 271; 3 Hodges, 18; 6 L. J. (c. P.) 113 Slater, Re (1897), 76 L. T. 704; 4 Mans. 118 r. Baker (1767), 2 Wils. 359 Slaughter v. Sunderland Corporation (1891), 60 L. J. (M. c.) 91; 65 L. T. 250; 55 J. P. 519 Slee, Re, Ex parte North Western Bank (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 69; 42 L. J. (BCX.)
6; 27 L. T. 461; 21 W. R. 69 Small v. National Provincial Bank of England, [1894] 1 Ch. 686; 63 L. J. (CH.) 270; 70 L. T. 492; 42 W. R. 378
T. Beaufort (Duke) (1842), 1 Hare, 507; 1 Ph. 209; 13 L. J. (ch.) 33; 7 Jur. 1095 v. Bond (1833), 10 Bing. 125; 3 Moo. & S. 528; 2 L. J. (c. P.) 269 v. Brown (1879), 48 L. J. (ch.) 694 v. Brown (1879), 48 L. J. (ch.) 694 v. Brownlow (Earl) (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 241; 21 L. T. 739; 18 W. R. 271 v. Cheese (1875), 1 C. P. D. 60; 45 L. J. (c. P.) 156; 33 L. T. 670; 24 W. R. 368 v. Giddy. [1904] 2 K. B. 448; 73 L. J. (K. B.) 894; 91 L. T. 296; 20 T. L. R. 536

	AGE
Smith v. Gordon (1880), 30 Upper Canada C. P. 553	215
v. Harwich Corporation (1857), 2 C. B. (N. S.) 651; 26 I. J. (C. P.) 257.	196
- v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority (1890), Hudson on Building	
Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151 201, 202, 217, 218	
v. Johnson (1899), 15 T. L. R. 179	240
v. Kirk (1871), 25 L. T. 426	270
r. Lister (1895), 64 L. J. (Q. B.) 154; 72 L. T. 20: 15 R. 226.	144
v. Lloyd (1854), 9 Exch. 562; 2 C. L. R. 1008; 23 L. J. (Ex.) 194; 2	
W. R. 271.	112
v. — (1859), 26 Beav. 507	388
v. Neale (1857), 2 C. B. (n. s.) 67; 26 L. J. (c. p.) 143; 3 Jur. (n. s.)	
516; 5 W. R. 563	170
v. Peters (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 511; 44 L. J. (ch.) 613: 23 W. R. 783. 211	
v. Pilkington (1859), 1 De G. F. & J. 120; 29 L. J. (ch.) 227.	367
r. Ridgway (1865), L. R. 1 Exch. 331; 35 L. J. (Ex.) 11, 198; 12 L. T.	
611; 14 W. R. 207, 868	140
v. Roberts (unreported case, referred to in Re Dixon, [1892] P. 386)	554
v. Rudhall (1862), 3 F. & F. 143	276
v. Thackerah (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 564: 35 L. J. (c. p.) 276: 12 Jur.	
(N. s.) 545; 14 L. T. 761; 14 W. R. 832; Har. & Ruth. 615	136
v. Wall (1868), 18 L. T. 182	57
Smith's Case (1875), 1 Ch. D. 481; 45 L. J. (CH.) 143; 24 W. R. 103	331
Smith's Trustees v. Irvine and Fullerton Building Society (1905), 6 F. (Ct. of	
Sess.) 99	5, 398
"Snark," The, [1900] P. 105; 69 L. J. (P.) 41; 82 L. T. 42; 48 W. R. 279;	
9 Asp. M. C. 50, C. A.	316
Sneezum, Re, Ex parte Davis (1876), 3 Ch. D. 463; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 137; 35	
L. T. 389; 25 W. R. 49, C. A	272
Snell v. Heighton (1883), 1 Cab. & El. 95	10
	7 , 2 39
Softley, Ex parte, Re Hodgkin. See Hodgkin, Re, Ex parte Softley.	
Solomon v. Vintners' Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 585; 28 L. J. (Ex.) 370; 5 Jur.	
(n. s.) 1177; 7 W. R. 613), 137
Soothill Upper Urban Council v. Wakefield Rural Council. [1905] 2 Ch. 516;	
74 L. J. (ch.) 703; 3 L. G. R. 1208: 69 J. P. 447; 21 T. L. R. 766, C. A.	279
South African Territories, Ltd. v. Wallington, [1898] A. C. 309; 67 L. J. (Q. B.)	00=
470; 78 L. T. 426; 46 W. R. 545, H. L.	267
Southam, Ex parte, Re Southam. See Southam, Re, Ex parte Southam.	
Re, Ex parte Southam (1874), L. R. 17 Eq. 578; 43 L. J. (BCY.) 39;	
30 L. T. 132; 22 W. R. 456	44
Southampton Dock Co. v. Richards (1840), 1 Man. & G. 448; 1 Scott (N. R.),	410
219; 2 Ry. & Can. Cas. 215	458
Southcote v. Stanley (1858), 1 H. & N. 247; 25 L. J. (Ex.) 339	129
South Eastern Rail. Co. v. Warton (1861), 6 H. & N. 520; 31 L. J. (EX.) 51520	4, 215
South Essex Estuary Co., Re, Ex parte Chorley (1870), L. R. 11 Eq. 157; 40	
L. J. (CH.) 153; 19 W. R. 430	97
South of Ireland Colliery Co. v. Waddle (186 '-1869), L. R. 3 C. P. 463; L. R.	
4 C P. 618; 37 L. J. (c. p.) 211; 38 L. J. (c. p.) 338; 18 L. T. 405; 16	
W. R. 756; 17 W. R. 896	172
Southport and West Lancashire Bank v. Thompson (1887), 37 Ch. D. 64; 57	
L. J. (ch.) 114; 58 L. T. 143; 36 W. R. 113	24
South Wales Ry. Co. v. Wythes (1854), 1 K. & J. 186; affirmed 3 Eq. Rep. 153;	248
5 De G. M. & G. 731; 24 L. J. (ch.) 87; 3 W. R. 133	
Southwell (Chapter) v. Thompson (1837), 6 L. J. (CH.) 196	150
Sowerby v. Fryer (1869), L. R. 8 Eq. 417; 38 L. J. (CH.) 617; 20 L. T. 868; 17	
W. R. 879 Spanton. Re. Exparte Jarvis (1879), 10 Ch. D. 179; 48 L. J. (BCY.) 45; 39	409
L. T. 651; 27 W. R. 297	. 58 09
Sparkes v. Martindale (1807), 8 East, 593	92
Sparrow v. Farmer (1859), 26 Beav. 511; 28 L. J. (CH.) 537; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 530;	366
23 J. P. 500	
Speer v. Crawter (1817). 2 Mer. 410	6, 117
237; 19 W. R. 48	167
v. Midland Ry. Co. (1895). 11 T. L. R. 542	4, 265
v. Slater (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 13; 48 L. J. (Q. B.) 204; 39 L. T. 424; 27	±, 200
W. R. 134.	. 16
Spike v. Harding (1878) 7 Ch D. 871 : 47 L. J. (CH.) 323 : 38 L. T. 235 : 26 W. R. 420	

1461 115 1, 257 , 196 Uniding 217, 218 30

(3.2) (3.2) (3.2) (3.2) (3.2) (3.2) (3.2)

. . 10 386) . 34

1. 179; 15 15; 35 15; 24

5 In. 16 In 1516: C.A., In

(0,1)

| Y (X : 155 : L 157 : L 157 : H 158 : H 158

ė 117

DIOT
PAGE Spindler, Re, Ex parte Rolph (1881), 19 Ch. D. 98; 51 L. J. (CH.) 88; 45 L. T.
482; 30 W. R. 52; 46 J. P. 181, C. A
W. R. 187; 9 Mans. 52
Spooner v. Brewster (1825), 3 Bing. 136; 10 Moore, 494; 2 Car. & P. 34; 3
L. J. (o. s.) (c. p.) 203
Spry v. Emperor (1840), 6 M. & W. 639; 10 L. J. (ex.) 50
v. Gallop (1847), 16 M. & W. 716; 16 L. J. (Ex.) 218
Squire v. Whetton (1848), 1 H. L. Cas. 333; 12 Jur 125, H. L 83
Stacpoole v. Stacpoole (1816), 4 Dow, 209
L. T. 850; 11 W. R. 361
Stag v. Punter (1744), 3 Atk. 119
Standard Bank of British South America v. Stokes (1878), 9 Ch. D. 71; 47
L. J. (ch.) 554; 38 L. T. 672; 26 W. R. 492
487: 39 W. R. 369: 2 Meg. 418
Standen r. Christmas (1847), 10 Q. B. 135; 16 L. J. (q. B.) 265 149 Stanford, Ex parte, Re Barber. See Barber, Re, Ex parte Stanford.
Staniforth v. Capon (1886), 2 T. L. R. 493
Star r. Rookesby (1710), 1 Salk. 335; 11 Mod. Rep. 168 128, 132
Starr v. Wall (1889), 6 T. L. R. 108 Startup r. Macdonald (1843), 6 Man. & G. 593, 611; 7 Scott (N. R.), 269; 12 L. J.
(EX.) 477
(q. B.) 1 : 23 L. T. 530 : 19 W. R. 73
Stedman r. Smith (1857), 8 E. & B. 1; 26 L. J. (Q. B.) 314; 4 Jur. (N. S.) 1248. 137
Steeds r. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537; 58 L. J. (q. B.) 302; 66 L. T. 318; 37 W. R. 378
— v. Bell (1900), 3 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 319; 38 Sc. L. R. 217; 8 Sc. L. T. 381 . 245
Steel, Ex parte, Re, Eales. See Eales, Re, Ex parte Steel. v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1855), 16 C. B. 550; 25 L. T. (o. s.) 129 315
v. Young, [1907] S. C. 360; 44 Sc. L. R. 291
W. R. 784
$\frac{1}{1}$ Stegmann r. O Connor (1899), 81 L. T. 627
Stephenson, Re (1847), 17 L. J. (BCY.) 5; 1 De G. 586; 12 Jur. 6
Stern v. Tegner, [1898] 1 Q. B. 37; 66 L. J. (Q. B.) 859; 77 L. T. 347; 4 W. R.
82; 4 Mans. 328 Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 99; 1 F. & F. 498; 29 L. J. (C. P.) 1; 6
Jur. (n. s.) 147 : 1 L. T. 33 : 8 W. R. 85 175, 176, 196, 296
v. Hounslow Burial Board (1889), 61 L. T. 839; 38 W. R. 236; 54 J. P. 309
v. Marston (1890), 60 L. J. (q. B.) 192; 64 L. T. 274; 39 W. R. 129; 55
J. P 404
- r. Pinney (1818), 2 Moore (c. p.), 349; 8 Taunt. 327
v. Whistler (1809), 11 East, 51 Stevenson r. Watson (1879), 4 C. P. D. 148; 48 L. J. (c. P.) 318; 40 L. T. 485;
27 W. R. 682
Stevenson's Trustee v. Campbell & Sons (1896), 23 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 711; 33 Sc. L. R. 503; 3 Sc. L. T. 330
Stewards & Co. v. R. (1900), 17 T. L. R. 111, C. A
Stewart, Ex parte (1849), 3 De G. & Sm. 557. See also Stuart v. Sloper 159 v. Sloper. See Stuart v. Sloper.
v. West Derby Burial Board (1886), 34 Ch. D. 314; 56 L. J. (ch.) 425;
56 L. T. 380 : 35 W. R. 268 Stiff Eastbourne Local Board (1868), 20 L. T. 339 ; 17 W. R. 428 280
Sulk t. Myrick (1809), 2 Camp. 317
Stokes r. Spencer, [1900] 2 Q. B. 483; 69 L. J. (q. B.) 792; 83 L. T. 199; 49 W. R. 13; 7 Mans. 402
Stone r. Cartwright (1796), 6 Term Rep. 411
and Hastie, Re, [1903] 2 K. B. 463; 72 L. J. (K. B.) 846; 89 L. T. 353;
52 W. R. 130, C. A

	AGE
Storey, Re, Ex parte Popplewell (1882), 21 Ch. D. 73; 52 L. J. (CH.) 39; 47	E E1
L. T. 274; 31 W. R. 35, C. A	5, 51
Vol. II., p. 398, C. A	294
Strachy v. Francis (1741), 2 Atk. 217	409
Stranton from and Steel Co., Re (1875), 16 L. R. Eq. 559; 45 L. J. (CH.) 215 . Strickland r. Williams, [1899] 1 Q. B. 382; 68 L. J. (Q. B.) 241; 80 L. T. 4.	357
C. A	, 101
Strohmenger v. Finsbury Permanent Investment Building Society, [1897] 2	004
Ch. 469: 66 L. J. (ch.) 708: 77 L. T. 235; 46 W. R. 69, C. A	334 258
Stuart v. Sloper (1849), 3 Exch. 700; 3 De G. & Sm. 557; 18 L. J. (ex.) 321; 18	200
L. J. (BCY.) 14: 13 Jur. 581	159
— v. Smith (1816), 2 Marsh. 435; 7 Taunt. 158; Holt, 321 Stubbs v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 311; 36 L. J. (Ex.) 166;	138
15 W. R. 869	, 306
Sturla r. Freccia (1880), 5 App. Cas. 623; 50 L. J. (ch.) 86; 43 L. T. 209; 29	140
W. R. 217; 44 J. P. 812, H. L	143 9, 91
Sumpter r. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 763; 67 L. J. (q. B.) 545; 78 L. T. 378; 46	-,
W. R. 454, C. A	. 205
W. R. 95	395
Sunderland 36th Universal Building Society, Re (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 394; 59	
	9, 360
Sunderland Vestry, Re. See R. v. Peters. Sutherland v. Montrose Shipbuilding Co. (1860), 22 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 665; 32	
Sc. Jur. 262	, 204
Sutton r. Bath (1858), 3 H. & N. 382	50
	1, 263
Swanley Coal Co. v. Denton, [1906] 2 K. B. 873; 75 L. J. (K. B.) 1009; 95 L. T.	20.05
659; 13 Mans. 353	22, 37
District Bank. See West of England and South Wales District Bank, Re,	
Exparte Swanses Friendly Society.	146
Swift r. M'Tiernan (1848), 11 I. Eq. R. 602. v. Pannell (1883), 24 Ch. D. 210; 53 L. J. (ch.) 341; 48 L. T. 351; 31	, 146
W. R. 543 5, 4	15, 60
Swiney and M Larnon v. Ballymena Commissioners (1888), 23 L. R. Ir. 122 . Sybray v. White (1836), 1 M. & W. 481	282 129
Symmons, Ex parte, Re Jordan. See Jordan, Re, Ex parte Symmons.	120
Symonds, Re, Ex parte Young (1880), 42 L. T. 744; 28 W. R. 924	51
v. Lloyd (1859), 6 C. B. (N. s.) 691	173
_	
Т.	
TABERNACLE Permanent Building Society r. Knight, [1892] A. C. 298; 62	
L. J. (Q. B.) 250; 67 L. T. 483; 41 W. R. 207; 56 J. P. 709, H. L.	383
Tadman r. D'Epineul. See D'Epinuel (Count), Re. Tailby r. Official Receiver (1888), 13 App. Cas. 523; 58 L. J. (Q. B.) 75; 60	
L. T. 162; 37 W. R. 513, H. L.	28
Talbot, Re. [1901] P. 1	554
r. Lèwis (1834), 6 C. & P. 603	145 141
Tamplin, Re. Ex parte Barnett (1890), 59 L. J. (q. B.) 194; 62 L. T. 264; 38	
W. R. 351; 7 Morr. 70. Tancred r. Delagoa Bay and East Africa Rail. Co. (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 239; 58	30
L. J. (o. B.) 459: 61 L. T. 229: 38 W. R. 15	268
738, H. L	J, 188
Tarry r. Ashton (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 314; 45 L. J. (Q. B.) 260; 34 L. T. 97; 24	
W. R. 581	316
Tattersall r. Knight (1811), 1 Phillim, 232	. 411 . 221
Taylor r, Divid (1550) 1 Wile 980	90

PAGE
Taylor r. Brewer (1813), 1 M. & S. 290
v. Caldwell (1863), 3 B. & S. 826, 833; 32 L. J. (Q. B.) 164; 8 L. T. 356;
11 W. R. 726
v. Devey (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 409; 7 L. J. (M. c.) 11
v. Hall (1870), 4 I. R. C. L. 467: 5 I. R. C. L. 477
v. McKeand (1880), 5 C. P. D. 358; 49 L. J. (c. P.) 563; 42 L. T. 833;
28 W. R. 628: 44 J. P. 784
4 Jur 967
v. Stendall (1845), 7 Q. B. 634; 3 Dow. & L. 161; 14 L. J. (q. B.) 301; 9 Jur. 1096
— v. Tomson (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 671 : 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 216 : 52 J. P. 135 . 410
— v. Whitehead (1781), 2 Doug. (K. B.) 749. Temperley Steam Shipping Co. r. Smyth & Co., [1905] 2 K. B. 791; 74 L. J.
(K. B.) 876; 93 L. T. 471; 21 T. L. R. 739; 10 Com. Cas. 301; 54 W. R.
150; 10 Asp. M. C. 123
Tennant r. Howatson (1888), 13 App. Cas. 489; 57 L. J. (P. c.) 110; 58 L. T. 646, P. C
"Tergeste," The, [1903] P. 26; 72 L. J. (P.) 18; 87 L. T. 507; 9 Asp. M. C.
356
Tetley v. Shand (1871), 25 L. T 658; 20 W. R. 206
Tew v. Newbold-on-Avon United District School Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 260
Texira r. Evans, cited in Master v. Miller (1793), 1 Anst. 229
Thackerv. Wilson (1835), 3 Ad. & El. 142; 4 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 658; 4 L. J. (K. B.) 149 138
Thakurain Ritraf Koer r. Thakurain Sarfaraz Koer (1905), 21 T. L. R. 638 . 122 Thames Iron Works Co. r. Royal Mail Steam Packet Co. (1861), 13 C. B. (N. S.)
358; 31 L. J. (c. p.) 169: 8 Jur. (n.s.) 100; 9 W. R. 942 179, 231, 236
Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. r. M'Elroy (1873), 3 App. Cas. 1040, H. L. 212, 213, 229, 232, 235, 289
Third Burnt-Tree Building Society, Re. Exparte Armstrong (1848), 16 Sim.
296; 12 Jur. 595; 1 Mac. & G. 607; 2 H. & Tw. 44
51 L. T. 602; 33 W. R. 583
τ. Howell (1692), 1 Salk. 170; 4 Mod. Rep. 66; Skin. 301, 319; Holt, 225 93
v. Jenkins (1837), 6 Ad. & El. 525; 1 N. & P. 587; 6 L. J. (K. B.) 163; 1 Jur. 261
v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506; 58 L. J. (q. B.) 66; 60 L. T. 114; 37 W. R. 353, H. L
v. Roberts, [1898] 1 Q. B. 657; 67 L. J. (q. B.) 478; 78 L. T. 712; 5
Mans. 70
W. R. 692 30, 33, 45, 72
Thompson v. Atlas Permanent Building Society (1894), 97 L. T. Jo. 218 361
v. Cohen (1872), L. R. 7 Q. B. 527; 41 L. J. (q. B.) 221; 26 L. T. 693 v. Hickman, [1907] 1 Ch. 550; 76 L. J. (ch.) 254; 96 L. T. 454; 23
T. L. R. 311
v. Hudson (1869), L. R. 4 H. L. 1; 38 L. J. (CH.) 431, H. L
v. Planet Benefit Building Society (1873), L. R. 15 Eq. 333; 42 L. J.
(ch.) 364; 28 L. T. 549; 21 W. R. 474; 37 J. P. 468 381, 388 Thorn v. Commissioners of Her Majesty's Works and Public Buildings (1863),
32 Beav 490
Thorne v. Thorne, [1893] 3 Ch. 196; 63 L. J. (ch.) 38; 69 L. T. 378
Thornhill v. Neats (1860), 8 C. B. (N. S.) 831; 2 L. T. 539
Threappleton, Re, Exparte Carter (1879), 12 Ch. D. 908; 41 L. T. 37; 27 W. R. 943 32
Throssell v. Marsh (1885), 53 L. T. 321
800; 10 L. T. 380; 12 W. R. 802
Tierney's Estate, Re (1874), Ir. R. 9 Eq. 1
Tighe v. Crafter (1810), 2 Taunt. 387

W. (1990) 47 (19		GE
Tilbury v. Silva (1890), 45 Ch. D. 98; 63 L. T. 141, C. A.		121
Times Fire Assurance Co. v. Hawke (1859), 28 L. J. (Ex.) 317; 1 F. & F. 406		191
Tippins v. Coates (1853), 18 Beav. 401	89,	92
Tisdall v. Parnell (1863), 14 I. C. L. R. 27	. 1	144
Titchmarsh, Ex parte (1845), 9 Jur. 159	. 4	420
——— v. Chapman (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, 370	. 4	423
		421
v(1844), 1 Rob. Eccl. 175		421
Todd, Re (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, Supp. 51.	-	421
— Birleston & Co. and North-Eastern Rail. Co., Re, [1903] 1 K. B. 603; 7	_	
L. J. (K. B.) 337; 88 L. T. 366; 67 J. P. 105, C. A.		178
Tolhurst v. Associated Portland Cement Manufacturers, [1903] A. C. 414; 7		
L. J. (K. B.) 834; 89 L. T. 196; 19 T. L. R. 677; 52 W. R. 143. H. L.		270
Fomlinson v. Consolidated Credit Corporation (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 135; 38 W. F		210
118; 62 L. T. 162; 54 J. P. 644		71
Toms v. Clacton Urban District Council (1898), 78 L. T. 712; 64 W. R. 629; 6	ي. دون	, 71
J. P. 505		464
Toomer, Re, Ex parte Blaiberg (1883), 23 Ch. D. 254; 52 L. J. (CH.) 461; 4		464
		61
L. T. 16; 31 W. R. 906 .	28,	61
Tooth v. Hallett (1869), 4 Ch. App. 242; 38 L. J. (ch.) 396; 20 L. T. 155; 1		~
W. R. 423.	52,	255
Topham v. Greenside Glazed Fire Brick Co. (1888), 37 Ch. D. 281; 57 L. J. (CH		
583; 58 L. T. 274; 36 W. R. 464	_20,	, 25
Topley v. Corsbie (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 350; 57 L. J. (q. B.) 271; 58 L. T. 342; 3		
W. R. 352	41,	69
Topsall v. Ferrers (1617), Hob. 175		429
Torrent v. Burley (1727), 2 Stra. 715		432
Tosh v. North British Building Society (1886), 11 App. Cas. 489; 35 W. R. 413	; ;	
14 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 6. H. L		360
Tottenham's Estate, Re (1868), I. R. 2 Eq. 375		111
Tough v. Dumbarton Waterworks Commissioners (1872), 11 Macph. (Ct. of	o f	
Sess.) 236; 45 Sc. Jur. 162	56.	282
Toward. Re. Ex parte Moss (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 310, C. A.; 54 L. J. (Q. B.) 126	;	
52 L. T. 188	68.	269
Townsend, Re, Ex parte Hall (1880) 14 Ch. D. 132: 42 L. T. 162: 28 W. R. 55	6	59
	:	
53 L. T. 897; 34 W. R. 329; 3 Morr. 36 8, 11		. 34
Tracey v. M'Cabe (1893), 32 L. R. Ir. 21		280
Travers v. Blundell (1877), 6 Ch. D. 436; 36 L. T. 341, C. A.		141
Trent and Humber Co., Re, Ex parte Cambrian Steam Packet Co. (1868), L. I	_	
	41,	299
Trethowan, Re, Ex parte Tweedy (1877), 5 Ch. D. 559; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 43; 8		
L. T. 70; 25 W. R. 399	,,,	26
Trickett v. Green (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.) 69; Har. & Ruth. 63: 11 Jur. (N. 8	, i	20
1037; 13 L. T. 405; 14 W. R. 141	·· <i>j</i>	18
Trinder Bounes (1997) 56 L T (o p) 499	•	52
Trinder r. Raynor (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 422 Tripp r. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687; 1 Horn. & H. 442; 8 L. J. (Ex		04
Tripp v. Ariniage (1999), 2 M. & W. 601; 1 Horn. & H. 442; 6 L. J. (Ex)	ocs
107; 3 Jur. 249	.00,	
Tritton, Re, Exparte Singleton (1889), 61 L. T. 301; 6 Morr. 250	•	22
Trott v. Hughes (1850), 16 L. T. (o. s.) 260	ċ	387
Trower v. Chadwick (1836), 3 Bing. (N. c.) 334; 3 Scott, 699; 2 Hodges, 267;	O	015
L. J. (c, P.) 47		315
Trowsdale & Son r. Jopp and North British Rail. Co. (1865), 4 Macph. (Ct.		010
Sess.) 31; 38 Sc. Jur. 25.		218
Tuck r. Southern Counties Deposit Bank (1889), 42 Ch. D. 471; 58 L. J. (CH.		=0
699; 61 L. T. 348: 37 W. R. 769, C. A	, 71,	, 72
Tucker v. Linger (1883), 8 App. Cas. 508; 52 L. J. (ch.) 941; 49 L. T. 373;	32	
W. R. 40; 48 J. P. 4, H. L.	77,	178
v. Newman (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 40; 9 L. J. (q. B.) 1; 3 Per. & Dav. 14		315
Tugwell v. Heyman (1812), 3 Camp. 298		406
Turcan, Re (1888), 40 Ch. D. 5; 57 W. R. 70, C. A.	. :	269
Turner, Re, Ex parte Attwater (1876), 5 Ch. D. 27; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 41; 8	35	_
L. T. 682: 25 W. R. 206		69
r. Garland and Christopher (1853), Hudson on Building Contract	8,	
3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 2	•	292
v. Hanwell (Rector) (1842), 1 Notes of Cases, 368		419
r. Hednesford Gas Co. (1878), 3 Ex. D. 145; 47 L. J. (EX.) 296;	38	
L. T. 8: 26 W. R. 308. C. A		281

Turner v. Morgan (1803), 8 Ves. 143 v. Ringwood Highway Board (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 418; 21 L. T. 745;	138
18 W. R. 424	123
v. Vaughan (1767), 2 Wils. 339	85
& Co. r. Culpan (1888), 36 W. R. 278; 58 L. T. 340 3J, 41, 6	39, 76
Turquand, Ex parte. See Parker, Re, Ex parte Turquand.	
Tuther v. Caralampi (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 414; 59 L. T. 141; 37 W. R. 94; 52 J. P. 616	
Tutill r. West Ham Local Board of Health (1873), L. R. 8 C. P. 447; 28 L. T.	
597	123
Tuton v. Sanoner (1858), 27 L. J. (Ex.) 293; 3 H. & N. 280; 4 Jur. (N. s.) 365;	
6 W. R. 545 Tweedale, Ex parte, Re Tweedale. See Tweedale, Re, Ex parte Tweedale.	50
- Re. Exparte Tweedale, [1892] 2 Q. B. 216; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 505; 66	;
L. T. 233; 9 Morr. 110	59
Tweedy, Ex parte, Re Trethowan. See Trethowan, Re, Ex parte Tweedy.	
Twopenny r. Young (1824), 3 B. & C. 208; 5 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 259.	90
Tyers v. Rosedale and Ferryhill Iron Co. (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 195; 44 L. J. (Ex.) 130; 33 L. T. 56; 23 W. R. 871	248
Tyler, Re, [1891] 3 Ch. 252; 60 L. J. (ch.) 686; 65 L. T. 367; 40 W. R. 7, C. A.	434
υ.	
Ulster Building Society r. Glenton (1888), 21 L. R. Ir. 124	364
Union Bank of London v. Lenanton (1878), 3 C. P. D. 243; 47 L. J. (c. P.) 409	84
38 L. T. 698	. 17
United Forty Pound Loan Club v. Bexton, [1891] 1 Q. B. 28, n.	. 7
University College, Oxford v. Oxford Corporation (1904), 20 T. L. R. 637; 68	
J. P. 470	. 148
Usher r. Martin (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 272; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 11; 61 L. T. 778.	. 307 3 0, 51
(4) = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =	00, 02
v.	
Vallance, Re (1884), 26 Ch. D. 353; 50 L. T. 574; 32 W. R. 918; 48 J. P. 398	. 86
Vance r. Foster (1841), 1 Ir. Circ. Cas. 47; 2 Craw. & D. 118	
Van Diemen's Land Co. v. Table Cane Marine Roard [1906] A. C. 98 · 75 L. I	. 192
Van Diemen's Land Co. v. Table Cape Marine Board, [1906] A. C. 98; 75 L. J (P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C.	. 192
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L.	. 192 . 139 . 98
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L	192 139 98 429
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70	192 139 98 429 432,
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70	. 192 . 139 . 98 . 429 . 432,
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 34, 435
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 139 . 98 . 429 . 432, 34, 435) . 522 85, 87
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L	. 192 . 139 . 98 . 429 . 432, 34, 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 34, 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 4, 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46 9
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 52, . 46 . 39, 346 . 97
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L	. 192 . 139 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 52, . 46 . 39, 346 . 97
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 97 . 127
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46 97 . 97 . 410
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 19, 400
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 435) . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 19, 400
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 12 . 12 . 231
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 44, . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 419, 400 . 375 . 418
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 4435) . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 419, 400 . 375 . 418
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, . 44, . 522 . 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 419, 400 . 375 . 418
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 435 . 522 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 127 . 231 . 231 . 231 . 231 . 231 . 24, 425
(P. C.) 28; 93 L. T. 709; 54 W. R. 498; 22 T. L. R. 114, P. C. Vanhoven r. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 622, H. L. Varty r. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191. Vaughan, Re (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; 55 L. T. 547; 35 W. R. 104; 51 J. P. 70 ———————————————————————————————————	. 192 . 189 . 98 . 429 . 432, 435) . 522 85, 87 . 46 . 97 . 410 . 12 . 418 2 50, 57

w.

WADDINGTON v. Naylor (1889), 60 L. T. 480	0
Wadsworth v. Smith (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 332; 40 L. J. (Q. B.) 118; 19 W. R. 797 28) *
Waghorn v. Wimbledon Local Board (1877), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 39	
Wake v. Conyers (1759), 1 Eden, 331; 2 Cox, Eq. Cas. 360.	
v. Hall (1883), 8 App. Cas. 195; 52 L. J. (q. B.) 494; 48 L. T. 834; 31	
*** 1 0 12 1 TO 1 TO 11 0 37 . T 1 TO 1 4 4 6 6 4 6 6 4 6 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	30
Wakefield and Barnsley Banking Co. r. Normanton Local Board (1881), 44	
L. T. 697	
Wakeman v. West (1836), 7 C. & P. 479	17
Walcot v. Goulding (1799), 8 Term Rep. 126)4
Walden, Re, Ex parte Odell (1878), 10 Ch. D. 76; 48 L. J. (BCY.) 1; 39 L. T.	
333; 27 W. R. 274	12
Wale v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue (1879), 4 Ex. D. 270; 48 L. J. (Ex.)	
574 : 41 L. T. 433 : 27 W. R. 916	7
Walker, Re, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black (1884), 26 Ch. D. 510; 53 L. J.	
(ch.) 802; 51 L. T. 811; 32 W. R. 809, C. A	57
Re. Ex parte Gould (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 454; 51 L. T. 368; 1 Morr.	•
168	52
	-
277; 16 Jur. 885; 16 J. P. 582	11
	8
	ю
574: 52 J. P. 278, C. A	
v. Giles (1849), 6 C. B. 662: 18 L. J. (c. p.) 323; 13 Jur. 588 . 348, 37	2
v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518; 45	_
L. J. (c. p.) 787; 36 L. T. 53; 25 W. R. 10 190, 251, 25	2,
253, 257, 25	8
	35
Wallace v. Brandon and Byshottles Urban District Council (1903), Hudson on	
Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 392, C. A	0
r. Kelsall (1840), 7 M. & W. 264; 8 Dowl. (K. B.) 841; 10 L. J. (Ex.)	
	80
12: 4 Jur. 1004	
	0
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43	
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	3 5 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	3 5 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	3 5 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T.	35 39 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39 34 19
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (ch.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 26 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253	35 39 39 39 34 19
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39 11 15
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39 11 15
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39 11 15
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (ch.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 26 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 408, 410, 41 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walton v. Edge (1884). 10 App. Cas. 33: 54 L. J. (ch.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 (Francis) & Co. r. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A.	35 39 39 39 34 19 11 15
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 31; 55 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 Walton v. Edge (1884). 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. 57 Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on	35 39 39 39 34 19 11 15
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 31; 55 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 Walton v. Edge (1884). 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. 57 Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on	35 39 39 39 39 11 15 99 33
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26	35 39 39 39 39 11 15 99 33
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26	35 39 39 39 39 11 15 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 26 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38	35 39 39 39 39 39 31 30 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 26 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38	35 39 39 39 39 39 31 30 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 41 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 408, 410, 41 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 125 2 L. T. 666; 33 Walton v. Edge (1884). 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 — (Francis) & Co. r. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. 10 Walton.on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 185, 223, 23 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. 10 Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. 8.)	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 39 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 39 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 740 Ward, Exparte, Re Bullock, See Bullock, Re, Exparte Ward. Exparte Re Weibking. See Weibking. Re Exparte Ward.	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 39 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 740 Ward, Exparte, Re Bullock, See Bullock, Re, Exparte Ward. Exparte Re Weibking. See Weibking. Re Exparte Ward.	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 39 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49. Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 408, 410, 41 — v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 408, 410, 41 — walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 415, 408, 410, 41 — walter v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 125, 408, 410, 41 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 125, 31, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. 10 Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 185, 223, 23 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. 10 Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 740 287, 287 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See Bullock, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 39 30
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 28 — v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 47 v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 408, 410, 410 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 113; 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 30 — (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. 10 Walton on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 185, 223, 23 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. 10 Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. S.) 740 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See Bullock, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29 Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. 287, 29	35 39 39 39 39 39 31 30 30 30 34 34 34 34
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 36 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26	35 39 39 39 39 39 31 30 30 30 34 34 34 34
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L. Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 28 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362 135, 31 Walton v. Edge (1884). 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 (Francis) & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton on-the Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 185, 223, 23 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. 38 Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. s.) 740 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See Bullock, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. V. Lowndes (1859), 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 265; 5 Jur. (N. s.) 1124; 7 W. R. 489; 1 E. & B. 940; 29 L. J. (Q. B.) 265; 5 Jur. (N. s.) 1247; 1 L. T. 268; 8 W. R. 81 V. National Bank of New Zealand (1883), 8 App. Cas. 755; 52 L. J.	35 39 39 39 39 39 30 30 30 34 34 34
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	35 39 39 39 39 39 31 30 30 30 34 34 34 34
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81. H. L. 95, 96 Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307 178, 229, 232, 233, 26 v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; 52 L. J. (CH.) 145; 47 L. T. 389; 31 W. R. 214 96, 97, 264, 26 Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216; 3 Per. & Dav. 149; 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 49 . Walrond r. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 325; 53 L. T. 963; 34 W. R. 272 Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314 v. Mountague (1836), 1 Carth. 253 Walton v. Edge (1834), 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33; 54 L. J. (CH.) 362; 52 L. T. 666; 33 W. R. 417; 49 J. P. 468, H. L. 331, 352, 358, 359, 360, 36 (Francis) & Co. r. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. R. 657, C. A. Walton-on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran, Re (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400 185, 223, 23 Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904; 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 449; 51 L. T. 148; 32 W. R. 776; 48 J. P. 676, C. A. Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale, Re (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; 12 Jur. (N. 8.) 740 Ward, Ex parte, Re Bullock. See Bullock, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. Ward, Ex parte, Re Weibking. See Weibking, Re, Ex parte Ward. V. Lowndes (1859), 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 265; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 1124; 7 W. R. 489; 1 E. & B. 940; 29 L. J. (Q. B.) 265; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 1124; 7 W. R. 489; 1 E. & B. 940; 29 L. J. (Q. B.) 265; 5 Jur. (N. S.) 1247; 1 L. T. 268; 8 W. R. 81 V. National Bank of New Zealand (1883), 8 App. Cas. 755; 52 L. J. (P. C.) 65; 49 L. T. 315, P. C. V. Portsmouth Corporation, [1898] 2 Ch. 191; 78 L. T. 771; 46 W. R.	35 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39
Wallingford r. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; 50 L. J. (Q. B.) 49; 43 L. T. 258; 29 W. R. 81, H. L	3539 39 9 449115 99 38 30 994 94 94 99 6

PAGE
Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1849), 7 Hare,
482; 18 L. J.
(ch.) 450 . 215, 217
239; 18 L. J. (ch.) 450; 14 Jur. 613
Warner v. Jacob (1882), 20 Ch. D. 220; 51 L. J. (CH.) 642; 46 L. T. 656; 30
W. R. 721. 368 Warre v. Calvert (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 143; 2 Nev. & P. (x. B.) 126; Will. Woll.
& Dav. 528; 6 L. J. (K. B.) 219; 1 Jur. 450
W. R. 634, H. L
Waters r. Towers (1853), 8 Exch. 401; 22 L. J. (ex.) 186
16 W. R. 358
Watford Burial Board. Exparte (1856), 2 Jur. (N. s.) 1045. Watkins v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 386; 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 200; 56 L. T. 177;
35 W. R. 313
v. Ambergate, Nottingham and Boston Rail. Co. (1851). 15 Jur. 448 . 307
—— - v. Mid Wales Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 593; 36 L. J. (C. P.) 285; 17 L. T. 94; 15 W. R. 1107
v. Strickland (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 391; 56 L. J. (q. B.) 594; 35 W. R. 769
**Co., Re, Ex parte Atkin Brothers, [1904] 2 K. B. 753; 73 L. J. (K. B.) 854; 11 Mans. 256; 20 T. L. R. 727 60
Walts, Le parte, Re Attwater, See Attwater, Re, Ex parte Watts,
v. Shuttleworth (1861), 7 H. & N. 353; 7 Jur. (N. s.) 945; 5 L. T. 58; 10 W. R. 132
Waugh, Re. Ex parte Dickin (1876), 4 Ch. D. 524; 46 L. J. (BCY.) 26; 35 L. T.
r. Bussell (1814), 5 Taunt 707 · 1 Marsh, 214, 311
Weall r. James (1893), 68 L. T. 515: 4 R. 356
Weardale Coal and Iron Co. v. Hodson, [1894] 1 Q. B. 598; 63 L. J. (q. B.) 391; 70 L T. 632; 42 W. R. 424; 1 Mans. 396
Weatherstone v. Robertson (1852), 1 Stu. M. & P. 333
Webb τ . School (1851), 3 Philadelphia Rep. (C. P.) 125
Webber 7. Stanley (1864), 16 C. B. (N. s.) 698: 33 L. J. (C. p.) 217: 10 Jur.
(N. 8.) 657: 10 L. T. 417: 12 W. R. 833
Webster, Exparte, Re Morris. See Morris, Re, Exparte Webster. Weekes v. South London Equitable Building Society (1897), 13 T. L. R. 291 . 366
Weeks v. Sparke (1813), 1 M. & S. 679
Weideling, Rc, Ex parte Ward. [1902] 1 K. B. 713: 71 L. J. (K. B.) 389: 86 L. T.
455; 50 W. R. 460; 9 Mans. 131
Welch v. Ireland (1805), 6 East, 613; 2 Smith, 666
Asp. M. C. 177, C. A
Wellington Corporation v. Lower Hutt Corporation, [1904] A. C. 773; 73 L. J.
(P. C.) 80; 91 L. T. 539; 20 T. L. R. 712, P. C
246, 282, 287
v. Tregusan (1708), 2 Salk. 463; 11 Mod. Rep. 191, 199
Weight (1678), 2 Mod. Rep. 285
W. R. 519
(Q. B.) 230; 3 Jur. 340
389; 64 J. P. 281; 7 Mans. 152
66 L. J. (cu.) 726 · 77 L. T. 284 · 61 J. P. 820 · 45 W. R. 388. H. L

	AGE
Western v. Macdermott (1965), 2 Ch. App. 72; 36 L. J. (CH.) 76; 12 Jur. (N. S.)	
366; 15 L. T. 641; 15 W. R. 265	176
Western Suburban and Notting Hill Permanent Benefit Building Society r.	
Martin (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 609; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 382; 54 L. T. 822; 34	900
W. R. 630; 51 J. P. 36, C. A	388
Ch. 352; 63 L. J. (ch.) 506; 8 R. 764; 70 L. T.	
796; 42 W. R. 535 . 352, 368, 375, 376, 393, 399,	400
L. T. 395	361
West London Commercial Bank v. Reliance Permanent Building Society (1885),	
29 Ch. D. 954: 54 L. J. (ch.) 1081: 53 L. T. 442: 33 W. R. 916. C. A.	369
Westminster Corporation r. Johnson, [1904] 2 K. B. 737; 73 L. J. (K. B.) 774;	
91 L. T. 334; 53 W. R. 4; 68 J. P. 549; 2	
L. G. R. 1378; 20 T. L. R. 701, C. A	465
v. St. George's, Hanover Square (Rector) (1908), per	
WARRINGTON, J., June 23, 1908, 72 J. P. Jo. 316	531
	445
Westminster Fire Office v. Glasgow Provident Investment Society (1988), 13	101
App. Cas. 699: 59 L. T. 641, H. L. West of England and South Wales District Bank, Re, Ex parte Swanses	191
Friendly Society (1879), 11 Ch. D. 768; 48 L. J. (ch.) 577; 40 L. T.	
551; 27 W. R. 596.	337
Weston, Re, [1902] 1 Ch. 680; W. N. 36; 71 L. J. (ch.) 343; 86 L. T. 551; 50	
	357
v. Arnold (1873), 8 Ch. App. 1084: 43 L. J. (ch.) 123; 22 W. R. 284134,	
	, 57
West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re (1890), 43	
Ch. D. 407: 59 L. J. (CH.) 197; 62	
L. T. 486; 38 W. R. 376 351,	368
Building Society, Ex parte Pullman	
(1890), 45 Ch. D. 463; 59 L. J. (CH.) 823; 63 L. T. 483; 39 W. R. 74 . 331, 3	
351, 360, 368, 373, 374, 399, Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council (1863), 7 L. T. 736; 1 New	400
Rep. 262: 11 W. R. 261	215
Wettor v. Dunk (1864), 4 F. & F. 298	129
Wheatley v. Wheatley (1901), 85 L. T. 491	13
Wheeldon v. Burrows (1879), 12 Ch. D. 31; 48 L. J. (ch.) 853; 41 L. T. 327;	
28 W. R. 196, C. A	136
Wheelhouse v. Ladbrooke (1358), 3 H. & N. 291; 27 L. J. (Ex.) 307; 4 Jur.	
(n. s.) 417; 6 W. R. 488.	94
Whelpdale's Case (1604), 5 Co. Rep. 119 a	83
Whipham v. Everitt (1900), Roscoe, Digest of Building Cases, 4th ed., p. 171	307
Whitaker, Re, [1901] 1 Ch. 9; 70 L. J. (CH.) 6; 83 L. T. 449; 49 W. R. 106, C. A. v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602 183, 185, 187, 203, 204, 223,	347
	141
v. Carmarthen Rail. Co. (1863), 1 Hem. & M. 786; 33 L. J. (CH.) 93; 9	
L. T. 439; 11 L. T. 179, n.; 12 W. R. 68	83
	102
	124
v. Norwood Burial Board (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 58; 55 L. J. (q. B.) 63; 54	
	472
	317
r. Sealy (1778). 1 Doug. 49.	93.
v. Tyndall (1888), 18 App. Cas. 263; 57 L. J. (P. c.) 114; 58 L. T. 741;	01
52 J. P. 675: 22 L. R. Ir. 142, H. L and Arthur, Re (1901), 84 L. T. 594; 50 W. R. 81; 17 T. L. R. 461 96,	91
and Arthur, Re (1901), 84 L. 1. 994; 50 W. R. 81; 17 1. L. R. 401 90, —— and Jackson r. Beard (1839), 2 Curt. 480	243 148
and Rubery, Re, Re a Bill of Sale. See Bill of Sale, A, Re.	110
White's Case (1589), Cro. Eliz. 151	432
——— Charities, [1898] 1 Ch. 659; 67 L. J. (CH.) 430; 78 L. T. 550; 46	
W. R. 479	109
Whiteley v. Barley (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 196; 21 Q. B. D. 154; 57 L. J. (q. B.)	
643; 36 W. R. 553, 823; 51 J. P. 823; 52 J. P. 595, C A	303
	272
Whittle r. Frankland (1862), 2 B. & S. 49; 31 L. J. (m. c.) 81; 8 Jur. (n. s.)	184
204: 01: 1: 02:	104

P	AGE
Wickens, Er parte. [1898] 1 Q. B. 543; 67 L. J. (Q. B.) 397; 78 L. T. 213; 46	
	. 74
Wigford r. Gill (1592), Cro. Eliz, 269	135
Wilberforce v. Heatfield (1877), 5 Ch. D. 709; 46 L. J. (CH.) 584; 25 W. R.	144
861	144
	98
Wilde, Re, Ex parte Daglish (1873), 8 Ch. App. 1072; 42 L. J. (Bcx.) 102; 29 L. T. 168: 21 W. R. 893.	26
r. Clarkson (1795), 6 Term Rep. 303	93
Wilkie v. Hamilton Lodging House Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 951: 39 Sc.	30
L. R. 743; 10 Sc. L. T. 163	222
Wilkinson, Ex parte, Re Berry. See Berry, Re, Ex parte Wilkinson.	
W. R. 157	979
r. Allott (1777). 3 Bro. Parl. Cas. 684	147
r. Clements (1872), 8 Ch. App. 86; 42 L. J. (CH.) 38; 27 L. T. 834.	185
v. Gerard Frères (1891), 7 T. L. R. 266	7
	•
(o. s.) (K. B.) 58	98
———— v. Lendo (1840), 7 M. & W. 81	98
Will iams, Ex parte, Re Sarl. See Sarl, Re, Ex parte Williams.	
689	434
	347
, Re. Ex parte Pearce (1883), 25 Ch. D. 656; 53 L. J. (CH.) 500; 49	
L. T. 475: 32 W. R. 187	69
r. Barmouth Urban District Council (1897), 77 L. T. 383, C. A. 179,	231
r. Bayley (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 200; 35 L. J. (ch.) 717; 12 Jur. (n. s.)	
875; 14 L. T. 802, H. L.	86
v. Briton Ferry Burial Board, [1905] 2 K. B. 565; 74 L. J. (K. B.) 840:	
92 L. T. 696; 3 L. G. R. 859; 69 J. P. 313 480,	481
92 L. T. 696; 3 L. G. R. 859; 69 J. P. 813	229
r. Groucott (1863), 4 B. & S. 149; 32 L. J. (Q. B.) 237; 9 Jur. (N. S.)	
1237; 8 L. T. 458; 11 W. R. 886	129
v. Morgan (1850), 15 Q. B. 782	148
v. Stern (1879), 5 Q. B. D. 409; 49 L. J. (q. B.) 663; 42 L. T. 719; 28	
W. R. 901	66
30 W. R. 438; 15 Cox, C. C. 39; 46 J. P. 726	406
Williamson, Exparte, Re National Permanent Benefit Building Society. See	
National Permanent Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte	
Williamson.	000
v. Clements (1809), 1 Taunt. 523	223
Willis, Re. Kennedy, Exparte (1888). 21 Q. B. D. 384; 57 L. J. (q. B.) 634; 59	15
L. T. 749; 36 W. R. 793; 5 Morr. 189	51
—— Re. Ex parte National Deposit Bank (1878), 26 W. R. 624	
r. Wells, [1892] 2 Q. B. 225; 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 606; 67 L. T. 316; 41	111
W. R. 64; 56 J. P. 775	387
	, 94
Wilson v. Love, [1896] 1 Q. B. 626; 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 474; 74 L. T. 580; 44	, ,,
W. R. 450, C. A.	96
Wilmot v. Smith (1828). 3 C. & P. 453; Mood. & M. 238 187, 229,	
Wilson v. General Iron Screw Colliery Co. (1877), 47 L. J. (q. B.) 239; 37 L. T.	-0-
789; 3 Asp. M. C. 536	241
v. Greaves (1757), 1 Burr. 240	423
v. Halifax Corporation (1868), L. R. 3 Exch. 114; 37 L. J. (Ex.) 44;	
17 L. T. 666 · 16 W. R. 707	129
v. Miles Platting Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 383, n.; 60 L. T.	
558 n • 97 W R 369 n	334
v. Newberry (1871), L. R. 7 Q. B. 31; 41 L. J. (q. B.) 31; 25 L. T. 695;	
20 W R 111	127
v. Northampton and Banbury Junction Rail. Co. (1874), 9 Ch. App.	
279; 43 L. J. (ch.) 503; 30 L. T. 147; 22 W. R. 380	249
r. Peto (1821), 6 Moo. P. C. C. 47	319
v. Wallace (1859), 21 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 567	229
Wilson's Case. See Durham County Permanent Investment Land and	
Building Society, Wilson's Case.	

PAGE
Wiltshire, Re, Ex parte Eynon. [1900] 1 Q. B. 96; 69 L. J. (q. B.) 145; 81
L. T. 616; 48 W. R. 256; 7 Mans. 145
v. Sidford (1827), 1 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 404 134, 135
Wimbledon Local Board v. Underwood, [1892] 1 Q. B. 836; 61 L. J. (Q. B.)
484; 67 L. T. 55; 40 W. R. 640: 56 J. P. 633 63
Wimshurst v. Deeley (1845), 2 C. B. 253
Windeatt v. Sharland (1871), L. R. 2 P. & D. 217, 266; 41 L. J. (P.) 9; 25 L. T.
574; 20 W. R. 211
Windhill Local Board v. Vint (1890), 45 Ch. D. 351; 59 L. J. (CH.) 608; 63
L. T. 366; 38 W. R. 738, C. A.; affirming 62 L. T. 725; 17 Cox, C. C. 41 . 171
Winter, Exparte, Re Fothergill. See Fothergill, Re, Exparte Winter.
, Re. Ex. parte Bolland (1878), 8 Ch. D. 225; 47 L. J. (BCY.) 52; 38 L. T.
362; 26 W. R. 512
v. Charter (1829), 3 Y. & J. 308
Winterton v. Egremont (Lord), cited 2 Anst. 392
Wintle v. Carpenter (1680), Cas. temp. Finch, 462
Wind D. Varpenter (1999), Cas. temp. Finch, 202
Wise, Re, Er parte Mercer (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 290; 55 L. J. (Q. B.) 558; 54
L. T. 720 62
Wishart v. Wylie (1853), 1 Macq. 389
Withers v. Bircham (1824), 3 B. & C. 254; 5 Dow. & Ry. (K.B.) 106; 3 L. J.
(o. s.) (K. B.) 30
(0.8.) (a. 1.) (b. 1.) (b. 1.) (b. 1.) (c. 1.) (c. 1.) (c. 1.) (d. 1.)
v. Reynolds (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 882; 1 L. J. (K. B.) 30
Witt v. Banner (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 114; 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 141; 58 L. T. 34; 36
W. R. 115
Wolfe v. Surrey County Council (Clerk), [1905] 1 K. B. 439; 74 L. J. (K. B.)
161; 92 L. T. 114: 53 W. R. 264; 69 J. P. 22; 3 L. G. R. 407; 1 Smith,
378; 21 T. L. R. 153
Wolmershausen, Re (1890), 62 L. T. 541; 38 W. R 537
Wolverhampton Corporation v. Emmens, [1901] 1 K. B. 515; 70 L. J. (K. B.)
429; 84 L. T. 407; 49 W. R. 553, C. A
Womersley v. Church (1867), 17 L. T. 190
Wood, Re (1872), 7 Ch. App. 302; 41 L. J. (BCY.) 21; 26 L. T. 113; 20 W. R.
Wood, Re (1612), 1 Ch. App. 302, 41 L. 3. (801.) 21, 20 H. 1. 113, 20 W. R.
403
, Re, Ex parte M'Hattie (1878), 10 Ch. D. 398; 48 L. J. (BCY.) 26; 39 L. T.
879 : 27 W. R. 327
, Re, Ex parte Newsham (1879), 40 L. T. 104
—, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T.
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87. 39, 68 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553;
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 —— v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 —— v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 —— v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. s.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• R. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 261
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• R. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 •• v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• R. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 •• v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• R. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• V. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 •• v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 •• v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. s.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — Woodgate v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (2879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 — v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942: 1 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Rv. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 276
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 . 39, 68 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Rv. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 276
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] i Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. s.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (2879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Rv. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 276 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe.
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 •• v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Successed (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942: 1 Dow. & Rv. (K. B.) 587 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 123 •• v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway v. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 —— v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 —— v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 —— v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. —— v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 —— v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 —— v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Silcock (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woodgate v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 Woodgate v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 123 —— v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood, See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway r. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 Woodgate v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Rv. (K. B.) 587 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway v. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Worshan, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 222
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] i Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 289; 1 Mans. 87 — r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Roweliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (2679), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway r. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 — 222 Worth v. Terrington (1845), 13 M. & W. 781; 14 L. J. (Ex.) 133 — 410
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 •• r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 •• v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 •• v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 •• v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. •• v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 •• v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 •• v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1864), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1864), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 •• v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 •• v. Silcock (1860), 2 Vern. 119 •• v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 289; 1 Mans. 87 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 —— v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 —— v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 —— v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. —— v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 —— v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 —— v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 587 —— 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 223 —— v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway v. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 Workham, Mold & Connah's Quay Rail. Co., Re, [1899] 1 Ch. 440; 68 L. J. (Ch.) 270; 80 L. T. 130; 47 W. R. 464; 6 Mans. 218, C. A. 377
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 289; 1 Mans. 87 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 —— v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 —— v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 —— v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. —— v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 —— v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 —— v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 587 —— 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 223 —— v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway v. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 Workham, Mold & Connah's Quay Rail. Co., Re, [1899] 1 Ch. 440; 68 L. J. (Ch.) 270; 80 L. T. 130; 47 W. R. 464; 6 Mans. 218, C. A. 377
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 289; 1 Mans. 87 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 —— v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 —— v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 —— v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. —— v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 —— v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 —— v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Sidcock (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 276 —— v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway r. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 Workham, Mold & Connah's Quay Rail. Co., Re, [1899] 1 Ch. 440; 68 L. J. (Ch.) 270; 80 L. T. 130; 47 W. R. 464; 6 Mans. 218, C. A. 377 Wright, Re, Ex parte Arnold (1876), 3 Ch. D. 70; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 130; 35 L. T.
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 282; 1 Mans. 87 — v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 — v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (CH.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 — v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 — v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. — v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 — v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 — v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 — v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 — 251 Woodgate v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 — v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 voolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway r. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796). 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 Versham, Mold & Connah's Quay Rail. Co., Re, [1899] 1 Ch. 440; 68 L. J. (CH.) 270; 80 L. T. 130; 47 W. R. 464; 6 Mans. 218, C. A. 377 Wright, Re, Ex parte Arnold (1876), 3 Ch. D. 70; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 130; 35 L. T. 21: 24 W. R. 977.
——, Re, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605; 63 L. J. (Q. B.) 352; 70 L. T. 289; 1 Mans. 87 —— r. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (Q. B.) 321, 385; 2 Jur. (N. S.) 664; 4 W. R. 553; 6 E. & B. 355 —— v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671; 63 L. J. (Ch.) 845; 71 L. T. 222; 43 W. R. 201 —— v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892; 9 Jur. 796 —— v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129; 5 L. G. R. 403, C. A. —— v. Headingley-cum-Barley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; 66 L. T. 90; 40 W. R. 390; 56 J. P. 326 —— v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; 8 Q. B. 913; 10 Jur. 390 —— v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; 20 L. J. (Ex.) 285 —— v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; 32 W. R. 845 —— v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority, (1886) 3 T. L. R. 272 —— v. Sidcock (1879), 5 Ex. D. 241; 49 L. J. (Ex.) 1; 42 L. T. 34; 28 W. R. 88 W. R. 88 Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; 1 Dow. & Ry. (K. B.) 587 — 254, 255, 263, 265 Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285; 39 L. J. (Q. B.) 71; 22 L. T. 276 —— v. Gyles (1690), 2 Vern. 119 Woolfe, Ex parte, Re Wood. See Wood, Re, Ex parte Woolfe. Woolway r. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114; 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 649 Workman, Clark & Co. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A. 222, 308 Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, 716; 2 Hy. Bl. 574 Workham, Mold & Connah's Quay Rail. Co., Re, [1899] 1 Ch. 440; 68 L. J. (Ch.) 270; 80 L. T. 130; 47 W. R. 464; 6 Mans. 218, C. A. 377 Wright, Re, Ex parte Arnold (1876), 3 Ch. D. 70; 45 L. J. (BCY.) 130; 35 L. T.

9	AGE
	121
Wright v. Howard (1823), 1 Sim. & St. 190; 1 L. J. (o. s.) (ch.) 94.	121
v. Ingle (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 379; 55 L. J. (M. c.) 17; 54 L. T. 511; 34	400
W. R. 220: 50 J. P. 436, C. A	400
v. Monarch Investment Building Society (1877), 5 Ch. D. 726; 46 L. J.	•••
(сн.) 649	388
v. Wallasey Local Board (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 783 464, 516,	569
v. Wallasey Local Board (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 783	
867 · 94 T L R 48 C A	459
Wulff and Billing v. Jay (1872), L. R. 7 Q. B. 756; 41 L. J. (Q. B.) 322; 27 L. T.	
118: 20 W. R. 1030	54
Wyatt v. Harrison (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 871; 1 L. J. (K. B.) 237	135
Watt v. Harrison (1052), 5 D. u. du. 011, 1 D. v. (a. B.) 201	100
Wythes. Ex parte, Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea and Cleve-	
land and District Permanent Benefit Building Society. See Middles-	
brough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea and Cleveland District Permanent	
Benefit Building Society, Re, Ex parte Wythes.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
\mathtt{Y}_{\bullet}	
1.	
TI TOO TO THE TOTAL OF THE TOTAL OF THE TRUE AT	
YABBICOM r. King, [1899] 1 Q. B. 444; 68 L. J. (Q. B.) 560; 80 L. T. 159; 47	100
W. R. 318; 63 J. P. 149	175
Yale v. R. (1721), 6 Bro. Parl. Cas. 31 .	8 5
Yarrow, Re, Ex parte Collins (1889), 61 L. T. 642; 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 18; 38 W. R.	
166	12
Yates, Re (1888), 38 Ch. D. 112; 57 L. J. (CH.) 697; 59 L. T. 47; 36 W. R.	
569 (' A	259
v. Asheroft (1882), 47 L. T. 337; 31 W. R. 156	46
v. Harris (1702), 1 Stra. 95, n	147
Yeadon Waterworks Co. and Binns, Re (1895), 72 L. T. 538, C. A. 246,	
1 sedon Waterworks Co. and Dinns, He (1999), 12 L. L. 1999, 1999	
Young, Ex parte, Re Symonds. See Symonds, Re, Ex parte Young.	32
Re, Ex parte Berwick (1880), 43 L. T. 576; 29 W. R. 292	34
v. Blake (1887), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p.	010
106	312
v. Kingston-on-Thames Joint Burials Committee, [1907] 1 K. B. 716; 76	
L. J. (K. B.) 382; 96 L. T. 134; 71 J. P. 121; 5 L. G. R. 481; 23	
77 T D 010 C A	480
n Kitchin (1878), 3 Ex. D. 127; 47 L. J. (Ex.) 579; 26 W. R. 403.	269
8 App. Cas. 517; 51 L. J. (Q. B.) 292: 52 L. J. (Q. B.) 713; 46 L. T.	
555; 49 L. T. 1; 30 W. R. 500; 31 W. R. 925; 46 J. P. 516; 47 J. P.	
355 74 F. 1. 1 , 30 W. W. 10. 500 , 51 W. 10. 50 , 1 168 179 279 288	289
600, H. L	
660, H. L. 168, 179, 279, 288,	919
p. 57, C. A	010
p. 57, C. A	113
7	
Z.	
	00
ZOUCH v. Clay (1671), 1 Vent. 185; 2 Keb. 872, 881; 2 Lev. 35	98

BILLS OF SALE.

												PAGE
Part	I. TI	IE BILLS	OF SA	LE A	CTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
	SECT.	1. THE STA	TUTES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
	SECT.	2. Objects	OF THE	Stat	UTES	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
	SECT.	3. Applicat	ION OF	THE S	STATU	TES	-	-	-	-	-	4
		Sub-sect. 1.				-	-	-	-	-	-	4
		Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.					- nd hw	-	- of Soc	_ itu	-	4 5
		5ub-secu. 0.	Dills 01	Dailo .	110501	uw, a	nu by	way	01 560	Juilly	-	J
Part	II. W	THAT IS A	BILL	OF	SALI	3	-	-	-	-	-	5
	SECT.	I. GENERAL	PRINCI	PLES	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	5
		Sub-sect. 1.					-	-	-	-	-	5
		Sub-sect. 2. Sub-sect. 3.							sion	-	-	6 7
		2. Bills of					_		-	_	_	8
		B. DECLARA	•			•				_	_	8
		L Inventor								A sam	R _	·
	ELCI.	ANCES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
	SECT.	5. Powers_	ог Атто	RNEY	, Αυ [·]	THOR	TIES,	or 1	LICEN	CES 1	О	
			Possessio			RITY	FOR .	ANY .	Debt	-	-	10
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	In Gene	ral	- omoni	-	-	-	-	-	-	10 12
		Sub-sect. 2. S. Agreeme	_	Agre	ешеп	.6	•	-	-	-	-	13
		7. Instrumi		- - D	-	on T	- \	-	-	•	-	13
	SECT.	i. Instrumi	FW19 MI	111	JWER	OF L	151 KE	.55	-	-	-	14
Part	пі. 1	NSTRUME			WITI	AIH	THE	EX	PRE	SSIO	N	
		" BILI	OF SA	LE"	' -	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
	SECT.	l. Assignmi	INTS FOI	R THE	BEN	EFIT	of Ce	EDIT	ors	_	_	16
	SECT. 2	MARRIAG	e Settl	EMEN	TS	-	-	-	-	-	_	16
	SECT.	B. MERCANT	ILE TRA	NSFE	RS	-	-	-	-	-	_	17
		Sub-sect. 1.	Transfe	rs of	Ships	-	-	-	_	_	_	17
	1	Sub-sect. 2.	Transfe	rs in 1	the Or	dinar	y Cou	rse of	Busi	ness	-	18
	SECT.	4. Debentu	RES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
PART	IV. S	UBJECT-M	ATTER	OF	BILI	LS O	F SA	LE	_	_	_	20
		. In Gener		_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	20
	SECT.	CHATTELS	GAPA	BLE	OF	Сомр	LETE	TRA	NSFE	R B	Y	
		DELIVE		•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
	SECT. 3	3. Growing	Crops	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
		. FIXTURES		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
	SECT.	. Trade M	ACHINER	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
	SECT. 6	3. The Schi	EDULE,]	Descr	IPTIO	N OF	Снат	TEL8	-	-	-	26
77 7	177									10		

											F	AGE
PART	IV. SUE	BJEC T-M	ATTER	\mathbf{OF}	\mathbf{BILL}	S OI	? SA	LE-	-cont	inued.		
	SECT. 7. (CHATTELS	of w	нісн	GRAN	TOR	IS 1	TOY	CRUE.	Owne	R	28
	Su	b-sect. 1.	After-ac	cauire	d Cha	ttels	_	_	_	-	_	28
		b sect. 2.					-	-	_	_	_	30
PART	V. STAT	TUTORY	REQU	IREM	ENT	S	-	-	-	-	_	30
	SECT. 1. (-	_	_	_	_	_	-	٠ ـ	30
		b-sect. 1.		m Ar	nount	_	_	_	_	_	_	30
		b-sect. 2.					ion	-	_	-	_	31
	SECT. 2.	FORM OF	BILL OF	F SAL	æ	_	_	_	_	_	_	34
		b-sect. 1.				orm r		eita	_	_	_	34
	Su	b-sect. 2.	Accords	ince v	vith F	orm	- oqui	-	-	-		35
		b-sect. 3.					-	-	-	-	-	36
	SECT. 3.	Defeasan	roe, Cor	NDITION	ON, OI	a Dec	CLAR	ATIO	TO F	TRUST	_	43
	SECT. 4.			_	_	_	_	_		_	_	45
		b-sect. 1.		t v for	Attas	tation	n	_	_	_	_	45
		b-sect. 2.					-	-	•	-	-	45
	Su	b-sect. 3.	Bills of	Sale	by wa	y of	Secu	rity		-	-	46
		Registra		_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	46
		b-sect. 1.		oral	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	46
		b-sect. 2.			ristrat	ion	_	_	_	-	_	47
	Su	b-sect. 3.	True Co	ору, а	nd Af	fidavi	t on	Regi	strati	o n -	-	47
	Su	b-sect. 4.	Descrip	ption (of Par	ties a	nd A	.ttest:	ing W	itnesse	3-	48
	SECT. 6.	LOCAL R	EGISTRA	TION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
	SECT. 7.	RENEWAI	or Re	GISTE	ATION	T -	-	-	-	-	-	52
PART	VI. AV	OIDANC	E OF I	BILL	S OF	SAI	LΕ	-	-	-	-	53
	SECT. 1.	Bills of	SALE B	Y WA	Y OF	SECU	RITY	-	-	-	-	53
	SECT. 2.	Absoluti	BILLS	of S	ALE	_	_	-	-	-	_	55
	Su	b-sect. 1.	Extent	of A	voidan	ce	-	-	_	_	_	55
		b-sect. 2.					-	-	-	-	-	56
		Avoidan					TIES	_	_	-	_	57
		b-sect. 1.					_	_	_	_	_	57
	Su	b-sect. 2.	Repute	d Ow	nershi	p	-	-	_	-	-	5 9
	Su	ıb-sect. 3.	Execut	ion C	redito:	rs	-	-	-	-	-	61
	Su	ib-sect. 4.	Fraudu	lent	Conve	yance	-	-	-	-	-	61
	Su	ib-sect. 5.	Retor	eauer	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	62 63
		Successir						_		_	-	64
	SECT. 4.	DUCCESSI	AR DITT	a Or	DALE	•	•	-	_	_	_	07
DADO	VII. RI	GHTS A	ND LI	ARII	TTE	s of	7 P	RTT	ES	_	_	64
EARI						01		****	110	_	_	64
		RIGHTS (TOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	
) St	ib-sect. 1. ib-sect. 2.	In Gen	eral	- - Soi	-	- D~	- lomn	tion .	Damas	-	64 65
	Si	1b-sect. 2. 1b-sect. 3.	Frand	on. o	r hv. (Frant	or	remb	шоп, -	-	5 e a	67
		Rights o						_	_	_	_	68
						-	-	-	_	-		68
	21 21	ıb-sect. 1. ıb-sect. 2.	Canace	ur Del	izure	_	-	-	-	-	-	68
	Si	ab-sect. 3.	. When	Chatt	els dis	strain	ed	_	-	_	-	70
	S	ub-sect. 4	. Remov	al an	d Sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	70
	S	ub-sect. 5	. Priorit	ies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71
		TRANSFE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72
	0 4	G									_	71

													P.\GE
Part	VIII. 1	PROCEDI	JRE	AND	EV.	ŒΕ	ENCE	-	-	-	-	-	74
	SECT. 1.	RECTIFIC	CATION	, AN	D Ex	TEN	ROIS	or I	CIME	-	-	-	74
	SECT. 2.	REGISTR	AR AN	n Ri	GISTI	ßR,	SEAR	CHES	, Ins	PECTI	on,	AND	
		Offic	E Cor	PIES -	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	75
	SECT. 3.	STAMPS	and I	FEES	-		-	-	_	-	_	-	76
For ef	iect of R	Reputed Or	onersh	ip, a	nd ot	her							
		of Bankr			-		See ti	tle I		RUPTO VENCY		AND	In-
М	ortgages (generally	_	_	-	-	,,	I		JAGE.	•		
	ledge of C		-	-	-	-	"	1		NBRO		ERS	AND

Part I.—The Bills of Sale Acts.

SECT. 1 .- The Statutes.

1. The registration of bills of sale was introduced by the Bills of Introduction Sale Act, 1854 (a); and by the Bills of Sale Act, 1866 (b), renewal

of registration was required every five years.

of bills of sale. These Acts are repealed, and bills of sale are now regulated by Acts now in the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (c), called the principal Act (d), and the force. Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (e), in the text hereafter called the amending Act, which statutes may be cited together

as the Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882 (f). The Bills of Sale Acts, 1890 and 1891 (g), provide that certain instruments hypothecating imported goods are not to be deemed bills of sale within the meaning of the principal and amending

Acts (h).

SECT. 2.—Objects of the Statutes.

2. The Bills of Sale Acts, 1854 and 1878, were intended to Difference in prevent false credit being given to people allowed to remain in object of possession of goods which apparently are theirs, the ownership of which they have parted with (i).

of registration

The purpose of the amending Act was essentially different. was to prevent needy persons being entrapped into signing complicated documents which they might often be unable to comprehend, and so being subjected by their creditors to the enforcement of

⁽a) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 36.

⁽b) 29 & 30 Vict. c. 96, s. 4. (c) 41 & 42 Vict. c. 31, "an Act to consolidate and amend the law for preventing frauds upon creditors by secret bills of sale of personal chattels.' (d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 3.

⁽e) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43.

⁽f) Ibid., s. 1.
(g) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 53; 54 & 55 Vict. c. 35.

⁽h) See p. 19, post.

⁽i) Charlesworth v. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231, per Lord Halsbury, L.C., at p. 235.

SECT. 2. Objects of the Statutes.

harsh and unreasonable provisions (j). With this object in view. a particular form of words was insisted on, which should plainly express the nature of the contract as to the loan and the security for the loan (k). The Acts were not intended to apply to transactions other than those expressly pointed out (k).

SECT. 3.—Application of the Statutes.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- To what Places.

Places to which Acts apply.

3. The principal Act and the amending Act do not extend to Scotland or Ireland (l), nor do they include bills of sale of goods in foreign parts or at sea (m).

A bill of sale given in England over goods in Scotland or Ireland

is not affected by the Acts (n).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- To what Bills of Sale.

Bills of sale to which principal Act applies.

4. The principal Act applies to all bills of sale executed on or after January 1, 1879 (o), and any renewals of registration of bills of sale, registered under the repealed Acts of 1854 and 1866, must be made in the same manner as the renewal of registration under the principal Act(p).

How far it is retrospective.

The principal Act also extends a rule of construction to all instruments including fixtures or growing crops, executed before its commencement, and then subsisting and in force (q). With these exceptions the principal Act does not affect bills of sale executed before its commencement, as regards which the Acts repealed continue in force (r).

Operation of amending Act.

5. The amending Act commenced and came into operation on November 1, 1882 (s).

Unless the context otherwise requires, it does not apply to any bill of sale duly registered before the commencement of the Act, so long as registration is not avoided by non-renewal or otherwise (t).

It is not retrospective so as to apply to bills of sale executed more than seven days before its commencement (a); nor does it make void, against the grantor, bills of sale subject to the Bills of Sale Act, 1854 (b), of which registration has not been renewed (c).

(j) Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co.

(1888), 13 App. Cas. 554, per Lord HERSCHELL, L.C., at p. 560.

(k) Charlesworth v. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231, per Lord HALSBURY, L.C., at p. 235. (1) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 24; Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 18. The corresponding Irish Acts are the Bills of Sale (Ireland) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 50), and

the Bills of Sale (Ireland) Act (1879) Amendment Act, 1883 (46 Vict. c. 7).

(m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4. (n) Coote v. Jecks (1872), L. R. 13 Eq. 597; Brookes v. Harrison (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 85, 332.

(o) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 3.

(p) Ibid., s. 23.

(q) Ibid., s. 7; and see p. 24, post. (r) Ibid., s. 23.

(s) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 2.

(t) Ibid., s. 3.

(a) Ibid.; Hickson v. Darlow (1883), 23 Ch. D. 690.

(b) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 36.

(c) Cookson v. Swire (1884), 9 App. Cas. 653.

But the amending Act regulates the removal and sale of chattels under any bill of sale by way of security for the payment of money, whether registered before or after its commencement (d); and empowers relief to be given, in certain cases, against the removal or sale of goods under a bill of sale executed and registered before, as well as after, the Act came into operation (e).

SECT. 3. Application of the Statutes.

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Bills of Sale absolute, and by way of Security.

6. The amending Act, which, so far as is consistent with the Two classes tenor thereof, is to be construed as one with the principal Act, does of bills of not apply to bills of sale given otherwise than by way of security for the payment of money (f), limiting, to this extent, the partial repeal of the principal Act (q).

Two classes of bills of sale have thus been created, the first comprising absolute bills of sale, to which the principal Act continues to apply (h), the second, bills of sale given to secure the payment of money, as to which both Acts are to be construed together (f).

Part II.—What is a Bill of Sale.

SECT. 1.—General Principles.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Documents of Transfer.

7. A bill of sale has been described as an instrument in writing Description whereby one transfers to another the property he has in goods and of bill of sale. chattels (i), or as a document given with respect to the transfer of chattels, used in cases where possession is not intended to be given (k).

The principal Act declares that the expression "bill of sale," Meaning of

bill of sale in

(d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 13; and see p. 70, post.

⁽e) Ex parte Cotton (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 301. (f) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 3. g) 1 bid., ss. 10, 15, repealing Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), **€**. 8, 10, 20.

<sup>88. 8, 10, 20.

(</sup>h) Swift v. Pannell (1883), 24 Ch. D. 210.

(i) Allsopp v. Day (1861), 7 H. & N. 457.

(k) Johnson v. Diprose, [1893] 1 Q. B. 512, per Lord ESHER, M.R., at p. 515.

The term "bill of sale" had formerly a wider meaning. A bill of sale denoted a sale, and was not a power to sell (Simpson v. Wood (1852), 21 L. J. (ex.), per Parke, B., at p. 153); and included a grant of goods by way of bargain and sale with delivery (Bridgman's Conveyances (1725), 541), or followed by livery of seisin of the goods (Wood's Conveyancing (1762), Vol. 2, 690), either absolutely, or on a condition to become void on payment of money (Fidell's Guide to Conveyances (1654), 123). It was applied to grants of property other than goods, as of a sailor's wages (Chitty's Law of Commerce (1824), Vol. 4, 208), or of the produce and advantage of goods on shipboard (Bucknal v. Roiston (1709), Prec. in Ch. 285). A bill of sale, and a bargain and sale, whether of goods or other in Ch. 285). A bill of sale, and a bargain and sale, whether of goods or other personalty, were precisely of the same kind in their operation and technical import, but where the instrument had reference to things of a real nature it was usually styled a bargain and sale, and where to things merely personal, as household goods etc., a bill of sale (Wilde's Supp. to Barton's Conveyancing (1526), Vol. 2, p. 52).

SECT. 1. General Principles. which has the same meaning in the principal and amending Acts (l). shall, unless there be something in the subject or context repugnant to such construction, include bills of sale, assignments, transfers. declarations of trust without transfer, inventories of goods with receipt thereto attached, or receipts for purchase-moneys of goods, and other assurances of personal chattels, and also powers of attorney, authorities, or licences to take possession of personal chattels as security for any debt, and also any agreement, whether intended or not to be followed by the execution of any other instrument, by which a right in equity to any personal chattels, or to any charge or security thereon, shall be conferred (m).

Certain documents not bills of sale.

But the expression "bill of sale" does not include the following documents—that is to say, assignments for the benefit of the creditors of the person making or giving the same, marriage settlements, transfers or assignments of any ship or vessel or any share thereof, transfers of goods in the ordinary course of business of any trade or calling, bills of sale of goods in foreign parts or at sea, bills of lading, India warrants, warehouse-keepers' certificates, warrants or orders for the delivery of goods, or any other documents used in the ordinary course of business as proof of the possession or control of goods, or authorising or purporting to authorise, either by indorsement or by delivery, the possessor of such document to transfer or receive goods thereby represented (n).

Dealings by the owner of chattels only affected.

The Acts apply only to bills of sale given by the owner of chattels, and do not extend to dealings with chattels by a person other than the owner (o).

When a document is a bill of sale.

8. It is not every document drawn up at the time when a transaction is carried out for the purpose of transferring goods from one person to another that is a bill of sale (p).

But a document included in the expression "bill of sale," or deemed to be a bill of sale by the principal Act(q), and intended to be an instrument of transfer of chattels, or to embody the terms of the contract between the parties, upon which it is necessary to rely to prove title, will, in general, be within the Acts, unless excepted by their express terms (r).

It was not intended to interfere with transactions other than those expressly pointed out (s); and unless a document is within the terms of the Acts it is not affected, though in the result the Acts are evaded (t).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Documents with Delivery of Possession.

Delivery of possession.

9. The operation of both the principal and amending Acts is confined (a) to bills of sale, whether the same be absolute or subject

(n) Ibid.; and see pp. 16 et seq., post.
(o) McEntire v. Crossley. Brothers Ltd., [1895] A. C. 457.

(p) Charlesworth v. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231.

(q) See p. 14, post. r) Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554.

(s) Charlesworth v. Mills, supra, per Lord HALSBURY, L.C., at pp. 235, 236. (t) Ramsden v. Lupton (1873), L. R. 9 Q. B. 17.

(a) Re Hall, Ex parte Close (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 386.

⁽¹⁾ Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 3. (m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

or not subject to any trust, whereby the holder or grantee has power, either with or without notice, and either immediately or at any future time, to seize or take possession of any personal chattels comprised in or made subject to such bill of sale (b).

SECT. 1. General Principles.

Transactions, the object and effect of which is the immediate Sale and delivery of chattels to the grantee, are not affected by the Bills of delivery. Sale Acts (c). Thus, they do not apply to a sale and actual delivery of chattels, though accompanied by a document within the definition of a bill of sale (d), or to pledges of goods, where immediate posses- Pledges and sion is transferred to the pledgee, though the transaction is recorded pawns. in a document regulating the rights of the parties (e), and constructive possession may be sufficient, though actual possession is not taken contemporaneously (f).

Nor do the Acts apply to a document conferring on the holder of Common law a common law lien rights of disposition of, or charges on, goods in lien. his possession (q).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Transactions without Writing.

10. The Bills of Sale Acts affect documents but not transactions, Oral and leave unimpeached titles or rights acquired by oral contract contracts. completed without writing (h). They do not require that any transaction shall be put into writing, but they do require that if a transaction be put into writing, and be of a particular character, it shall be registered (i).

If the real bargain between the parties is reduced into writing Documents the Bills of Sale Acts apply to the written contract (j). If, how-confirming ever, the bargain is complete without any writing, so that the property intended to be dealt with passes independently, the Acts have no application to a document referring to or confirming the

(f) As the delivery of the key of a warehouse where the goods were stored (Hilton v. Tucker (1888), 39 Ch. D. 669); or of the key of a plate chest (Bowker v. Williamson (1889), 5 T. L. R. 382); joint possession of granter and grantee (Ramsay v. Margrett, supra).

(g) Lord (Trustee of) v. Great Eastern Rail. Co., [1908] 2 K. B. 54, per COZENS-HARDY, M.R., at p. 60. But if a right is claimed apart from possession the Acts apply (ibid.). An unpaid seller's lien over chattels is not affected by the Acts (Re Vulcan Ironworks Co., [1888] W. N. 37); though they apply to an express lien, granted by written contract, for unpaid purchasemoney over chattels of which the seller has parted with possession (Coburn v. Collins (1887), 35 Ch. D. 373).

(h) Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554.

(i) United Forty Pound Loan Club v. Berton, [1891] 1 Q. B. 28, n.

(j) Newlove v. Shrewsbury (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 41.

⁽b) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 3.

⁽c) Charlesworth v. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231. (d) Ramsay v. Margrett, [1894] 2 Q. B. 18.

⁽e) Re Hardwick, Ex parte Hubbard (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 690; memorandum of deposit of warrant for warehoused goods deliverable to lender (Re Cunningham & Co., Ltd., Attenborough's Case (1885), 28 Ch. D. 682); letters of hypothecation accompanying pledge or pawn tickets (Re Hall, Ex parte Close (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 386); verbal charge on warehoused goods with delivery order (Grigg v. National Guardian Assurance Co., [1891] 3 Ch. 206); memorated the control of the con randum of terms under which furniture was warehoused as security, the lender taking possession after paying warehouse rent (Wilkinson v. Girard Frères (1891), 7 T. L. R. 266). For form of such a pledge, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., p. 794.

SECT. 1. General Principles.

transaction (k); and the fact that such a document is drawn up, and is not registered, does not invalidate the transaction (l).

The existence of a document which does not express, or which is not intended to express, the real agreement between the parties does not necessarily exclude proof of title under an independent oral contract (m).

SECT. 2.—Bills of Sale, Assignments, and Transfers.

Bills of sale and assignments.

11. The expression "bills of sale and assignments," which is included in the statutory interpretation of the term "bill of sale," does not add to it. Bills of sale, if absolute, are subject only to the principal Act, but if given by way of security for the payment of money, are subject both to the principal Act and to the amending Act (n). For this reason certain of the instruments included in the statutory expression "bill of sale" cannot now be used except in cases where the disposition of property is intended to be absolute (o).

Transfers.

12. Transfers, which are also included in the statutory expression "bill of sale," are instruments which, though not in form bills of sale, purport to deal with chattels in the same way as a bill of sale (p).

SECT. 3.—Declarations of Trust without Transfer.

Declarations of trust.

13. Declarations of trust of chattels personal may be made by parol (q), but a declaration of trust of chattels without transfer, if contained in a document, may be a bill of sale (r). If a bill of

(k) Ramsay v. Margrett, [1894] 2 Q. B. 18, per Lord Esher, M.R., at p. 23: "if a document is intended by the parties to it to be a part of the bargain to pass the property in the goods, then, whatever the form of the document may be, even if it be only a simple receipt for the purchase-money, it is, by s. 4, to be deemed to be a bill of sale, though it is not so in fact. But, if the document is not intended to be part of the bargain to pass the property in the goods—if the bargain is complete without it, so that the property passes independently of it—then it is not to be deemed to be that which it is not in fact—a bill of sale." The test in each case is whether the document must be used in evidence to prove the title claimed (Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810), unless the writing when produced does not affect the rights of the parties, or make them different from what they would have been before, or from what they would have been if no writing had been referred to (Charlesworth v. Mills,

[1892] A. C. 231, per Lord HALSBURY, at p. 239).
(l) A document following an oral agreement to assign to bankers to secure advances goods deposited with auctioneers for sale, is not within the Acts (London and Yorkshire Bank v. White (1895), 11 T. L. R. 570). Nor is a sale of goods by oral agreement, coupled with delivery and payment of price, accompanied by an unregistered inventory and receipt (Shepherd v. Pulbrook (1888), 59

L. T. 288).

(m) Newlove v. Shrewsbury (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 41, where proof of a verbal transfer as security was not excluded by the existence of a written receipt as on sale; Parker v. Lyon (1888), 5 T. L. R. 10, where a verbal grant of lien for

purchase-money, paid on a sale by deed, was upheld.

(n) See p. 5, ante. The substance, and not only the form, of the instrument is regarded, and if in fact given to secure the payment of money a bill of sale is subject to both Acts (Madell v. Thomas, [1891] 1 Q. B. 230; Re Watson, Exparte Official Receiver (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 27).

(o) Re Townsend, Ex parte Parsons (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 532.

(p) Re Hardwick, Ex parte Hubbard (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 690, per Lord EsHER, M.R., at p. 696.

(q) See title TRUSTS AND TRUSTEES.
 (r) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

sale is made or given subject to any declaration of trust, not contained in the body thereof, such declaration of trust is to be deemed to be part of the bill of sale, and must be written on the same paper or parchment therewith and registered (s).

SECT. 3. Declarations of Trust without Transfer.

Declarations of trust without transfer were formerly resorted to as security for advances; and undertakings to hold goods in trust, and to pay over the proceeds when received, have been held to be bills of sale (t).

An instrument charging or creating any security on, or declaring Imported trusts of, imported goods given or executed at any time prior to their goods. deposit in a warehouse, factory, or store, or to their being reshipped for export or delivered to a purchaser, not being the person giving or executing such instrument, is not to be deemed a bill of sale (a).

Sect. 4.—Inventories of Goods, Receipts, and other Assurances.

14. The principal Act includes "inventories of goods with receipt When receipts thereto attached, or receipts for purchase-moneys of goods, and are bills of other assurances of personal chattels," in the expression "bill of sale" (b). The controlling words are "other assurances of personal chattels," and inventories and receipts do not come within the Act, unless they are assurances on which the title claimed depends (c).

It is questionable whether an invoice and receipt, which are separate instruments and not intended to be operative in connection with each other, can be regarded as an inventory of goods with receipt thereto attached (d). But an inventory and receipt may be as solemn an assurance as a deed (e), and an inventory and receipt, or receipt alone, if embodying and intended to embody the terms of the contract, may be a bill of sale (f).

Where, however, there is a complete and effectual oral contract When oral of sale or charge, independent of any document, an instrument of contract the kind mentioned, though drawn up contemporaneously with the transaction, will not affect the parties' rights, or require registration as a bill of sale (q).

Thus, where chattels are bought by oral contract and paid for, the buyer stipulating that a receipt shall be drawn up by a solicitor,

^(#) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (3).

⁽t) Re Steele, Ex parte Conning (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 414; R. v. Townshend (1884), 15 Cox, C. C. 466.

⁽a) Bills of Sale Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 53), s. 1, as amended by the Bills of Sale Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 35), s. 1.

⁽b) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

⁽e) Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554, 569.
(d) Ibid., per Lord MACNAGHTEN, at p. 568.

⁽e) Re Baum, Ex parte Cooper (1878), 10 Ch. D. 313.
(f) Re Hood, Ex parte Burgess (1893), 42 W. R. 23, where a receipt by the sheriff on a private sale by order of the Court, containing the terms on which the sheriff sold, was held a bill of sale, there being no sale independently of the receipt.

⁽q) Ramsay v. Margrett, [1894] 2 Q. B. 18. In the following cases inventories and receipts, or receipts alone, were held not to be assurances or bills of sale: Allsopp v. Day (1861), 7 H. & N. 457; Byrley v. Prevot (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 144 (receipts); Graham v. Wilcockson (1876), 46 L. J. (Ex.) 55 (receipt with memorandum); Woodgate v. Godfrey (1879), 5 Ex. D. 24; Marsden v. Meadows (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 80

SECT. 4. Inventories of Goods

the buyer's title is complete, though afterwards the seller gives a receipt in the form of an assurance (h).

etc. Other assurances of personal chattels. Liens.

15. The words "other assurances of personal chattels," which control the preceding words of the section, also extend them to documents of the same kind, but not precisely within the earlier expressions. Thus, an express lien for unpaid purchase-money, given by written agreement, over chattels sold and delivered to the purchaser, is an assurance within the Act (i); though an unpaid seller's lien, arising by operation of law, is not affected (j).

Auctioneer's memorandum.

So also, a memorandum of the sale of goods in an auctioneer's book, signed by his clerk for the purchaser, and by the auctioneer himself for the seller, without which the contract for sale would not have been enforceable, may be an assurance within the Act, requiring registration (k).

Sect. 5.—Powers of Attorney, Authorities, or Licences to take Possession as Security for any Debt.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

Bill of sale under power of attorney.

16. Powers of attorney, authorities, or licences to take possession of personal chattels as security for any debt (l) are bills of sale within

(receipts and inventories on sales by sheriff); Haydon v. Brown (1888), 59 L. T. 810 (the like, including goods not subject to the execution); Fox v. Barnett (1886), 2 T. L. R. 233 (receipt on sale); Parnacott v. Dieudonné (1885), 2 T. L. R. 98 (receipt and inventory on sale by trustee in liquidation); Prece v. Gilling (1885), 53 L. T. 763 (receipt on sale of furniture); Manchester, Shefield and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554 (inventory and receipt on sale of wagons); Hay v. Nathan (1886), 3 T. L. B. 11 (receipt on sale); Shepherd v. Pulbrook (1888), 59 L. T. 288 (list and receipt, sent after agreement to sell); Newlove v. Shrewsbury (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 41 (receipt given on oral contract of sale); Jones v. Tower Furnishing Co. (1889), 61 L. T. 84 (receipt and inventory on sale by sheriff under private contract); Grace v. Gard (1889), 6 T. L. R. 74 (inventory and memorandum on sale); Clapham v. Ives (1904), 91 L. T. 69 (valuation, inventory, and lease); Lock v. Heath (1892), 8 T. L. R. 295 (inventory attached to deed poll after gift); Ramsay v. Margrett, [1884] 2 Q. B. 18 (receipt for purchase-money of goods acknowledging that the goods were the purchaser's); Stammers v. Margrett (1905), 21 T. L. R. 342 (inventory and receipt on sale by sheriff).

Margrett (1905), 21 T. L. R. 342 (inventory and receipt on sale by sheriff). In the following cases inventories and receipts or receipts alone were held to be bills of sale: Re Walden, Ex parte Odell (1878), 10 Ch. D. 76 (inventory with receipt attached); Re Baum, Ex parte Cooper (1878), 10 Ch. D. 313 (inventory with receipt attached); Re Hood, Ex parte Burgess (1893), 42 W. R. 23 (receipt by the sheriff on a private sale by order of the court containing the terms on which the sheriff sold, there being no sale independent of the receipt); Phillips v. Gibbons (1857), 5 W. R. 527 (receipt for goods sold, and left in seller's possession); Snell v. Heighton (1883), 1 Cab. & El. 95 (receipt); French v. Bombernard (1888), 60 L. T. 48 (inventory and receipt on sale under distress)

distress).

(h) Ramsay **v.** Margrett, supra.

(i) Coburn v. Collins (1887), 35 Ch. D. 373.

(j) Re Vulcan Ironworks Co., [1888] W. N. 37. (k) Re Roberts (1887), 36 Ch. D. 196, where no part of the price was paid, the buyer being given six months' credit by the contract, and the whole of the goods remained on the seller's premises, and in his apparent possession. title Auction and Auctioneers, Vol. I., p. 506.

(l) A licence to seize chattels cannot be assigned so as to give the assignee a right of seizure (Re Davis, Ex parte Rawlings (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 193). A licence to seize is revoked by bankruptcy (Thompson v. Cohen (1872), L. B. 7

As bills of sale by way of security for the principal Act(m). payment of money are now required to be in accordance with a scheduled form (n), the instruments enumerated will not be valid securities. But a bill of sale may be executed under a power of attorney, even though given to the proposed grantee, and the Court will refuse to restrain the attorney from executing a bill of sale in proper form (o).

SECT. 5. Powers of Attorney

17. Authorities and licences to take possession otherwise than as Licences. security for any debt are not bills of sale (p), though, if by way of security, it is immaterial that when the authority or licence was given no debt existed, if the intention was to secure a future debt (q).

Only such licences or authorities to take possession are bills of Licences sale as are consistent with possession of the goods remaining with accompanied the grantor, and if it is intended that possession should at once be by possession. given to the grantee the Acts do not apply (r). Thus, if an authority is given to sell goods which are handed over, a document accompanying the transaction is not necessarily a bill of sale, there being no licence to seize goods which are already delivered (s). But it is otherwise when the authority or licence is to seize and sell chattels to pay a debt(t), and a licence to sell has been said to import a licence to seize (a).

If the authority is not to take, but only to retain possession of, Authority to goods already in the possession of the grantee, the document con-retain possession taining such authority is not a bill of sale, even though it defines sion. the rights of the parties (b). Nor is a document a bill of sale which Right of confers on the holder of a common law lien rights of disposition of, lien. or charges on, goods in his possession (c).

But a document purporting to give a lien on goods not in the grantee's possession, and on which there is no common law right of lien, is void as an authority or licence to take possession as security for a debt (c).

18. Although ordinary powers of distress are not within the Licence to Acts (d), powers of seizure contained in leases, by way of distress distrain. for recovery of a debt, may be licences to seize; thus, a brewer's

Q. B. 527), and has no operation against property acquired by a bankrupt after

discharge (Collyer v. Isaacs (1881), 19 Ch. D. 342).

(m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

(n) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 9; and see p. 34, post.

(c) Furnivall v. Hudson, [1893] 1 Ch. 335. (p) Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522. (q) Stevens v. Marston (1890), 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 192.

(r) Re Hardwick, Ex parte Hubbard (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 690 (authority to sell goods deposited as security).

(s) Charlesworth v. Mills, [1892] A. C. 231 (authority to auctioneers to sell and repay advances). Such an authority, if for valuable consideration, is irrevocable (*ibid.*, at p. 243). See titles AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 228; AUCTION AND AUCTIONEERS, Vol. I., p. 504.

(t) Re Townsend, Ex parte Parsons (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 532.
(a) Johns v. Ware, [1899] 1 Ch. 359, at p. 363.

(b) Spencer v. Midland Rail. Co. (1895), 11 T. L. R. 542.

(c) Lord (Trustee of) v. Great Eastern Rail. Co., [1908] 2 K. B. 54, per Cozens-Hardy, M.R., at p. 60.

(d) Re Roundwood Colliery Co., [1897] 1 Ch. 373.

SECT. 5. Powers of Attorney etc.

Licence to seize in building contracts.

lease containing a power to seize stock in trade and effects of the lessee in default of payment of the brewer's account is a bill of sale (e).

19. An instrument containing a stipulation, common in building agreements, whereby all materials brought upon the premises for the purposes of building are to be considered as immediately attaching to the land, with power to the landowner of seizure on the builder's default, is not a bill of sale (f); for such a licence to seize is not generally given as security for any debt (g). And an agreement providing that all materials brought upon the land are to become the property of the landowner is not a bill of sale, as his interest in the materials is legal, as distinguished from a right in equity to personal chattels (h).

But a mortgage of a building lease, together with plant and materials then or thereafter brought on the land, with power on default to sell them separately, is a bill of sale of the plant and

materials (i).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Hiring Agreements.

Licence to seize in hiring agreements.

20. Licences to seize are frequently inserted in hire-purchase agreements (k). The Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to an ordinary business agreement for the hire or hire-purchase of chattels which, until the stipulated payments have been made, are not the property of the hirer (l). In such cases the hirer is not the owner of the chattels; and as the licence to seize merely empowers the owner to retake possession of his own property, it is not a bill of sale (m).

Independent sale and hiring.

The same result follows when the owner of chattels sells them, and afterwards, by independent contract, hires them back from the purchaser, even though a right is given to repurchase for an equivalent to the sum paid (n).

The decisions as to what is to be regarded as an independent sale and hiring have not been free from difficulty (o). It would appear

(r) Stevens v. Marston (1890), 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 192. See further, p. 15, post.

(g) Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522.

(h) Reeves v. Barlow, supra. See further p. 14, post.
 (i) Climpson v. Coles (1889), 23 Q. B. D 465; Church v. Sage (1892), 67 L. T.

(k) For hire-purchase, see title BAILMENT, Vol. I., p. 554; for forms of hire-purchase agreements, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VI., pp. 449 et seq.

(1) Re Hawkins, Ex parte Emerson (1871), 41 L. J. (BCY.) 20; Re Robertson, Ex parte Crawcour (1878), 9 Ch. D. 419.

(m) McEntire v. Crossley Brothers, Ltd., [1895] A. C. 457.
(n) Victoria Dairy Co. v. West (1895), 11 T. L. R. 233; Clapham v. Ives (1904), 91 L. T. 69.

(a) Re Walden, Ex parte Odell (1878), 10 Ch. D. 76; Brown v. Blaine (1884), 1 T. L. R. 158; Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554, Gapp v. Bond (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 200; Redhead v. Westwood (1888), 59 L. T. 293; French v. Bombernard (1888), 60 L. T. 48; Jones v. Tower Furnishing Co. (1889), 61 L. T. 84; Re Yarrow, Ex parte Collins (1889), 61 L. T. 642; Re Watson, Ex parte

⁽f) Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; Blake v. Izard (1867), 16 W. R. 108; Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436. As to such stipulations, see titles BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 152, 177; BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC., pp. 260 et seq., post.

SECT. 5.

Powers of Attorney

etc.

that if there is a real completed sale, the Acts will not apply to a separate and distinct hiring or hire-purchase agreement, even though a receipt or other document is given on the sale, and though the whole transaction may result in an equivalent of the price being returned to the buyer by means of instalments of hire (p). But if the real transaction is a loan of money intended to be secured by a sale and hiring agreement, the document or documents embodying the arrangement will be within the Acts (q).

Where the contract is for a loan to be secured by a sale and hiring agreement of chattels, even if the property passes by the sale, it does so subject to the terms of the hiring agreement, which is thus part of the buyer's title, and within the Acts as a licence to

seize (a).

Each case must be determined according to the proper inference to be drawn from the facts; and whatever form the transaction may take, the Court will decide according to its real substance (b). Thus, the grantor of chattels by deed purporting absolutely to dispose of them, followed by a hiring, is not estopped from showing that the real bargain was a loan on the security of chattels (c).

An assignment by the owner of goods, let on hire, of all his rights Assignment under the hiring agreement is not a bill of sale (d), though an of rights assignment of, or charge upon, the goods themselves would be (e).

A purchaser from the hirer of goods, let on hire, acquires no title Purchase against the true owner where the hire-purchase agreement does not from hirer. operate as a contract to buy as well as sell (f).

agreement.

SECT. 6.—Agreements.

21. An agreement, whether intended or not to be followed by the Agreements execution of any other instrument, by which a right in equity to any personal chattels, or to any charge or security thereon, is conferred, is a bill of sale (q). A written agreement to give a bill of sale, or purporting to confer a charge (h), when relied on to support a title to chattels, was a bill of sale within the Bills of Sale Act, 1854 (i), which did not expressly mention this class of documents, and is within the express words of the principal Act. Consequently, a written agreement to give a bill of sale, or by way of charge.

Official Receiver (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 27; Madell v. Thomas, [1891] 1 Q. B. 230; Beckett v. Tower Assets Co., [1891] 1 Q. B. 638; Re Linton, Ex parte Finlay (1893), 10 Morr. 258; Wheatley's Trustee v. Wheatley, Ltd. (1901), 85 L. T. 491;

Maas v. Pepper, [1905] A. C. 102.

(p) Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. v. North Central Wagon Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 554.

(q) Maas v. Pepper, supra.
(a) Beckett v. Tower Assets Co., supra.

(b) Re Watson, Ex parte Official Receiver (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 27.

(c) Madell v. Thomas, supra.

(1) Re Davis, Ex parte Rawlings (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 193; Re Isaacson, Ex parte Mason, [1895] 1 Q. B. 333.

(e) Jarvis v. Jarvis (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 10.

(f) Helby v. Matthews, [1895] A. C. 471. (g) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

(h) Re Steele, Ex parte Conning (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 414.

(i) Re Jeavons, Ex parte Mackay (1873), 8 Ch. App. 643; Edwards v. Edwards (1876), 2 Ch. D. 291.

Digitized by Google

SECT. 6. Agreements.

will not now give a title to chattels, unless the requirements of the Acts are complied with (j), but an application to restrain the execution of a bill of sale under a power of attorney given for valuable consideration will not be granted (k).

An oral agreement to give a bill of sale is not within the Acts, nor do they invalidate a duly registered bill of sale given in

pursuance of an agreement within them (l).

Only equitable right affected.

The right affected by the Acts is a right in equity, as distinguished from a right in law, and if a legal right is given, this provision of the Act does not apply (m).

SECT. 7 .- Instruments with Power of Distress.

Attornment clause in a mortgage.

22. Certain instruments giving a power of distress are deemed to be bills of sale (n).

Before the principal Act came into force, an attornment clause inserted in a mortgage deed gave an additional security by way of distress on chattels for both principal and interest (o), and was not within the Bills of Sale Acts (p). This right of distress could be exercised, not only on the goods of the borrower, but on those of a stranger, as, for example, the grantee of a bill of sale given by a tenant to the mortgagor (q).

Such an attornment clause is included in the principal Act (r), which applies whether the distress be on the goods of a third party

(k) Furnivall v. Hudson, supra.

(1) Re Hemingway, Ex parte Hauxwell (1883), 23 Ch. D. 626.

⁽j) Jarvis v. Jarvis (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 10; Furnivall v. Hudson, [1893] 1 Ch. 335.

⁽m) Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436, where an instrument providing that all materials brought on the land were to become the property of the building owner was held not to be a bill of sale, the building owner's interest being a legal, and not an equitable, right; Morris v. Delobbel-Flipo, [1892] 2 Ch. 352, where an agreement giving an agent a retainer for advances on goods coming into his possession was held to confer a legal and not an equitable right, as it depended on possession; Spencer v. Midland Rail. Co. (1895), 11 T. L. R. 542, where an agreement providing that all goods stored on a railway company's premises should be deemed to be in their possession and subject to a lien was held not to be a bill of sale; Lord (Trustee of) v. Great Eastern Rail. Co., [1908] 2 K. B. 54, where a similar agreement, affecting goods not in the company's

possession, was held to be a bill of sale as conferring an equitable right.

(n) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 6: "Every attornment, instrument, or agreement, not being a mining lease, whereby a power of distress is given or agreed to be given by any person to any other person by way of security for any present, future, or contingent debt or advance, and whereby any rent is reserved or made payable as a mode of providing for the payment of interest on such debt or advance, or otherwise for the purpose of such security only, shall be deemed to be a bill of sale, within the meaning of this Act, of any personal chattels which may be seized or taken under such power of distress: Provided that nothing in this section shall extend to any mortgage of any estate or interest in any land, tenement, or hereditament which the mortgagee, being in possession, shall have demised to the mortgagor as his tenant at a fair and reasonable rent."

⁽o) Re Betts, Ex parte Harrison (1881), 18 Ch. D. 127.

⁽p) Morton v. Woods (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 293. (q) Kearsley v. Philips (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 621. (r) Green v. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330. As to the validity in bankruptcy of an attornment clause in a mortgage, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 293.

or of the borrower (s), and whether the power of distress is express, or is claimed as incident to the demise (t).

Mining leases are expressly excluded from the operation of the Act, and this protection extends to a power of distress in a mining lease on goods upon premises near to and worked with those demised (a).

SECT. 7. Instruments with Power of Distress.

Exclusion of mining leases.

power of distress.

23. The powers of distress which exist at common law, or are Landlord's reserved to landlords in any usual form of lease, are not affected by the Acts (b). If, however, with the object of securing a debt, a power of distress is given, so general as to be outside the scope of ordinary leases or agreements between landlord and tenant, the instrument is to be deemed to be a bill of sale (c).

But the instrument is only "deemed to be" a bill of sale of any personal chattels which may be seized or taken under the power of distress (d). It need not therefore be in the statutory form, not being in fact a bill of sale, though, for the purpose of registration, it is treated as one, and if unregistered is void so far as regards chattels which may be seized or taken under the power (d).

Where no personal chattels are taken, the relationship of borrower and lender as landlord and tenant is not affected, nor are the terms of the agreement between them, except so far as the agreement purports to give a power of distress (e). Thus, the lender as landlord may recover possession when rent reserved under the agreement is in arrear (f).

24. It is provided that a demise by a mortgagee in posses- Demise by sion to the mortgagor, at a fair and reasonable rent, is not to mortgagee in be deemed to be a bill of sale (g), but this proviso extends only to cases where the mortgagee, being in actual possession, has subsequently demised to the mortgagor, and does not give protection where actual possession has not been taken, the only demise being by the mortgage deed; for an attornment clause does not of itself make the mortgagee a mortgagee in possession within the meaning of the proviso (h).

A lease in good faith to a mortgagor by a mortgagee in actual Demise as possession is protected, but not a lease to secure money. Thus, where a mortgagor, under a mortgage with an attornment clause, being in arrear with interest, undertakes in writing to hold as tenant to the mortgagee at a fair rent, but the mortgagee does not take actual possession, the intention being further to secure the mortgage debt,

⁽s) Green v. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330.

⁽t) Re Willis, Ex parte Kennedy (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 384. Compare Hall v. Comfort (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 11.

⁽a) Re Roundwood Colliery, [1897] 1 Ch. 373.

⁽h) Re Willis, Ex parte Kennedy, supra, at p. 397. (c) Pulbrook v. Ashby (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 376 (brewer's lease giving power to distrain for current account); Sterens v. Marston (1890), 60 L. J. (Q. B.) 192 (lease giving landlords the same right of distress for current account and advances as for rent in arrear).

⁽d) Green v. Marsh, supra.

⁽r) Stevens v. Marston, supra. (f) Mumford v. Collier (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 279.

⁽¹⁾ Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 6.

⁽h) Re Willis, Ex parte Kennedy, supra; Green v. Marsh, supra.

SECT. 7. Instruments with Power of Distress.

and not to create a real demise, the instrument is a bill of sale, and a distress levied by the mortgagee on goods of strangers is avoided (i).

Fair and reasonable rent.

In determining what is a fair and reasonable rent, the question probably is whether the rent fixed be so excessive, considering the nature of the property, as to lead to the conclusion that it was not intended to create a real rent or a real tenancy, but that the demise was a mere device to enable the mortgagee to obtain an additional security (k).

Part. III.—Instruments not within the Expression "Bill of Sale."

Sect. 1.—Assignments for the Benefit of Creditors.

What assignments are included.

25. The principal Act provides that the expression "bill of sale" shall not include certain documents, the first being assignments for the benefit of the creditors of the person making or giving the

Such assignments, to be within the exception, must be available for creditors generally who choose to come in (m), none being excluded from the benefits of the deed (n). But a deed of assignment is none the less for the benefit of creditors because a time limit is fixed within which they must accede (o). An assignment of chattels to secure a composition is, if for the benefit of creditors generally, also within the exception (p).

But if the deed is for the benefit of certain creditors only, it is a bill of sale (q); and so is a licence to seize chattels given to a creditor, with an authority to pay himself and other creditors (r).

SECT. 2.—Marriage Settlements.

What settlements are included

26. Marriage settlements are not bills of sale, within the principal The exception includes instruments which create a trust Act (8).

(i) Green v. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330.

(k) Re Bowes, Ex parte Jackson (1880), 14 Ch. D. 725. (l) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; and see p. 6, ante. As to assignments for the benefit of creditors, which are now regulated by the Deeds of Arrangement Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 57), and the principles of law applicable under that Act, together with the validity of deeds of arrangement as against creditors and in bankruptcy, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY,

Vol. II., pp. 325—337.

(m) General Furnishing and Upholstery Co. v. Venn (1863), 2 H. & C. 153.
(n) Paine v. Matthews (1885), 53 L. T. 872; Boldero v. London and West-minster Discount Co. (1879), L. R. 5 Ex. D. 47. Compare Spencer v. Slater (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 13.

(o) Hadley & Son v. Beedom, [1895] 1 Q. B. 646. (p) Hedges v. Preston (1899), 80 L. T. 847; Beevor v. Savage (1867), 16 L. T. 358.

(c) R. v. Creese (1874), 43 L. J. (M. c.) 51. (r) Re Townsend, Ex parte Parsons (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 532. (s) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; and see p. 6, ante. For

for the purpose of carrying out provision for a marriage. an informal ante-nuptial memorandum of agreement for a marriage settlement is protected (a). And a transfer of chattels after marriage, Settlements. carrying out an ante-nuptial contract, is within the exception, as for example an assignment of chattels, acquired after marriage, in pursuance of a covenant contained in a marriage settlement to transfer to trustees all the settlor's after-acquired property except business assets (b).

SECT. 2. Marriage

27. Post-nuptial settlements are not marriage settlements within Post-nuptial the exception (c). When absolute transfers, they require attestation settlements. and registration under the provisions of the principal Act (d). Unless a post-nuptial settlement is an assurance of chattels by way of security for the payment of money, it is excluded from the operation of the amending Act (e).

28. When two persons are together in the enjoyment of chattels, Apparent the law refers possession to the one who has the legal title (f). Thus, possession of chattels, settled by a husband on his wife, which are in his nessession. chattels settled by a husband on his wife, which are in her possession in the home where she and her husband live together, are, in general, deemed to be in her possession, and not in the apparent possession of her husband, in which case the principal Act does not affect them (g).

SECT. 3.—Mercantile Transfers. SUB-SECT. 1 .- Transfers of Ships.

29. Transfers or assignments of any ship or vessel or any share Transfers of thereof are not bills of sale, within the Acts (h), even though not in ships. the form given by the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (i), or registered thereunder (1).

The exception applies to a transfer or assignment of anything ordinarily called a vessel, and not only of what is technically so called, and includes a dumb barge worked by oars, but not a mere boat (k).

marriage settlements generally, see titles REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; SETTLEMENTS.

(b) Re Reis, Ex parte Clough, [1904] 2 K. B. 769, affirmed in H. L., sub nom. Clough v. Samuel, [1905]A. O. 442.

(c) Fowler v. Foster (1859), 28 L. J. (q. B.) 210; Ashton v. Blackshaw (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 510.
(d) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), ss. 8, 10; Casson v. Churchley

(1884), 53 L. J. (q. B.) 335.

(e) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 3.

(f) Ramsay v. Margrett, [1894] 2 Q. B. 18.
(g) Re Satterthwaite, Ex parte Trustee (1895), 2 Mans. 52; Shepherd v. Pulbrook (1885), 4 T. L. B. 642.

(h) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; and see p. 6, ante. (1) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 24; Sched. I., Part I., Form A. See Encyclopædia

of Forms, Vol. XIV., p. 53.

(j) Union Bank of London v. Lenanton (1878), 3 C. P. D. 243. See further, title SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION.

(k) Gapp v. Bond (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 200.

C

⁽a) Wenman v. Lyon & Co., [1891] 2 Q. B. 192. As to the validity of marriage settlements against creditors, apart from the Bills of Sale Acts, see titles BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 277; FRAUDULENT AND Voidable Conveyances.

SECT. 3. Mercantile Transfers.

The transfer of an unfinished ship is also protected, including materials preparing for its completion, but not actually on board (l). The statutory form of mortgage of a ship with its appurtenances, under the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (m), covers all articles and materials necessary for the ship, and on board when the mortgage is given; and registration as a bill of sale is unnecessary to protect articles and materials afterwards substituted for them (n).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Transfers in the Ordinary Course of Business.

Transfers of goods.

30. Transfers of goods in the ordinary course of business of any trade or calling are also excluded from the statutory interpretation of bills of sale, as are also bills of sale of goods in foreign parts or at sea (o), bills of lading, India warrants, warehouse-keepers' certificates, warrants or orders for the delivery of goods, or any other documents used in the ordinary course of business as proof of the possession or control of goods, or authorising or purporting to authorise, either by indorsement or by delivery, the possessor of such document to transfer or receive goods thereby represented (p).

Transfers not within the exception.

31. Disposing of goods by bill of sale is not a transfer of goods in the ordinary course of business (q). Nor is a pledge by a trader of stock in trade, bought on credit and not paid for, a transfer in the ordinary course of business of any trade or calling within the exception (r).

The exception does not apply to an agreement by the owner of a sugar plantation by which it is agreed, in consideration of a loan, to deliver to the lender the crop of sugar when made, for the purpose of sale and payment of the debt out of the proceeds, the transaction being considered a borrowing on special agreement which, though not uncommon, is not in the ordinary course of business(s).

Hypothecations etc.

32. A letter of hypothecation accompanying a deposit of goods is not, as has been seen, a bill of sale (t); and a document charging goods in the ordinary course of mercantile business, even though the goods are not actually delivered (u), or an agreement for a vendor's lien on bills of lading and goods in transit or in the hands of consignees (w), is within the exception.

But an agreement, not in the ordinary course of business, agreeing to hold goods at a creditor's disposal and to give a transfer when required, is a bill of sale (x).

(n) Coltman v. Chamberlain (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 328.

(o) See p. 6, ante.

(p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4. (q) R. v. Thomas (1870), 22 L. T. 138.

⁽l) Re Softley, Ex parte Hodgkin (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 746.
(m) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 31 (1), and Sched. I., Part L, Form B.; see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. XIV., pp. 55—59.

⁽r) Re Hall, Ex parte Close (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 386. Compare the pledge of a bill of lading (Re Hodgson, Ex parte Brett (1875), 1 Ch. D. 151).

⁽s) Tennant v. Howatson (1888), 13 App. Cas. 489.
(t) See p. 7, ante. For form of such letter, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., p. 796.
(u) Re Slee, Ex parte North-Western Bank (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 69.

⁽w) Re Love, Ex parte Watson (1877), 5 Ch. D. 35. (x) Re Steele, Ex parte Conning (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 414; R. v. Townshend (1884), 15 Cox, C. C. 466.

Letters given to bankers for advances on goods in the hands of third parties, with their receipts attached, stating that the borrowers hold the goods in the third parties' hands on the lenders' account and under lien to them, are within the exception, as documents used in the ordinary course of business as proof of the possession or control of goods, and protect, without registration, goods returned to the borrowers by the third parties, as well as those still in their hands (y).

SECT. 3. Mercantile Transfers.

An instrument charging or creating any security on, or declaring Imported trusts of, imported goods, given or executed at any time prior to goods. their deposit in a warehouse, factory, or store, or to their being reshipped for export, or delivered to a purchaser not being the person giving or executing such instrument, is not a bill of sale within the meaning of the principal and amending Acts(z). regards such goods the operation of the law of reputed ownership in bankruptcy remains unaffected (a).

Sect. 4.—Debentures.

33. Debentures issued by any mortgage, loan, or other incor- When porated company (b), and secured upon the capital stock or goods, securities of chattels, and effects of such company, are excluded from the bills of sale.

provisions of the amending Act (c).

A trading company may give a bill of sale as security for goods sold or work done (d), and such a bill of sale by a company has been said to be within the Bills of Sale Acts (e). But mortgages or charges created by an incorporated company, for the registration of which provision is made by the Companies Clauses Act, 1845, or the Companies Acts (f), are not bills of sale within the principal Act, which must be construed with the amending Act(q).

(c) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 17. As to the general law relating to debentures, see title COMPANIES.

(d) Shears v. Jacob (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 513. A company may be described as of its registered office. Directors attesting the affixing of a company's seal are not attesting witnesses (Deffell v. White (1866), L. R. 2 C. P. 144).

(e) Re Cunningham & Co., Ltd., Attenborough's Case (1885), 28 Ch. D. 682.

(f) By Companies Act, 1907 (7 Edw. 7, c. 50), s. 10, repealing and replacing

as from June 30, 1908, Companies Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 48), s. 14 (1). See title COMPANIES.

(a) Re Standard Manufacturing Co., supra; Read v. Joannon (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 300; Richards v. Kidderminster Overseers, [1896] 2 Ch. 212.

⁽y) Re Hamilton, Young & Co., Ex parte Carter, [1905] 2 K. B. 772. forms of such letters, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 479 et seq.

⁽z) Bills of Sale Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 35), s. 1.
(a) Bills of Sale Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 53), s. 2. As to reputed ownership, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 173 et seq.
(b) The words "other incorporated companies" include any company authorised to raise or secure money on loan or mortgage (Re Standard Manufacturing Co., [1891] 1 Ch. 627). The protection of the section extends to a floating charge affecting chattels in England, given by a company registered in Guernsey, not keeping or being by law required to keep any register of mortgages or charges (Clark v. Balm, Hill & Co., [1908] 1 K. B. 667). But debentures issued by a society registered under the Industrial and Provident Societies Acts are not protected, there being no provision made by those statutes for the registration of debentures of such a society (Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Coal Co-operative Society, [1896] 1 Ch. 187). For such societies, see title Industrial, Provident and Similar Societies.

SECT. 4. Debentures.

A document which is a debenture in the common acceptation of the term, creating or acknowledging a debt, and accompanied by a charge on the property of the company, is within the exception (h).

Securities for floating balance.

It is doubtful whether the expression "debenture" can include a memorandum of deposit as security for a floating balance, not admitting any specific debt, or containing a promise to pay, and charging specific property as distinguished from a general charge on assets (i).

Deed of charge.

A deed of charge on the assets of a company to secure debentures is not a bill of sale within the Acts (j). Such a covering deed is probably a debenture within the exception (k), but even if it is not it may operate as an equitable charge in favour of debenture-holders over property purporting to be assigned (l).

Transfer.

A transfer by the grantee of an unregistered charge on chattels, given by a company, is ineffectual under the Acts to give the transferee a valid title to the chattels (m).

Part IV.—Subject-matter of Bills of Sale.

SECT. 1.—In General.

Meaning of personal chattels.

34. The Bills of Sale Acts relate only to personal chattels, which term has a special meaning attached to it. By the principal Act(n)personal chattels comprise four classes of things: (1) Articles capable of complete transfer by delivery; (2) growing crops, when assigned or charged separately from the land; (3) fixtures, when assigned or charged separately from the land; and (4) trade machinery, which for the purposes of the Acts is to be deemed personal chattels (o).

i) Topham v. Greenside Glazed Fire-Brick Co., supra.

⁽h) Levy v. Abercorris Slate and Slab Co. (1887), 37 Ch. D. 260; Edmonds v. Blaina Furnaces Co. (1887), 36 Ch. D. 215. Glazed Fire-Brick Co. (1887), 37 Ch. D. 281. Compare Topham v. Greenside

⁽j) Richards v. Kidderminster Overseers, [1896] 2 Ch. 212; Re Standard Manufacturing Co., [1891] 1 Ch. 627. Soo Brocklehurst v. Railway Printing Co., [1884] W. N. 70; Jenkinson v. Brandley Mining Co. (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 568, where there was no express trust for debenture-holders.

 ⁽k) Richards v. Kidderminster Overseers, supra.
 (l) Ross v. Army and Navy Hotel Co. (1886), 34 Ch. D. 43.

⁽m) Jarvis v. Jarvis (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 10. (n) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4: "The expression 'personal chattels' shall mean goods, furniture, and other articles capable of complete transfer by delivery, and (when separately assigned or charged) fixtures and growing crops, but shall not include chattel interests in real estate, nor fixtures (except trade machinery as hereinafter defined), when assigned together with a freehold or leasehold interest in any land or building to which they are affixed, nor growing crops when assigned together with any interest in the land on which they grow, nor shares or interests in the stock, funds, or securities of any Government, or in the capital or property of incorporated or joint stock companies, nor choses in action, nor any stock or produce upon any farm or lands which by virtue of any covenant or agreement or of the custom of the country ought not to be removed from any farm where the same are at the time of making or giving of such bill of sale."

⁽o) Ibid., s. 5; and see pp. 22 et seq., post

The subject-matter of bills of sale is confined to things which come under one or other of these four classes.

SECT. 1. In General.

What things are excluded.

Personal chattels do not include chattel interests in real estate, or fixtures, except trade machinery, or growing crops when assigned together with any freehold or leasehold interest in the land or building to which they are affixed or on which they grow. The Act also excludes from the meaning of personal chattels choses in action, and shares or interests in Government securities, or in the capital or property of incorporated or joint-stock companies, and any stock or produce upon any farm which by virtue of any covenant or agreement or of the custom of the country ought not to be removed from the farm where they are at the time when the bill of sale is made (p). Further, the machinery and effects excluded from the definition of trade machinery in the principal Act are not deemed personal chattels (q).

35. The description of personal chattels in the principal Act is Limitation of only applicable for the purposes of the Bills of Sale Acts (r). Thus, growing crops or fixtures, though separately assigned, are not goods within the doctrine of reputed ownership in bankruptcy, while trade debts, which are choses in action and as such excluded from the definition of personal chattels in the principal Act, are within that rule (s). And it is only for the purposes of the Bills of Sale Acts

that trade machinery is deemed to be personal chattels (t).

36. The subject-matter of bills of sale by way of security is specific restricted by certain provisions of the amending Act which require, in order that a bill of sale by way of security should have effect which grantor is true owner. against persons other than the grantor, that the personal chattels to which it relates should be capable of specific description, and should be specifically described in the schedule annexed to the bill of sale (a), and that the grantor should be the true owner of such personal chattels at the time of its execution (b). These provisions are subject to certain exceptions in the case of growing crops, fixtures, plant, and trade machinery (c).

37. As a bill of sale by way of security for money is void unless What may it is in accordance with the form required by the amending Act (d), be assigned by way of and as, according to this statutory form, the bill of sale must be an security, assignment of the chattels and things described in the schedule (e), articles which do not come within the description of personal chattels cannot be included in a bill of sale by way of security (f).

```
(p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.
```

⁽q) Ibid., 8. 5.

⁽r) Meux v. Jacobs (1875), I. R. 7 H. L. 481.

^(*) See title BANKRUPICY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 173, 174. (*) See title BANKRUPICY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 173, 174. (*) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 5. (a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 4.

⁽b) Ibid., s. 5.

⁽c) Ibid., s. 6; and see pp. 22 et seq., post.

⁽d) Ibid., s. 9.

⁽e) Ibid., Schedule. The expression "chattels and things" in the scheduled form seems to be synonymous with "personal chattels" as defined in the principal Act.

⁽f) Cochrane v. Entwistle (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 116.

SECT. 1. In General. Thus, a bill of sale by way of security which includes tillages, tenant right, valuation, or chattels real in its schedule, is not in accordance with the statutory form, and is therefore void (g); and if any of the other articles excluded from the definition of personal chattels, as for instance book debts, are comprised in such bill of sale, the result would seem to be the same.

Deeds.

Deeds, however, may be included in the schedule of a bill of sale by way of security, if they are assigned as chattels personal, that is, merely as parchment and wax, and not with the intention of creating a charge upon the land (h).

Choses in action.

38. The assignment of a share in a partnership, including plant and stock (i), the assignment of rights under a hire agreement with authority to exercise all the powers it confers (k), or the assignment of a reversionary right to chattels subject to a prior life interest (l), are all assignments of choses in action, to which the Bills of Sale Acts do not apply. But the assignment of a debt arising under an unregistered mortgage of chattels appears to confer no security on the chattels without registration (m).

Void bill of sale may be valid in other respects.

39. Although an instrument purporting to assign by way of security property other than personal chattels is void as a bill of sale, it may, nevertheless, be valid as regards property not deemed to be personal chattels within the meaning of the principal Act, as, for example, machinery and effects excluded from the definition of trade machinery (n).

Sect. 2.—Chattels capable of Complete Transfer by Delivery.

Cases to which Acts apply.

40. Goods, furniture, and other articles which are capable of complete transfer by delivery are personal chattels within the meaning of the principal Act, as well as in the ordinary sense. The Acts apply only when such chattels, though capable of complete transfer by delivery, have not been actually delivered (o), and do not affect absolute transfers completed by delivery (p).

Plant.

41. Some articles which are capable of complete transfer by delivery may constitute plant within the meaning of the amending And if plant is assigned by a bill of sale in substitution for like plant which is specifically described in the schedule thereto.

⁽g) Cochrane v. Entwistle (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 116.
(h) Swanley Coal Co. v. Denton, [1906] 2 K. B. 873.
(i) Re Bainbridge, Ex parte Fletcher (1878), 8 Ch. D. 218.
(k) Re Davis & Co., Ex parte Rawlings (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 193; Re Isaacson, Ex parte Mason, [1895] 1 Q. B. 333.
(l) Re Tritton, Ex parte Singleton (1889), 61 L. T. 301.
(m) Jarvis v. Jarvis (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 10.
(n) Re Rundett Ex parte Runne (1888), 20 Q. R. D. 310.

⁽n) Re Burdett, Ex parte Byrne (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 310.

⁽o) Brantom v. Griffits (1877), 2°C. P. D. 212.

⁽p) See p. 7, ante. (q) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6 (2). Plant includes tools, appliances, apparatus, and, it seems, even animals used in carrying on trade upon particular premises, e.g., a horse used for turning a mill (London and Eastern Counties Loan and Discount Co. v. Creasey, [1897] 1 Q. B. 768, per CHITTY, L.J., at p. 771).

such bill of sale is excepted from the provisions of the amending Act with regard to specific description in the schedule and true ownership, and will be valid against third parties as well as against the grantor, although the substituted plant is not specifically described in the schedule, and although the grantor was not the true owner of such substituted plant at the time of the execution of the bill of sale (r), provided that the bill of sale is in accordance with the form prescribed by the schedule (s).

SECT. 2. Chattels capable of Complete Transfer by Delivery.

SECT. 3.—Growing Crops.

42. Growing crops (a) become, when severed (b), personal chattels When in the ordinary sense, and an assignment of them requires registra-They are personal chattels when separately assigned or charged, that is to say, apart from the land, but not when assigned bill of sale. together with any interest in the land on which they grow (d), and they may none the less be separately assigned, though other goods are assigned with them (e).

of growing

An assignment of growing crops by separate words, or a power to What sever and sell them apart from the land, does not of itself operate as a separate assignment, if by the same instrument any freehold assignment. or leasehold interest in the land is also conveyed or assigned to the same person (f).

separate

If growing crops which are separately assigned or charged were Assignment actually growing at the time when the bill of sale was executed, ther are excepted from the provisions of the amending Act, which, growing. as against third parties, avoid a bill of sale given by way of security, in respect of chattels not specifically described in the schedule, or of which the grantor was not the true owner at the time when the bill of sale was executed (g).

actually

An assignment of an authority to let and manage a farm, coupled with an irrevocable appointment as auctioneer and salesman to dispose of the lettings, grazings, and meadowings, and out of the proceeds to retain advances, commission, and interest, is not a bill of sale, as it involves no assurance of growing crops as chattels (h).

Assignment of lettings etc.

(a) Growing crops were not within the Bills of Sale Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 36), s. 7. The expression "produce" in that Act meant produce received from the land (Brantom v. Griffits (1877), 2 C. P. D. 212).
(b) No assignee under any bill of sale, nor any purchaser, of farm crops may dispose of them in any other manner than the tenant ought to have done (sale of Farming Stock Act, 1816 (56 Geo. 3, c. 50), s. 11). The grantee of cops growing on premises which the grantor surrenders to his landlord is only entitled to the crops subject to the grantor's liabilities as tenant (Clements v. Matthews (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 808). See titles AGRICULTURE, Vol. I., p. 275; LANDLORD AND TENANT.

(c) Re Phillips, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 16 Ch. D. 104. For form of bill of sale by way of mortgage of growing crops, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., p. 790.

(d) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; and see p. 21, ante.

(c) Roberts v. Roberts (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794. (f) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 7. (g) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43),

m. 4, 5, 6; and see pp. 27—30, post. (h) Coonan v. O'Connor, [1903] 1 L. R. 449.

⁽r) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6 (2).

BILLS OF SALE.

SECT. 4. Fixtures.

SECT. 4.—Fixtures.

How far assignments of fixtures are bills of sale.

43. The Bills of Sale Acts do not affect mortgages or charges on realty or leaseholds, by which, without express mention, there will pass, as part of the land, fixtures affixed before the mortgage or during its continuance (i); and the same is true under certain conditions with regard to fixed trade machinery (k).

When fixtures are personal chattels.

44. Fixtures are personal chattels within the Acts, though not for other purposes (l), when separately assigned or charged, that is apart from the land (m), but not, except in the case of trade machinery, when conveyed or assigned together with a freehold or leasehold interest in any land or building to which they are affixed (n).

Separate assignment of fixtures.

They may be separately assigned though other goods are assigned with them (o); but are not deemed separately assigned or charged by reason only that power is given to sever them from the land or building to which they are affixed, without otherwise taking possession of, or dealing with, such land or building, if by the same instrument any freehold or leasehold interest in the land or building to which such fixtures are affixed is also conveyed or assigned to the same persons or person (p).

The same rule of construction is applicable to deeds or instruments, including fixtures or growing crops executed before, as well

as after, the commencement of the principal Act (p).

Fixtures substituted for fixtures assigned.

45. If fixtures are separately assigned or charged by a bill of sale by way of security in substitution for like fixtures which are specifically described in the schedule thereto, such bill of sale is excepted from the provisions of the amending Act with regard to specific description in the schedule and true ownership (q). bill of sale will, therefore, be valid against third parties, as well as against the grantor, although the substituted fixtures were not specifically described in the schedule, and although the grantor was not the true owner of such substituted fixtures at the time of the execution of the bill of sale (r), provided that the bill of sale is in accordance with the form prescribed by the schedule (s).

Fixtures on mortgaged premises.

46. Before the principal Act, a grantee by bill of sale of fixtures annexed to mortgaged premises had no title against the mortgagee (t), and the same appears still to be the law (u).

(i) Meux v. Jacobs (1875), L. R. 7 H. L. 481. See title Mortgage.

(1) Meux v. Jacobs, supra. (m) Climpson v. Coles (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 465. (n) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.

(o) Roberts v. Roberts (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794.

(r) Ibid., s. 6 (s) Ibid., s. 9.

(t) Longbottom v. Berry (1869), L. R. 5 Q. B. 123.

⁽k) See p. 26, post. Although some fixed effects are named, other fixtures may, without express mention, pass with the land (Southport and West Lancashire Banking Co. v. Thompson (1887), 37 Ch. D. 64).

⁽p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 7. (q) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), es. 4, 5.

⁽u) Reynolds v. Ashby & Son, [1904] A. C. 466. See title MORTGAGE.

SECT. 5.—Trade Machinery.

SECT. 5. Trade

47. Trade machinery, which, as defined by the principal Act (a), means the machinery used in or attached to any factory or workshop (b), with certain exceptions (c), is, for the purposes of the Act, How far trade to be deemed to be personal chattels, and any mode of disposition machinery is of trade machinery by the owner thereof which would be a bill of personal sale as to any other personal chattels is to be deemed to be a bill of sale within the meaning of the Act (d). The definition has relation to fixed trade machinery, loose machinery being personal chattels

Machinery.

apart from the Act.

and fixtures (h).

Certain fixed machinery and effects are excluded from the statutory Excepted definition (e), and such excluded machinery and effects are not to machinery. be deemed to be personal chattels within the meaning of the Acts for any purpose, even though not assigned with land, or though affixed to land belonging to a third party (f). A bill of sale may give an effective security over machinery or effects so excluded, although void as regards things within the definition of personal chattels (q).

If trade machinery becomes subject to a bill of sale in substitu- Substituted tion for like trade machinery, which is specifically described in the machinery. schedule thereto, such bill of sale is excepted from the provisions of the amending Act with regard to specific description in the schedule and true ownership, to the same extent as in the case of plant

48. Trade machinery being, for the purposes of bills of sale, Separate personal chattels, and therefore not falling within the description of assignment fixtures, is not exempted by the principal Act (i) from the operation machinery. of the rule which prevailed before the Act, according to which an

(a) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 5.
(b) "Factory or workshop" means any premises on which any manual labour is exercised by way of trade, or for purposes of gain, in or incidental to the following purposes or any of them; that is to say, (a) in or incidental to the making any article or part of an article; or (b) in or incidental to the altering, repairing, ornamenting, finishing, of any article; or (c) in or incidental to the adapting for sale any article (ibid.).

(c) These are (1) the fixed motive-powers, such as the water-wheels and steam-engines, and the steam boilers, donkey engines, and other fixed appurtenances of the said motive-powers; and (2) the fixed power machinery, such as the shafts, wheels, drums, and their fixed appurtenances, which transmit the action of the motive-powers to the other machinery, fixed and loose; and (3) the pipes for steam, gas, and water in the factory or workshop. machinery and effects so excluded are not to be deemed to be personal chattels

within the meaning of the Act (ibid.).

(a) Ibid. But the expression "deemed to be a bill of sale" in the principal Act has been held to make the instruments to which the expression is applied bills of sale for the purpose of registration, and not for all purposes of the Act (Green v. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330); and a similar interpretation would probably be placed upon the expression "deemed to be personal chattels."

(*) See note (c), supra.

(f) Topham v. Greenside Glazed Fire-Brick Co. (1887), 37 Ch. D. 281.

(1) Re Burdett, Ex parte Byrne (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 310.

(i) Bills of Sale Act. 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 7.

⁽h) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6; and see pp. 22, 24, ante.

BILLS OF SALE.

SECT. 5. Trade Machinery. assignment of fixtures by separate words (k), or with a power of sale apart from the land (l), operated as a separate assignment, and the Bills of Sale Acts apply to a disposition of trade machinery. whether disposed of alone, or together with land, where the grantee takes an interest in trade machinery distinct from the land or buildings to which it is affixed, or has power to sell the machinery separately; and to be effectual such a disposition must be by bill of sale (m).

The statutory powers of a mortgagee (n) to sell mortgaged property, or any part thereof, either together or in lots, does not, of itself, give a power to sell separately trade machinery subject to

a mortgage, or make the mortgage a bill of sale (o).

Machinery passing with land.

Fixed trade machinery may, without express mention, pass as part of mortgaged premises, whether freehold or leasehold; and if there is no disposition of trade machinery as such, nor any power to sell separately, registration is unnecessary (o). But an intention to give a power to sell trade machinery, apart from the premises, may be collected from the whole instrument, even though no express power of separate sale is given, in which case, as regards the trade machinery, the disposition is a bill of sale (p).

Trade machinery assigned with other property.

49. A deposit of the deeds of property may charge trade machinery fixed thereto, though coupled with an agreement to give an absolute transfer (q); and though trade machinery is scheduled to a mortgage, it may still pass as part of the land (r); but an agreement for security over premises, with everything erected or placed thereon, which would not require registration under the Acts, has been held not to extend to trade machinery (s).

SECT. 6.—The Schedule, Description of Chattels.

Whenschedule requisite.

50. A schedule is not essential to the validity of absolute bills of sale, but the amending Act requires that every bill of sale given by way of security for the payment of money shall have annexed thereto or written thereon a schedule containing an inventory of the personal chattels comprised in the bill of sale; and such bill of sale, with certain exceptions (t), shall have effect only in respect of the

⁽k) Begbie v. Fenwick (1871), 24 L. T. 58; Hawtry v. Butlin (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 290.

⁽¹⁾ Re Wilde, Ex parte Daglish (1873), 8 Ch. App. 1072; Re Joyce, Ex parte Barclay (1874), 9 Ch. App. 576; Re Eslick, Ex parte Alexander (1876), 4 Ch. D. 503; Johns v. Ware, [1899] 1 Ch. 359.
(m) Re Yates (1888), 38 Ch. D. 112.

⁽n) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 19. (o) Re Yates, supra. Necessary parts of machinery, though detachable, will also pass without registration (Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Benefit

Building Society v. Harrison (1884), 15 Q. B. D. 358).

(p) Small v. National Provincial Bank of England, [1894] 1 Ch. 686, where registration was required of an assignment of trade machinery by separate words. (q) Re Lusty, Ex parte Lusty v. Official Receiver (1889), 60 L. T. 160. The deposit of an unregistered assignment of leaseholds and fixtures did not formerly

charge the latter (Re Trethowan, Ex parte Tweedy (1877), 5 Ch. D. 559). (r) Re Brooke, [1894] 2 Ch. 600.
(s) Re London and Lancashire Paper Mills Co., Ltd. (1888), 58 L. T. 798.

⁽t) I.e., the exceptions from the requirements of true ownership and specific

personal chattels specifically described in such schedule; and shall be void, except as against the grantor, in respect of any personal chattels not so specifically described (a). This schedule must be attached at or before the time the bill of sale is executed (b), as it appears to form part of the deed (c), though the statutory form itself does not set out a schedule (d).

SECT. 6. The Schedule, Description of Chattels.

The principal Act requires that a copy of every schedule or inven- Registration tory annexed to, or referred to in, a bill of sale shall be registered (e), of schedule. and registration of a copy schedule is sufficient, notwithstanding the destruction of the original (f).

51. A further provision of the amending Act, besides requiring a Chattels not schedule, makes it necessary that a bill of sale given by way of capable of security for money should be in a prescribed form, and a bill of sale description. cannot comply with this condition if it includes chattels which are not capable of specific description (g).

A bill of sale, given by way of security for the payment of money, Extent of which is without a schedule, or which comprises chattels incapable avoidance if of specific description, is void; but this result does not follow merely from the want of specific description, in the schedule, of personal chattels comprised in the bill of sale which are capable of specific Thus, a bill of sale, according with the form, but with a schedule not specifically describing some chattels assigned, is not wholly void; but, except as against the grantor, it is of no effect in respect of chattels not specifically described (q). The rule avoiding bills of sale, given by way of security, as against parties other than the grantor, in respect of chattels comprised therein of which the grantor is not true owner, or which are not specifically described in the schedule, is subject to certain exceptions (h) in the case of growing crops, or substituted fixtures, plant, or trade machinery (i).

52. The provision that personal chattels shall be specifically What described means that they must be described in the schedule with description the particularity usual in an inventory of chattels, in the ordinary business sense of the term, in such a place as they are (k).

The description should be sufficient to distinguish the class of chattels assigned (l), but where the bill of sale comprises all chattels

description in the schedule, in the case of plant, growing crops, fixtures, and trade machinery (Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 45), s. 6). See pp. 23 et seq., ante.
(a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 4.

(b) Griffin v. Union Deposit Bank (1887), 3 T. L. R. 608.

(d) See p. 34, post.

(f) Green v. Attenborough (1864), 3 H. & C. 468.

(k) Witt v. Banner (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 114.

¹⁾ Roberts v. Roberts (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794, where the description "household furniture and effects" was held insufficient. A description of a bath-chair



⁽c) Melville v. Stringer (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 132; reversed on other grounds (1884) 13 Q. B. D. 392. For an instance of general words being cut down by the schedule, see Wood v. Rowcliffe (1851), 6 Exch. 407; of a schedule being limited by the deed, Re McManus, Ex parte Jardine (1875), 10 Ch. App. 322.

⁽e) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2); and see p. 46, post.

⁽⁴⁾ Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506.
(h) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6. (i) See pp. 23 et seq., unte.

SECT. 6. The Schedule. Description of Chattels. on the premises, a less detailed description is sufficient, and it may not be necessary to describe each article (m), especially in the case of chattels in a particular room at a private house (n).

A mere description by number will not, in general, be sufficient in the case of stock, or where there may be other articles of the like Where in the ordinary course chattels kind on the premises (o). are, as in the case of stock, replaced or substituted, more particularity is required than with articles, such as furniture in a private house, which are not changed with frequency (p).

Proof of insufficient description required.

53. To support an objection to a description of chattels, on the ground that it is not sufficiently specific, it must be shown either that, from the nature of the description, the articles cannot be identified, or that, in the particular case, the chattels themselves are incapable of identification (q).

The bill of sale and schedule need not describe the premises where

the goods are (r).

SECT. 7.—Chattels of which the Grantor is not True Owner.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- After-acquired Chattels.

Assignments of future property.

54. The amending Act provides that, save as therein mentioned (a), a bill of sale by way of security shall be void, except as against the grantor, in respect of any personal chattels specifically described in the schedule thereto, of which the grantor was not the true owner at the time of the execution of the bill of sale (b). This provision prevents a security by bill of sale being given over chattels which are to be afterwards acquired (c); but its operation is not limited to bills of sale of after-acquired property.

The provision, like that with regard to the specific description of

as a four-wheeled carriage and set of cloth cushions has been held sufficient (Edwards v. Marston (1890), 64 L. T. 97), and similarly of a premier "plating" machine by clerical error for "platen" (Simmons v. Huyhes (1890), 6 T. L. R. 443).

⁽m) Davidson v. Carlton Bank, [1893] 1 Q. B. 82, where a description by reference to a catalogue of books in a library was upheld on the ground that the books could be identified without the catalogue; Jones v. Roberts (1890), 34 Sol. Jo. 254, where the description "all my farming stock, comprising four horses, five cows," was held sufficient.

⁽n) Cooper v. Huggins (1889), 34 Sol. Jo. 96 (twelve oil paintings in gilt

⁽o) Witt v. Banner (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 114, where a description by number of a stock of oil paintings and water-colours in gilt frames, and unframed, was held insufficient; Curpenter v. Deen (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 566, where a description of farm stock as "twenty-one milch cows" was held insufficient. But it is otherwise if there is nothing to show that the grantor had other stock capable of answering the description (*Hickley v. Greenwood* (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 277, where a description "brown mare and foal, three rade carts," was held sufficient).

⁽p) Davies v. Jenkins, [1900] 1 Q. B. 133, where a description as "stock, two horses, four cows," was held insufficient.

⁽q) Hickley v. Greenwood, supra. (r) Re Lane, Ex parte Hill (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 74. (a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6.

⁽c) For charges on after-acquired property, see Tailby v. Official Receiver (1888), 13 App. Cas. 523, and title MORTGAGE.

chattels in the schedule, must be read with, and is subject to, the further provision of the amending Act, requiring every bill of sale by way of security to be in a prescribed form (d); it does not warrant any departure from that form, and therefore a bill of sale purporting to assign future property by way of security will be altogether void (e). But if to a bill of sale in the statutory form there is appended a schedule specifically describing chattels of which the grantor is not true owner, there is a limited avoidance; the bill of sale as regards such chattels is void against third parties, but valid as against the grantor (f).

SECT. 7. Chattels of which the Grantor is not True Owner.

Dispositions of chattels otherwise than by way of security are Absolute disnot affected by the amending Act, and are governed by the ordinary positions. law of contract (q).

55. The rules imposed by the amending Act with regard to true Growing ownership at the time of the execution of the bill of sale, and to the crops etc. specific description of chattels in the schedule, are subject to exceptions (1) in the case of growing crops separately assigned or charged, where such crops were actually growing at the time when the bill of sale was executed (h), and (2) in the case of fixtures separately assigned or charged (i), plant (k), or trade machinery (l), when they are in substitution for like fixtures, plant, or trade machinery which are specifically described in the schedule (m). And bills Substitutions. of sale, if in the statutory form, of such growing crops, and bills of sale with a schedule contemplating the substitution of fixtures, plant (n), or trade machinery for those assigned, will be valid as against third parties as well as against the grantor, although the grantor was not the true owner of such growing crops or substituted articles, and although they are not specifically described in the schedule (o).

But the Act will not protect additions to the property assigned, Additions. and does not warrant any departure from the scheduled form of bill of sale (p).

A bill of sale, however, may provide for the replacement of Replacements. articles damaged or worn out (q); and may contain an assignment

(q) Seed v. Bradley, [1894] 1 Q. B. 319.

⁽d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 9.

⁽e) Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506.

(f) Ibid., per Lord Halsbury, L.C., at p. 511, affirming Kelly & Co. v. Kellond (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 569, per Fry, L.J., at p. 574.

(g) Re Reis, Ex parte Clough, [1904] 2 K. B. 769, where a settlement of all future property, including chattels, other than business assets, was upheld; and see title CONTRACT.

⁽h) See p. 23, ante.
(i) See p. 24, ante.

⁽k) See p. 23, ante.

⁽¹⁾ See p. 25, ante.
(m) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 6.
(n) The word "plant" refers to plant in a particular place. So, although animals may be plant, horses used in a cab proprietor's business are not (London and Eastern Counties Loan and Discount Co. v. Creasey, [1897] 1 Q. B. 768).

⁽o) Thomas v. Kelly, supra.
(p) Itid., Kelly & Co. v. Kellond, supra, per FRY, L.J., at p. 573.

SECT. 7. Chattels of which the Grantor is not True Owner.

Who is true owner.

Legal or equitable. interest.

Joint grantors. of articles so substituted for chattels specifically described in the schedule (r).

SUB-SECT. 2.-Who is True Owner.

56. A bill of sale is avoided, except as against the grantor, where he is not the true owner, in the ordinary sense of the term, of the chattels assigned, even though, apart from the Act, the bill of sale might operate by estoppel or otherwise. Thus, if the grantor has previously parted with the chattels by gift or absolute unregistered bill of sale, he is no longer the true owner (s). But where the previous bill of sale is by way of security only, the grantor retains an interest or equity of redemption in the chattels, and being, in respect of that interest, the true owner, can give a subsequent bill of sale (t).

So the possessor of a legal interest in chattels may be the true owner, though another person is equitably entitled (a); and a person beneficially interested in chattels is, for the purposes of the section, the true owner to the extent of his interest (b).

Where registration of a bill of sale has, under the amending Act, become void for want of renewal(c), the grantor again becomes the true owner, and as such can give another bill of sale (d).

Where two persons are each entitled separately to a part of chattels which are jointly assigned by them, it would appear that they are not true owners of such chattels so as to make their joint assignment of them a valid bill of sale (e).

Part V.—Statutory Requirements.

Sect. 1.—Consideration.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Minimum Amount.

Amount of consideration.

57. A bill of sale made or given in consideration of any sum under £30 is void (f).

In order that the bill of sale may be valid, it would seem that there must be a real advance, debt, or equivalent to the amount Thus, where there was an advance of £30, with an agreement to repay £15 on demand, and the balance by instalments,

(s) Tuck v. Southern Counties Deposit Bank (1889), 42 Ch. D. 471. (t) Thomas v. Searles, [1891] 2 Q. B. 408; Usher v. Martin (1889), 24 Q.`Ś. D. 272.

(c) As to renewal see p. 52, post.
(d) Fenton v. Blythe (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 417.

e) Saunders v. White, [1902] 1 K. B. 472. (f) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 12.

⁽r) Coates v. Moore, [1903] 2 K. B. 140. Substituted articles appear not to be covered without an assignment (Carpenter v. Deen (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 566); but articles substituted for others by agreement have been held to pass (Cooper v. Tatham (1866), 15 L. T. 218).

⁽a) Re Sarl, Ex parte Williams, [1892] 2 Q. B. 591.
(b) Re Field, Ex parte Pratt (1890), 63 L. T. 289 (bill of sale by husband having possible right to chattels by survivorship); Re Tamplin & Son, Ex parte Barnett (1890), 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 194 (bill of sale, by one partner, of joint property, co-partner consenting).

the transaction not being a sham, and there being no understanding that the £15 should at once be returned, it was held that the requirements of the Act were satisfied (g). But it is questionable whether this case has any general application, for in later cases (h) it has been held that the agreement to repay part on demand cannot be expressed in the bill of sale, or made a collateral stipulation.

SECT. 1. Consideration.

The amount of a promissory note, including a bonus or interest, payable by instalments the time for payment of which has not arrived, cannot be added to a present advance so as to make up a consideration of £30, where the sum lent on the promissory note and the new advance do not together equal £30 (i).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Statement of Consideration.

58. The principal Act (k) provides that every bill of sale to which Effect of misit applies shall set forth the consideration for which such bill of sale stating the was given; and the amending Act, which does not affect bills of sale given otherwise than as security, requires that every bill of sale shall truly set forth the consideration for which it was given (1).

consideration.

The addition of the word "truly" is verbal only, as in each case the true consideration must be set forth; but an untrue statement of consideration in an absolute bill of sale only partially avoids it, leaving it valid as between the parties (m); while, if the consideration is mis-stated in a bill of sale subject to the amending Act, it becomes altogether void in respect of the personal chattels comprised therein (n).

Although the form of bill of sale scheduled to the amending Act requires a consideration to be inserted, a mis-statement of consideration does not make the bill of sale otherwise than in accordance with the statutory form, so as to avoid the agreements it contains (o).

59. The facts respecting the consideration should be set forth Verbal with substantial accuracy, according to their legal or mercantile inaccuracies. business effect(p). A verbal inaccuracy, when not, intentionally or otherwise, misleading, does not amount to mis-statement of consideration (a); nor does mere surplusage (b).

Where a bill of sale is, wholly or in part, given to secure an Present existing debt, the legal or business effect may be a new lending on payment. the terms of the bill of sale; and the consideration, being the debt,

⁽g) Davis v. Usher (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 490.

⁽h) See pp. 33, 38, post.

⁽i) Darlow v. Bland, [1897] 1 Q. B. 125.

⁽k) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8.

⁽i) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. s. 43), s. 8.

⁽m) Davis v. Goodman (1880), 5 C. P. D. 128; and see p. 55, post.
(n) Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. 43), s. 8; and see p. 53, post.
(c) Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547.

⁽p) Credit Co. v. Pott (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 295.
(a) Hughes v. Little (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 32; Roberts v. Roberts (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794; Collis v. Tuson (1882), 46 L. T. 387; Re Chapman, Exparte Johnson (1884), 26 Ch. D. 338.

⁽b) Re Fothergill, Ex parte Winter (1881), 44 L. T. 323.

SECT. 1. Consideration.

or debt and further advance, may be stated as now paid (c). if the consideration is stated as now paid, there must be present payment in a legal or business sense (\bar{d}) . A consideration, part in cash, and part by the grantee's bills of exchange, cannot be stated as money now paid (e). Nor can it be stated as now paid where the money intended to be advanced is on deposit at the grantee's bankers', and is not received by the grantor till a later period (f). If part of the consideration agreed to be paid is not handed over until some days afterwards, it cannot be stated as paid at or before the execution of the deed (g).

But the consideration is truly stated as paid to the grantor, though it is contributed by different persons, and lent by cheques to the order of the grantor and a third party, and paid into a joint account, to be applied for the purposes of a composition, as set forth

in the bill of sale (h).

Time of payment.

A statement of payment does not necessarily mean a present payment (i), but if the time of payment is stated, it should be so set forth as not to be misleading (k). Where, however, an advance is made on the faith of a bill of sale being given, an error in stating the date of payment is not material (1). But a consideration which consists in part of a present advance, and in part of an old debt, is said not to be truly set forth as money now due and owing (m).

Statement must be complete.

60. The statement of consideration should show the whole transaction on the face of it, and must not be subject to any collateral But it is unnecessary to set forth a stipulation agreement (n). relating to the disposal of the consideration (o); and if that is paid away by the grantee, at the grantor's request, in satisfaction of existing debts owing by the grantor to third parties, the statement of consideration as now paid to him is correct (p).

The same statement will be sufficient, though the consideration

Immediate repayment to grantee.

- (c) Credit Co. v. Pott (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 295, where the sum recited as now paid was the balance due in respect of previous advances, no money passing at the time when the bill was executed; Re Hockaday, Ex parte Nelson (1886), 55 L. T. 819, where the consideration consisted of previous advances and interest; Re Roper, Ex parte Bolland (1882), 21 Ch. D. 543; Staniforth v. Capon (1886), 2 T. L. R. 493, where the consideration was unpaid purchase-money. Compare Re Young, Ex parte Berwick (1880), 43 L. T. 576, which seems not to be law.
 - (d) See further as to what amounts to payment generally, title CONTRACT.
 (e) Re Moore, Ex parte Official Receiver (1897), 4 Mans. 51.
 (f) Criddle v. Scott (1895), 11 T. L. R. 222.

(g) Re Spindler, Ex parte Rolph (1881), 19 Ch. D. 98. (h) Peace v. Brookes, [1895] 2 Q. B. 451. Several persons advancing money at different times and in different proportions are entitled to take a bill of sale to one of them as collector, the consideration being set forth as now paid by him (Re Smith, Ex parte Tarbuck (1894), 72 L. T. 59).

i) Carrard v. Meek (1880), 43 L. T. 760.

(k) Re Threappleton, Ex parte Carter (1879), 12 Ch. D. 908. (h) Re Chapman, Ex parte Johnson, (1884) 26 Ch. D. 338; Re Munday, Ex parte Allam (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 43 (money paid some days previously, stated

(m) Davies v. Jenkins, [1900] 1 Q. B. 133. (n) Sharp v. McHenry (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427.

- (o) Re Haynes, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42.
- (p) Richardson v. Harris (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 268; Hamlyn v. Betteley (1880), 5 C. P. D. 327.

or part of it is repaid to, or at the grantor's request retained by, the grantee to satisfy a debt then due to him, provided that such debt exists irrespective of the contract for the loan (q); but a sum cannot be correctly described as now paid to the grantor if it includes money deducted or paid away without his consent (r).

SECT. 1. Consideration.

61. If there is no debt or liability independent of the contract of Money loan, and irrespective of the agreement to make the payment, the retained. consideration is not truly stated as money now paid (s), or money owing (a), where the debt or liability is deducted or retained.

The liability of the grantor for expenses relating to the bill Expenses of of sale does not arise independently of the transaction, and there the transis no debt in respect of them due or payable by him until after the execution of the bill of sale. Therefore, if such expenses, or any interest or commission on the transaction, are retained by the grantee or deducted on his behalf, they cannot be stated as part of the consideration paid to the grantor (b).

If a solicitor, employed by both grantee and grantor, receives the When inwhole consideration on behalf of the latter, retention by the solicitor of cluded in the the costs or expenses, with the grantor's consent, is not inconsistent with the statement that the consideration was paid to the grantor (c).

And the consideration is truly stated as having been paid to the grantor, though, after execution of the bill of sale and receipt of the consideration, the grantor voluntarily pays the expenses (d);

(q) Thomas v. Searles, [1891] 2 Q. B. 408; Re Davies, Ex parte Equitable Investment Co. (1897), 77 L. T. 567, where part of the advance was repaid to satisfy a former bill of sale; Re Wiltshire, Ex parte Eynon, [1900] 1 Q. B. 96, where the money was paid to retire the grantor and grantee's joint and several promissory note current in the hands of third parties. But the retention of part of the consideration against the grantee's liability on current bills, which he agreed to hear and did now for the granton's accompanyed to the consideration against the granton's accompanyed to the granton's pay, and did pay, for the grantor's accommodation, does not support a statement of money now paid (Mayer and Fulda v. Mindlevich (1888), 59 L. T. 400).

(r) Bishop v. Consolidated Credit Corporation (1889), 5 T. L. R. 378. (s) Richardson v. Harris (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 268, where part of the consideration was retained in satisfaction of the grantor's current acceptances,

future hire of furniture, and agreed expenses.

(a) Cochrane v. Moore (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 57, per FRY, L.J., at p. 73, where a consideration, stated as £7,575 then owing, but representing in fact the grantor's agreed liability on current bills for a larger amount, was held untruly set forth, as any agreement settling the liability should have been stated; Darlow v. Bland, [1897] 1 Q. B. 125, where a consideration, stated as money then owing on a promissory note, and a sum then paid, was held not to be truly stated, as the note, which was payable by instalments not then due, represented interest as well as advance, and no agreement was set out to treat the liability as a present debt.

(b) Re Cowburn, Ex parte Firth (1882), 19 Ch. D. 419, where thirty shillings were deducted for expenses; Hamilton v. Chaine (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 319, where a deduction for expenses and commission was made; Re Parker, Ex parte Charing Cross Advance and Deposit Bank (1880), 16 Ch. D. 35, where the deed contained a receipt in full, but a deduction where £3 10s.

The Spindler, Ex parte Rolph (1881), 19 Ch. D. 98, where £3 10s. were deducted for expenses; Re Gordon, Ex parte Bernstein (1883), 74 L. T. Jo. 245. where the amount of stamps was deducted. These decisions qualify earlier cases, in which the rule as here set forth was not fully recognised. See Re Haynes, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42, and Be Regers, Ex parte Challinor (1880), 16 Ch. D. 260.

(c) Re Cann, Ex parte Hunt (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 36.

(d) Cochrane v. Dixon (1887), 3 T. L. R. 717.

Digitized by Google

D

SECT. 1. Consideration.

but it seems that if, before the bill of sale, it is stipulated that he shall do so out of the sum received, the whole cannot be stated as paid to him (e).

SECT. 2.—Form of Bill of Sale.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- When Statutory Form requisite.

Bills of sale which must be in statutory form.

62. An absolute bill of sale is not required to be in any particular form, but a bill of sale made or given by way of security for the payment of money by the grantor thereof is void (f), unless made in accordance with the form in the schedule to the amending Act annexed (g); and this is the case, even though it purports to be an absolute assurance (h).

This rule extends to all the instruments which come within the definition of bills of sale in the principal Act if given by way of security, but it does not extend to those instruments giving powers of distress which by the principal Act are to be deemed to be bills of sale (i); and such instruments, although given by way of security, are not required to be in the statutory form (k).

If an instrument which comes within the description of a bill of sale in the principal Act cannot be reduced to the statutory form, it cannot be given by way of security at all, nor will possession taken and retained under an instrument avoided by this provision of the amending Act protect the goods (l).

Effect of bill of sale not in statutory form.

63. A bill of sale which is void as not being made in accordance with the statutory form cannot be treated as a licence to take possession (m), and agreements for payment of principal and interest

(e) Cohen v. Higgins (1891), 8 T. L. R. 8.

(i) See p. 14, ante.

(k) Green v. Marsh, [1892] 2 Q. B. 330.

(1) Re Townsend, Ex parte Parsons (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 532. (m) Griffin v. Union Deposit Bank (1887), 3 T. L. R 608.

⁽f) Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506.
(g) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43). s. 9.
The schedule annexed is in the following form: "FORM OF BILL OF SALE. This indenture made the day of between A. B. of of the other part, witnesseth that in consideration one part, and C. D. of of the sum of £ now paid to A. B. by C. D., the receipt of which the said A. B. hereby acknowledges [or whatever else the consideration may be], he the said A. B. doth hereby assign unto C. D., his executors, administrators, and assigns, all and singular the several chattels and things specifically described in the schedule hereto annexed by way of security for the payment of the sum of £, and interest thereon at the rate of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum [or whatever else may be the rate]. And the said A. B. doth further agree and declare that he will duly pay to the said C. D. the principal sum aforesaid, together with the interest then due, by equal $\frac{1}{2}$ payments of £ on rest then due, by equal payments of £ on [or whatever else may be the stipulated times or time of day of payment]. And the said A. B. doth also agree with the said C. D. that he will [here insert terms as to insurance, payment of rent, or otherwise, which the parties may agree to for the maintenance or defeasunce of the security]. Provided always, that the chattels hereby assigned shall not be liable to seizure or to be taken possession of by the said C. D. for any cause other than those specified in section seven of the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882. In witness, etc. Signed and sealed by the said A. B. in the presence of me E. F. [add witness's name, address, and description]."

(h) Madell v. Thomas & Co., [1891] 1 Q. B. 230.

contained in such a bill of sale are also void, where no assignment

of property other than personal chattels is included (n).

A bill of sale, like other contracts (o), may be divisible, and may be good in part, though otherwise void. Thus, a bill of sale avoided by this provision of the Act may be effectual in so far as it is an assurance of sale of machinery or effects which are excluded by the principal Act (p) divisible. from the definition of personal chattels (q), or in so far as it is an assurance of leaseholds (r), or of any property other than personal chattels (s).

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale.

When bill

SUB-SECT. 2.—Accordance with Form.

64. To be in accordance with the statutory form, a bill of sale How far must be substantially like it; and a divergence which purports, by variations from statutory addition or omission, to give an effect greater or less than would form are result from the use of the form is substantial and material; for to admissible. be ralid a bill of sale must have the same legal effect, and nothing but the legal effect, of the exact statutory form (t).

For this reason a grantor cannot purport to assign as beneficial owner, for these words would introduce the covenants implied by virtue of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (u), and a different legal effect would be produced from that of the statutory

Every word of the statutory form may not be imperative, but a bill of sale must not depart from any characteristic of that form, even where the same legal effect is produced (b). Thus, the address and description of the attesting witness (c), and the description of the grantee (d), are material parts of the form; and a bill of sale omitting them will be void.

65. If, by reason of a variation in form, the bill of sale is mis- Misleading leading (e), or if its wording produces what has been termed a puzzle, as, for instance, by the use of inconsistent clauses (f), it is not in accordance with the statutory form. But a bill of

```
(h) Davies v. Rees (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 408.
(o) As to this, see title CONTRACT.
```

(1) Re Burber, Ex parte Stanford (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 259. For variations trom and additions to the statutory form which have been held permissible, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., pp. 783 et seq.
(a) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41),

6. 7 (1) (c).

⁽p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 5.

Re Burdett, Ex parte Byrne (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 310; Re Bansha Woollen Mills Co. (1889), 21 L. R. Ir. 181.

⁽r) Re O'Dwyer (1886), 19 L. R. Ir. 19.

⁽⁴⁾ Re Isaacson, Ex parte Mason, [1895] 1 Q. B. 333, where an assignment of rights under a hire agreement was held divisible from a transfer of the chattels hired. But this rule does not make an assurance of personal chattels and other property valid as a separate assignment of the chattels (Cochrane \mathbf{v} . Entwistle (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 116).

⁽⁹⁾ Re Barber, Ex parte Stanford, supra.

⁽b) Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506.

Pursons v. Brand, Coulson v. Dickson (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110; and see

⁽d) Altree v. Altree, [1898], 2 Q. B. 267.

⁽e) Re Barber, Ex parte Stanford, supra.
(f) Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494; Curtis v. National Bank of Wales (1889), 5 T. L. R. 338.

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale.

Immaterial omissions.

sale must be construed like any other legal document (g), and the fact that different courts have not been agreed upon its construction does not necessarily make it misleading (h). It will not be avoided by a verbal omission where the meaning is clear, as by the omission of the word "pounds" after the sum named in the agreement to repay (i), and when it is clear that only a security is given, the omission of the words "by way of security" is merely a formal defect, and the deed will not be avoided (j).

Bill of sale must be complete.

A bill of sale must be complete in itself, and if it has to be read with some collateral agreement it is not in accordance with the statutory form (k).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Analysis of Form.

Parties.

66. The blanks following the names of the respective parties must be filled up with addresses and descriptions (1). There is no provision in the Acts, other than by the statutory form, for stating the names of the parties (m); and if the name, which may be a trade name and description, is stated sufficiently to identify the party, as in any other mercantile document, the form is complied with, in spite of some ambiguity of description (n).

A bill of sale is not avoided merely because the address of the grantor stated therein is one at which he does not reside or carry

on business (o).

Joint parties.

A bill of sale cannot be made by two grantors, not being partners or joint owners, each owning some only of the chattels assigned (p);

(g) Weardale Coal and Iron Co. v. Hodson, [1894] 1 Q. B. 598.

(h) Haslewood v. Consolidated Credit Co. (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 555.

(i) Mourmand v. Le Clair, [1903] 2 K. B. 216.

(j) Roberts v. Roberts (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 794.

(k) Sharp v. McHenry (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427, where a separate document provided for compound interest; Simpson v. Charing Cross Bank (1886), 34 W. R. 568, where a promissory note for principal and aggregate interest was given with a bill of sale payable by instalments; Lee v. Barnes (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 77, where there was an agreement to perform the covenants in a recited deed; Watson v. Strickland (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 391, where the agreement was to pay interest on the mortgages, if any, on the premises where the chattels were or should be.

(1) Altree v. Altree, [1898] 2 Q. B. 267, where the grantees were stated as the Staffordshire Financial Co., Ltd., without address or other description, and the

bill of sale was held void.

(m) Re Wood, Ex parte M'Hattie (1878), 10 Ch. D. 398; Central Bank of London v. Hawkins (1890), 62 L. T. 901, where the assumed name of the grantor was used, the grantee taking in good faith; Stokes v. Spencer, [1900] 2 Q. B. 483, where the real name of the grantor, who was known by an assumed name, was used; Downs v. Salmon (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 775, where the grantor's name was falsified for the purpose of concealment. As to misdescription of

name on registration, see p. 49, post.

(n) Simmons v. Woodward, [1892] A. C. 100, where the grantee was described by his trade name. See also Monson v. Milner (1892), 8 T. L. R. 447.

(o) Dolcini v. Dolcini, [1895] 1 Q. B. 898, where the grantor's club address was given in the bill of sale, though his residential address was given in the affidavit filed with the bill of sale on registration.

(p) Saunders v. White, [1902] 1 K. B. 472. It has been doubted whether partners (ibid.) or a trustee (Melville v. Stringer (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 392, per Bowen, L.J., at p. 401) can give a bill of sale. But a person having only the legal interest can give a bill of sale (Re Sarl, Ex parte Williams, [1892] 2Q. B. 591). and a bill of sale given to several grantees to secure different debts is not in accordance with the statutory form (q).

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale.

67. Some consideration must be inserted, but an untrue statement of consideration is not of itself a defect in form (r).

Consideration.

If the consideration includes a present advance, it appears that the grantor must acknowledge the receipt of the money, as in the scheduled form (s).

68. A bill of sale is not in accordance with the form, if it purports Afterto assign any chattels which are not personal chattels capable of acquired specific description; and an attempt to include tenant right, tillages, and valuation, or any property other than such personal chattels, will avoid the bill of sale (t).

A bill of sale is not in accordance with the form, if it purports to assign any chattel not existing at the date of the execution of the bill of sale. Thus, a bill of sale is void if, together with chattels specifically described, it includes also any other chattels which may become the property of the grantor, and which are, or which may at any time during the continuance of the security come to be, in or about the same or other premises of the grantor, whether in substitution for, renewal of, or in addition to, the chattels thereby assigned (u).

69. The amending Act avoids, except as against the grantor, bills Growing of sale given by way of security in respect of personal chattels not crops and specifically described in the schedule thereto, and in respect of chattels of which the grantor was not the true owner at the date of the execution of the bill of sale (a); which rule is, however, subject to an exception in the case of growing crops separately assigned which were growing at the date of the execution of the bill of sale, and in the case of certain articles substituted for others specifically described in the schedule (b). This exception does not, however, warrant any deviation from the prescribed form; and a bill of sale contemplating substitution in the case of articles of the kind referred to, but not in the prescribed form, is altogether void (c). But it is permissible to assign chattels

substituted

⁽q) Melville v. Stringer (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 392. But a bill of sale may be given to one of several lenders as collector for the others (Re Smith, Ex parte Tarbuck (1894), 72 L. T. 59), or to secure advances by partners in equal shares with an agreement to repay to both (Davidson v. Carlton Bank (1892), 8 T. L. R. 741).

⁽r) Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547. (s) Davies v. Jenkins, [1900] 1 Q. B. 133.

⁽t) Cochrane v. Entwistle (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 116. A bill of sale assigning scheduled chattels with a power of sale on default, including in the schedule an assignment of the lease of the grantor's premises and all muniments of title referred to in such assignment, is valid as not conferring any charge on the land, but merely a security on the title-deeds as chattels (Swanley Coal Co. v. Denton, [1906] 2 K. B. 873)

⁽u) Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506.

⁽a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), 88.

⁽b) Ibid., s. 6.

⁽c) See pp. 28, 29, ante.

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale. substituted for those specifically assigned, under an agreement to replace (d).

Fixed sum secured.

70. The form requires that a fixed sum shall be secured, and a bill of sale cannot be given by way of indemnity, the amount ultimately payable being uncertain (e), nor can further advances be included (f).

Interest.

71. The interest, which is a characteristic of the form, must be rateable, but may be at a rate by the year or month, as may be agreed on, even though the percentage of rateable interest is not stated in terms (q). The amount of interest payable, and its time of payment, must be certain (h). Capitalised interest (i) or a lump sum for agreed interest, with (j) or without (k) a bonus, payable in any event, cannot be reserved (1).

Time of repayment.

72. A bill of sale must contain an agreement to repay the principal sum (m) at a certain stipulated time or times, though a time of payment fixed by reference to any known event is not necessarily uncertain. Payment, however, cannot be made to depend on the mere choice or volition of the grantee; thus, payment cannot be provided for on demand (n), or after demand (o).

The time for payment must not depend on a contingency, as, for example, on the contingency of a surety being called upon to pay on his principal's default (p), and this is the case even if the sum secured by the bill of sale is on the face of it payable at a certain time (q), or after the grantee has been obliged to pay the debt (r). But payment on or before a day named is admissible, as an agreement to pay at a fixed date, with a defeasance in the grantor's favour (s).

And a stipulation for payment at a specified time, providing that if the grantor should not commit breaches of the agreements in the bill of sale the grantee should accept payment by given monthly instalments, is in accordance with the form (t).

Manner of repayment.

73. The general principle seems to be that if the time or times fixed for payment are certain, the manner of payment may be such

(d) Coutes v. Moore, [1903] 2 K. B. 140. Compare Hadden v. Oppenheim (1889), 60 L. T. 962.

(e) Hughes v. Little (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 32. (f) Cook v. Taylor (1887), 3 T. L. R. 800.

(g) Lumley v. Simmons (1887), 34 Ch. D. 698.

(h) Attia v. Finch (1904), 91 L. T. 70.

(i) Davis v. Burton (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 537.

(j) Myers v. Elliott (1886), 16 Q. B. D. 526. (k) Re Johnstone, Ex parte Abrams (1884), 50 L. T. 184; Blankenstein v. Robertson (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 543.

(l) Myers v. Elliott, supra.

(m) Re Moore, Ex parte Official Receiver (1897), 4 Mans. 51. If a day of the month, without specifying the year, is named for payment, the day is the next ensuing day complying with the description (Grannell v. Monck (1889), 24 L. R. Ir. 241).

(n) Hetherington v. Groome (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 789.

(o) Sibley v. Higgs (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 619, where payment was to be made seven days after demand in writing.

(p) Hughes v. Little, supra.
(q) Re Hill (Edward) (1895), 2 Mans. 208.
(r) Sibley v. Higgs, supra.
(s) De Braam v. Ford, [1900] 1 Ch. 142.
(t) Re Coton, Ex parte Payne (1887), 56 L. T. 571.

SECT. 2.

Form of Bill of Sale.

as the parties agree, provided that the agreement is not misleading

or contrary to the provisions of the Acts.

Although the form sets out equal payments, it is permissible to stipulate for payment in one sum with interest until paid (u), or by instalments, which need not be equal, and the balance with interest on a day named (w).

It is not necessary, though permissible, that principal and interest should be repayable together, and it is a question of construction whether the agreement for payment is referable to principal or interest, and which is first payable, or whether principal

and interest are to be paid together (a).

A bill of sale is in accordance with the form, although it provides Payment by for payment by instalments of principal and interest, without instalments. stating when the last is payable, so that some calculation may be involved to ascertain the amount of the last instalment, and how many instalments are necessary to complete payment (b).

If payment is by instalments, the bill of sale may provide that on default in any payment when due the whole of the unpaid principal, with the interest then due, shall at once become payable (c). But it cannot stipulate that, on breach of agreements, some of which would not warrant seizure under the provisions of the amending Act, the principal sum, together with the interest then due, shall become immediately payable (d).

74. The statutory form only permits agreements to be inserted Maintenance of the kind named (e), that is to say, for insurance (f), payment or defeasance of rent (q), or other matters which the parties may agree to, for the

of security.

(u) Watkins v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 386.

(w) Re Cleaver, Ex parte Rawlings (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 489.
(a) Edwards v. Marston, [1891] 1 Q. B. 225, where provision was made for payment of interest before principal, with payment of the balance and interest at a given day; Goldstrom v. Tallerman (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 1, where the principal was to be paid by installerman with interest, and interest on arrears of principal; Haslewood v. Consolidated Credit Co. (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 555, where principal and interest were to be paid by instalments, with the balance on

a given day.

(c) Lumley v. Simmons (1887), 34 Ch. D. 698; Re Wood, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605.

(d) Barr v. Kingsford (1887), 56 L. T. 861. (e) Melville v. Stringer (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 392.

(9) See cases cited in last note; Turner & Co. v. Culpan (1888), 58 L. T. 340, where the agreement was punctually to pay rent, rates, taxes, assessments, or outgoings, and take receipts therefor, and on demand in writing to produce

⁽b) Linfoot v. Pockett, [1895] 2 Ch. 835; Re Bargen, Ex parte Hasluck, [1894] 1 Q. B. 444. Compare Attia v. Finch (1904), 91 L. T. 70, where a bill of sale for £50, whereby the grantor agreed to pay the principal sum, with interest thereon at 5 per cent. per annum, by instalments of £10 on December 25, and the like sum on March 25, June 24, September 29, and December 25 in each year succeeding, until the whole amount was duly paid, was held not to be in accordance with the form, as the amount of interest and the period when it was to be paid were uncertain, the grantor being entitled to know what he had to pay for principal and interest in each instalment, and what he had to pay to redeem.

⁽f) Watkins v. Evans, supra; Hammond v. Hocking (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 291, where the agreement was to insure, produce, and, if required, deliver receipts for premiums; Duff v. Valentine, [1883] W. N. 225, where a power to seize on failure to produce the policy or receipt for the premium was held necessary for maintaining the security.

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale. maintenance or defeasance of the security; and no other agreements can be included (h).

Maintenance of the security means the preservation of the whole security given by the bill of sale, as regards both the chattels assigned and the grantee's title to them, in as good condition as when the security was created (i).

Defeasance (k), within the meaning of the form, is in the nature of a condition which limits or defeats the operation of the bill of

sale (l).

If terms are agreed to for the maintenance or defeasance of the security, the fact that they are superfluous, or not by law enforceable, will not, unless they are contrary to some provision of the Acts, make the bill of sale otherwise than in accordance with the statutory form (m).

Power of seizure.

75. Seizure is permitted in certain events only (n), one of which is default in the performance of any covenant or agreement necessary for maintaining the security. The parties cannot directly or indirectly (o) stipulate for a power to seize in other than the permitted events, and a power to seize cannot be given for default in the performance of an agreement, unless such agreement is not only for the maintenance of, but also necessary for maintaining, the security (p).

Where power to seize is given in events not permitted by the Acts, the bill of sale is void; and the defect is not cured by the

concluding proviso of the statutory form (q).

such receipts to the grantee or his authorised agent; Cartwright v. Regan, [1895] 1 Q. B. 900, where the agreement, which was in similar terms, omitting assessments, related to the premises where the chattels were or should be.

(h) Blaiberg v. Beckett (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 96. An agreement by the grantor

not to obtain credit to the extent of £10 without the grantee's consent, to give the grantee the greater portion of his business, to keep proper books and permit inspection, is not for maintenance of the security (Peace v. Brookes, [1895] 2 Q. B. 451).

i) Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494.

(k) As to defeasance or condition within the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42

Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (3), see p. 43, post.

(1) Blaiberg v. Beckett, supra. The terms agreed to for defeasance of the security, not mere conditions, must be stated in the bill of sale (Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547).
(m) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222.

(n) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7;

and see p. 68, post.
(c) Barr v. Kingsford (1887), 56 L. T. 861, where a provision for payment on default in performance of agreements not necessary for maintaining the security was held to avoid the bill of sale.

(p) Davis v. Burton (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 537. A stipulation, not necessary for maintaining the security, cannot be made so by agreement of the parties (Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494).

(q) Furber v. Cobb, supra. A bill of sale is avoided by a power to seize if the grantor should enter into liquidation, or compound with his creditors (Barr v. Kingsford, supra), or take the benefit of any Bankruptcy Act (Gilroy v. Bowey (1888), 59 L. T. 223), or do any act whereby he shall render himself liable to become bankrupt (Re Williams, Ex parte Pearce (1883), 25 Ch. D. 656). But a power to seize if the grantor should do or suffer anything whereby he shall become bankrupt is in substance the same as s. 7 (2) of the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882, and valid (Re Munday, Ex parte Allam (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 43).

But if no power of seizure is given, expressly or by implication, otherwise than in those events, it is permissible to insert agreements for maintenance, though they may be wider in terms Bill of Sale. than is necessary for maintaining the security (r); and in such cases the proviso controls the agreements, and supports the bill of sale (s).

SECT. 2. Form of

76. A power for the grantee, on the grantor's default, to make Power for payments for insurance (t), rent, rates (u), taxes, or outlay on re-grantee to pairs (a), is for the maintenance of the security; and such payments pay rent etc. may be made repayable on demand with interest, and may be charged on the chattels assigned, so that the latter cannot be redeemed until repayment (b).

But no power can be given to seize in respect of any such payments, and a bill of sale by which payments by the grantee are added to, and recoverable in the same manner as, the principal moneys and interest, is not in accordance with the statutory form(c).

77. An express power of sale may (d), but need not, be inserted $P_{ower of}$ in a bill of sale, as a power to seize is implied in certain events (e), sale.

(r) Topley v. Corsbie (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 350; Weardale Coal and Iron Co. v. Hodson, [1894] 1 Q. B. 598; Turner & Co. v. Culpan (1888), 36 W. B. 278; Cartwright v. Regan, [1895] 1 Q. B. 900, where the agreement provided for the production of rent receipts, without the conditions imposed by s. 7 of the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882; Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494; Re Paxton, Ex parte Pope (1889), 60 L. T. 428, where there was an unqualified agreement not to remove the chattels, and for the grantee to enter and inspect; Seed v. Bradley, [1894] 1 Q. B. 319, where the agreement was not to permit the chattels to be destroyed, injured, or deteriorated, except by use and wear, and to replace them; Consolidated Credit Corporation, Ltd. v. Giveney (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 24, where the agreement was to replace so as to keep up present value; Coates v. Moore, [1903] 2 K. B. 140, where the agreement was to the same effect, with an assignment of the substituted chattels; Re Paxton, Ex parte Pope, supra, where the grantor agreed not to suffer any distress to be levied on the chattels, or do anything whereby he might become bankrupt, or have execution levied on his goods and there was a power to seize on bank-ruptcy; Re Cleaver, Ex parte Rawlings (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 489, where there was an agreement for further assurance by grantor, and all persons claiming through or under him. Compare, for a wider covenant for further assurance,

Liverpool Commercial Investment Society v. Richardson (1886), 2 T. L. R. 602.

(s) Re Bullock, Ex parte Ward, [1899] 2 Q. B. 517, where a power to sell after five clear days, if the chattels were seized for breach of any agreements, was held qualified by the proviso; Briggs v. Pike (1892), 66 L. T. 637, where an agreement that the grantee might pay premiums on the grantor's default, and that the money so expended, with interest, should be repaid on demand, accompanied by a general rower to seize on default in payment of the demand, accompanied by a general power to seize on default in payment of the sum or sums secured, was held qualified by the proviso.

(t) Re Burber, Ex parte Stanford (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 259; Briggs v. Pike,

tupra.

(u) Goldstrom v. Tallerman (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 1.

(a) Topley v. Corsbie, supra.

(b) Re Burber, Ex parte Stanford, supra; Briggs v. Pike, supra.
(c) Real and Personal Advance Co. v. Clears (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 304; Bianchi v. Offord (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 484; Macey v. Gilbert (1888), 57 L. J. (Q. B.) 461.

(d) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222.

(e) These are specified in the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7; see p. 68, post.

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale. which, after the expiration of five clear days (f), warrants removal and sale (a).

The statutory powers of sale conferred upon mortgagees by deed (h) do not apply to bills of sale in the statutory form (i); but it is permissible to exclude the restrictions imposed on the statutory power of sale (k). A power may be given to sell on or off the premises by public auction or private contract (l), but not to affix placards to the premises (m). A power may not be given to the grantee to purchase the chattels at a valuation (n), or, if he is an auctioneer, to retain commission as if selling for the grantor (o).

Provisions, that the purchaser upon a sale shall not be bound to inquire whether default has been made (p), or that the receipt of the grantee shall be a sufficient discharge, the buyer not being required to see to the application of the purchase-money (q), are not for maintenance of the security, or in accordance with the statutory form.

Proceeds of sale.

A bill of sale may provide that the grantee shall be at liberty to retain out of the proceeds of sale his principal and interest, with all costs incurred in maintaining his security (r); but a wider clause for retention of all expenses attending the sale or incurred in relation to the security (s), or to which the grantee may be put (t), is indefinite and not in accordance with the form (u).

The grantee cannot stipulate for retention of the bill of sale after payment in full (a).

bill of sale. Omission of the proviso.

Retention of

78. The concluding proviso as to seizure is a characteristic of the statutory form, and its omission has been said to avoid the bill of sale (b).

(f) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 13; and see p. 70, post. No express power can be given to seize and sell immediately on default, as that would conflict with the provisions of the section (Hetherington v. Groome (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 789)

(g) Watkins v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 386; Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222.

(h) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 19. See title Mortgage.

(i) Calvert v. Thomas (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 204. Compare Watkins v. Evans, supra. (k) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 20;

Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver, supra.
(l) Bourne v. Wall (1891), 64 L. T. 530.
(m) Bardell v. Daykin (1887), 3 T. L. R. 526.
(n) Lyon v. Morris (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 139.
(o) Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494. (p) Blaiberg v. Beckett (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 96. (q) Gibbs v. Parsons (1887), 22 L. J. 96.

(r) Lumley v. Simmons (1887), 34 Ch. D. 698; Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver, supra, where the bill of sale provided for the retention of principal, interest, costs, and expenses, with rent, rates, or taxes paid by the grantee, and payments for discharging any distress, execution, or incumbrance on the chattels, and seizing, retaining, and keeping possession thereof, and in or about their carriage, removal, warehousing, or sale. Such a trust of proceeds of sale is substantially the same as would be implied if there were no declaration (Re Cleaver, Ex parte Rawlings (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 489).

(s) Calvert v. Thomas, supra.

(t) Macey v. Gilbert (1888), 57 L. J. (q. B.) 461.

(u) Calvert v. Thomas, supra.
(a) Watson v. Strickland (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 391.
(b) Thomas v. Kelly (1888), 13 App. Cas. 506, per Lord MACNAGHTEN, at p. 519.

The name, address (c), and description of the attesting witness or witnesses are also characteristic of the form, and the name only is not sufficient without address and description (d).

SECT. 2. Form of Bill of Sale.

Description, though not synonymous with occupation, includes it; and the profession, trade, or calling of the witness must be stated (e),

Attestation.

or, if he has none, his addition or style (f).

A defect in description cannot be cured by the affidavit filed on registration (g), or by extraneous evidence. Where a witness attests more than one attestation clause, adding his description to only one, it is sufficient if it appears on the face of the bill of sale, as by comparison of handwriting, that the witness in each case is the same; but if extraneous evidence is necessary to prove this fact, the bill of sale will be void as not in accordance with the statutory form (h).

79. Every bill of sale given by way of security must have annexed The schedule. thereto, or written thereon, a schedule containing an inventory of the personal chattels comprised in it (i), though the statutory form itself does not set out a schedule (k). The principal Act also requires that a copy of every schedule or inventory annexed to or

referred to in a bill of sale must be registered (l).

Sect. 3.—Defeasance, Condition, or Declaration of Trust.

80. Any terms agreed to for defeasance of the security must be Defeasances inserted in a bill of sale in the statutory form (m).

etc. deemed part of bill of

It is also provided by the principal Act (n) that if a bill of sale is made or given subject to any defeasance or condition, or declaration of trust not contained in the body thereof, such defeasance, condition, or declaration of trust shall be deemed to be part of the bill, and shall be written on the same paper or parchment therewith before the registration, and shall be truly set forth in the copy required by the Act to be filed therewith, and as part thereof, otherwise the registration shall be void.

The object of this provision is to restrict, within certain limits, the nature of the bargain which can be made by bill of sale, and to

ensure that the true bargain is registered (o).

The Act avoids registration of a bill of sale not complying with the prescribed formalities, whether the defeasance, condition, or declaration of trust is in favour of the grantor or of the grantee, and

(c) This may be the business address of the witness, not necessarily his private residence (Simmons v. Woodward, [1892] A. C. 100).

(d) Parsons v. Brand (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110; Blankenstein v. Robertson

(1590), 24 Q. B. D. 543.

(e) Coulson v. Dickson (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110. (f) Sims v. Trollope & Sons, [1897] 1 Q. B. 24, where the witness had no occupation.

(9) Parsons v. Brand, Coulson v. Dickson, supra.

(h) Bird v. Davey, [1891] 1 Q. B. 29. (i) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 4.
(k) Ibid., Schedule. For the requirements of the Schedule, see pp. 34 et seq., ante.

(1) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2); and see p. 46, post. (m) See p. 34, ante.

(a) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (3).
(b) Pettit v. Lodge and Harper, [1908] 1 K. B. 744, per FLETCHER MOULTON, L.J., at p. 749.



SECT. 3. Condition. or Declaration of Trust.

the avoidance is not, as was formerly supposed (p), confined to a Defeasance, defeasance, condition, or declaration of trust limiting the rights of the grantee, or affecting them prejudicially in favour of the grantor (q).

What is a defeasance.

81. A defeasance is an instrument or agreement which defeats the operation of a bill of sale (r), and is, more strictly speaking, a

A collateral agreement forming part of the contract for, but not expressed in, a bill of sale, and securing the same, or part of the same, sum of money, is within this provision of the Act, as, for example, a contemporaneous mortgage of property by one of two grantors to secure, with compound interest, the same payment which is secured with simple interest by a bill of sale given by both (t).

And a promissory note, given at the same time as a bill of sale, for the same loan and as part of the same transaction, is a defeasance within the same provision (a), although it is valid as a note (b).

So a parol agreement varying the terms of payment contained in a bill of sale, if made as part of the same transaction, is a condition (c). And any bargain different to that expressed in the bill of sale, affecting the rights of either party, as for example an alteration of the events on which a seizure may be made, is a defeasance which must be incorporated in the bill of sale and registered (d). Thus, where a bill of sale provides for payment of principal, together with interest, by weekly instalments, an arrangement to substitute equal weekly payments, of an increased amount, to cover principal and interest, so as to avoid calculating varying amounts of interest week by week, is a defeasance, though the aggregate amount payable for principal and interest is not altered (d).

Collateral stipulation when not affected.

82. It is not every collateral stipulation between the parties that is within this provision of the Act. Thus, an understanding that out of the advance the grantor should repay a debt owing by him to the grantee, in part secured by an existing bill of sale, is not a

not a defeasance. Compare Re Leber (1908), 52 Sol. Jo. 483.

(a) Counsell v. London and Westminster Loan and Discount Co. (1887), 19
Q. B. D. 512. The result is the same though other parties join in the note (Onn v. Fisher (1889), 5 T. L. R. 504).

⁽p) Re Lees, Ex parte Collins (1875), 10 Ch. App. 367.
(q) Edwards ▼. Marcus, [1894] 1 Q. B. 587.
(r) Blaiberg ▼. Beckett (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 96.

⁽s) Re Storey, Ex parte Popplewell (1882), 21 Ch. D. 73.

⁽t) Edwards v. Marcus, supra; Ellis v. Wright (1897), 76 L. T. 522, where a mortgage, payable on demand, was given as part of the same transaction as a bill of sale payable by instalments, to secure part of the mortgage debt; Sharp v. McHenry (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427, where there was a contemporaneous covenant to pay compound interest on the debt secured by the bill of sale; Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547, where a collateral agreement to resort first to securities, other than the bill of sale, was held to be a condition, though

⁽b) Monetary Advance Co. v. Cater (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 785.
(c) Re Southam, Ex parte Southam (1874), L. R. 17 Eq. 578.

⁽d) Pettit v. Lodge and Harper, [1908] 1 K. B. 744, overruling Reed v. Franks (1900), 16 T. L. R. 347.

condition or declaration of trust(e). A mere deposit by the grantor of a policy of insurance as collateral security for the debt secured by the bill of sale is not a defeasance or a condition (f), nor is the guarantee of a third party to pay on demand any unpaid balance of loan and interest, in the event of the bill of sale becoming inoperative, or an insufficient security (g).

Condition. or Declaration of Trust.

SECT. 3.

Defeasance,

Terms made subsequent to the bill of sale are not within the

provisions of the section (h).

101

13 d

1 1

ďa:

102, 1

126

: :

3. þ.

13.

1

: 17

32

į.

3

E 418

: 64

į

أتا

语 等 进 世 道 道

当世里日

83. Where registration is made void by this provision a bill of Extent of sale given by way of security is void in respect of the personal avoidance. chattels comprised therein (i); but an absolute bill of sale is only avoided as against the persons, and to the extent, mentioned in the principal Act(k).

SECT. 4.—Attestation.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Necessity for Attestation.

84. Absolute bills of sale, as well as those given by way of security All bills of for the payment of money by the grantor, must be duly (1) attested (m), sale must be attested. but with different formalities.

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Absolute Bills of Sale.

85. An absolute bill of sale must be attested by a solicitor of Attestation the Supreme Court, and the attestation must state that, before the by solicitor. execution of the bill of sale, the effect thereof has been explained to the grantor by the attesting solicitor (n), otherwise it will be void to the extent and against the persons named in the principal Act (o), though valid as between the parties (p).

It is not required that an explanation of the bill of sale shall in fact have been given, though the attestation must so state (q); and the affidavit filed on registration need not verify the fact of

explanation (r).

(i) See p. 54, post. (k) See p. 55, post.

(i) That is, in the manner prescribed (Parsons v. Brand, Coulson v. Dickson (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 110).

(m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8; Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 8.

(o) Bills of Sale Act, 1878, s. 8; and see p. 55, post.

(p) Davis v. Goodman (1880), 5 C. P. D. 128. (9) Re Haynes, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank (1880), 15 Ch. D. 42. (r) Re Roper, Ex parte Bolland (1882), 21 Ch. D. 543.

Digitized by Google

⁽e) Thomas v. Searles, [1891] 2 Q. B. 408. The section does not apply to an agreement not to register a bill of sale (Re Storey, Ex parte Popplewell (1882), 21 Ch. D. 73), or to a purchase in trust for another (Robinson v. Collingwood (1864), 34 L. J. (C. P.) 18). It has been said not to apply to an agreement for a bonus, but this is doubtful (Re Lees, Ex parte Collins (1875), 10 Ch. App. 367).

(f) Carpenter v. Deen (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 566.

(g) Oakes v. Green (1907), 23 T. L. R. 560.

(h) Linfoot v. Pockett, [1895] 2 Ch. 835.

⁽n) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (1), which is not repealed by the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 10, as regards bills of sale given otherwise than by way of security (Swift v. Pannell (1883), 24 Ch. D. 210; Casson v. Churchley (1884), 53 L. J. (Q. B.) 335).

BILLS OF SALE.

SECT. 4. Attestation.

Who may attest.

An absolute bill of sale may be attested by the solicitor for both parties (s), or by the grantee's solicitor (t), or his managing clerk, being a solicitor, though not practising on his own account (u). But a solicitor who is party to the bill of sale cannot attest it (w).

Affidavit of attestation.

86. An affidavit proving due attestation must be filed on registra-It is not enough to verify the handwriting of the attesting solicitor and state that he explained the bill of sale to the grantor; the affidavit must prove that the solicitor whose name appears as the attesting witness was present when the bill of sale was executed by the grantor (y).

It will be sufficient if it can be inferred from the whole affidavit that the solicitor did in fact attest the bill of sale, although this is

not expressly stated (z).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Bills of Sale by way of Security.

Attestation by credible witnesses.

87. The amending Act requires that the execution by the grantor of every bill of sale given by way of security shall be attested (a) by one or more credible witnesses, not being parties thereto (b).

Although a party cannot attest a bill of sale, his agent or manager may do so (c).

SECT. 5.—Registration.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

Necessity for registration.

Mode of registration.

88. Both absolute bills of sale (d) and bills of sale given by way of security (e) are required to be registered.

The mode of registering both kinds of bills of sale is regulated by the principal Act, and is as follows: the bill of sale with every schedule or inventory thereto annexed or therein referred to, and a true copy of such bill and of such schedule or inventory and of every attestation of the execution of such bill of sale, together with the prescribed affidavit, must be presented to the registrar; and the copy bill of sale and affidavit must be filed with him (f).

(u) Hill v. Kirkwood (1880), 42 L. T. 105. (w) Seal v. Claridge (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 516. (x) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2). (y) Ford v. Kettle (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 139; Sharpe v. Birch (1881), 8 Q. B. D. 111; Re Moulson, Exparte Knightley (1882), 46 L. T. 776.

(z) Yates v. Ashcroft (1882), 47 L. T. 337; Cooper v. Zeffert (1883), 32 W. R.

(a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 8; and see p. 53, post.

(b) Ibid., s. 10. (c) Peace v. Brookes, [1895] 2 Q. B. 451.

(d) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8.

(e) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43),

(f) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2): "Such bill, with every schedule or inventory thereto annexed or therein referred to, and also a true copy of such bill and of every such schedule or inventory, and of every attestation of the execution of such bill of sale, together with an affidavit of the time of such bill of sale being made or given, and of its due execution and

⁽s) Vernon v. Cooke (1880), 49 L. J. (c. P.) 767.

⁽t) Penwarden v. Roberts (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 137.

The place of registration is the Bills of Sale Department of the Central Office at the Royal Courts of Justice, London (g).

SECT. 5. Registration.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Time for Registration.

89. The time for registering absolute bills of sale is within seven Period in clear days after the making or giving thereof (h), and for a bill of sale sale must be given by way of security, within seven clear days after the execu-registered. tion thereof, or if executed in any place out of England, then within seven clear days after the time at which it would, in the ordinary course of post, arrive in England, if posted immediately after the execution thereof (i).

When the time for registering a bill of sale expires on a Sunday or other day on which the registrar's office is closed, the registration is valid if made on the next following day on which the office Power is given to extend the time for registration in is open (k). certain cases (l).

During the time allowed for registration, a bill of sale, if other- Validity wise valid, is effectual though unregistered, even if within that time before there purports to have been registration which turns out to be insufficient (m).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- True Copy, and Affidavit on Registration.

90. The copy of the bill of sale, schedule or inventory, and attes- What is a tation, to be presented to, and filed with, the registrar, must be sub- true copy. stantially a true copy, but need not be an exact copy, and clerical or verbal errors, which cannot mislead, do not avoid registration (n).

A catalogue of chattels referred to in the schedule to a bill of sale, when the chattels can be identified without referring to the catalogue, is not a schedule or inventory within the meaning of the Act (o).

attestation, and a description of the residence and occupation of the person making or giving the same (or in case the same is made or given by any person under or in the execution of any process, then a description of the residence and occupation of the person against whom such process issued), and of every attesting witness to such bill of sale, shall be presented to and the said copy and affidavit shall be filed with the registrar within seven clear days after the making or giving of such bill of sale, in like manner as a warrant of attorney in any

Personal action given by a trader is now by law required to be filed."

(g) R. S. C., Ord. 61. As to local registration, see p. 51, post.

(h) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), ss. 8, 10 (2).

(i) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 8.

(k) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 8.

(k) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 22.
(l) Ibid., s. 14; and see p. 74, post.
(m) Marples v. Hartley (1861), 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 92; Banbury v. White (1863),

32 L. J. (Ex.) 258.

(0) Davidson v. Carlton Bank, [1893] 1 Q. B. 82.

⁽n) Re Hewer, Ex parte Kahen (1882), 21 Ch. D. 871, where words were left out; Sharp v. Brown (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427, where blanks in the copy were filled up in the original; Gardnor v. Shaw (1871), 24 L. T. 319, where there was a mistake in name; Elliott v. Freeman (1863), 7 L. T. 715, where the mistake was in the consideration; Thomas v. Roberts, [1898] 1 Q. B. 657, where the date of execution was stated in the original, but omitted in the copy; Coates v. Moore, [1903] 2 K. B. 140, where the omission of the signature of the grantor and attesting witness, with description, was supplied by the affidavit filed with

SECT. 5. Registration.

Contents of affidavit.

Before whom sworn.

91. The affidavit presented to and filed with the registrar (p) must prove—(1) the due execution and attestation of the bill of sale, (2) the residence and occupation of the grantor, and of every attesting witness, and (3) the true date of the execution of the bill of sale (q). It is sufficient to state that the bill of sale was executed on the day it bears date. An obvious mistake in date, as 1806 for 1876, is not a fatal defect (r).

Affidavits may be sworn before a master of the High Court or a commissioner of oaths (s); and every first and second-class clerk in the Bills of Sale Department of the Central Office has authority to take oaths and affidavits in matters relating to that department (a).

The rules of the Supreme Court relating to affidavits (b) apply to an affidavit on registration of a bill of sale, and such an affidavit may not be sworn before the solicitor to the grantor or grantee, who is a party within the meaning of the rule (c), or before any agent or correspondent of such solicitor, or before a party himself; and an affidavit which would be insufficient if sworn before the solicitor himself is insufficient if sworn before his clerk or partner (d). an affidavit on registration be sworn before the solicitor acting for the grantee in preparing or filing the bill of sale registration is avoided (c).

Irregularities in jurat.

A clerical error in the jurat of an affidavit may be a mere irregularity (e). Thus, where the jurat omits to describe the officer swearing the affidavit on registration as a commissioner, the affidavit is admissible in the absence of proof that he is not a commissioner (f). But where the commissioner does not sign his name to the jurat, the affidavit is incomplete, and registration is avoided (q).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Description of Parties and Attesting Witnesses.

What is a sufficient description.

92. The affidavit filed on registration must contain a description (h) of the residence and occupation of the grantor and of every attesting witness to the bill of sale (i), in order that creditors and

(c) Baker v. Ambrose, [1896] 2 Q. B. 372.

(d) R. S. C., Ord. 38, rr. 16, 17.

(e) See ibid., r. 14.

(f) Re Chapman, Ex parte Johnson (1884), 26 Ch. D. 338.

(g) Brown v. London and County Advance and Discount Co. (1889), 5 T. L. R. 199. (h) Description to the best of the deponent's belief is sufficient (Roe v. Bradshaw (1866), L. R. 1 Exch. 106). Compare R. S. C., Ord. 38, r. 3. So where the description is given in the introductory part, but left blank in the body of the affidavit, the registration is valid (Blaiberg v. Parke (1882), 10

(i) Every witness must be described (Pickard v. Marriage (1876), 1 Ex. D. 364), and every grantor (*Hooper v. Parmenter* (1862), 10 W. R. 648).

⁽p) For form see R. S. C., Appendix B, 24, and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., p. 793.
(q) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2).
(r) Lamb v. Bruce (1876), 45 L. J. (q. B.) 538.
(s) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 17. It is perjury to make or

use any false affidavit for the purposes of the Act (ibid.); see title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE. For commissioners of oaths, see title SOLICITORS.

(a) R. S. C., Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, r. 12; and see Yearly County Court Practice, 1908, Vol. II., p. 71.

(b) R. S. C., Ord. 38.

others concerned may make inquiries before dealing with the grantor (k).

SECT. 5. Registration.

A description is sufficient which identifies the grantor and attesting witnesses, and enables a person by ordinary inquiry to ascertain where to find the objects of his search (1).

The description must be of residence and occupation at the time of registering the bill of sale, not at the time of its execution (m).

Although the Acts make no provision for stating the names of the parties, a wrong christian name or surname, when coupled with a misdescription of occupation, or probably of residence, may be so inaccurate and misleading as to avoid registration (n).

93. The statutory form of bill of sale, as already noted (o), How far requires the address and description of the grantor and every attest- affidavit and ing witness to be stated on the face of the bill of sale; but this may be read information must be given also in the affidavit filed on registra- together. Where, without any intention to mislead and without anyone having been in fact misled, the address of the grantor or of an attesting witness is not correctly given in the bill of sale itself, but his residence and occupation are accurately stated in the affidavit, the registration is valid (q).

On the other hand, if the affidavit contains no description (r), or an untrue or mis-stated description (s), registration will be avoided; and where the bill of sale and affidavit differ, the bill of sale cannot be used to cure a defective description in the affidavit (t), even though the description is given correctly in the bill of sale (a). But the bill of sale may be referred to, in order to supplement or correct an imperfect or ambiguous description in the affidavit (b); and mere surplusage may be rejected, if the meaning is clear (c).

The affidavit will be sufficient, if, referring to the description of address and occupation given in the bill of sale, it states that it is correct (d).

The burden of proof is on the person alleging that the description Burden of

(h) Lee v. Turner (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 773; Stokes v. Spencer, [1900] 2 Q. B. 483.

(6) See pp. 36, 43, ante.

(p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (2).

(9) Dolcini v. Dolcini, [1895] 1 Q. B. 898.

(r) Hatton v. English (1857), 26 L. J. (Q. B.) 161. (s) Brodrick v. Scalé (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 98.

(t) Murray v. Mackenzie (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 625.
(a) Marks v. Derrick (1899), 80 L. T. 60.

(b) Jones v. Harris, supra; Re Bent, Ex parte Mackenzie (1873), 42 L. J. (BCY.)

(c) Blownt v. Harris, supra, where the addition of "in the city of London" to the description "Acton" was rejected.
(d) Foulger v. Taylor (1860), 5 H. & N. 202.

H.L.—III.

E



⁽k) Jones v. Harris (1871), L. R. 7 Q. B. 157. (l) Blount v. Harris (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 603. (m) Button v. O'Neill (1879), 4 C. P. D. 354. The description of the grantor as carrying on a business which he has ceased to carry on (Cooper v. Davis (1884), 32 W. R. 329; Proctor v. Lucius (1903), 19 T. L. R. 458), or as "until lately" a commercial traveller (Castle v. Downton (1879), 5 C. P. D. 66, is insufficient. But where the grantor absconded before registration, the description of his address as in the bill of sale was held sufficient (Re Hewer, Ex parte Kahen (1882), 21 Ch. D. 871).

SECT. 5. Registration.

is misleading (e), or should be otherwise stated (f), or that, where no occupation is described, the person in fact has one (g); and when the facts are ascertained, the question of sufficiency of description is for the judge (h).

Residence.

94. The residence of the grantor and attesting witnesses must be described in the affidavit with such reasonable certainty and sufficiency as to identify them, and with a particularity depending on the circumstances of the case (i).

In some instances a more detailed description, as of a street, or even a number, may be necessary, and a misleading mistake in

number may avoid registration (k).

It is not necessary to set out every residence or place of business; and if the person described has two or more addresses.

it is sufficient to describe him as of the principal one (l).

The residence required is not necessarily the place where the party lives; and an address where he is most likely to be found, as, for instance, his place of business, or his employer's place of business, is sufficient (m).

Occupation.

95. Occupation, for the purposes of registration, is the trade, business, or calling, which a person pursues to get a living (n), the business in which a man is usually engaged to the knowledge of his neighbours (o). If a person has an occupation, it must be described (p); but if he has none, it is unnecessary so to state in

(e) Throssell v. Marsh (1885), 53 L. T. 321.

(f) Grant v. Shaw (1872), L. R. 7 Q. B. 700 (g) Sutton v. Bath (1858), 3 H. & N. 382. (h) Phillips v. Burt (1862), 2 F. & F. 862. (i) Hickley v. Greenwood (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 277; Briggs v. Boss (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 268, where the description of the witness as of Hanley, without further address, was held sufficient, letters so addressed reaching him.

(k) Murray v. Mackenzie (1875), L. B. 10 C. P. 625; Marks v. Derrick (1899), 80 L. T. 60.

(1) Greenham v. Child (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 29; Cooper v. Ibberson (1881), 44 L. T. 309; Re Moulson, Ex parte Knightley (1882), 46 L. T. 776.

(m) Hewer v. Cox (1860), 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 73. So a clerk may be sufficiently described as of his employer's address, where he can be found during business hours (Simmons v. Woodward, [1892] A. C. 100; Blackwell v. England (1857), 27 L. J. (q. B.) 124).

(n) Tuton v. Sanoner (1858), 27 L. J. (Ex.) 293.

(o) Luckin v. Hamlyn (1869), 21 L. T 366, per MARTIN, B., at p. 366; Never-

son v. Seymour (1908), 97 L. T. 788.

(p) The following descriptions have been held sufficient: describing a merchant shipbroker as broker (Gugen v. Sampson (1866), 4 F. & F. 974); Government or insurance clerk (Grant v. Shaw (1872), L. R. 7 Q. B. 700); describing a clerk to an accountant as an accountant (Briggs v. Boss, supra); clerk, not stating his employer's occupation (Lamb v. Bruce (1876), 45 L. J. (Q. B.) 538). But the description "married woman" is insufficient, if she has an occupation (Kemble v. Addison, [1900] 1 Q. B. 430), or the description "gentleman" where the person described has any office or employment (Allen v. Thompson (1856), 25 L. J. (Ex.) 249), or is a solicitor's clerk (Brodrick v. Scalé (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 98; Beales v. Tennant (1860), 29 L. J. (Q. B.) 188), or is a silk buyer (Adams v. Graham (1864), 33 L. J. (Q. B.) 71). It is not sufficient to describe a manager of a theatre (Re Vining, Ex parte Hooman (1870), L. R. 10 Eq. 63), or a commercial traveller (Matthews v. Buchanan (1889), 5 T. L. R. 373), as "esquire." or a school-master, as tutor, and by a wrong name (Lee v. Turner (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 773), or a clerk to a railway, as an accountant (Larchin v. North-Western Deposit Bank (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 64).

the affidavit (q). If a person has no occupation, it is unnecessary to set out details of business undertakings in which he may be

interested or engaged (r).

The description should be of the principal, substantial occupation; and if that is stated, an omission, not calculated to mislead, of some other occupation followed by the person described is not a defect (s).

Surplusage, added to an otherwise correct description of occupa-

tion, may be rejected (t).

Such description should be given that if inquiry be made in the place where the person resides he may be easily identified (a), and if the description identifies the true occupation, it will be sufficient even though the person may not be actively pursuing his occupation at the time (b); but former (c), or proposed, occupations need not be described (d).

What descrip-

SECT. 5.

Registra-

tion

SECT. 6.—Local Registration.

96. Where the affidavit which is required to accompany a bill of When local sale when presented for registration describes the residence of the registration person making or giving the same, or of the person against whom the process is issued, as being in some place outside the London bankruptcy district (e), or where the bill of sale describes the chattels

(q) Re Symonds, Ex parte Young (1880), 42 L. T. 744, where a blank was left in the affidavit for "occupation," the person described having none. So the description "gentleman" is sufficient for one who has no regular employ, if not inapplicable to his position (Gray v. Jones (1863), 14 C. B. (N. s.) 743; Smith v. Cheese (1875), 1 C. P. D. 60), provided the words "of no occupation" are added (Filing Department Notice, Dec. 4, 1902; Yearly Practice, 1908, p. 505). The scheduled form requires the addition or style of a witness, without occupa-

tion, to be stated in the attestation clause; see p. 35, ante.
(r) Feast v. Robinson and Fisher (1894), 70 L. T. 168, where a country gentleman, the sleeping partner in a business, was described as of no occupation; Luckin v. Hamlyn (1869), 21 L. T. 366, where a widow, so described, was managing a farm as executrix; Davies v. Jenkins, [1900] 1 Q. B. 133, where a married woman, so described, was conducting a farm in her husband's absence; Usher v. Martin (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 272, where a married woman was the leaseholder of a public-house conducted by her husband. The question whether a description of the occupation of a married woman, who lives with and keeps house for her husband, is sufficient is one of degree (Neverson v. Seymour (1908), 97 L. T. 788).

(a) Throssell v. Marsh (1885), 53 L. T. 321; Re Wills, Ex parte National Deposit Bank (1878), 26 W. R. 624; Re Haynes, Ex parte National Mercantile Bank

(1880), 15 Ch. D. 42.

(t) Re Storey, Ex parte Popplewell (1882), 21 Ch. D. 73.

(a) Luckin v. Hamlyn, supra.

(b) Sharp v. McHenry (1887), 38 Ch. D. 427, where the person referred to was a contractor and financial agent, out of business; Martinson v. Consolidated Co. (1889), 5 T. L. R. 353, where he was a commercial clerk, out of employ; Re Davies, Ex parte Equitable Investment Co. (1897), 77 L. T. 567, where an actress, known as such, was held sufficiently so described, though not on the

(c) Smith v. Cheese, supra, where the description of the grantor, who was without regular occupation, as "gentleman" was sufficient, though formerly he

had been a proctor's clerk.

(d) Re Davey, Ex parte Chapman (1881), 45 L. T. 268.

(e) The London bankruptcy district under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (32 & 33 Vict. c. 71). s. 60, is now defined by s. 96 and Sched. III. of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52). See also title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., p. 47, note (q).

SECT. 6. Local Registration.

enumerated therein as being in some place outside the London bankruptcy district, the registrar under the principal Act must forthwith, and within three clear days after registration in the principal registry, and in accordance with directions prescribed by the rules, transmit an abstract (f), in a form prescribed by the rules (g), of the contents of such bill of sale to the county court registrar in whose district such places are situate, and if such places are in the districts of different registrars to each such registrar.

Filing in county court.

Every abstract so transmitted must be filed, kept, and indexed by the registrar of the county court in the manner prescribed by the rules (h), and any person may search, inspect, make extracts from, and obtain copies of the abstract so registered in the like manner and upon the like terms as to payment or otherwise as near as may be as in the case of bills of sale registered by the registrar under the principal Act(i).

Omission of local registration.

The provisions for local registration are directory, and the registrar's default in transmitting the abstract to a county court registry does not avoid registration (j).

SECT. 7.—Renewal of Registration.

When renewal necessarv.

97. The registration of a bill of sale, whether executed before or after the commencement of the principal Act, must be renewed once at least every five years, and if a period of five years elapses from the registration, or renewed registration, of a bill of sale without a renewal or further renewal, as the case may be, the registration becomes void. But a renewal of registration does not become necessary by reason only of a transfer or assignment of a bill of sale (k).

Mode of renewal.

98. The renewal of a registration is effected by filing with the registrar an affidavit stating the date of the bill of sale and of the last registration thereof, and the names, residences, and occupations of the parties thereto as stated therein, and that the bill of sale is still a subsisting security (l). This affidavit must state the names,

(1) Ibid. The affidavit may be in the form set forth ibid., Sched. A. See also Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VIII., p. 794.

⁽f) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 11, where the expressions used are "the prescribed directions," "the prescribed form," "the prescribed manner." By s. 4 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), "prescribed" means prescribed by rules made under the provisions of the Act, and by s. 21 power to make such rules is conferred. The rules in question are the R. S. C., Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, for which see Yearly Practice, 1908, p. 1462. Directions for the transmission of the abstract are contained *ibid.*, r. 4.

(g) R. S. C., Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, r. 3, and Appendix.

⁽h) Ibid., rr. 7, 8. (i) Provision is made (ibid., r. 10) for the search and inspection of abstracts in the office of the registrar of the county court, and for making extracts from, or obtaining office copies of, such abstracts, also (r. 5) for re-registration and (r. 6) for entering notice of satisfaction.

⁽j) Trinder v. Raynor (1887), 56 L. J. (q. B.) 422. (k) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 11, which applies also to bills of sale executed before the commencement of the Act, and registered under the Acts repealed (ibid., s. 23).

residences, and occupations of the parties as stated in the bill of sale, even though they are there stated incorrectly; but the correct descriptions may be added. If these requirements of the Act are not complied with, the renewal of registration will be invalid (m). Local registration of renewals is effected (n) in the manner reugired by the amending Act on registering a bill of sale (o).

SECT. 7. Renewal of Registration.

99. The time for renewal of registration may be extended by Extension order of a judge of the High Court, where the omission to renew is of time. accidental or due to inadvertence (p); but it cannot be extended in the case of a bill of sale the registration of which had become void for want of renewal before the principal Act came into operation(q), nor can such a bill of sale be renewed under the principal Act(r).

100. Bills of sale registered before the commencement of the Effect of amending Act, and re-registered, are not affected by that Act (s), amending nor does it apply to bills of sale registered under the repealed Acts, even though renewal of registration has not taken place (t).

If registration of a bill of sale to which the amending Act applies is not duly renewed, the bill of sale will be void in respect of the personal chattels comprised therein (u).

Part VI.—Avoidance of Bills of Sale.

SECT. 1.—Bills of Sale by way of Security.

101. A bill of sale by way of security for the payment of money When bills of is void—(1) if it is not duly attested (w); (2) if it is not duly regis-sale are void. tered (x); (3) if the consideration is not truly set forth (y); (4) if it is not in accordance with the statutory form (z); (5) if it is made in consideration of any sum under £30 (a); or (6) if it is a successive bill of sale, that is, if it is executed within, or on the expiration of, seven days after the execution of a prior unregistered bill of sale, and if it is given for the same or part of the same debt and comprises all or part of the same chattels (b).

(m) Re Morris, Ex parte Webster (1882), 22 Ch. D. 136.

Practice, 1908, Vol. II., p. 70.
(a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 11. (p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 14; and see p. 74, post. (q) Re Emery, Exparte Chief Official Receiver (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 405. (r) Askew v. Lewis (1883), 10 Q. B. D. 477.

(x) Ibid.; and see pp. 46 et seq., ante.
(y) Ibid.; and see pp. 31 et seq., ante.
(z) Ibid., s. 9; and see pp. 34 et seq., ante.
(a) Ibid., s. 12; and see pp. 30, 31, ante.
(b) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 9; and see p. 64, post.

⁽n) R. S. C., Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, r. 5. See Yearly County Court

⁽e) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 3; and see p. 4, ante.

⁽t) Cookson v. Swire (1884), 9 App. Cas. 653.
(u) Fenton v. Blythe (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 417.
(cr) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 8; and see pp. 45, 46, ante.

SECT. 1.
Bills of Sale
by way of
Security.

The registration of a bill of sale is void, if the bill is given subject to an unregistered defeasance, condition, or declaration of trust (c), or if the registration is not renewed once at least every five years (d).

Extent of avoidance.

102. The extent of avoidance is not the same in all cases. A bill of sale which is void, as not in accordance with the statutory form, is void altogether (e), so that agreements contained in it cannot be enforced (f); and the same result seems to follow the avoidance of a bill of sale given in consideration of any sum under £30 (g).

But want of due attestation, registration, true statement of consideration, or renewal of registration, only avoids a bill of sale in respect of the personal chattels comprised therein (h), leaving it available for the enforcement of agreements for payment of principal and interest (i), or for other purposes (j). There is the same limited avoidance of successive bills of sale (k).

A bill of sale is also void, except as against the grantor, in respect of chattels which are not specifically described in the schedule (l), or of which the grantor was not true owner (m).

Bills of sale by way of security are no protection against a distress for taxes, poor and other parochial rates (n).

against
distress for
taxes etc.
Possession
under void

bill of sale.

No protection

103. As already noted, possession taken under a void bill of sale does not protect the chattels; nor is the bill of sale any defence to an action for seizing the goods(o). But the grantee of a bill of sale may by an independent bargain acquire rights to chattels comprised in it which may remain effective, although the bill of sale is held void; but no right, in addition to those conferred by the bill of sale, arises where the grantor only intends to carry out its provisions on his part (p).

(c) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 (3).

(d) Ibid., s. 11. (e) See p. 34, ante.

(f) Davies v. Rees (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 408.

(g) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 12.

(h) Davies v. Rees, supra.

(i) Heseltine v. Simmons, [1892] 2 Q. B. 547. A defeasance not stated in a bill of sale avoids it altogether under s. 9 of the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43); but the omission of a condition only avoids registration under s. 10 (3) of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31).

(j) Re Burdett, Ex parte Byrne (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 310, where the deed was held a valid mortgage of property other than personal chattels; Mumford v. Collier (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 279, where it created the relation of landlord and

tenant; and see p. 35, ante.

(k) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 9.
(l) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 4.

(m) Ibid., s. 5. (n) Ibid., s. 14; and see p. 63, post.

(o) See p. 34, ante. Where a bill of sale is void, the sureties for its performance are discharged (Brown v. Blaine (1884), 1 T. L. B. 158). A surety for a debt secured by bill of sale is discharged to the extent of the security lost by the grantee's default to register or enforce its provisions (Wulff and Billing v. Jay (1872), L. B. 7 Q. B. 756).

(p) Furber v. Cobb (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 494. If the grantor consents to the appropriation of the proceeds of sale of chattels comprised in a bill of sale, he

104. If a grantor has treated the bill of sale as valid for his own purposes, to the possible prejudice of the grantee, he cannot afterwards be heard to say that it is void (q).

SECT. 1. Bills of Sale by way of Security.

Sect. 2.—Absolute Bills of Sale. SUB-SECT. 1 .- Extent of Avoidance.

105. A bill of sale given otherwise than by way of security for How far the payment of money, or registered before the commencement of absolute bills the amending Act, is not invalid under any provision of that Act.

of sale are

Such a bill of sale, though not complying with the provisions of the principal Act, is good between the parties (r), and is void only to the extent, and as against the persons, mentioned in the principal Act, namely, trustees or assignees in the grantor's bankruptcy or liquidation (s) or under any assignment for the benefit of his creditors, sheriffs' officers, and other persons seizing chattels under execution against the grantor, and every person on whose behalf such process of execution shall have been issued (t).

An absolute bill of sale is not avoided against the grantor or Extent of any person other than those above mentioned (u); and it is only avoidance. avoided against the persons mentioned so far as regards the property in, or the right to the possession of, any chattels comprised

cannot, nor can those representing him, claim them on the ground that the bill of sale was void (Parsons v. Develoury and Clarke (1887), 3 T. L. R. 354).

(q) Roe v. Mutual Loan Fund, Ltd. (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 347 (returning grantees as partly secured in liquidation proceedings, and paying them a composition on balance); Comitti v. Maher (1905), 94 L. T. 158 (requesting, or concurring in, grantee's assertion of title under the bill of sale to defeat executions).

(r) Davis v. Goodman (1880), 5 C. P. D. 128. A bill of sale within the principal Act by joint grantors, on one becoming bankrupt, is only avoided to the extent of his interest in the chattels (Re Reed, Ex parte Brown (1878), 9

(a) As to a scheme or composition, see Bankruptcy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71), a 3 (16), (17), and title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 79

et seq., 325 et seq.

(t) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8: "Every bill of sale to which this Act applies shall be duly attested and shall be registered under this Act within seven days after the making or giving thereof, and shall set forth the consideration for which such bill of sale was given, otherwise such bill of sale, as against all trustees or assignees of the estate of the person whose chattels, or any of them, are comprised in such bill of sale under the law relating to bankruptcy or liquidation, or under any assignment for the benefit of the creditors of such person, and also as against all sheriffs officers and other persons seizing any chattels comprised in such bill of sale, in the execution of any process of any Court authorising the seizure of the chattels of the person by whom or of whose chattels such bill has been made, and also as against every person on whose behalf such process shall have been issued, shall be deemed fraudulent and void so far as regards the property in or right to the possession of any chattels comprised in such bill of sale which at or after the time of filing the petition for bankruptcy or liquidation, or of the execution of such assignment, or of executing such process (as the case may be), and after the expiration of such seven days, are in the possession or apparent possession of the person making such bill of sale (or of any person against whom the process has issued under or in the execution of which such bill has been made or given, as the case may be)."

(u) E.g., the liquidator of a company (Re Marine Mansions Co. (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 601); unsecured creditors of an insolvent estate (Re D'Epineuil (Count)

(1882), 20 Ch. D. 217).

SECT. 2. Absolute Bills of Sale.

in such bill of sale, which at or after the time of the filing of a petition for bankruptcy or liquidation, or of the execution of any assignment for the benefit of creditors, or of the execution of process of any court authorising the seizure of the chattels (as the case may be), and after the expiration of the seven days from the execution of the bill of sale allowed for its registration, are in the possession or apparent possession of the grantor (w).

When registration unnecessary.

106. During the seven days allowed for registration an absolute bill of sale, otherwise valid, is not avoided by the occurrence of the events mentioned, although the grantor remains in uncontrolled possession of the chattels comprised therein. If before the occurrence of any of the events mentioned the grantee takes and holds actual possession, registration is unnecessary (a).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Apparent Possession.

When chattels are in grantor's apparent possession.

107. The doctrine of apparent possession now only affects bills of sale to which the amending Act does not apply. Personal chattels are in the apparent possession of the grantor of a bill of sale so long as they remain or are in or upon any house, mill, warehouse, building, works, yard, land, or other premises occupied by him, or are used or enjoyed by him in any place whatever, notwithstanding that formal possession of them may have been taken by or given to any other person (b).

The possession of the grantor may either be actual, or apparent as defined above, where chattels are on premises occupied by him, which means de facto occupation in the ordinary sense of the term (c), or where they are used or enjoyed by him in any place (d).

Chattels not in possession of the grantor, but held for him by a bailee, may remain in his apparent possession (e), if not held under a pledge or lien (f).

Goods may still be in the grantor's apparent possession, although a demand of possession or threat to take forcible possession has been made by the grantee (q).

Termination of apparent possession.

108. To terminate the grantor's apparent possession there must be more than formal possession (h). Something must be done which in the eyes of everybody who sees the goods, or who is concerned in the matter, plainly takes them out of the possession, or apparent possession, of the grantor. Where possession is taken merely to

(d) Chattels are not "used and enjoyed" by the grantor where they have been let to a third person, together with the house (Re Westray, Ex parte Morrison (1880), 42 L. T. 158, where part of the rent was payable to the grantee).

(e) Ancona v. Rogers (1876), 1 Ex. D. 285, C. A.; Re Wood, Ex parte Newsham (1879), 40 L. T. 104, where goods in the hands of the police, included by the

(f) Lincoln Wagon and Engine Co. v. Mumford (1879), 41 L. T. 655.
(g) Ancona v. Rogers, supra. It is otherwise as regards reputed ownership

(see p. 60, post). (h) Gough v. Everard (1863), 32 L. J. (Ex.) 210.

⁽w) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8.
(a) Marples v. Hartley (1861), 30 L. J. (q. B.) 92.
(b) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.
(c) Robinson v. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1, where the tenant of the rooms in which the goods were placed resided elsewhere, and having given up the keys to the grantee, was held not to be in occupation.

grantor in a bill of sale, were held to be in his apparent possession.

prevent removal of the goods, everything being used by the grantor, or going on as it did before, the goods remain in his apparent pos-But if the grantee has taken actual possession, even Bills of Sale. though it be wrongful (k), and the goods no longer appear to be in possession of the grantor, although they may remain on premises occupied by him, the Act does not apply (l).

SECT. 2. Absolute

Chattels of which the sheriff has taken and holds actual possession under an execution do not remain in the grantor's apparent possession (m).

109. Chattels belonging to a wife, in the house which she and her Chattels husband occupy, are, in general, deemed to be in her possession, and not in the possession or apparent possession of her husband (n).

Sect. 3.—Avoidance against Third Parties.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Trustee in Bankruptcy.

110. If the grantor of a valid bill of sale becomes bankrupt (o), Grantee's the grantee has the same rights of proof (p), and otherwise, as other rights on bankruptcy secured creditors. If he proves his debt, and gives up his security, of grantor. the trustee stands in his place, and a subsequent bill of sale is not advanced (q); and the same result follows where the trustee buys up a bill of sale (r).

(m) Re Brenner, Ex parte Saffery (1881), 16 Ch. D. 668; Re Eales, Exparte Steel (1905), 54 W. B. 202. See Taylor v. Eckersley (1877), 5 Ch. D. 740 (possession of receiver).

(n) See p. 17, ante.
(o) For the principles of bankruptcy generally, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II.

(p) Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), Sched. II. As to secured creditors generally, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 224 et seq.

(9) Cracknall v. Janson (1877), 6 Ch. D. 735. (r) Bell v. Sunderland Building Society (1883), 24 Ch. D. 618. A grantee

Digitized by Google

⁽i) Re Blenkhorn, Ex parte Jay (1874), 9 Ch. App. 697. For other instances of formal possession being insufficient, see Re Vining, Ex parte Hooman (1870), L. R. 10 Eq. 63; Seal v. Claridge (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 516, where, though possession was taken, the grantor retained the key of the premises, and used them as he pleased; Pickard v. Marriage (1876), 1 Ex. D. 364, where the grantor resided on the premises as servant to the grantee, and used the goods as part salary for managing the business; Re Henderson, Ex parte Lewis (1871), 6 Ch. App. 626, where possession was taken and a sale announced, not stated to be under the bill of sale; Ancona v. Rogers (1876), 1 Ex. D. 285, where there were threats to seize, but the grantor remained in possession.

⁽k) Re Henley, Exparte Fletcher (1877), 5 Ch. D. 809.
(l) Smith v. Wall (1868), 18 L. T. 182. For other cases in which real possession terminated apparent possession, see Davies v. Jones (1862), 10 W. R. 779. where possession was taken and the business conducted by the grantee; Gibbons v. Hickson & Sons (1885), 53 L. T. 910, where on sale of a business the name was altered and the creditors were informed, though the vendor continued to conduct the business; Robinson v. Briggs (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 1, where the goods were in the grantor's rooms, but the key was handed to the grantee; Re Westray, Ex parte Morrison (1880), 42 L. T. 158, where the goods were let by the grantor, the grantee receiving part of the rent; Emanuel v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 286; Smith v. Wall, supra, where possession was taken, and the goods were advertised for sale under a bill of sale, though the grantor remained in the house; Re Blenkhorn, Ex parte Jay, supra, where the grantee had commenced to remove and pack the goods into vans; Antoniadi v. Smith, [1901] 2 K. B. 589, where the buyer of goods used them as his own, though living in the same house as the seller.

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

Trustee's rights,

111. If the trustee sets aside a bill of sale as void against him, he does not gain priority over a valid bill of sale of later date (s); nor, when the bill of sale is absolute, can he claim, as against the grantee, chattels which at the time of an execution avoided by the bankruptcy are in the apparent possession of the grantor, but which are not in such possession at the time of filing the petition for bankruptcy (a).

A trustee in bankruptcy, in addition to any claim under the Bills of Sale Acts, may impeach a bill of sale under the law of bankruptcy, or as a fraudulent conveyance within the statute 13 Eliz. c. 5 (b); but he takes only the same rights as the bankrupt, unless

he is by statute placed in a better position (c).

The court will not interfere by injunction with the rights of a grantee in possession on the mere suggestion that a trustee in bankruptcy may be able to impeach the bill of sale, unless some facts are deposed to, which if established would make it void (d).

When bill of sale an act of bankruptcy.

112. A bill of sale of substantially all the grantor's property given as security for a pre-existing debt, without further equivalent, is an act of bankruptcy, void against the grantor's trustee in bankruptcy appointed in proceedings under a petition presented within three months after it was given (e).

But this is not so if the bill of sale is given in good faith for a present advance, or to secure a pre-existing debt, with a further advance, reasonably believed to be sufficient to enable the grantor to continue his business (f), unless the advance is made merely for

the purpose of obtaining security for a past debt (q).

An agreement to make further advances may support a bill of Further sale for a past debt (h); and a bill of sale subsequently given in pursuance of an agreement made at the time of a loan is in the same position as if given for a present equivalent (i), unless giving

advances.

compromising a trustee's claim to avoid a bill of sale, on the terms that the bankruptcy should be annulled, is remitted to his original rights on the order for annulment being discharged (Re Spanton, Ex parte Jarvis (1879), 10 Ch. D. 179).

the bill of sale is postponed until the eve of insolvency. It rests on

(a) Re Toomer, Ex parte Blaiberg (1883), 23 Ch. D. 254.

(b) See p. 61, post; and title Fraudulent and Voidable Conveyances.

(i) Mercer v. Peterson (1868), L. R. 3 Exch. 104.

⁽s) Re Cross, Ex parte Payne (1879), 11 Ch. D. 539; Sanguinetti v. Stuckey's Banking Co., [1895] 1 Ch. 176; Re Barraud, Ex parte Leman (1876), 4 Ch. D.

⁽c) Re Mapleback, Ex parte Caldecott (1876), 4 Ch. D. 150. If the trustee claims the proceeds of a sale by the grantee, he cannot afterwards proceed in trover (Smith v. Baker (1873), L. R. 8 C. P. 350).

⁽d) Re Hart, Ex parte Eagly (1880), 15 Ch. D. 223. (e) Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), ss. 4 (1) (b), 43; Re Wood (1872), 7 Ch. App. 302. Such a bill of sale, if executed before the period to which the trustee's title relates, is not an act of bankruptcy. As to act of bankruptcy by assignment of the whole of debtor's property, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 14, 15.

(f) Re Ellis, Ex parte Ellis (1876), 2 Ch. D. 797.

(g) Re Chapman, Ex parte Johnson (1884), 26 Ch. D. 338.

⁽h) Re Berry, Ex parte Wilkinson (1883), 22 Ch. D. 788. Compare Re Parker, Ex parte Dann (1881), 17 Ch. D. 26, where there was no agreement for advances, though contemplated.

the person claiming under such an agreement to prove its good

faith, and the reason for any delay (k).

Forbearance to enforce an execution is not of itself sufficient consideration to support against a trustee in bankruptcy a bill of sale which would otherwise be an available act of bankruptcy (1), nor is such a bill of sale protected where the only consideration is Forbearance. forbearance to seize under a valid bill of sale already held by the grantee (m).

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

113. If a bill of sale is taken in good faith, and comprises only part When bill of the grantor's property, it may nevertheless be subject to avoid- of sale ance under the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (n), if made by the grantor when unable to pay his debts, within three months before a petition on which he is adjudged bankrupt, with a view to give the grantee, being a creditor, a preference over other creditors (n).

preference.

To avoid a bill of sale as a fraudulent preference, proof must be given that the grantor's dominant view in giving the bill of sale

was to prefer the grantee (o).

It is not a fraudulent preference to give a new bill of sale in place of one already executed containing clauses which made it void, with the intention to correct the mistake (p), nor for the grantor to cause chattels covered by a valid bill of sale to be taken out of his apparent possession or reputed ownership (q).

114. Where the grantee of a bill of sale, void against a trustee in Payments bankruptcy, has in good faith (r) made payments which have relieved the estate, they have been allowed him out of the proceeds of sale of the chattels included in the bill of sale (s).

bill of sale.

Sub-Sect. 2 .- Reputed Ownership.

115. On the bankruptcy of the grantor of a bill of sale subject to Effect of the amending Act, chattels comprised in it may be claimed by his trustee as being in the bankrupt's reputed ownership (a).

bill of sale on reputed ownership.

Before the commencement of the principal Act registration of a bill of sale did not take chattels out of the grantor's reputed ownership (b); but that Act altered the law in this respect, and provides

(l) Re Baum, Ex parte Cooper (1878), 10 Ch. D. 313. (m) Re Cross, Exparte Payne (1879), 11 Ch. D. 539.
(n) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 48.

⁽k) Re Barker, Ex parte Kilner (1879), 13 Ch. D. 245. Postponing seizure until insolvency is not within this rule, if the bill of sale was taken in good faith (Morris v. Morris, [1895] A. C. 625).

⁽o) Sharp v. Jackson, [1899] A. C. 419. For fraudulent preference generally, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 279 et seq.

⁽p) Re Tweedale, Ex parte Tweedale, [1892] 2 Q. B. 216.

⁽p) Re I vectale, Ex parte I weetale, [1632] 2 Q. D. 210.
(q) Re Jordan, Ex parte Symmons (1880), 14 Ch. D. 693.
(r) Re Townsend, Ex parte Hall (1880), 14 Ch. D. 132.
(s) Re James, Ex parte Harris (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 253 (discharge of prior valid bills of sale); Re Cole, Ex parte Mutton (1872), L. R. 14 Eq. 178 (paying out executions); Re Ayshford, Ex parte Lovering (1887), 35 W. R. 652 (paying out distress).

⁽a) As to reputed ownership generally, see Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 44 (2) (iii.), which by *ibid.*, s. 149 (2), now governs the construction of s. 20 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), and title Bankruptcy AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 173 et seq.
(b) Re Fairbrother, Ex parte Harding (1873), L. R. 15 Eq. 223.

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

that chattels comprised in a bill of sale which has been and continues to be duly registered under the Act shall not be deemed to be in the possession, order, or disposition of the grantor of the bill of sale (c). Although partially repealed by the amending Act(d), this provision protects chattels comprised in a bill of sale duly registered before the amending Act came into force (e), or since given, if otherwise than by way of security for the payment of money (f), provided that the registration remains in force. And the protection given by the Act applies notwithstanding the bankruptcy of the grantor during the period allowed for registration (q).

When reputed ownership applies.

116. A bankrupt's property divisible amongst his creditors includes all goods being, at the commencement of the bankruptcy (h), in the possession, order, or disposition of the bankrupt in his trade or business, by the consent and permission of the true owner, under such circumstances that he is the reputed owner thereof, except choses in action, other than debts due or growing due to the bankrupt in the course of his trade or business (i).

Fixtures are not goods for the purposes of reputed ownership,

although in some cases within the Bills of Sale Acts (1).

Only goods in the possession, order, or disposition of a bankrupt in his trade or business are affected, and not, in general, household furniture or articles of domestic use.

Custom.

Consent.

Even trade goods may be protected by a notorious custom, proved to exist, for persons in the bankrupt's trade or business to have in their custody the goods of others, so as to exclude any reputation of ownership arising from possession (k). For the consent and permission of the true owner (l) must extend not only to possession, order, or disposition, but also to reputation of ownership (m).

This consent may be withdrawn by taking formal possession (n), or by a demand of possession (o), even if after an act of bankruptcy, but without notice, and before a receiving order has been made (p).

But the consent and permission of the grantee of a bill of sale subject to the amending Act, being the true owner, to the grantor's possession, order, or disposition as reputed owner, may

(c) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 20.

(d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 15.

(e) Re Chapple, Ex parte Izard (1883), 23 Ch. D. 409.

f) Swift v. Pannell (1883), 24 Ch. D. 210. (a) Re Hewer, Ex parte Kahen (1882), 21 Ch. D. 871. (b) See Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 43.

(i) I bid., s. 44 (2) (iii.).

) See p. 21, ante. (k) Re Parker, Ex parte Turquand (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 636 (furniture in hotel). For the trades in which such custom has been proved, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 180, note (l).

(1) The true owner must be distinct from the reputed owner, but a person having an equitable interest may be true owner within the section (Colonial

Bank v. Whinney (1886), 11 App. Cas. 426) (m) Re Watson & Co., Ex parte Atkin Brothers, [1904] 2 K. B. 753.

(n) Re Francis, Ex parte National Guardian Assurance Co. (1878), 10 Ch. D. **408.**

(o) Re O'Brien, Ex parte Montagu (1876), 1 Ch. D. 554. (p) Re Wright, Ex parte Arnold (1876), 3 Ch. D. 70.

continue, although none of the events on which, under the Act (q), possession can lawfully be taken by the grantee have happened before the grantor's bankruptcy (r).

Goods in the custody of the law are not in the grantor's posses-

sion, order, or disposition (a).

117. The Bills of Sale Acts, 1890 and 1891, do not affect the Application operation of the law of reputed ownership in respect of goods comprised in any instrument within those Acts (b).

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

of Bills of Sale Acts. 1890 and 1891.

Sub-Sect. 3.—Execution Creditors.

118. An execution levied against the goods of the grantor is a Bill of sale cause of seizure in the case of a bill of sale given by way of security. security (c).

The validity of such a bill of sale, taken in good faith, is the same against execution creditors as between the parties, save in the cases where a bill of sale is void except as against the

grantor (d).

An absolute bill of sale may be void against execution creditors Absolute bills as regards goods which, at or after the levy of execution, are in the of sale. grantor's possession or apparent possession (e); but the avoidance is only to the extent of the levy, and after the execution has been satisfied, the bill of sale may be good against subsequent executions, provided that the chattels are then no longer in the possession or apparent possession of the grantor (f).

An execution creditor may take the objection of want of regis- Notice. tration, notwithstanding that he was aware of the bill of sale when his debt was contracted (q).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Fraudulent Conveyance.

119. Execution creditors, or a trustee in bankruptcy as repre- What bills senting creditors, may at any time within the period allowed by the of sale are Statutes of Limitation (h) impeach a bill of sale as a fraudulent transfer of property, made with intent to defeat or delay creditors, within the statute 13 Eliz. c. 5 (i).

The case is not brought within the statute merely because the only consideration for the bill of sale is a past debt, or because it includes all the grantor's property (k), or because it was given to

(r) Re Ginger, Ex parte London and Universal Bank, [1897] 2 Q. B. 461.

(a) Taylor v. Eckersley (1877), 5 Ch. D. 740.

(b) See p. 19, ante.

(9) Edwards v. Edwards (1876), 2 Ch. D. 291.

(h) Re Maddever (1884), 27 Ch. D. 523.

(k) Re Bamford, Ex parte Games (1879), 12 Ch. D. 314.

⁽¹⁾ Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7.

⁽c) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (5). As to execution generally, see title EXECUTION.

⁽d) Ibid., ss. 4, 5; and see pp. 27, 28, ante.
(e) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8; and see p. 55, ante.
(f) Re Toomer, Ex parte Blaiberg (1883), 23 Ch. D. 254. Formerly an execution altogether displaced an unregistered bill of sale (Richards v. James (1867), L. B. 2 Q. B. 285).

⁽i) See titles BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 15, 16; FRAUDU-LENT AND VOIDABLE CONVEYANCES.

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

prefer a creditor, or some creditors to others (1), or because in the result it defeats an execution expected but not actually issued (m).

Transfers voluntary and for value.

If a transfer is voluntary, the grantor's intent to defeat or delay creditors will be sufficient to avoid it against creditors defeated or delayed, but if for valuable consideration, there must be proof of the like intent by both grantor and grantee (n). If express intent of both parties to defeat or delay creditors by giving a bill of sale be proved, such bill of sale will be void, even though for value (o). But it is not, it seems, sufficient proof of intent merely to show that the necessary result of the transfer is to defeat or delay creditors (p).

Debtors Act, 1869.

120. A bill of sale given by a defendant in an action for unliquidated damages, with intent to defeat any judgment the plaintiff may obtain, is not a transfer of, or charge on, property, so as to make the defendant guilty of a misdemeanour within the Debtors Act. 1869(q).

Privilege.

121. Where it is sought to prove a bill of sale fraudulent, the solicitor for the parties, or one of them, is not debarred by professional privilege from giving evidence as to the circumstances in which the bill of sale was executed (r).

SUB-SECT. 5.—Interpleader.

Order for sale.

122. When chattels seized in execution are claimed under a bill of sale by way of security for debt, the sheriff may interplead (s), and an order may thereupon be made for sale of the whole or part of them, and directions may be given for the application of the proceeds of sale (t). An order for sale may also be made notwithstanding a claim by the grantee of an absolute bill of sale (u).

But no such order should be made unless there is reasonable ground to believe that there will be a surplus for the execution creditor, or, if the security is of doubtful value, without the grantee's

consent (w).

If the execution is avoided by the judgment debtor's bankruptcy, and the chattels, not having been sold, are claimed by the official receiver or trustee, there is no power to order such a sale (a).

If an order is made for sale and satisfaction of the grantee's claim,

(o) Graham v. Furber (1854), 23 L. J. (c. P.) 51. (p) Re Wise, Ex parte Mercer (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 290.

s) As to interpleader, see title Interpleader.

(t) R. S. C., Ord. 57, r. 12.

(a) Ibid.

⁽m) Wood v. Dixie (1845), 7 Q. B. 892.

(n) Freeman v. Pope (1870), 5 Ch. App. 538; Re Hirth, [1899] 1 Q. B. 613, per Lindley, M.R., at p. 620; Re Reis, Ex parte Clough, [1904] 2 K. B. 769.

⁽q) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 62, s. 13 (2); R. v. Hopkins, [1896] 1 Q. B. 652. (r) R. v. Cox and Railton (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 153, where the solicitor had been consulted with a view to defeat an execution by giving a bill of sale; Crawcour v. Salter (1881), 18 Ch. D. 30. See title EVIDENCE.

⁽u) Paquin v. Robinson (1901), 85 L. T. 5. (w) Stern v. Tegner, [1898] 1 Q. B. 37.

as stated in his particulars, he cannot afterwards claim any further sum from the sheriff (b).

SECT. 3. Avoidance against Third Parties.

123. Where money is paid into court to abide an issue, and the goods are then seized under another execution, the grantee, if he again claims, may be ordered again to find security on the second Payment into interpleader (c).

If the grantor becomes bankrupt pending an interpleader issue, his trustee in bankruptcy, where there has been no sale, cannot claim, as representing the goods, money paid into court by the grantee (d).

Although a grantee, by paying money into court to abide the event of an issue, does not thereby acquire any property in the goods, an execution creditor, who succeeds on the issue and takes the money out of court, cannot again seize the goods under the same judgment (e).

124. Where the grantee is made plaintiff on an interpleader Justertii. issue, the defendant may defeat his claim by setting up the title of a third party, under a prior bill of sale, to prove that the plaintiff had not, at the date of seizure, the property in the goods or a right to their possession (f).

SUB-SECT. 6 .- Rates and Taxes.

125. A bill of sale given by way of security is no protection Right of against distress for taxes, poor and other parochial rates (q); but, distress. with this exception, chattels comprised in a bill of sale are not subject to distraint for rates (h).

The right of distress on goods comprised in a bill of sale does General not exist in the case of a general district rate, which may or may district rate. not operate in respect of several parishes or only part of a parish, and which is therefore not a parochial rate (i).

Chattels comprised in a bill of sale are protected against an execution levied on a judgment for rates recovered by a local authority in the county court, as their liability to seizure exists only where a distress has been or could be levied (k).

⁽b) Hockey v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 390.

⁽c) Kotchie v. Golden Sovereigns, Ltd., [1898] 2 Q. B. 164. (d) Shuckburgh v. Duthoit (1892), 8 T. L. R. 710. As to adding the trustee in

bankruptcy as claimant, see title Interpleader.

(e) Haddow v. Morton, [1894] 1 Q. B. 565. But where, after the claimant under a bill of sale had paid money into court to abide an issue, third parties claimed part of the goods, on their title being admitted by the claimant and execution creditor, and the bill of sale being found void, the execution creditors were held entitled to all the money in court, without deducting the value of the goods claimed by the third parties (Wells v. Hughes, [1907] 2 K. B. 845).

(f) Richards v. Jenkins (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 451.

⁽g) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43),

⁽h) Securities other than bills of sale, such as debentures, also afford protection against distress for rates (Richards v. Kidderminster Corporation, [1896] 2 Ch. 212). (i) Ibid.

⁽k) Wimbledon Local Board v. Underwood, [1892] 1 Q. B. 836.

SECT. 4. Successive Bills of Sale.

Avoidance of **successive**

bills of sale.

Sect. 4.—Successive Bills of Sale.

126. Before the principal Act came into operation, it had become a practice to grant a series of bills of sale within the time allowed for registration, each of which cancelled the one previously given, the last only being registered when occasion arose (l). But such bills of sale are now void, unless proved to have been bona fide given for the purpose of correcting some material error in the prior bill of sale (m).

Where a bill of sale is executed more than seven days after a prior bill of sale of the same chattels, which has not been registered, the second bill of sale is valid (n).

Substitution ot successive bill of sale.

A bill of sale given to correct a supposed mistake in one already executed is not necessarily a fraudulent preference of the grantee (o). Such a bill of sale does not cancel the former one in the absence of any intention to do so; and if the second bill of sale is avoided, the first may still be effectual (p).

A bill of sale given after, but without notice of, the bankruptcy of the grantor, in substitution for a prior bill of sale, does not cancel it, or affect the grantee's rights under it (q).

Part VII.—Rights and Liabilities of Parties.

SECT. 1.—Rights of the Grantor.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

Who may grant a bill of sale.

127. Any person, not being an infant, able to contract (r), can give a valid bill of sale of chattels of which he is the true owner (s).

A married woman may, without her husband's concurrence, give a bill of sale of chattels forming part of her separate estate (t).

(1) Ramsden v. Lupton (1873), L. R. 9 Q. B. 17.

(o) See p. 59, ante. (p) Cooper v. Zeffert (1883), 32 W. R. 402.

(q) Re Bargen, Ex parte Hasluck, [1894] 1 Q. B. 444.

(r) As to capacity to contract in general, see title CONTRACT.

(s) See p. 28, ante. (t) Walrond v. Goldmann (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 121. Compare Chapman v. Knight (1880), 5 C. P. D. 308; and see title Husband and Wife.

⁽m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 9: "Where a subsequent bill of sale is executed within or on the expiration of seven days after the execution of a prior unregistered bill of sale, and comprises all or any part of the personal chattels comprised in such prior bill of sale, then, if such subsequent bill of sale is given as a security for the same debt as is secured by the prior bill of sale, or for any part of such debt, it shall to the extent to which it is a security for the same debt or part thereof, and so far as respects the personal chattels or part thereof comprised in the prior bill, be absolutely void, unless it is proved to the satisfaction of the court having cognisance of the case that the subsequent bill of sale was bona fide given for the purpose of correcting some material error in the prior bill of sale, and not for the purpose of evading this Act."
(n) Carrard v. Meek (1880), 43 L. T. 760.

An undischarged bankrupt can give a title to a transferee for value and in good faith of chattels acquired since his bankruptcy, to which the trustee has made no claim (u), and can probably give a valid bill of sale of such chattels.

SECT. 1. Rights of the Grantor.

An undischarged bankrupt, left in possession of business assets Bankrupt. by his trustee, may have implied authority to raise money for his business by including them in a bill of sale (w), but a trustee does not, by leaving a bankrupt in possession of furniture, give him authority to dispose of it by bill of sale (a).

A bill of sale given by a debtor after a composition approved in bankruptcy has been upheld, although the composition was carried by the debtor's fraud (b).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Relief against Seizure; Redemption; Damages.

128. A bill of sale in the statutory form vests the chattels Effect of assigned in the grantee, leaving the right to their possession in the seizure. grantor until any of the events mentioned as causes of seizure in the amending Act occur (c).

When, for any of such causes, the grantee seizes the chattels, the grantor's legal interest in them ceases, and he cannot sue the grantee in trespass for removing them, even after tender of principal, interest, and costs (d). His remedy is to apply for relief to the High Court or a judge in chambers, within five days from the seizure (e), or, after that period has elapsed, to take proceedings for redemption on the usual terms (f). If the debt has been satisfied or discharged, he is entitled to have satisfaction entered at the registry (g).

On the grantor's application within five days from seizure, the Terms on court or judge, if satisfied that by payment of money or otherwise which relief the cause of seizure no longer exists, may restrain the grantee from removing or selling the chattels, or may make such other order as may seem just (h). Thus, a sale may be restrained on condition that instalments overdue are paid (i); and if a grantee seizes only for an instalment overdue, he may be ordered to withdraw on payment of that instalment, interest, and costs (k). But if the grantor is otherwise in default, as for non-payment of rent, relief may be refused (1).

⁽u) Cohen v. Mitchell (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 262. For dealings by undischarged bankrupt with after-acquired property, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 164 et seq.

(w) Re Simons, Ex parte Allard (1881), 16 Ch. D. 505.

(a) Meggy v. Imperial Discount Co. (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 711.

(b) Seymour v. Coulson (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 359.

⁽c) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7; Johnson v. Diprose, [1893] 1 Q. B. 512.

⁽d) Ibid.

⁽e) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (proviso).

⁽f) See p. 66, post.

⁽g) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 15; and see p. 73, post. (h) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (proviso).

⁽i) Ex parte Cotton (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 301.

⁽k) Re Ellis, Ex parte Ellis, [1898] 2 Q. B. 79.

(h) Cowley v. Tyler, [1884] W. N. 77, where the grantor had not repaid rent paid at his request by the grantee to avoid a distress.

SECT. 1. Rights of

A grantee will not, as a general rule, be restrained from exercising his legal rights, unless the grantor pays into court the amount the Grantor. claimed to be due, but the court may grant an injunction without imposing such terms where it is clear that the grantee is claiming too much (m).

Redemption before due date.

129. A grantor cannot ordinarily redeem a bill of sale before the time agreed for payment, which, where principal and interest are

payable together, may be matter of calculation (n).

Where, however, the grantee takes possession in order to realise his security, he is bound to accept the grantor's tender of principal, although not yet due, with interest to date, and expenses, and may be ordered to withdraw and give up the bill of sale on such payment (o).

And if the grantee sells with the grantor's consent, he cannot after sale claim interest for the period during which the grantor would have been liable for interest if the bill of sale had continued

in force (p).

But a grantee is entitled, on default in payment of an instalment, to take possession to protect his security, and may hold possession until the amount overdue is paid. Unless, therefore, he has taken possession for the purpose of realisation, he cannot be compelled to accept payment of his principal not yet due, with interest to date, or to give up the bill of sale (q).

Where chattels comprised in a bill of sale are seized in execution, and, in interpleader proceedings, an order for sale is made (r), the order may, it seems, by its terms provide for the compulsory

redemption of the grantee before the time for payment (s).

The Money-lenders Act, 1900 (t), appears to give additional powers of relief, and in certain cases to permit an order for redemption, although the time for repayment of the loan may not have arrived (u).

Wrongful seizure.

130. If the grantor, on the day appointed for payment, pays or tenders principal and interest, the grantee will be responsible in damages if he seizes the goods (w). He is also responsible, if he seizes before default (x), as, for instance, without any of the causes for seizure stated in the amending Act (a).

(m) Hickson v. Darlow (1883), 23 Ch. D. 690.

the general principles of redemption, see title MORTGAGE.

(o) Ex parte Wickens, [1898] 1 Q. B. 543. Where the seizure is wrongful, the grantee may be ordered to pay costs of the application for relief (ibid.).

(p) West v. Diprose, [1900] 1 Ch. 337.

(t) 63 & 64 Vict. c. 51.

(u) See title Money and Money-Lending.

notwithstanding wrongful seizure (Monson v. Milner (1892), 8 T. L. R. 447).

(a) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7; and see p. 68, post.

⁽n) Re Davies, Ex parte Equitable Investment Co. (1897), 77 L. T. 567. For

⁽q) Re Ellis, Ex parte Ellis, [1898] 2 Q. B. 79. (r) R. S. C., Ord. 57, r. 12; and see p. 62, ante. (s) Forster v. Clowser, [1897] 2 Q. B. 362.

⁽w) Johnson v. Diprose, [1893] 1 Q. B. 512. A promise without consideration to extend the time for payment does not of itself prevent the grantee taking possession (Williams v. Stern (1879), 5 Q. B. D. 409). Compare Albert v. Grosvenor Investment Co. (1867), L. R. 3 Q. B. 123.

(x) Massey v. Sladen (1868), L. R. 4 Exch. 13. The bill of sale remains a security

A void bill of sale is no answer to an action for seizing the

chattels purporting to be assigned (b).

SECT. 1. Rights of the Grantor.

Substantial damages may be given for a wrongful seizure (c); but where the bill of sale is valid, the measure of damages for Measure of wrongfully taking the chattels assigned, apart from any question damages. of special damage, is the value of the grantor's interest in them, and not their full value (d).

131. Where possession of chattels is lawfully taken by the grantee Injury to of a bill of sale, and by his negligence they are injured in the course goods after of removal, though the grantor cannot at law recover damages for such injury, he is entitled to be credited with the loss on redeeming, or, if he is sued for the balance of debt, may claim that it be deducted (e).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Fraud on, or by, Grantor.

132. The grantor may within a reasonable time repudiate a bill Setting aside of sale which he has been induced to give by fraud (f). Thus, a bill bill of sale. of sale may be set aside for fraud where it was obtained in place of a prior bill of sale which the grantee represented as valid, knowing that it was void on legal grounds (g).

133. A fraudulent removal of chattels assigned by a bill of sale is Disposal of a cause for seizure under the amending Act(h); and if a grantor goods. wrongfully sells chattels assigned by bill of sale, the grantee may, in general, at once sue to recover them, even before the time for payment (i), notwithstanding that the purchaser bought in good faith without notice of the bill of sale (k); and, where the sale is not in the ordinary course of the grantor's business (k), any person dealing with them is responsible to the grantee (l).

(b) See p. 54, ante.

(g) Bouchette v. Consolidated Credit and Mortgage Corporation (1889), 5 T. L. R.

(i) Fenn v. Bittleston (1851), 7 Exch. 152.

(k) Taylor v. McKeand (1880), 5 C. P. D. 358; Payne v. Fern (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 620.

F 2

⁽c) Moore v. Shelley (1883), 8 App. Cas. 285. A grantor, notwithstanding his bankruptcy, may recover damages for personal annoyance and loss caused by wrongful seizure of goods under a bill of sale (Rose v. Buckett, [1901] 2 K. B. 449).

⁽d) Brierley v. Kendall (1852), 17 Q. B. 937.
(e) Johnson v. Diprose, [1893] 1 Q. B. 512.
(f) Moorhouse v. Woolfe (1882), 46 L. T. 374 (misrepresentation of rate of interest); Gordon v. Street, [1899] 2 Q. B. 641 (money-lender concealing his identity). See Money-lenders Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 51), ss. 2, 4. An agreement with a money-lender who has not registered under this Act cannot be enforced (Bonnard v. Dott, [1906] 1 Ch. 740); but the borrower cannot, in equity, recover his securities without payment (Lodge v. National Union Investment Co., [1907] 1 Ch. 300). See also titles MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUD; MONEY AND MONEY-LENDING.

⁽h) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (3); and see p. 69, post.

⁽¹⁾ As, for instance, a pledgee (Joseph v. Webb (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 262), or an auctioneer selling on the instructions of the grantor (Consolidated Co. v. l'ortis & Son, [1892] 1 Q. B. 495; Cochrane v. Rymill (1879), 40 L. T. 744), unless he does not deal with the goods themselves, but only introduces a purchaser (National Mercantile Bank v. Rymill (1881), 44 L. T. 767). See titles AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 226; AUCTIONS AND AUCTIONEERS, Vol. I., p. 521; TEOVER AND CONVERSION.

SECT. 1. Rights of the Grantor.

Punishment of fraudulent grantor.

But if the grantee permits the grantor, left in possession of chattels, to hold himself out as having the property in them, as where they consist of stock in trade or chattels used in carrying on a business, a purchaser from the grantor, in the ordinary course of the grantor's business, without notice of the bill of sale, obtains a good title against the grantee (m).

134. A grantor who sells goods included in a bill of sale, the existence of which he conceals (n), or who obtains a loan on a bill of sale of chattels which are already included in a bill of sale by representing that they are unincumbered (o), may be guilty of obtaining money by false pretences.

SECT. 2.—Rights of the Grantee.

Sub-Sect. 1 .- Right of Seizure.

Limitations on right of seizure.

135. In the case of an absolute bill of sale, not only the property in the goods, but also the right of possession, passes to the grantee on the execution of the deed; but in the case of a bill of sale given by way of security the right of possession remains provisionally in the grantor; and the grantee cannot seize and take possession of the goods except for the causes specified in the amending Act (p). Possession may be taken for any one or more of those causes, even though no express power of seizure is conferred by the bill of sale; for the provisions of the Act, together with the scheduled form, give an implied power of seizure (q).

The grantee cannot, without leave of the court, seize goods in the possession of a receiver duly appointed (r); nor can ne, after a receiver has been appointed, remove the goods, although he was

in possession before the receiver (s).

Right where premises assigned.

A bill of sale gives no right to keep or sell the goods assigned on premises of which the grantor has parted with possession (t).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Causes of Seizure.

Default in payment.

Right as

against

receiver.

136. Personal chattels assigned under a bill of sale, given by way of security, are not liable to be seized or taken possession of by the grantee for any other than the causes specified in the amending Act (u). One cause of seizure is if the grantor makes default in the payment of the sum or sums secured by the bill of sale at the time therein provided for payment (u). But a grantee is entitled to seize the goods on default in payment of any instalment due under a bill of sale, although the bill of sale does not provide that the whole debt shall become due on non-payment of one instalment (v).

(o) R. v. Meakin (1869), 11 Cox, C. C. 270.

(r) Re Mead, Ex parte Cochrane (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 282. (s) Re Fells, Ex parte Andrews (1876), 4 Ch. D. 509.

⁽m) National Mercantile Bank v. Hampson (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 177; Walker v. Clay (1880), 42 L. T. 369.

⁽n) R. v. Sampson (1885), 52 L. T. 772. See also title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

⁽p) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7; Johnson v. Diprose, [1893] 1 Q. B. 512.
(q) Watkins v. Evans (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 386.

⁽t) Smith v. Brown (1879), 48 L. J. (CH.) 694. (u) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (1). (v) Re Wood, Ex parte Woolfe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 605. If he seizes for his whole

It is a cause of seizure if the grantor becomes bankrupt (w). A grantor becomes bankrupt when he commits an available act of bankruptcy followed by adjudication (x). But seizure cannot be the Grantee. made on the grantor effecting a composition (y), or committing an Bankruptcy act of bankruptcy (z). Whether approval by the court of a scheme of grantor. of arrangement would warrant seizure has not been decided (a).

SECT. 2. Rights of

It is a cause of seizure if the grantor fraudulently either removes Fraudulent the goods comprised in the bill of sale, or suffers them, or any of removal. them, to be removed from the premises (b). A removal of goods assigned by a bill of sale, not being a fraudulent removal, will not warrant seizure, but an agreement by the grantor not to remove goods is valid (c).

It is a cause of seizure if the grantor shall not, without reasonable Nonexcuse, upon demand in writing by the grantee, produce to him his last receipts for rent, rates, and taxes (d); but an agreement to produce receipts for rent, rates, and taxes, without the qualifications imposed by the Act, is valid (e). Another cause of seizure exists Levy of if execution is levied against the goods of the grantor under any execution. judgment at law (f); but the Act does not prohibit an agreement not to do anything whereby execution may be levied on the goods (q). It is also a cause of seizure if the grantor makes default Breach of in the performance of any covenant or agreement contained in the bill of sale and necessary for maintaining the security (h), or if he suffers the goods assigned, or any of them, to be distrained for rent, rates, or taxes(i).

production of rent receipts

137. No power of seizure can be given for breach of agreements No seizure varying from the causes of seizure within the Act, and to make for other seizure lawful the conditions of the Act must be observed. Thus, a causes. written demand that the last receipt for rent be sent to the grantee

by post is not a demand for production of the receipt (k). If rent has recently accrued due, it is a reasonable excuse for not

producing the receipt that the landlord has not required payment (l).

debt, he may be paid off, although the time of payment has not arrived, but not if he takes possession only to secure the instalment overdue. See p. 66, ante. (w) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43),

8. 7 (2). Re Turner, Ex parte Attwater (1877), 5 Ch. D. 27. See title BANKRUPTCY

AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 13 et seq., 85 et seq. (y) Gilroy v. Bowey (1888), 59 L. T. 223; Barr v. Kingsford (1887), 56 L. T.

861.

(z) Re Williams, Ex parte Pearce (1883), 25 Ch. D. 656.

(a) See Bankruptcy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71), s. 3 (16), (17), and title BANKBUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 83 et seq.

(b) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Viot. c. 43), s. 7 (3).

(e) Topley v. Corsbie (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 350.

- (d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (4). (e) Cartwright v. Regan, [1895] 1 Q. B. 900; Turner & Co. v. Culpan (1888), 58 L. T. 340.
 - (f) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (5).

(g) Re Paxton, Ex parte Pope (1889), 60 L. T. 428. (h) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7 (1).

(i) Ibid., s. 7 (2).
(k) Ex parte Wickens, [1898] 1 Q. B. 543, approved and distinguished in Re Ellis, Ex parte Ellis, [1898] 2 Q. B. 79.

(l) Ex parte Cotton (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 301.

SECT. 2.

SUB-SECT. 3 .- When Chattels distrained.

Rights of the Grantee.

Extent of right of distress.

138. A bill of sale is no protection against a distress for rent, nor, in some cases, for taxes and rates (m); and chattels assigned by bill of sale, while still on the demised premises, may be taken under a distress for rent, even after seizure by the grantee, and though the rent is payable in advance (n). But the grantee is entitled to remove his goods before distress levied; and such removal, with the grantor's consent, is not fraudulent against the landlord, although the five clear days limited by the amending Act (o) have not elapsed (p).

A distress on goods assigned by bill of sale, the grantor being bankrupt, is not limited to the six months' rent for which in bankruptcy a distress is available against the bankrupt's property (q).

Surplus on distress.

139. A landlord is not obliged to account for any surplus goods, or proceeds of distress, to the holder of a bill of sale of which he has notice (r).

Marshalling.

But if a landlord distrains on goods included in a bill of sale, and on other goods the property of the grantor, he may be required, under the equitable doctrine of marshalling, to resort in the first place, for satisfaction of rent, to goods not assigned by the bill of sale (s).

Indemnity.

If the goods in a bill of sale are taken under a distress for rent which the grantor ought to have paid, the grantee is entitled to be indemnified by the grantor, and, it seems, to recover anything paid by him to discharge the rent (a). Money so paid by the grantee for rent must be repaid on redemption, and can be retained by the grantee out of the proceeds of sale of his security (b).

SUB-SECT. 4 .- Removal and Sale.

When removal may take place.

140. Chattels seized under a bill of sale given by way of security, whether registered before or after the commencement of the amending Act, must, before removal or sale, remain on the premises where seized until after the expiration of five clear days from the day they were so seized (c), within which time of five days from

⁽m) As to distress for rates and taxes, see Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment

Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 14, and p. 63, ante.
(n) London and Westminster Loan and Discount Co. v. London and North Western Rail. Co., [1893] 2 Q. B. 49.

⁽o) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 13. (p) Tomlinson v. Consolidated Credit and Mortgage Corporation (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 135.

⁽q) Crosse v. Welch (1892), 8 T. L. R. 709; Railton v. Wood (1890), 15 App. Cas. 363. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 291.

r) Evans v. Wright (1858), 27 L. J. (Ex.) 50.

⁽s) Re Stephenson, Ex parte Stephenson (1847), 17 L. J. (BCY.) 5.
(a) Edmunds v. Wallingford (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 811, questioning England v. Marsden (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 529.

⁽b) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222.
(c) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 13.
Compare O'Neill v. City and County Finance Co. (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 234, where chattels, consisting of a horse and cab, were seized under a bill of sale in the public street and removed to the grantee's yard, where they were kept five clear days, and it was held that the seizure was lawful, and that no action would lie by the grantor for the removal in the absence of special damage.

the seizure the grantor may apply for relief (d). Removal may take place, with the grantor's consent, before expiration of the period of five days (e).

SECT. 2. Rights of the Grantee.

After the expiration of the time limited, the grantee may remove Power of sale. the goods, and proceed to sell them, although the bill of sale contains no express power of sale (f). And power may be conferred by the bill of sale to sell the goods either by private treaty or public auction, and either on or off the premises (q).

141. The same trusts of the proceeds of sale are implied as in Application of the case of other sales of mortgaged property, but they may be proceeds. expressed (h); and the grantee may, out of the proceeds of sale, retain his unpaid principal with interest to the date of sale, the expenses of sale (i), costs properly incurred in defending and maintaining his rights under the security (k), and also any rent, rates, taxes, or other valid incumbrance on the chattels, discharged

An instrument embodying the terms of sale by a grantee, selling under the powers of a valid bill of sale, does not require registration (m).

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Priorities.

142. If two or more bills of sale are given comprising, in whole Priority or in part, any of the same chattels, they have priority in the dependent on order of the date of their registration respectively as regards such chattels (n).

registration.

This rule applies between absolute bills of sale, as well as between bills of sale given by way of security for the payment of money (o).

It applies in favour of the grantee of an absolute bill of sale, duly

(d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amerdment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7,

proviso; and see p. 65, ante.
(e) Lane v. Tyler (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 461; Tomlinson v. Consolidated Credit and Mortgage Corporation (1889), 24 Q. B. D. 1335.

(f) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 222.
(g) Bourne v. Wall (1891), 64 L. T. 530; Lumley v. Simmons (1887), 34 Ch. D. 698.

(h) Re Cleaver, Ex parte Rawlings (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 489. See title MORTGAGE.

(i) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver, supra, where the trust was to retain out of proceeds of sale the unpaid principal sum and interest then due, together with all costs, charges, payments, and expenses incurred, made, or sustained by the grantee in or about entering the premises, and in discharging any distress, execution, or other incumbrances on the chattels or any of them, and seizing, taking, and retaining possession thereof, and in and about their carriage, removal, warehousing, or sale (including the cost of inventories, catalogues, or

advertising), and to pay over the surplus proceeds, if any, to the grantor.

(k) Lumley v. Simmons, supra. Taxation has been ordered of costs, agreed to be paid by the grantor, and retained by the grantee out of the proceeds of a sale after the grantor's bankruptcy (Re Ford, Ex parte Official Receiver (1901),

84 L. T. 329).

(I) Re Morritt, Ex parte Official Receiver, supra.

(m) Hall v. Smith (1887), 3 T. L. R. 805.

(c) Tuck v. Southern Counties Deposit Bank (1889), 42 Ch. D. 471.

⁽n) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10. Bills of sale formerly took priority according to date of execution (Re Middleton, Ex parte Allen, Ex parte Page (1870), L. R. 11 Eq. 209).

SECT. 2. Rights of the Grantee.

registered, against the holder of a prior unregistered bill of sale. although the latter has been first to take possession (p).

Exception.

But where the grantor has already parted with all interest in the chattels by an absolute bill of sale, he ceases to be the true owner, and a subsequent bill of sale, given by way of security, being void under the amending Act, except against the grantor (q), does not, by registration, take priority of the earlier absolute bill of sale, even though the latter be unregistered (r).

This, however, only applies where the first bill of sale is absolute; for a grantor is the "true owner" within the amending Act, although he has previously given a bill of sale by way of security for

the payment of money (s).

Consolidation.

143. A grantee cannot, under the doctrine of consolidation of mortgages (a), tack to his bill of sale a security over other property of the grantor, so as to claim against an execution creditor the surplus proceeds of sale of the chattels after satisfying the bill of sale (b).

Priority of legal estate.

144. If the grantee has only an equitable title to chattels of which he has not taken possession, he may be postponed to a person, as for instance a pledgee, in whom the legal estate and interest in them becomes vested for value and without notice of the bill of sale (c).

Sect. 3.—Transfer.

Registration unnecessary.

145. A transfer or assignment of a registered bill of sale need not be registered (d), nor is renewal of registration necessary by reason only of a transfer or assignment of a bill of sale (e).

A memorandum of charge by the transferee of a bill of sale, given by way of sub-mortgage and accompanied by a deposit of the bill of sale and transfer, need not be registered, even although the transferee afterwards acquires the grantor's equity of redemption (f).

If both grantor and grantee join in transferring a bill of sale on which a balance remains due, a further advance being made to the grantor by the transferee on different terms, the transfer need not be registered, at all events to the extent of the balance remaining due on the original bill of sale (q).

Position of transferee.

146. A transferee of a bill of sale, in general, stands in no better position than the transferor (h); and if he permits the period for

(q) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 5.

(r) Tuck v. Southern Counties Deposit Bank (1889), 42 Ch. D. 471.
(s) See p. 30, ante; Thomas v. Searles, [1891] 2 Q. B. 408.

(a) As to this, see title MORTGAGE.

(c) Ibid., s. 11; and see p. 52, ante. (f) Re Parker, Ex parte Turquand (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 636.

⁽p) Lyons v. Tucker (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 523; Conelly v. Steer (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 520.

⁽b) Chesworth v. Hunt (1880), 5 C. P. D. 266. (c) Joseph v. Lyons (1884), 15 Q. B. D. 280; Hallas v. Robinson (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 288. These cases primarily apply to after-acquired property, but are probably of general application.

(d) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 10 ad fin.

⁽g) Horne v. Hughes (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 676. (h) Re Walden, Ex parte Odell (1878), 10 Ch. D. 76; Chapman v. Knight

renewal to elapse, the registration of the original bill of sale will become void notwithstanding the transfer (i). But on the transfer of a registered absolute bill of sale, effected by an instrument registered as a bill of sale, the transferee's title will be protected against an execution creditor of the grantor of the original bill of sale, even though its registration is not renewed, and the goods remain in the grantor's possession, if at the time of transfer no person was in a position to avoid the bill of sale under the principal Act(k).

SECT. 3. Transfer.

So where the grantee of an absolute bill of sale takes possession Purchaser and sells under his power of sale, a purchaser will get a good title, under power even if registration is not renewed; for, by the absolute transfer of the chattels at a time when no person is entitled to claim under the principal Act (l), the bill of sale is spent and satisfied, and the Act has no further application (m).

If, however, possession has not been taken, and there has not been registration either of the bill of sale or transfer, chattels which throughout remain in the possession or apparent possession of the original grantor will, not withstanding the transfer, be subject to the claims of persons entitled to avoid the bill of sale against him (n).

SECT. 4.—Satisfaction.

147. Where the debt secured by a bill of sale has been satisfied or Entering up discharged, the registrar may order a memorandum of satisfaction to be written upon any registered copy of the bill (o). Leave to enter up satisfaction may be obtained ex parte on a consent, signed by the person entitled to the benefit of the bill of sale, attested by a witness, and verified by affidavit, being produced to the registrar and filed in the Central Office (p). Where consent cannot be obtained, an order to enter up satisfaction may be obtained on summons in chambers (q).

satisfaction.

If the witness attesting and verifying the consent is a solicitor, and so described, satisfaction will be directed, the papers being otherwise correct, as of course (r). But an order to enter up satisfaction cannot be refused merely because the deponent to the affidavit verifying consent is not a solicitor (s).

Where there has been local registration of a bill of sale, a notice After local

(1880), 5 C. P. D. 308. It is apprehended that the transferee of a bill of sale void under the Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), would acquire no interest. (i) Karet v. Kosher Meat Supply Association (1877), 2 Q. B. D. 361.

k) Antoniadi v. Smith, [1901] 2 K. B. 589.

(I) See Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 8, and p. 55, ante.

(m) Cookson v. Swire (1884), 9 App. Cas. 653.
(n) Hopkins v. Gudgeon, [1906] 1 K. B. 690.
(o) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 15.
(p) R. S. C., Ord. 61, r. 26. The consent signed and duly attested and the affidavit verifying it are placed before the Practice Master, who, if the papers are in order, will grant the required leave. Forms of consent and affidavit may be obtained in the Stamp Department of the Central Office, Room No. 5.

(q) Ibid., r. 27. For forms of summons and order, see R. S. C., Appendix K, Nos. 58, 60; Yearly Practice, 1908, pp. 1879, 1880.
(r) Practice Masters' Rules (25).

(s) Re a Bill of Sale, [1894] 2 Q. B. 923.

SECT. 4. Satisfaction.

of entering up satisfaction must be transmitted to any registrar to whom an abstract of such bill of sale has been transmitted, and must by him be numbered, filed, and indexed (t).

Effect of satisfaction.

148. An order that a bill of sale should be expunged from the register has been made in some cases either by consent or on summons (u). Such a practice does not seem directly authorised by the statutes; but a grantee may, on payment, be ordered to give up his bill of sale (a), and cannot stipulate that it shall remain in his possession after payment (b).

A bill of sale which has been paid off cannot be set up against an execution creditor, even though satisfaction has not been

entered (c).

Part VIII.—Procedure and Evidence.

SECT. 1.—Rectification, and Extension of Time.

Extent of relief given.

149. Power is given by the principal Act to a judge of the High Court, on being satisfied that the omission to register a bill of sale or an affidavit of renewal thereof within the time prescribed, or the omission or mis-statement of the name, residence, or occupation of any person, was accidental or due to inadvertence, in his discretion to order such omission or mis-statement to be rectified by the insertion in the register of the true name, residence, or occupation, or by extending the time for such registration on such terms and conditions, if any, as to security, notice by advertisement or otherwise, or as to any other matter, as he thinks fit to direct (d). Court of Appeal has, it seems, no original jurisdiction to make an order under the Act (e).

There is no power to order extension of time for re-registering a bill of sale, the registration of which had, at the commencement of the

principal Act, become void for want of renewal (f).

Relief is limited to rectification of the register (q), or extension of time; and an order cannot be made for an affidavit to be filed correcting a mistake in the affidavit on registration (h).

Rights of third persons.

150. An order for rectification of the register, or for extension of the time for registration, will only be granted subject to rights which have already accrued to third persons (h). It will not be

(u) Annual Practice, 1908, p. 885.

(h) Crew v. Cummings (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 420.

⁽t) R. S. C., Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, rr. 6-9. See Yearly Practice, 1908, p. 1462.

⁽a) Ex parte Wickens, [1898] 1 Q. B. 543. (b) Watson v. Strickland (1887), 19 Q. B. D. 391. (c) Waterton v. Baker (1868), 17 L. T. 494. (d) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 14.

⁽e) Re Morris, Ex parte Webster (1882), 22 Ch. D. 136. (f) Re Emery, Ex parte Chief Official Receiver (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 405. (y) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 14.

granted to the prejudice of an execution creditor who has seized, or of a trustee in the grantor's bankruptcy (i), or of any person whose rights would be affected by registration, even though there has been no change of property in the chattels sought to be protected (k).

SECT. 1. Rectification, and Extension of Time.

An order made in accordance with the form now in use is expressed to be without prejudice to the rights of persons acquired prior to the time when the bill of sale is actually registered or re-registered (l).

SECT. 2.—Registrar and Register, Searches, Inspection, and Office Copies.

151. The office of registrar for the purposes of the Bills of Sale Who is Acts is executed by the Masters of the King's Bench Division (m), registrar. any one of whom may perform all or any of the duties of the registrar (n).

152. The time of delivery of every document filed at the Central Contents of Office is entered in books which may be inspected (o). A book is register. directed to be kept called the register (p), for entry of particulars of registration (q) and renewal of registration (r) of bills of sale, with an index(s). Provision is also made for entering up satisfaction in the register (t).

153. Any person is entitled to have an office copy or extract office copies. of any registered bill of sale, and affidavit of execution filed therewith, or copy thereof, and of any affidavit filed therewith, if any, or registered affidavit of renewal, upon payment (u). Any copy of a registered bill of sale, and affidavit purporting to be an office copy thereof, is, in all legal proceedings, admissible as primâ facie evidence thereof and of the fact and date of registration as

(k) Re Spiral Globe, Ltd., [1902] 1 Ch. 396.

(m) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 13. As to the Masters of the King's Bench Division, see title Courts.

to be entered on registration, see p. 46, ante.
(r) Ibid. For the particulars to be entered on renewal of registration, see

p. 52, ante.

(t) 1bid., Sched. B.

⁽i) Re Parsons, Ex parte Furber, [1893] 2 Q. B. 122, holding that Re Dobbin's Settlement (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 295, is overruled by Crew v. Cummings (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 420.

⁽¹⁾ But an order in wider terms is valid unless set aside (Re Parke (1884), 13 L. B. Ir. 85). Where three days' extension of time is granted, registration on the fourth day is sufficient, if a Sunday intervenes (ibid.).

⁽n) Ibid.; R. S. C., Ord. 61, r. 25. (o) R. S. C., Ord. 61, r. 18. (p) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 12. No action lies, without proof of malice, for putting on the register a document which is not a bill of sale (Horsley v. Style (1893), 69 L. T. 222), nor, it seems, for publishing a copy of the register (Searles v. Scarlett. [1892] 2 Q. B. 56).

(y) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 12. For the particulars

^(*) Such index is arranged in divisions corresponding with the letters of the alphabet, so that all grantors whose surnames begin with the same letter (and no others) may be comprised in one division, but the arrangement within each such division need not be strictly alphabetical (ibid.).

⁽a) Ibid., s. 16. The fee is 6d. per folio.

SECT. 2. Registrar and Register etc.

shown thereon (w), and also, it appears, of its execution by the grantor (x).

But a certificate of registration alone is not sufficient proof of due registration (y), or that a true copy bill of sale has been filed (a). Where evidence of due registration is objected to as insufficient, an adjournment may be granted for production of an office copy or further proof (b).

Office copies are now admissible in evidence to the same extent as originals would be admissible (c).

Searches.

154. Any person is entitled at all reasonable times to search the register on payment of a fee of one shilling, and also to inspect, examine, and make extracts from, any and every registered bill of sale, without being required to make a written application, or to specify any particulars in reference thereto, upon payment of one shilling for each bill of sale inspected (d).

Official search.

The registrar must, on a request in writing giving sufficient particulars, and on payment of a fee (e), cause the register to be searched, and issue a certificate of the result (f).

SECT. 3.—Stamps and Fees.

Necessity for stamping.

155. A bill of sale is not to be registered unless the original. duly stamped, is produced to the proper officer (g). It is the duty of the grantee or transferee, under penalties (h), to see that it is properly stamped, and, if it is unstamped or insufficiently stamped, it may be properly stamped after its execution on payment of the unpaid duty and penalty (i).

Scale of stamp duties.

156. An absolute bill of sale is to be stamped as a conveyance, with an ad valorem duty on the amount or value of the consideration for the sale (k).

(a) Emmott v. Marchant (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 555.

(b) Turner & Co. v. Culpan (1888), 58 L. T. 340.
(c) R. S. C., Ord. 37, r. 4.

(d) Bills of Sale Act (1878) Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 16. Extracts are limited to the dates of execution, registration, renewal of registration, and satisfaction, to the names, addresses, and occupations of the parties, to the amount of the consideration, and to any further prescribed particulars.

(e) The fee is 5s., and for every additional name 2s. (Order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884, Schedule, Nos. 114, 115).

(f) R. S. C., Ord. 61, r. 23.

(y) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), s. 41. (h) As to these, see title REVENUE.

(i) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), s. 15 (2) (c), (d). (k) The duty must be paid in impressed stamps calculated according to the amount of the consideration in accordance with the following scale:-

								£	8.	d.	
Not exceed	ing £5							0	0	6	
Exceeding	£5 and	not ex	ceeding	£10	•	•	•	0	1	0	
,,	10	,,	,,	15				0	1	6	
,,	15	,,	,,	20				0	2	0	
••	20			25				0	2	6	

⁽w) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 16.
(x) Re Slater, Ex parte Slater (1897), 76 L. T. 704, decided on the Deeds of Arrangement Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 57), s. 11, which is in the same words as the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 16.
(y) Mason v. Wood (1875), 1 C. P. D. 63.

A bill of sale by way of security for the payment of money is

stamped as a mortgage (l).

SECT. 3. Stamps and Fees.

A reconveyance, release, or discharge of a security, or a transfer or assignment of a bill of sale by way of security, is subject to a duty of sixpence for every £100 or part of £100 of the amount transferred or assigned (m). The transfer of an absolute bill of sale bears duty as a conveyance or transfer on sale (n).

Where there is a further advance on a transfer or assignment of a bill of sale, the instrument, in addition to a transfer or assignment stamp, should, it seems, be stamped as a new security to the

extent of the further advance (o).

157. Certain fees are also payable in respect of the registration Fees on of bills of sale (p).

registration.

									£	. d.	
Exceedi	ng £25 an	d not e	xceeding	£50	•				0	50	
,,	50	,,	,,	75	•				0	76	
,,	75	,,	••	100	•				0 1	0 0	
••	100	**	•••	125					0 1	26	
,,	125	,,	,,	150			•		0 1	5 0	
,,	150	;;	,,	175					0 1	76	
"	175	••	"	200					1	0 0	
• •	200	"	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	225		· ·			_	2 6	
,,	225	"	,,	250	-		•	Ī		5 0	
17	250	• • •	**	275	•	•	•	-		7 6	
,,	275	••	,,	300	•	•	•	•	ī 1		
"		r avarr	£50 or fr		l nard	of.	rso (;)	hid		-	T
Conveyance	or Transfe	r on So	200 01 11	actions	i par		200 (*	Jew.	,	cumo	1.,
									£	. d.	
	ale of duty		OHOWS:-	,							
	eeding £10			· · ·	•	•	•	•			
Exceed	ing £10 an	d not e	xceeding		•	•	•	•	-	0 8	
"	25	,,	,,	50	•	•	•	•	•	1 3	
"	50	,,	,,	100	•	•	•	•		2 6	
,,	100	30	**	150	•	•	•	•		3 9	
,,	150	,,	**	200	•	•	•	•		5 0	
"	200	"	**	250	٠	•	•	•		3 3	
"	250	,,	,,,,	300	. •	. • .	•	•		7 6	
''			£100 or					٠,		2 6	
If collater	al, or auxi	liary, o	${f r}$ addition	al, or s	abstiti	ated a	ecuri	y (0	other	than	an
equitable m	ortogoa) c	~ h~ ~	m of form	41		aa fa	∞ +h∧	~ 1~	TA TO	antiar	ned
	or ugago), c	ıı,∪y ₩	ay or rur	ruer as	suran	GA TO	Lone	R DO	4 Q - TIT	emmor	
purpose whe	ere the prin	icipal o	r primary	securit	y is d	uly s	tampe	xd—	-		
Purpose whe	ere the prin	cipal or and als	r primary	securit	y is d	uly s	tampe	xd—	-		
Purpose whe	ere the prin	cipal or and als	r primary	securit	y is d	uly s	tampe	xd—	-		
For even	ere the prin	cipal or and als red	r primary o for any	securit	y is d	uly s	tampe	xd—	-		
For even	ere the prinery £100 a mount secu	cipal or and als red	r primary o for any	securit	y is d	uly s	tampe	xd—	-		
For even the an (ibid., Sch. (m) Ibid.	ere the princery £100 a mount secundule I., M	icipal or and als ired . Iortgag	r primary o for any ge etc.).	security fracti	y is donal	uly s part •	tampe	xd—	-		
purpose when For every the an (ibid., Sch. (m) Ibid., (n) For the second control of the	ere the princery £100 a mount secundule I., Money to the princery to the princ	icipal or and als ired fortgag duties s	r primary o for any se etc.).	security fracti	y is donal j	uly s part •	tampe of £1	od—	and		
purpose when For every the an (ibid., Sch. (m) Ibid. (a) For the (b) Wale was the second seco	ere the princery £100 amount secund dedule I., Mone scale of the Commisser.	ncipal or and als ared . Aortgag duties s ioners o	r primary o for any e etc.). see note (h f Inland)	security fracti fracti k), p. 76 Kevenue	y is donal j onal j o, ante (1879	uly spart ,), 4]	tampe of £1	270	and	6d.	
purpose when For every the an (ibid., Sch. (m) Ibid., (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order	ere the princery £100 amount secunded I., More scale of the Commission as to Su	ncipal or and als ared . Mortgag duties s ioners o preme	r primary o for any e etc.). see note (A f Inland A Court Fe	security fracti y fracti k), p. 76 Kevenue ees, 188	y is d onal] 6, ante (1879 34, Sci	uly s part), 4] hedu	tampe of £1 Ex. D.	270 s. 3	and 0. 6-4	6 <i>d</i> .	er-
purpose when For every the an (ibid., Sch. (m) Ibid. (a) For the (b) Wale was the second seco	ere the princery £100 amount secunded I., Moreover the scale of the commission of Sale Action of Sale Action for the scale of Sale Action for £100 and £100 are the scale of Sale Action for £100 are the scale of \$100 are the scale \$100 are th	ncipal or and als ared . Mortgag duties s ioners o preme	r primary o for any e etc.). see note (A f Inland A Court Fe	security fracti y fracti k), p. 76 Kevenue ees, 188	y is d onal] 6, ante (1879 34, Sci	uly s part), 4] hedu	tampe of £1 Ex. D.	270 s. 3	and 0. $6-4$ es n	6d. I, sup	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as i	ere the princery £100 amount secundule I., More scale of the Commission of Sale Action of Sale Actions:—	ncipal or and als ured fortgag duties a ioners of preme t, 1878	r primary o for any ce etc.). see note (A f Inland Court Fe (41 & 42	k), p. 76 Kevenue ees, 188 Vict. c.	onal j 6, ante (1879 34, Scl 31), s	uly spart ,), 4] hedu	tampe of £1 Ex. D. le, No	270 s. 3	and 0. 6-4	6d. I, sup	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as i	ere the printer £100 amount secundule I., In the scale of the Commission of Sale Action of Sale Actions in the filing a billing a billin	ncipal or and als ared . fortgag duties s ioners o preme t, 1878	r primary o for any e etc.). see note (A f Inland) Court Fe (41 & 42	security fracti fracti c), p. 76 Hevenue ses, 188 Vict. c.	y is donal] 6, ante (1879 31), scherewick	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18.	tampe of £1 Ex. D. le, No The	270 s. 3 he	and 0. $6-4$ es n	6d. I, sup	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as i	ere the printer £100 amount secundedule I., More scale of the commission of Sale According a browning a browni	ncipal or and alsured . Mortgag duties sioners of preme t, 1878 ill of sa ion (inc	r primary o for any e etc.). see note (A f Inland) Court Fe (41 & 42	security fracti fracti c), p. 76 Hevenue ses, 188 Vict. c.	y is donal] 6, ante (1879 31), scherewick	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18.	tampe of £1 Ex. D. le, No The	270 s. 3 he	and 0. 6-4 es n £ s	6d.	er-
purpose when For ever the an (ibid., Sch (in) For th (o) Wale v (p) Order seding Bills able are as if 36. Or	ere the printer £100 amount secundule I., More scale of the commission of Sale Action follows:— a to Su of Su o	ncipal or and alsured . Mortgag duties a ioners of preme t, 1878 ill of sa ion (income.	r primary o for any ce etc.). see note (h f Inland) Court Fe (41 & 42 le and afficiliding for	security fractive (i.e., p. 76), p. 76 Revenue (i.e., 188 Vict. c. (idavit the control of the co	y is donal] 6, ante (1879 31), scherewick	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18.	tampe of £1 Ex. D. le, No The	270 s. 3 he	0. 6-4 es n £ s	6d. 1, suppow ps. d.	er-
purpose when For ever the control of	re the printer £100 amount secunded I., More scale of the commission of Sale Actions and the considerate exceed £100 amount £1	ncipal or and alsured . Mortgag duties a ioners of preme t, 1878 ill of sa ion (income.	r primary o for any ce etc.). see note (h f Inland) Court Fe (41 & 42 le and afficiliding for	security fractive (i.e., p. 76), p. 76 Revenue (i.e., 188 Vict. c. (idavit the control of the co	y is donal] 6, ante (1879 31), scherewick	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18.	tampe of £1 Ex. D. le, No The	270 s. 3 he	and 0. 6-4 es n £ s	6d. 1, sup ow ps d. 5 0	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid., Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as in 36. Or 37. All 38. All	re the printer ±100 at the mount secundule I., he scale of r. Commiss to Su of Sale Acfollows:— a filing a b considerat exceed £10 cove £200 cove £200	acipal or and als ured . fortgag duties sincers of preme t, 1878 iill of sa iion (income on the control of the	r primary o for any o etc.). see note (i f Inland Court Fe (41 & 42 le and aff cluding for exceedin	security fractive fra	y is donal j	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18. ith w	Ex. D. le, No The	270 s. 3 fe	0. 6 4 es n £ s	6d. 1, sup ow ps d. 5 0	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid., Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as in 36. Or 37. All 38. All	re the printer £100 at mount secure duel I., Mone scale of r. Commiss as to Su of Sale Act follows:— a filing a b considerat exceed £100 at £1	acipal or and als rred . fortgag duties sincers of preme tt, 1878 iill of sa ion (income and not	r primary o for any o for any see etc.). see note (if Inland if Court Fe (41 & 42) le and afficheding for exceeding	security fractive fra	y is donal] 3, ante (1879 34, Sci 31), s herewind advances	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18. ith w	Ex. D. le, No The here t does n	270 s. 3 fe he	0. 6 4 es n £ s	6d. 1, sup ow ps d. 5 0	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid., Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as in 36. Or 37. All 38. All	re the printer £100 at mount secure dule I., I me scale of r. Commiss as to Su of Sale Ac follows:— a filing a b considerat exceed £100 at £200 at filing unany other	ncipal or and als ured	r primary o for any o eetc.). see note (if Inland . Court Fe (41 & 42 le and afficient de exceedin Bills of sent to wh	security fractive fra	y is donal] 3, ante (1879 34, Sci 31), s herewind advances	uly spart), 4] hedu s. 18. ith w	Ex. D. le, No The here t does n	270 s. 3 fe he	0. 6 4 es n £ s	6d. 1, suppow ps. d. 5 0 0	er-
purpose when For ever the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v (p) Order seding Bills able are as in 36. Or 37. All 38. All 39. Or	re the printer £100 amount secure the deduct I., In the scale of the consideration of Sale Action of Sale Actio	duties sioners of preme t, 1878 iil of sa ion (income of the control of the contr	re etc.). see note (he f Inland of Court Fe (41 & 42) le and afficillating for exceeding from the following	security fractive fra	onal joint of the state of the), 4] hedu lith w ces) 78 ar Nos	Ex. D. le, No The here t does n	270 s. 32 fe he	0. 6 4 es n £ s	6d. 1, suppow ps. d. 5 0 0	er-
purpose when For ever the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid. (n) For th (o) Wale v (p) Order seding Bills able are as in 36. Or 37. All 38. All 39. Or	re the printer £100 amount secule I., In the scale of the commission of Sale Action of Sale Acti	duties a control of the control of t	re etc.). see note (// f Inland Court Fe (41 & 42 le and afficiuding fr exceedin Bills of sent to wholy of re-reg.	k), p. 76 Revenue ses, 188 Vict. c. idavit t urther g £200 Sale Ac istration	onal joint of an individual of a fees of a fees	uly spart), 4] hedu is. 18. ith w respondences	Ex. D. le, No The here t does n 36, 3	270 s. 3 fe he ot	0. 6 4 es n £ s	6d. 1, suppow ps. d. 5 0 0 0	er-
purpose when For even the an (ibid Sch (m) Ibid (n) For th (o) Wale v. (p) Order seding Bills able are as f. 36. Or 37. Al. 38. Al. 39. Or 40. On	re the printer £100 amount secure the deduct I., In the scale of the consideration of Sale Action of Sale Actio	ncipal or and als ured	r primary o for any o etc.). see note (if Inland Court Fe (41 & 42 le and affeluding fr exceeding Bills of sent to who of re-reg	k), p. 76 Revenue ses, 188 Vict. c. idavit t urther g £200 Sale Ac istration	onal joint of an individual of a fees of a fees	uly spart), 4] hedu is. 18. ith w respondences Nos.	Ex. D. le, No The here t does n 36, 3	270 s. 3 fe he ot	0. 6-4 ees n £ s	6d. 1, suppow ps. d. 5 0 0 0	er-

(78)

BIRDS.

See Animals; Game.

BIRTH,

Concealment of.—See Criminal Law and Procedure.

Notification and Registration of.—See Public Health etc.

Proof of.—See Evidence.

BISHOPS.

See Ecclesiastical Law.

BLACKMAIL.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

BLASPHEMY.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

BOARD OF AGRICULTURE AND FISHERIES.

See AGRICULTURE.

BOARD OF TRADE.

See Constitutional Law; Trade and Trade Unions.

BOILER,

See FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS.

BONDS.

													1	AGE
SECT.	1.	NATURE .	AND I	ORM	OF	A Bo	ND		•	-		•	•	80
SECT.	2.	DIFFEREN	т Кп	NDS C	of B	ONDS	-	-	•		•	•		81
SECT.	3.	CAPACITY	or P	ARTI	E8			-			-	•	-	83
SECT.	4.	VALIDITY			-	•	-	-		•	•			83
SECT.	5.	INTERPRE	OITAT	N	•	•		-	-	-	•	•		86
SECT.	6.	OPERATIO	N AN	D IN	CIDE	NTS		-						89
	Su	b-sect. 1. I	In Ger	neral	•			-	-					89
		b-sect. 2.]										•	•	92
^		b-sect. 3.		nt re	CO A 61	rable	on .	Breach	of C	ondit	ion	•	•	93
SECT.		Assignme		•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	97
SECT.		DISCHARG					KOI	•	•	•	•	•	•	97
SECT.		STATUTE		IMITA	TION	18	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	99
		Lost Box		•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	101
		ACTIONS			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	101
SECT.	12.	STAMP D	UTIES	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	10 3
A B	d mi onde Coe	nistration i ralty Bail given as ts -	Bonds Secu	rity •	for -	See ti		Execu Admir GATIO	ALTY	; Sh	IPPIN	IG AN	d N	
	oth	er Compani given by	ies -	•	•	,,		Compa Cana		;	RAIL	WAYS	ļ	AND
	Sec ond	urity - secu re d b ad			_	,,		Receiv Morto						
В	otten		Resp	onder	rtia -	,,		Admin	ALTY		IPPII	rg an	D N	AVI-
_	den	ity, Guard inity Bond gn Governs	8 -	•	-	"		GATI GUARA BILLS NOT:	NTE	EXCHA		, Pro		sor y In-
R	esig	v in Bon ds nation Bon stary Bond		•	•	"		DISTRI ECCLES GUETS	ESS A	ND F				

SECT. 1. Nature and Form of a Bond.

Definition.

SECT. 1.—Nature and Form of a Bond.

158. A bond is an instrument under seal, usually a deed poll, whereby one person binds himself to another for the payment of a specified sum of money either immediately or at a fixed future date. The person who so binds himself is called the obligor, and the person to whom he is bound the obligee; and the instrument itself is sometimes called an obligation (a). A bond may be given by two or more obligors either jointly, or severally, or jointly and severally; and may be given to two or more obligees jointly or severally, but not jointly and severally (b).

Single bond.

159. A bond merely for the payment of a certain sum of money, without any condition in or annexed to it, is called a simple or single bond (c). Such instruments are rarely, if ever, met with at the present day, and the term "single bond" is sometimes used to signify a bond given by one obligor as distinguished from one given by two or more.

Double or conditional bond.

160. The ordinary form of bond now in use is one accompanied by a condition in the nature of a defeasance (d), the performance of the condition generally being secured by a penalty. This form of bond is called a double or conditional bond (e), and consists of two parts: first, the obligation, and secondly, the condition. The condition, which may be contained in the same or another instrument, or may be indorsed on the back (f), specifies the real agreement between the parties—that is to say, the money to be paid or acts or duties to be performed or observed, the payment, performance, or observance of which is intended to be secured by the bondand provides that on due performance of the condition the bond The obligation, as in the case of a single bond, shall be void (q). simply binds the obligor to the payment of a certain sum of money, such sum of money being usually, though not necessarily, a penalty (h), and does not in terms refer to the condition. breach of the condition the bond is said to become forfeited or absolute, though, as will be subsequently seen, it does not follow that the obligee is entitled to recover the sum mentioned in the obligation (i).

Recitals.

A conditional bond sometimes contains explanatory recitals. When it does so, the recitals follow the obligation and precede the condition.

⁽a) Shep. Touch. 367.

⁽b) Bradburne v. Botfield (1845), 14 M. & W. 559.

⁽c) 2 Bl. Com. 340; Morrant v. Gough (1827), 7 B. & C. 206. (d) The term "defeasance" is more properly employed when the condition is contained in a separate instrument (Shep. Touch. 367, 396).

⁽e) Shep. Touch. 367.

⁽f) 1bid.; Vin. Abr. tit. Faits (G).
(g) The omission of words providing that the obligation shall be void does not affect the validity of the condition (Mauleverer v. Hawxby (1670), 2 Saund. 78).

⁽h) See p. 93, post.

⁽i) See pp. 93 et seq., post.

BONDS.

161. No particular form of words is necessary to create a bond. The obligor must be bound in a certain sum of money (j), but, if this requisite is satisfied, any mode of expression by which the intention of the parties is made clear will suffice (k).

SECT. 1. Nature and Form of a Bond.

81

162. The difference between a bond and a covenant is one rather Difference of form than substance. Covenants are generally found in indentures, whereas a bond is usually a deed poll; and a covenant expresses covenant. the real agreement between the parties, the penalty or sum fixed by way of liquidated damages, if any, being the subject of a further covenant, whereas in a bond the only undertaking of the obligor is to pay a certain sum of money, such sum being either a penalty or liquidated damages, the real obligation being expressed in the superadded condition, on due performance of which the instrument is avoided.

bond and

SECT. 2.—Different Kinds of Bonds.

163. There are many varieties of bonds, some of which are Single and subject to special rules and considerations which are not applic- double bonds. able to bonds generally. The difference between a single or unconditional and a double or conditional bond has already been explained (1).

164. A common money bond (m) is one given to secure the Common payment of money, the condition being that if the obligor pays money bonds. to the obligee a smaller sum, usually one-half of the sum named in the obligation, with interest, on a specified day, the bond shall be void. Common money bonds are subject to the provisions of the statute 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, hereinafter referred to (n).

165. Bonds conditioned to be void on the performance of any Bonds to covenant or agreement in any deed or writing, other than a covenant secure peror agreement to pay a specified sum of money (o), are subject to the formance of covenant or provisions of the statute 8 & 9 Will. 3, c. 11, hereafter referred agreement. to (p).

A bond conditioned for the payment of an annuity (q), or of a Bond to certain sum by stated instalments (r), is within the statute of secure

annuity or instalments.

⁽i) Loggins v. Titherton (1613), Yelv. 225.
(k) Shep. Touch. 368.

⁽¹⁾ See p. 80, ante.

⁽m) For form of common money bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 536 et seq.

⁽n) See p. 93, post.
(o) For forms of such bonds, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 541, 543, 549 et seq.

⁽p) See p. 94, post.
(q) Collins v. Collins (1759), 2 Burr. 820; Walcot v. Goulding (1799), 8 Term Rep. 126; Tuther v. Caralampi (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 414. For annuities generally, see title RENT-CHARGES AND ANNUITIES.

⁽⁷⁾ Willoughby v. Swinton (1805), 6 East, 550; Murray v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82; Preston v. Dania (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 19. See also Hurst v. Jennings (1826), 5 B. & C. 650. As to bonds conditioned for payment of a specified sum at a certain day with interest to be paid periodically in the meantime, see Smith v. Bond (1833), 10 Bing. 125; James v. Thomas (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 40; Skinners' Co. v. Jones (1837), 4 Scott, 271; and p. 95, post. For form of such bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 538.

SECT. 2. Different Kinds of Bonds.

William III., and is not a bond conditioned for payment of a lesser sum within the meaning of the statute of Anne.

Neither statute has any application where the sum named in the obligation is not a penalty, but liquidated damages (8)

Arbitration bond.

166. On a reference to arbitration the submission is sometimes made by mutual bonds, the obligation being in the form of a common money bond, with a condition to abide the event of the award (t). Arbitration bonds are within the provisions of the statute of William III. (u).

Post obits.

167. A post obit bond (w) is a bond conditioned for the payment of a sum of money after the death of a specified person, and is usually given in respect of a loan for a sum greater than that advanced. Such bonds are of two kinds: (1) where the payment depends on a contingency, as, for instance, in the event of the obligor surviving a relative in regard to whom he has expectations; (2) where the payment is certain, but the time of payment uncertain, as in the case of a bond conditioned for payment of a certain sum on the death of the obligor. When the money becomes payable in pursuance of the condition of a post obit bond, the bond is subject to the provisions of the statute of Anne, and not to those of the statute of William III. (x).

Lloyd's bond.

168. A Lloyd's bond (a) is a security issued by a company either in the form of a common money bond, with a recital of the company's indebtedness to the obligee (b), or in the form of an acknowledgment of a debt to a particular person, with a covenant to pay Though originally devised for the purpose of raising money, it (c). such bonds are, when so used, invalid, unless the borrowing powers of the company authorise their issue (d), except to the extent that the money raised by their issue has been legitimately applied for the company's benefit (e). Where, however, the bonds are given in consideration of an existing liability incurred by the company bond

(x) Smith v. Bond (1833), 10 Bing. 125, at p. 132.

(e) Re Cork and Youghal Rail. Co., supra.

⁽s) Strickland v. Williams, [1899] 1 Q. B. 382, C. A.; Goad v. Empire Printing and Publishing Co., Ltd. (1888), 52 J. P. 438. See p. 95, post.

(t) See title Arritation, Vol. L, p. 440. For form of arbitration bond, see

Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 121.

⁽u) Welch v. Ireland (1805), 6 East, 613; Hanbury v. Guest (1811), 14 East, 401. (w) For forms of post obit bonds, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 544 et seq.

⁽a) So called after the inventor (Chambers v. Manchester and Milford Rail. Co.

^{(1864). 5} B. & S. 588, per Crompton, J., at p. 608).
(b) For this form of Lloyd's bond, see Re Cork and Youghal Rail. Co. (1869), 4 Ch. App. 748, at p. 749. See also Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 558. (c) For this form of Lloyd's bond, see Chambers v. Manchester and Milford Rail. Co., supra, at p. 591.

⁽d) Ibid., and see title COMPANIES. These bonds were expressly declared void in the case of railway companies by the Railway Regulation Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 85), s. 19. See further, title RAILWAYS AND CANALS. For the proper form of bond to be used in case of borrowing by railway and other companies governed by the Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 16), see ibid., s. 41 and Sched. D; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 557.

fide, and not ultra vires, as in the case of a debt due to a contractor for work done, they are valid (f).

SECT. 2. Different Kinds of Bonds.

SECT. 3.—Capacity of Parties.

169. The capacity of a person to become an obligor depends, Obligor. generally speaking, on his capacity to contract (g). But, though an infant may bind himself to pay a reasonable price for necessaries supplied to him, a bond given by him to secure such payment is

Infants (i), lunatics (k), married women (l), and others with Obligee. timited or no capacity to contract may, however, be obligees, because, a bond being a unilateral contract, the person to whom it is given does not thereby incur any liability. A bond given to a corporation sole—e.g., to a bishop—enures to him in his natural capacity, because such a corporation cannot take a chattel in succession except by custom (m).

SECT. 4.—Validity.

170. To constitute a valid bond, there must be an obligor and Essentials of obligee, and a fixed sum of money in which the obligor is bound; valid bond. and the instrument must be duly executed by the obligor (n). Consideration is not necessary, the instrument being under seal (o).

A bond executed with a blank for the name of the obligee Blank for is void, and parol evidence is not admissible to supply the defect (p); name of but if it appears from the instrument as a whole to whom the obligor is intended to be bound, as where there is a recital of indebtedness to a person named, the bond is not invalid merely because the obligee's name is not mentioned in the obligatory

A bond is void unless the obligor is bound in a definite sum Necessity for of money (b), but it is not invalid merely because the sum is definite sum. improperly expressed, provided the intention is clear (c).

(g) As to which see title CONTRACT.

(i) Bac. Abr. tit. Obligation, D 2.

(m) Bac. Abr. tit. Obligation, D 2; Byrd v. Wilford (1592), Cro. Eliz. 464; Fulwood's Case (1590), 4 Co. Rep. 64 b. See generally, title CORPORATIONS.

(a) Squire v. Whitton (1848), 1 H. L. Cas. 333.
(b) See titles Deeds and other Instruments; Evidence.

⁽f) Re Cork and Youghal Rail. Co. (1869), 4 Ch. App. 748, per Lord HATHER-LEY, L.C., at p. 757, approving Chambers v. Manchester and Milford Rail. Co. (1864), 5 B. & S. 588, per BLACKBURN, J., at p. 611, and White v. Carmarthen Rail. Co. (1863), 1 Hem. & M. 786.

⁽h) Martin v. Gale (1876), 4 Ch. D. 428; Fisher v. Mowbray (1807), 8 East, 330; Baylis v. Dindey (1815), 3 M. & S. 477; Ayliff v. Archdale (1603), Cro. Eliz. 920. Russel v. Lee (1663), 1 Lev. 86, must be considered overruled. See generally, title INFANTS.

⁽k) Ibid. See generally, title LUNATICS AND PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND. (1) Whelpdale's Case (1604), 5 Co. Rep. 118 b. See generally, title Husband AND WIFE.

⁽n) Com. Dig. tit. Obligation, A; Shep. Touch. 56; Dodson v. Kayes (1610),

⁽a) Langdon v. Goole (1681), 3 Lev. 21; Lambert v. Branthwaite (1732), 2 Str. 945.
(b) Loggins v. Titherton (1613), Yelv. 225.
(c) Hulbert v. Long (1617), Cro. Jac. 607; Cromwell v. Grunsden (1698), 2 Salk. 462; Coles v. Hulme (1828), 8 B. & C. 568, where the obligor acknownik. ledged himself bound in 7,700 without mentioning any species of money, and

SECT. 4. Validity

Execution.

171. As to the execution of a bond, the ordinary rules as to the execution of deeds apply (d). If the instrument is delivered and retained by the obligor, such delivery is valid and effectual, provided there is nothing to show that it was intended not to become immediately operative (e); and delivery to a third person, though not an agent of the obligee, is sufficient (f). Signature is not necessary at common law (g). A bond delivered to a third person may, however, be intended to operate only as an escrow (h); but if the delivery is to the obligee himself as upon conditions performed, it will take effect immediately, notwithstanding the non-performance of the conditions (i).

Execution by one of several joint obligors.

Where two or more persons are intended to be bound jointly, or jointly and severally (k), and the bond is executed by one of them only, it will operate at law as his several bond (l). But in equity he may be entitled to have it delivered up to be cancelled as being contrary to intention (m).

Date.

A bond may be valid though undated or bearing a false or impossible date, because all deeds take effect from the time of delivery (a).

Validity of condition.

172. A condition, to be valid, must be for the performance of an act which is possible and lawful, and it must not be repugnant to the obligation.

Impossibility.

Where a condition underwritten or indorsed is for the performance of an act which is impossible, the condition is void but the obligatory part of the bond valid (b); but where such a condition is incorporated with the obligatory part, the bond is altogether void (c). A condition is not invalid merely because it provides for the performance of an act or the happening of an event which, though possible, is extremely improbable (d).

One of two impossible.

Where a condition is for the performance of two distinct acts, one of which is impossible, the obligor is bound to perform the other (e); but if both acts were possible at the time of the execution

there being a recital of indebtedness in various sums expressed in pounds sterling, the court supplied the word "pounds" in the obligatory part.

(d) See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

(e) Doe d. Garnons v. Knight (1826), 5 B. & C. 671. (f) Alford v. Lee (1586), Cro. Eliz. 54.

(y) Cromwell v. Grunsden (1698), 2 Salk. 462; and compare Ex parte Hodgkinson (1815), 19 Ves. 291, at p. 296. But quare whether it might not be held to be necessary by reason of universal custom.

(h) Murray v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82. (i) Vin. Abr. tit. Faits, O; Anon. (1668), 1 Vent. 9; Shep. Touch. 59.

(k) As to joint and joint and several bonds, see pp. 88, 91, post.
(l) Elliot v. Davis (1800), 2 Bos. & P. 338.
(m) Underhill v. Horwood (1804), 10 Ves. 209, 225. So if a bond is made joint only, instead of joint and several, by mistake, it will be rectified in equity

(ibid., at p. 227). Compare Cooper v. Evans (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 45.

(a) Shep. Touch. 72. Thus, a bond dated in the minority of an infant will be binding if executed by him after attaining his majority (Cromwell v. Grunsden

(1698), 2 Salk. 462).

(b) Pullerton v. Agnew (1703), 1 Salk. 172; Duvergier v. Fellowes (1832), 1
Cl. & Fin. 39, H. L.; Holmes v. Ivy (1680), 2 Show. 15.

(c) Com. Dig. tit. Condition, D 2.

(d) Campbell v. French (1795), 6 Term Rep. 200, per Lord Kenyon, at p. 211. (e) Da Costa v. Davis (1798), 1 Bos. & P. 242.

BONDS. 85

of the bond, and one of them is made impossible by the act of the obligee, the obligor is altogether excused (f).

SECT. 4. Validity.

173. False grammar (q) and defective spelling (h) do not affect False the validity of a bond provided the intention is clear; and, for the grammar etc. purpose of giving effect to the intention, the court will, where necessary, transpose, disjoin, reject, and supply words (i).

Where the condition of a bond is expressed in such language as Uncertainty. to be unintelligible (k), or is so uncertain that its meaning cannot be ascertained, but the obligatory part is clear, the condition is **void, and the obligation binding** (l).

When the condition is repugnant to the obligation, the condition Repugnancy. is void and the obligation binding (m).

174. If the condition of a bond or the consideration for which it Unlawfulness. is given is unlawful, the bond is wholly void; and parol evidence is admissible to prove the true nature of the transaction where it does not appear on the face of the instrument (n).

Where the condition is entire, and any portion of it is unlawful, Partial the bond is entirely void (o); but if it consists of several distinct unlawfulness. parts, some of which are lawful and others unlawful, or if there are several independent conditions, some lawful and others unlawful, the bond is valid, and subject only to such parts of the condition or

such of the conditions, as the case may be, as are lawful (p). The following are instances of bonds given on conditions or for considerations which are unlawful (q):-

(1) Bonds in consideration of future illicit cohabitation (r);

(f) Com. Dig. tit. Obligation, K 2; Duvergier v. Fellowes (1832), 1 Cl. & Fin. 39, H. L. See further, p. 93, post.

(g) Shep. Touch. 55, 87; Parkhurst v. Smith (1742), Willes, 327, 332; Dobson v. Keys (1610), Cro. Jac. 261.
(h) Sims v. Urry (1676), 2 Cas. in Ch. 225; Dennis v. Snape (1687), Comb. 60;

Cromwell v. Grunsden (1698), 2 Salk. 462.

(i) Langdon v. Goole (1681), 3 Lev. 21; Vernon v. Alsop (1662), 1 Lev. 77; Hidmes v. Ivy (1680), 2 Show. 15; Mauleverer v. Hawxby (1670), 2 Saund.

(k) Marker v. Cross (1614), 2 Buls. 133.

(1) Shep. Touch. 373. Compare Mauleverer v. Hawxby, supra; Vernon v.

(m) Shep. Touch. 373; Com. Dig. tit. Condition, D; Roberts v. Harnage (1704), 2 Salk. 659; Wells v. Tregusan (1708), 2 Salk. 463.
(n) Collins v. Blantern (1767), 2 Wils. 347, 1 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 369; Parton v. Popham (1808), 9 East, 408; Greville v. Atkins (1829), 9 B. & C. 462; Lound v. Grimwade (1888), 39 Ch. D. 605.

(o) Collins v. Blantern, supra; Norton v. Syms (1614), Moore (K. B.) 856; Baker v. Hedgecock (1888), 39 Ch. D. 520; Yale v. R. (1721), 6 Bro. Parl. Cas.

(p) Green v. Price (1845), 13 M. & W. 695; Re Burdett, Ex parte Byrns (1858), 20 Q. B. D. 310, C. A.; Newman v. Newman (1815), 4 M. & S. 66; Collins v. Guynne (1831), 5 Moo. & P. 276, 282; Yale v. R., supra.

(q) As to the condition becoming unlawful, see p. 93, post; as to unlawful

contracts generally, see title CONTRACT.

(r) Walker v. Perkins (1764), 3 Burr. 1568. But a bond given in consideration of past illicit intercourse on the determination of the connection is valid (Annandale v. Harris (1727), 2 P. Wms. 432; Turner v. Vaughan (1767), 2 Wils. 339; Priest v. Parrot (1751), 2 Ves. Sen. 160; Nye v. Moseley (1826), 6 B. & C. 133), provided there is no intention to continue the connection in the future



SECT. 4. Validity.

- (2) Bonds in general or unreasonable restraint of trade (s);
- (3) Bonds in restraint of marriage (t);

(4) Marriage brocage bonds (a);

- (5) Bonds conditioned for the commission of a crime or tort (b);
- (6) Simoniacal resignation bonds (c);

(7) Bonds for money lost at gaming (d);

(8) Bonds tending to affect the due administration of criminal

justice (e).

Post obit bonds.

Post obit bonds, whether absolute or contingent, are not as such But where the consideration is inadequate, or the rate of interest exorbitant, relief may be granted in equity, especially in the case of expectant heirs and reversioners (g).

Bond in lieu of security void for unlawfulness.

Where a bond or other security has been given or a debt incurred for an unlawful consideration, and a bond is given in lieu thereof or as security therefor, that bond is also void if the obligee has knowledge of the circumstances (h); but the validity of the subsequent bond is not affected by the unlawfulness of the original consideration if the obligee is unaware of it (i).

Sect. 5.—Interpretation.

Construction according to intention.

175. The rules as to the interpretation of deeds generally, which for the most part apply to bonds, are dealt with elsewhere (k). The main rule is that regard must be had to the intention of the parties, as ascertained from the instrument as a whole (l), and, in

(Friend v. Harrison (1827), 2 C. & P. 584; Re Vallance (1884), 26 Ch. D.

(s) Mitchel v. Reynolds (1711), 1 P. Wms. 181, 1 Smith, L. C., 11th ed. p. 406; Baker v. Hedgecock (1888), 39 Ch. D. 520; and contrast Gravely v. Barnard (1874), L. R. 18 Eq. 518. See further, title Contract.

(t) Hartley v. Rice (1808), 10 East, 22.

- (a) Roberts v. Roberts (1730), 3 P. Wms. 66, 75; Drury v. Hooke (1686), 1 Vern. 412.
 - (b) Shep. Touch. 372; Collins v. Blantern (1767), Wils. 347.

(c) See title Ecclesiastical Law.
(d) 9 Anne, c. 14, s. 1; Sigel v. Jebb (1819), 3 Stark. 1; and contrast Bubb v. Felverton (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 471. See title Gaming and Wagering.
(e) Lound v. Grimwade (1888), 39 Ch. D. 605, where one of the considerations for a bond was that criminal proceedings should be so conducted that the name of a certain person should not be mentioned; Herman v. Jeuchner (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 561, C. A. (indemnifying bail); Williams v. Buyley (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 200

f) See Adames v. Hallett (1868), L. R. 6 Eq. 468, as to the rights of an

obligee under a voluntary post obit bond.

(g) Aylesford (Earl) v. Morris (1873), 8 Ch. App. 484; Fry v. Lane (1888), 40 Ch. D. 312; Nevill v. Snelling (1880), 15 Ch. D. 679; Cooke v. Lamotte (1851), 15 Beav. 234. See further on this subject, title Fraudulent and Voidable CONVEYANCES; and as to relief against these and other bonds under the Money-

lenders Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 51), title Money and Money-Lending.
(h) Amory v. Meryweather (1824), 2 B. & C. 573 (bond in lieu of a promissory note given in respect of illegal stock-jobbing transactions); Fisher v. Bridges (1854), 3 E. & B. 642.

i) Cuthbert v. Haley (1799), 8 Term Rep. 390. (k) See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

(1) National Provincial Bank of England v. Marshall (1888), 40 Ch. D. 112, C. A.; Hulbert v. Long (1617), Cro. Jac. 607; Cromwell v. Grunsden (1698), 2 Salk. 462; Coles v. Hulme (1828), 8 B. & C. 568; Holmes v. Ivy (1680), 2 Show. 15, where a condition for the delivery of 35,000 tiles, to the value of £144, at 15s. 6d. Bonds. 87

order to give effect to the intention, the court will, where necessary, transpose or reject words (m) and supply accidental omissions (n).

But the intention must be ascertained from the terms of the instrument itself, parol evidence not being admissible to explain, Parol add to, or contradict it (o); though, where the terms are ambiguous, evidence regard may be had to the circumstances of the case in order to inadmissible. explain the ambiguity.

The obligatory part of a bond, being for the benefit of the Construction obligee, is always construed most strongly against the obligor, but of obligatory the condition, being for the benefit of the obligor, is construed most condition. strongly in his favour (p).

176. Recitals may operate in restraint of the condition where Condition the words of the condition import a larger liability than that con- controlled by templated by the recitals (q), especially in favour of sureties (r). But where the condition is for repayment of money to be advanced, the amount being limited by the recitals to a certain sum, an advance in excess of such sum does not avoid the bond in the

SECT. 5. Interpretation.

per thousand, was held good for £144, though the number of tiles at the price mentioned did not amount to that sum.

(m) Mandeverer v. Hawshy (1670), 2 Saund. 78, where the concluding words of the condition, "then the condition to be void," were rejected as surplusage; Wells v. Wright (1678), 2 Mod. Rep. 285, where the condition provided that, if default was made in performance thereof, the obligation should be void; Anom., cited Bache v. Proctor (1780), 1 Doug. 382, 384, where the condition provided that the bond should be void if the obligor did not pay; Vernon v. Alsop (1662), 1 Lev. 77.

(n) Coles v. Hulme (1828), 8 B. & C. 568, where the word "pounds" was supplied in the obligatory part by reference to the condition; Langdon v. Goole (1651), 3 Lev. 21, where the name of the obligee was supplied by reference to the recital; James v. Tallent (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 889, where in a condition for the payment of an annuity for the support of two illegitimate children and their mother during their joint lives the words "and during the life of the survivor" were supplied; Bache v. Proctor, supra, where the condition was that the obligor should render a fair and just account in writing of all sums received, and it was held that neglect to pay over such sums was a breach of the condition; Waugh v. Bussell (1814), 5 Taunt. 707, where the words "one pound" were read as "one hundred pounds."

(o) Buckler v. Millerd (1688), 2 Vent. 107; Mease v. Mease (1774), 1 Cowp. 47, where it was sought to show that the bond was given by way of indemnity against another bond; Tippins v. Coates (1853), 18 Beav. 401. See further, title EVIDENCE.

 (p) Shep. Touch. 375.
 (q) Pearsall v. Summersett (1812), 4 Taunt. 593; Payler v. Homersham (1815),
 4 M. & S. 423; Liverpool Waterworks Co. v. Atkinson (1805), 6 East, 507, where, the recital being that obligor had agreed to collect rents etc. for twelve months, the condition was that if he should at all times during the continuance of such his employment use due diligence and render the obligees from time to time a true account, and should also so long as he should continue to be employed by them from time to time observe and perform the orders of their committee, the obligation to be void, and it was held that the condition that he should account and pay all sums received etc. was limited to twelve months.

(r) Arlington v. Merricke (1672), 2 Saund. 411, where the recital was of the appointment of a deputy postmaster for six months, while the condition was that if he duly and faithfully executed the duties of the office during all the time he should continue deputy postmaster, the obligation to be void, and it was held that the obligor was only bound for six months; African Co. v. Mason (1714), cited 1 Str. 227, where a condition for a receiver's accounting for all sums received was limited by the recital to moneys received at a particular place.

SECT. 5. Interpretation.

absence of an express stipulation to that effect (s). The effect is merely to limit the liability of the obligor to the sum mentioned in the recitals (s).

Obligation unaffected by recitals.

The condition will not be controlled or limited by recitals which are not clearly inconsistent therewith (t), nor will recitals affect the obligatory part of a bond if that part is clear and unambiguous, because recitals are not considered to form part of the obligation, but to be incorporated with and form part of the condition (a).

Effect of indorsement.

177. The condition may be restrained by a memorandum indorsed on the bond, if it appears to have been the intention of the parties that the memorandum should form part of the condition, and it was indorsed on the bond before execution (b).

No time fixed for performance.

178. Where the condition is for payment of money, and no time is fixed for payment, the money is payable immediately (c); where it is for the performance of any other act, the act must be performed within a reasonable time (d).

Several, joint and several, and joint bonds.

179. Where a bond is entered into by two or more persons by which they bind themselves for a certain sum of money each, they are liable severally only (e). Where they bind themselves and each

(s) Parker v. Wise (1817), 6 M. & S. 239; Gordon v. Rae (1858), 8 E. & B. 1065, at pp. 1086, 1087.

(t) Australian Joint Stock Bank v. Bailey, [1899] A. C. 396, where A. and B., having given to a bank a joint and several guarantee limited to £2,500 for overdrafts to a customer, afterwards gave a joint and several bond, in which it was recited that the same customer desired advances in excess of the £2,500, the condition being for repayment of the balance of the current account, and it was held, the guarantee being invalid, that the condition, being plainly to secure payment of all sums advanced, was not limited by the recitals to sums in excess of the £2,500; Bird v. Lake (1863), 1 Hem. & M. 111.

(a) Ingleby v. Swift (1833), 10 Bing. 84, where a bond in the penal sum of

£1,000 was not reduced to £500 by a recital that the obligor had taken a farm of the obligee, and it had been agreed that he should enter into a bond with sureties in the penal sum of £500 for the due payment of the rent, and it was held that even the liability of the sureties was not limited to the amount mentioned in the recital; Sansom v. Bell (1809), 2 Camp. 39.

(b) Broke v. Smith (1601), Moore (K. B.), 679; Hurst v. Jennings (1826), 5 B. & C. 650; Burgh v. Preston (1800), 8 Term Rep. 483, where an indorsement that the obligee had given an undertaking not to sue on the bond until after the obligor's death was held to make the bond in effect payable only by his representatives; Reed v. Norris (1837), 2 My. & Cr. 361, where, a son being indebted to his father in a bond conditioned for payment of £1,000, with interest, the father and son joined in a bond, the son as surety, for £500, given by the father to a third person, a memorandum being indorsed on the £1,000 bond that the son was not to be called upon for payment until the father had paid all principal and interest under the £500 bond, and it was held that the indorsement did not affect the obligation of the son to pay interest under the £1,000 bond, but that the principal sum could not be called for until all sums payable under the other bond had been discharged.

(c) Farquhar v. Morris (1797), 7 Term Rep. 124; Shep. Touch. 369.

(e) Collins v. Prosser (1823), 1 B. & C. 682, where the bond was for payment of £1,000, "for which payment we bind ourselves, and each of us for himself, for the whole and entire sum of £1,000 each"; Armstrong v. Cahill (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 440, where a bond expressed, "We... are held and firmly bound in the sum of £50 each... to which payment... we hereby bind us and Bonds.

89

of them, the liability is joint and several (f). Where there are no words of severance, the obligation is primâ facie only joint, but it may be construed as joint and several if that appears to have been the intention having regard to the terms of the instrument as a whole (g).

SECT. 5. Interpretation.

Sect. 6.—Operation and Incidents.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

180. The effect of a single bond (h) is simply to create a specialty debt for the amount of the obligation. The effect of a double or under single conditional bond (i) at common law was to impose a liability on the obligor to perform the condition, or on a breach thereof to pay the sum named in the obligatory part; but where that sum is a penalty the obligee is now, by the operation of two statutes hereafter referred to (k), which superseded the ancient jurisdiction of equity to grant relief against penalties, only entitled on breach of the condition to recover a sum commensurate with the actual loss sustained by the breach (k).

and double

181. A bond executed after December 31, 1881, though not expressed to bind the heirs, operates to bind the heirs and real estate, as well as the executors and administrators and personal estate, of the obligor, subject to the expression of an intention to the contrary (l).

Binding on heirs and real estate.

182. Bond debts for valuable consideration no longer have the Priority in priority over simple contract debts which they formerly had in the administraadministration of the assets of a deceased obligee, nor, on the other tion of assets. hand, are voluntary bonds now postponed till after the satisfaction of debts for valuable consideration (m).

each of us, our and each of our heirs, etc.," was held the separate bond of each for £50. For a form of such bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p.

(f) In the following cases the obligation was held to be joint and several: "Know all men that we are bound . . . for payment whereof I bind myself, my heirs etc. . . . Sealed with our seals" (Sayer v. Chaytor (1699), Lutw. 695); where A. and B. were expressed to jointly and severally bind themselves, the condition being that if they or either of them duly paid an annuity to C. for life in the following manner, namely, one moiety by A. during his life and the other moiety by B. during the life of A., and after the death of A. the whole by B., his heirs etc. during the life of C., the bond to be void (Church v. King (1836), 2 My. & Cr. 220); where three bound themselves jointly and their respective heirs etc. to pay etc. conditioned to be void if they or either of them paid etc. (Tippins v. Coates (1853), 18 Beav. 401). For a form of such bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 536.

(g) As to this, see p. 91, post. A bond, though joint at law, may be construed as joint and several in equity; see Primrose v. Bromley (1739), 1 Atk. 88: Decaynes v. Noble (1816), 1 Mer. 530, 539; Lane v. Williams (1693), 2 Vern. 292; Levy v. Sale (1877), 37 L. T. 709; Summer v. Powell (1816), 2 Mer. 30; Breeferd v. Browning (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 564; Richardson v. Horton (1843), 6 Beav. 185. (f) In the following cases the obligation was held to be joint and several:

6 Beav. 185.

(h) See p. 80, ante. (i) See p. 80, ante.

(k) See pp. 93 et seq., post. (1) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 59. So do simple contract debts since 1833.

(m) See title Executors and Administrators.

SECT. 6. Operation and ·Incidents.

Merger of simple contract debt. Where no merger.

Injunction when agreement secured by bond.

Estoppel of obligor by recitals in bond.

183. Where a bond is given to secure the payment of a simple contract debt, the simple contract debt will merge in the specialty (n), provided that the parties are the same, and that the specialty is co-extensive with the simple contract debt (o), and provided that there is no expressed intention to the contrary (p).

But if the specialty is not co-extensive with the debt secured thereby, as where a bond conditioned for the payment of a limited amount was given to secure the payment of a sum then due, and such further sums as might become due, without specifying any limit to such further sums, it will not operate as a merger (q). Nor will there be any merger where the bond is only taken by way of collateral or additional security (r).

184. Where there is a covenant or agreement not to do a certain act, and a bond is given with a penalty conditioned to be forfeited on doing the act, the court will not refrain by reason of the giving of the bond from granting an injunction to restrain a breach of the covenant or agreement, unless it appears to have been the intention of the parties that the obligor should be entitled to do the act on condition of paying the penalty (s). Primâ facie, if a person agrees not to do a certain thing, and to pay a certain sum if he does do it, there are two independent agreements and he is not entitled to break one agreement on condition of his performing the other (s).

185. Where a bond contains recitals, the obligor is estopped from denying the truth of the facts recited, but not so the obligee (a). Thus, if it be recited that the obligor has received certain moneys due to the obligee, the obligor will not be permitted to prove that he never in fact received such moneys (b). So, if a bond is conditioned for the payment of rent of premises recited to be demised by an indenture at a certain specified rent, the obligor is estopped from showing that the indenture was never executed, or that a lower rent was reserved thereby than that mentioned in the recital, Nor where a particular consideration even if such was the fact (c).

⁽n) Price v. Moulton (1851), 10 C. B. 561; Owen v. Homan (1851), 3 Mac. & G. 378; Rolfe v. Peterson (1772), 2 Bro. Parl. Cas. 436; Woodward v. Gyles (1690). 2 Vern. 119.

⁽o) Bouler v. Mayor (1865), 19 C. B. (N. s.) 76. (p) Commissioner of Stamps v. Hope, [1891] A. C. 476. (q) Norfolk Rail. Co. v. M'Namara (1849), 3 Exch. 628; Holmes v. Bell (1841),

⁽r) Holmes v. Bell, supra; Twopenny v. Young (1824), 3 B. & C. 208. (s) French v. Macale (1842), 2 Dr. & War. 269; Hardy v. Martin (1783), 1 Cox, Eq. Cas. 26; Clarkson v. Edge (1863), 33 Beav. 227; Gravely v. Barnard (1874), L. B. 18 Eq. 518; Bird v. Lake (1863), 1 Hem. & M. 111; Jones v. Heavens (1877), 4 Ch. D. 636; London and Yorkshire Bank, Ltd. v. Pritt (1887), 56 L. J. (CH.) 987; National Provincial Bank of England v. Marshall (1888), 40 Ch. D. 112, C. A., where the condition of the bond was that if the obligor should pay to the obligees £1,000 as liquidated damages in case the obligor should at any time within two years after he should have retired or been dismissed from the service of the obligees accept any employment etc., and it was construed as intended to impose an obligation not to enter such employment, and an injunction was granted accordingly, though the obligor was willing to pay the £1,000.

⁽a) Baker v. Dewey (1823), 1 B. & C. 704; Rowntree v. Jacob (1809), 2 Taunt. 141.
(b) Shelley v. Wright (1773), Willes, 9.
(c) Lainson v. Tremere (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 792; Hosier v. Searle (1800), 2 Bos. & P. 299; Thornhil v. King (1599), Cro. Eliz. 757.

is recited can the obligor show that the consideration was in fact different, except for the purpose of showing that it was unlawful, and that the bond is therefore void (d).

SECT. 6. Operation and Incidents.

186. A bond given to two or more obligees (e) may be given to them jointly or severally, but not jointly and severally (f). general rule is, that if the interest of the obligees is joint, the bond will be deemed to have been given to them jointly, and if their interests are several, then severally (g). In the case of a joint obligees. bond, the right passes to the survivors on the death of one of the obligees, and they alone can enforce the obligation, the representatives of the deceased not being entitled to sue (h). If the bond is several, the representatives of a deceased obligee may sue, or join with the survivors in suing, thereon (i). A bond executed after December 31, 1881, with two or more jointly, to pay money or do any other act, is deemed, in the absence of the expression of an intention to the contrary, to include an obligation to pay the money or do the act to or for the benefit of the survivor or survivors, and of any other person to whom the right to sue on the bond devolves (k); and if the money is expressed to be owing to two or more on a joint account, it is deemed to remain money belonging to them on joint account, as between them and the obligor, so as to entitle the survivor or survivors, or the representatives of the last survivor, to give a good discharge (l).

The Joint, several. and joint and several bonds. Two or more

187. Two or more obligors may be bound jointly, severally, or Two or more jointly and severally (m). If bound severally, each incurs a separate liability according to the terms of the bond, which will bind his estate and real and personal representatives. If bound jointly only, the obligation devolves upon the survivors on the death of an obligor, and the estate and representatives of a deceased obligor, other than those of the last survivor, are under no liability (n). But a bond, though joint in form, and though it would be construed as creating a joint obligation only at law, may in equity, in the administration of the estate of a deceased obligor, be construed as joint and several, especially in the case of a bond given by partners, or in substitution for a pre-existing joint and several liability (o). Where the obligors are bound jointly and severally, the real and personal representatives

⁽d) Hill v. Manchester and Salford Waterworks Co. (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 544.

⁽e) As to actions on joint, and joint and several, bonds, see p. 102, post; and as to the discharge of such bonds, see pp. 98, 99, post.

(f) Bradburne v. Botfield (1845), 14 M. & W. 559.

⁽⁹⁾ See Steeds v. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537; Haddon v. Ayres (1858), 1 E. & E. 118; Palmer v. Mallet (1887). 36 Ch. D. 411, C. A.

⁽h) Martin v. Crompe (1697), 1 Ld. Raym. 340; Anderson v. Martindale (1801), 1 East, 497.

i) Withers v. Bircham (1824), 3 B. & C. 254; Palmer v. Sparshott (1842), 4 Man. & G. 137.

⁽k) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 60.

⁽l), Ibid., s. 61.

⁽m) See pp. 80, 88, ante.

⁽n) White v. Tyndall (1888), 13 App. Cas. 263. (c) Beresford v. Browning (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 564; Lane v. Williams (1693), Vern. 292; Devaynes v. Noble (1816), 1 Mer. 530; Primrose v. Bromley (1739),
 Atk. 88; Levy v. Sale (1877), 37 L. T. 709; Sumner v. Powell (1816), 2 Mer. 30; Richardson v. Horton (1843), 6 Beav. 185.

SECT. 6. Operation and Incidents.

of a deceased obligor are liable jointly and severally with the survivors (p).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Performance or Breach of the Condition.

Condition to be strictly performed.

188. In order to avoid a bond, the condition must be strictly performed, so as to carry out the object and intention of the parties (q). If a particular day is named either for the payment of money, or for the performance of any other act, the payment must be made or the act performed on or before the day mentioned (r). When the condition is for the payment of money, and no time is mentioned for payment, the money is payable immediately (s); and when it is for the performance of any other act, the act must be performed within a reasonable time (t).

Demand not necessary.

In neither case is it necessary that there should be any demand for payment or performance (u) in the absence of an express stipulation to that effect (w).

Default in one of several acts.

189. Where the condition requires payment by instalments or the performance of several acts, the bond will become absolute on default in respect of any one instalment, or in performance of any one of such acts (x).

Precedent act of obligee.

190. But where the performance of the condition by the obligor depends on some precedent act on the part of the obligee, the obligation of the obligor does not attach unless and until the precedent act is duly performed (y). Thus, in the case of a bond conditioned for the performance of one of two things within a certain time at the election of the obligee, performance is excused unless the obligee makes his election within the time limited (z). when the precedent act has been duly performed, it is not necessary, as a general rule, in order that the liability of the obligor should attach, that he should have notice thereof (a).

When impossibility of performance an excuse.

191. Performance of the condition is excused, and the obligor discharged from the bond, if, being possible at the time of the

(p) Burns v. Bryan or Martin (1887), 12 App. Cas. 184; Tippins v. Coates

(1853), 18 Beav. 401; Church v. King (1836), 2 My. & Cr. 220.
(1853), 18 Beav. 401; Church v. King (1836), 2 My. & Cr. 220.
(1962) Wms. Saund. 47 t; Taylor v. Bird (1750), 1 Wils. 280; Bigland v. Skelton (1810), 12 East, 436; Bache v. Proctor (1780), 1 Doug. 382; Cutler v. Southern (1666), 1 Saund. 116; Ker v. Mitchell (1786), 2 Chit. 487; Sparkes v. Martindale (1807), 8 East, 593; Skinners' Co. v. Jones (1837), 3 Bing. (N. C.) 481; London, Brighton, and South Coast Rail. Co. v. Goodwin (1849), 3 Exch. 738. Good v. Empire Printing and Publishing Co. 11d (1888) 59 I P. 432 736; Goad v. Empire Printing and Publishing Co., Ltd. (1888), 52 J. P. 438.

(r) Bigland v. Skelton, supra; Hodgson v. Bell (1797), 7 Term Rep. 97; Com. Dig. tit. Condition, E and G; Bac. Abr. tit. Condition.
(s) Farquhar v. Morris (1797), 7 Term Rep. 124; Shep. Touch. 369; Vin. Abr. tit. Condition, C 6; Gibbs v. Southam (1834), 5 B. & Ad. 911; and contrast Carter v. Ring (1813), 3 Camp. 459. (t) Co. Litt. 208 a, b.

(u) Gibbs v. Southam, supra; Vin. Abr. tit. Condition, C 6.
(w) Carter v. Ring, supra; Capp v. Lancaster (1597), Cro. Eliz. 548; Fitzhugh v. Dennington (1704), 2 Salk. 585.

(x) Friar v. Grey (1850), 15 Q. B. 891, 910; Coates v. Hewit (1744), 1 Wils. 80 (y) 2 Wms. Saund. 107 b, note 3; Vin. Abr. tit. Condition, V c; Buckland

v. Barton (1793), 2 Hy. Bl. 136; Campbell v. French (1732), 6 Term Rep. 200.
(z) Bac. Abr. tit. Condition, P 3. a) Ker v. Mitchell (1786), 2 Chit. 487; Cutler v. Southern, supra; Com. Dig. tit. Condition, 975.

execution of the bond, performance has become impossible by the act of God (b), the act of the legislature (c), or the act of the obligee (d). So, if the condition is in the disjunctive, and gives the obligor the option of performing one or other of two things, the bond will generally be discharged, if, both being possible at the time of the execution of the bond, the performance of either of them becomes impossible by the act of God(e), or the act of the obligee (f); though, in the case of discharge by the act of God, the question probably depends in each case on the intention of the parties (q). But the obligor is not excused by performance becoming impossible where the impossibility is caused by his own act or neglect (h).

SECT. 6. Operation and Incidents.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Amount recoverable on Breach of Condition.

192. The amount recoverable on a bond forfeited by breach of the Limited to condition is in all cases limited, both at law and in equity, to the amount of amount of the penalty fixed by the obligatory part, with costs, even costs. where the principal and interest payable according to the condition, or the damages sustained by the breach, exceed that sum (i). however, a judgment is recovered on the bond, it will carry interest until satisfied, though the amount of the judgment, with interest, may exceed the amount of the penalty (j). And where interest has been paid as interest, and has been applied as such, the amount ultimately payable may exceed the amount of the penalty, provided the principal and interest payable at any one time never exceeds that amount (k).

Where the sum named in the obligatory part is not a penalty, as in the case of a bond for a specified sum, conditioned for payment of the same sum with interest, the rule that the amount recoverable is limited to the sum named in the obligatory part does not apply (1).

(c) Davis v. Cary (1850), 15 Q. B. 418. (d) Co. Litt. 206 b; Vin. Abr. tit. Obligation, R 2-4; Com. Dig. tit. Condition. K 2, L 5; Duvergier v. Fellowes (1828), 2 Moo. & P. 384, 411.

(e) Laughter's Case (1595), 5 Co. Rep. 21 b; Leitrim (Earl) v. Stewart, supra. (f) Duvergier v. Fellowes, supra; Com. Dig. tit. Obligation, K. 2. (g) Barkworth v. Young (1856), 4 Drew. 1, 25. See further, Anon. (1688), 1

Salk. 170.

(h) Bigland v. Skelton (1810), 12 East, 436. Compare Beswick v. Swindells

(1834), 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 159, 5 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 378.
(i) Hatton v. Harris, [1892] A. C. 547; Wilde v. Clarkson (1795), 6 Term Rep. 303; Clarke v. Seton (1801), 6 Ves. 411; Hughes v. Wynne (1832), 1 My. & K. 20: Mackworth v. Thomas (1800), 5 Ves. 329; Brangwin v. Perrot (1777), 2 Wm. Bl. 1190; White v. Sealy (1778), 1 Doug. 49; Shutt v. Procter (1816), 2 Marsh. 226. The rule that no more can be recovered than the penalty and costs is a general rule applicable to all bonds, and replevin bonds are no exception to the rule (Branscombe v. Scarbrough (1844), 6 Q. B. 13); see title DISTRESS AND REPLEVIN. In Grant v. Grant (1830), 3 Sim. 340, a decree was made in equity for the full payment of principal and interest though it exceeded the penalty of the bond, on the ground that the obligor had prevented the obligee from recovering by vexatious proceedings, but such a case could hardly occur nowadays. And see Mathews v. Keble (1868), 3 Ch. App. 691. Lons-uale (Lord) v. Church (1788), 2 Term Rep. 388, must be considered overruled.

(j) M·Clure v. Dunkin (1801), 1 East, 436. (k) Knipe v. Blair, [1900] 1 I. R. 372.

(1) Francis v. Wilson (1824), 1 Ry. & M. 105.

⁽b) Bac. Abr. tit. Condition, N and Q; Thomas v. Howell (1692), 1 Salk. 170; Shep. Touch. 372; Vin. Abr. tit. Condition, G c.; Co. Litt. 206 a; Com. Dig. tit. Condition, D 1; Brown v. London Corporation (1861), 9 C. B. (N. S.) 726, per WILLIAMS, J., at p. 747, affirmed 13 C. B. (N. S.) 828; Leitrim (Earl) v. Stewart (1870), I. R. 5 C. L. 27.

SECT. 6. Operation and Incidents.

Common money bonds under statute of Anne.

193. Where an action is brought on any bond containing a condition making it void on the payment of a lesser sum, the payment of the principal and interest due by the condition, though not strictly in accordance therewith, may be pleaded as effectually as if the payment had been according to the condition (m); and if at any time pending any such action the defendant brings into court the amount of the principal and interest due and costs, the money so brought in must be taken in full satisfaction (n). effect of these provisions is that, in the case of a money bond with a penalty, no sum can be recovered in excess of the principal and interest payable according to the condition (o).

Assigning breaches of bond for performance of agreement under statute of William III.

194. In an action on a bond conditioned for the performance of a covenant or agreement in any indenture, deed, or writing, the plaintiff must (p) assign a breach, or as many breaches as he thinks fit, of the condition; and though he is entitled, on proving a breach of the condition, to judgment for the full amount of the penalty fixed by the bond, he can only actually recover by execution the amount of the damages sustained by the breach or breaches assigned (q), the judgment remaining in force as security for such damages as may be sustained by any further breach (r).

The amount actually recoverable under any bond within this enactment is therefore limited to the damages, not exceeding the penalty (s), sustained by the non-performance of the condition (t).

Limitation of amount recoverable to damage sustained. Bonds within the statutes of Anne and William III. respectively.

195. The only bonds within the statute of Anne are bonds conditioned for the payment of a lesser sum at a specified time. conditioned for the payment of a specified sum by instalments (u), or for payment of an annuity (w), are within the statute of William III., and not within the statute of Anne, and on default in payment of an instalment breaches must accordingly be assigned. A post obit bond, when the money becomes payable, is within the statute of Anne (x). A bond conditioned for the payment of a principal sum at a future date, with interest payable periodically in the meantime, is within the statute of William III. until the principal sum has become payable, and if an action is brought before that time on default in payment of interest, breaches must be assigned (a); but

(n) Stat. 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, s. 13. (o) See England v. Watson (1842), 9 M. & W. 333.

(q) Hardy v. Bern (1794), 5 Term Rep. 636. (r) Judd v. Evans (1795), 6 Term Rep. 399. See p. 102, post. (s) Mackworth v. Thomas (1800), 5 Ves. 329.

(t) Hardy v. Bern, supra; Harrap v. Armitage (1823), 12 Price, 441.

(u) Willoughby v. Swinton (1805), 6 East, 530; Murray v. Stair (Earl) (1823), 2 B. & C. 82, 90; Preston v. Dania (1872), L. B. 8 Exch. 19.

(w) Collins v. Collins (1759), 2 Burr. 820; Walcot v. Goulding, supra; Mackworth v. Thomas, supra.

(x) Smith v. Bond (1833), 10 Bing. 125, at p. 132.

⁽m) Stat. 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, s. 12. Part payment may also be pleaded (Husband v. Davis (1851), 10 C. B. 645).

⁽p) Stat. 8 & 9 Will. 3, c. 11, s. 8. Though the word "may" is used in the statute, it is compulsory (Roles v. Rosewell (1794), 5 Term Rep. 538; Friar v. Grey (1850), 15 Q. B. 891, 910; Walcot v. Goulding (1799), 8 Term Rep. 126). But it is not necessary in any case for the Crown to assign breaches (R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 169, 171).

⁽a) Wheelhouse v. Ladbrooke (1858), 3 H. & N. 291 (there is no power in such

after the date fixed for payment of the principal the statute of Anne applies, and it is not necessary to assign breaches (b).

An arbitration bond conditioned for the performance of the award is within the statute of William III., and breaches must be assigned, though the damages may be ascertained by the award (c). And where a bond was conditioned for the payment of a sum certain, but by a deed of the same date it was agreed that the obligee should be entitled to enter up judgment when he thought fit, it was held that the bond was substantially for performance of an agreement, and that breaches must be assigned (d).

SECT. 6. Operation and Incidents.

196. When a bond is conditioned for the payment of a lesser sum When agreeof money, interest is recoverable though not expressly reserved, an agreement for payment of interest being implied; and if no date implied, for payment is specified, interest runs from the date of the bond (e). But this implication only arises in the case of a penalty bond, that is, where the sum named in the obligatory part is in excess of that named in the condition. A bond in a specified sum conditioned for the payment of the same sum, without interest being mentioned, is, in effect, a single bond, and the amount recoverable is the principal sum without interest (f).

ment to pay

197. Neither the statute of Anne nor the statute of William III. Penalty bonds has any application to bonds in which the sum named in the obligatory part is not in the nature of a penalty.

only within

Where a bond is conditioned for the payment of a sum of money Instalments. by stated instalments, and it is provided that in default of payment of any one instalment the whole sum remaining unpaid shall become payable, the acceleration of the payment of the remaining instalments is not a penalty, and on default in respect of any instalment the entire sum may be claimed (g).

And if a creditor agrees to accept part payment of a debt in full Agreement to discharge, and takes a bond for payment of the full amount conditioned to be void on part payment, whether in one sum or by instalments, the full amount of the debt can be claimed in the event of a breach of the condition, though only in respect of one instalment, the amount in the obligatory part not being a penalty, but the sum actually due (h).

accept part

James v. Thomas (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 40; and see Shaw v. Worcester (Marquis) (1830), 6 Bing. 385.

(c) Welch v. Ireland (1805), 6 East, 613.

(f) Hogan v. Page (1798), 1 Bos. & P. 337.

Motival Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685.
(h) Re Neil, Ex parte Burden (1881), 16 Ch. D. 675; Thompson v. Hudson (1569), L. B. 4 H. L. 1.

a case to stay proceedings on payment of the interest due and costs, the plaintiff being entitled to judgment for the penalty as security for the debt under the statute of William III.); Hodykinson v. Wyatt (1843), 1 Dow. & L. 668; Juid v. Evans (1795), 6 Term Rep. 399; Gowlett v. Hanforth (1774), 2 Wm. Bl. 958; Tighe v. Crafter (1810), 2 Taunt. 387; Skinners' Co. v. Jones (1837), 4 Scott, 271. (b) Roakes v. Manser (1845), 1 C. B. 531; Smith v. Bond (1833), 10 Bing. 125;

⁽d) Hurst v. Jennings (1826), 5 B. & C. 650. (e) Re Dixon, [1900] 2 Ch. 561, C. A.; Farquhar v. Morris (1797), 7 Term Rep.

g) Protector Loan Co. v. Grice (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 592, C. A.; Wallingford v.

SECT. 6.
Operation
and
Incidents.

Principal becoming due on default in payment of interest.

Distinction between penalty and liquidated damages.

Rules for ascertaining whether penalty or liquidated damages. So, if a bond is conditioned for the payment of a principal sum at a future day, and interest at stated periods in the meantime, the principal to become payable immediately in default of the regular payment of interest, the acceleration in the time for payment of the principal is not in the nature of a penalty, and on a breach in respect of the payment of interest the whole sum due for principal and interest may be recovered (i).

198. Where a bond is conditioned for the performance of an act or acts other than the payment of money, the question whether the sum named in the obligatory part is to be deemed a penalty or liquidated damages depends on the circumstances of the particular case and the presumed intention of the parties (k). Where it is in the nature of liquidated damages, the statute of William III. does not apply, and on breach of the condition the sum named in the obligatory part is recoverable (l). The principles by which the courts are guided in determining whether the amount agreed to be paid on a breach is a penalty or liquidated damages are discussed in detail in another part of this work (m). The following is a very brief summary of some of the leading rules:—

(1) The fact that the sum is described as a penalty or as liquidated damages is not conclusive. Indeed, it is almost

immaterial (n).

(2) Where the condition depends upon the performance of one act or the happening of one event only, and the sum in which the obligor is bound is not largely in excess of the possible damage which may be sustained by the breach, it is *primâ facie* liquidated damages (o).

(3) Where the amount of the damage sustained by breach of the condition must necessarily be small in proportion to the sum in

which the obligor is bound, the sum is a penalty (p).

(4) Where the condition is for the performance of several acts, or happening of several events, some of which are of serious and others of trifling or less serious importance, the sum in the obligatory part of the bond is a penalty (q).

(l) Strickland v. Williams, [1899] 1 Q. B. 382, C. A. (m) See title DAMAGES.

(p) Wallis v. Smith, supra; Law v. Redditch Local Board, supra; Willson v. Love, supra; Elphinstone (Lord) v. Monkland Iron and Coal Co. (1886), 11

App. Cas. 332.

(q) Elphinstone (Lord) f v. Monkland Iron and Coal Co., supra; Willson f v. Love,

 ⁽i) Goad v. Empire Printing and Publishing Co., Ltd. (1888), 52 J. P. 438.
 (k) Wallis v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; Willson v. Love, [1896] 1 Q. B. 626,
 C. A.

⁽n) Kemble v. Farren (1829), 6 Bing. 141; Re White and Arthur (1901), 84 L. T. 594; Wallis v. Smith, supra; Willson v. Love, supra; Law v. Redditch Local Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 127, C. A.; Clydebank Engineering and Shipbuilding Co. v. Yzquierdo y Castaneda (Don José Ramos), [1905] A. C. 6, per Lord Halsbury, at p. 9; Public Works Commissioners v. Hill, [1906] A. C. 368, per Lord Dunedin, at p. 375. But see Diestal v. Stevenson, [1906] 2 K. B. 345, per Kennedy, J., at p. 350.

(o) Strickland v. Williams, supra, where the condition was obedience to an injunction to refrain from trespassing on the obligee's land, and the sum in which the obligor was bound was £100; Law v. Redditch Local Board, supra; Clydebank Engineering and Shipbuilding Co. v. Yzquierdo y Castaneda (Don José Ramos), supra; Atkyns v. Kinnier (1850), 4 Exch. 776.

SECT. 7.—Assignment.

SECT. 7. Assignment.

199. The obligation created by a bond being a legal chose in action, any absolute assignment thereof not purporting to be by way of charge only, of which express notice in writing is given to the obligor, is effectual (subject to all equities which would have been entitled to priority over the right of the assignor (r)) to transfer the legal right thereto, and all remedies for the same, as from the date of the notice (s).

Assignment

In equity the obligation may be assigned by a verbal agreement In equity. for valuable consideration, subject to all equities existing at the time of notice of the assignment being given to the obligor (t).

Although, as a general rule, the assignee of a bond takes Estoppel as subject to all equities, and acquires no better right than the assignor, against the obligor may be estopped by his conduct from denying the validity of the bond as against an assignee for value without notice, or from setting up other defences which would have been available against the assignor (u).

Sect. 8.—Discharge of the Obligation.

200. The obligation of a bond may be discharged in any one of six ways:-

(1) By due performance of the condition, or, in certain cases, by Performance. performance thereof becoming impossible (a).

(2) By payment, after breach of the condition, of the amount Payment. recoverable, in the case of a bond within the statute of Anne (b).

(3) By accord and satisfaction (c). Since the Judicature Acts an Accord and accord and satisfaction, though by parol, and whether before or satisfaction. after breach, may be pleaded to a claim on a bond (c).

(4) By release or covenant not to sue (d).

Release.

[1896] 1 Q. B. 626, C. A.; Wallis v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243; Kemble v. Farren (1829), 6 Bing. 141; Law v. Redditch Local Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 127,

(r) See Graham v. Johnson (1869), L. R. 8 Eq. 36, where, the obligor being entitled, as against the obligee, to cancellation of the bond, it was held that an assignee for valuable consideration was in no better position and could not enforce the bond as against the obligor; Payne v. Mortimer (1859), 4 De G. & J. 447. See also Glasse v. Marshall (1845), 15 Sim. 71; Chambers v. Manchester and Milford Rail. Co. (1864), 5 B. & S. 588, per Blackburn, J., at p. 611; Re Cork and Youghal Rail. Co. (1869), 4 Ch. App. 748, per Lord Hatherley, at

(s) Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 25 (6). And see Vertue v. East Anglian Railways Co. (1850), 5 Exch. 280, as to the transfer of bonds under the Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 16).

(f) For assignments generally, including equitable assignments, see title

CHOSES IN ACTION.

H.L.—III.

(u) Re South Essex Estuary Co., Ex parte Chorley (1870), L. R. 11 Eq. 157; Re Hercules Insurance Co., Brunton's Claim (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 302, cases where companies were estopped from denying the validity of bonds given by them in the hands of assignees for value without notice; Dickson v. Swansea Vale Rail. Co. (1868), L. B. 4 Q. B. 44. See also Hawker v. Hallewell (1856), 25 L. J. (CH.) 558.

(a) See pp. 92, 93, ante.

(b) 4 Anne, c. 16, s. 12; and see p. 94, ante. (c) Steeds v. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537. See further, title CONTRACT.

(d) See titles CONTRACT; GUARANTEE; and Major v. Major (1852), 1 Drew. 165;

SECT. 8. Discharge of the Obligation. Cancellation.

(5) By cancellation, by or with the consent of the obligee, with the intention to cancel the bond (e). But a cancellation by the obligor or a stranger without the consent of the obligee, or a cancellation by mistake or accident, without the intention of cancelling, will not affect the obligation (f). If, however, a bond is produced by the obligor in a cancelled state, it is presumed to have been cancelled animo cancellandi by or with the consent of the obligee (q).

Alteration.

(6) By a material alteration by the obligee after execution (h). An immaterial alteration will not affect the validity of the bond (i); nor will an alteration by the obligor, because a person is not permitted to take advantage of his own wrong (k). Alterations made before the bond is completely executed, with the assent of all the parties, do not affect its validity (1); and blanks may be filled up, even after execution, by consent of all the parties (m). But if, after a bond is executed by some only of several obligors, a material alteration is made without their consent, they are discharged, though they may subsequently assent to the alteration and partly perform the condition of the bond (n).

Where two or more obligees.

201. A bond given to two or more obligees jointly may be discharged by a payment to (o), or accord and satisfaction with (p), any one of them; and, in the absence of fraud (q), a release by one constitutes a good defence against them all (r), though it is otherwise

Shore v. Shore (1847), 2 Ph. 378; Hodges v. Smith (1599), Cro. Eliz. 623. an intention to release is not sufficient (Jorden v. Money (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 185; Re Holmes (1861), 5 L. T. 382).

(e) 3 Prest. Abst. 103; Seaton v. Henson (1678), 2 Lev. 220. See further, title Deeds and other Instruments.

(f) Ex parte Smith (1843), 3 Mont. D. & De G. 378; Raper v. Birkbeck (1812), 15 East, 17; Wilkinson v. Johnston (1824), 3 B. & C. 428; Bolton v. Carlisle (Bishop) (1793), 2 Hy. Bl. 259; Vanhoven v. Giesque (1706), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas.

(g) Alsager v. Close (1842), 10 M. & W. 576; and compare Re Dixon, [1900] 2 Ch. 561, C. A.

(h) Pigot's Case (1615), 11 Co. Rep. 26 b. See further, title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

(i) Shep. Touch. 69; Waugh v. Bussell (1814), 5 Taunt. 707.

(k) Shep. Touch. 69.

(1) Zouch v. Clay (1671), 1 Vent. 185; Matson v. Booth (1816), 5 M. & S. 223.

(m) Hudson v. Revett (1829), 2 Moo. & P. 663, 692: Texira v. Evans, cited in Master v. Miller (1793), 1 Anst. 225, Ex. Ch., per Wilson, J., at p. 229.

(n) Adams v. Bateson (1829), 6 Bing. 110. In Ellesmere Brewery Co. v. Cooper. [1896] 1 Q. B. 75, a bond by the terms of which four sureties jointly and severally bound themselves, the liability of two of them being limited to £50 each, and of the other two to £25 each, having been executed by three of them, the fourth, whose liability was limited to £50, executed it, adding to his signature "£25 only"; and the obligee accepted the bond so executed without objection. It was held that the first three signatories were discharged by the alteration, and that the fourth was also discharged, because he had executed it as a joint and several, and not as a several, bond.

(o) Powell v. Brodhurst, [1901] 2 Ch. 164; Husband v. Davis (1851), 10 C. B. 645.

(p) Wallace v. Kelsall (1840), 7 M. & W. 264. Compare Steeds v. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537.

(q) Barker v. Richardson (1827), 1 Y. & J. 362. (r) Wallace v. Kelsall, supra; Wilkinson v. Lindo (1840), 7 M. & W. 81; Wild v. Williams (1840), 6 M. & W. 490; Jones and Matthews v. Herbert (1817), 7 Taunt. 421.

in the case of a covenant not to sue (s). In the case of fraud. a release given by one of several joint obligees will in equity be ordered to be delivered up to be cancelled (t). And where the interests of the obligees are several, a bond, though joint in form, will be construed as a several bond (a), in which case an accord and satisfaction with, or release by, one or more, will not affect the rights of the others (b). But in the case of bonds executed after December 31, 1881, if the money is expressed to be owing to two or more on a joint account, it is deemed to remain money belonging to them on joint account as between them and the obligor, and the receipt in writing of the survivors or last survivor, or of the personal representatives of the last survivor, is, unless a contrary intention be expressed in the bond, a good discharge, notwithstanding notice of severance of the joint account (c).

SECT. 8. Discharge of the Obligation.

202. A release of one of two or more obligors jointly, and not Where two or severally, bound, operates as a release of all in equity as well as at more obligors. The same rule applies in the case of a joint and several Release. bond if the release is an absolute and formal release (e); but if it purports to be a release of the particular obligor only, or expressly reserves the right to sue the others, it will not operate to discharge them, unless their right of contribution is taken away or injuriously affected by the release (f).

A covenant not to sue one or some of two or more joint, or joint Covenant not and several, obligors, does not operate to discharge the other or to sue. others (q).

If the seal of one of two or more obligors bound jointly, or Destruction jointly and severally, is torn off, by or with the consent of the obligee, animo cancellandi, the bond is discharged as regards them all (h); but where they are bound severally only, the destruction of the seal of one or some of them does not affect the liability of the others (i).

SECT. 9.—Statute of Limitations.

203. The remedy by action on a bond is barred after twenty Remedy vears from the accrual of the right of action (k), except in the case

barred after

(s) Walmsley v. Cooper (1839), 11 Ad. & El. 216.

(t) Barker v. Richardson (1827), 1 Y. & J. 362.

(a) Haddon v. Ayers (1858), 1 E. & E. 118; Withers v. Bircham (1824), 3

B. & C. 254; Palmer v. Sparshott (1842), 4 Man. & G. 137.

(b) Steeds v. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537, explained in Powell v. Brodhurst,

[1901] 2 Ch. 164. As to cancellation, see Ex parte Smith (1843), 3 Mont. D. & De G. 378. (c) Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 61.

(d) North v. Wakefield (1849), 13 Q. B. 536; Re Hodgson (1885), 31 Ch. D. 177, 188, C. A.

(e) North v. Wakefield, supra; Re Wolmershausen (1890), 62 L. T. 541; Bower v. Swadlin (1738), 1 Atk. 294.

(f) Ward v. National Bank of New Zealand (1883), 8 App. Cas. 755.

(y) Hutton v. Eyre (1815), 6 Taunt. 289; Dean v. Newhall (1799), 8 Term Rep. 165; Lacy v. Kynaston (1702), 12 Mod. Rep. 548, 551.

(h) Seaton v. Hewson (1678), 2 Lev. 220; Bayly v. Garford (1641), March, 125; Collins v. Prosser (1823), 1 B. & C. 682.

(i) Collins v. Prosser, supra.
(k) Civil Procedure Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42), s. 3. See generally, title LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.

> н2 816190

Digitized by Google

SECT. 9. Statute of Limitations.

of a bond given by a mortgagor of land as collateral security for the mortgage debt, when the remedy by action on the bond is barred at the same time as the remedy against the land, that is to say, after twelve years (l).

Extension of time.

If, however, at the time when the cause of action accrues, the person entitled to sue is an infant or person of unsound mind, he may sue within twenty years from the removal of the disability; and if at the time of the accrual of the cause of action the person liable to be sued is beyond the seas, he may be sued within twenty years after his return (m).

Acknowledgment or part payment.

Moreover, if an acknowledgment is made either by writing signed by the person liable to be sued or his agent, or by part payment (n)or part satisfaction on account of any principal or interest then due on the bond, the action may be brought for the amount remaining unpaid within twenty years after the acknowledgment, or in the case of infancy or unsoundness of mind on the part of the person entitled to sue, or absence beyond seas on the part of the person liable to be sued, then within twenty years after the disability has ceased or of the return from beyond the seas, as the case may be (o).

When time begins to run.

204. In the case of a conditional bond, time does not begin to run for the purposes of the Statute of Limitations until breach of the condition (p); and where the condition is for payment of an annuity or of a principal sum by instalments, or interest at stated times, or for the performance of several acts in succession, a new cause of action arises on each successive breach, unless it is provided that the whole sum shall become due on default in payment of interest or of any one instalment, as the case may be; and an action may be brought in respect of such of the sums as accrued due, or such of the acts as ought to have been performed, within the twenty years preceding the commencement of the proceedings, though more than twenty years may have elapsed since the first or any other breach of the condition (q).

Acknowledgment to stranger.

205. It is not necessary, to interrupt or prevent the operation of the statute, that the acknowledgment should be made to the obligee or his agent. It is sufficient if made to a stranger (r).

(m) Civil Procedure Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42), s. 4, as amended by

(p) Sanders v. Coward (1846), 15 M. & W. 48; Re Dixon, supra. (q) Amott v. Holden (1852), 18 Q. B. 593; Blair v. Ormond (1851), 17 Q. B. 423; Re Dixon, supra; Amos v. Smith, supra.

(r) Moodie v. Bannister (1859), 28 L. J. (CH.) 881; Forsyth v. Bristowe (1853), 8 Exch. 716.

⁽¹⁾ Fearnside v. Flint (1883), 22 Ch. D. 579. This is not so, however, where the bond is given, not by the mortgagor, but by a surety, when the longer period applies (Re Powers (1885), 30 Ch. D. 291, C. A.). Moreover, such a bond remains enforceable so long as the mortgage debt is kept alive (ibid.; and compare Re Frisby (1889), 43 Ch. D. 106, C. A.). See further, titles MORTGAGE; LIMITATION of Actions.

Mercantile Law Amendment Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 97), s. 10.

(n) See Re Dixon, [1900] 2 Ch. 561, C. A.; Amos v. Smith (1862), 1 H. & C. 238.

(o) Civil Procedure Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42), s. 5, as amended by Mercantile Law Amendment Act, 1836 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 97), s. 10.

BONDS. 101

acknowledgment made after the expiration of the twenty years will operate to revive the obligation (s).

SECT. 9. Statute of Limitations.

206. Where an action is brought in England on a bond executed abroad, the question whether or not the remedy is barred by the operation of a statute of limitations is governed by English law, and not the law of the place where the bond was executed (t).

Conflict of

SECT. 10.—Lost Bonds.

207. An action may be brought on a lost bond, and the obligation Action on lost enforced, subject to a proper indemnity being given to the defendant, to the satisfaction of the Court (a).

SECT. 11.—Actions on Bonds.

208. Where the sum named in the obligatory part of a bond is Where claim not in the nature of a penalty, as in the case of a single bond, or a may be by bond for payment of a certain sum conditioned for payment of the indorsed. same sum by instalments the whole to become due on default in respect of any instalment, or a bond for payment of a sum by way of liquidated damages conditioned for the performance of an act in default of which the damages are payable, the claim for the amount of the obligation may be specially indorsed on the writ and proceedings for summary judgment be taken (b).

In an action also, on a common money bond to which the statute Money bonds of Anne is applicable (c), the claim may be specially indorsed (d). under statute The usual and proper course is to indorse the writ with a claim for the actual sum recoverable, that is to say, the sum named in the condition with interest, if the penalty is not exceeded thereby, or for the amount of the penalty if it is less than the amount of principal and interest; but where a writ was specially indorsed with a claim for the penalty, it was held that the indorsement was not invalid, and that judgment might be given for the sum actually due for principal and interest (e).

209. In the case of bonds within the statute of William III. (f), Bonds within the writ cannot be specially indersed (g). The plaintiff may inderse statute of it generally either for the amount of the penalty, or as for a claim "upon a bond conditioned" etc., setting out the terms of the

⁽s) Moodie v. Bannister (1859), 28 L. J. (CH.) 881.

t) Alliance Bank of Simla v. Carey (1880), 5 C. P. D. 429. See generally, title CONFLICT OF LAWS.

⁽a) See Atkinson v. Leonard (1791), 3 Bro. Ch. Rep. 218; East India Co. v.

⁽b) I.e., under R. S. C., Ord. 14; see ibid., Ord. 3, r. 6; Strickland v. Williams, [1899] 1 Q. B. 382, C. A.; Goad v. Empire Printing and Publishing Co., Ltd. (1888), 52 J. P. 383; and see pp. 93 et seq., ante. See further, title PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE.

⁽c) See pp. 93, 94, ante. (d) Gerrard v. Clowes, [1892] 2 Q. B. 11; Re Dixon, [1900] 2 Ch. 561, C. A. (e) Gerrard v. Clowes, supra.

⁽f) See pp. 94, 95, ante.
(7) Tuther v. Caralampi (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 414 (a bond in a penalty of £500 conditioned for payment of an annuity two instalments of which were unpaid).

SECT. 11. Actions on Bonds.

It is a common course simply to claim damages for the condition. breach of the condition.

Wheredefendant fails to appear.

If the defendant fails to appear, the plaintiff may at once assign breaches by delivering a suggestion thereof to the defendant or his solicitor, and obtain judgment for the amount of the penalty on proof of service of the writ and default of appearance (h). He may not, however, issue execution on the judgment, but must issue a writ of inquiry for the assessment of the damages for the breaches assigned, and execution may only issue for the amount of the damages (i).

Where defendant appears.

If the defendant enters an appearance, and pleadings are ordered, the statement of claim must assign the breaches of the condition, and damages for them will be assessed; and though, on proving the breach or breaches, judgment will be given for the penalty, execution can only issue for the amount of the damages (i).

Payment into court.

Payment into court by the defendant is admissible to particular breaches only, and not to the whole action (j).

Judgment security against further breaches.

The judgment for the penalty remains in force as a security for such damages as may be sustained by further breaches, upon which the plaintiff may have a scire facias (k), suggesting the further breaches, to show cause why execution should not issue for the damages, to be ascertained by a writ of inquiry (l), or the damages may be recovered by an action on the judgment in respect of the further breaches.

Where two or more obligees or obligors.

210. In an action on a bond given to two or more jointly, all the obligees should be joined as plaintiffs (m). Similarly where two or more are jointly, and not severally, bound, they should all be joined as defendants (n). A judgment, though unsatisfied, against one or some of several joint obligors, who are not also bound

(h) R. S. C., Ord. 13, r. 14. For form of suggestion of breaches, see Chitty's King's Bench Forms, 13th ed., p. 649.

(i) Tuther v. Caralampi (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 414; Welch v. Ireland (1805), 6 East, 613 (bond conditioned for performance of an award); 8 & 9 Will. 3, c. 11, s. 8; Civil Procedure Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42), s. 16.

(j) R. S. C., Ord. 22, r. 1; Preston v. Dania (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 19 (bond

conditioned for payment of a lesser sum by instalments).

(k) As to scire fucius, see title Crown Practice. For form of suggestion of

further breaches, see Chitty's King's Bench Forms, 13th ed., p. 654.

(l) Stat. 8 & 9 Will. 3, c. 11, s. 8; Judd v. Evans (1796), 6 Term Rep. 399.

The mode of procedure by means of a scire facias does not appear to have been abolished; see Chitty's Archbold's Practice, 14th ed., p. 1286; Lindley on Companies, 6th ed., p. 409; Yearly Practice, 1908, p. 102. Possibly leave to proceed on the judgment could now be obtained on a summons in the original

(m) Hopkinson v. Lee (1845), 6 Q. B. 964; Keightley v. Watson (1849), 3 Exch. 716; R. S. C., Ord. 11, r. 6. But if the interest of the obligees is several, one may sue without the other or others (Haddon v. Ayers (1858), 1 E. & E. 118;

Pulmer v. Mallet (1887), 36 Ch. D. 411).
(n) See R. S. C., Ord. 16, r. 11, as to the effect of non-joinder. An obligor is entitled as of right to have co-obligors liable jointly, and not severally, with him joined as co-defendants (Pilley v. Robinson (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 155; Kendall v. Hamilton (1879), 4 App. Cas. 504). In White v. Hancock (1846), 2 C. B. 830, it was held that where the obligor was expressed to be bound to the obligee in a certain sum to be paid to the obligee or a third person, the obligee was entitled to sue without noticing the third person.

severally, is a bar to any proceedings against the other or others (o); except where the judgment is entered in default of appearance (p), or in default of delivery of defence (q), or where it is given against one or more of the defendants who are refused leave to defend, which is granted to the others (r). In the case of a joint and several bond, the obligee may sue any one or more of the obligors at his option (s), and an unsatisfied judgment against some of them is no bar to subsequent proceedings against the others (t).

SECT. 11. Actions on Bonds.

SECT. 12.—Stamp Duties.

211. The stamp duties on bonds are as follows (u):— Stamp duties. (1) Bond (a) (other than a marketable security otherwise Money bonds. specially charged (b): (a) Being the only, or principal, or primary security for the

ayment or i	repaym	ent or	money:	_				8.	d. .
Not exceed	ling £10	0.	•		•			0	.3
Exceeding	£10 an	d not	exceedir	ng £25 .				0	8
,,	£25	,,	,,	£50.		•	٠.	1	8
,,	£50	"	*,	£100.	•	•	•	2	6
,,	£100	••	,,	£150.	•	•		3	9
,,	£150	,,	,,	£200.				5	0
,,	£200	,,	,,	£250.		•		6	8
,,	£250	,,	,,	£300.	•			7	6
,,	£300,	for eve	ry £100	and also	for a	ny fr	ac-		
tional pe	art of £	100 of	the am	ount secur	\mathbf{ed}	•		2	6

(b) Being a collateral, or auxiliary, or additional, or substituted security, or by way of further assurance for the payment or repayment of money, where the principal or primary security is duly stamped:-

For every £100 and also for any fractional part of £100 of the amount secured.

But not in any case exceeding a duty of 10s. (c).

(c) Transfer, assignment, or disposition of any such bond, or of any money or stock secured thereby:-

For every £100 and also for any fractional part of £100 of the

(b) As to bonds which are marketable securities, see titles COMPANIES; REVENUE.

(c) Revenue Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 46), s. 7.

⁽o) King v. Hoare (1844), 13 M. & W. 494; Kendall v. Hamilton (1879), 4 App. Cas. 504.

⁽p) Pim v. Coyle, [1903] 2 I. R. 457. (q) Weall v. James (1893), 68 L. T. 515, C. A.; Francis Walton & Co. v. Topaksyan, Kevorkian, and Marler (1905), 53 W. B. 657, C. A. (r) R. S. C., Ord. 14, r. 5. (s) R. S. C., Ord. 16, r. 6. (t) Lechmere v. Fletcher (1833), 1 Cr. & M. 623.

⁽u) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), Sched. I. As to the penalties for not stamping or for delay in stamping, and the persons liable, see ibid., s. 15, and title Revenue.

⁽a) Including a bond, accompanied with a deposit of title-deeds, for making a mortgage or other security on property therein comprised, and a bond for making redeemable any disposition apparently absolute, but intended only as a security (*ibid.*, s. 86 (1) (e), (d)).

SECT. 12. Stamp Duties.

Annuity bonds. amount transferred, assigned, or disponed, exclusive of interest which is not in arrear 6d.

And also, where any further money is added to the money already secured, the same duty as a principal security for such further money.

(d) Release, discharge, surrender, or renunciation of any such bond, or of the benefit thereof, or of the money thereby secured:—

The "amount secured" does not, in the case of a money bond with a penalty, mean the amount of the penalty, but the amount payable under the condition in order to avoid the bond, and it does not include interest, unless the interest is capitalised (d).

(2) Bond in relation to any annuity upon the original creation

and sale thereof (e):—

The same ad valorem duty on the amount or value of the consideration for the sale as upon a conveyance or transfer on

sale of any property (f).

Bond—(a) Being the only, or principal, or primary security for any annuity (except on the original creation thereof by way of sale or security, and except a superannuation annuity), or for any sum or sums of money at stated periods, not being interest for any principal sum secured by a duly stamped instrument:—

For a definite and certain period, so that the total amount to be ultimately payable can be ascertained, the same ad valorem

duty as a bond for such total amount.

(b) Being a collateral, or auxiliary, or additional, or substituted security for any of such purposes when the principal or primary instrument is duly stamped:—

In any other case, for every £5 and also for any fractional part of £5 of the annuity or sum periodically payable . 6d.

(c) Being a contract for payment of a superannuation annuity, that is to say, a deferred annuity secured to any person in consideration of annual premiums payable until the annuitant attains a specified age, and so as to commence on his attaining that age:—

For every £5 and also for any fractional part of £5 of the

annuity 6d. (3) Bond given pursuant to the directions of any Act, or of the

Excise or customs bonds.

(3) Bond given pursuant to the directions of any Act, or of the Commissioners of Inland Revenue or Commissioners of Customs,

(e) Ibid., s. 60, Sched. I.

⁽d) See Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), s. 86; and pp. 93, 94, ante.

⁽f) See title SALE OF LAND.

(g) As to the meaning of "sum periodically payable," see Clifford v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, [1896] 2 Q. B. 187; Lewis v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, [1898] 2 Q. B. 290.

SECT. 12.

or any of their officers, for or in respect of any of the duties of excise or customs, or for preventing frauds or evasions thereof, or Stamp Duties. for any other matter or thing relating thereto: Where the penalty of the bond does not exceed £150, the same ad valorem duty as a bond for the amount of the penalty. In any other case. Exemptions.—(a) Any bond given upon, or in relation to, the receiving or obtaining, or for entitling any person to receive or obtain, any drawback of any excise or customs duty for or in respect of exported goods, wares, or merchandise. (b) Any bond with reference to the exportation of coal or carriage of coal coastwise for the purpose of the security required by s. 104 of the Customs Consolidation Act, 1876 (h), or Sched. IV. to the Finance Act, 1901 (i). (4) Bond on obtaining letters of administration. 5s. Administration bonds. Exemptions.—(a) Bond given by the widow, child, father, mother, brother, or sister of any common seaman, marine, or soldier dying in the King's service. (b) Bond given by any person where the estate to be administered does not exceed £100 in value. (5) Bond of any other kind whatsoever:— Fidelity Where the amount limited to be recoverable does not exceed bonds etc. £300, the same ad valorem duty as a bond for the amount limited. 10s. In any other case

⁽h) 39 & 40 Vict. c. 36.

⁽i) 1 Edw. 7, c. 7; see Revenue Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 46), s. 6.

BOROUGH ENGLISH.

See Descent and Distribution; Real Property and Chattels Real.

BOROUGHS.

See LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

BOTTOMRY.

See Admiralty; Shipping and Navigation.

BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS.

											I	AGE
PART :	I. Di	ELIMITATI	ON OF	BOU	NDA	RIES	3	-	-	-	-	108
	SECT.	1. In General	RAL		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	108
	SECT.	2. BOUNDAR	IES FIX	ED BY	Астя	oF '	THE	PARTI	ES	_	_	109
		Sub-sect. 1.				_	_	_	_	-	_	109
		Sub-sect. 2.	By Ass	urance		-	-	-	-	-	-	110
		Sub-sect. 3.	By Und	listurb	ed Po	ssess	ion	-	-	-	-	111
	SECT.	3. BOUNDAR		XED	BY	Stat	UTOR	Y OF	ı Ju	DICIA	L	
		AUTHORIT	_		-		-		-	-	-	112
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	By the	Board	of A	gricul	ture	and F	isheri	es	-	112 114
		Sub-sect. 2.	Under	the La	nd Tr	u Act	r Act	± s. 187	- 5 and	1897	-	114
		Sub-sect. 4.	By the	Court	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	115
	SECT.	4. Boundar	•			Αт. Р	RESTI	MPTIO	N	_	-	118
		Sub-sect. 1.						_	-	_	_	118
		Sub-sect. 2.			-	-	_	_	_	_	-	118
		Sub-sect. 3.			-	-	-	-	_	-	_	120
		Sub-sect. 4.	Rivers		•	- ,	•	-	-	-	-	120
		Sub-sect. 5.	Highwa	iys and	l Priv	rate V	Vays	-	-	-	-	122
		Sub-sect. 6.			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124
		Sub-sect. 7.	Hedges	and D	itche	8	-	-	-	-	-	124
Part	II. F	RIGHTS AN	D DUT	ES O	F OV	VNE:	rs a	s to	FEN	CES	-	126
	SECT.	1. In General	RAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	126
	SECT.	2. TREES ON	OR NE	ar Bo	UNDA	RIES	-	-	-	-	-	126
	SECT.	3. DANGERO	us Fen	CES -	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	127
	SECT.	4. ERECTION	, MAIN	TENAN	CE AI	ND R	EPAII	or I	FENCE	83	-	128
		Sub-sect. 1.	No Ger	eral L	iabili	tv to	fence) -	_	_	_	128
		Sub-sect. 2.						-	-	_	_	129
		Sub-sect. 3.	Extent	and Co	nseq	uence	s of]	Liabili	ty to	fence	_	132
		Sub-sect. 4.	Extingu	iish m e	nt of	Liabi	lity	-	-	-	-	134
Part	III.	PART Y-W A	LLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134
Part	IV.	EVIDENCE	OF B	DUND	ARI	ES	-	-	-	-	-	138
	SECT.	1. NATURE	of Evi	DENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	138
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	eral .	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	138
		Sub-sect. 2.			dence	,	_	_	_	_	_	139
		Sub-sect. 3.			-	-	-	-	-	_	_	141
	SECT	2. PARTICUL	-		Evii	ENCE	:	-	-	_	_	143
		Sub-sect. 1.	Public o	locume	ents s	nd or	dere	etc.	_	_	_	143
		Sub-sect. 2.					-	-	-	-	-	146

DUTTE ATTENDED TO TOTAL

PART IV. EVIDENCE OF BOU.	NDAR	LES—con	unuea.	PAGE
SECT. 3. PERAMBULATIONS AT	ND OTE	ER ACT	s of Ownership	- 148
SECT. 4. BOUNDARIES OF CO.	PYHOLI	os -		- 149
Sect. 5. Discovery	-			- 150
-		•		
For Alteration of Areas of Local Author	rities -	See title	LOCAL GOVERNMEN	NT.
Alteration of Electoral Areas		,,	ELECTIONS.	
Boundaries of Highways -		,,		REETS
7 1 1 (35)			AND BRIDGES.	
Boundaries of Mines and Quarrie	<i>3</i> 8 -	,,	MINES, MINERAL QUARRIES.	S AND
Boundaries of Private Ways		,,	EASEMENTS AND I	Profits
Boundaries of Waters and V	Vater-			
courses	-	,,	WATERS AND COURSES.	Water-
Duty to Fence as between La	ndlord		000100100	
and Tenant		,,	LANDLORD AND T	ENANT.
Duty to Fence on the part of Re	uilway			
and Canal Companies -		,,	RAILWAYS AND CA	ANALS.
Misdescription of Boundaries in	Agree-			
ments for Sale of Land -	-	,,	SALE OF LAND.	
Misdescription of Boundaries in	Wills -	,,	WILLS.	
Parish Boundaries		,,	LOCAL GOVERNMEN	VT.
Party-Walls in County of London	ı -	,,	METROPOLIS.	
5 0 -				

Part I.—Delimitation of Boundaries.

Sect. 1.—In General.

Definition.

212. A boundary is an imaginary line (a) which marks the confines or line of division of two contiguous estates (b). The term is also used to denote the physical objects by reference to which the line of division is described as well as the line of division itself. In this sense boundaries have been divided into natural and artificial, according to whether such physical objects have or have not been erected by the agency of man (c).

Boundaries. horizontal and vertical.

213. Boundaries are not necessarily confined to the surface where the ownership of the surface and minerals is severed. where no such severance has taken place, the surface boundary probably carries with it the right to the column of air over the land

⁽a) A.-G. v. Chambers, A.-G. v. Rees (1859), 4 De G. & J. 55, 85; Wishart v. Wyllie (1853), 1 Macq. 389.

⁽b) An ancient market may exist without metes and bounds (Gingell v. Stepney Borough Council, [1908] 1 K. B. 115, C. A.).

(c) See Mackenzie v. Bankes (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1324, 1339. As illustrations of natural or physical objects forming or locating boundaries, the following may be mentioned:—waters (Scratton v. Brown (1825), 4 B. & C. 485; Bickett v. Morris (1866), L. R. 1 Sc. & Div. 47; Holford v. Bailey (1849), 13 Q. B. 426); the sea-shore (A.-G. v. Chambers (1854), 4 De G. M. & G. 206); foults intersecting mines where the property consists of a mine (Darie v. Sheeherd faults intersecting mines where the property consists of a mine (Davis v. Shepherd (1866), 1 Ch. App. 410); fences (Woolrych on Fences, p. 281); party-walls (Matts v. Hawkins (1813), 5 Taunt. 20; Cubitt v. Porter (1828), 8 B. & C. 257).

up to the sky (d), and certainly the soil to the centre of the earth (e). on the principle Cujus est solum, ejus est usque ad cœlum et ad In General. inferos(f).

SECT. 1.

214. Whether a boundary is, or is not, included in property Howfar which it is described as bounding depends upon the particular boundary circumstances of each case. Thus, in the case of adjoining properties bounded by a hedge and ditch there is no inaccuracy in bounded. describing either property as so bounded, though the boundary may be wholly included in one and excluded from the other (g). So, too, in the case of land bounded by a highway, private way, or river, a line drawn along the middle of the highway, private way, or river, is as a rule the boundary (h), provided that the grantor at the time of the grant owned to the centre, and there is nothing to show a contrary intention (i). But where the nature of the object named as a boundary (e.g., a house or land) is such that an independent title thereto would in the natural course of events be made, the boundary object is excluded from the subject-matter of the grant (j).

property

215. Boundaries are fixed either (1) by proved acts of the respec- Modes of tive owners, or (2) by statutes or by orders of the authorities fixing having jurisdiction, or (3), in the absence of such acts, statutes, or orders, by legal presumption.

boundaries.

SECT. 2.—Boundaries fixed by Acts of the Parties.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- By Agreement.

216. Boundaries may be fixed by an agreement (k) made between Mere agreetwo or more adjacent owners where their boundaries have become ment lost or confused. Such an agreement need not be in writing (l), and, a fortiori, need not be by deed; for, if it be fairly made, the boundaries so settled will be presumed to be the true and ancient limits (m), and therefore the agreement is not a conveyance, nor is

(d) Corbett v. Hill (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 671. This proposition has been doubted (Pickering v. Rudd (1815), 4 Camp. 219, per Lord Ellenborough, at p. 220); but see Wandsworth Board of Works v. United Telephone Co. (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 904, C. A., per Bowen, L.J., at p. 919, where he said that he would be very loath to depart from it.

(e) Devonshire (Duke) v. Pattinson (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 263, C. A., per Fry, L.J., at p. 273; Corbett v. Hill, supra.

(f) Solomon v. Vintners' Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 585, per Pollock, C.B., at

p. 600.

(g) Re Belfast Dock Act (1867), 1 I. R. Eq. 128, 140.

(h) Lord v. Sydney Commissioners (1859), 12 Moo. P. C. C. 473, 497.

also pp. 120, 122, post.
(i) Micklethwait v. Newlay Bridge Co. (1886), 33 Ch. D. 133, 145, C. A.; Re White's Charities, [1898] 1 Ch. 659. For exceptions to the rule, see Ecroyd v. Coulthard, [1898] 2 Ch. 358, C. A.; and pp. 121 et seq., post.

(j) Lord v. Sydney Commissioners, supra, at p. 497; and see the American case Boston (City) v. Richardson (1866), 13 Allen (Mass.), 146, at p. 154.
(k) For form of agreement to settle boundaries, see Encyclopædia of Forms

and Precedents, Vol. II., p. 443. For questions of misdescription of boundaries in agreements for the sale of land, see title SALE OF LAND.

(1) See Hetherington v. Galt (1905), 7 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 706, where the mutual boundary was adjusted by verbal agreement between the adjoining owners and marked by a line of trees planted at their joint expense.
(m) Penn v. Baltimore (Lord) (1750), 1 Ves. Sen. 444, 448.

SECT. 2.
Boundaries fixed by Acts of the Parties.

it an agreement for the alienation of land so as to come within the provisions of the Statute of Frauds (n). Moreover, the settlement of boundaries is a mutual consideration sufficient to support a contract not under seal, even where the land is situate out of the jurisdiction (o).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- By Assurance.

Description in deed of conveyance.

217. Boundaries may, and of course should, be fixed by the deed or deeds conveying one or both of the properties concerned. It is always a question for the jury whether a parcel of land is contained in the description of the land conveyed by deed or not (o). In a conveyance of land it should be described with the utmost accuracy (p), and it is the duty of the grantor to see that this is done, the rule being that the grant shall be construed most strongly against the grantor, except where the grantor is the Crown (q).

Mode of description.

The property may be described in any way sufficient to identify it (r), but the best method is by area, parish, and county, and, if they can be stated, by well-marked boundaries such as highways and rivers, or, if not, by stating the names of adjacent owners coupled with reference to a plan for the purposes of better identification. It is, however, unsafe to rely exclusively upon a plan, the lines on which are to be taken as a true description of the boundaries of the property conveyed just as if they were contained in the body of the deed (s); for the person who prepares the plan may easily make a mistake, which might be irreparable and would at all events necessitate either another deed or judicial rectification.

Effect of inaccuracy.

218. Inaccuracy in a statement of dimensions or in a plan does not vitiate or affect a sufficiently certain definition of the land conveyed, except where the dimensions are an essential part of the description or definition, and not merely a cumulative description (t).

Remedies for inaccuracy.

Where by a mistake common to both parties a piece of land not intended to be comprised in the conveyance is delineated on the plan annexed to the conveyance as being part of the property intended to be conveyed, the conveyance will be rectified (u); but if the mistake be unilateral the remedy is not rectification, but rescission; and in order to get rescission fraud or misrepresentation amounting to fraud must be proved (w).

⁽n) 29 Car. 2, c. 3, s. 4.

⁽o) Penn v. Bultimore (Lord) (1750), 1 Ves. Sen. 444. See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

⁽p) As to the admissibility of extrinsic evidence in cases of ambiguously described boundaries, see p. 139, post.

⁽q) Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A. See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

⁽r) Doe d. Roberts v. Parry (1844), 13 M. & W. 356. (s) Lyle v. Richards (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 222; Llewellyn v. Jersey (Earl) (1843), 11 M. & W. 183.

⁽t) Mellor v. Walmesley, supra, at p. 174.
(u) Harris v. Pepperell (1867), L. R. 5 Eq. 1, as explained in May v. Platt,

^{[1900] 1} Ch. 616, per Farwell, J., at p. 623. (w) May v. Platt, supra; Okill v. Whittaker (1847), 2 Ph. 338. See titles MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUD; MISTAKE.

If an ordnance officer makes a mistake in drawing a map which is the principal demonstration of the property comprised in a conveyance made under the direction of the court, and the grantee knows that the mistake has been made, and that the deed is expressed to convey more than either of the parties intends, the court may order the deed to be rectified and the grantee to pay the Mistake costs of the rectification (a).

SECT. 2. Boundaries fixed by Acts of the Parties.

known to grantee.

SUB-SECT. 3 .- By Undisturbed Possession.

219. The right to boundaries fixed by agreement or assurance may be lost, and a new boundary may be acquired under the Statutes of Limitation (b), by twelve years' undisturbed possession of the land falling between the old and the new boundaries. Thus, where the owner of a hedge and a ditch beyond it has covered in the ditch, and the adjoining owner has erected buildings and planted trees on the site of the ditch more than twelve years before action brought, the boundary will be the hedge, as the former owner of the ditch has discontinued the possession of its site by allowing these acts of adverse dominion; and climbing over the hedge once or twice a year to clip it will not be evidence of the continued possession of the site of the ditch, but only of a right in the nature of an easement (c). Similarly, filling up a ditch and sowing and cultivating the site of it together with the rest of the field will be a sufficient dispossession to bring the Statutes of Limitation into operation (d).

220. In order that the statutes may operate, it is not sufficient Disconto prove mere acts of ownership, that is to say, acts which an owner tinuance of might do. Actual possession on the part of the person claiming possession essential. under the statute and dispossession on the part of the former owner must be proved, for this reason, that if discontinuance of possession on the part of the former owner has not been proved, his right to bring an action has never arisen, and the statute has never commenced to run against him (e). Thus, where a field was bounded by a ditch which had become obliterated, and had been used for more than twenty years as part of the field, and where the owners of the field had always in their leases included the site of such ditch, but there was otherwise no adverse act committed against the owners of the ditch, who were road trustees, it was held that there had been no discontinuance of possession on the part of the owners of the ditch, so as to pass the property to the owner of the field (f).

⁽a) Re Tottenham (1868), L. R. 2 Eq. 375.

⁽b) Real Property Limitation Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 27), as amended by Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 57), s. 1. See further, title LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.

⁽c) Marshall v. Taylor, [1895] 1 Ch. 641, C. A.

⁽d) Norton v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1879), 13 Ch. D. 268, C. A. (e) Marshall v. Robertson (1905), 50 Sol. Jo. 75; Leigh v. Jack (1879), 5 Ex. D. 264; Littledale v. Liverpool College, [1900] 1 Ch. 19, C. A.; Philpot v. Bath (1904), 20 T. L. R. 589.

⁽f) Searby v. Tottenham Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 5 Eq. 409.

SECT. 2. Boundaries fixed by Acts of the Parties.

Mere non-user on the part of the owner is not sufficient evidence of discontinuance of possession without some other acts of definite adverse possession on the part of the person claiming the land by long possession (q).

Sect. 3.—Boundaries fixed by Statutory or Judicial Authority. SUB-SECT. 1.—By the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries.

Powers of Board of Agriculture and Fisheries. Where lands the Inclosure Acts.

221. The Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (h), formerly the Land Commissioners, are under the Inclosure Acts, 1845 to 1878, authorised to make orders fixing boundaries in certain cases (i).

For the purpose of shortening or straightening any boundary inclosed under fences between land to be inclosed under the Inclosure Acts, 1845 to 1878, and any adjoining lands, the valuer appointed under the Acts may, with the consent in writing of the persons interested in the adjoining lands, set out and determine the boundaries, and, if necessary, draw and define new boundaries, between the lands to be inclosed and such adjoining lands (j).

Where lands inconveniently intermixed or divided.

Upon the application in writing of persons interested in parcels of land (not subject to be inclosed under the Inclosure Acts, or subject to be inclosed, but as to which no proceedings for an inclosure are pending) which are so intermixed or divided as to be inconvenient for occupation or cultivation, the Board may make a new division and allotment of the parcels at the expense of the persons signing the application, if it appears that such new division would be beneficial (k).

Copyholds intermixed.

Upon the application in writing of persons interested in any copyhold or customary lands which are intermixed or occupied with freeholds or with any copyhold or customary lands of another manor, the Board may, whether such lands are or are not subject to be inclosed and whether any proceedings for an inclosure are pending or not, appoint some person to determine and declare the situation and boundaries of such lands, provided the consent of the lords of the manors of whom such customary or copyhold lands are held be first had to such appointment (l). A copy of the award made under this section must be given to the lords of the manors to which it relates, and becomes a part of the court rolls (m).

(g) Smith **v.** Lloyd (1854), 9 Exch. 562.

(h) Board of Agriculture Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 30), s. 2; Board of Agriculture and Fisheries Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 31). For the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries, see generally title Agriculture, Vol. I., 297.

(i) The powers and duties of completing the general survey, and ascertaining the boundaries of completing the powers and ascertaining

the boundaries of counties, cities, boroughs, towns, parishes, districts and divisions in Great Britain (including the Isle of Man) under the Ordnance Survey Act, 1841 (4 & 5 Vict. c. 30), which were transferred to the Commissioners of Wales by the Survey Act, 1870 (33 Vict. c. 13), are now also vested in the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (Board of Agriculture Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 30), s. 2(1)(c); Board of Agriculture and Fisheries Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 31)). See further, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽j) Inclosure Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 118), s. 45.

⁽k) Ibid., s. 148. (l) Inclosure Act, 1846 (9 & 10 Vict. c. 70), s. 6.

⁽m) Ibid., s. 6. As to copyholds generally, see title COPYHOLDS.

The Board has the same power, subject to the same rules as to applications and consents, to appoint some person to set out and Boundaries determine the boundaries of any leaseholds where they are intermixed with lands of copyhold or customary tenure and cannot be identified, provided the consent of the person who would have been Leaseholds. the person interested if the lease had not been granted be obtained (n).

SECT. 3. fixed by Authority.

Where an application is made to the Board for the regulation Commons. of one part of a common and for the inclosure of the residue, the Board has power to modify the boundaries between the part to be regulated and the residue by means of a provisional order (o).

222. Where any rent or fixed periodical payment issuing out Apportionof lands is to be apportioned, and there is any doubt as to ment of rent the lands charged therewith, the Board must make inquiry and ascertain the identity, extent, and boundaries of the lands so charged (p).

223. The valuer must draw up and engross under the direction of Making and the Board any award made in the matter of an inclosure and describe confirming the boundaries which have been ascertained and set out, and must also, unless the Board dispenses with the necessity therefor (q), annex a map to the engrossment (a). Awards must be confirmed by the Board under its seal (b), and, after being so confirmed, are conclusive evidence that all directions in relation thereto have been duly obeyed, and are binding upon all persons whomsoever (c), but are not conclusive evidence of the boundaries antecedently to the determination (d).

The Board has power to correct any error or to supply any correction of omission, whether fraudulent or otherwise, which may appear after award. confirmation in any award; and all expenses in connection with the correction must be borne by the person requiring it (e).

224. Where a question relating to the boundaries of lands hinders Tithe comthe making or execution of an agreement under the Tithe Commuta- mutation. tion Act, 1836(f), the landowners concerned may submit the question to reference, by any writing under their hands containing an agreement that such submission shall be made a rule of Court. decision of the arbitrator is, for the purposes of the Act, conclusive. But the submission of persons having an estate less than a fee simple or fee tail does not bind persons entitled in reversion,

⁽n) Inclosure Act, 1846 (9 & 10 Vict. c. 70), s. 8.

⁽o) Commons Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Vict. c. 56), s. 2. As to commons generally, see title Commons.

⁽p) Inclosure Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 97), s. 10. As to apportionment generally, see title RENT-CHARGES AND ANNUITIES.

 ⁽q) Inclosure Act, 1859 (22 & 23 Vict. c. 43), s. 14.
 (a) Inclosure Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 118), s. 104.

⁽b) Ibid. (c) Ibid., s. 105. (d) Compare R. v. St. Mary (Inhabitants) (1821), 4 B. & Ald. 462.

⁽e) Inclosure Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 79), s. 29.

⁽f) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71, s. 24. This provision applies only to private estates, not to parishes (Re Ystradgunlais Commutation (1844), 8 Q. B. 32). As to tithe commutation generally, see title Ecclesiastical Law.

SECT. 3. Boundaries fixed by Authority.

remainder, or expectancy, without the sanction of the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (g), who may direct such persons or any others interested in the question to be made parties to the

Generally.

The Board is also empowered to settle disputes relating to the boundary of any lands whereby the making of any award under the Act is hindered (h); and the award, when made and confirmed by the Board, is binding on all parties (i).

Award under private Inclosure Act.

225. Where an award under a private Inclosure Act for inclosing and dividing lands of a manor describes a plot of land as being bounded on one side by a river, the boundary of the plot will be the near edge of the river and not the medium filum, if the river bed did not in fact form part of the lands which the Commissioners were authorised to allot (j).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Under the Copyhold Act, 1894.

Definition of boundaries on enfranchisement.

226. On an enfranchisement of copyhold or customary land, if it appears that the land is not defined on the court rolls by a plan. the valuers appointed under the Act by the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (k) must, if requested in writing by the lord or the tenant, define the boundaries by means of a plan (l). Where, however, it appears, either by the court rolls or otherwise, that the boundaries have been treated for more than fifty years as intermixed with the boundaries of other lands and as being incapable of definition, then no plan can be made except by agreement between the lord and the tenant (m).

Where, after the appointment of valuers, there is any doubt as to the identity of any land, the lord or tenant may apply to the Board to define the boundaries of the land for the purposes of enfranchisement, and the Board must ascertain and define the boundaries in such manner as they think proper (n).

Sub-Sect. 3.—Under the Land Transfer Acts, 1875 and 1897.

Requirements for registration.

227. Land registered under the Land Transfer Act, 1875 (o), was required before 1898 to be described in such manner as the registrar thought best calculated to secure accuracy, but such description was not to be conclusive as to the boundaries or extent of the

c. 31); Board of Agriculture Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 30).

(h) Tithe Commutation Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71), ss. 45, 46. See Girdlestone v. Stanley (1839), 3 Y. & C. (Ex.) 421.

(i) Tithe Commutation Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71), s. 52.

(j) Ecroyd v. Coulthard, [1898] 2 Ch. 358, C. A.; Hough v. Clark and Hall

(k) Copyhold Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 46), s. 5. As to the enfranchisement of copyholds generally, see title COPYHOLDS.

(l) Ibid., s. 52 (2).
(m) Ibid., repealing and substantially re-enacting Copyhold Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 73), s. 42.

(n) Copyhold Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 46), s. 52 (3).

⁽g) The Board of Agriculture and Fisheries now exercises the powers of the Tithe Commissioners (Board of Agriculture and Fisheries Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7,

^{(1907), 23} T. L. R. 682.

⁽o) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87. As to land registration generally, see titles REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; SALE OF LAND.

registered land (p). These provisions were repealed in 1897 (q), and such land must now be described by means of the ordnance map with such verbal particulars as the applicant may require and the registrar or court may approve, regard being had to the ready identification of parcels, correct description of boundaries, and, so far as may be, uniformity of practice (a).

SECT. 3. Boundaries fixed by Authority.

If, however, it be desired to register the precise position of How far boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention description of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of any lands or parts thereof, notice of the intention of boundaries of the intention of the i to do so must be given to the owners and occupiers of the adjoining for registra. lands, with such plan or extract from the proposed description of tion purposes the land as may be necessary to show clearly the fixed boundary conclusive. proposed to be registered (b), and when the position and description of the boundaries have been thus determined, the necessary particulars must be added to the filed plan or general map and a note made in the property register that the boundaries have been fixed. The plan is then to be deemed to define accurately the fixed boundaries (c). In other cases the filed plan or general map will not determine the exact line of the boundary (d), notwithstanding that part or the whole of a wall, fence, road, stream, or other boundary is expressly included in or excluded from the title, or that it forms the whole of the land comprised in the title (e).

228. When the plan or reference to the general map cannot be Revision of prepared without a revision of the ordnance map or general map, ordnance the officers of the registry must make the necessary revision, if map. required to do so by the applicant, such revision to be made without charge where registration is compulsory (f).

Plans and verbal descriptions may be renewed, revised, or Revision and corrected at any time upon the application of the registered pro-correction of prietor, and upon the production of such evidence and the giving of plans etc. such notices as the registrar may deem necessary. Revision or correction of any part of the general map may also be made at any time on the application of the registered proprietor of the land to which such part relates (q).

Any conflict between the verbal particulars and the plan must Conflict be decided by the registrar, and, unless he otherwise directs, the between plan plan must prevail (h).

and verbal description.

SUB-SECT. 4.—By the Court.

229. The powers of the old courts of law, as distinguished Courts of law. from courts of equity, to give relief in the matter of disputed

⁽p) Land Transfer Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 87), s. 83 (5).

⁽q) Land Transfer Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 65), s. 14 (1). (a) Ibid., 8. 14 (2).

⁽b) Land Transfer Rules, 1903, r. 272; Land Transfer Rules, 1907,

⁽c) Ibid., 1903, r. 273; 1907, Schedule. (d) Compare Caton v. Hamilton (1889), 53 J. P. 504. (e) Land Transfer Rules, 1903, r. 274; Land Transfer Rules, 1907, Schedule.

⁽f) Ibid., 1903, r. 277; 1907, Schedule. (g) Ibid., 1903, r. 281; 1907, Schedule.

⁽h) Ibid., 1903, r. 282.

SECT. 3.
Boundaries
fixed by
Authority.

boundaries, were very inadequate; for they had no power to establish and define old boundaries or to issue a commission to set out the boundaries between lands which had become intermingled and confused, or, in the event of the separation being impossible, to order new boundaries to be set out, or to order old inclosures and bounds to be restored (i).

Courts of equity.

230. In consequence of the inadequacy and inconvenience of the remedy at law, the courts of equity assumed jurisdiction in the case of disputed boundaries. At first this jurisdiction was exercised only with the consent of the parties (k), but later the necessity for consent was dispensed with where the party seeking relief showed (1) that there was a confusion of boundaries; (2) that he had no adequate remedy at law; (3) that the party against whom he claimed was under some equitable obligation to preserve the identity of boundaries (l); and (4) that he had a clear right to part of the land (m), or that such right was not disputed (n), as for example where a tenant or a copyholder had destroyed or not preserved the boundaries between his own property and that of his lessor or lord; and to the exercise of jurisdiction on such an equitable ground no objection has ever been taken.

(k) See the form of a bill to set out metes and bounds and to perpetuate testimony in the case of *Hunt v. White*, set out at p. cxlvii., Vol. I., of "Calendar of the Proceedings in the Court of Chancery temp. Queen Elizabeth," published by the Record Commission, 1827, which recites that a previous commission was issued with the consent of the plaintiff's predecessor in title and the

defendant.

Abr. 164; Grierson v. Eyre (1804), 9 Ves. 341, 345.

(n) Boteler v. Spelman (1673), Cas. temp. Finch, 96; Peckering v. Kimpton (1630), Toth. 39; Wintle v. Carpenter (1680), Cas. temp. Finch, 462; Rous v. Barker (1725), 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 660; Willis v. Parkinson (1817), 2 Mer. 507; Clifton v. Gwynne (1822), Taml. 236.

⁽i) The only remedy at common law open for a person who claimed lands or any part of lands which had fallen into the hands of another owing to the loss or confusion of boundaries was to bring the ordinary action of ejectment, or, as it was known in the earlier days, trespass de ejectione firmæ (A.-G. v. St. Aubyn (Sir J.) (1811), Wight. 167, 230; Hardcastle v. Shafto (1792), 1 Anst. 184), which was also available for the recovery of the possession of copyholds (per Brian, C.J., Y. B. 21 Edw. 4, p. 80, pl. 27, and Danby, C.J., Y. B. 7 Edw. 4, p. 18, pl. 16). Moreover, if a plaintiff recovered in an action of ejectment, and it so happened that the lands which he recovered were confused with lands of other tenure, he was not allowed to take out execution for what he pleased, but his judgment remained inoperative, as it did not set out the lands by metes and bounds, unless and until he had defined and separated the lands recovered from those with which they were confused (Hardcastle v. Shafto, supra). Similarly, at common law the proper mode of recovering rent issuing out of or charged upon lands was distress, and therefore, if the lands out of which the rent issued could not be distinguished, the owner was without remedy; compare Holder v. Chambury (1734), 3 P. Wms. 256; Leeds (Duke) v. Powell (1748), 1 Ves. Sen. 171; Bouverie v. Prentice (1778), 1 Bro. Ch. Rep. 200; Re Herbage Rents, [1896] 2 Ch. 811. As to the boundaries of lands charged with a rent-charge being confused, see title Rent-charges and Annultities.

⁽l) Speer v. Crawter (1817), 2 Mer. 410; Harding v. Suffolk (Countess) (1633), 1 Rep. Ch. 33.

⁽m) Chapman v. Spencer (1732), 2 Eq. Cas. Abr. 163; Loker v. Rolle (1795), 3 Ves. 3; Chalk v. Wyatt (1810), 3 Mer. 688, per Lord Eldon, L.C., at p. 688; Ely (Bishop) v. Kenrick (1732), Bunb. 322; Webb v. Banks (1739), 2 Eq. Cas. Abr. 164: Grierson v. Eure (1804), 9 Ves. 341, 345.

231. It is apprehended that the same principles would still apply, and that a mere confusion of boundaries is not per se sufficient ground (except by consent) to support a claim for a commission (o), which would only be granted where some equity is shown arising out of the inequitable conduct of one of the parties (p).

SECT. 3. Boundaries fixed by Authority.

When com-

In the following cases it has been held that the facts were sufficient to entitle the plaintiff to the equitable relief of a commission: (1) where the defendant ploughed up or otherwise actively confused the boundaries (q); (2) where several offices had existed for some time in the hands of one individual, and in consequence the lands allotted to the different offices had become confused (r); (3) in the case of a debtor's lands lying intermixed with others the court issued a commission upon the application of a creditor in aid of his writ of elegit apparently upon mere proof that the boundaries were confused (s).

So, although the proper mode of recovering a quit rent is distress, In respect of nevertheless there may be special circumstances justifying the interference of equity (t). Thus, a commission has been issued to wnicn issues. ascertain the boundaries of the lands out of which a rent issues notwithstanding that the plaintiff's title to the rent was disputed, with a direction that it should be determined after the return of the commissioners (a). If the rent be small when compared with the costliness of the contemplated proceedings, the court will generally direct an inquiry to be made at chambers to set out the boundaries rather than adopt the more expensive course of issuing a commission (b).

232. As a rule the commission will direct that, in the event of the Where boundaries being so confused and obliterated that the commissioners boundaries are unable to distinguish them, they are to set out new lands of distinguished. equal value with those the boundaries of which have been confused (c).

233. There is no settled rule as to the costs of a commission, Costa. but the general rule seems to be that each party must bear the costs in proportion to his interest (d). If, however, the confusion of

(t) Holder v. Chambury (1734), 3 P. Wms. 256.

⁽o) Bute (Marquis) v. Glamorganshire Cunal Co. (1845), 1 Ph. 681, 684.

⁽p) Speer v. Crawter (1817), 2 Mer. 410; Miller v. Warmington (1820), 1 Jac. & W. 484; O'Hara v. Strange (1847), 11 I. Eq. R. 262; cases cited in Wake v. Convers (1759), 1 Eden, 331. Compare Winterton v. Egremont (Lord), cited 2 Anst. 392.

 ⁽q) See note (n), p. 116, ante.
 (r) Kennedy v. Trott (1849), 6 Moo. P. C. C. 449.
 (s) Mullineux v. Mullineux (1616), Toth. 39. See also cases where charity trustees have intermixed charity lands with other lands, thus confusing the boundaries (A.-G. v. Bowyer (1800), 5 Ves. 300; S.-G. v. Bath Corporation (1848), 18 L. J. (CH.) 275; A.-G. v. Stephens (1855), 6 De G. M. & G. 111); and title CHARITIES.

⁽a) Bowman v. Yeat (1681), Cas. in Ch. 145. (b) Spike v. Harding (1878), 7 Ch. D. 871; Searle v. Cooke (1890), 43 Ch. D. 519, 527, C. A. No recent example of a commission being granted to ascertain

⁽c) Ambler's Case (1770), cited 4 Ves. 184; Willis v. Parkinson (1817), 2 Mer. 507; A.-G. v. Stephens (1855), 1 K. & J. 724, 751; Peckering v. Kimpton (1630), Toth. 39.

⁽d) Calmady v. Calmady (1795), 2 Ves. 568. But see also Norris v. Le Neve

SECT. 3.
Boundaries
fixed by
Authority.

boundaries has arisen owing to the fault of some person under an obligation to preserve them, then the party in default will be ordered to pay all the costs (e). On the other hand, where a commission was issued to define the boundaries of two manors belonging to the plaintiff and the defendant, and it appeared after the trial that there was no doubt about the boundaries, and they were in accordance with the contention of the defendant, the plaintiff was directed to pay all the costs (f).

When Crown rights involved. **234.** In suits against the Crown, where the rights of the Crown are immediately in question, the person seeking relief must apply to the Crown itself by petition of right; but where the rights of the Crown are only incidentally involved in the suit, the Court may require the Attorney-General to be joined as a defendant (g).

SECT. 4.—Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Nature of Presumption.

Præsumptiones juris. **235.** All the presumptions recognised and obtaining in the case of boundaries are præsumptiones juris only, and not præsumptiones juris et de jure (h); that is to say, evidence to rebut the presumptions is always admissible, but, until it be produced, the presumptions necessarily hold (i).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Sea-shore.

What is the boundary line.

236. The boundary between the sea-shore (j) and the adjoining land is, as a general rule, in the absence of usage, the line of the medium high tide between the ordinary spring and neap tides (k); but the boundary of land described in a conveyance as bounded by the sea may, in certain circumstances, include the foreshore (l) below this line. Thus, user of the foreshore, coupled with the grant of the

(e) Abergavenny (Lord) v. Thomas (1739), West temp. Hard. 649. (f) Metcalfe v. Beckwith (1726), 2 P. Wms. 376.

(h) As to presumptions generally, see title EVIDENCE.
(i) Beaufort (Duke) v. Swansea Corporation (1849), 3 Exch. 413.

title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

(k) A.-G. v. Chambers, supra; A.-G. of the Straits Settlement v. Wemyss (1888), 13 App. Cas. 192. Compare Lowe v. Govett (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 863.

(1) For a definition of the term "foreshore," see title WATERS AND WATER-COURSES.

^{(1744), 3} Atk. 81, where it was decreed that each party should pay one-half of the costs, although the Lord Chancellor seems to have been inclined to order each party to bear the costs in proportion to the extent of his interest but for the great expense attending an inquiry.

⁽g) Miller v. Warmington (1820), 1 Jac. & W. 484. See title Crown Practice.

⁽j) The sea-shore consists of the land lying between the high and low water marks, and prima facie the presumption is that it belongs to the Crown not only in the case of the sea itself, but also in the case of the arms of the sea (Hargreaves' Law Tracts, p. 5; A.-G. v. Chambers (1854), 4 De G. M. & G. 206; A.-G. v. Chambers, A.-G. v. Rees (1859), 4 De G. & J. 55. Compare Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A., per ROMER, L.J., at p. 176), and in this respect there is no distinction between the sea and a tidal river (Bridgwater Trustees v. Bootle-cum-Linacre (1866), L. R. 2 Q. B. 4). See title WATERS AND WATER-COURSES. As to the public right of passing and repassing along the shore, see

adjacent manor, is sufficient evidence from which a title to the foreshore as against the Crown may be inferred (m); whilst, on the other hand, a possessory title to the foreshore is sufficient as against a trespasser, without evidence of user sufficient to displace the title of the Crown (n). If, therefore, the plaintiff produces some evidence of title to the foreshore, the defendant will be debarred from proving any acts of ownership by the Crown, except such as have been done with the knowledge of the plaintiff; and if it appears that a portion of the beach which is covered with shingle varies according to the state of the wind and the tide, the Crown grant of parcel of the foreshore will be construed liberally against a trespasser, and held to include the whole beach both below and above high water mark (o).

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

Where land is described in a conveyance as situate on the sea- Land deshore, and the exact dimensions of each side of the plot are given as well as the area, and it is stated to be bounded on the south by sea-shore. other land of the grantor, on the east and north by certain roads, and on the west by the sea-shore, and reference is made to a plan which shows the same dimensions as in the description, and it appears that there is a strip of land between the plot and the medium high water mark, the land between the plot and the foreshore does not pass by the conveyance, but the grantor is estopped from saying that the land to the west is anything but foreshore, and the grantee is entitled to free access to the sea from the plot over the strip of land and the foreshore (p).

An agreement to let "the flat part of a beach opposite to" the Part of beach land of a riparian owner will not give him the right to project the opposite to boundary lines of his land where it is in the shape of a triangle with the base parallel to the sea-shore, but will only include that part of the beach within straight lines drawn from the end of the base of the triangle and perpendicular to the high water mark (q).

237. The boundary of land abutting upon the sea-shore may vary Shifting of from time to time, and in the case of a conveyance of land described boundary line. as bounded by the sea-shore, then, as the medium high and low water marks shift, so does the boundary of the land shift also; for there may be a movable freehold (a). Thus, if the sea gradually and by imperceptible degrees recedes and leaves a quantity of land uncovered, then as the ordinary rules of accretion apply, and the land so gained belongs to the owner of the adjoining land, the boundary will be correspondingly advanced (b). Conversely, the

⁽m) A.-G. v. Jones (1862), 2 H. & C. 347; Re Belfast Dock Act (1867), I. R. 1 Eq. 128; Beaufort (Duke) v. Swansea Corporation (1849), 3 Exch. 413; Lord Advocate v. Young (1887), 12 App. Cas. 544. See further, title WATERS AND Watercourses.

⁽n) Hastings Corporation v. Ivall (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 558.

⁽o) Ibid.

⁽p) Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A.

⁽q) Crook v. Seaford Corporation (1871), 6 Ch. App. 551.
(a) Scratton v. Brown (1825), 4 B. & C. 485; Smart & Co. v. Suva Town Board, [1893] A. C. 301.

⁽b) Mellor v. Walmesley, supra, at p. 173; R. v. Yarborough (Lord) (1824), 3 B. & C. 91; Doe d. Seebbristo v. East India Co. (1856), 10 Moo. P. C. C. 140; Ford v. Lacy (1861), 7 H. & N. 151, per Bramwell, B., at p. 156. Compare Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A.

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

boundary will recede if the sea or an arm of the sea by gradual and imperceptible progress encroach upon the land of a subject; for the land thereby covered with water belongs to the Crown or to the owner of the foreshore, as the case may be (c).

These principles do not apply where the change occurs owing to the sudden advance or recession of the sea, or where the boundaries are well known and have not disappeared by reason of the influx of

the sea (d).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Lakes.

Presumption. as to boundary line,

238. Where land is said to be bounded by a lake, the boundary line will exclude the bed of the lake, if there are different proprietors on each side, and the titles are such as to show that one has the exclusive possession of the lake, or, even where the titles do not show it, if there is evidence of possession for such a long time as to show either that there has been exclusive possession by one proprietor, or that there has been promiscuous possession (e). In the absence of all evidence to the contrary, the question is doubtful. It has been suggested that the boundary line will be drawn along the middle of the lake, the solum or fundus of the lake being considered to belong in severalty to the several riparian proprietors if more than one, and the space inclosed by lines drawn from the boundaries of each property usque ad medium filum aque being deemed appurtenant to the land of each proprietor exactly as in the case of a river (f). On the other hand, where land is described as bounded by a large inland lake, like Lough Neagh, it has been suggested that the boundary line excludes the bed of the lake, since it is doubtful whether the presumption that the bed and soil of a stream belongs to the riparian proprietors ad medium filum aquæ applies in such a case (g); for there is no absurdity in supposing that the owner of all the land lying around a large lake should have reserved the soil of the lake; but, on the contrary, various reasons may be suggested why it would be advisable to reserve it (h), nor is there any authority for the suggestion sometimes made that the Crown is entitled as of common right to the soil of large inland lakes (i).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Rivers.

Tidal rivers.

239. Where land is said to be bounded by a river, a distinction must be taken between tidal rivers and non-tidal rivers; for, in those

⁽c) Re Hull and Selby Railway (1839), 5 M. & W. 327.

⁽d) A.-G. v. Chambers (1854), 4 De G. M. & G. 206; Hale, De Jure Maris, 14, 28; A.-G. v. Chambers, A.-G. v. Rees (1859), 4 De G. & J. 55. See title WATERS AND WATERCOURSES.

⁽e) Mackenzie v. Bankes (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1324, per Lord BLACKBURN, at p. 1340.

⁽f) Ibid., per Lord Selborne, at p. 1338, discussing the Scotch law on the subject. The application of the medium filum rule to a lake, however, is not easy; for obviously, since all the adjoining owners abut, for practical purposes, on the outside of a convex curve, their boundaries might have to meet in a single point near the middle of the lake in order to divide the fundus in proportion to their respective frontages. Compare Cochrane v. Minto (Earl) (1815), 6 Pat. App. 139; and see, as to rivers, p. 121, post.

(g) Bristow v. Cormican (1878), 3 App. Cas. 641, per Lord Blackburn, at p. 666.

(h) Bloomfield v. Johnston (1867), I. R. 8 C. L. 68.

⁽i) Bristow v. Cormican, supra, per Lord BLACKBURN, at p. 666.

parts of rivers where the tide flows and reflows, the soil between the medium high water mark and medium low water mark primâ facie belongs to the Crown (k), and therefore the boundary between the bed of a tidal river and the adjoining land is, as a general rule, the line of medium high water mark (1).

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

240. But in the case of non-tidal rivers or streams, whether Non-tidal navigable or not, the boundary is in general the line of mid-stream, inasmuch as, in the absence of all evidence to the contrary, the alveus, or bed, of such rivers and streams is presumed to belong to the riparian owners usque ad medium filum aquæ (m). Thus, where there is a conveyance of land said to be bounded by a river, then, even although it is described by reference to a plan and by quantity, the true construction of the instrument is that half the bed of the river passes under the conveyance, unless there is enough in the circumstances or enough in the expression of the instrument to show that it is not the intention of the parties (n). This presumption applies whether the land be freehold, leasehold, or copyhold (o), and also where land is described as so bounded in an Act of Parliament (p), but it is questionable whether it applies to land so described in an award under an Inclosure Act (q).

The fact that the grantor is the owner of the land on both sides of the river will not rebut the presumption which arises that a conveyance of the land on one side of the river only passes one-half the soil of the bed (r). But the presumption will be rebutted by evidence that since the date of the deed the grantor and his tenants have always fished without any interruption, and that the grantee

and his tenants have never fished (s).

Where adjoining properties are situated ex adverso of the convex Application of side of a curve in a tidal river, the actual medium filum being approximately an arc of a circle, the correct method of determining the foreshore boundary between the properties is to draw a perpendicular to a tangent of the arc forming the actual medium filum

rule on curved

(1) A.-G. v. Chambers (1854), 4 De G. M. & G. 206; Bridgwater Trustees v. Bootle-cum-Linacre (1866), L. R. 2 Q. B. 4 (parish boundary); Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A., at pp. 179, 180; Hale, De Jure Maris, pp. 12, 25.

(m) Hargreaves' Law Tracts, p. 5; Wishart v. Wyllie (1853), 1 Macq. 389; Wright v. Howard (1823), 1 Sim. & St. 190. Compare R. v. Landulph (Inhabitants)

(1834), 1 Moo. & R. 393; Edleston v. Crossley & Sons, Ltd. (1868), 18 L. T. 15.

(n) Micklethwait v. Newlay Bridge Co. (1886), 33 Ch. D. 133, C. A.; Devonshire (Duke) v. Pattinson (1887), 20 Q. B. D. 263, C. A.; Dwyer v. Rich (1871), I. R. 6 C. L. 144, dissentiente FITZGERALD, J., on the question of evidence only.

(s) Micklethwait v. Newlay Bridge Co., supra.

⁽k) Hale, De Jure Maris, pp. 12, 25; Constable's Case (1601), 5 Co. Rep. 106 a; Lyon v. Fishmongers' Co. (1875), 10 Ch. App. 679; Lord Advocate for Scotland v. Hamilton (1852), 1 Macq. 46; R. v. Smith (1780), 2 Doug. 441; Gann v. Whitstable (Free Fishers) (1864), 11 H. L. Cas. 192; Fitzhardinge (Lord) v. Purcell, [1908] 2 Ch. 139. As to the subject's right of navigation, see title WATERS AND WATERCOURSES.

^{1.} R. 6 C. L. 144, dissentiente FITZGERALD, J., on the question of evidence only.

(o) Tilbury v. Silva (1890), 45 Ch. D. 98.

(p) R. v. Strand Board of Works (1863), 4 B. & S. 526.

(q) Ecroyd v. Coulthard, [1898] 2 Ch. 358, C. A., per Lindley, M.R., at p. 366. Compare Hindson v. Ashby, [1896] 2 Ch. 9; Great Torrington Commons Conservators v. Moore Stevens, [1904] 1 Ch. 347; Simcox v. Yardley Rural District Council (1905), 69 J. P. 66; Hough v. Clark and Hall (1907), 23 T. L. B. 682.

(r) Devonshire (Duke) v. Pattinson, supra.

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

Island in mid-stream.

by joining the end of the land boundary at high water mark to the centre of the circle (t).

Where in the middle of a non-tidal river there is an island as old as or older than the banks on either side, then, if the ordinary presumption applies (a), the medium filum aquæ should, if the plaintiff can prove no title to the island, be drawn through the stream between the island and the plaintiff's land (b).

Boundary when presumption rebutted.

241. Where the ordinary presumption is rebutted, and the bed of the river is the property of some person other than the riparian owners, the boundary is the water line when the river is in its normal state, without reference to the extraordinary freshets of the winter or spring or the extreme droughts of the summer or autumn (c).

Effect of accretion on boundaries.

242. If by gradual and imperceptible accretions in the ordinary course of nature land is added to the riverside, such land belongs to the riparian owners, and the boundary line correspondingly advances (d). But where the boundary on the waterside is shown by a wall or by something so clear and visible that it is easy to see whether accretions as they become perceptible are on one side of the boundary or on the other, it would appear that the doctrine of accretion does not apply (e). Nor is it applicable where a tidal (f)or non-tidal (g) river separating two estates suddenly changes its course.

SUB-SECT. 5.—Highways and Private Ways.

Presumption in case of highway.

243. Where land is bounded by a highway, the boundary is, as a general rule, a line drawn along the middle of the highway, for the owners of land adjoining the highway are presumed, in the absence of all evidence to the contrary, to own the soil usque ad medium filum viæ and all above the soil subject only to the right of passage for the King and his subjects (h), and this presumption also obtains in the case of copyholders and leaseholders (i).

(a) See p. 121, ante.

(b) Great Torrington Commons Conservators v. Moore Stevens, [1904] 1 Ch. 347.
(c) Hindson v. Ashby, [1896] 2 Ch. 1, C. A., per A. L. SMITH, L.J., at p. 25, quoting Alabama (State) v. Georgia (State) (1859), 64 U. S. 505, 515.
(d) Lopez v. Muddun Mohun Thakoor (1870), 13 Moo. Ind. App. 467; Thakurain

Ritraj Koer v. Thakurain Sarfaraz Koer (1905), 21 T. L. B. 637, at p. 638. See Re Hull and Selby Rail. Co. (1839), 5 M. & W. 327, 332; Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A., per Vaughan Williams, L.J., at p. 173; Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A.

(e) Hale, De Jure Maris, c. i. and c. vi.; Y. B. 22 Lib. Ass. Edw. 3, 106, pl. 93. But see Hindson v. Ashby, supra, at p. 13, where LINDLEY, L.J., left the question whether these authorities are applicable to cases of land having no boundary next flowing water, except the water itself, for reconsideration and decision when it should arise.

(i) Doe d. Pring v. Pearsey (1827), 7 B. & C. 304.

⁽t) Nimmo v. Caledonian Rail. Co. (1903), 5 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1001. See the plan annexed to the report of this case.

⁽f) Carlisle Corporation v. Graham (1869), L. R. 4 Exch. 361, 368.
(g) Thakurain Ritraj Koer v. Thakurain Sarfaraz Koer, supra.
(h) Anon. (1773), Lofft, 358; Goodtitle v. Alker and Elmes (1757), 1 Burr.
133, 146; Cooke v. Green (1823), 11 Price, 736; Dovaston v. Payne (1795), 2
Hy. Bl. 527, per HEATH, J., at p. 531; Stevens v. Whistler (1809), 11 East, 51. See title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

Where the land of one person is separated from the land of another by a private way, the same presumption arises (j).

In the case of a highway running between fences, in the absence of anything to the contrary, the presumption is that the fences have been put up to divide the adjoining closes from the highway (k), and that the whole of the land between the fences has been dedicated to the use of the public (l). Therefore the public are not confined waste. to the metalled part of the road only, but have the right of passage along the whole space between the fences (m), even though the width of the highway be varying and unequal (n), nor will a highway authority be restrained from cutting down trees growing upon the unused part of a highway, if they are an obstruction, even although such trees have been growing for twenty-five years; but the refusal to so restrain the authority will be without prejudice to the rights of the owners of the soil to remove the trees when felled for the purposes of sale etc. (o). On the other hand, if a highway pass through the uninclosed waste of a manor, there is no presumption that the whole space between the fences has been dedicated to the public (p).

Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

SECT. 4.

Roadside

244. A conveyance of land described as bounded by a highway will Conveyance pass the moiety of the highway usque ad medium filum viæ, unless of land there be something in the context to exclude that construction; for highway. it would be absurd to suppose that the grantor reserved the right to the soil of the highway, which in nearly every case is wholly unprofitable (q).

Where, however, the soil of a highway, although belonging to the adjoining owners, does not belong to them in equal portions, a conveyance of the land adjoining the highway passes the property in the soil of the highway so far as it is in the grantor, on the principle that the conveyance passes the property to the grantee so far as it is vested in the conveying party (r).

The presumption that the boundary line is drawn along the middle of the highway applies to a conveyance of land situated in towns as well as in the country (s), though less evidence is required

(j) Holmes v. Bellingham (1859), 29 L. J. (c. P.) 132. See, for private ways generally, title EASEMENTS AND PROFITS A PRENDRE.

(k) Offin v. Rochford Rural Council, [1906] 1 Ch. 342.

(m) R. v. United Kingdom Electric Telegraph Co., Ltd. (1862), 31 L. J. (M. c.)

(m) R. v. United Kingdom Electric Telegraph Co., Ltd. (1862), 31 L. J. (M. C.)
166; Nicol v. Beaumont (1883), 50 L. T. 112.

(n) Locke-King v. Woking Urban District Council (1898), 77 L. T. 790.

(o) Turner v. Ringwood Highway Board (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 418.

(p) Neeld v. Hendon Urban District Council (1899), 81 L. T. 405; Belmore (Countess) v. Kent County Council, [1901] 1 Ch. 873; Tutill v. West Ham Local Board of Health (1873), L. R. 8 C. P. 447. Compare A.-G. (Cork County Council v. Perry, [1904] 1 L. R. 247, C. A.

(a) Lord v. Sudvey Commissioners (1859) 12 Moo. P. C. C. 473; Regridae v.

(4) Lord v. Sydney Commissioners (1859), 12 Moo. P. C. C. 473; Berridge v. Ward (1861), 10 C. B. (N. S.) 400; Doe d. Pring v. Pearsey (1827), 7 B. & C. 304; Hodges v. Lawrance (1854), 18 J. P. 347; Gillingham v. Gwyer (1867), 16

L. T. 640; Haynes v. King (1893), 63 L. J. (CH.) 21. (r) Re White's Charities, [1898] 1 Ch. 659.

(s) Ibid,



⁽¹⁾ Ibid.; Harvey v. Truro Rural District Council (1903), 19 T. L. B. 576. The presumption is, however, rebuttable, see A.-G. v. Moorsom-Roberts (1908), 72 J. P. 123; Corsellis v. London County Council, [1908] 1 Ch. 13, C. A. See title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

When presumption does not arise.

to rebut it (t), and also applies to a statute describing land as bounded by a highway (u).

245. But where a person owning land conveys part to one person, and part to another, and the question arises as to the ownership of a strip of waste land lying between the land of one of the grantees and a high-road, and it is not clear in which of the conveyances the strip is comprised, no presumption of ownership in the adjoining owner arises from the fact that it lies between the highway and land clearly included in the conveyance to him (w).

The presumption does not arise in cases where the land conveyed is described as bounded by intended streets (x), nor where a plot of land forming a part of a building estate is described as abutting on a road and separated therefrom by a thick line drawn on a plan annexed to the conveyance, and the admeasurements exclude any

portion of the highway (a).

A lease of a house described as abutting on a highway in a town will not pass any part of the highway where it was constructed by the lessors under the powers of an Act of Parliament for the purpose of forming a communication between certain parts of the town and it appears that the site thereof was land wanted for the purposes of the Act (b).

SUB-SECT. 6.—Railways.

Presumption as to railways.

246. The presumption that a grant of land described as bounded by a highway passes one-half of the soil of the highway does not obtain in the case of conveyances of land described as bounded by a railway, and therefore a grant of land so described will not pass a right to the minerals under the railway (c).

SUB-SECT. 7 -Hedges and Ditches.

Presumption as to hedges and ditches.

247. No man making a ditch may cut into his neighbour's soil, but usually he makes it at the very extremity of his own land, forming a bank on his own side with the soil which he excavates from the ditch, on the top of which bank a hedge is usually planted (d). Therefore, where two fields are separated by a hedge or bank and an artificial ditch, the hedge or bank and ditch prima facie belong to the owner of the field in which the ditch is not (e). This being the origin of the presumption, it is very doubtful whether it is applicable when it is not known that the ditch is artificial (f).

⁽t) Beckett v. Leeds Corporation (1872), 7 Ch. App. 421.

⁽u) R. v. Strand Board of Works (1863), 4 B. & S. 526, 551.

⁽w) White v. Hill (1844), 6 Q. B. 487.

⁽x) Leigh v. Jack (1879), 5 Ex. D. 264, C. A.
(a) Plumstead Board of Works v. British Land Co. (1874), L. B. 10 Q. B. 16.
(b) Mappin Brothers v. Liberty & Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 118.
(c) Thompson v. Hickman, [1907] 1 Ch. 550. See title RAILWAYS AN See title RAILWAYS AND CANALS.

⁽d) Vowles v. Miller (1810), 3 Taunt. 137.

⁽e) Nove v. Reed (1827), 1 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 63, per BAYLEY, J., at p. 65; and Guy v. West (1808), cited 2 Selwyn's N. P., 13th ed., p. 1244.

(f) Marshall v. Taylor, [1895] 1 Ch. 641, C. A.

Acts of ownership such as trimming and pollarding a fence and cleaning a ditch, even though continued for many (e.g., fifty) years by an adjoining owner, do not rebut the presumption that the ditch and fence belong to the adjoining owner on whose side the ditch is not, at any rate if the acts were done without knowledge on the part of the latter (q).

SECT. 4. Boundaries fixed by Legal Presumption.

An impression prevails in some districts that the owner of a bank and ditch is entitled to four feet of width for the base of the bank and four feet of width for the ditch, but, apart from any local custom, there is no rule to this effect (h).

248. Where two estates are divided by two ditches one on each When preside of a hedge or bank, or by two hedges or banks one on each side of a ditch, or by an old hedge or bank without any ditches at all, then there is no presumption as to the ownership of the hedges, ditches, or banks, but it must be proved by acts of ownership exercised over them (i), and if the adjoining owners on each side concurrently exercise acts of ownership, that is evidence of a tenancy in common in the hedge, ditch, or bank.

does not arise.

If, however, it is known what quantity of land each of the owners originally contributed towards the formation of the ditch or bank, the original boundary would not be disturbed, but each proprietor would continue to hold his share of the ditch or bank in severalty (k).

249. The same principles apply in the rare case of hedges and Hedges and ditches running along the side of a public highway where the soil of the highway is not in the owners of the adjoining land. Thus, where an owner of land adjoining such a highway makes a hedge and ditch the ownership is in him, for the land which constitutes a ditch is in point of law a part of the close (1). On the other hand, it may be that the ditch has been constructed by the owners of the road, and in that case it remains a parcel of the road, and is not a parcel of the adjoining close (m).

ditches beside highways.

Where a highway of a definite width is laid out under an Inclosure Act, there is no presumption that an adjoining ditch and hedge form part of the highway, if the highway by itself is of the definite width (n).

⁽⁹⁾ Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 157; Craven (Earl) v. Pridmore (1902), 18 T. L. R. 282.

⁽h) Vowles v. Miller (1810), 3 Taunt 137. In some places a right of "free-board" or "deerleap" extending several feet beyond the hedge is claimed by

⁽i) Guy v. West (1808), cited 2 Selwyn's N. P., 13th ed., p. 1244. Compare Re Belfast Dock Act (1867), I. R. 1 Eq. 128.
(k) Woolrych, Party Walls and Fences, p. 283. Compare Matts v. Hawkins

^{(1813), 5} Taunt, 20.

⁽¹⁾ Doe d. Pring v. Pearsey (1827), 7 B. & C. 304. As to the duty of fencing highways, see title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

⁽m) Šearby v. Tottenham Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 5 Eq. 409. (n) Simcox v. Yardley Rural District Council (1905), 69 J. P. 66.

Part II.—Rights and Duties of Owners as to Fences.

SECT. 1.

SECT. 1.—In General.

In General.

Definition of fences.

250. Although fences are frequently used to designate the situation of boundaries, none the less they are primarily guards against intrusion, and therefore in this sense the term includes not only hedges, banks, and walls, but also ditches (o).

Freeboard.

The term "freeboard" or "freebord" land is commonly applied to a strip of land, varying in width according to local custom, outside and adjoining the fence of a manor park, forest, or other estate, which belongs to or of which a right of user is claimed by the owner of the land within the fence (p).

Sect. 2.—Trees on or near Boundaries.

Rights as regards overhanging trees.

251. Where the branches of a tree belonging to one landowner or occupier overhang the soil of another adjoining landowner or occupier, the latter may at any time cut off so much of the branches as overhangs his land without notice to the former (q), provided that in so doing he does not trespass on the adjoining land. But an adjoining owner is not entitled to lop the tree as a precautionary measure before it overgrows his land merely because he knows that in the course of time the boughs will probably overhang his land (r).

When right of action exists.

Although an adjoining owner has the right to trim hedges and lop the branches of trees belonging to another which overhang his land, yet the watching to see when trimming and lopping are necessary and the operation of trimming and lopping are burdens which ought not to be cast upon a neighbour by the acts of an adjoining owner. Thus, a person who has planted poisonous trees near his boundary and permits the branches to extend over the land of an adjoining owner will be liable in case they are eaten by the latter's cattle for any consequent injury to the cattle (s). On the same principle, an action for an injunction and for damages will lie against an owner who allows the branches of his trees to overhang his boundary and thereby cause injury to his neighbour's crops (t). But if the overhanging trees are not in fact doing any damage, it seems that the only right of the person whose land is overhung is to cut back the overhanging portions (u).

 ⁽o) Woolrych, Party Walls and Fences, p. 281.
 (p) See Sol. Jo. 1901, p. 118; Mon. Angl. Part II., fol. 241; Selden Society,
 Pleas of the Forest. Thus, Richmond Park has a freeboard of sixteen and a half feet outside the boundary wall.

⁽q) Lemmon v. Webb, [1895] A. C. 1; and see Pickering v. Rudd (1815), 4 Camp. 219.

⁽r) Norris v. Baker (1616), 1 Roll. Rep. 393.

⁽s) Crowhurst v. Amersham Burial Board (1878), 4 Ex. D. 5, 10.

⁽t) Smith v. Giddy, [1904] 2 K. B. 448.

(u) Ibid., per Kennedy, J., at p. 451. The fact that the plaintiff possesses this remedy is no answer to an action for damages if any have in fact been sustained (ibid., per WILLS, J., at p. 451).

On the other hand, no action will lie if the cattle of the adjoining owner trespass by reaching over the boundary line and so eat the foliage of poisonous trees the branches of which do not extend over the boundary (a), or probably if poisonous leaves from trees growing naturally on the land are blown on to the adjoining land, and cause injury to the cattle eating them (b).

SECT. 2. Trees on or near Boundaries

Damage from trees which do not overhang. Lapse of time.

252. No right to have trees overhanging the land of another can be acquired by prescription or under the Statutes of Limitation, since the trees grow from year to year (c).

neighbour's

253. If a man is unable to lop his tree without the boughs Entry on falling upon the land of his neighbour, he may well justify the felling upon his neighbour's land, because otherwise he could not lop at all (d); and if a tree grows so that the fruit falls upon the land of another, the owner of the tree may enter upon the other's land for the purpose of taking possession of the fruit (e).

254. Where the roots of a tree project into the soil of another, Projecting although it is possible that the owner of the soil may cut the roots roots. in his land, none the less he will acquire no property in the tree (f).

SECT. 3.—Dangerous Fences.

255. If a person chooses to fence with material of such a kind Liability for as he must have known would decay and be likely to cause damage user of dangerous in the ordinary course of things, he will be liable for the natural materials. result of his acts (g). Similarly, the owner of a cattle market who takes a toll for his own benefit is responsible if the fences of the

(a) Ponting v. Noakes, [1894] 2 Q. B. 281. Compare Wilson v. Newberry (1871), L. R. 7 Q. B. 31. See also title AGRICULTURE, Vol. I., pp. 296, 297.

21**9**.

(d) Duke and Dunstan's Case (1586), Godb. 52.

(g) Firth v. Bowling Iron Co. (1878), 3 C. P. D. 254, where a tenant, who was under liability to fence for the benefit of the adjoining tenant, used wire-rope for the purpose, which decayed from long exposure, and fell on to the latter's land, and was swallowed by and killed his cattle, and the former was held liable. The result, it is conceived, would be the same if the cattle had licked off the pieces from the fence and suffered injury.

⁽b) Smith v. Giddy (1904), 73 L. J. (Q. B.) 894, at p. 896, where the case is more fully reported than in [1904] 2 K. B. 448. Compare Giles v. Walker (1890), 18 Q. B. D. 655, where thistle seed was blown on to adjoining land. It is conceived that if poisonous trees were planted artificially the owner would be liable for damage done by their leaves being blown on to adjoining land, on the principle of Sic utere two ut alienum non lædas, unless the owner could plead vis major or act of God, e.g., "a high gale"; see Smith v. Giddy (1904), 78 L. J. (q. B.) 894, per KENNEDY, J., at p. 896.

(c) Lemmon v. Webb, [1895] A. C. 1; and see Pickering v. Rudd (1815), 4 Camp.

⁽e) Y. B. Lib. Ass. 8 Edw. 4; Millan v. Fandrye (1626), Poph. 161, 163.

(f) Masters v. Pollie (1619), 2 Roll. Rep. 141, where, in an action of trespass for breaking into the plaintiff's close and taking away his boards, the defendant contended that, as the roots extended into his soil and had been nourished by it, he was entitled to a share of the tree, but it was held that, as the body of the tree was in the plaintiff's land, the whole of the tree belonged to the plaintiff, though it was admitted that, had the plaintiff planted the tree in the soil of the defendant, it would have been otherwise; see Hetherington v. Galt (1905), 7 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 706.

SECT. 3. Dangerous Fences.

cattle-pens are in a dangerous condition, e.g., spiked and of insufficient height, and the cattle are injured in consequence (h).

Barbed wire.

Fences along highways may not be constructed of barbed wire, if its use is likely to be injurious to persons or animals lawfully using such highways (i).

SECT. 4.—Erection, Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

Sub-Sect. 1.—No General Liability to fence.

Extent of landowner's duty to fence against his neighbour.

256. The owners of adjoining closes are not bound to fence either against or for the benefit of each other (a), the general rule being that a man is only bound to take care that his cattle or other animals do not trespass upon the lands of his neighbour (b).

A landlord who leases a part of his land and retains the rest in his hands is under no obligation, apart from express agreement, to keep the fences in repair so as to prevent the lessee's cattle from straying on to his land (c); for the occupier, as distinguished from the owner, is as a rule bound to repair and maintain fences (d), and for this purpose he is allowed, as between himself and his landlord. reasonable estovers (e).

No general duty to fence against the public.

257. The owner of land across or by the side of which a highway runs is under no duty, as a general rule, to fence off his land from the highway (f). Similarly, there is no general duty cast upon an owner of land to fence in any excavation made in his land (g), so long as the excavation does not adjoin a highway (h), and therefore persons straying from a highway do so, as a general rule, at their peril (i).

(h) Lax v. Darlington Corporation (1879), 5 Ex. D. 29.

(e) Co. Litt. 41 b.

(g) Cornwell v. Metropolitan Commissioners of Sewers (1855), 10 Exch. 771, per Pollock, C.B., at p. 773; Blythe v. Topham (1607), Cro. Jac. 158.

(h) See p. 129, post.
(i) Hardcastle v. South Yorkshire Rail. Co., supra; Binks v. South Yorkshire Rail and River Dun Co., supra; Jordin v. Crump (1841), 8 M. & W. 782, per ALDERSON, B., at p. 788; Hawken v. Shearer (1887), 56 L. J. (q. B.) 284, at p. 286; Hounsell v. Smyth (1860), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 731. For the exceptions to this rule, see pp. 129, 130, post. As to injuries to persons on the lands of them. others, see generally, title TORT.

⁽i) This was so even before the passing of the Barbed Wire Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 32) (Fenna v. Clare & Co., [1895] 1 Q. B. 199). See further, title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.

⁽a) Star v. Rookesby (1710), 1 Salk. 335; Churchill v. Evans (1809), 1 Taunt. 529; Hilton v. Ankesson (1872), 27 L. T. 519.

(b) Lawrence v. Jenkins (1873), L. B. 8 Q. B. 274, 278; Boyle v. Tamlyn (1827), 6 B. & C. 329. See title Animals, Vol. I., pp. 376, 380. As to the liability of an owner who is under an obligation to fence, and fails to do so, for the damage done by his animals on the land of another, or to another's animals on his land, see p. 132, post.

⁽c) Erskine v. Adeune (1873), 8 Ch. App. 756. (d) Cheetham v. Hampson (1791), 4 Term Rep. 318. See Star v. Rookesby, supra; Holbach v. Warner (1623), Cro. Jac. 665; and title LANDLORD AND TENANT.

⁽f) Binks v. South Yorkshire Rail. and River Dun Co. (1862), 3 B. & S. 244; Potter v. Parry (1859), 7 W. R. 182; and compare Hardcastle v. South Yorkshire Rail. Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 67. For the fencing of highways generally, see title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES; and see pp. 129, 130, post, for exceptions to the above rule.

Sub-Sect. 2.—Special Liability to fence.

258. A liability to erect or repair a fence may exist at common law (k); it may also be created by Act of Parliament (l), agree-

ment (m), or prescription (n).

Where there is an excavation (o) in land which amounts to a public nuisance (p) by reason of its adjoining a highway (q), or being sufficiently near to it to be dangerous if left unfenced (r), a duty is cast on the person occupying the land (s) to fence the excavation. If he neglects this duty, he is liable for any injury caused to persons lawfully passing along the highway, or to their property, even though the obligation of fencing the highway is by Excavations statute expressly imposed on someone else (t), except where the adjoining excavation has existed from time immemorial (u), or, at all events, was made before the dedication of the highway (w). A similar duty appears to exist where the excavation, though not near a highway, is near a private way which the public are invited to use (x).

Except where a duty to fence against the public (a) exists, the Duty to proowner is only bound to prevent injury to persons lawfully on the tect persons land (b), or to their property (c). Thus, where the person opening land. a pit or shaft or enlarging an old quarry is not the owner of the surface, he is bound to fence so as to prevent injury to cattle belonging to the owner of the surface (d). And where a person in

SECT. 4. Erection, Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

(1) At common

(k) See p. 129, post.

(l) See p. 130, post.

(m) Hilton v. Ankesson (1872), 27 L. T. 519; Green v. Eales (1841), 2 Q. B. 225; and see p. 131, post.

(n) Lawrence v. Jenkins (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 274; Barber v. Whiteley (1865), 34 L. J. (Q. B.) 212; Boyle v. Tamlyn (1827), 6 B. & C. 329; and see p. 132, post.

(o) As, for example, an area (Barnes v. Ward (1850), 9 C. B. 392) or canal (Manley v. St. Helens Canal and Rail. Co. (1858), 2 H. & N. 840).

(p) Burnes v. Ward, supra; Cornwell v. Metropolitan Commissioners of Sewers (1855), 10 Exch. 771, per MARTIN, B., at p. 774. For nuisances generally, see title NUISANCE.

(q) Barnes v. Ward, supra. See Hounsell v. Smyth (1860), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 731. (r) Hardcastle v. South Yorkshire Rail. and River Dun Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 67, per POLLOCK, C.B., at p. 75; Hadley v. Taylor (1865), L. B. 1 C. P. 53.

(s) Hadley v. Taylor, supra; and compare Cheetham v. Hampson (1791), 4 Term Rep. 318; Bishop v. Bedford Charity Trustees (1859), 1 E. & E. 697. But the owner, though not in occupation, is liable where, as between himself and the occupier, he has undertaken the duty of fencing (Payne v. Rogers (1794), 2 Hy. Bl. 349). Compare Bush v. Steinman (1799), 1 Bos. & P. 404.

(t) Wettor v. Dunk (1864), 4 F. & F. 298.

(u) Cornwell v. Metropolitan Commissioners of Sewers, supra (ditch); Wilson v. Halifax Corporation (1868), L. R. 3 Exch. 114, per Kelly, C.B., at p. 118.
(w) Fisher v. Prowse (1862), 2 B. & S. 770; Robbins v. Jones (1863), 15

C. B. (n. s.) 221.

- (x) Binks v. South Yorkshire Rail, and River Dun Co. (1862), 3 B. & S. 244, per BLACKBURN, J., at p. 254; Corby v. Hill (1858), 4 C. B. (N. S.) 556, per BYLES, J., at p. 561.
- (a) See p. 130, post. (b) Corby v. Hill, supra, per WILLES, J., at p. 567; Holmes v. North Eastern Rail. Co. (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 123, Ex. Ch. As to the distinction between persons lawfully on the land and bare licensees, see Indermaur v. Dames (1867), I. R. 2 C. P. 311; Southcote v. Stanley (1858), 1 H. & N. 247; Hounsell v. Smyth,
- supra, per Williams, J., at p. 743; and title Tort.

 (c) Blythe v. Topham (1607), Cro. Jac. 158.

 (d) Re Williams v. Groucott (1863), 4 B. & S. 149; Hawken v. Shearer (1887), 56 L. J. (Q. B.) 284; Sybray v. White (1836), 1 M. & W. 435, per Parke, B., at

H.L.—III.

SECT. 4.
Erection,
Maintenance and
Repair of
Fences.

(2) By statute.

Pits or shafts within twenty-five yards of highway etc. the exercise of statutory powers diverts a public footpath, it is his duty to protect, by fencing or otherwise, reasonably careful persons from injury by going astray at the point of diversion (e).

259. Any owner opening a pit or shaft in his land within twenty-five yards of a carriage or cart way is under a statutory duty to protect it by a proper fence (f), and is liable for injury occasioned by the failure to do so; and the fact that any person injured by the neglect to fence is a trespasser would seem to be immaterial (g). Moreover, in the case of disused mines, the omission on the part of the owner of the mine, and every other person interested therein, to fence any shaft or side entrance within fifty yards of any highway, road, footpath, or place of public resort, or in any open or uninclosed ground, is made by statute (h) a nuisance under s. 91 of the Public Health Act, 1875 (i).

Ecclesiastical property.

260. It is the duty of a bishop, rector, parson, vicar, or other ecclesiastical person to repair and maintain the fences of the lands held respectively by them *jure ecclesiae*, and such persons are chargeable to their successors for a failure so to do (k).

Allotments under the Inclosure Acts.

261. Allotments made under the Inclosure Act, 1845 (l), must, except as in the Act mentioned, be inclosed, ditched, and fenced, and the fences maintained, at the expense of the respective allottees and as directed by the valuer (m). If the owner or occupier of an allotment neglects to make the requisite fences, the owner or occupier of any other allotment in the same inclosure, if aggrieved by such neglect, may after due notice in writing make the fences or ditches himself and recover the cost from the defaulting owner or occupier (n).

Railway company.

262. A railway company is under a statutory duty (o) to make and at all times to maintain proper fences between the railway

p. 440. See Churchill v. Evans (1809), 1 Taunt. 529, where two persons had concurrent possession of a close, the one having the right to quarry and the other having rights of pasture etc. and, the court being divided, the question whether either one was bound to guard against casual damage to the other arising out of the enjoyment of his right was not decided, but it was held that the one could not distrain the cattle of the other damage feasant.

(e) Hurst v. Taylor (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 918. (f) Highway Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 50), s. 70. See title Highways, Streets and Bridges.

(g) Compare Jordin v. Crump (1841), 8 M. & W. 782.

(h) Coal-mines Regulation Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 58), s. 37; Metalliferous Mines Regulation Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 77), s. 13. For the fencing of mines generally, see title MINES, MINERALS AND QUARRIES.

(i) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55. See further, titles Public Health etc.; Nuisance. (k) Ecclesiastical Dilapidations Act, 1871 (34 & 35 Vict. c. 43), s. 4; and see title Ecclesiastical Law. As to the fences of churchyards and burial grounds, see title Burial and Cremation, pp. 411, 412, 516, 529, 530, 535, 544, post. (l) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 118. See title Allotments, Vol I., pp. 335 et seq.

(m) Ibid., s. 83. See s. 72 as to fencing of allotments made for the purpose of providing stone etc. for repair of roads, s. 73 as to fencing of allotments vested in parochial authorities, and s. 113 as to fencing of inclosed portions of commons set out as regulated pastures, and generally, as to allotments, title ALLOTMENTS, Vol. I.

(n) Inclosure Act, 1848 (11 & 12 Vict. c. 99), s. 12. This section does not take

away any other remedy which the aggrieved party may have.
(o) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 68. See fully, as to the duty of a railway company in this behalf, title RAILWAYS AND CANALS.

This duty is, however, not one of and the adjoining land (p). general application, so as to compel the railway to fence against the world (q), except in the case of level crossings (r). It is a duty towards the owners and occupiers of the adjoining land only (s), and the company may be discharged from it by the payment of compensation (a).

SECT. 4. Erection. Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

263. The erection and repair of fences may be made the subject (3) By agreeof an agreement (b). Where part of an estate is being sold, it is ment. important that the contract should state expressly who is to erect or maintain the boundary fence between the part sold and the part retained. In the absence of any such statement, neither party will be under any obligation to fence, though each of them must take precautions to prevent his cattle or animals from trespassing on the land of the other (c). A covenant to repair all the external parts of the demised premises renders the covenantor liable to repair a boundary wall adjoining other buildings (d).

The agreement may also, it seems, be implied. Thus, in the case Implied of an ancient inclosure it is a fair and reasonable presumption that agreement. the lord imposed as part of the terms on which the exclusive possession was to be granted to the incloser that for the future he would take upon himself the duty of fencing as against the cattle of the commoners; and an inclosure of the waste lands under an Inclosure Act will not put an end to the liability (e).

Where, in a suit for specific performance, it appears that a Covenant covenant has been entered into by a former purchaser of the running with land. property with the owners and occupiers for the time being of certain adjoining lands to make and maintain the boundary fences, and the expressed intention was that the covenant should run with the

from another (Roberts v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1852), 4 C. B. (N. 8.) 506), except where necessary for the protection of persons lawfully using such property (Marfell v. South Wales Rail. Co. (1860), 8 C. B. (N. 8.) 525).

(q) Buxton v. North Eastern Rail. Co. (1868), L. B. 3 Q. B. 549; Ricketts v. East and West India Docks etc. Rail. Co. (1852), 12 C. B. 160; and compare Child v. Hearn (1874), L. B. 9 Exch. 176; Harrold v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1868), L. T. T. 440. (1866), 14 L. T. 440.

(s) Or persons claiming through them (Dawson v. Midland Rail. Co. (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 8).

(b) Hilton v. Ankesson (1872), 27 L. T. 519; Firth v. Bowling Iron Co. (1878), 3 C. P. D. 254.

(d) Green v. Eales (1841), 2 Q. B. 225. (c) Barber v. Whiteley, supra. Compare Haigh v. West, [1893] 2 Q. B. 19, C. A.

⁽p) The railway company is not obliged to fence one part of its property

⁽r) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 47; Fawcett v. York and North Midland Rail. Co. (1851), 16 Q. B. 610. The duty does not extend to level crossings on private railways (Matson v. Baird (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1082).

⁽a) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 68. But the payment of compensation to the owner does not discharge the company's liability towards the occupier (Corry v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1881), 7 Q. B. D.

⁽c) Holbach v. Warner (1623), Cro. Jac. 665; Barber v. Whiteley (1865), 34 L. J. (q. B.) 212. But see Doyle v. Drake (1605), Moore (K. B.), 775, where four judges were equally divided in opinion on the question whether the vendor or the purchaser was under an obligation to fence.

SECT. 4. Erection. Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

(4) By prescription.

land, the question of the obligation being binding on a future purchaser is too doubtful to admit of the title being forced upon him(f).

264. A right to have fences kept in repair is not an easement within the terms of the Prescription Act, 1832 (g), and therefore a prescriptive right to have a fence kept in repair must be made out independently of that statute, and by force of the old law (h).

By prescription a landowner may be bound at his peril to maintain at all times, and without notice to repair it, a sufficient fence between his land and that of his neighbour. In that case, except in the case of damage by the act of God or vis major, he will be answerable for damage sustained by cattle escaping from his neighbour's close by reason of the defective state of the fence (i).

Further, the true nature of the prescription being that the landowner is bound at his own risk to have a sufficient fence always existing, he will be liable for any injury arising from the defective state of the fence, notwithstanding that he had no notice of the want of repair (k).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Extent and Consequences of Liability to fence.

How far landowner responsible for neglect to fence.

265. The liability to fence, when it exists, extends in many cases to the consequences arising from the neglect to fence. Thus, if a man allow his cattle to trespass, he will be answerable in damages for such injury caused by them as it is ordinarily in their nature to commit, or the animals may be distrained damage feasant, and impounded to secure compensation for the injury done (l). Conversely, if a man is under an obligation to fence for the benefit of the adjoining owner, and fails to do so, he will be liable if the animals of the adjoining owner stray on to his land and are there

Duty extends to cattle only.

An obligation, where one exists, to fence and to keep the fence to cattle lawfully on adjoining land animals as may be lawfully there. Thus, where a person puts his cattle into his own field, and they escape into the adjoining field through a defect in the fence which the adjoining owner is bound to repair, and thence into the field of a third person through a gap in the fence which such person is bound to keep in repair, the third person is not prevented by his default from maintaining trespass against

(i) See cases cited in last note. (k) Lawrence v. Jenkins, supra.

⁽f) Potter v. Parry (1859), 7 W. R. 182. (g) 2 & 3 Will. 4, c. 71. See title EASEMENTS AND PROFITS A PRENDRE. (h) Star v. Rookesby (1710), 1 Salk. 335; Nowel v. Smith (1598), Cro. Eliz. 709; Anon. (1674), 1 Vent. 264; Boyle v. Tamlyn (1827), 6 B. & C. 329; Lawrence v. Jenkins (1873), L. B. 8 Q. B. 274.

⁽¹⁾ Boden v. Roscoe, [1894] 1 Q. B. 608; Powell v. Salisbury (1828), 2 Y. & J. 391; Lee v. Riley (1865), 18 C. B. (N. S.) 722; Ellis v. Loftus Iron Co. (1874), L. R. 10 C. P. 10. As to distress damage feasant, see Singleton v. Williamson (1861), 7 H. & N. 410; and see generally, title Animals, Vol. I., pp. 375

et seq.
(m) Powell v. Salisbury, supra; Anon. (1674), 1 Vent. 264; Rooth v. Wilson (1817), 1 B. & Ald. 59, where the principle applied though the plaintiff was only the gratuitous bailee of the animal which escaped and was killed.

the owner of the cattle; for his obligation to fence extends only to the field adjoining his own and such animals as are lawfully there (n).

Conversely, the owner of cattle which escape into an adjoining close through a defect in fences which the adjoining owner was bound to repair can have no claim for any damage to the cattle, unless he had an interest in the close from which they escaped, that is to say, unless his cattle were lawfully in that close (o).

The same principles apply in the case of cattle escaping from the owner's land on to land adjoining a railway, and thence on to the railway; for the obligation on a railway company is only to fence as

against the adjoining land (p).

The right of action arising in consequence of defects in fences Cattle injured which an adjoining owner is bound to repair is not confined to which are not injuries done to animals of which the person suing is the owner, adjoining owner's but extends to injuries done to the animals of others in his possession, property. even although he may be only a gratuitous bailee (q).

Where cattle belonging to one person stray on to the adjoining Owner in land through the defective state of the fences which the owner of default must the adjoining land is bound to keep in repair, the adjoining owner is ing cattle, not justified in simply driving them off his own land, but must put them back into the close from which they escaped by his default (r).

266. When cattle belonging to a stranger escape into the land of How far another either by breaking the fences if there is no defect in them, straying cattle liable or by trespassing owing to a defect in the fences which neither the to distress. landlord nor his tenant is bound to repair, they may be distrained immediately for any rent due (s). But if they escape through the defect of fences which the landlord or his tenant is bound to repair, they cannot be distrained by the landlord for rent due, although they have been levant and couchant, unless the owner of the cattle, after notice that they are on the land, neglects or refuses to drive them away within a reasonable time; for otherwise the landlord would be taking advantage of his own wrong (t).

However, the lord of a manor or grantee of a rent-charge in a similar case may distrain the cattle after they have been levant and couchant, although no notice is given to the owner; for, there being no such obligation on the lord of the manor or on the owner of the rent-charge to fence as there is on the landlord, notice is not necessary (a).

SECT. 4. Erection. Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

adjoining

replace stray-

(p) Ricketts v. East and West India Docks etc. Rail. Co. (1852), 12 C. B. 160. As

⁽n) Right v. Baynard (1674), Freem. (K.B.) 379; Sackvill (Sir Geo.) v. Milward (1444), Vin. Abr. tit. Fences, D 4; and compare Dovaston v. Payne (1795), 2 Hy. Bl. 527. (o) Pomfret v. Ricroft (1680), 1 Wm. Saund. 557, 560.

to fencing railways, see title RAILWAYS AND CANALS.
(q) Rooth v. Wilson (1817), 1 B. & Ald. 59. Compare Broadwater v. Blot (1817), Holt (N. P.), 547. See title BAILMENT, Vol. I., p. 564.

⁽r) Carruthers v. Hollis (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 113.
(s) Jones v. Powell (1826), 5 B. & C. 647; Co. Litt. 47 b.
(t) Poole v. Longuevill (1670), 2 Saund. 282; Kimp v. Cruwes (1696), 2 Lut. 1573; Elmore v. Tucker (1704), 6 Mod. Rep. 198.

⁽a) Saffery v. Elgood (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 191; Co. Litt. iii. 265; and see cases cited in preceding note.

SECT. 4. Erection. Maintenance and Repair of Fences.

Effect of unity of possession. SUB-SECT. 4.—Extinguishment of Liability.

267. A man is not bound to fence against his own land, and therefore, where a man is bound to fence against adjoining land, his obligation to maintain the fences comes to an end when he purchases that land (b). And further, if a duty to fence against adjoining land has been once extinguished by the unity of possession and ownership, it will not be revived by the lands afterwards passing into the hands of different persons (c). In order, however, that a prescriptive right may be extinguished by unity of ownership, the two estates must be equal in duration, in quality, and in all other circumstances of right (d).

Making of new highway.

The liability of an owner to fence against adjoining land is not extinguished by his allowing a new highway to be made on part of his land, thereby destroying a portion of the fence (e).

Part III.—Party-Walls.

Meaning of party-wall.

268. The term "party-wall" may be used in four different senses (f), as meaning (1) a wall of which two adjoining owners are tenants in common (g); (2) a wall divided longitudinally into two strips one belonging to each of the adjoining owners (h); (3) a wall which belongs entirely to one of the adjoining owners, but is subject to an easement or right in the other to have it maintained as a dividing wall between the two tenements (i); (4) a wall divided longitudinally into two moieties, each moiety being subject to a cross easement in favour of the owner of the other moiety (i).

A wall may be in part of its length and height a party-wall and as regards the rest an external wall (k).

(e) Winter v. Charter (1829), 3 Y. & J. 308

(g) Wiltshire v. Sidford (1827), 1 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 404; Cubitt v. Porter (1828), 8 B. & C. 257.

(h) Matts v. Hawkins (1813), 5 Taunt. 20.

(i) Watson v. Gray, supra, per FRY, J., at p. 195.
(j) Ibid.

⁽b) Sackvill (Sir Geo.) v. Milward (1444), Vin. Abr. tit. Fences, A 1; Y. B. 22 H. 6, 78.

⁽c) Polus v. Henstock (1671), 1 Vent. 97.
(d) R. v. Hermitage (Inhabitants) (1693), Carth. 239, 241. See further, as to this, title EASEMENTS AND PROFITS A PRENDRE.

⁽f) Watson v. Gray (1880), 14 Ch. D. 192, per FRY, J., at p. 194. The law with reference to party-walls within the administrative county of London is contained in the London Building Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. ccxiii.), the London Building Act, 1894 (Amendment) Act, 1898 (61 & 62 Vict. c. cxxxvii.), and the London Building Acts (Amendment) Act, 1905 (5 Edw. 7, c. ccix.), and is fully treated under title METROPOLIS.

⁽k) Weston v. Arnold (1873), 8 Ch. App. 1084, 1090; Drury v. Army and Navy Auxiliary Co-operative Supply, [1896] 2 Q. B. 271. See Colebeck v. Girdlers Co. (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 231; Knight v. Pursell (1879), 11 Ch. D. 412.

269. Where a wall is built on the boundary of two adjoining pieces of land, so that the centre of the wall coincides with the boundary line, the adjoining owners are not tenants in common of the wall, even although the wall was erected at their joint expense, Divided but the property in the wall follows the property in the land upon ownership which it stands where the quantity of the land contributed by each of party-wall. proprietor is known. There is therefore no transfer of the property of either proprietor to the other, but both of them remain owners of their respective lands in severalty as before, each having the ordinary remedy for any injury done to his portion of the wall (l).

In the event, however, of the circumstances in which the wall Ownership was built and the amount of land contributed by each adjoining in common. owner being unknown, it is presumed that the wall belongs to the

adjoining proprietors as tenants in common (m).

The common user by adjoining owners of a party wall separating their properties is prima facie evidence that the wall and the land on which it stands belong equally to them as tenants in common(n).

270. Where a wall is not common property, but one-half of it Rights and belongs exclusively to one proprietor and the other half of it excludities of sively to the other, either proprietor may pull down that portion of owners where the wall standing on his own land, although sufficient support owned in may not be left for the portion of the wall which belongs to his severalty. neighbour (o), provided the work be done reasonably and without negligence (p).

The mere circumstance of the juxtaposition of the walls of two adjoining owners casts no obligation upon the owner pulling down his wall to give notice to the other of his intention, and gives no

right to the other to have his wall shored up (q).

The owner of premises adjoining those pulled down must shore up his own premises on the inside and do everything reasonably necessary for their preservation; but at the same time his omission to do so will not prevent his recovering if the pulling down be irregularly and improperly done and injury is thereby caused; for the person so pulling down his house may be liable, although the owner of the house may not have done all that he ought for his own protection (r).

PART III. Party-Walls.

party-wall

(m) Wiltshire v. Sidford (1827), 1 Man. & Ry. (K. B.) 404; Cubitt v. Porter (1828), 8 B. & C. 257.

(o) Wigford v. Gill (1592), Cro. Eliz. 269; Wiltshire v. Sidford, supra, at p. 408.

(r) Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362.

⁽¹⁾ Matts v. Hawkins (1813), 5 Taunt. 20; Hutchinson v. Mains (1832), Alc. & N. 155. Compare Shefield Improved Industrial and Provident Society v. Jarvis, [1871] W. N. 208; Waddington v. Naylor (1889), 60 L. T. 480; Mayfair Property Co. v. Johnston, [1894] 1 Ch. 508. It is a question for the jury whether a party-wall is in fact fairly built half on each side of the boundary, and any minute inaccuracy of measurement must be disregarded (Reading v. Barnard (1827), 1 Mood. & M. 71).

⁽n) Cubitt v. Porter, supra; Wiltshire v. Sidford, supra, at p. 408; Jones v. Read (1876), I. B. 10 C. L. 315; Standard Bank of British South America v. Stokes (1878), 9 Ch. D. 68, at p. 71.

⁽p) Kempston v. Butler (1861), 12 I. C. L. R. 516.
(q) Chadwick v. Trower (1839), 6 Bing. (N. C.) 1; Kempston v. Butler supra;
Peyton v. London Corporation (1829), 9 B. & C. 725.

PART III. Party-Walls.

The fact that the property injured was in so ruinous a condition that it could not have stood much longer affords no answer to an action for the injury, but may be taken into consideration by the jury in assessing the damages (s).

Extent of right of support.

271. There is no obligation towards a neighbour cast by law upon the owner of a house to keep it repaired in a lasting and substantial manner. The only duty is to keep it in such a state that The house may be in his neighbour may not be injured by its fall. a ruinous state, provided that it be shored sufficiently, or the house may be demolished altogether (t).

Therefore a person who excavates without negligence close to his boundary, and in so doing shakes the foundations of a wall of his neighbour so that the wall falls down, is not liable for such injury in the absence of proof that either by prescription or by reason of presumed grant or implied reservation he is under some duty to

support the wall (a).

Effect of original unity of possession.

Where houses have been erected in contiguity by the same owner upon a plot of ground and therefore necessarily require mutual support, there is, either by a presumed grant or by a presumed reservation, a right to such mutual support, so that the owner who sells one of the houses grants as against himself such a right, and on his own part also reserves the right, whether the houses are parted with at one or at separate times (b).

Creation of easements by grant of moiety of wall.

If a man grants a divided moiety of an outside wall of his own house with the intention of making such wall a party-wall between such house and an adjoining house to be built by the grantee, the law implies the grant and reservation in favour of the grantor and grantee respectively of such easements as may be necessary to carry out what was the common intention of the parties with regard to the user of the wall, the nature of those easements varying with the particular circumstances of each case (c). Subject, however, to such easements, the owner of each moiety of the wall may deal with his part as he pleases, and so long as he uses it for the contemplated objects, and without negligence or want of due care, he is not responsible for any nuisance or inconvenience thereby occasioned (d). Apart from any special local custom or express contract, neither party is subject to any liability if, by reason of natural decay or other circumstances beyond his control, his half of the wall falls down or otherwise passes into such a condition that the easement thereon becomes impossible or difficult of exercise (e). But each party is

(d) Jones v. Pritchard, supra, at p. 636.

(e) Ibid., at p. 637.

⁽s) Dodd v. Holme (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 493. (t) Chauntler v. Robinson (1849), 4 Exch. 163. (a) Gayford v. Nicholls (1854), 9 Exch. 702; Wyatt v. Harrison (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 871; Murchie v. Black (1865), 19 C. B. (N. 8.) 190; Smith v. Thackerah (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 564. See the remarks in A. G. v. Conduit Colliery Co., [1895] 1 Q. B. 301, at p. 308, on Smith v. Thackerah, supra. As to the acquisition

of a prescriptive right to support, see Dalton v. Angus (1881), 6 App. Cas.740; and titles EASEMENTS AND PROFITS à PRENDRE; MINES, MINERALS AND QUARRIES.

(b) Richards v. Rose (1853), 9 Exch. 218. Compare Wheeldon v. Burrows (1879), 12 Ch. D. 31, C. A., disapproving Pyer v. Carter (1857), 1 H. & N. 916.

(c) Jones v. Pritchard, [1908] 1 Ch. 630, 635, following Richards v. Rose, supra, and Lyttletton Times Co., Ltd. v. Warners, Ltd., [1907] A. C. 476.

entitled to repair the other's half of the wall in question so far as is reasonably necessary for the enjoyment of any easement impliedly granted or reserved (f).

PART III. Party-Walls.

No right to support, however, exists where the houses do not adjoin (q).

272. If either of two adjoining owners whose land is separated by a party-wall utilise the party-wall for the purpose of building, or pull down the wall for the purpose of rebuilding, he is bound to see that reasonable skill and care are exercised in the operation, and that it is carried out without delay, and he cannot escape this obligation by delegating the performance to a contractor (h).

User of partywall for building purposes.

Where two persons own adjoining houses separated by a partywall, neither may underpin the wall unless it can be done without injury to the other's house (i).

273. One tenant in common of a party-wall cannot maintain When tenant trespass against another for an injury done to the wall, unless there has been a complete ouster or some destruction of the common property (k). The destruction of the whole wall for the purpose of erecting trespass. a better as soon as possible is not such a destruction as would enable one tenant in common to maintain trespass against another (l). But where one tenant in common after pulling down a building on his side of the wall increased the height and built a house with the roof occupying the entire width of the top, and also inserted a stone with an inscription stating that the wall was his, it was held that there was an ouster sufficient to enable the other tenant in common to maintain trespass (m).

in common of

If one of two tenants in common of a party-wall excludes the other from using it by placing an obstruction on the wall, the only remedy of the latter is to remove the obstruction (n).

(f) Jones v. Pritchard, [1908] 1 Ch. 630, 638, discussing Taylor v. Whitehead

(1781), 2 Doug. 749; Pomfret v. Ricroft (1680), 1 Wms. Saund. 560.

(g) Solomon v. Vintners' Co. (1859), 4 H. & N. 585, where the houses of the plaintiff and defendant were separated by a house belonging to a third person, all three houses having been for a considerable number of years obviously out of the perpendicular and depending on each other for support, and it was held, there being no connection between the houses either in title or possession, that the plaintiff could not recover damages from the defendant for pulling down his house, in consequence of which the house adjoining the defendant's house fell,

thereby depriving the plaintiff's house of support.

(h) Pfluger v. Hocken (1858), 1 F. & F. 142; Hughes v. Percival (1883), 8 App.

Cas. 443; Cribb v. Kynoch, Ltd., [1907] 2 K. B. at p. 559. As to the effect of the employment of a contractor upon the liability of the employer, see generally

title TORT.

(i) Bradbee v. Christ's Hospital (1843), 4 Man. & G. 714, 761; Standard Bank of British South America v. Stokes (1878), 9 Ch. D. 68. See Mayfair Property

Co. v. Johnston, [1894] 1 Ch. 508.
(k) Murly v. M'Dermott (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 138; Voyce v. Voyce (1820), Gow, 201 (hedge grubbed up); Murray v. Hall (1849), 7 C. B. 441 (actual expulsion); Jones v. Read (1876), I. R. 10 C. L. 315 (taking down wall without intention to rebuild); Standard Bank of British South America v. Stokes, supra, at p. 72. See Noye v. Reed (1827), 1 Man. & Ry. 63; Jacobs v. Seward (1872), L. R. 5 H. L.

(i) Cubitt v. Porter (1828), 8 B. & C. 257; Jones v. Read, supra.
(m) Stedman v. Smith (1857), 8 E. & B. 1; Watson v. Gray (1880), 14 Ch. D. 192
(n) Watson v. Gray, supra; Cubitt v. Porter, supra, at p. 265.

PART III. Party-Walls.

274. The question of contribution by adjoining owners to the expense of building a party-wall may depend on express contract(o), or on a contract implied from common user (p) or other circumstances (q), or on a local custom (r).

Partition.

275. Where two parties are tenants in common of a partywall, either of them may enforce partition of the wall against the wish of the other (s), and notwithstanding any practical difficulty or inconvenience (t).

Statute of Limitations.

276. Where a man erects a house against a wall which belongs to and is situate on the land of the adjoining owner, using it to form one side of the house, length of time will not give the former a statutory title to the wall (a), though probably he would require an easement of support for his roof (b).

Where there is a boundary wall, and an inscription is allowed to remain on it stating to all the world that it belongs to the adjoining proprietor, no question of the Statute of Limitations, or of adverse possession, or of cesser of possession, can arise (c).

Part IV.—Evidence of Boundaries.

SECT. 1.—Nature of Evidence.

Sub-Sect. 1.—In General.

Indication by physical objects.

277. Evidence of boundaries differs in kind and in degree. a general rule, where a deed refers to known physical and natural objects by means of which the boundaries of land conveyed are described, and also contains a statement of area, the former will control the latter in case of discrepancy (d); and if reference is made to some physical object not in existence at the time, and the parties

(o) Stuart v. Smith (1816), 2 Marsh. 435.

(r) Robinson v. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137.

(t) See Turner v. Morgan (1803), 8 Ves. 143 (partition of a house), and cases there cited.

(a) Phillipson v. Gibbon (1871), 6 Ch. App. 428. See Waddington v. Naylor (1889), 60 L. T. 480; Murly v. M'Dermott (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 138.

(b) Waddington v. Naylor, supra. See further, title EASEMENTS AND PROFITS A PRENDRE.

(c) Phillipson v. Gibbon, supra, at p. 434. See also Stedman v. Smith (1857), 8 E. & B. 1; Watson v. Gray (1880), 14 Ch. D. 192.
(d) Manning v. Fitzgerald (1859), 29 L. J. (Ex.) 24; Lyle v. Richards (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 222.

⁽p) Christie v. Mitchison (1877), 36 L. T. 621. (a) Irving v. Turnbull, [1900] 2 Q. B. 129. Compare Thacker v. Wilson (1835), 8 Ad. & El. 142; Re Stone and Hastie, [1903] 2 K. B. 463, C. A. Where a partywall held in common by adjoining owners falls into such a ruinous state that rebuilding is imperative, and one owner takes upon himself the whole burden of carrying out the work, it is conceived that the court would compel the other owner to contribute his share on the ground that the expenditure was in the nature of salvage. See the American case Campbell v. Mesier (1820), 4 John. Ch. Rep. 334.

⁽s) Mayfair Property Co. v. Johnston, [1894] 1 Ch. 508, where a longitudinal partition was directed.

subsequently erect some object intending it to conform to the deed, the boundary indicated by such object will be binding upon them, even although it may not actually conform to the line of boundary or to the acreage contained in the deed (e).

SECT. 1. Nature of Evidence.

It is always a question for the jury whether a particular parcel of land is or is not contained in the description of the land con**veved** by a deed (f).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Extrinsic Evidence.

278. Extrinsic evidence is admissible to put before the court Admissibility the same knowledge of the subject-matter of the deed as was in of extrinsic evidence. the possession of the parties thereto at the time of its execution (q). It may also be admitted where the description of the boundaries is general or ambiguous (h), and the ambiguity is latent (i); and in this way ancient grants may be explained by evidence of modern usage (k), but no amount of user would prevail against the plain meaning of words (l). Evidence of user prior to a grant is also admissible to show what was intended to pass thereby (m).

Extrinsic evidence is admissible, in the case of a demise of land by admeasurement "with the houses now erected or being erected thereon," to show that the foundations of the houses were actually laid at the date of the demise and extended beyond the limits of the boundaries shown on the plan, with the result that the admeasurements and plan must be rejected as a falsa demonstratio (n).

279. Where an agreement refers specifically to a plan, oral Identification evidence is admissible to identify the plan referred to, but where of plan.

(e) See Taylor v. Parry (1840), 1 Man. & G. 604. (f) Lyle v. Richards (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 222; Doe d. Freeland v. Burt (1787),

v. Fennell (1859), 7 H. L. Cas. 650.

(g) Murly v. M'Dermott (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 138; Baird v. Fortune (1861), 7 Jur. (N. S.) 926; Van Diemen's Land Co. v. Table Cape Marine Board, [1906] A. C. 92, P. C., at p. 98. Compare Doe d. Preedy v. Holtom (1835), 4 Ad. & El. 76. As to extrinsic evidence generally, see title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

(h) Doe d. Gore v. Langton (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 680, where property was conveyed with the "hereditaments thereunto belonging" and extrinsic evidence was admitted to show the boundaries of such hereditaments; Waterpark (Lord) v. Fennell, supra, where property was conveyed by name and parol evidence was admitted to connect property with that name; Lister v. Pickford (1865), 34 Beav. 576. See title SALE OF LAND.

(i) Lyle v. Richards, supra, per Lord WESTBURY, at p. 239; Goodtitle d. Radford v. Southern (1813), 1 M. & S. 299.

(k) E.g., that an ancient grant of a manor included the sea coast down to low water mark (Calmady v. Rowe (1844), 6 C. B. 861; Beaufort (Duke) v. Swansea Corporation (1849), 3 Exch. 413; A.-G. v. Jones (1862), 2 H. & C. 347; Le Strange v. Lowe (1866), 4 F. & F. 1048; A.-G. for Ireland v. Vandeleur, [1907] A. C. 369); that the words "river L." in an ancient patent comprised the river bed so far or not so far as the sea (Donegall (Marquis) v. Templemore (Lord) (1858), 9 I. C. L. R. 374; see Re Belfast Dock Act (1867), I. R. 1 Eq. 132) 128); and that a castle was within the boundary of a county hundred (Newcastle (Duke) v. Broxtowe Hundred (1832), 4 B. & Ad. 273).

(1) Van Diemen's Land Co. v. Table Cape Marine Board, supra, per Lord

HALSBURY, L.C., at p. 97.

 $(m) \ Ibid., at p. 98.$ (n) Manning v. Fitzgerald (1859), 29 L. J. (Ex.) 24. See also p. 147, post. SECT. 1. Nature of Evidence. there is not clear evidence to show what particular plan was agreed upon and there is no sufficient verbal description of the boundaries, the agreement will be void for uncertainty (o).

Agreement implied by conduct.

280. An agreement fixing boundaries may be implied from conduct. Thus, the fact that a lessee, knowing that his lessors had agreed with the owners of the adjoining land to appoint some person to stake out the boundaries between, actually put himself into communication with the person appointed, and was present when the boundaries were staked out, and raised no objection, is strong evidence of an agreement by him to the boundaries being so defined (p).

Conflicting descriptions.

281. If a deed contains two descriptions of the property conveyed, both being of sufficient and of equal certainty, and a variance is shown by extrinsic evidence to exist between them, that which comes first in the deed must prevail (q). If, however, the description of the land intended to be conveyed is couched in such ambiguous terms that it is doubtful what were intended to be the boundaries of the land, and the language of the descriptions equally admits of two different constructions, the one of which would make the quantity conveyed agree with the quantity mentioned in the deed, while the other would make the quantity altogether different, the former construction must prevail (a).

When extrinsic evidence inadmissible. **282.** Extrinsic evidence is not admissible to contradict or vary clear descriptions of boundaries (b). Thus, if a deed contains a full description of the land, evidence that a strip of land not included in the description was always occupied by the owner of the land undoubtedly conveyed by the deed is not admissible to contradict the deed (c).

Rejection of surplusage.

283. Where there is no ambiguity in the descriptions contained in a deed, evidence to show that it was intended to convey more than appears in the description does not become admissible by reason of the fact that a further description is added with which the subject-matter clearly intended to be conveyed does not agree (d). The maxim Falsa demonstratio non nocet cum de corpore constat means that where the subject-matter is otherwise sufficiently

(a) Herrick v. Sixby (1867), L. R. 1 P. C. 436. (b) Shep. Touch. 29.

⁽o) Hodges v. Horsfall (1829), 1 Russ. & M. 116.

⁽p) Taylor v. Parry (1840), 1 Man. & G. 604. (q) Roe v. Lidwell (1859), 9 I. C. L. R. 184.

⁽c) Barton v. Dawes (1850), 10 C. B. 261; Boyle v. Mulholland (1859), 10 I. C. L. R. 150; Webber v. Stanley (1864), 16 C. B. (N. S.) 698, 752; Smith v. Ridgway (1865), L. R. 1 Exch. 331; Pedley v. Dodds (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 819, where a devise of A. farm "in the parish of R." was held not to include lands in other parishes previously occupied with A. farm. See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

⁽d) Goodtille d. Radford v. Southern (1813), 1 M. & S. 299; Bradford v. Dublin and Kingstown Rail. Co. (1858), 7 I. C. L. R. 624; Doe d. Smith v. Galloway (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 43; Llewellyn v. Jersey (Earl) (1843), 11 M. & W. 183; Roe v. Lidwell, supra; Doe d. Renow v. Ashley (1847), 10 Q. B. 663.

described and ascertained, the addition of a false description is

rejected as surplusage (e).

But, on the other hand, non accipi debent verba in demonstrationem falsam quæ competunt in limitationem veram, that is to say, where General words of additional description are in fact applicable, e.g., where followed by they narrow or define the general description, they are not to be regarded as falsa demonstratio (f). If premises are described in general terms and a particular description be added, the latter controls the former (a).

SECT. 1. Nature of Evidence.

particular description.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Reputation.

284. Evidence of reputation (h), in other words, hearsay evidence. Reputation. such as a declaration made by a person since deceased, is admissible where the question relates to a matter of general or public interest (i), as, for example, to the boundaries of a town (k), parish (l), or manor (m), or to the boundaries between counties, parishes, hamlets or manors (n), or between a reputed manor and land belonging to a private individual (o), or between old and new land in a manor (p).

(f) Boyle v. Mulholland (1859), 10 I. C. L. R. 150; Doe d. Preedy v. Holtom (1835), 4 Ad. & El. 76.

(g) Doe d. Smith v. Galloway (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 43; Travers v. Blundell (1877), 6 Ch. D. 436, C. A.; Herrick v. Sixby (1867), L. R. 1 P. C. 436; Re Seal, supra; Re Brocket, [1908] 1 Ch. 185, 196.

(h) "Reputation is in general weak evidence, and when it is admitted, it is

the duty of the judge to impress on the minds of the jury how little conclusive it ought to be" (Weeks v. Sparke (1813), 1 M. & S. 679, per Lord Ellenborough, C.J., at p. 687). See generally as to evidence of reputation, R. v. Bedford (Inhabitants) (1855), 4 E. & B. 535, per Lord CAMPBELL, C.J., at p. 541; Morewood v. Wood (1792), 14 East, 327, 330; and title EVIDENCE.

(i) See Evans v. Merthyr Tydfil Urban Council, [1899] 1 Ch. 241, C. A.; Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A., at p. 560, where the word "public" is explained.

(k) Ireland v. Powell, cited 7 Ad. & El. at p. 555, where the question was whether a turnpike was within the boundary of a town; R. v. Blies (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 550.

(1) R. v. Mytton (1860), 2 E. & E. 557. See Coombs v. Coether and Wheeler (1829), Mood. & M. 398; Cooke v. Banks (1826), 2 C. & P. 478.

(m) Doe d. Jones v. Richards (1798), Peake, Add. Cas. 180; Talbot v. Lewis

(1834), 5 Tyr. 1; Doe d. Padwick v. Skinner (1848), 3 Exch. 84.
(n) Nicholls v. Parker (1805), 14 East, 331, n. (boundaries of common—whether in parish and manor of A. or parish and manor of B.); Thomas v. Jenkins (1837), 6 Ad. & El. 525, and Brisco v. Lomax (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 198, 213 (boundaries of hamlet and private estate—identical); Evans v. Rees (1839), 10

Ad. & El. 151 (boundaries between parishes and counties).

(o) Doe d. Molesworth v. Sleeman (1846), 9 Q. B. 298, where declarations of a deceased person were admitted as to boundaries; see Curzon v. Lomax (1803), 5 Esp. 60, where it was said that the right to the soil was evidenced by acts of ownership exercised on it, not by presumptive evidence of property arising from supposed boundaries, the rights to which had never been ascertained by possession.

(p) Barnes v. Mawson (1813), 1 M. & S. 77, per Lord Ellenborough, C.J.,

at p. 81.

⁽e) Jack v. M'Intyre (1845), 12 Cl. & Fin. 151, where boundaries defined were held not to be limited by a specific reference to part of land situate within them; Anstee v. Nelms (1856), 1 H. & N. 225, where the description of the situation was wrong as regards the county but right as regards the parish and otherwise; White v. Birch (1867), 36 L.J. (CH.) 174, where a wrong description of land as "in my own occupation" was rejected as falsa demonstratio; but compare Re Seal, [1894] 1 Ch. 316, C. A., where the words "as the same are now occupied by me" were construed as limiting the parcels. See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

SECT. 1. Nature of Evidence. Declarations by deceased persons are admissible if made ante litem motam(q), or against the interest of the declarant (r), or in the ordinary course of business and in the discharge of professional duty (s), and by a declarant of competent knowledge (t). The declarant, if otherwise cognisant of the subject, need not be resident in the locality of the disputed boundary (a).

When inadmissible. **285.** Evidence of reputation is inadmissible in cases of a private nature (b), e.g., as to the boundaries of a waste over which some only of the tenants of a manor claim a right of common appendant (c), or as to the boundaries between two private estates, except where the private boundaries coincide with public ones (d).

Evidence of reputation as to particular facts as opposed to general

rights is not admissible (e).

Nature of evidence of reputation.

286. Where evidence of reputation is admissible, it may be oral or documentary, so that deeds, leases and other private documents may be admitted as declaratory of their contents (). It need not necessarily be supported by usage(g).

(q) See title EVIDENCE.

(r) Crease v. Barrett (1835), 1 Cr. M. & R. 919, where a declaration by a deceased lord of the manor as to the extent of the manor wastes was held admissible, but not as to the extent of his rights over the wastes. See R. v. Bedford (Inhabitants) (1855), 4 E. & B. 535, 541; and title EVIDENCE.

(s) Price v. Torrington (Earl) (1703), 1 Salk. 285; Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A., where field book entries made by a deceased surveyor for the purpose of a public drainage survey on which he was professionally employed

were admitted in evidence.

(t) Rogers v. Wood (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 245. But compare Crease v. Barrett, supra, where an entry by a deceased person as to boundaries, charging himself, was admitted against strangers, even though it appeared that the facts stated in the entry were not known to him of his own knowledge. See also Pipe v. Fulcher (1858), 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 12, per Lord CAMPBELL, C.J., at p. 13; and title EVIDENCE.

(a) Newcastle (Duke) v. Broxtowe Hundred (1832), 4 B. & Ad. 273.

(b) See R. v. Bedford (Inhabitants), supra, at p. 542. The principle is that questions of private boundaries are not matters of public notoriety. But see Davies v. Lewis (1787), 2 Chit. 535, where, in a question as to private rights, whether or not a place was parcel of a sheepwalk, evidence of reputation was held admissible.

(c) Dunraven (Earl) v. Llewellyn (1850), 15 Q. B. 791. See Reed v. Jackson (1801), 1 East, 355, 357; Doe d. Didsbury v. Thomas (1811), 14 East, 323; Clothier v. Chapman (1805), 14 East, 331, n.; Williams v. Morgan (1850), 15

Q. B. 782.

(d) Thomas v. Jenkins (1837), 6 Ad. & El. 525; Brisco v. Lomax (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 198, 213. See R. v. Bliss (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 550, 554 (boundary of a

(e) Outram v. Morewood (1793), 5 Term Rep. 121, per Lord Kenyon, C.J., at p. 123: "Although a general right may be proved by traditionary evidence, yet a particular fact cannot." E.g., that a deceased person planted a tree near a road and stated at the time of planting that his object was to show where the boundary of the road was when he was a boy (R. v. Bliss, supra; see R. v. Berger, [1894] 1 Q. B. 823), or that a stone was erected as a boundary mark at a particular place (R. v. Bliss, supra), or that perambulations had taken a particular line (Taylor v. Devey (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 409); see also Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A.

(f) See p. 146, post; and title EVIDENCE.

(g) Crease v. Barrett, supra.

SECT. 2.—Particular Kinds of Evidence.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Public Documents and Orders etc.

287. Statements in public or official documents are as a general rule admissible as evidence of the facts recorded (h).

288. Domesday Book is a record of a public inquisition or survey, in public and therefore admissible as evidence of boundaries (i).

289. A Crown survey, if made under proper authority, as, for Book. example, pursuant to an Act of Parliament, and produced from the Crown records of the court or other proper custody, is also admissible as a public document in questions of boundary (k), even where the commission under which it was made is lost, provided that evidence is forthcoming aliunde that the survey was made under due authority (l).

But surveys which are not made under proper authority (m) are treated merely as private memorials and are inadmissible in evidence (n). This rule applies to surveys made on behalf of the Crown when it is beneficially interested (o). Thus, a survey directed

(h) For a definition of "public documents," see Sturla v. Freccia (1880), 5 App. Cas. 623, at p. 643, explained in Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A. See also title EVIDENCE.

(i) See title EVIDENCE. Domesday Book was compiled shortly after the Norman conquest by Royal Commissioners. It contains a general survey of all the counties in England except the four northern, and specifies the name and local position of every place, its possessor at the time of King Edward the Confessor, and at the time of the survey, together with particulars, quantities and descriptions of the land. Its value as evidence is obviously limited by the difficulty usually experienced of applying the ancient descriptions to modern circumstances. In Alcock v. Cooke (1829), 5 Bing. 340, and Beaufort (Duke) v. John Aird & Co. (1904), 20 T. L. B. 602, extracts from Domesday Book were given in evidence.

(k) Evans v. Merthyr Tydfil Urban Council, [1899] 1 Ch. 241, C. A., where the survey was made in discharge of a public duty imposed by statute. See Doe d. William IV. v. Roberts (1844), 13 M. & W. 520 (ancient survey or extent of Crown lands); New Romney Corporation v. New Romney Commissioners of Sewers, [1892] 1 Q. B. 840 (map made under the authority of a Royal Commission).

(1) Rowe v. Brenton (1828), 8 B. & C. 737, 747. See Smith v. Brownlow (Earl)

(1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 241, 252.

(m) Evans v. Taylor (1838), 7 Ad. & El. 617, where a survey of the boundaries of a manor purporting to be made under stat. 4 Edw. 1, stat. 1, which gives no power to define boundaries of manors, was held not to be admissible as evidence of boundary, either as a public document or on the ground of reputation; Mercer v. Denne, supra, where a map in the possession of the Admiralty, not being an Admiralty chart, was held not to be admissible.

not being an Admiralty chart, was held not to be admissible.

(n) Vin. Abr. tit. Evidence, A. b 15, s. 12; Daniel v. Wilkin (1852), 7 Exch. 429. See Beaufort (Duke) v. Smith (1849), 4 Exch. 450, where a survey, made by the authority of Oliver Cromwell, was held inadmissible either as a public document or as evidence of reputation; contra, Freeman v. Read (1863), 4 B. & S. 174, where a parliamentary survey made in the time of the Commonwealth was held to be good evidence of reputation.

(o) Phillips v. Hudson (1867), 2 Ch. App. 243 (survey made by Augmentation Office for the purposes of the Crown as a private owner). Compare Evans v. Merthyr Tydfil Urban Council, supra, where a surveyor's report made under the Act for the Better Management of the Land Revenue of the Crown (34 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 8, was held to be a public document and admissible in evidence.

SECT. 2.

Particular

Kinds of

Evidence.

Effect of statements in public documents. Domesday Book. Crown

SECT. 2. Particular Kinds of Evidence.

to be taken by the King in the seventeenth century with a view to ascertaining what repairs were necessary to save his castles on the coast from the ravages of the sea, is not admissible as a public document to show the boundaries of the land (p).

Ordnance maps.

290. The Acts (q) under which ordnance surveys have been made in England contain no provisions for settling boundaries. An ordnance map, to which no reference is made in the title deeds (r), is not admissible either as a public document or as evidence of reputation to show the boundary of a parish or vill (s), or between the lands of adjoining owners (t), though it may be received to show the position of the medium filum of a river (u) or of some physical object at the time it was taken (a), such as a track across land (b).

Atlases and maps.

291. Standard atlases and maps may be used to prove facts of public knowledge (c).

Tithe commutation maps.

292. Tithe commutation maps are admissible as evidence of the boundaries or waste of a manor (d), but not of boundaries between private estates (e).

Plans etc. made by Board of Agriculture and Fisheries.

293. On an enfranchisement of copyholds made under the Copyhold Act, 1894 (f), any plan made or approved by the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries and any definition of boundaries is conclusive as between the lord of the manor and the tenant (g), but it could not, it seems, be conclusive as to the boundaries before the date of determination (h).

Although a map attached by the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (formerly the Land Commissioners (i)) to an award under

(r) See Wakeman v. West (1836), 7 C. & P. 479. (s) Bidder v. Bridges (1886), 34 W. R. 514.

(t) Tisdall v. Parnell (1863), 14 I. C. L. R. 1, 27, 28; Coleman v. Kirkaldy, [1882] W. N. 103. See Swift v. M'Tiernan (1848), 11 I. Eq. R. 602; Mercer v. Denne, supra (ancient map prepared by Board of Ordnance).

(u) Great Torrington Commons Conservators v. Moore Stevens, [1904] 1 Ch. 347,

at p. 353.

(a) Caton v. Hamilton (1889), 53 J. P. 504.

(b) A.-G. v. Antrobus, [1905] 2 Ch. 188, at p. 203. (c) In R. v. Orton, cited in Stephen's Dig. Evidence, 6th ed. p. 48, maps of Australia were given in evidence to show the situation of places where the defendant said he had lived, and in *Birrell* v. *Dryer* (1884), 9 App. Cas. 345, the court accepted an Admiralty chart as evidence; see *ibid.*, per Lord BLACKBURN, at p. 352.

(d) Smith v. Lister (1895), 64 L. J. (q. B.) 154, at p. 159. (e) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71, s. 64; Wilberforce v. Hearfield (1877), 5 Ch. D. 709. Compare Hammond v. Bradstreet (1856), 10 Exch. 390, Ex. Ch., where the point

(f) Copyhold Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 46). See further p. 112, ante, and title COPYHOLDS.

(g) Ibid., s. 52(4).

(h) R. v. St. Mary (Inhabitants) (1821), 4 B. & Ald. 462. Compare R. v. Milton (1843), 1 Car. & Kir. 58.

(i) See p. 113, ante; and title AGRICULTURE, Vol. I., p. 297.

⁽p) Mercer v. Denne, [1905] 2 Ch. 538, C. A.
(q) Ordnance Survey Act, 1841 (4 & 5 Vict. c. 30); Geological Survey Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 63). As to the value of ordnance maps as evidence of boundaries in the case of land registered under the Land Transfer Acts, 1875 and 1897, see p. 115, ante.

an Inclosure Act is evidence whether a road is a highway or not, it is not evidence of the boundaries of the highway where the strip of land bordering the highway is not dealt with in the award (k), and where a tenant for life under a will of land adjoining the highway accepts, against his interest, an award of the Board under an 'Inclosure Act which treats the pieces of land adjoining a highway as belonging to the waste and not to the adjoining owners, that is strong evidence against his successors in title that the pieces were in fact part of the waste (l).

SECT. 2. Particular Kinds of Evidence.

294. Maps and surveys which are not admissible as public Private maps documents are sometimes received in evidence as admissions of etc. persons in privity with those against whom they are tendered, or as evidence of reputation (m).

295. Ecclesiastical terriers, or schedules of the temporal posses- Ecclesiastical sions of parish churches, made from time to time pursuant to the terriers. 87th canon, are receivable in evidence, if they come from the proper custody, namely, the bishop's or archdeacon's registry or the chest of the parish church (n).

A terrier of glebe lands is evidence against, but not for, the parson, unless signed by the parson and by churchwardens not nominated by the parson. Its value as evidence is increased if it is signed also by some substantial parishioners (o). But a terrier of a parish which is not signed by any parish official or person bearing a public character in a parish, is not evidence (p). Entries in parochial books are sometimes received as evidence of boundaries (q).

296. A verdict of a jury in a former action relating to a boun- verdict of dary is sometimes admissible as evidence of reputation in a subse-jury. quent action between different parties (r).

But an award of an arbitrator is not admissible as evidence of Arbitrator's reputation (s), though in a subsequent suit between the same parties award.

⁽k) R. v. Berger, [1894] 1 Q. B. 823.

⁽¹⁾ Gery v. Redman (1875), 1 Q. B. D. 161.

⁽m) Doe d. Hughes v. Lakin (1836), 7 C. & P. 481; Freeman v. Read (1863), 4 B. & S. 174. See p. 147, post.

⁽n) See Coombs v. Coether and Wheeler (1829), Mood. & M. 398; Croughton v. Blake (1843), 12 M. & W. 205, at p. 208, where it was said that the custody need not be the most proper custody; Earl v. Lewis (1801), 4 Esp. 1; and title EVIDENCE. As to ecclesiastical terriers generally, see title Ecclesiastical

⁽o) Buller, N. P. 248; Atkins v. Hatton (1793), 2 Anst. 386. Compare Carr v. Mostyn (1850), 5 Exch. 69, where returns made by parsons in answer to inquisitions made by the bishop regarding the boundaries etc. of a parochial chapelry were admitted as evidence.

⁽p) Earl v. Lewis, supra. See Cooke v. Banks (1826), 2 C. & P. 478.

⁽q) A.-G. v. Stephens (1856), 6 De G. M. & G. 111.
(r) Evans v. Rees (1839), 10 Ad. & El. 151; Reed v. Jackson (1801), 1 East, 355, at p. 357; Talbot v. Lewis (1834), 6 C. & P. 603; Brisco v. Lomax (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 198, at p. 210, where Littledale, J., said that a verdict was not a reputation, but was as good evidence as reputation; Neill v. Devonshire (Duke) (1882), 8 App. Cas. 135. See generally, as to the value of verdicts, Lee v. Johnstone (1869), L. R. 1 Sc. & Div. 426.

⁽s) Evans v. Rees, supra.

SECT. 2. Particular Kinds of Evidence.

or those claiming through them such an award is admissible, not as hearsay, but as evidence properly so called (t).

Orders of sessions etc.

So, too, ancient orders of sessions containing statements respecting boundaries (a), presentments of manorial courts setting out boundaries (b), but not decrees purporting to be made by courts not known to the law (c), are admissible as evidence of reputation.

Certificate of proceedings before Tithe Commissioners etc.

297. Copies of proceedings before the Tithe Commissioners, if certified under their seal (d), and authenticated orders, licences, or other instruments issued by the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (e), are evidence of the matters therein stated.

Assessments.

298. Extracts from land tax or poor rate assessments are evidence of seisin and as such admissible in questions of boundary (f).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Private Documents.

Ancient documents.

299. Ancient documents coming from the proper custody and purporting upon the face of them to show exercise of ownership, such as leases (q), licences in the nature of leases (h), or documents showing that a person in possession is vindicating that possession against someone else (i), are admissible as evidence in questions of In the case of a lease the payment of rent is an boundary.

(a) Newcastle (Duke) v. Broxtowe Hundred (1832), 4 B. & Ad. 273.

(b) Evans v. Rees (1839), 10 Ad. & El. 151. (c) Rogers v. Wood (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 245. (d) Tithe Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71), s. 2. See Giffard v. Williams (1869), 5 Ch. App. 546.

(e) Board of Agriculture Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 30); Board of Agriculture

and Fisheries Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 31).

(f) Doe d. Smith v. Cartwright (1824), 1 C. & P. 218; Plaxton v. Dare (1829), 10 B. & C. 17 (old rates made by parish officers on the occupiers of the land in question); Doe d. Stansbury v. Arkwright (1833), 2 Ad. & El. 182, n.; Doe d. Strode v. Seaton (1834), 2 Ad. & El. 171; Anstee v. Nelms (1856), 1 H. & N. 225. See Swift v. M'Tiernan (1848), 11 I. Eq. R. 602.

(g) Malcolmson v. O'Dea (1863), 10 H. L. Cas. 593, 614; Bristow v. Cormican

(1878), 3 App. Cas. 641, 653 (boundaries of a private fishery); Beaufort (Duke) v. John Aird & Co. (1904), 20 T. L. R. 602. As evidence of reputation in matters of public boundaries, ancient patents and inquisitions (Donegall (Marquis) v. Templemore (1858), 9 I. C. L. R. 374, and Re Belfast Dock Act (1867), 1 I. P. 2038 (houndaries of province) because the belfast Dock Act (1867), 1 I. R. Eq. 128 (boundaries of navigable rivers)), leases and books of accounts (Plaxton v. Dare (1829), 10 B. & C. 17, where in a question of a parish boundary the books of accounts contained evidence of the payment of parish rates) are admissible. See further, as to evidence of public boundaries, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(h) Rogers v. Allen (1808), 1 Camp. 309; Malcolmson v. O'Dea, supra, at p. 614.

p. 614.

(i) Blandy-Jenkins v. Dunraven (Earl), [1899] 2 Ch. 121, 125, C. A. As to the value of acts of ownership in support of ancient documents, see also Hastings Corporation v. Ivall (1874), L. B. 19 Eq. 558; Bristow v. Cormican, supra; Lord Advocate v. Blantyre (Lord) (1879), 4 App. Cas. 770, 791, where the title to the foreshore was evidenced partly by grant and partly from acts of ownership; Neill v. Devonshire (Duke) (1882), 8 App. Cas. 135, where possession of a fishery was evidenced by the production of, inter alia, a report of certain applied to proceedings in court in a possessory action certain aucient proceedings in court in a possessory action.

⁽t) Breton v. Knight (1837), cited in Roscoe's Evidence at Nisi Prius, 18th ed. p. 220.

additional fact equally admissible (k), but proof of such payment is not essential to the lease being admitted (1).

SECT. 2. Particular Kinds of Evidence.

300. The general rule as regards private maps and surveys is that they are not receivable in evidence in the strict sense of the word either for or against the parties making them (m).

Private maps and surveys.

But as between two adjoining proprietors a private map is admissible in a dispute as to boundaries, if at the time the map was made the two adjoining properties belonged to the person from whom both parties derive their respective titles (n). So also in particular circumstances maps may be treated as declarations against proprietary interest and receivable in evidence like other such declarations (o).

A map annexed to a deed is part of the contract, and as a rule must be used as evidence of the parcels (p). Where the connection is clear, the map need not be actually annexed to the deed to which it refers (q).

An inaccurate map does not affect or vitiate clear descriptions of Effect of parcels (r), but under certain circumstances a map or survey may maps on override a description of parcels (s).

Where evidence of reputation is admissible, private maps made by Maps as deceased persons having means of knowledge are admissible as such evidence of evidence, provided that they are found in the proper custody, and reputation. proof is furnished of the death of the maker of the map (t).

301. Where there is a boundary wall, and the wall has a stone in Inscriptions it bearing an inscription to the effect that the wall belongs to the on boundary adjoining owner, this effectually excludes any question of the acquisition of the wall by adverse possession; and no question of the Statute of Limitations, or of adverse possession, or of cesser of possession can properly arise (u).

(n) Doe d. Hughes v. Lakin (1836), 7 C. & P. 481. (o) Bridgman (Sir George) v. Jennings (1699), 1 Ld. Raym. 734, where one

Richards (1866), L. R. 1 H. L. 222.

⁽k) Bristow v. Cormican (1878), 3 App. Cas. 641, at p. 653.

1) Malcolmson v. O'Dea (1863), 10 H. L. Cas. 593, at p. 614.

(m) Anon. (1717), 1 Stra. 95; Wilkinson v. Allott (1777), 3 Bro. Parl. Cas. 684 (boundaries of glebe lands); Pollard v. Scott (1791), Peake, 18 (map taken by parish overseers no evidence as to boundary of a highway, plan made by lord of manor not usable as against tenants of manor); Wakeman v. West (1836), 7 C. & P. 479; Phillips v. Hudson (1867), 2 Ch. App. 243, at p. 247, where it was held that the tenant of a manor cannot use against the lord a plan made by the

seised of two manors, during his seisin, caused a survey to be made of one manor which was afterwards alienated, and a dispute as to boundaries arose between the lords of the respective manors, and the survey was admitted as evidence.

(p) Starkie on Evidence, 473; Wakeman v. West, supra, at p. 480; Lyle v.

⁽q) Yates v. Harris (1702), 1 Stra. 95, n. (old map found with muniments of title which agreed with the boundaries as adjusted on an old purchase).

⁽r) Mellor v. Walmesley, [1905] 2 Ch. 164, C. A.
(s) Barnard v. De Charleroy (1899), 81 L. T. 497, P. C.
(t) Meath (Bishop) v. Winchester (Marquess) (1836), 3 Bing. (N. c.) 183. at p. 200; R. v. Milton (Inhabitants) (1843), 1 Car. & Kir. 58. See also Pipe v. Fulcher (1858), 1 E. & E. 111; Pollard v. Scott, supra, cases where private maps were held inadmissible. As to when evidence of reputation is admissible, see p. 141, ante.
(u) Phillipson v. Gibbon (1871), 6 Ch. App. 428.

SECT. 2. Particular Kinds of Evidence.

Preliminary agreement for sale.

Particulars of sale.

302. A preliminary agreement for the sale of property followed by a conveyance is not sufficient to fix boundaries, nor, as between the purchaser and the vendor, except in an action for rectification, is the agreement even evidence for the purpose of showing what were the boundaries of the property subsequently conveyed by deed, for the agreement is merged in the deed (w).

Particulars of sale at an auction at which property was sold may, where the conveyance is ambiguous, be admitted as evidence of

boundaries (x).

Histories.

303. A county history giving the boundaries of a county, though admittedly coterminous in part with the boundaries of a manor, is not admissible as evidence of the manor boundaries (y). A book of general history may, it seems, be given in evidence to ascertain ancient facts of a public nature (a), but not particular customs or private rights (b).

SECT. 3.—Perambulations and other Acts of Ownership.

Perambulations.

304. Perambulations and other acts of ownership are receivable in evidence in boundary questions (c). A perambulation of a manor by the lord is evidence of an assertion of ownership by him although made in the absence of anyone on behalf of the plaintiff (d).

What acts of ownership are sufficient.

305. To prove a right to the soil, evidence of acts of ownership, such as fishing a pond, felling a tree, or preventing persons from taking soil, prevails against presumptive evidence of property arising from supposed boundaries the rights to which have never been ascertained by possession (e). But such acts of ownership as clipping, trimming, and pollarding a fence or cleansing a ditch, though admissible in evidence, are not conclusive as to the title

(x) Ecroyd v. Coulthard, [1897] 2 Ch. 554.

(d) Woolway v. Rowe (1834), 1 Ad. & El. 114. e) Curzon v. Lomax (1803), 5 Esp. 60. See Jones v. Williams (1837), 2 M. & W. 326, where the question was whether the middle or one side of a stream was the boundary between two estates, and evidence of acts of ownership was admitted to prove the latter proposition; University College, Oxford v. Oxford Corporation (1904), 20 T. L. R. 637. See generally, as to acts of ownership, title EVIDENCE.

⁽w) Williams v. Morgan (1850), 15 Q. B. 782. As to the admissibility of such an agreement or of parol or oral evidence to correct or vary the terms of a deed in an action for rectification, see titles DREDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS; EVIDENCE; MISTAKE.

⁽y) Evans v. Getting (1834), 6 C. & P. 586; White and Jackson v. Beard (1839). 2 Curt. 480, 487, 492.

⁽a) Read v. Lincoln (Bishop), [1892] A. C. 644. See also White and Jackson Beard, supra, at p. 492 (boundaries of a parish).
 (b) Buller, Nisi Prius, 7th ed., p. 234.

⁽c) Perambulation was in former times one of the ways of ascertaining and preserving not only public boundaries, such as those of parishes, towns, counties, and forests, but also quasi-private boundaries, e.g., of seigniories, lordships, and manors. See Vin. Abr. Perambulation; and, as to parish boundary perambulations, see Weeks v. Sparke (1813), 1 M. & S. 679, 687, 689; Taylor v. Devey (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 409; and title LOCAL GOVERNMENT. Quære whether the perambulation of a private estate was ever made.

· SECT. 3. Perambula-

tions and

other

Acts of

Ownership.

Repairing

to the fence or ditch where the presumption of law is to the

contrary (f).

Though acts done upon one part of land within a boundary may be evidence of the ownership of the whole land within such boundary (g), yet, as regards lands within a disputed boundary, acts of ownership by either party outside the boundary are no evidence of title to the lands within (h).

The mere fact that an adjoining owner has for many years, e.g., fifty, done slight repairs for the purpose of maintaining a fence between his land and that of his neighbour, is no evidence of any legal obligation to repair, for such repairs may have been made solely for his own benefit, and in pursuance of his obligation to keep his own cattle from trespassing on his neighbour's property. But the fact not only that one owner and his predecessors in title have always repaired the fence, but also that whatever repairs may have become necessary have always been done upon notice from the adjoining owner requiring them to be done, is evidence from which a prescriptive obligation to fence against the cattle of the adjoining owner may be inferred (i).

The ownership of a several fishery in a river navigable or nonnavigable, public or private, raises a presumption that the freehold

is in the grantee of the fishery (k).

SECT. 4.—Boundaries of Copyholds.

306. The generality and vagueness of descriptions of copyhold Length of land on the rolls of manors are well known to the court, and enjoyment. therefore a vendor is not required to furnish evidence connecting the descriptions with the property agreed to be sold, but specific performance will be decreed on proof that the property has actually been enjoyed and has passed under the descriptions for forty

Entries on court rolls are evidence of boundaries as between the Entries on tenants, though such evidence is not always usable by the lord in court rolls.

proof of the boundaries of his manor (m).

(f) Henniker v. Howard (1904), 90 L. T. 157; Craven (Earl) v. Pridmore (1902), 18 T. L. B. 282; see p. 125, ante.

(1904), 20 I. L. R. 002, at p. 003).

(h) Clark v. Elphinstone (1880), 6 App. Cas. 164 (latent ambiguity in description of boundary). Compare Doe d. Barrett v. Kemp (1831), 7 Bing. 332.

(i) Hilton v. Ankesson (1872), 27 L. T. 519; Boyle v. Tamlyn (1827), 6 B. & C. 329; Lawrence v. Jenkins (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 274.

(k) Holford v. Bailey (1849), 13 Q. B. 426, at p. 444; A.-G. v. Emerson, [1891]

A. C. 649, at p. 654; Hambury v. Jenkins, [1901] 2 Ch. 401; Beaufort (Duke)

(1847), 10 Q. B. 135.

Digitized by Google

⁽g) Neill v. Devonshire (Duke) (1882), 8 App. Cas. 135; Hanbury v. Jenkins, [1901] 2 Ch. 401, at p. 417. The erection and maintenance of piles on a portion of a foreshore is an act of ownership only as regards the particular portion of the foreshore upon which the piles stand (Beaufort (Duke) v. John Aird & Co. (1904), 20 T. L. R. 602, at p. 603).

v. John Aird & Co., supra. See title FISHERIES.
(1) Long v. Collier (1828), 4 Russ. 267. See also, as to manor boundaries, Brisco v. Lomax (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 198; Evans v. Rees (1839), 10 Ad. & El. 151; and title Copyholds. For the powers of the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries to determine boundaries of copyholds, see p. 112, ante.

(m) Irwin v. Simpson (1758), 7 Bro. Parl. Cas. 306; Standen v. Christmas

SECT. 5. Discovery.

When discovery of title-deeds granted.

SECT. 5.—Discovery.

307. Where a question of boundaries is involved, the plaintiff is entitled to discovery of all deeds and documents in the possession of the defendant which contain the evidence of the title of both (n), or which in any way tend to make out the plaintiff's case (o). Production can only be withheld where the deeds are exclusively relevant to the defendant's case (p). A defendant, however, cannot claim protection on this ground where he himself has caused the confusion of boundaries (q). In particular, a tenant holding lands of his own with lands demised to him must, in case of a confusion of boundaries, produce his own title-deeds to his lessor (r), for it is the tenant's duty to distinguish the boundaries of the land demised (s).

BRAWLING.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE; ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

BREACH OF PROMISE OF MARRIAGE.

See Husband and Wife.

BREACH OF THE PEACE.

See Criminal Law and Procedure; Magistrates.



⁽n) Burrell v. Nicholson (1833), 1 My. & K. 680, per Lord BROUGHAM, at

⁽o) A.-G. v. Emerson (1882), 10 Q. B. D. 191, C. A. Compare Bolton v. Liverpool Corporation (1831), 3 Sim. 467, per Shadwell, V.-C., at p. 489.

(p) See Smith v. Beaufort (Duke) (1842), 1 Ph. 209; Lyell v. Kennedy (1883), 8 App. Cas. 217; and compare Hunyerford v. Goreing (1687), 2 Vern. 38.

⁽q) Bute (Marquis) v. Glamorganshire Canal Co. (1845), 9 Jur. 1063. (r) Southwell (Chapter) v. Thompson (1837), 6 L. J. (CH.) 196. (s) Brown v. Wales (1872), 42 L. J. (CH.) 45.

BREAD.

DREAD.
See Factories and Workshops; Food and Drugs.
BRIBERY.
See Criminal Law and Procedure.
BRIDGES.
See Highways, Streets and Bridges.
BRITISH DOMINIONS.
See Dependencies and Colonies.
COLUMNIA MAN COMONIANO

BRITISH SUBJECTS.

See ALIENS; CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

BROKERS.

See Agency; Insurance; Sale of Goods; Stock Exchange.

BROTHELS.

See CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE

BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS.

_	•			PAGE
PART		PPLICATION OF TERMS	-	158
	SECT.	1. Building and Engineering Contracts etc	-	158
	SECT.	2. Parties to the Contract	-	159
		Sub-sect. 1. Building Owners and Employers	-	159
		Sub-sect. 2. Builders and Contractors	-	159
	SECT.	3. Persons connected with the Contract	-	160
		Sub-sect. 1. Architects	-	160
		Sub-sect. 2. Engineers	-	160
		Sub-sect. 3. Quantity Surveyors	-	161
		Sub-sect. 4. Surveyors	-	162
		Sub-sect. 5. Valuers	-	162
		Sub-sect. 6. Clerks of the Works	-	163
•		Sub-sect. 7. Builders' Merchants	-	163
	SECT.	4. BILLS OF QUANTITIES	-	163
	SECT.	5. Incidents of Building, Engineering, and S	HIP-	
		BUILDING CONTRACTS		164
PART	II. F	ORMATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONTR	ACT	166
		1. Tenders		166
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. Mode of obtaining Tenders		
		Sub-sect. 2. Invitation to tender	-	166 166
		Sub-sect. 2. Invitation to tender	-	
			-	167
		Sub-sect. 4. Acceptance obtained by Secret Commission		168
		Sub-sect. 5. Acceptance where the Tender has been ind	acea	
		by Misrepresentations	-	169
		Sub-sect. 6. Unauthorised Acceptance by an Agent	-	169
		Sub-sect. 7. Stamping of an Acceptance	-	170
		Sub-sect. 8. Agreements not to Tender	-	170
	SECT.	2. Formation of the Contract	-	170
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	-	170
		Sub-sect. 2. Necessity of Writing	_	171
		Sub-sect. 3. Contracts with Local Authorities and Corp.	ora-	
		tions	_	172
	SECT.	3. Construction of the Contract	-	173
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	_	173
		Sub-sect. 2. Implied Stipulations	_	174
			ding	•••
		Contracts	աոե	174
	SECT.	4. Novation	_	179
		5. IMPLIED CONTRACT TO PAY QUANTUM MERUIT -	_	
			-	179
		6. Variation of Contracts	-	179
	SECT.	7. RESCISSION AND RECTIFICATION OF CONTRACTS -	-	180

											PA	GE
PART	ш.	COMPLETIO:	N -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	182
	SECT.	1. CONTRACTS	ENTIR	E ANI	NO!	r Ent	TIRE	-	-	-	- 1	182
		Sub-sect. 1. I			-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	182
		Sub-sect. 2. H	Effect of	Non-	comp	letion	ofE	ntire	Contr	act		183
		Sub-sect. 3. I	Intire tained		acts	for	a Lu	mp	Sum	ascer		100
		Sub-sect. 4. S			- as for	Sen	- arate	- Parts	of a	- Whol		183
			Work	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		184
		Sub-sect. 5. C			onstr	ruct V	Vorks	with	out M	Centio		
		Sub-sect. 6. H	of Pric	-	- ta fo	- - a Si	- ım to	- he e	- ecertei	- ined		l84 l85
		Sub-sect. 7. C										185
		Sub-sect. 8. P								-		186
	_	Sub-sect. 9. I	mplied	Condi	tion s	us to \	V orki	nansh	up	-	-]	189
	SECT.	2. TIME FOR				-	-	-	-	-	- 1	189
		Sub-sect. 1. V							-	-		89
		Sub-sect. 2. V Sub-sect. 3. V	Vhere a	Partic	cular	Time	18 Sp	ecitiec	Contr	- not		190 190
	α				_							נוטו
	SECT.	3. Contracts Destroy		NSTAT	E BI		NG8 W	HICH	HAVE	BEE		191
		DESTRUI	ED.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	- ,	
PART	IV.	EXCUSES F	OR NO	N-CO	MPL	ETIC	N	-	-	-	- 1	192
	SECT.	1. ARISING B	EFORE .	Perfo	RMA	NCE	_	_	-	-	- 1	92
		Sub-sect. 1. In	mpossib	ility -	-	-	-	_	-	_	- 1	192
		Sub-sect. 2. I	llegality	, ·	-		-	-	-	-		195
		Sub-sect. 3. F Sub-sect. 4. V			the J	Emplo	yer	-	-	-		196
	a				-	<u>-</u>	-	•	•	•		199
	SECT.	2. Arising D					-	-	-	-		199
		Sub-sect. 1. I Sub-sect. 2. I			-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>		199 200
		Sub-sect. 3. I	reventi	on by	the	$\mathbf{Empl}_{\mathbf{p}}$	over	<u>-</u>	-			200
		Sub-sect. 4. I	reventi	on by	Arcl	hitect	etc.	-	-	-	- 2	202
		Sub-sect. 5. V		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 2	202
	SECT.	3. ACCEPTANCE	Æ -		-	-	-	-	-	-	- 2	203
PART	▼.	SUPERVISIO	N AN	T A D	חממ	TAT.) A E
IAAI							•	-	•	-		205
	SECT.	1. APPROVAL				ER	-	-	-	-		205
		Sub-sect. 1. I Sub-sect. 2. M			- +0	-	-	-	-	-		205 206
	G					- 		_				
	_	2. APPROVAL							-	-	- 2	207
	SECT.	3. APPROVAL	BY EM	PLOYE	R Al	IA O	CHIT	ECT	-	-	- 2	208
PART	VI.	CERTIFICAT	ES			_	_	_	_	_	- 9	208
		1. In General										208
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. I		- Mass		Cont	- :Ganta	_	-			:08
		Sub-sect. 2. C						_	nt to	Pav		:00
			ment			-	-	-		,		10
	SECT.	2. Progress	CERTIF	CATE	3	-	_	-	_	-	- 2	12
	SECT.	3. FINAL CER	TIFICAT	ES -		_	-	_	_	-	- 2	213
		Sub-sect. 1. N			rm o	f a Fi	nal C	ertific	ate	_		13
		Sub-sect. 2. C	onclusi	veness	of a	Fina	l Cert	ificat			- 2	15
		Sub-sect. 3. I	Disquali	ication	n of	the Co	ertifie	r	-	-		18
		Sub-sect. 4. I	nspensi	ng wit	да (+b- (erun	Cate	-	-	-		220

										P	AGE
PART	VII.	PRICE -	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	222
	SECT.	1. PRICE FOR	R A CON	PLETED	CONTR	ACT	-	-	-	_	222
		Sub-sect. 1.	Lump S	um Cont	racts	-	-	_	_	_	222
		Sub-sect. 2.				e of T	Tices	_	-	_	222
		Sub-sect. 3.			-	-	_	_	_	_	223
		Sub-sect. 4.			nore th	- an am	hoor	_	_	_	223
		Sub-sect. 5.					-	_	_	_	223
	_						-	_	•	_	
	SECT.	2. PRICE WE	CERE CO	NTRACT	IS NOT	COMP	LETEI)	-	-	224
	SECT.	3. Bonus an	DEDI	CTIONS	-	-	-	-	•	-	224
Part	VIII.	PAYMENT	· -		· -	-	-	-	-	-	225
	SECT.	1. PAYMENT	on Ac	COUNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	225
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral -	-	_	_	-	-	-	225
		Sub-sect. 2.			Instali	nents	paid	-	-	-	225
	SECT.	2. PAYMENT		_		_	_	-	_	_	226
					•						226
		3. Mode of			-	-	-	•	-	-	
	SECT.	4. APPROPRI	ATION C	F PAYM	ENTS	-	-	-	-	-	227
_	***	4 T MTD 4 MTO	370 AT	ATTENT A	ATCI A BT	D 01	TOOT	0370			000
PART	IX.	ALTERATIO	NS, AI	DITIO	NS AN.	D OM	mssi	SNC	-	-	228
	SECT.	1. EXTRAS	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	228
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral -	-	_	_	_	_	_	228
		Sub-sect. 2.			8 -	_	-	-	-	_	229
		Sub-sect. 3.				ract	_	-	_	-	230
		Sub-sect. 4.	Alteration	ons. Add	itions,	and O	missio	ns	_	-	230
	G	2. LIABILITY		•							231
	SECT.		_	_	LTERAT	TONB	AND A	ADDIT	HONB	-	
		Sub-sect. 1.			- .	•	-	-	-	-	231
		Sub-sect. 2.						r Ext	ras	-	232
		Sub-sect. 3.						-	-	-	233
		Sub-sect. 4.	Effect of	Final a	nd Con	clusive	Cert	ificate		-	233
	SECT.	3. ORDERS I	n Writ	ING -	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral -	-	_	-	-	-	_	234
		Sub-sect. 2.			to give	Orde	rs in `	Writin	ng	-	236
PART	X.	MAINTENA	NCE A	ND DEI	PECT (TAT	SES	_	_	_	236
IAAI		_								_	200
	SECT.	1. Distincti		rween	MAINT	ENAN	CE A	ND I)efec	Т	000
		CLAUSES	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	236
	SECT.	2. NOTICE TO	REMEI	Y DEFE	CTS	-	-	-	-	-	237
Part	XI.	BREACH OI	THE	CONTR	ACT	-	-	-	-	-	2 38
	SECT.	1. WHAT AM	OUNTS ?	ro Brea	CH OF	THE (CONTR	ACT	•	-	23 8
		Sub-sect. 1.	By the I	Employe		-	-	-	-	_	238
		Sub-sect. 2.	By the (Contracto	or -	-	-	•	-	-	239
	SECT.	2. Damages					-	•	-	-	239
		Sub-sect. 1.	Damage	s for the	Defaul	t of th	ie Cor	tracto	or	-	239
	_	Sub-sect. 2.	_					aploy	e r	-	242
	SECT.	3. PENALTIE		-	LED DY	MAGES	5 -	-	-	-	242
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral -	 -		-	-	-	-	242
		Sub-sect. 2.			idated :	Dama	ges or	Pens	ulties i	n	
			_ Gener				-	-	-	-	243
		Sub-sect. 3.	Kelease	by Inter	terence	and P	reven	tion	-	•	244
		Sub-sect. 4.			ure to	dedu	ct the	Liq	uidate	d	
			Dama	208 -	-		-	-	-	-	246

_			PAGE
PART		BREACH OF THE CONTRACT—continued.	
	SECT.	3. PENALTIES AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES—continued.	
		Sub-sect. 5. Release by Forfeiture	- 246
		Sub-sect. 6. Release by Waiver Sub-sect. 7. Recovery of Liquidated Damages	- 247 - 247
		Sub-sect. 8. Recovery of Unliquidated Damages when Righ	
		to Liquidated Damages has gone	- 248
	SECT.	4. Specific Performance	- 248
D	32TT	HODINITATION	
PART		FORFEITURE	- 249
		1. IN GENERAL	- 249
		2. Wrongful Forfeiture	- 249
	SECT.	3. Forfeiture of the Contract	- 251
		Sub-sect. 1. In General Sub-sect. 2. On Bankruptcy of the Contractor	- 251 - 252
	SECT.	4. FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR -	- 252
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	- 252
		Sub-sect. 2. Forfeiture on Bankruptcy	- 254
	_	Sub-sect. 3. Forfeiture on Events other than Bankruptcy	- 255
	SECT.	5. Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfer	
		Sub-sect. 1. How the Right to forfeit is to be exercised Sub-sect. 2. Time for exercising the Right to forfeit	- 256 - 256
	SECT.	6. WAIVER OF RIGHT TO FORFEIT	- 258
		7. Position of the Employer if he completes afte	R - 258
	Spor	8. How far Forfeiture Clause is a Bill of Sale	- 259
	DEUI.	O. HOW PAR PURPLITURE CHAUSE IS A DIED OF SAILS	- 200
PART	XIII.	MATERIALS	- 260
	SECT.	1. Vesting of Property in Materials etc	- 260
		Sub-sect. 1. By affixing Materials etc. to the Freehold	- 260
		Sub-sect. 2. By Agreement as to Unfixed Materials	- 261
		Sub-sect. 3. Rights qualifying Vesting of Materials - Sub-sect. 4. How far a Vesting Clause is a Bill of Sale	- 262 - 264
	SPOT	2. LIEN	- 264
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1. For the Benefit of the Contractor -	- 264
		Sub-sect. 2. For the Benefit of the Employer	- 265
	SECT.	3. MATERIALS BELONGING TO THE EMPLOYER	- 266
	~		
PART	XIV.	ASSIGNMENT AND DEVOLUTION OF RIGHT	-
		AND LIABILITIES AS BETWEEN ASSIGNE	
		AND EMPLOYER	- 266
	SECT.	1. Assignment of Rights	- 266
		Sub-sect. 1. In General	- 266
		Sub-sect. 2. What may be assigned Sub-sect. 3. Equities to which the Assignment is subject	- 268 - 269
		Sub-sect. 4. Assignment subject to Forfeiture	- 209 - 270
	SECT.	2. Assignment of Liabilities	- 270
	~=01.	Sub-sect. 1. Assignment by the Contractor	- 270
		Sub-sect. 2. Assignment by the Employer	- 271
	SECT.	3. Bankruptoy	- 272
		4. DEVOLUTION ON DEATH	- 273
	.,	Sub-sect. 1. Death of the Employer	- 273
		Sub-sect. 2. Death of the Contractor	- 273

													PAGE
Part	XIV.	AN	D I	ENT JABII IPLOY	LITIE	S AS	B			OF F ASS	IGN:		
	Sect.	5. AT	TACHM	ENT O	e Inst	CALME:	NTS .	AND :	Rete	NTION	Mon	EY	274
PART	XV.	SUBS	TITU'	red (CONT	RACTS	3 AN	ID S	UB-C	ONTE	ACT	s -	274
	SECT.	1. Su	BSTITU	TED C	ONTRA	CTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	274
	SECT.	2. Sui	B-CONT	RACTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	275
		Sub-se Sub-se	ect. 1.	Relation Relation	ons be ons be contra	etweer	Sub-	contr rincip	actor al C	and E ontrac	mplo tor a	yer und	275
	~		~				-	~	•	-	-	-	276
				OST AN					3 -	-	-	-	277
PART	XVI.								-	-	-	-	279
				PERF					NTRA	CTOR	-	-	279
	SECT.	2. For	R PAY	MENT	OF TH	e Con	TRAC	TOR	-	-	-	-	281
	SECT.	3. F or	R FIN.	ANCING	THE	Conti	RACT	0R	-	-	-	-	281
PART	XVII	. AR	BITRA	TION	\mathbf{CL}	AUSE	S 1	IN I	BUIL	DING	F CC	N.	
			RACT		-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	281
	SECT.	1. In	GENE	RAL	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	281
	SECT.	2. WI	IAT IS	INCLU	DED I	N AN	ARB	ITRAI	CION (CLAUS	E -	_	282
	SECT.	3. Dn	FFERE	OE BE	TWEE	v Arb	ITRA	TION	AND	CERTI	FYIN	G -	283
	SECT.	4. Dis	LIAUPE	FICATI	ON OF	ARBI	TRAI	OR	-	-	-	-	286
PART	XVII	I. AR	CHIT	ECTS	AND	ENG	INE	ERS	-	-	-	_	288
	SECT.	1. Em	PLOYM	ENT A	ND A	UTHOR	ITY	-	-	-	-	_	288
				In Ger		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	288
		Sub-se	ect. 2.	Autho	rity as	s Agen	t	-	-	-	-	-	288
	SECT.			F ARC					ERS	-	-	-	291
		Sub-s	ect. 1.	Profes	sional	Skill	and (Care	-	-	-	-	291
		Sub-s	ect. Z.	Quasi- Delega	Juaici ation o	ar Duti	168	-	-	-	-	-	292 293
		Sub-s	ect. 4.	Person	al Co	ntracte	3 -	-	_	-	-	_	293
		Sub-s	ect. 5.	Durat	ion of	Dutie	3 -	-	-	-	-	-	294
	SECT.			ES OF		HITECT	S AN	no E:	NGINE	ERS T	о тн	EIR	
		_	MPLOY		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	295
				In Ge Liabil	ity for	- r Failt	- ire t	o exa	- ımine	Site,	- Foun	- da-	295
		Q., L .	4 9	tions Liabil	etc.	- to Die	- 9	- !cif	-	- 	-	-	297 297
				Liabil					Carrioi	18 erc.	-	-	298
		Sub-s	ect. 5.	Liabil	ity by	recon	nmen	ding	the A	Lccept	ance (of a	
		Qub a	aat R	Tend Liabil		to Sw	- nomin	tondo	-	-	-	-	298 298
		Sub-s	ect. 7.	Liabil	ity as	to Cer	tifica	ites a	nd M			s -	299
		Sub-s	ect. 8.	Liabil	ity for	r Frau	d and	d Seci	ret Co	mmiss	sions	-	299
	SECT.	4. LI			F A	RCHITI	ECTS	AND	E	NGINE	ERS	TO	
		_		CTORS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	301
				In Ge		- . Di	-		- ,	-	-	-	301
				Liabil Liabil				antiti	ies etc	ኤ - -	-	-	302 303
	Qp.m			Y OF	-			n Ew	- GINET	- - TRS - TF	ם מים	SE-	300
	BEUT.		UTION		- -	-	-	-		_	-	-	303
	o				A ~===								204

Din	r XVIII	I ADOMINECTES AND ENGINEERED		PAGE
FAR				
	DECT.	7. REMUNERATION OF ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS		304
		Sub-sect. 1. Payment for completed Work		304
		Sub-sect. 2. Where the Work is not completed -		305
		Sub-sect. 3. Amount of Remuneration in General		30 6 30 7
		Sub-sect. 4. Work outside Design and Superintendence Sub-sect. 5. By whom the Remuneration is payable	, -	807
		Sub-sect. 6. When the Remuneration is payable -		308
		Sub-sect. 7. Bankruptcy of the Architect or Engineer	-	309
Par'	r XIX.	QUANTITY SURVEYORS		309
	SECT.	1. EMPLOYMENT AND DUTIES OF QUANTITY SURVEY	ors -	309
		Sub-sect. 1. Employment to take out Quantities for Te	enders	
		in General		309
		Sub-sect. 2. Employment to reduce Tenders -		310
		Sub-sect. 3. Employment to measure up Deviations		310
		Sub-sect. 4. Measuring up Deviations for the Builder	-	311
	SECT.	2. LIABILITIES OF QUANTITY SURVEYORS -		311
		Sub-sect. 1. Liability to the Employer		311
		Sub-sect. 2. Liability to the Contractor		31 1
	SECT.	3. Remuneration of Quantity Surveyors -		312
		Sub-sect. 1. Amount of the Remuneration -		312
		Sub-sect. 2. Lithographers' Charges		312
		Sub-sect. 3. When the Employer is liable to pay		313
		The state of the s		313
		Sub-sect. 5. When the Architect is liable to pay -	-	31 4
Par	r XX.	INJURIES TO PERSONS OR PROPERTY	- -	315
For	Accident	due to Defective Construction - See title NEGLIGENCE.		
		Leases ,, Landlord and	o Tena	ANT.
	•	Regulations ,, METROPOLIS HEALTH ETC.	•	BLIC
	Ruilding	Sahaman affecting Home of Land SATE OF LAND		
	-	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	770
		•	EKVAN	1.
	London 1	Building Acts ,, METROPOLIS.		

Part I.—Application of Terms.

SECT. 1.—Building and Engineering Contracts etc.

Application of terms.

308. The term "building and engineering contracts" is to be understood as applicable to these headings as a convenient description and not as a definition having any strictly legal meaning. Such contracts may be defined as those in which one party, called the builder or contractor (a), undertakes to build or construct works on, under or over land. This land is usually in the possession of another person, called the building owner or employer (a).

⁽a) See p. 159, post.

Shipbuilding contracts relate to the construction of ships, which generally takes place at the yard or works of the shipbuilder.

Agreements for the granting of leases of land in consideration of the erection of buildings and works thereon are not within the

scope of this article (b).

Another class of contracts connected with building operations are contracts for the sale or lease of land, under which restrictive covenants as to building are imposed on the purchasers or lessees of separate plots of an estate which is being laid out for building. The purpose of these covenants is to preserve the character and amenities of the estate for the common benefit of the several purchasers and lessees, as well as that of the vendor or lessor (c).

SECT. 1. Building and Engineering Contracts etc.

Building agreements. Building schemes.

SECT. 2.—Parties to the Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Building Owners and Employers.

309. The terms "building owner" and "employer" are applied Definition. in this title to persons who have employed another person to construct, or execute work upon, a building or buildings (such as houses, shops, warehouses, churches, theatres etc.) on the building owner's or employer's land for his benefit, either as occupier or lessor.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Builders and Contractors.

310. The term "builder" (d) has received judicial interpretation Builder. on account of its being employed in the repealed Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (e), and previous Acts (f). The effect of these interpretations is that a builder is a person who builds either on his own or another's land for profit (g). In the London Building Act, 1894 (h), "builder" is defined as meaning the person who is employed to build or to execute work on a building or structure, or, where no person is so employed, the owner of the building or structure.

The term "contractor" (i) is in popular usage applied to persons contractor. who are either builders in a large way of business, or who undertake to perform work which is not comprised within the term "building"

(c) See titles REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; SALE OF LAND.

(e) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 71, s. 4, Sched. I.

(h) 57 & 58 Vict. c. cexiii., s. 5 (33).



⁽b) Building agreements will be found dealt with exhaustively under the title Landlord and Tenant.

⁽d) "The master artisan, who receives his instructions from the architect, and employs the masons, carpenters etc. by whom the manual work is performed" (New Eng. Dict., Vol. I., p. 1162). "In the practice of civil architecture the builder comes between the architect who designs the work and the artisans who execute it" (Engl. Encyc.). "A person who carries on the various trades requisite in the erection of a building" (Dictionary of Architecture)

⁽f) As to these Acts, see title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 5, 324, 325.

⁽y) Ex parte Neirinckx (1835), 2 Mont. & A. 384. See also Ex parte Edwards (1840), 1 Mont. D. & De G. 3; Stuart v. Sloper (1849), 3 Exch. 700; Ex parte Stewart (1849), 3 De G. & Sm. 557; Re Fowler (1851), Fonbl. 201.

⁽i) "One who contracts or undertakes to supply certain articles, or to perform any work or service (especially for Government or other public body) at a certain price or rate; in the building and related trades, one who is prepared to undertake work by contract" (New Eng. Dict., Vol. II., p. 915, sub voce "Contractor").

160

SECT. 2. Parties to in its narrowest sense, as well as to those who undertake to execute engineering works.

the Contract.

The term "sub-contractor" is applied to a person to whom the whole or a portion of the works, which the builder or contractor has undertaken to construct, have been sublet by him.

Subcontractor. Specialist.

The term "specialist" is often applied to sub-contractors who are employed to supply some particular kind of work or materials.

SECT. 3.—Persons connected with the Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Architects.

Architect.

311. An architect (k) is a person who holds himself out as ready to design, plan, or supervise the erection of buildings and works of various kinds, representing that he possesses the requisite artistic and technical knowledge.

Architects profess in varying degrees to have the knowledge necessary to estimate the probable cost of works to be done and the value of works executed, but this knowledge is becoming more and more the province of quantity surveyors (l). With architects, as with other professional men, work in the country is less specialised than in London (m).

Qualification.

There is no legal obligation on persons practising as architects to pass any qualifying examination or to obtain a diploma, nor is their right to recover fees dependent on such conditions. There are, however, institutions and associations which require their members to have gone through prescribed courses of instruction, and to have satisfied examiners appointed in that behalf. The Royal Institute of British Architects is the most important of these associations, and has made rules for its members in respect of fees, charges etc. (n).

No licence required.

An architect does not require a licence to practise, nor has he to pay any tax or duty on that account, except where he acts as a valuer (0).

Naval architect. Naval architects are persons who profess to have similar qualifications with regard to the construction of ships as other architects profess to have with regard to buildings.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Engineers.

Civil engineer. **312.** The term "engineer" (p), as employed in connection with contracts for the construction of works, is equivalent to civil engineer.

(l) See p. 161, post.

(m) As to the obligation of the architect to possess competent professional skill, see p. 291, post.

(n) See the Calendar of the Royal Institute of British Architects for the current year.

(o) See p. 163, post, and title VALUERS AND APPRAISERS.

(p) "One whose profession is the designing and constructing of works of

⁽k) "A skilled professor of the art of building, whose business it is to prepare the plans of edifices, and exercise a general superintendence over the course of their erection" (New Eng. Dict., Vol. I., p. 434). "A person skilled in the art of building; one who understands architecture, or whose profession it is to form plans and designs of buildings and superintend the execution of them" (Cent. Dict., Vol. I., p. 297). See also Dictionary of Architecture, sub voce "Architect," and references there cited. See also O. Masselin, "Responsabilité des Architectes" (1879), s. 37.

SECT. 3.

Persons

connected with the

Contract.

Engineers are divided into many classes, corresponding with the special branches of applied science in which they have specialised.

As in the case of architects, no educational qualification or diploma is necessary for the exercise of the profession of an engineer, but a member of the profession holds himself out as having professional skill in some particular class or classes of work (such as the Qualifications. construction of bridges, docks, harbours, canals, roads, railways, drainage works etc.), which he undertakes to superintend, or for which he prepares plans, specifications etc.

In the case of many engineering contracts the temporary works Appliances or appliances which are necessary for the construction of the etc. for permanent works are so important that they are designed by the works, engineer and made part of the contract (q), while in the case of building contracts the scaffolding and other temporary appliances are nearly always left to the discretion of the builder.

Persons who contract to execute engineering works in many cases describe themselves as engineers, but in this title they are described as contractors, while the term "engineer" is restricted to the engineer employed to design or supervise such works.

313. As the engineer who is responsible to his employer for the Resident proper planning and construction of the works is not always able engineer. to give constant and undivided attention to the details of the work, it is a common practice for a resident engineer to be appointed. The position and functions of a resident engineer under different contracts vary considerably. He may be a mere servant or agent of the employer, like a clerk of the works in a building contract, or his position may be analogous to that of the engineer (r).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Quantity Surveyors.

314. Plans, drawings, and specifications of building and engineer- Bills of ing works are generally so complicated in their nature as to necessi- quantities. tate, if tenders are required, the making of calculations to ascertain the amount of each kind of work required under the contract, e.g., excavation, brickwork, masonry etc. The results of these calculations are called bills of quantities, and the persons employed to make these calculations are called quantity surveyors (a).

The term "quantity surveyor" has been judicially construed as Quantity meaning a person "whose business consists in taking out in detail surveyor. the measurements and quantities, from plans prepared by an architect, for the purpose of enabling builders to calculate the amounts for which they would execute the plans" (b). This definition only applies in relation to building contracts, but with the obvious

public utility, such as bridges, roads, canals, railways, harbours, drainage works, gas and water works etc." (New. Eng. Dict., Vol. I., p. 177). See also Dictionary of Architecture, sub voce "Civil Engineering"; and Cresy, Encyclopædia of Civil Engineering.

⁽q) Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120.
(r) See Re De Morgan, Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 198.

⁽a) See p. 163, post.

⁽b) Taylor v. Hall (1870), 4 I. R. C. L. 467, per Morris, J., at p. 476.

Persons connected with the Contract.

interchange of engineer for architect and contractor for builder, it becomes applicable to engineering contracts.

In many cases quantity surveyors carry on the separate and distinct business of preparing bills of quantities, but sometimes the architect in charge of the particular works performs the duties

of a quantity surveyor himself.

Other duties falling within the scope of a quantity surveyor's employment are the taking out of quantities to reduce work when it is found that no tender can be obtained to do the work, except at a price exceeding that which the building owner or employer is willing to expend, the measurement and valuation of work for progress certificates, and the taking out of quantities for and the pricing of deviations from the contract (c).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Surveyors.

Land surveyor. 315. The word "surveyor" is a vague designation, and includes a quantity surveyor, but a land surveyor is a person who professes to be skilled in the surveying and valuing of land and buildings and various kinds of work incident and ancillary thereto (d).

Most local authorities have the power of appointing surveyors, who perform various duties under the Public Health and other Acts. In these circumstances the surveyor to some extent is in the position of an architect or engineer according to the nature of the duties in question.

SUB-SECT. 5.—Valuers.

Valuer or appraiser.

316. A valuer or appraiser (e) is one who professes to have a knowledge of the theory and practice of valuing matters connected with some particular trade or business.

Appraiser.

An appraiser is defined by statute (f) as a person who values or appraises "any estate or property real or personal, or any interest in possession or reversion, remainder, or contingency in any estate or property real or personal, or any goods, merchandise, or effects of whatsoever kind or description the same may be, for or in expectation of any hire, gain, fee, reward, or valuable consideration to be therefor paid him."

Licence.

317. Any person acting as valuer and appraiser is required to be licensed (g), and a penalty of £50 is imposed on any person appraising or valuing without a licence (h). All appraisements and valuations, including those of "dilapidations or repairs wanted, or materials and labour used or to be used in any building or of any

(d) See Dictionary of Architecture, sub voce "Survey," "Surveyor." As to quantity surveyor, see p. 161, ante.

(c) See title VALUERS AND APPRAISERS, where valuers and appraisers are dealt with fully.

(g) Revenue Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 76), s. 1; Revenue (No. 2) Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 56), s. 6.

(h) Inland Revenue Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 21), s. 21 (1).

⁽c) As to the duties, liabilities, and remuneration of quantity surveyors, see pp. 310 et seq., post.

⁽f) Appraisers' Licences Act, 1806 (46 Geo. 3, c. 43), s. 4, extended to Ireland by Stamp Duties Act (Ireland), 1842 (5 & 6 Vict. c. 82), s. 19.

artificer's work," must be written out upon stamped paper within fourteen days of being made, and the employer is forbidden to pay for the valuation if this requirement is not complied with, under a penalty of £20 (i).

SECT. 3. Persons connected with the Contract.

These provisions as to licences and duties apply not only to persons who regularly exercise the business or occupation of a valuer or appraiser, but also to persons, such as architects, who make an appraisement in even one single instance (k). On the other hand, "appraisements or valuations made for, and for the information of, one party only, and not being in any manner obligatory as between the parties," e.g., estimates of the probable cost of the work, are not liable to stamp duty, and are also apparently not subject to the obligation of a licence (l) being necessary for the person making them (m).

SUB-SECT. 6.—Clerks of the Works.

318. A clerk of the works is a person employed to superintend Clerk of the the construction of buildings and other works in order to ensure works. that the builder supplies proper labour and materials (n). subordinate to the architect, and his powers should be negativethat is to say, he should only have power to disapprove of materials and work, and not to bind the building owner by approval of them. The architect is not entitled to rely implicitly on the efficiency of the clerk of the works, but must himself exercise reasonable supervision (o).

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Builders' Merchants.

319. The terms "builder's merchant" and "merchant" are Builder's used to denote a person whose business it is to supply a builder merchant. with the materials and plant by means of which he executes the work intrusted to him. It is not unusual in building contracts to designate the particular merchants from whom special materials are to be obtained (p).

SECT. 4.—Bills of Quantities.

320. "Bills of quantities" is the name given to a detailed state- Form and ment of the different items of work, labour and materials which it nature of bills is estimated will be required for the proposed work. The bills of quantities are usually split up into several bills, such as the carpenters' bill, the masons' bill etc., and are provided with a blank

(p) See p. 279, post.

⁽i) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), s. 24, Sched. See Leeds v. Burrows

^{(1810), 12} East, 1; Perkins v. Potts (1814), 2 Chit. 399.
(k) Palk v. Force (1848), 12 Q. B. 666; Northampton Gaslight Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630.

⁽I) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), Sched. I. See also Atkinson v. Fell (1816), 5 M. & S. 240; Jackson v. Stopherd (1834), 2 Cr. & M. 361.

⁽m) For the difference between an award under arbitration and a valuation

or appraisement, see p. 283, post; and title Arbitration, Vol. I., p. 441.

(n) See Dictionary of Architecture, sub voce "Clerk of the Works."

(o) Saunders v. Broadstairs Local Board (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 159; see also Lee v. Bateman (Lord) (1893), Times (31 October, 1893). See p. 299, post.

SECT. 4.
Bills of
Quantities.

money column for the builder to fill up, and by the addition of the sums filled in the builder can arrive at the amount of his tender.

The bills of quantities are usually prepared by a quantity surveyor (q), but sometimes the architect himself undertakes the work.

Building owner not liable for insecuracy in general, **321.** If the building owner actually guarantees the accuracy of the bills of quantities, he is responsible to the builder for the consequences of any inaccuracy therein; but in the ordinary course of business the building owner or his architect merely forwards the bills of quantities to the builder or contractor for the purpose of a tender. In these circumstances, should the quantities be inaccurate, the employer will be under no liability to a contractor who has tendered, though the inaccuracy in the bills of quantities may have induced the contractor to tender at an inadequate price to construct a complete work for a lump sum (r). And it makes no difference whether the bills of quantities are prepared by the architect or by an independent quantity surveyor (s).

If, however, the contractor has made mistakes in his arithmetical computations, which must have been obvious to the employer (t), or there are mutual mistakes as to the meaning of the contract, the

contractor may be able to obtain relief in equity.

When building owner liable. 322. On the other hand, if the builder can show that the quantity surveyor was the building owner's agent to make a representation as to the correctness of the quantities, and that he was guilty of fraudulently making the quantities less than in fact they should be, and that the building owner knew this and sanctioned it, so that the tender of the builder was obtained by fraud, the builder would be entitled to have the contract set aside (u).

SECT. 5.—Incidents of Building, Engineering, and Shipbuilding Contracts.

Materials affixed to freehold.

323. By reason of the principle of law shortly expressed in the maxim Quicquid plantatur solo, solo cedit (w), the materials supplied by the builder or contractor, as soon as they are affixed to the land belonging to the building owner or employer, become annexed to

⁽q) See p. 161, ante; pp. 309 et seq., post.
(r) Sherren v. Harrison (1860), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,
Vol. II., p. 6; Scrivener v. Pask (1866), L. B. 1 C. P. 715; Sharpe v. San Paulo
Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597; Pearson (S.) & Son, Ltd. v. Dublin Corporation,
[1907] A. C. 351. See, however, Patman v. Pilditch (1904), reported in The
Builder (16 July, 1904), where a lump sum contract provided that a building
was to be erected according to the plans, invitation to tender, specification, and
bills of quantities signed by the contractors, and it was held, per CHANNELL, J.,
that the amount of work was defined by the bills of quantities, and that the
contractors were entitled to charge extra for excess.

⁽s) Young v. Blake (1887), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 106.

⁽t) Neill v. Midland Rail. Co. (1869), 17 W. R. 871; and compare Garrard v. Frankel (1862), 30 Beav. 445.

⁽u) Scrivener v. Pask (1866), 18 C. B. (n. s.) 785, per BYLES, J., at p. 793.
(w) Wentworth, Office of Executors, 14th ed., p. 145; Omne quod (solo) inædificatur solo cedit (Fleta, lib. 3, cap. 2, s. 12).

and form part of the freehold (x). The builder has no lien on the work he has done for his employer, and can only obtain payment Incidents of of his price by bringing an action (y); thus, the rights of the parties are different from those in an ordinary contract for the manufacture of "future goods," where, for instance, a person is employed on his own premises to construct a chattel, e.g., a ship, from his own materials (a) for another.

SECT. 5. Building etc. Contracts.

The affixing of the work and materials to the freehold, and the Materials not consequent change of property in them, does not in itself constitute an acceptance of the work and materials by the building owner's owner (b).

accepted by becoming property.

324. Shipbuilding contracts in many cases are analogous in Materials of their incidents to building and engineering contracts by reason of a provision, which in most cases they contain, to the effect that the property in the incomplete ship shall vest in the employer at some fixed period, e.g., on the payment of the first instalment of the price (c). The materials worked into the ship then become the property of the employer, on the principle of accession (d), which applies equally whether the property into which the materials are worked be fixed or movable property. Bricks built into a wall become part of the house; thread stitched into a coat which is under repair, or planks and nails and pitch worked into a ship under repair, become part of the coat or the ship (e).

unfinished

325. Among the peculiarities of building and engineering contracts owing to the nature of their subject-matter are the following:-

The building owner or employer (unless he is an architect or Employment engineer) finds it difficult to describe the work which he requires to of profesbe carried out. Consequently a necessity arises for the employment of professional persons to explain the requirements of the building owner, by preparing plans, drawings, and specifications etc. which will enable the builder or contractor to put a price upon the work to be contracted for.

sional persons.

Difficulties also arise from the building owner not understanding Technical the technical details of plans, specifications etc. which have been details. prepared, so as to be able to see beforehand whether the work described in them corresponds exactly with what he actually requires to be done.

Circumstances may, and often do, arise during the construction Modification of the works which require in some respect a modification of the of original original scheme. This consideration necessitates the insertion in the contract of conditions providing for alterations, additions, and

(y) See p. 264, post.

(a) See title SALE OF GOODS.

(d) For which see title PERSONAL PROPERTY.

⁽x) Johnson v. Crew (1836), 5 Upper Canada Q. B. (o. s.) 200, per MACAULAY, J., at p. 204.

⁽b) As to what constitutes acceptance, see p. 203, post. (c) For forms of contract containing such provision, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 602, 614.

⁽e) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. B. 2 C. P. 651, per BLACKBURN, J., at p. 659.

SECT. 5.

omissions in the works, and variations of particular stipulations, Incidents of without abrogating the contract itself.

Building etc. Contracts.

The works require professional supervision during the course of construction for the purpose of ensuring that the builder or contractor is faithfully performing all the stipulations of his contract as to quality both of materials and workmanship.

Professional supervision. Bills of quantities.

When plans and specifications have been prepared by an architect or engineer, the builder or contractor often will not give an estimate until bills of quantities have been prepared (f).

Determination of differences.

Building and engineering contracts sometimes contain terms giving to the architect or engineer (who has to superintend the works as the agent of the building owner or employer) powers of determining either by certificate or arbitration the rights of the parties (q).

Part II.—Formation and Construction of the Contract.

SECT. 1.—Tenders.

SUB-SECT. 1.-Mode of obtaining Tenders.

Different methods of contracting.

326. As has already been stated (h), plans and specifications of the intended works have to be prepared, and usually quantities taken out, before builders or contractors can or will offer to undertake the construction of the work. Various courses are open to the building owner or employer; e.g., either to endeavour to enter into a contract with a builder or contractor for the construction of the work for a lump sum, or to employ a contractor without any stipulation as to price, in which case there is an obligation to pay him a fair and reasonable price, or to agree to pay for the work at a price to be fixed by the valuation of a third party or in accordance with a schedule of prices.

Tenders

If the course is adopted of endeavouring to find a builder to contract for the works, the employer has to obtain tenders or offers from builders or contractors, that is to say, estimates of the cost at which they are willing to undertake the works. Sometimes a single contractor is asked to tender; sometimes several contractors. selected either by the employer himself or by his architect or engineer, are invited to tender (i); and sometimes, again, tenders are advertised for (k), in which case it is open to any person to send in a tender.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Invitation to tender.

Effect of invitation to tender.

327. An invitation to tender is a mere attempt to ascertain whether an offer can be obtained within such a margin as the

⁽f) See p. 163, ante.

⁽g) See p. 281, post.
(h) See p. 161, ante.
(i) For form of invitation to be used in such a case, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., p. 574.

building owner or employer is willing to adopt, or, in other words, is an offer to negotiate, an offer to receive offers, an offer to chaffer (l).

SECT. 1. Tenders.

It is usual where tenders are invited to publish the fact that the building owner or employer does not bind himself to accept the lowest or any tender, but such a reservation is unnecessary, and is inserted ex abundanti cautelâ (m).

The employer may at any moment revoke the invitation to tender, withdrawal and cannot be made responsible for any expenses incurred by persons by employer. in connection with the preparing of tenders (n).

A person making a tender (o) is entitled to withdraw it at any withdrawal time before acceptance (p), but if he does not do so, it remains in by contractor. force until it is accepted or lapses by effluxion of time (q).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Acceptance of a Tender.

328. The unconditional acceptance of a tender by the employer What binds both parties, and a contract is thereby formed, the terms of amounts to which are ascertainable from the invitation to tender, the tender, of tender. the acceptance, and any other relevant documents (r).

document to be prepared.

The fact that a formal contract is agreed to be entered into Further makes no difference, if the parties at the time of the acceptance had a contracting mind, and merely contemplated the setting down of the terms on which they were agreed in a formal instrument (s). If, however, the acceptance is not unconditional, and the particular stipulations of the contract are left open to be fixed in a document to be subsequently prepared, there is no contract binding on either

It makes no difference if the person tendering should describe his offer as an "estimate," as it is still equally an offer. Further,

(I) For a full discussion of the law relating to tenders, see title CONTRACT.

(o) For forms of tender, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 576, 577. (p) See title Contract, and Bristol, Cardiff, and Swansea Aerated Bread Co.

**Maggs (1890), 44 Ch. D. 616.

(q) See Murray v. Rennie (1897), 24 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 965. The acceptance must be within a reasonable time. "That reasonable time can never extend after the time at which the contract was to commence" (Metropolitun Asylums Board (Managers) v. Kingham & Sons (1890), 6 T. L. R. 217, per FRY, L.J., at p. 218).

(r) Wimshurst v. Deeley (1845), 2 C. B. 253; Thorn v. Commissioners of Her Maiety's Works and Public Ruidings (1863) 32 Board 400. Caret Violance 1863.

Majesty's Works and Public Buildings (1863), 32 Beav. 490; Great Northern Rail.

Co. v. Witham (1873), L. R. 9 C. P. 16; Tancred, Arrol & Co. v. Steel Co. of
Scotland (1890), 15 App. Cas. 125; A.-G. v. Stewards & Co. (1901), 18 T. L. R. 130.

(a) Navan Union v. M'Loughlin (1855), 4 I. C. L. R. 451; Lewis v. Brass (1877),
3 Q. B. D. 667; Seaton Brick and Tile Co. v. Mitchell (1900), 2 F. (Ct. of Sess.)

550; and see title CONTRACT.

(t) Kingston-upon-Hull (Governor, Guardians etc.) v. Petch (1854), 10 Exch. 610; Wood v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251; see title Contract for a full treatment of this question.

Digitized by Google

⁽m) See Spencer v. Harding (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 561.
(n) The principle in this case is the same as where goods are advertised to be sold by auction and subsequently withdrawn, in which circumstances an intending purchaser has no ground of action to recover his expenses of attending the sale (Harris v. Nickerson (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 286; see title Auction and Auctioneers, Vol. I., pp. 509, 511). But see Pauling v. Pontifex (1852), 1 W. B. 64, where it was held that the conduct of the parties was such that, coupled with a custom of the trade, it implied an acceptance of the lowest tender.

SECT. 1. Tenders.

there is no custom that a letter so headed should not be treated as an offer, and if such a custom existed it would be contrary to law (u).

According to the custom of the trade, when the old materials of a building about to be removed are offered for sale without reserve as to the quantity, the whole of the materials are understood by vendor and purchaser to be included in the sale (a).

Seal of corporation.

In the case of a corporation or public body required to contract under seal (b), the acceptance of a tender must be sealed, but this sealing may be done by affixing the seal of the public body to the resolution authorising the acceptance (c).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Acceptance obtained by Secret Commission.

Effect of secret commission on acceptance.

Criminal

liability.

329. Where the architect or other agent of the building owner without the knowledge of the building owner receives or agrees to receive a commission from the builder to induce him to influence the building owner to accept the tender, the architect and builder are guilty of a fraud, in consequence of which the building owner is entitled either to avoid the contract (d), or, if the contract is affirmed, to recover the amount of the commission from the agent who has received it, and also to recover damages from the builder who has been guilty of corrupting the architect or agent (e).

The civil remedy against the architect who has been bribed is in the nature of a common law action for money had and received to the use of the employer, who is not entitled to follow the money

through the account of the architect as trust money (f).

The corruption of an agent is a misdemeanour on the part of both the briber and the bribed, and the offender is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years with or without hard labour and a fine not exceeding £500, or, on summary conviction, to imprisonment for a term not exceeding four months and a fine not exceeding £50 (q).

If the employer is a public body, both the builder who has offered the commission and the member, or servant, or agent of the authority who has accepted it are under a special criminal liability (h).

(u) Croshaw v. Pritchard (1899), 16 T. L. B. 45.

(a) Thorn v. Commissioners of Her Majesty's Works and Public Buildings (1863),

GOVERNMENT; METROPOLIS.

(f) Lister & Co. v. Stubbs (1890), 45 Ch. D. 1; Powell v. Evan Jones & Co., [1905] 1 K. B. 11.

(g) Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 34). See further on this Act, title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

(h) Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 69), ss. 1, 2. See further on this Act, titles CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE; PURILO AUTHORITIES AND PUBLIC OFFICERS.

Digitized by Google

³² Beav. 490, per ROMILLY, M.R., at p. 496.
(b) Dartford Union Guardians v. Trickett (1888), 59 L. T. 754; and see Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 174 (1); and title Corporations. This sub-section is obligatory, and not merely directory (Young v. Leamington Spa Corporation (1883), 8 App. Cas. 517).

(c) As to the sealing of contracts by a local authority, see titles LOCAL

⁽d) As to secret commissions generally, see title AGENCY, Vol. I., pp. 189, 216. (e) Panama and South Pacific Telegraph Co. v. India-rubber, Gutta-percha, and Telegraph Works Co. (1878), 10 Ch. App. 515; Salford Corporation v. Lever, [1891] 1 Q. B. 168; Grant v. Gold Exploration and Development Syndicate, Ltd., [1900] 1 Q. B. 233.

SUB-SECT. 5.—Acceptance where the Tender has been induced by Misrepresentations.

SECT. 1. Tenders.

330. If the building owner or employer has made fraudulent Fraudulent representations as to the facts which have deceived the person representatendering and caused him to make a disadvantageous tender, the employer or builder or contractor who has had his tender accepted, on discover- agent. ing the fraud, may rescind the contract and, if necessary, bring an action for the purpose (i). But if he continues to act upon the contract after he has discovered the fraud, he will be held to have abandoned his right to have it rescinded (j). In such circumstances in an action for work and labour done he cannot recover more than the contract price (k).

But, although the right to have the contract rescinded may have been lost, the builder may still have a right of action of tort for deceit against the building owner in addition to his right to recover

the price (l).

It makes no difference whether the building owner or employer has made the representations himself, or whether they were made with his knowledge or privity by an agent (m).

331. Neither the building owner or employer, nor the person Statements who has taken out the quantities, impliedly warrants the correctness not amountof the bills of quantities. If supplied to the builder in the ordinary sentation, course they do not amount to anything more than a representation of a belief (n) by the sender that they are accurate (o).

So also if statements as to existing facts are made as being the best information in the possession of the employer, or the contractor is expressly directed to satisfy himself as to the correctness of the statements—e.g., as to levels, strata etc.—the person making the statements is not responsible, except where there is an intention to deceive the contractor (p).

SUB-SECT. 6.—Unauthorised Acceptance by Agent.

332. If an agent who is not authorised in that behalf accepts a Unauthorised tender, the employer is not bound by this acceptance (q); but if he acceptance, should ratify the unauthorised acceptance, both parties are bound from the date of the acceptance so ratified (r).

⁽i) See title CONTRACT.

j) Ormes v. Beadel (1860), 2 De G. F. & J. 333.

⁽k) Selway v. Fogg (1839), 5 M. & W. 83.

⁽i) See Pearson (S.) & Son, Ltd. v. Dublin Corporation, [1907] A. C. 351.
(m) Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. R. 13 Eq. 1.
(n) See p. 164, ante. "It [a bill of quantities] is an estimate, an estimate which a reasonable person, such as a builder, would probably act upon as being an honest representation made by a skilled person, but beyond that it does not go" (Re Ford & Co. and Bemrose & Sons (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 354, per Collins, M.R., at p. 363).

(a) Priestly v. Stone (1888), 4 T. L. B. 730. See further, as to this subject, p. 164, ante; pp. 298, 312, post.

⁽p) Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 220; Pearson (S.) & Son, Ltd. v. Dublin Corporation,

⁽q) See title AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 207.

⁽r) Ibid., p. 173.

SECT. 1. Tenders.

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Stamping of an Acceptance.

333. One of the documents which constitute the contract must Stamping. be stamped (s). If not under seal an agreement requires a sixpenny stamp; if under seal ten shillings (a).

SUB-SECT. 8 .- Agreements not to Tender.

Agreements not to tender.

334. An agreement between two or more persons not to tender for a contract is valid and enforceable (b), and there is nothing illegal in the owners of commodities agreeing that they will sell as: between themselves at a certain price, leaving one of them to make: any other profit that he can (c). Even if such a contract should be in restraint of trade and not enforceable by law, it would not be-"unlawful" within the more accurate meaning of the word, namely, as contrary to law, so as to give a right to bring an action for damages on the ground of conspiracy (d).

SECT. 2.—Formation of the Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

Ascertainment of terms.

335. As has already been stated (e), when a tender has been unconditionally accepted a completed contract is formed, the terms of which can be ascertained from the invitation to tender, the tender, and the acceptance thereof, and any other relevant documents (f), and either party can successfully object to the introduction of any new term (g).

Where the contract need not be and is not in writing, the question whether or not a contract has actually been entered into will be determined by a consideration of all the facts upon which the

contract is said to be based (h).

Consideration.

336. Consideration is necessary, except in the case of a contract by deed, to support the contract; thus, a promise by a building owner or employer to pay extra for work already included in the builder's or contractor's contract is made without consideration (i). If the

(s) Coker v. Young (1860), 2 F. & F. 98.

⁽a) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), Sched. I.; and see further, title REVENUE.

⁽b) Galton v. Emuss (1844), 13 L. J. (CH.) 388; Re Carew (1858), 26 Beav. 187; Heffer v. Martyn (1867), 36 L. J. (CH.) 372; Jones v. North (1875), L. R. 19 Eq. 426; Chattock v. Muller (1878), 8 Ch. D. 177.
(c) Jones v. North, supra, per Bacon, V.-C., at p. 430.
(d) See Mogul Steamship Co. v. McGregor, Gow & Co., [1892] A. C. 25, and

titles CONTRACT; TRADE AND TRADE UNIONS.

⁽e) See p. 168, ante. (f) Wimshurst v. Deeley (1845), 2 C. B. 253; Thorn v. Commissioners of Her Majesty's Works and Public Buildings (1863), 32 Beav. 490; Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Witham (1873), L. B. 9 C. P. 16; Tancred v. Steel Co. of Scotland

^{(1890), 15} App. Cas. 125; A.-G. v. Stewards & Co. (1901), 18 T. L. B. 130.
(g) Lewis v. Brass (1877), 3 Q. B. D. 667.
(h) Allen v. Yoxall (1844), 1 Car. & Kir. 315. See also Smith v. Neale (1857), 2 C. B. (N. S.) 67; Russell v. Trickett (1865), 13 L. T. 280.

⁽i) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, per James, L.J., at p. 608; and compare Harris v. Watson (1791), 1 Peake, 72; Stilk v. Myrick (1809), 2 Camp. 317. See title Contract.

consideration for the contract is illegal the contract is not enforceable (k).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Necessity of Writing.

SECT. 2. **Formation** of the Contract.

337. Building and engineering contracts, though they are almost invariably in writing, only require to be in writing when they How far come within the provisions of the Statute of Frauds (1) or those of writing the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (m), or when they are made with a corporation in respect of matters as to which such corporation can only contract in writing or under seal (n).

necessary.

338. Contracts to construct buildings, or engineering works, Contract for are contracts for work and labour, and do not require to be in work and writing as contracts for the sale of goods.

The distinction between a contract for the sale of a chattel and one for work and labour depends upon whether or not the expenditure of work and materials results in the production of a chattel the property in which passes by an actual sale (o).

It has never been held that building and engineering contracts as such are required to be in writing as involving an interest in land (p), except contracts to pull down existing works where the contractor agrees to purchase the old materials (q). These latter were formerly held to be contracts affecting an interest in land (r): but since 1894 they are contracts for the sale of goods (8).

339. The Statute of Frauds requires promises to answer for the Contract debt of another to be in writing (a). The usual case where an containing obligation of this nature arises in respect of a building contract is guarantee. where there is an actual guarantee (b).

(k) Windhill Local Board v. Vint (1890), 45 Ch. D. 351; Scott v. Brown,

Doering, McNab & Co., [1892] 2 Q. B. 724, C. A. (l) 29 Car. 2, c. 3, s. 4. See title CONTRACT.

For a full discussion of written contracts and the rules relating to their form and construction, see title Deeds and other Instruments. All contracts as to interests in land must be in writing, but ordinary building and engineering contracts do not come within this provision, although building agreements, which contemplate the grant of a lease to the builder, do. See title REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL

(m) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 71, s. 4. See further, title SALE of Goods.

n) As to this, see p. 172, post.

(a) See titles Contract; Sale of Goods; Work and Labour; and see specially Lee v. Griffin (1861), 1 B. & S. 272, per Blackburn, J., at p. 277; Clark v. Bulmer (1843), 11 M. & W. 243; Clay v. Yates (1856), 1 H. & N. 73; Dixon v. London Small Arms Co. (1876), 1 App. Cas. 632.

(p) See McManus v. Cooke (1887), 35 Ch. D. 681.

(q) As, for instance, when the temporary Vauxhall Bridge was discontinued, the contractor agreed to remove it, and pay to the London County Council £50 for the privilege of retaining the materials.

(r) Lavery v. Pursell (1888), 39 Ch. D. 508.
(s) This is in consequence of the definition of "goods" in s. 62 (1) of the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 71), which includes "things attached to or forming part of the land which are agreed to be severed before sale or under the contract of sale." The effect is the same whether Lavery v. Pursell, supra, is superseded by this statutory provision or not. The contract must be in writing either by reason of the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 71), alone, or by reason of both that Act and the Statute of Frauds.

(a) 29 Car. 2, c. 3, s. 4; Lakeman v. Mountstephen (1874), L. R. 7 H. L. 17;

and see title GUARANTEE.

(b) As to guarantees, see p. 279, post.

SECT. 2. Formation of the Contract.

Contract not to be performed within year.

Contracts for the employment of architects, engineers and surveyors, and building and engineering contracts, are also required to be in writing, if they are not to be performed within the space of one year from the making thereof (c).

In cases where the Statute of Frauds applies, and the contract does not comply with the statutory provisions, if the builder has executed his part of the contract he may be able to obtain relief, on the ground of part performance; for though the court will not decree specific performance of a building contract, yet when the builder has performed his part of the contract it will order payment of the price (d).

340. Except in the case of covenants in leases depending on the creation of the interest in land contemplated by the lease, a party may sue though he has not executed the contract (e), even though that party be a corporation (f); and by suing a party affirms the contract and becomes bound by it (q).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Contracts with Local Authorities and Corporations.

firban authorities etc.

341. In the case of urban authorities acting under the Public Health Acts, contracts whereof the value or amount exceeds £50 are required to be in writing and to be under seal (h). The contracts of other local authorities, boards of guardians, and nontrading corporations are required to be under seal and consequently in writing, except in the case of executed contracts and contracts of an unimportant character (i).

Trading corporations.

Contracts with trading corporations are required to be in accordance with the provisions of the special Act or charter (if any) regulating the corporation. In the absence of any such provisions, executory contracts, which are entered into in the ordinary course of the business for which the corporation was incorporated, are not required to be under seal (j).

Joint stock companies.

Contracts with companies registered under the Companies Acts, 1862—1907 (k), are required, subject to any reservations contained in the memorandum and articles of association, to be under seal, or in writing, under the same circumstances as if they had been made between private persons, and, if not required to be under seal, may

⁽c) Statute of Frauds (29 Car. 2, c. 3), s. 4. See title CONTRACT.
(d) See p. 248, post.
(e) Foster and Wilson v. Mapes (1590), Cro. Eliz. 212; Morgan v. Pike (1854), 14 C. B. 473, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 484: "The cases establish that a covenantee in an ordinary indenture, who is a party to it, may sue the covenantor who executed it, although he himself never did."

⁽f) Northampton Gaslight Co. v. Parnell (1855), 24 L. J. (c. P.) 60. (g) Baker v. Yorkshire Fire and Life Assurance Co., [1892] 1 Q. B. 144. (h) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 174 (1).

⁽i) See titles Contract; Corporations; Local Government; Metropolis-(j) South of Ireland Colliery Co. v. Waddle (1869), L. R. 4 C. P. 617.

generally, titles Contract; Corporations.
(k) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89; 27 & 28 Vict. c. 19; 30 & 31 Vict. c. 131; 33 & 34 Vict. c. 104; 40 & 41 Vict. c. 26; 42 & 43 Vict. c. 76; 43 Vict. c. 19; 46 & 47 Vict. c. 30; 49 Vict. c. 23; 53 & 54 Vict. c. 62—64; 56 & 57 Vict. c. 58; 61 & 62 Vict. c. 26; 63 & 64 Vict. c. 48; 7 Edw. 7, c. 50.

be signed or made by parol, as the case may be, by any person acting under the express or implied authority of the company (1).

SECT. 2. **Formation** of the Contract.

Sect. 3.—Construction of the Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

342. Where the contract is in writing its interpretation is a Evidence on question for the court, and where the contract is by parol, for constructionthe jury (m). When, however, words in a written contract are employed in a sense peculiar to a particular trade or business, or when the meaning depends on the custom of a particular locality, such particular meaning can be established by parol evidence, and the interpretation of terms so used is a question for the jury (n). If the contract is in writing, all documents referred to in the writing must be construed with it (o).

Subsequent acts of the parties may be considered in arriving at their intention, but not their subsequent statements; and when the contract has been reduced to writing, previous negotiations and extrinsic declarations must not be taken into consideration (p). Parol evidence may, however, be adduced to show the existence of custom which would annex incidents to a contract, if the custom be reasonable, but not so as to contradict the contract (q). The reasonableness of the custom is a question for the court (r), but evidence may be adduced to show that it is not a reasonable one (s).

343. Where a contract contains a blank the court will not Blanks. admit parol evidence to show how it should be filled up, at all events if the effect would be to impose an onerous condition on either party (t).

Erasures and alterations made previous to the signature of a Erasures. document are to be given effect to (u). Erasures or alterations made by one party after signature do not affect the contract, and the parties still remain bound by the contract in the form in which they made it (w).

As to clerical errors, the court has power to correct them by Clerical

⁽I) Companies Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 131), s. 37. See title COMPANIES for detailed discussion of the subject.

⁽m) See title CONTRACT.

⁽n) Symonds v. Lloyd (1859), 6 C. B. (N. S.) 691; Myers v. Sarl (1860), 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9; Bank of New Zealand v. Simpson, [1900] A. C. 182; and

L. J. (Q. B.) 9; Bank of New Zealand V. Simpson, [1900] A. C. 102; and generally see for the rules of construction, title Contract.

(o) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 37, at p. 54; Cunlife v. Hampton Wick Local Board (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 274.

(p) Lewis v. Nicholson (1852), 18 Q. B. 503; Inglis v. Buttery (1878), 3 App. Cas. 552; Burrell & Son v. Russell (1900), 2 F. (H. L.) 80.

(q) Robinson v. Thompson (1890), 89 L. T. Jo. 137; North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333; and see titles Contract; Customs and Usages.

⁽r) Gwyther v. Gaze (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., 21; Ebdy v. M'Gowan (1870), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. P. 21; Ebdy V. M. Gowan (1870), Huuson on Bunding Constants, State II., p. 18; Thorn V. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120; Croshaw V. Pritchard (1899), 16 T. L. B. 45. See title Customs and Usages.

(s) Bottomley V. Forbes (1838), 5 Bing. (N. C.) 121.

(t) Kemp V. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258.

⁽ú) Inglis v. Buttery, supra.

⁽ir) Pattinson v. Luckley (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 330.

SECT. 3. Construction of the Contract.

comparison with the context. If an undoubted mistake is clearly pointed out on the face of an instrument, both courts of law and equity, without at all going into parol evidence upon it, will correct it, and similarly an omitted word can be supplied (x).

Partnership contracts.

344. When either party is a member of a firm of partners, the partnership will be liable under the contract if the contracting partner was not acting outside the scope of his authority (y).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Implied Stipulations.

How far conditions may be implied.

345. A stipulation not actually expressed in a written contract can only be implied in cases where the court is satisfied that both parties intended that the stipulation should be part of the contract (a), and the more so if the contract is (as is usual in the case of building and engineering contracts) drawn in a technical manner and with an obvious attention to details. In any case no stipulation can be implied which is at variance with the express terms of the contract (b). Nor will onerous covenants be implied (c).

But, on the other hand, stipulations which clearly must have been in the contemplation of the parties, or which necessarily arise out of the contractual relation between the parties, will be implied (d), e.g., stipulations on the part of the employer to allow the builder to do the work (e), to give possession of the site (f), and to supply plans (q), and on the part of the builder to proceed diligently and to

execute the work in a workmanlike manner (h).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Words and Phrases occurring in Building Contracts.

Explanation of words and phrases.

346. Certain words and phrases occurring in building contracts have been construed judicially for the purposes of the particular

(x) See title DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.
(y) Partnership Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 39), ss. 5 −8. See also Beale
▼. Mouls (1847), 10 Q. B. 976; McClean v. Kennard (1874), 9 Ch. App. 336;
Moore v. Davis (1879), 11 Ch. D. 261; and title Partnership.

(a) See The Moorcock (1889), 14 P. D. 64, C. A., per Bowen, L.J., at p. 68; and contrast Hamlyn & Co. v. Wood & Co., [1891] 2 Q. B. 488, with Nickoll and Knight v. Ashton, Edridge & Co., [1901] 2 K. B. 126, C. A. See also Devonald v. Rosser, [1906] 2 K. B. 728; Bede Steamship Co. v. River Wear Commissioners, [1907] 1 K. B. 310, C. A.; Lyttelton Times Co., Ltd. v. Warners, Ltd., [1907] A. C. 476, P. C.; and generally, title Contract. The question how far contracts can be implied between a building owner on the one part and a sub-contractor or receivilist on the other is dealt with at p. 275 next.

specialist on the other is dealt with at p. 275, post.

(b) Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115, per Lush, J., at p. 126; Jackson v. Eastbourne Local Board (1886), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 67.

(c) Kemp v. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258. (d) Barr v. Stirling and Dunfermline Rail. Co. (1855), 17 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.)

582; Knight v. Gravesend and Milton Waterworks Co. (1857), 2 H. & N. 6.
(e) Churchward v. R. (1865), L. B. 1 Q. B. 173, per COCKBURN, C.J., at p. 195.

(f) Freeman & Son v. Hensler (1900), 64 J. P. 460.

(g) McAlpine v. Lanarkshire and Ayrshire Rail. Co. (1889), 17 R. (Ct. of Sess.)

(h) Compare Jones v. Just (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 197; Hall v. Burke (1886), 3 T. L. R. 165.

contracts in which they occur. These are set out below, but it must be observed that the meanings given to them are not necessarily applicable in all cases, but that the interpretation of each contract must depend upon the construction of its particular

SECT. 3. Construction of the Contract.

"Abut," "abutting."—In physical contact with (i).

"Abut."

- "Adjacent."—The degree of proximity denoted by this term is a "Adjacent." question of circumstances (k).
 - "Adjoining" does not necessarily imply absolute contiguity (l). "Adjoining." Approval "means, it would seem, in general approval with full "Approval."

"Adjoining."

knowledge, or at all events approval with the opportunity of full knowledge.

"Approved plan."—A plan approved by a local authority means "Approved one lawfully approved, and not one which, though approved by the plan." authority, is illegal (m).

"As far as possible" means as far as possible consistently with "As far as possible." reasonable trade requirements (n).

"Brick-built" means brick-built in the ordinary sense, and does "Brick-built." not include a house built partly of brick and partly of timber, with some parts of the exterior composed of lath and plaster, and without party-walls (a).

"Building "(p) in its popular sense is a structure with walls and "Building."

 $\mathbf{roof}(q)$.

The following constructions have been judicially held to be included in the term "building" as contained in various statutes, by e-laws and deeds:—an addition to an existing building (r); a bay or

(i) See Roberte v. Karr (1809), 1 Taunt. 495; Lightbound v. Higher Bebington Local Board (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 577.

(k) Wellington Corporation v. Lower Hutt Corporation, [1904] A. C. 773. (1) A piece of land separated from a churchyard by a public highway 20 feet broad is adjoining to an existing churchyard within the meaning of the Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 1 (Re Bateman (Baroness) and Parker, [1899] 1 Ch. 599). See also Lightbound v. Higher Bebington Local Board, supra.

(m) Yabbicom v. King, [1899] 1 Q. B. 444; Re McIntosh and Pontypridd Improvement Co. (1891), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 164.

(n) Where furnaces were to be constructed so as to consume "as far as possible" the smoke arising from them, it was held that "as far as possible" meant "as far as possible consistently with carrying on the trade in an ordinary manner and with a careful use and management of a properly constructed furnace" (Cooper v. Woolley (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 88, per Kelly, C.B., at p. 91).

(o) Powell v. Doubble (1832), Sugden, Vendor and Purchaser, 14th ed., p. 29.
(p) "A structure of the nature of a house built where it is to stand" (New Eng. Dict., Vol. I., p. 1162); "anything erected by art, and fixed upon or in the soil, composed of different pieces connected together, and designed for permanent use in the position in which it is so fixed" (Cent. Dict., Vol. I., p. 712).

(q) "One may say of this or that structure: 'this or that is not a building'; but no general definition can be given, and our lexicographers do not attempt it. Without, therefore, presuming to do what others have failed to do, I may venture to suggest, that, by 'a building' is usually understood a structure of considerable size, and intended to be permanent, or at least to endure for a considerable time" (Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. s.) 99, per BYLES, J., at p. 112). See also Leicester Corporation v. Brown (1892), 9 T. L. R. 8.

(r) R. v. Gregory (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 555.

SECT. 3. Construction of the Contract.

bow window (s); a church (t); a place with four walls, a roof and a door used for keeping manure (a); a trellis 12 feet high (b); a high wall (c); a structure of wood 16 feet by 18 feet not let into the ground, but merely laid upon timbers upon the surface, and intended to be permanently used as a shop (d).

The following constructions have been held not to be so included: a conservatory erected at the side of and leaning against a house (e); a hoarding (f); hustings for an election (g); a timber stack (h); a boundary wall (i); a screen to prevent newly-erected houses

acquiring a right of light (k).

"Building purposes.

"Building purposes, lands used for," under s. 128 of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (l), are lands actually laid out for

that purpose (m).

"Completion."

"Completion," as regards third parties, means completion in fact, and not completion to the satisfaction of the architect or otherwise ascertained in some manner prescribed by the contract(n).

" Day-work."

"Day-work" means work which under the terms of the contract is to be paid for by time and materials, and not by measurement (o).

" Dwellinghouse."

"Dwelling-house" (p).—The term "dwelling" implies a building used or capable of being used as a residence by one or more families, and provided with all necessary parts and appliances. such as floors, windows, staircases etc. (q).

"External."

"External parts."—Where a house is pulled down leaving a wall

(t) Folkestone Corporation v. Woodward (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 159; Anderson v. Richards (1906), 70 J. P. 231.

(a) Morish v. Harris (1865), L. R. 1 C. P. 155.
(b) Wood v. Cooper, [1894] 3 Ch. 671.
(c) Child v. Douglas (1854), 5 De G. M. & G. 739; Morish v. Harris, supra;
Bowes v. Law (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 636.
(d) Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 99.
(d) Hikkey v. Auton Local Regard (1889), 5 T. I. R. 274

(d) Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. s.) 99.

(e) Hibbert v. Acton Local Board (1889), 5 T. L. R. 274.

(f) Slaughter v. Sunderland Corporation (1891), 60 L. J. (M. C.) 91; Foster v. Fraser, [1893] 3 Ch. 158. A hoarding, however, has been held to be "a building or erection" (Pocock v. Gilham (1883), 1 Cab. & El. 104).

(g) Allen v. Ayre (1823), 1 L. J. (o. s.) (K. B.) 204.

(h) Harris v. De Pinna (1886), 33 Ch. D. 238.

(i) Ellis v. Plumstead Board of Works (1893), 68 L. T. 291.

(k) Paddington Corporation v. A. G. [1906] A. C. 1

(k) Paddington Corporation v. A.-G., [1906] A. C. 1.

(l) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18.

(m) Coventry v. London, Brighton, and South Coast Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 5 Eq. 104; and see Charlton v. Gibson (1844), 1 Car. & Kir. 541.

(n) Lewis v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66.

(o) See Dictionary of Architecture, sub voce "Day-work."
(p) See also "House," infra. In and for the purposes of the Representation of the People Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 102), the term "dwellinghouse" includes any part of a house where that part is separately occupied as a dwelling (Parliamentary and Municipal Registration Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 26), s. 5).

(q) Williams v. Fitzmaurice (1858), 3 H. & N. 844. A shed may be parcel of a dwelling-house for some purposes. See Ashworth v. Heyworth (1869), L. R. 4 Q. B. 316; McHole v. Davies (1875), 1 Q. B. D. 59, 61.



⁽s) Coles v. Sims (1854), 5 De G. M. & G. 1; Western v. Macdermott (1866), 2 Ch. App. 72; Manners (Lord) v. Johnson (1875), 1 Ch. D. 673; Jackson v. Winnifrith (1882), 47 L. T. 243; Chitty v. Bray (1883), 48 L. T. 860.

of an adjoining house thereby exposed, the exposed wall forms an

external part of the house which is left standing (r).

"Front main wall."—The meaning of this term depends on all the circumstances of the case; the building must be looked at as a whole, and no particular portion must be selected to determine "Front main

"House."—This term is similar in meaning to the term "dwell- "House."

ing house" (a).

In the interpretation of various instruments and statutes the term "house" has been held to include the following (b): a collection of buildings used for one purpose (c); a building containing several residential flats (d); each of two tenements, the one on the ground floor and the other on the first floor of the same building, there being no intercommunication between them, and each having a separate front door (e).

On the other hand, a building intended for a dwelling-house, but never completed and used as a store for straw and agricultural

implements, is not a house (f).

"Maintenance." — "Maintain" has a double "Maintain." "Maintain," meaning: namely, to maintain in exactly the same state as it was found, or by making improvements without any alteration of purpose (g).

"Minerals" comprise all substances lying on the strata of the "Minerals." land which are commonly worked for profit and have a value independent of the surface (h).

SECT. 3. Construction of the Contract.

wall.'

(a) A permanent building in which the tenant or the owner and his family dwell or live (Chapman v. Royal Bank of Scotland (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 136).

under the Lands Clauses Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), s. 92.

(d) Kimber v. Admans, [1900] 1 Ch. 412, under a covenant not to erect more than a certain number of houses.

(e) Ilford Park Estates v. Jacobs, [1903] 2 Ch. 522, under a covenant not to erect more than one house on a site.

(f) Elmore v. St. Briavells (Inhabitants) (1828), 8 B. & C. 461, under the repealed statute 9 Geo. 1, c. 22, s. 7.

212).
(h) Midland Rail. Co. v. Checkley (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 19; Midland Rail. Co. ▼. Haunchwood Brick and Tile Co. (1882), 20 Ch. D. 552; Tucker v. Linger

⁽r) Green v. Eales (1841), 2 Q. B. 225. As to party-walls, see titles BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS, pp. 134 et seq., ante; METROPOLIS. (s) A.-G. v. Edwards, [1891] 1 Ch. 194; Leyton Local Board v. Causton (1893), 9 T. L. R. 180, decided under the Public Health (Buildings in Streets) Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 52), s. 3.

⁽b) These definitions may be important in view of a builder contracting to build something described as "a house," and the architect requiring variations of the work the effect of which the builder alleges to make the structure no longer "a house," but something different.

(c) Richards v. Swansea Improvement and Tramways Co. (1878), 9 Ch. D. 425,

⁽g) "It is very difficult to define what works of maintenance are. large term, and useful or reasonable ameliorations are not excluded by it. . You may maintain by keeping in the same state, or you may maintain by keeping in the same state and improving the state, always bearing in mind that it must be maintenance as distinguished from alteration of purpose" (Sevenoaks, Maidstone and Tunbridge Rail. Co. v. London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co. (1879), 11 Ch. D. 625, per JESSEL, M.R., at pp. 634, 635). In covenants in leases, to "maintain" means to keep in substantially the same condition as at the date of the demise (Lister v. Lane and Nesham, [1893] 2 Q. B.

SECT. 3.

Construction of the Contract.

" Premises." " Probationary drawings."

"Rebuild."

"Repair."

" Several works."

"Specification."

"Strikes."

" Structure."

"Weekly accounts." "Necessary" includes what is proper (i).

The term "plastering" does not include "gauging," i.e., mixing plaster of Paris (k).

"Premises," although popularly applied to buildings etc., in legal language means the subject or thing previously expressed (1).

"Probationary drawings" means drawings to be approved by or

on behalf of the employer (m).

"Rebuild" means to rebuild the whole of a house or building,

and not merely partially to replace old work by new (n).
"Repair."—The term "repair" may mean either patching or renewing, according to circumstances (o). Where the thing to be repaired is so worn, decayed, injured, or out of repair that it cannot be patched up, the only possible repair is by way of renewal.

"Several works." — The expression "several works" means

"the whole works," and not each separate section thereof, for the purpose of calculating the period of maintenance (p).

"Specification."—A detailed description of building, engineering

and other works executed or proposed to be executed.

"Strikes."—The word means a refusal by a whole body of workmen to work for their employers, in consequence of either a refusal by the employers to comply with the workmen's demands relating to pay, hours, employment of particular persons etc., or a refusal by the workmen to accept terms of employment proposed by their employers (q).

"Structure."—This term includes anything to which the term "built" can be applied (r).

"Weekly accounts."—Parol evidence may be admitted to show that by the usage of the building trade "weekly accounts" means accounts of day-work (a) only, and does not extend to extra work which is capable of being measured (b).

(1883), 8 App. Cas. 508; Glasgow Corporation v. Farie (1888), 13 App. Cas. 657; Jersey (Earl) v. Neath Guardians (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 555; Ruabon Brick and Terra Cotta Co. v. Great Western Rail. Co., [1893] 1 Ch. 427; Midland Rail. Co. and Kettering, Thrapston, and Huntingdon Rail. Co. v. Robinson (1889), 15 App.

(k) Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 2 F. & F. 307. (1) Beacon Life and Fire Assurance Co. v. Gibb (1862), 1 Moo. P. C. C. (N. S.) 73.

(m) Moffatt v. Dickson (1853), 13 C. B. 543. n) London Corporation v. Nash (1747), 3 Atk. 511; A.-G. v. Hatch, [1893]

(o) Inglis v. Buttery (1878), 3 App. Cas. 552, at p. 573.

(p) Cunliffe v. Hampton Wick Local Board (1892), 9 T. L. R. 378. (q) King v. Parker (1876), 34 L. T. 887, per Kelly, C.B., at p. 889. See title TRADE AND TRADE UNIONS.

(r) See Lavy v. London County Council, [1895] 2 Q. B. 577.

(a) See p. 176, ante.

(b) Myers v. Sarl (1860), 30 L. J. (Q. B.) 9.

Cas. 19; Re Todd, Birleston & Co. and North Eastern Rail. Co., [1903] 1 K. B. 603; North British Rail. Co. v. Turners, Ltd. (1904), 6 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 900.
(i) Lytton v. Great Northern Rail. Co. (1856), 2 K. & J. 394; Sanderson v. Cockermouth and Workington Rail. Co. (1849), 11 Beav. 497, (1850) 2 H. & Tw. 327, where an agreement for accommodation works provided for "such roads etc. as may be necessary," and it was held that this meant such roads etc. as may be necessary and proper for convenient communication between the severed portions of the land.

SECT. 4.—Novation.

SECT. 4. Novation.

347. Where, by consent, a new party is substituted for either of the original parties to a contract, there is what is called a Novation. novation (c).

Sect. 5.—Implied Contract to pay Quantum Meruit.

348. It may happen that the contract has been abandoned, or that Circumthe circumstances contemplated by it have become so changed that stances in the conditions have become inapplicable. For instance, the work may have been agreed to be done pursuant to a plan and no plan agreed be implied. upon, or the work may have been agreed to be done to the approval of a third person and no person appointed to approve, or the work may be so delayed by the employer that much greater expenditure of money or labour is requisite. In such a case, if the builder or contractor has been encouraged to go on with the work, a new contract by the building owner or employer to pay a quantum meruit may be implied from such of the facts as are applicable (d).

Payments on account may, in certain circumstances, be evidence Payments or of a new contract to pay, but where the employer is a cor- account. poration only enabled to contract under seal such is not the case (e).

Where, after the abandonment of a contract to perform work in a specified manner, a new contract in general terms has been entered into to complete the work, such of the stipulations of the original contract as would necessarily apply may be impliedly included in the new contract, but not any special terms as to forfeiture or liquidated damages (f).

SECT. 6.—Variation of Contracts.

349. Where a contract is required by statute to be under Manner of seal it can only be varied by an instrument under seal (q). In cases variation. where the contract is not required to be under seal a subsequent agreement not under seal by which the time or mode of performance or any other stipulation in the contract is dispensed with or varied may be set up as a defence in an action for non-performance of the work in the time or manner covenanted for in the deed(h).

⁽c) See p. 274, post; and title CONTRACT.

⁽d) Burn v. Miller (1813), 4 Taunt. 745; Bush v. Whitehaven Town and Harbour Trustees (1888), 52 J. P. 392.

⁽e) Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283. (f) Barr v. Dunfermline Rail. Co. (1855), 17 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 582; Kemp v. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258; Hunt v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (c. P.) 87.

⁽⁹⁾ Homersham v. Wolverhampton Waterworks Co. (1851), 6 Exch. 137; Routledge v. Farnham Local Board (1861), 2 F. & F. 406; Young v. Leamington Spa Corporation (1883), 8 App. Cas. 517; Williams v. Barmouth Urban District Council (1897), 77 L. T. 383.

⁽h) Steeds v. Steeds (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 537; Thames Ironworks and Shipbuilding Co. v. Royal Mail Steam Packet Co. (1862), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 358.

SECT. 6. Contracts.

If the contract is one that is required by the Statute of Frauds Variation of to be in writing, and is in fact in writing, a contract to vary it must also be in writing (i), although a rescission does not require to be in writing (k).

Where the contract is not required by statute to be in writing. but has been actually reduced to writing, the parties may, at any time, waive, dissolve or annul it or in any manner add to, subtract from, or vary or qualify its terms by a parol agreement (l).

Alterations not provided for in contract.

350. Building and engineering contracts usually contain provisions giving power to the employer or his architect or engineer in some specified manner to vary the works specified in the contract. In the absence of such a provision, the contractor would be entitled to refuse to comply with any request of the employer or the architect to carry out the work in a different manner from that specified (m). If, however, he does comply with such an order, it must depend upon the amount and character of the variation whether the original contract is rescinded or not. So long and so far as the work specified in the original contract can be traced in the substituted work, the contract, with its terms relating to payment, approval etc., will remain binding, while if the alterations are so sweeping that the original work can be no longer traced, the original contract will be held to be abrogated, at any rate as to price, and the work to have been done under a new contract to construct the substituted work in consideration of payment at a reasonable rate (n).

Tanal variations.

The manners most frequently occurring in which building and engineering contracts are varied are, besides the ordering of additions to or variations in the work (o), the dispensing with or varying of stipulations as to the time for completion (p), and as to the payment of liquidated damages by the contractor for delay (q).

SECT. 7.—Rescission and Rectification of Contracts.

By mutual agreement.

351. It is within the powers of the parties to a building contract, as in any other case, to rescind the contract by mutual agreement(r).

(k) Goman v. Salisbury (1684), 1 Vern. 240; and see title CONTRACT. (l) Goss v. Nugent (Lord) (1833), 5 B. & Ad. 58, per DENMAN, C.J., at p. 65.

See title Contract. (m) See title CONTRACT.

(p) See pp. 189 et seq., post.

⁽i) See Sanderson v. Graves (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 234; and title CONTRACT. See also Hoadly v. Maclaine (1834), 4 Moo. & S. 340; Moore v. Campbell (1854), 10 Exch. 323; Noble v. Ward (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 135.

⁽n) Pepper v. Burland (1792), Peake, 139; Robson v. Godfrey (1816), 1 Stark

⁽o) For variation of building contracts in respect of the ordering of extras, additions, and omissions, see pp. 228 et seq., post.

⁽q) See pp. 242 et seq., post. (r) James v. Cotton (1831), 7 Bing. 266.

352. Where the instrument in which a contract is expressed does not embody the intentions of the parties making the contract because of a mistake made by one of them, the party who has signed the document under the mistake in question is generally entitled to have the contract cancelled (s), subject to an option given to the other party to accept cancellation or submit to rectification (t). On ground Where, however, both parties are under a mistake common to of mistake. them both, the court will rectify the instrument so as to make it express the real contract which the parties intended to make. The principle upon which the courts act is that they do not rectify contracts, but they do rectify instruments purporting to have been made in pursuance of the terms of contracts, where the instruments do not express the terms actually agreed upon by the parties (a).

SECT. 7. Rescission and Rectification of Contracts.

A contract may be rectified on the ground of mutual mistake, even when one of the parties is a local authority only empowered to contract under seal (b).

If, however, one party was cognisant of the mistake at the time the instrument was executed, and seeks to take advantage of the misapprehension under which he knew the other party lay, the court has power to rectify the document (c).

Where the parties differ as to the construction of the document, Difference neither party is precluded from abandoning his view of the con- of opinion as struction and adopting that of the other party, and the fact that he to construction. has at one time set up an erroneous construction of the contract does not prevent there being a contract (d).

353. The class of cases in which mistakes most commonly occur Usual are where clerical errors or errors of computation have been made mistakes. in a schedule of prices (e). In some cases one or more items in the schedule may be quoted at a very high or a very low price, and this may give rise to an attempt to rectify the instrument, but in such a case it would obviously be contended that the high price was fixed intentionally for the purpose of compensating the contractor for items priced at a low figure, or the low price to induce the employer to agree to high prices for other parts of the works. In such a case also the person claiming rectification must have done nothing from which an intention to affirm the contract in

(c) Neill v. Midland Rail. Co. (1869), 17 W. R. 871. (d) See title CONTRACT; and Wilkie v. Hamilton Lodging House Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 951.

⁽s) Paget v. Marshall (1884), 28 Ch. D. 255, per BACON, V.-C., at p. 263: "The other class of cases is one of what is called unilateral mistake, and there, if the court is satisfied that the true intention of one of the parties was to do one thing, and he by mistake has signed an agreement to do another, that agreement will not be enforced against him, but the parties will be restored to their original position, and the agreement will be treated as if it had never been entered into." See further, titles CONTRACT; MISTAKE.

⁽t) Ibid., at pp. 266, 267; Harris v. Pepperell (1867), L. R. 5 Eq. 1.
(a) Mackenzie v. Coulson (1869), L. R. 8 Eq. 368, per JAMES, V.-C., at p. 375; and see title CONTRACT.

⁽b) McCartney v. Brighton Corporation (1904), Times (May 20, 1904).

⁽e) Neill v. Midland Rail. Co., supra, where the contractor put down 5,000 yards of concrete at 5s. a yard as amounting to £55 instead of £1,250.

SECT. 7. Rescission and Rectification of Contracts.

its existing form may be implied, as, for instance, by directing the engineer to order as little as possible of the high-priced work (f).

Part III.—Completion.

SECT. 1.—Contracts Entire and not Entire.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Definition.

When contract entire.

354. An entire contract means one where the entire fulfilment of the promise by either party is a condition precedent to the right to call for the fulfilment of any part of the promise by the

In an entire building or engineering contract, the builder or contractor must have completed the works, and, if so required by the stipulations of the contract, such completion must have been certified by the architect or engineer, before a right to payment arises or to final payment in case of payment by instalments (h).

An entire contract is indivisible, and cannot be apportioned. It is of great importance to both the employer and contractor to ascertain whether the contract is entire or not, as the rights of the employer in respect of insisting on the whole work being done and those of the contractor in respect of payment are dependent thereon.

Varieties of entire contracts.

355. There are four varieties of such entire contracts: (1) a contract to construct the whole building or works in consideration of the payment of a fixed sum of money: contracts of this class are often called "lump sum contracts" (i); (2) a contract to construct the whole building or works in consideration of a specified price made up of separate payments for each separate part of the building or works (k); (3) a contract to construct the whole building or works without mention of any price (l); (4) a contract to construct the whole building or works for a price to be

⁽f) See Page v. Taunton Urban District Council (1904), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. I., p. 205; Ewing and Lawson v. Hanbury & Co. (1900), 16 T. L. R. 140, where the contract specified a price of £18 a hundredweight for certain materials, which was a very high price, and the employers sought unsuccessfully to have the contract rectified by making the price £18

⁽g) See generally, for a discussion of contracts entire and not entire, title CONTRACT.

⁽h) Cutter v. Powell (1795), 2 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 1; and see title CONTRACT.

⁽i) Ellis v. Hamlen (1810), 3 Taunt. 52. See p. 184, post.
(k) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651; and see Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 199. See p. 185,

⁽¹⁾ See Coggs v. Bernard (1703), 1 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 173, at p. 174. See p. 185, post.

subsequently ascertained on some fixed basis, e.g., by a schedule of prices (m).

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

356. Building and engineering contracts, where there is no obligation on the contractor to construct the whole of a particular work, are not entire. Repairing contracts are also, in many cases, not entire (n), but where there is an express stipulation that entire. completion shall be a condition precedent to payment, they are so.

When contract not

357. In most building and engineering contracts, provision is Effect of made for the payment of instalments during the progress of the payment by work. It depends on the construction of the particular contract whether or not the effect of such a provision is to prevent the contract being entire (o).

instalments.

Sub-Sect. 2.—Effect of Non-completion of Entire Contract.

358. If the contract is entire and the builder or contractor Contractor throws up the work, or without any fault on the part of the unable to employer is unable to go on with the work, he has no right of action against the employer either under the contract or on a quantum meruit for work and materials supplied (p). Where, however, he has done work outside the contract, he can recover for such work, although he has not completed the work contracted for (q).

Not only will the contractor not be able to recover payment for Damages for the incomplete work, but he will also be liable in damages to the non-compleemployer for the breach of his contract to complete the work (r).

The contractor, however, will be entitled to payment, and will Employer's not be liable to the employer in damages, if he can show either that the non-completion of the work was due to some act or default of the employer (s), or that the parties have in fact entered into some new contract under which the contractor is entitled to be paid for the work actually constructed (t).

default, or new contract.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Entire Contracts for a Lump Sum ascertained.

359. If a builder or contractor undertakes to construct a particular Non-complework for a fixed sum of money, e.g., to build a house according to tion of lump

sum contract.

(n) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651, per Blackburn, J., at p. 660.

(o) See p. 225, post.
(p) Ellis v. Hamlen (1810), 3 Taunt. 52; Rees v. Lines (1837), 8 C. & P. 126; Pontifex v. Wilkinson (1845), 2 C. B. 349; Sumpter v. Hedges, [1895] 1 Q. B. 763, C. A.; Re Nuttall and Lynton and Barnstaple Rail. Co. (1899), 82 L. T. 17.

(q) See title WORK AND LABOUR. (r) Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 220.
(s) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; Mackay v. Dick

(1881), 6 App. Cas. 251; Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1881), 6 L. R. Ir. 513.

(t) Burn v. Miller (1813), 4 Taunt. 745; Hunt v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (c. P.) 87.

⁽m) Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602; Jamieson v. M'Innes (1887), 15 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 17; Wilkie v. Hamilton Lodging House Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 951. See p. 185, post.

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire. plans etc. for £2,000, he cannot recover any payment whatever until the work is completely constructed, unless the contract contains some express stipulation for payment by instalments (a), for where there is a contract to do work for a lump sum nothing can be recovered until the work is completed (b). Even in the case of contracts to do repairs to an existing work, in which case the implication is against the contract being entire, an agreement to repair and make perfect a given structure will make the contract an entire one (c).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Separate Prices for Separate Parts of a Whole Work.

Non-completion where parts of work separately priced. **360.** If a builder or contractor undertakes to erect the whole of a specified building or work in accordance with a specification which is divided into different parts to each of which a price is affixed, the contract is entire, and no right to payment arises on the completion of any one of the different parts, but only on the completion of the whole (d).

On the other hand, where, in a contract for the construction of a work, the consideration is expressed to be a certain payment for each particular part as and when completed, the contract is a severable one, and payment of each instalment accrues on the completion of each particular part (e). The effect is the same as if each part has been made the subject of a separate contract, each of these contracts being entire in itself.

SUB-SECT. 5.—Contracts to construct Works without Mention of Price.

Contract constituted by employment. **361.** A mere promise by a builder to construct a whole building without any mention of price requires to be supported by some consideration (f) in order to become binding on him as a contract. The employment, however, of the builder to do the work is a sufficient consideration, as it implies an agreement to pay a reasonable remuneration for the work and labour done and materials supplied (g).

Accrual of payment.

In contracts of this nature there may be an implied condition that payments shall accrue due from time to time as the work progresses (h), as a contract not to demand any payment until completion cannot be implied, in the absence of something to show such an intention, from a general employment of a person

(b) Sumpter v. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 673, per A. L. SMITH, L.J., at p. 674; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190.

(c) Appleby v. Myers, supra.
(d) Sinckeir v. Bowles, supra.

(f) Elsee v. Gatward (1793), 5 T. R. 143. (g) Coggs v. Bernard (1703), 1 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 173; Whittle v. Frankland (1862), 2 B. & S. 49.

(h) Roberts v. Havelock (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 404.

⁽a) Cutter v. Powell (1795), 2 Smith, L. C., 11th ed., p. 1; Ellis v. Hamlen (1810), 3 Taunt. 52; Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738; Sinclair v. Bowles (1829), 9 B. & C. 92; Rees v. Lines (1837), 8 C. & P. 126; Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651; Lewis v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66; London Steam Stone Saw Mills v. Lorden (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 332.

⁽e) Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 199.

to perform a particular work. Whether the implication is that payment is to be deferred until completion of the whole work or is to be made from time to time as the work progresses depends on the nature and construction of each particular contract (i).

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

362. In contracts to employ a person to do work on materials Contract for the property of the employer, such as to execute repairs to a house repairs. or a ship, the implication is that the contract is not entire (k), and the contractor, if he does not complete the work, can sue for the value of the labour and materials supplied by him (l). Such a contract, however, can be made entire by express words (m), and even in the absence of express words, if it is clear that the intention of the parties was that the contract was to be entire, the contract will be construed accordingly (n).

SUB-SECT. 6.—Entire Contracts for a Sum to be ascertained.

363. A contract to perform the whole of particular works for a What price which has to be ascertained in a stipulated manner may be contracts an entire contract (o). Where a price is fixed for the whole work, and additions and deductions are to be measured and valued, such a contract is a lump sum contract (p).

The manner of ascertaining the price may be by way of a Manner of schedule of prices, or by valuation, or by arbitration. In the case ascertaining of a schedule of prices, the fact of a separate price being annexed to each item in the schedule of prices does not render the contract divisible or entitle the contractor to payment on the completion of each item of work (q).

It is a common occurrence in connection with entire contracts Where no when a price is to be ascertained in accordance with a schedule of provision for prices, that some class of work described in the specification or price. shown on the drawings has been omitted from the schedule of prices. This does not relieve the contractor from the obligation of completing the whole, including the class of work for which a price has been omitted. If there is no provision in the contract for ascertaining the price of unpriced work (as, for instance, by valuation by the engineer), the contractor will be entitled to be paid for it at a reasonable rate (r).

SUB-SECT. 7.—Contracts to do more than one Part of a Whole.

364. Sometimes a contractor undertakes to construct more than Contract one distinct work, as separate reservoirs, or more than one part of for separate

⁽i) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651.

⁽k) I bid. at p. 660. (1) Menetone v. Athawes (1764), 3 Burr. 1592; Roberts v. Havelock (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 404; The Tergeste, [1903] P. 26.

⁽m) Appleby v. Myers, supra.

⁽n) Wilkinson v. Clements (1872), 8 Ch. App. 96.

⁽o) Appleby v. Myers, supra; Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602.
(p) London Steam Stone Saw Mills v. Lorden (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 332.

⁽q) Appleby v. Myers, supra. (r) Re Walton-on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400.

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

a connected work, as sections of a railway, by a contract which provides for payment for each work or part of a work on the completion thereof, while there is also a promise by the contractor to complete the whole of the works or work. Under such a contract. although the employer must pay for each part on its completion, he would have a cross-claim in damages against the contractor in case of non-completion of the other parts (s).

Where a contractor is employed to do certain parts of a work. his obligation is limited to doing the whole of those parts (t).

SUB-SECT. 8.—Performance of an Entire Contract.

Contractor must do all necessary work.

365. A contract to complete a whole work as such involves an obligation to do everything that is necessary for the completion of the whole work as described (a). The omission of anything indispensably necessary will make the work incomplete so as to render the price not payable, and the builder or contractor liable in damages for non-completion. It makes no difference that such indispensably necessary works are not described in the specification (b), or are not shown on the drawings, or are impracticable (c), or are calculated wrongly, or their costs or extent underestimated in the specification (d), or are omitted or underestimated in the quantities (e), and this whether the quantities have been made part of the contract (f) or not (g).

Where a contract provides that work is to be done to the satisfaction of the architect or engineer, any work within the contract, which he requires to be done as a condition to his approval, is

indispensably necessary work if his decision is final (h).

The fact that the quantities are made part of a contract to construct a complete work does not cut down the obligation of the builder to furnish a complete work, but if the contract is merely to do so much work as is described in the quantities, the obligation of the contractor is to do that quantity and no more (i).

Preparatory work.

366. In the case of an entire contract, preparatory work, such as clearing away existing works, excavating for foundations, bringing

(t) Kemp v. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258.

22 Sc. L. R. 527; Stegmann v. O'Connor (1899), 81 L. T. 627.

(b) Williams v. Fitzmaurice, supra; Re Shell Transport and Trading Co. and Consolidated Petroleum Co. (1904), 20 T. L. R. 517.

(c) Hydraulic Engineering Co. v. Spencer & Sons (1886), 2 T. L. R. 554.

(d) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597.

(i) Kemp v. Rose, supra.

⁽⁸⁾ Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 19**9**.

⁽a) Williams v. Fitzmaurice (1858), 3 H. & N. 844; Gillespie v. Howden (1885),

⁽e) Scrivener v. Pask (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 715; Re Ford & Co. and Bemrose & Sons (1902), 18 T. L. R. 443.

⁽f) Coker v. Young (1860), 2 F. & F. 98. (g) Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. R. 13 Eq. 1. (h) Dobson v. Hudson (1857), 1 C. B. (n. s.) 652, per Cresswell, J., at p. 659: "I find no provision in the contract for the expense of alterations resulting from the opinion or the caprice of the . . . surveyor. The plaintiffs undertook to do the work to the satisfaction of the surveyor." As to the architect requiring work not within the contract, see p. 231, post.

materials from a distance etc., is included in the contract work (k). If any unforeseen difficulties should arise, such as the site turning out to be rock where soil was expected, the preparatory work still has to be done by the contractor as part of the contract work (l).

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

367. If a contractor without authority from his employer con- Use of better structs the works of better materials than those stipulated for in materials the contract, the employer might refuse to accept the work, in contracted which case the contractor would not be able to recover at all, as he for. would not have performed his contract. Even if the employer should accept the work, the contractor could only recover the contract price without any increase in price for the superior material used (m).

368. The builder, in the absence of express stipulation to the Foundations contrary, has a general right to dig the foundation of the building and materials and to convert to his own use the materials dug out, provided that they are ordinary materials and not such things as antiquities etc. (n). This right, however, is limited to the necessary foundations of the building contemplated by the contract, and does not extend so as to allow him to dig all over the site to get these materials (o).

dug out.

Where the contract expressly reserves the minerals, every substance that can be dug up for the purpose of profit is included (p). It would seem that the builder, in such a case, cannot even dig materials from the site for use in the construction of the building unless they are part of the foundations.

369. An allegation that the building owner has received some- Effect of thing as good as what he bargained for will not enable a builder to substantial recover the contract price, in the case of an entire contract, in which completion is a condition precedent to the right to payment, except in the case of acceptance by the employer, waiver, or evidence of a new contract to pay for the work actually performed (q).

It would seem, however, that the rule in the case of building contracts is similar to that in the case of specific performance, which is, that such non-essential and trivial defects on the side of

⁽k) Weatherston v. Robertson (1852), 1 Stu. M. & P. 333.

⁽¹⁾ East and West India Dock Co. v. Kirk and Randall (1887), 12 App. Cas. 738; Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.. Vol. II., p. 220.

⁽m) Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190; Wilmot v. Smith (1828), 3 C. & P. 453.
(n) Elwes v. Brigg Gas Co. (1886), 33 Ch. D. 562, where a prehistoric boat, found in digging out foundations, was held to be the property of the owner of the soil. It is usual, especially in contracts with local authorities, to provide for the preservation and delivery to the building owner of antiquities etc. found on the building site.

⁽o) Robinson v. Milne (1884), 53 L. J. (CH.) 1070.

⁽p) See p. 177, ante.

⁽q) Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738; Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. B. 602; and compare Sumpter v. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 673; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," supra.

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

one party as can be compensated for will not excuse the other party to the contract(r). In every case it must be a matter of degree: thus the omission of a lock on a door in a large mansion, or the omission to put some zinc on the flat roof of an annexe (s), might not amount to non-completion, while omission to put down the floors in a house (t) certainly would do so (a).

The question of completion, being one of fact, is for the jury, and if the jury find that the contract has in fact been performed, the contractor would be entitled to recover the contract price, subject to deduction for the reasonable cost of making the work

perfect (b).

Contractor's right to do whole of work.

370. In the case of an entire contract, the employer is under an obligation to allow the contractor to do the whole of the work (c). The contractor, it would seem, is entitled (subject to any special circumstances or stipulations in the contract) to uninterrupted possession of the site for the purpose of carrying out the works in He can therefore object to a fresh contractor being brought upon the site while he is carrying out the contract works. The employer cannot, it would seem, take advantage of a stipulation authorising him to omit work in order to get parts of the contract work executed by another contractor while the contract is proceeding. It has been decided in America that such a clause does not contemplate or authorise the omitting of work given to another contractor (d).

Fitness of work for intended purpose.

371. The question whether or not completion includes making the work answer the purpose for which it is constructed depends on whether the work is agreed to be constructed to answer a particular purpose, or whether it is agreed merely to be constructed in accordance with a specification and plans. In the first case the contractor warrants that he will make something fit for the purpose (e), and if the work is useless, so as to amount to a total failure of consideration, there is no completion on the part of the contractor, and no obligation on the employer to pay (f). In the first case it is no excuse for the contractor doing useless work that it was not possible to do it otherwise, unless he told his employer, or the employer knew of the impossibility. The contractor's obligation is not performed unless the work done is useful and skilfully

⁽r) See title Specific Performance.

s) Lowther v. Heaver (1889), 41 Ch. D. 248, 262. t) Williams v. Fitzmaurice (1858), 3 H. & N. 844.

⁽a) "Small deviations from the plan would not affect it much; but if there is any obstinate or corrupt deviation, that would materially " (Craven v. Tickell

^{(1789), 1} Ves. 60, per Lord Thurlow, L.C., at p. 61).
(b) Cutler v. Close (1832), 5 C. & P. 337.
(c) Tancred, Arrol & Co. v. Steel Co. of Scotland (1890), 15 App. Cas. 125.
(d) Gallagher v. Hirsh (1899), N. Y. 45 App. Div. 467.
(e) Hall v. Burke (1886), 3 T. L. R. 165; and compare Preist v. Last, [1903] 2 K. B. 148, C. A.

⁽f) Farnsworth v. Garrard (1807), 1 Camp. 38; Denew v. Daverell (1813), 3 Camp. 451; Hill v. Featherstonhaugh (1831), 7 Bing. 569; Grounsell v. Lamb (1836), 1 M. & W. 352. See also Cousens v. Paddon (1835), 5 Tyr. 535; Basten v. Butter (1806), 7 East, 479; Hall v. Burke, supra.

executed (q). In the second case, however, the contractor's obligation is only to follow the plans and specification, and to use proper materials and workmanship (h), and he is not responsible if the work turns out useless to the employer, unless it has been done badly or not in accordance with the plans and specification (i).

SECT. 1. Contracts Entire and not Entire.

Sub-Sect. 9 .- Implied Condition as to Workmanship.

372. A contract to perform any work, in the absence of any Manner in stipulation as to the manner in which it is to be carried out, implies which work a condition that the work shall be done in a good and workmanlike manner (j), and the workmen employed on the work must be possessed of the ordinary amount of skill possessed by those exercising the particular trade (k). The contractor, however, cannot be held responsible for the quality of materials or work chosen or directed by the employer or his architect, as in that case the employer chooses to supersede the contractor's judgment by using his own (l).

is to be done.

SECT. 2.—Time for Completion.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Where no Time is specified.

373. In a contract to perform a work, where no particular time Completion is specified within which the work is to be completed, an agreement within to complete within a reasonable time will be implied, and a time. reasonable time for completion will be allowed (m).

The question as to what is a reasonable time is one of fact(n), to Calculation be determined by the jury under the direction of the court. the circumstances of the case should be taken into consideration, such as the nature of the work to be done, the time necessary to do the work, the orders which the contractor has in hand (o), the proper use of customary appliances (p), and the time which a reasonably diligent manufacturer of the same class as the contractor would take (q).

All of reasonable

Where a reasonable time for completion becomes substituted for a time specified in the contract, in consequence of the specified time being no longer applicable, then in order to ascertain what is a reasonable time the whole circumstances must be taken into consideration, and not merely those existing at the time of the making of the contract.

(1) Duncan v. Blundell, supra, per BAYLEY, J., at p. 7.

⁽g) Duncan v. Blundell (1820), 3 Stark. 6; Grounsell v. Lamb (1836), 1 M. & W. 352; Pearce v. Tucker (1862), 3 F. & F. 136.
(h) Ripley v. Lordan (1860), 2 L. T. 154; and compare Jones v. Just (1868),

L. R. 3 Q. B. 197, 202.

⁽i) Compare Ollivant v. Bayley (1843), 5 Q. B. 288; Chanter v. Hopkins (1838), 4 M. & W. 399.

⁽j) Duncan v. Blundell, supra; Pearce v. Tucker, supra.
(k) See Harmer v. Cornelius (1858), 5 C. B. (N. S.) 236; and see title BAILMENT, Vol. I., p. 559.

⁽m) Startup v. Macdonald (1843), 6 Man. & G. 593, per Rolfe, B., at p. 611. See title CONTRACT.

⁽n) Fisher v. Ford (1840), 4 Jur. 1034; Startup v. Macdonald, supra. (...) Attwood v. Emery (1856), 26 L. J. (c. p.) 73.

⁽p) Lyle Shipping Co. v. Cardiff Corporation, [1900] 2 Q. B. 638. (4) Hydraulic Engineering Co. v. McHaffie (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 670.

SECT. 2. Time for Completion.

If in consequence of an unavoidable accident the performance of a contract, for the completion of which no time is fixed, is delayed, the implication of law is that the contractor shall use reasonable diligence (r).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Where a Particular Time is specified.

Failure to complete by stipulated time.

374. If a particular time for completion is specified in the contract, the mere fact of non-completion within that time will not, in ordinary circumstances, be such a breach as to release the employer from the contract, but it will entitle him to damages (s). If the builder or contractor is unduly delaying the work, and the contract time has ceased to be binding, the employer may give him notice to complete by a fixed reasonable time (t), and on default of the contractor he would be justified in refusing to allow the contractor to proceed any further.

If—which, however, is unusual in building contracts—time has been effectually made of the essence of the contract, and completion to time expressly made a condition precedent to payment, the

employer will be released by non-completion to time (u).

SUB-SECT. 3.—When Time is of the Essence of the Contract.

When time not of the essence of the contract.

375. The old rule of law that time is always of the essence of a contract is now superseded by the rules of equity in that behalf (w). In building contracts time is not of the essence of the contract in the absence of express words making it so, as the subject of the contract is not such as to make the completion to time essential (x). And the mere insertion of words making time of the essence of the contract will be ineffective if they are inconsistent with other terms of the contract (a).

Time cannot be of the essence of the contract where there is a provision for the payment of a penalty or liquidated damages for delay (b), nor where there is a bonus for expedition, and generally where the parties contemplate a possible postponement of completion (c).

When time of the essence of the contract.

376. Where the contract expressly makes time of the essence of the contract, or gives a power to determine the contract in case of noncompletion within the stipulated time, then, in the absence of any inconsistent provisions, time will be of the essence of the contract(d),

⁽r) Ford v. Cotesworth (1868), L. R. 4 Q. B. 127, per BLACKBURN, J., at p. 133.

⁽s) Lucas v. Godwin (1837), 3 Bing. (N. C.) 737; but see Tidey v. Mollett (1864), 16 C. B. (N. 8.) 298.

⁽t) See p. 191, post.

⁽u) Lucas v. Godwin, supra, at p. 744.

⁽w) Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 25 (7); and see title CONTRACT.

⁽x) Lucas v. Godwin, supra, at p. 744.
(a) Lowther v. Heaver (1889), 41 Ch. D. 248, per Lindley, L.J., at p. 268.

⁽b) Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283, 308.

⁽c) See title CONTRACT.

⁽d) Walker v London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518.

and the contractor can recover nothing if he does not complete to time (e).

SECT. 2. Time for Completion.

377. In cases where time has not been made of the essence of the contract, or where, although time originally was of the essence of the contract, the time so fixed for completion has ceased to be applicable by reason of waiver or otherwise, the employer has pletion within still a right by notice to fix a reasonable time for the completion of time, the work, and, in case the contractor does not complete by that time, to dismiss the contractor (f), just as a vendor would be entitled to rescind the contract in case of a contract for sale of land (g).

Employer's right to demand comreasonable

The contractor has up to the last moment (12 o'clock at night) of the day fixed for completion to finish his contract (h).

SECT. 3.—Contracts to reinstate Buildings which have been destroyed.

378. Many insurance policies give the insurers an option to Option to reinstate the insured buildings when destroyed or injured by fire. reinstate. If the insurers once exercise this option, and elect to reinstate, they are bound by their election, and cannot afterwards claim to be only liable on their covenant to pay the insurance money (i). exercise of this election puts the insurers in the position of a contractor who has undertaken to complete an entire work, namely, to restore the destroyed or injured buildings as far as possible to their condition before the fire (k).

The Fires Prevention (Metropolis) Act, 1774 (l), gives the same option to insurance companies, and also enables the person interested to require them to reinstate. The operation of this statute is not confined to places within the limits of the former bills of mortality (m).

(e) Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738.

and see title SALE OF LAND.

(1859), 28 L. J. (EX.) 317.

(l) 14 Geo. 3, c. 78, s. 83.

⁽f) See Taylor v. Brown (1839), 9 L. J. (CH.) 14, per Lord LANGDALE, M.R., at p. 16: "Where the time is not of the essence of the contract, where the contract is to be performed within a time which is not defined, and there be any unnecessary delay by one party, the other has a right to limit the time."

(g) Lowther v. Heaver (1889), 41 Ch. D. 248, per LINDLEY, L.J., at p. 268;

⁽h) Startup v. Macdonald (1843), 6 Man. & G. 593; and see title Time.

(i) Brown v. Royal Insurance Co. (1859), 1 E. & E. 853; Scottish Amicable Association v. Northern Assurance Co. (1883), 11 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 287. See also Fuller v. Patrick (1849), 13 Jur. (o. s.) 561, and title Insurance.

(k) Brown v. Royal Insurance Co., supra; Times Fire Assurance Co. v. Hawke

^{(1) 14} Geo. 3, C. 78, s. 83.

(m) Re Quicke, [1908] 1 Ch. 887, per Swinfen Eady, J., at p. 893, apparently following Re Burker, Ex parte Gorely (1864), 34 L. J. (BCY.) 1, which case was, however, doubted in Westminster Fire Office v. Glasgow Provident Investment Society (1888), 13 App. Cas. 699, per Lord Watson, at p. 716. See also Simpson v. Scottish Union Fire and Life Insurance Co. (1863), 32 L. J. (CH.) 329; Andrews v. Patriotic Assurance Co. (1886), 18 L. R. Ir. 355, 366, holding that it does not apply to Ireland. See title INSURANCE. The area within the bills of mortality was employed as a term to denote what became the metropolitan of mortality was employed as a term to denote what became the metropolitan area after the passing of the Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120). Marylebone and St. Pancras were, however, excluded. Compare Copyright Act, 1842 (5 & 6 Vict. c. 45), s. 6.

SECT. 3.
Contracts
to reinstate
Buildings
etc.

Extent of insurer's liability.

379. The insurers are liable for defective or less valuable work done under their obligation to restore (n), even if the restoring of the building to its condition before the fire is impossible (o), and the damages which the insured may recover for breach of the covenant to restore are not limited to the amount of the insurance money.

If the new building is burnt down during the process of construction the insurers must still complete their contract to restore, apparently even in cases where they have stipulated that, should reinstatement be prevented, they shall only be liable for so much as would, in the absence of the prevention, have been sufficient to make good the loss. Such a stipulation does not seem to cover prevention owing to an act of God(p).

The contract being to reinstate the building, no allowance "new for old" can be made (q), as the marine insurance rules do not apply. In assessing damages for the breach of a contract to reinstate, due regard should be had to the fact that the contract is one to rebuild

or restore an old building and not to build a new one.

In the case of machinery or other chattels attached to a building which has been destroyed, the insurers cannot insist on reinstating the chattels on the original site if it has passed away from the insured. In the case of chattels the meaning of "reinstate" is to replace in statu, not in situ (r).

Part IV.—Excuses for Non-Completion.

SECT. 1.—Arising before Performance.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Impossibility.

Effect of impossibility

380. It frequently happens that the performance of the specified works in the manner contemplated by the contract is impossible. The ordinary rules of law as to the effect of impossibility apply to building and engineering contracts (s).

Duty of contractor to ascertain that works proposed are possible. It is the duty of a contractor, in the exercise of common prudence, before making his tender, to inform himself of all particulars concerning the work, and particularly as to the practicability of executing every part of the work contained in the plans, drawings, and specification according to the specified terms and conditions. Ignorance on his part in making his tender will not excuse him from performing his contract. If it be the usage of contractors to

(n) Alchorne v. Favill (1825), 4 L. J. (o. s.) (CH.) 47.

⁽o) Ibid.; Brown v. Royal Insurance Co. (1859), 1 E. & E. 853.

(p) For the meaning and effect of an act of God generally, see title CONTRACT.

(q) See, however, Vance v. Foster (1841), 1 Ir. Circ. Cas. 47. The decision in this case does not seem to have been accepted in England.

⁽r) Anderson v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. (1885), 2 T. L. R. 191. (s) See Clifford (Lord) v. Watts (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 577, per Brett, J., at p. 588; Hills v. Sughrue (1846), 15 M. & W. 253; and title Contract.

rely on the specification without examining it for themselves, or employing a skilled person to do so on their behalf, such an usage cannot legally be supported (t). The fact that the contractor has agreed to erect a building or construct works as described in the plans and specification, implies that he understands such plans and specification, and he cannot escape liability by setting up that he exercised ordinary care and skill and yet failed to understand them (a).

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

381. Where a builder or contractor has made a contract to erect Position of a building or construct works, and it turns out that it is impossible to do the work and he does not complete it or them, he will not be excused from the consequences of not fulfilling his contract, including a liability to pay damages (b). It must be observed that this liability to pay damages for non-performance of an impossibility only attaches where the contract is absolute and unrestricted by any condition expressed or implied (c).

The obligation on the contractor, however, appears to be unlimited Difficulty not not merely where there are only difficulties or increased expense in executing the work not brought about by the employer (d); and \hat{a} fortiori the obligation is unlimited, if the difficulties were foreseen by the contractor. If the employer or his agent, e.g., the architect or engineer, knows at the time of entering into the contract that performance is impossible he cannot anticipate performance by the contractor, and therefore it would seem that the minds of the parties are not ad idem, and consequently neither party can sue upon the

amounting to impossibility.

In case, however, of serious impediments, not brought about by the employer and arising from causes which were not, and could not be, in the contemplation of either of the parties at the time of the making of the contract, and necessarily altering the character of the work, so as to make the special conditions of the contract inapplicable, it is a question whether the court would be justified in holding that grounds existed which relieved the contractor from his obligation (f).

⁽t) Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120, per Lord CHELMSFORD, at p. 132.

⁽a) Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,

Vol. II., p. 220.

(b) "Certainly, if he does in direct terms enter into a contract to perform an impossibility, subject to a penalty, he will not be excused because it is an impossibility; so if he does bind himself to perform an impossibility, if a certain named person shall require him to do so, there is nothing illegal in putting himself under such an obligation as that" (Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115, per HANNEN, J., at p. 127).

⁽c) Brecknock and Abergavenny Canal Navigation Co. v. Pritchard (1796), 6 Term Rep. 750.

⁽d) See as to damages, p. 241, post. (e) See Cunningham v. Dunn (1878), 3 C. P. D. 443.

f) Jackson v. Eastbourne Local Board (1886), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 67, per Lord Watson, at p. 90; and see Bush v. White-haven Town and Harbour Trustees (1888), 52 J. P. 392. See also Clifford (Lord) v. Watts (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 577, where a man contracted to dig 1,000 tons of clay each year on certain lands, and when it turned out that there never

SECT. 1.
Arising
before Performance.

Destruction of subjectmatter. 382. Where the work the subject of an entire contract is destroyed before the completion of the contract, there is a difference in the position of the parties according to whether the destruction affects the contract work only or affects also some other work or thing without the continued existence of which the execution of the contract work is impossible.

In the first case, if the contract to construct is unrestricted by any conditions applicable to the case, the contractor has not performed his obligation, and must either do the work over again, or

be liable in damages for breach of contract (g).

In the second case, as the parties must have known, when they entered into the contract, that the contract could not be carried out if the other thing ceased to exist, they must have contemplated the continued existence of that thing as being a necessary element of the contract, and therefore the contract is subject to an implied condition that, if the thing should cease to exist from a cause not owing to the fault of either party before the completion of the contract, then both parties should be excused from further performance of the contract (h). In such a case the contractor cannot bring an action to recover the contract price, or the value of the work and materials provided by him (i), nor can the employer bring an action against the contractor to recover damages for breach of contract (k), or to recover instalments already paid to the contractor (l).

In the case of contracts which are not entire, if the structure, on which the work is to be executed, is destroyed during the progress of the work, while further performance of the contract on the part of both the employer and the contractor is excused, the contractor is entitled to be paid for the work and labour expended before the

 $\mathbf{destruction}\left(m\right) .$

Defects in soil.

383. It is no excuse for non-performance of a contract to build a house or to construct works upon a particular site that the soil thereof has either a latent or patent defect, rendering the building or constructing impossible. It is the duty of the contractor before tendering to ascertain that it is practicable to execute the work on the site (a). The builder or contractor on discovering such defects in the soil as will render the construction of the contemplated works difficult or impossible is not entitled to throw up or abandon the

(m) Gillett v. Mawman (1808), 1 Taunt. 137, where, however, the general law was held to be controlled by the usage of the particular trade, that there was to be no payment until the whole was completed and delivered.

(a) See Hibraltar Sanitary Commissioners v. Orfila (1890), 15 App. Cas. 400. See p. 193, ante.

was as much as 1,000 tons of clay on the land, was held not liable in an action for breach of contract.

⁽g) Brecknock and Abergavenny Canal Navigation Co. v. Pritchard (1796), 6 Term Rep. 750.

⁽h) Taylor v. Caldwell (1863), 3 B. & S 826, 833; Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. B. 2 C. P. 651.

⁽i) Appleby v. Myers, supra. (k) Taylor v. Caldwell, supra.

⁽¹⁾ Anglo-Egyptian Co. v. Rennie (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 271, affirmed at p. 571, without expressing any opinion on the present point. Compare Elliott v. Crutchley, [19 16] A. C. 7; Civil Service Co-operative Society v. General Steam Navigation Co., [1903] 2 K. B. 756, C. A.

contract (b). And thus, if part of the work falls down in consequence of any such defect, the builder or contractor will have to reinstate it or lose his right to recover payment, and be liable in damages for not completing his contract (c).

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

384. Where the impossibility is only temporary in its nature, it has been suggested that it might possibly excuse the nonperformance to time (d).

Temporary impossibility

SUB-SECT. 2.—Illegality.

385. A contract to perform an act illegal at the time the contract Effect of is entered into, or which subsequently becomes illegal, is either void ab initio or becomes void (e). Contracts also which are contrary to sound morals or to public policy will not be enforced by the Courts (f).

In case the builder has been paid instalments during the construction of a building under a contract which is void as being illegal, the building owner cannot as a general rule recover back such voluntary payments (g).

386. In the case of building contracts illegality chiefly arises When through disregard of the statutes, whether public and general or building local and personal, and the sub-statutory legislation by way of bye-laws and regulations, affecting the mode of construction in the particular locality, the deposit of plans, and giving of notices etc. (h).

(b) Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed. Vol. II., p. 220.

(c) Jackson v. Eastbourne Local Board (1886), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 67; Bottoms v. York Corporation, supra; McDonald v. Workington Corporation (1893), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 240.

(d) Lawrence v. Twentiman (1611), 1 Roll. Abr., tit. Condition, G. 10.

(e) See title Contract. "Every contract made for or about any matter or

(f) See title Contract, and Pearce v. Brooks (1866), L R. 1 Exch. 213. (y) See Kearley v. Thomson (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 742, C. A., per FRY, L.J., at

pp. 745-6. (h) The most important public and general Acts which impose restrictions etc. (a) The most important public and general Acts which impose restrictions etc. on buildings are:—Factory and Workshop Act, 1901 (1 Edw. 7, c. 22), for which see title FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS; Metalliferous Mines Regulation Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 77); Coal Mines Regulation Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 58), for which see title MINES, MINERALS AND QUARRIES; Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55); Public Health Act, 1875 (Support of Sewers) Amendment Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 37); Public Health (Water) Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 25); Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 59); Public Health (Buildings and Streets) Act, 1888 (51 & 59 Vict. c. 59). (41 & 42 Vict. c. 25); Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 59); Public Health (Buildings and Streets) Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 52); Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72); Towns Improvement Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 34); and see titles Local Government; Public Health etc. The Acts regulating buildings in London are:—Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120); Metropolis Management Amendment Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 102); London Building Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. cexiii.); London Building Acts Amendment Act, 1905 (5 Edw. 7, e. ceix.); and see title Metropoly 18 c. ccix.); and see title METROPOLIS.

thing which is prohibited and made unlawful by any statute is a void contract, though the statute itself does not mention that it shall be so, but only inflicts a penalty on the offender, because a penalty implies a prohibition, though there are no prohibitory words in the statute" (Bartlett v. Vinor (1692), Carth. 251, per Holt, C.J., at p. 252.

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

Thus, a contract to build on a disused burial ground (i), or to erect a wooden structure contravening the provisions of the Metropolis Management Act, 1855(j), is void (k), so also is a contract by a surveyor of highways to perform work or supply materials (l)contrary to s. 46 of the Highway Act, 1835 (m).

Words in a statute requiring that a thing shall be constructed of particular substances imply a prohibition against constructing

with other substances (n).

Obtaining local authority's consent.

387. Where the consent of some authority has to be obtained in order to render the building lawful, it would seem to depend on the particular terms of the contract whether there is an implied covenant by the building owner to obtain the consent, or whether the contract is a conditional one depending on that consent being obtained (o). In most cases it would seem that the contract is conditional on the obtaining of the consent, and consequently that, if it is not obtained, the contract is avoided and both parties are discharged from performing it. If, however, the building owner expressly or impliedly agrees to obtain the consent, the failure to do so would be a breach of contract on his part entitling the builder to damages.

When illegality amounts to impossibility.

388. In some cases the distinction between physical impossibility, which does not excuse the builder from his contract, and illegality, which does, is very narrow (p). If the builder's obligation is to perform some definite work, he must do that work in such a way as to comply with the law, although he may be put to greater expense in doing the work in that way. If, on the other hand, the illegality goes to the root of the contract, and the law forbids the construction of the work at all, the contract is abrogated and both parties are discharged from performance.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Prevention by the Employer.

When repudiation amounts to breach.

389. As in the case of other classes of contracts, a building contract can, as a general rule, only be rescinded by mutual consent (q), but if either party repudiates or abandons the contract,

⁽i) Gibbons v. Chambers (1885), 1 T. L. R. 530; Re St. Saviour's Rectory (Trustees) and Oyler (1886), 31 Ch. D. 412; Re Ponsford and Newport District School Board, [1894] 1 Ch. 454; and see Re Ecclesiastical Commissioners and New City of London Brewery Co., [1895] 1 Ch. 702. See also title BURIAL AND CREMATION, p. 532, post.
(j) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 120. See title METROPOLIS.

⁽k) Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. s.) 99.
(l) Barton v. Piggott (1874), L. R. 10 Q. B. 86.
(m) 5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 50. See title Highways, Streets and Bridges.

⁽n) Stevens v. Gourley, supra.

⁽o) Smith v. Harwich Corporation (1857), 2 C. B. (n. s.) 651; Re Northumberland Avenue Hotel Co., Fox and Braithwaite's Claim (1887), 56 L. T. 833; Bywaters & Sons v. Curnick & Co. (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. I., p. 793. See also Rashleigh v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1851), 10 C. B. 612; as to this last case see the observation of WILLES, J., in M'Intyre v. Belcher (1863), 32 L. J. (C. P.) 254, at p. 255.

⁽p) See this point discussed in Brown v. Royal Insurance Society (1859), 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 275.

⁽q) See title CONTRACT.

the other party can at once elect to treat such repudiation or abandonment as a rescission of the contract and sue for the breach (r).

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

The act or acts relied on as repudiation must be absolute (s), must go to the root of the contract, and must show that the party has an intention to repudiate the whole contract, and not merely an intention to refuse to carry out some stipulation of it. The longer the parties have been carrying out the contract the less likely is it that a refusal or neglect to observe some stipulation will be treated by the court as an intention to repudiate the whole (t). If the employer gives notice to the contractor not to do any more work, this will amount to repudiation of the whole contract (a). But the notice must be final and not merely amount to a postponement of the work (b).

Specific performance of a building or engineering contract will Remedy of not be decreed. The remedy of the builder or contractor, if the contractor. employer refuses to allow him to proceed or excludes him from the site, will be to recover payment for the work he has done and damages for the loss which he has sustained by not being allowed to complete the work, or to treat the contract as rescinded and sue for the reasonable price of the work done.

390. If the employer by his own act renders himself incapable Impossibility of carrying out the contract he has made, e.g., by selling the land created by on which the works are to be constructed, the contractor at once ceases to be bound by the contract, and can bring his action without any previous request to the employer to perform his part of the contract (c). It is a question of fact for the jury to decide, when a contract has not been performed, which party was in fault in occasioning the contract not to be carried into effect (d).

employer.

391. Prevention before the commencement of the work may be Prevention. of various degrees. The contractor may be absolutely prevented from commencing the work, or the prevention may only delay him and prevent him completing by the time fixed by the contract. the nature and degree of the prevention depends whether the contractor is entitled to treat the contract as having been repudiated by the employer (e), or is bound to continue the work and leave open the question how far the default of the employer may have released the contractor from any of his obligations (f), or may

(s) Lines v. Rees, supra.

(c) See title CONTRACT.

⁽r) Lines v. Recs (1837), 1 Jur. 593. But he is not bound so to treat it; see Michael v. Hart. [1902] 1 K. B. 482, per Collins, M.R., at p. 490.

⁽t) See title Contract, and Cornwall v. Henson, [1900] 2 Ch. 298, 303.

⁽a) Cort v. Ambergate, Nottingham and Boston and Eastern Junction Rail. Co. (1851), 17 Q. B. 127.

⁽b) See Bartholomew v. Markwick (1864), 15 C. B. (N. S.) 711; Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co. (1884), 9 App. Cas. 434; Société Générale de Paris v. Milders (1883), 49 L. T. 55.

⁽d) Pontifex v. Wilkinson (1845), 2 C. B. 349.

⁽e) Holme v. Guppy (1838), 3 M. & W. 387. (f) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1881), 6 L. R. Ir. 513.

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

have given him a right of action (g) for any injury accruing to him through the prevention. In some circumstances, such as delaying the work so as to turn a summer contract into a more expensive winter contract, the prevention may be such as to abrogate the terms of the contract as to payment, and entitle the contractor to recover on a quantum meruit (h).

Failure to perform condition precedent.

Where the prevention by the employer is a default to do something which is a condition precedent to the contractor's obligation to do the work (i), the contractor may treat the prevention as a repudiation of the contract, but in other cases where the prevention is only partial, the contractor must complete the work and seek his remedy in damages (k). Whether the performance of the obligation of either party is a condition precedent to the obligation of the other party is a question of the construction of the contract.

Failure to provide site.

392. In the absence of express conditions as to providing the site for the works, there is an implied contract that the employer shall be in a position to hand over the site to the contractor immediately upon the making of the contract (l).

Thus if the employer does not provide the site on which the works are to be constructed at the time fixed by the contract, or at once if no time is so fixed (m), the contractor is entitled to throw up the work and bring his action for damages (n); or he may, as soon as he obtains possession of the site, commence and continue the work, in which case he waives the right of treating the obligation to provide the site as a condition precedent, but retains any right of action he may have for damages for breach of contract (o).

Failure to appoint architect etc.

393. Where the contract is that the work is to be done under the superintendence of the employer's architect or engineer, and no architect or engineer is named in the contract, there is an implied contract by the employer that he will appoint a suitable architect or engineer, and this is a condition precedent to the contractor's obligation to do the work (p), but this is not so where there is merely a liberty to superintend (q).

Failure to provide plans.

Similarly, there is an express or implied obligation, as the case may be, on the part of the employer to furnish the contractor with the necessary plans and drawings within a reasonable time (r).

⁽y) Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 229; Freeman & Son v. Hensler (1900), 64 J. P. 260.

⁽h) Bush v. Whitehaven Town and Harbour Trustees (1888), 52 J. P. 392.

⁽i) Holme v. Guppy (1838), 3 M. & W. 387.

⁽k) Boone v. Eyre (1777), 1 Hy. Bl. 273, n.; Macintosh v. Midland Counties Rail. Co. (1845), 14 M. & W. 548.

⁽¹⁾ Freeman & Son v. Hensler, supra.

⁽m) Ibid.(n) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L R. 5 C. P. 310, 320.

⁽p) Coombe v. Greene (1843), 11 M. & W. 480; Hunt v. Bishop (1853), 8 Exch.

^{675;} Hunt v. Remnant (1854), 9 Exch. 635.
(q) Jones v. Cannock (1852), 3 H. L. Cas. 700.
(r) Kingdom v. Cox (1848), 17 L. J. (c. P.) 155; Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1881), 6 L. B. Ir. 513.

It would seem, however, that the contractor ought to apply for the plans if they are not furnished to him (s).

SECT. 1. Arising before Performance.

SUB-SECT. 4.—Waiver.

394. The original contract for the construction of works may be Rules as to waived by the parties either expressly or impliedly entering into a new agreement, either in substitution for or in addition to the original contract (t).

Building and engineering contracts present no differences from other contracts in respect of how far agreements in writing and under seal can be waived or altered by parol agreements, or by

such as are in writing but not under seal (a).

Where such a new agreement exists, it is a question for the court to determine to what extent the new agreement waives, alters, or incorporates the original contract (b).

There must, however, be evidence of such a new agreement having been come to, and no mere request of the employer, or consent to extend the time, will be evidence of an intention to waive the whole of the original agreement (c).

Waiver, as distinguished from a substituted agreement, could When waiver hardly occur before the commencement of the work under a building occurs. or engineering contract, except possibly by the builder or contractor doing nothing for a long time, and the building owner or employer acquiescing therein.

SECT. 2.—Arising during Performance.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Impossibility.

395. Where after the work has been commenced it is discovered Effect of that the work is impossible, the same considerations arise, so far as impossibility relate to the validity of the contract, as where the impossibility is etc. arising during discovered before commencement (d).

performance.

The impossibility after commencement may, however, arise in Impossibility another manner; thus if the contractor has undertaken uncon- of compliance ditionally to perform within the contract time any extra work with architect's which may be ordered and extras are ordered which are in fact instructions. impossible of completion within the contract time, the contractor will be obliged to do the work within the time or pay damages for his default (e).

Where the subject-matter is destroyed during construction, in subjectthe case of an entire contract the contractor cannot recover (f), matter except where the destruction is caused by the default of the employer or his servants (q).

(s) Stevens v. Taylor (1860), 2 F. & F. 419, 422, n.
(t) Courtnay v. Waterford and Central Ireland Rail. Co. (1878), 4 L. R. Ir. 11.

(a) See title CONTRACTS.

(b) Holme v. Guppy (1838), 3 M. & W. 387. (c) Macintosh v. Midland Counties Rail. Co. (1845), 14 M. & W. 548.

(d) See p. 192, ante. (e) Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115. (f) See p. 194, ante.

(g) Richardson v. Dumfriesshire Road Trustees (1890), 17 B. (Ct. of Soss.) 805.

Arising during Performance.

Strikes

396. Where a time is fixed for completion of the work, and there is no condition as to relief on account of the occurrence of strikes, the contractor will be liable for delay so caused, just as in a similar case demurrage would be payable by consignees to the owner of a ship (h).

Where no time is fixed for completion, and there is no exception for strikes, the occurrence of a strike would be a circumstance to be taken into consideration, and might convert what otherwise would be an unreasonable time into a reasonable time (i).

If the contract provides for the occurrence of strikes, and a strike lasts so long that the contract, in a commercial sense, is put an end to, or its object is frustrated, as distinguished from mere delay, the strike puts an end to the contract (k).

The cause of the strike is immaterial; it is as much a strike when it is caused by the workmen resisting demands of their employers, as if it was caused by the employers resisting demands

of the workmen (l).

Bad weather.

The occurrence of bad weather or storms is also no excuse for non-performance of the contract, as the variableness of the climate is a circumstance which must have been in the contemplation of the parties when the contract was made (m).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Illegality.

Effect of working under illegal contract. 397. In the case of a building contract void by reason of the subject-matter being illegal or against sound morals or public policy, if the builder continues with the work after he knows of the illegality or unlawful purpose, he will not be able to recover any payment for the work and materials supplied by him; but if on learning that the building is being constructed for an unlawful purpose he at once ceases work, as it is his duty to do, he can recover a quantum meruit for the work etc. done by him before he knew of the illegal purpose (n), as in the case of other contracts for illegal objects.

Sub-Sect. 3 .- Prevention by the Employer.

Repudiation.

398. If during the progress of the works the building owner or employer wrongfully rescinds or repudiates the contract, there is at once a breach, and the builder or contractor is entitled to sue for damages (o).

Prevention.

899. As in the case of prevention before the commencement of the work, prevention during the performance of the contract may either be absolute—that is, such as to prevent the builder or

⁽h) Budgett & Co. v. Binnington & Co., [1891] 1 Q. B. 35. See title Shipping AND NAVIGATION.

⁽i) Hick v. Raymond and Reid, [1893] A. C. 22.

⁽k) King v. Parker (1876), 34 L. T. 887.

⁽l) I bid.

⁽m) Maryon v. Carter (1830), 4 C. & P. 295.

⁽n) See title CONTRACT.

⁽o) As to the measure of damages, see p. 242, post.

contractor from completing the work after he has commenced itor partial, so as only to delay him and prevent him from completing by the time fixed by the contract; and the same principles apply in deciding whether the builder or contractor is entitled to treat the contract as abrogated, or whether he must go on and complete the work and seek his remedy by way of damages (p).

SECT. 2. Arising during Performance.

Where the contract contains provisions which entitle the Forfeiture. employer to forfeit the contract in case of certain defaults on the part of the contractor (q), and the employer exercises this power wrongfully, that is, without the contractor having been in default, such an act of forfeiture amounts to total prevention (r), and entitles the builder to elect to put an end to the contract (8).

If during the progress of the work the employer excludes the Exclusion builder from the site, this will amount to total prevention (t).

In cases where the employer has undertaken to supply certain Failure to materials to the contractor, the question whether the non-supply of supply the materials amounts to total prevention or only to such prevention as will give the contractor a right to damages depends upon whether the undertaking to supply them is a condition precedent or not. Non-supply of rails for the construction of a railway has been treated as the breach of a covenant independent of that to complete the railway (a).

400. If the employer, either in collusion with the architect or Fraudulent engineer or otherwise, fraudulently prevents the contractor from agreement completing the works, e.g., by inducing the architect or engineer tect. not to certify for works which are in conformity with the contract, the remedy of the contractor is apparently to bring an action for breach of contract by wrongfully preventing the architect from certifying rather than to bring an action of deceit (b). There may, however, be cases in which the conduct of the building owner and the architect would amount to a conspiracy (c), but even in such a case an action founded on prevention would appear to be preferable to one founded on the conspiracy (d).

401. A refusal by the employer to pay instalments which are Refusal to not due is of course not an abandonment or repudiation of the pay instalcontract on the part of the employer (e). But if the employer

(t) See Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310, per CLEASBY and PIGOTT, BB., at p. 320.

(a) Macintosh v. Midland Counties Rail. Co. (1845), 14 M. & W. 548.

(d) See Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority, supra.

(e) Rees v. Lines (1837), 8 C. & P. 126.

⁽p) See p. 197, ante.

⁽q) See p. 249, post. (r) See Davies v. Swansea Corporation (1853), 8 Exch. 808.

⁽s) Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151; Felton v. Wharrie (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 455.

⁽b) See Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority, supra.
(c) See Stevenson v. Watson (1879), 4 C. P. D. 148, per DENMAN, J., at p. 153.

SECT. 2. Arising during Performance.

refuses to pay instalments which are due to the contractor, it becomes a question of the intention of the employer, and according to whether that intention is to repudiate the whole contract, or is merely to postpone payment of money which he owes (f), the remedy of the contractor will be to treat the contract as broken, or to bring an action against the employer to recover the unpaid instalment (y).

Sub-Sect. 4.—Prevention by Architect etc.

Effect of failure of sub-contractors.

402. Where sub-contractors or specialists are by the terms of the contract employed by the contractor, the employer is not liable to the contractor for any delay caused by them, even although these sub-contractors or specialists are selected by the employer If, however, the specialists are not subor by the architect (h). contractors of the builder but are employed by the building owner direct, then he will be responsible to the contractor for delay caused by them (i).

Employer's duty to subcontractors.

So also, where independent contractors are employed to do separate works, the employer will be liable to each of them for the due performance of preliminary works which he has undertaken to For instance, in the case of a perform or to have performed. contract to put the roof on a contemplated house, delay in putting up the walls or neglect to put them up might cause partial or total prevention of the contract to roof in.

Default of architect.

If the architect or engineer, in the exercise of his quasijudicial functions (k), causes delay or even total prevention of the construction of the works, the contractor has no remedy against the employer (1); but if the delay or prevention is caused by him in his capacity as agent of the employer, the employer will be liable for his defaults (m). If, however, the architect in the exercise of his quasi-judicial duties (n) acts fraudulently, the employer will, it would seem, be liable if he acts upon any fraudulent decision or certificate, even if ignorant of the fraud (o).

Sub-Sect. 5 .- Waiver.

Substitution of new contract.

403. Where a new contract is substituted after the commencement of the work, the effect is the same as in cases where that occurs before the commencement (p).

(i) Leslie & Co., Ltd. v. Metropolitan Asylums District Managers, supra.

(k) See p. 292, post.

(m) Re De Morgan, Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills and Granaries, Ltd. (1892), 8 T. L. R. 292.

(n) As to the meaning of quasi-judicial duties see p. 292, post.
(o) Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts. 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151, and cases there cited.
(p) Hunt v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (C. P.) 87. See p. 199, ante.

Digitized by Google

⁽f) See Withers v. Reynolds (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 882; Freeth v. Burr (1874),

L. R. 9 C. P. 208, per Coleridge, C.J., at p. 214.

(g) Terry v. Duntze (1795), 2 Hy. Bl. 389.

(h) Leslie & Co., Ltd. v. Metropolitan Asylums District Managers (1901), 68 J. P. 86; Mitchell v. Guildford Guardians (1903), 68 J. P. 84.

⁽l) As to any remedy of the employer against the contractor in these circumstances, see pp. 244, 245, 248, post.

Where the course of dealing between the parties is inconsistent with strict enforcement of the terms of the contract, the effect of such dealings may be to raise the implication that there is a new contract to pay for the work as actually done, or else that the special conditions have been waived by the party who would have benefited by them (q).

SECT. 2. Arising during Performance.

Where the work is proceeded with after a breach, it is a question what for the jury to determine whether or not there is a new contract amounts to to perform the work in consideration of a quantum meruit (r). If the breach is non-completion to time, allowing the contractor to continue working does not amount to a waiver of the employer's right to damages for delay (s), but where the right to insist on completion to time has been waived, neither party is entitled to abandon the contract without giving notice and affording the other party a reasonable time to complete the building or works (t).

new contract.

Cases of alteration of the terms of a written contract most Cases of frequently arise from the ordering of extras, and from the employer waiver. delaying the completion of the building or works (u).

Breaches of contract more often affect particular stipulations in the contract than they afford an excuse for non-completion of the works or rescission of the whole contract. The stipulations which are usually affected are those as to completion to time (w), liquidated damages (a), claims for defects (b), the right of forfeiting the contract or property of the builder or contractor (c), and the contract price (d).

SECT. 3.—Acceptance.

404. Since the employer or building owner is in possession of No implied the land on which the works the subject of the contract are to be acceptance erected, while the builder has merely a revocable licence to enter sion or user. and build (e), those works, as they come into being, attach themselves to the freehold. No acceptance of the work can be implied from the mere fact of the employer or building owner continuing in possession of his own land and of the work which has become part of the freehold (f). Even the use and occupation of that which has been constructed on the land will not imply acceptance of the work (q), or a waiver of any defects, nor will it preclude the building

from posses-

⁽⁴⁾ Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738; Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 60Ž.

⁽r) Burn v. Miller (1813), 4 Taunt. 745; De Bernardy v. Harding and Poole (1853), 8 Exch. 822.

⁽s) Sutherland v. Montrose Shipbuilding Co. (1860), 22 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 665.

⁽t) See Burn v. Miller, supra. (u) See pp. 228 et seq., post.

⁽w) See p. 189, ante.

⁽a) See p. 243, post. (b) See p. 237, post.

⁽c) See p. 249, post. (d) See p. 224, post.

⁽e) Camden (Marquis) v. Batterbury (1860), 7 C. B. (n. s.) 864. (f) Munro v. Butt, supra, at p. 752.

⁽g) Whitaker v. Dunn, supra; Sumpter v. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 673; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190, at p. 204.

SECT. 3.
Acceptance.

owner from contending that the work has not been performed in accordance with the contract. Where the work has not been completely performed, and the owner enters and completes it (h), the question whether he has accepted the work and entered into a new contract to pay for the part which has been constructed or whether he is under no obligation to pay at all (i) depends on the surrounding circumstances.

When acceptance implied.

405. Where the question is merely one of completion to time, acceptance or waiver of the condition will be implied from slight acts, but this acceptance or waiver will not operate to exclude a claim for damages for delay on the part of the employer (k).

Where the question is one of the conformity of the work to the contract, the question depends on whether the employer has done anything coupled with the use and occupation of the work which has prevented the performance of the special contract (such as preventing the architect from giving a certificate where the obtaining of a certificate is a condition precedent to payment), or whether, where the failure in complete performance is very slight, he has used any language or done any act from which acceptance might reasonably be inferred (l).

Effect of acceptance.

406. Except where it can be shown that the employer has not only accepted the work with a knowledge of the defects, but has actually waived the condition as to performance in accordance with the contract, the acceptance will not prevent the employer from showing that the work was incompletely performed, or was not in accordance with the contract.

Knowledge of the defects at the time the work was done is not sufficient to imply acquiescence in them so as to preclude the employer from exercising any rights he may have in respect of the incomplete performance of the contract (w)

incomplete performance of the contract (m).

Where the work, however, has to be done to the approval of the employer, if he has expressly or impliedly notified his approval, he cannot go back on it and recover for patent defects (n), and it is submitted that in such a case payment by the employer might be held to imply approval, so as to prevent him from bringing an action against the contractor for damages on account of defective work.

Where the work has to be done to the approval of a third person, as an architect or engineer, and the expression of that person's opinion is conclusive, then, in the absence of fraud or collusion (o), such approval prevents the employer from having any

(i) Sumpter v. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 673.

Digitized by Google

Effect of approval on defects.

⁽h) Lysaght v. Pearson (1879), Times (March 3, 1879).

⁽k) Sutherland v. Montrose Shipbuilding Co. (1860), 22 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.)

⁽l) Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738, per Lord CAMPBELL, C.J., at p. 753.

⁽m) Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602. (n) Bateman (Lord) v. Thompson (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts,

³rd ed., Vol. II., p. 23.
(o) South Eastern Rail. Co. v. Warton (1861), 6 H. & N. 520.

right of action on account of defects, whether latent or patent (p). If the expression of the third person's opinion is not a condition Acceptance. precedent (q) or is not final, e.g., where it is subject to arbitration (r), the expression of that person's approval does not prevent the employer setting up a claim on account of defects.

SECT. 3.

Part V.—Supervision and Approval.

SECT. 1.—Approval by the Employer.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

407. Where a building contract provides that the work shall How far be done to the approval of the building owner, and there is no approval a condition express condition making his approval a condition precedent to precedent. payment, the maxim that "no man shall be judge in his own cause" (s) raises a presumption against any implied contract that such approval is a condition precedent. In the absence of any express condition to the contrary, such approval must not be unreasonably withheld (t).

Where the approval is made a condition precedent to payment. if it is limited to some particular attribute of the work, such as its strength or workmanship, it must be exercised in respect of

efficiency (a).

Contracts to do building work differ in this respect from contracts for the sale of goods, or for the construction of chattels not affixed to the freehold, as in the latter case if the goods or the chattels are not approved they can be returned to the seller or manufacturer. while buildings and works become affixed to the freehold, and the building owner or employer has the benefit of them in any event, without any acceptance or approval being inferred (b). Thus, the one-sidedness of approval being made a condition precedent to payment is much greater in the case of a building or engineering contract than in other cases.

that particular attribute only, and not of some other, such as its

⁽p) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.) 12; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238; Muldoon v. Pringle (1882), 9 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 915; Bateman (Lord) v. Thompson (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 23.

(g) Re Hohenzollern Action Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London

⁽q) Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. B. 470.

(r) Robbins v. Goddard, [1905] 1 K. B. 294.

(s) Broom's Legal Maxims, 7th ed., p. 92.

(t) Parsons v. Sexton (1847), 4 C. B. 899; Braunstein v. Accidental Death Insurance Co. (1861), 1 B. & S. 782.

(a) Ripley v. Lordan (1860), 2 L. T. 154.

(b) Munro v. Butt (1858), 8 E. & B. 738; Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436; Sumpter v. Hedges, [1898] 1 Q. B. 673; Hart v. Porthgain Harbour Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 690; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190; compare also Ramsden v. Dyson (1865), L. R. 1 H. L. 129.

SECT. 1. Approval by the Employer.

Express words in contract not conclusive.

Approval not to be unreasonably withheld.

When ' approval may be unreasonably withheld.

Honesty essential.

The mere insertion of words making any term in a contract a condition precedent is not in itself conclusive, for it may be so capricious and unreasonable that a court of law will not enforce it, or it may be suâ naturâ incapable of being made a condition precedent (c), or, again, there may be other conditions in the contract which are inconsistent with the stipulation being a condition precedent, or which control its operation (d).

408. In the absence of an express stipulation in the contract permitting even unreasonable disapproval, the courts will imply a condition that the employer shall not unreasonably refuse to approve work, but what is unreasonable must in each particular case depend upon the circumstances, as, for instance, what might be unreasonable in a building owner or employer might be reasonable in a contractor, in his dealings with a sub-contractor (e), where the contractor is himself bound by stringent stipulations.

An express contract to abide by the decision of the building owner, reasonable or unreasonable, as a condition precedent to payment, would, it seems, be valid (f). The courts, however, are not inclined to interpret conditions in a contract, which practically make one party a judge in his own cause, as conditions precedent unless the terms of the contract are unambiguous (g); but where the terms of the bargain are clear and unambiguous, and it is quite clear that, however unreasonable and oppressive a stipulation or condition may be, the one party intended to insist upon, and the other to submit to, it, a court of justice cannot do otherwise than give full effect to the terms which have been agreed upon between the parties (h).

But, even where the approval of the employer is not required to be reasonable, the failure to approve must be an honest expression of the actual opinion of the employer. He cannot escape the obligation of paying for work of which he has the benefit (i) by capriciously, fraudulently, or dishonestly denying that he approves of it when in point of fact it meets with his approval.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Matters of Taste.

Disapproval must be bonâ fide.

409. Where the approval of the employer is in respect of matters of taste or convenience, the powers of the employer to refuse to approve are more extended, for it is difficult to ascertain the employer's standard of taste or convenience. The only limitation is that he must disapprove bona fide, and not capriciously or dishonestly or for the purpose of obtaining some benefit for himself.

(i) Andrews v. Belfield (1857), 2 C. B. (N. S.) 779.

⁽c) London Guarantee Co. v. Fearuley (1880), 5 App. Cas. 911, 919. (d) Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. R. 470.

⁽e) Stadhard v. Lee (1863), 3 B. & S. 364. (f) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, 117.

⁽g) Dallman v. King (1837), 4 Bing. (N. c.) 105, 110. (h) Stadhard v. Lee, supra, per Cockburn, C.J., at p. 372.

SECT. 2. Approval by

Architect or

Engineer.

Approval

of architect

SECT. 2.—Approval by Architect or Engineer.

410. Where the contract provides that work is to be done to the approval or satisfaction of the architect or engineer, such approval or satisfaction, if final, is a condition precedent to payment for such work (k). Where the architect is intended by the exercise of his skill to act as a quasi-arbitrator between the parties and to a condition give his approval or disapproval accordingly, his exercise of opinion precedent. cannot be impugned on the ground of unreasonableness, but only on that of fraud or collusion or of intervention or prevention by the building owner (l). It would seem also that if the architect without reason neglects to deal with the question, the employer is not entitled to take advantage of that misconduct (m). And, further, this power of approval or disapproval does not extend so far as to make the architect or engineer a judge in his own cause as to breaches of contract caused by his own defaults (n).

The approval of the architect or engineer must be expressed Expression in the manner required by the particular contract (a). When of approval. the approval is not required to be given in writing it is a question of fact for the jury whether the approval has been given or not (b).

411. The architect or engineer may often act in two capacities in Dual function respect of the same matter as regards approval. He may first be of architect. acting as the agent of the building owner, and in that capacity disapprove of work or materials, and then as arbitrator or quasiarbitrator have to decide whether the work or materials ought to be approved, and when acting in this quasi-judicial capacity he must apply his mind to act fairly and impartially between the parties (c).

412. The contractor must obey all reasonable directions or Extent of instructions given to him by the architect or engineer, and if he contractor's has expressly contracted to carry out the works in accordance with architect. such directions and instructions, he must obey them even if they are unreasonable, as there is no warranty or implied contract on the part of the employer that the architect or engineer will only give such directions as are reasonable (d).

(m) Kellett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building

⁽k) Milner v. Field (1850), 5 Exch. 829; Scott v. Liverpool Corporation

^{(1858), 3} De G. & J. 334.
(1) Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347. As to the distinction between the position of an architect as an arbitrator and as a quasi-arbitrator, see pp. 283, 293, post.

Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329.
(n) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310.
(a) Morgan v. Birnie (1833), 9 Bing. 672. See, as to architect's certificates, p. 208, post.

⁽b) See Kane v. Stone Co. (1884), 39 Ohio State Reports, 1; U. S. Digest (1884), 140.

Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council, supra. (d) Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115.

SECT. 2. Approval by Architect or Engineer.

Materials etc. disapproved.

The obligations of the contractor, with regard to the removal and replacement of materials or work of which the architect does not approve, vary according to the terms of the particular contract. Sometimes the decision of the architect is made final, or it may be made subject to appeal to himself in his character of quasiarbitrator, or even as arbitrator, or subject to appeal to an independent arbitrator (e).

Extras.

The instructions of the architect as to additional work or extras may be binding on the contractor or not according to whether they are works contemplated by the contract, and also whether they are ordered in the prescribed manner (f).

SECT. 3.—Approval by Employer and Architect.

Effect of approval.

413. In some contracts it is made a condition that the work shall be approved by the employer, and also by the architect or engineer. The only difference in such a condition from a condition requiring the approval of the architect or engineer alone would seem to be that if the architect approved, the employer would still have a power of disapproving, but only reasonably. If the architect and the employer have once exercised the power of approval and such approval has been acted on, and defects are subsequently discovered, the employer cannot in ordinary circumstances retract his expression of approval and bring an action against the contractor for bad work or materials (q).

Part VI.—Certificates.

Sect. 1.—In General.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Different Classes of Certificates.

Definition of certificate.

414. As building owners and employers have not usually the technical knowledge necessary to ascertain whether the builder or contractor is observing the stipulations of the contract as to the work and materials to be employed, an architect or engineer, or some other fit person (h), is employed to supervise the work, and it is necessary for this architect or engineer to express in some formal way his approval of the work done and materials actually employed. This approval is expressed in certificates; and such certificates may or may not be conditions precedent to payment becoming due to the builder or contractor (i).

(i) See p. 210, post.

⁽e) See p. 215, post. (f) Richards v. May (1883), 10 Q. B. D. 400; and see pp. 229 et seq.,

⁽g) Bateman (Lord) v. Thompson (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 23, at pp. 30, 31.
(h) See Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115, in which

case a clerk of the works was intrusted with this duty.

There are two principal classes of certificates: progress certificates (k), by which the architector engineer certifies that the builder or contractor is entitled under the terms of the contract to an Classes of interim payment of so much on account of the contract price, and certificates. final certificates (l), which are of three kinds: (1) for payment of so much to the builder or contractor on the final balance between the parties; (2) of approval of the work and materials, stating that the work has been completed, and all the obligations of the contractor fulfilled to the satisfaction of the architect or engineer; and (3) both for payment and of approval and completion.

SECT. 1. In General.

In contracts which provide that the contractor shall maintain the works for a fixed period after completion, and that retention money (m) shall be kept in hand for that period, a certificate is often required by the terms of the contract before payment of the retention money.

415. The certifying architect or engineer may make use of the Duty of assistance of others in obtaining the information necessary to architect in enable him to certify, but the certificate must be his own, and not certifying. that of any other person even where part of his duties may be deputed (n).

416. Although as between the parties the certificate, to be Certificate binding, must be the result of the exercise of the skill and judgment not an award. of the certifier, this does not make the certificate an award nor the certifier an arbitrator; he has been described as a preventer of disputes (o), but in fact he appears to be a quasi-arbitrator, whose duty is to act impartially (so far as his natural bias in favour of his employer will permit), and to apply his practical knowledge to the facts patent to him and decide accordingly (p). should hear what each party desires to say, and if he gives opportunities to one he should give the same opportunities to the other (q). A stipulation that the certifier shall "adjudge" the sum to be paid does not operate so as to make the certificate an award (r).

A certificate not being an award, an agreement to be bound by a certificate is not a submission to arbitration, and therefore need not be in writing, and the employer may insist on the necessity of a certificate although he has not formally executed the contract (s).

⁽k) For forms of progress certificates, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 650, 651.

⁽¹⁾ For forms of final certificates, see ibid., pp. 652, 653.

⁽m) That is, the difference between the amount of the interim payments and

the whole price. See p. 226, post.

(n) A.-G. v. Briggs (1855), 1 Jur. (N. s.) 1084; Clemence v. Clarke (1879), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41.

(a) Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's

Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238.

⁽p) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, 609.

⁽⁹⁾ Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347, 350.

⁽r) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630.

⁽s) Ibid.

SECT. 1. In General. Certificate not binding between employer and architect. When certificates conditions. precedent

to payment.

417. The certificate which by the contract is made final in all matters between the employer and the contractor is only binding as between those parties, and not as between the employer and the architect (t).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Certificates as Conditions precedent to Payment.

418. All classes of certificates may be made conditions precedent to payment. If in a particular case a certificate is effectually made a condition precedent, there is nothing payable until the certificate has been given, even though the contract work may be actually completed (u). Certificates may be made conditions precedent to payment by express words or by implication (w). Such a condition, however, will not necessarily be imported by implication into a new contract substituted for one (containing such a stipulation) which has been abandoned (x). Whether it is to be so imported is a question for the jury.

The implication that a certificate is a condition precedent to the right to payment can only arise in cases where the architect or engineer has to exercise his judgment and skill in making the certificate, or has to discharge other duties of a quasi-judicial character, such as valuing work or materials or approving of

them (a).

It is for the builder or contractor to obtain a certificate on which his right to payment depends (b).

Extent of condition.

419. Where a certificate is made a condition precedent, the extent of the condition depends upon the terms of the particular contract. Thus, payment may be conditional merely on the grant of a certificate, or on the grant of a certificate and its presentation (c), or, again, on the grant of a certificate and the lapse of some fixed time.

Valuations.

420. Where building and engineering contracts provide that the architect or engineer shall value work and materials supplied by the contractor, the valuations so made are very similar to certificates

(u) Lewis v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66.

(x) See Hunt v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (c. P.) 87.

(b) Glenn v. Leith, supra, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 574.

⁽t) Rogers v. James (1891), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 185.

⁽w) Glenn v. Leith (1853), 1 C. L. R. 569; Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council (1863), 7 L. T. 736; Dunaberg & Witepsk Rail. Co. v. Hopkins, Gilkes & Co., Ltd. (1877), 36 L. T. 733; Wallace v. Brandon and Byshottles Urban District Council (1902). Hudgen on Parlian Council (1902). District Council (1903), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 392; Geary, Walker & Co. v. Lawrence & Son (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 406. See also Grafton v. Eastern Counties Rail. Co. (1853), 8 Exch. 699.

⁽a) Glenn v. Leith, supra; Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council, supra, where a mere statement that the value of all additions, deductions, alterations, and deviations should be ascertained and added to, or deducted from, the amount of the contract price, as the case might require, was held to be a condition precedent.

⁽c) Morgan v. Birnie (1833), 9 Bing. 672; Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1858), 3 De G. & J. 334,

so far as regards their being conditions precedent or not, and also as to being conclusive between the parties (d).

SECT. 1. In General.

421. Where a final certificate for payment depends upon a valuation to be made by the certifier, whether it be of the contract work, or extras, if the employer prevents the valuation being made, as for instance by excluding him from the works, the court has power, apparently in addition to any other remedy, to make a mandatory order directing the employer to allow the certifier to enter on the site to value (e).

Certificate prevented by employer.

422. Even where the duties to be performed by the person When designated to give a certificate are purely ministerial, so that the certificate certificate is not the result of the exercise of his skill and judgment, precedent. the certificate may still be a condition precedent to payment, as, for instance, where the certificate is merely evidence of the receipt and delivery of goods (f).

Where it appears from the terms of the contract that the certificate is not intended to be conclusive as to the rights of the parties, the certificate will not be a condition precedent. This is the case, for instance, where it is expressly provided that the final certificate shall be conclusive, but without prejudice to the builder's liability for fraud, default, or wilful deviation (g), or where either the employer or the contractor has power to question the certificate, and to have its propriety inquired into by some judicial process, such as arbitration, whether before the architect or engineer or some independent tribunal (h).

If the conditions in the contract as to satisfying the architect and as to payment are independent covenants, the obtaining of the certificate is not a condition precedent to payment (i), although by the terms of the contract the performance of the work in accordance with the specification and plans may have been made such a condition.

423. Where a certificate is made a condition precedent to pay- Matters ment it operates as such only where the certificate is limited to the to which matters to which it is intended by the terms of the contract to precedent apply, for the architect or engineer cannot extend his powers so as applies. to certify as to matters not intrusted to his jurisdiction (k).

⁽d) See Collier v. Mason (1858), 25 Beav. 200; and p. 215, post. (e) Smith v. Peters (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 511. (f) Morgan v. Larivière (1875), L. R. 7 H. L. 423, 436.

⁽⁹⁾ London School Board v. Wall (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts,

⁽⁴⁾ London School Board v. Wall (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 165; London School Board v. Johnson (1891), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 189.

(h) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72; Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. R. 470; Robins v. Goddard, [1905] 1 K. B. 294.

(i) London Gaslight Co. v. Chelsea Vestry (1860), 2 L. T. 217.

(k) Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. 8.) 149; Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115; Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48

L. T. 507. See also Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Harrison (1852), 10 Exch. 376. L. T. 507. See also Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Harrison (1852), 10 Exch. 376, where an engineering contract provided for the delivery and acceptance of a

SECT. 1. In General.

Effect on third persons of making certificate a condition precedent.

424. As regards persons who are not parties to the contract, they are not bound by a stipulation making a certificate a condition precedent to payment, as events which between the parties to the contract are only to be deemed to have occurred upon the performance of a condition precedent are, as regards strangers to the contract, to be deemed to have occurred if in fact they have Thus, where between the parties payment is dependent on a certificate of completion, as regards third persons "completion" is a question of fact for the jury, and the certificate is not a condition precedent (l). This only applies, however, to persons who are really strangers to the contract, and not to assignees of either party, whose rights and obligations are identical with those of their assignors.

Sect. 2.—Progress Certificates.

Nature of progress certificates.

425. In most building and engineering contracts provision is made for the making of interim payments to the builder or contractor during the progress of the works on the production of a certificate (m) to the effect that so much is due to the contractor on account. In the case of contracts not otherwise entire such a provision is not necessary for the protection of the builder, as payment would accrue due from time to time even in its absence; on the other hand, the insertion of such a provision would be desirable in the interest and for the protection of the These progress certificates are merely of the building owner. nature of approximate estimates by the certifier of the value of the work done, and are not conclusive in favour of either party either as an expression of satisfaction with the quality of the work, or as a determination of its quantity or price. Progress certificates are subject to readjustment at the final settlement between the parties (n).

According to the circumstances of the case, the giving of progress

certain number of sleepers, to be delivered as, and when, and in such quantities, and in such manner as the engineer should direct, and it was held that the engineer had only authority to fix the times of delivery, and had no power to determine whether the whole should or should not be supplied.

(l) Lewis v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66.

(m) For forms of such certificates, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II.,

pp. 650, 651.

(n) "When the payments were from time to time made on the certificates of the architects, the obvious meaning of both parties was, that the sums advanced should be accounted for by the plaintiff (the builder) on the final settlement between him and the defendants (the employers). They were to be treated as sums paid on account of whatever the plaintiff might eventually be entitled to recover from the defendants" (Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283, per ROLFE, B., at p. 305). "When the company want to know whether or not they are in a condition to make an advance, they ask the engineer, 'what value have you got in the shape of materials and work, and can we make the advance?'... It is not an exact sum made up by calculation of items, and by applying the prices to the quantities of articles supplied under those items—it is really simply nothing but this-it is an account sent in to shew that the company are quite safe in making such payment as they may think proper by way of advance, because there is now upon their ground property of such a value (Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. M. Elroy & Sons (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1040, per Lord HATHERLEY, L.C., at pp. 1048, 1049).

certificates may or may not waive a right to liquidated damages for delay (o).

SECT. 2. **Progress** Certificates.

426. Such certificates are conditions precedent to the right to payment, when the contract provides that no interim payments shall be made to the contractor except on the production of a progress certificate, and does not provide for any appeal by the contractor against the withholding or insufficiency of such certificates.

When conditions precedent.

In the absence of some such provision as that "no payment shall be held as legally due until the contract is completed, but advances shall nevertheless be made to the amount thereof, under the engineer's certificate" (a), a progress certificate creates a debt $\mathbf{due}\left(b\right) .$

427. As regards the quality of the work or materials, a progress How far certificate is not sufficient to constitute an acceptance of such work or materials. It is only a kind of qualified approval (c), which does not prevent the employer from subsequently disputing the quality, nor the architect from subsequently refusing to certify his satisfaction, and a subsequent refusal to certify cannot, on that ground alone, be impugned as being a fraud on the contractor (d).

binding on employer or architect.

428. According to the terms of the contract, materials supplied, How amount whether affixed to the freehold or not, may be taken into consideration by the architect or engineer in arriving at the amount for which he will give a progress certificate (e), or work and labour alone may have to be considered (f); the parties, however, may, independently of the contract, agree that the value of materials brought on to the site should form part of the sum to be certified (q).

of certificate to be ascer-

When the interim payments are to be calculated at so much per cent. of the value of the work done, it is suggested that the "value of the work done" means not the cost to the contractor, but the value of the work done measured by the proportion it bears to the whole of the completed work.

SECT. 3.—Final Certificates.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Nature and Form of a Final Certificate.

429. Final certificates may be either for payment, or of satis- Classes of faction (h), or for payment and of satisfaction (i).

final certificate.

(a) See p. 247, post. (a) Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. M'Elroy & Sons (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1040.

(b) Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 235.

(c) Tripp v. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687. (d) Cooper v. Uttoxeter Burial Board (1865), 11 L. T. 565; Richardson v. Mahon (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 486.

(e) Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co., supra.

(g) Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co., supra, per Bovill, C.J., at p. 247.
(h) For a form of such certificate see Francisco. For a form of such certificate, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. IL, p. 652.

(i) For a form of such certificate, see ibid., p. 653.

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

Where a certificate of satisfaction and for payment of the sum due is required, a certificate for payment will apparently imply satisfaction (k).

Where the contract provides that the obligations of the contractor shall not cease on the completion of the works, but that a specified sum shall be retained by the employer for a fixed period to cover defects, repairs, or maintenance, it is usually provided that there shall be a certificate of satisfactory completion, usually entitling the contractor to some payment, and then after the expiration of the fixed period that there shall be another certificate for payment of the final balance, after deducting any expense incurred by the employer during the period of maintenance. a case in which there were two clauses in a contract, one of which provided that the builder should not be paid the balance until two months after the architect had expressed his satisfaction, and the other that the builder should not be paid until after the architect had given his final certificate, and the architect expressed his satisfaction a considerable time before giving his final certificate, such expression of satisfaction was held not to have been that contemplated by the contract, but that to be effective the expression of satisfaction must be made in a final certificate (1).

Effect of final certificate being condition precedent.

The effect of making a certificate of satisfactory completion a condition precedent to payment is nearly always to defer the time for payment and to extend the period of maintenance, because the architect cannot certify until actual completion, and this in practice is often delayed.

Form of certificate.

430. The form of the final certificate depends on the terms of the contract; for instance, if the architect is merely required to certify, and no written certificate is expressly required, an oral statement of his satisfaction is sufficient (m). Apparently, on the analogy of an award, the mere use of the word "certificate," and a direction that the certificate shall be "delivered," does not imply that the certificate must be in writing (n).

A mere checking of the amount of work done (o), or of the builder's account (p), does not amount to a certificate.

If there is a stipulation that the certificate shall be in writing, or in some particular form, such a stipulation would not be performed by a certificate given in any other manner, in the same way as provisions as to the method of ordering extras must be exactly obeyed (q).

Where no provision as to ascertainment of balance due.

431. Where the contract does not provide for the final settlement of the amount of the balance to be paid to the builder or

⁽k) Harman v. Scott (1874), 2 Johnst. N. Z. R. 407.

⁽¹⁾ Coleman v. Gittins (1884), 1 T. L. R. 8. (m) Coker v. Young (1860), 2 F. & F. 98, per Hill, J., at p. 101; Roberts v. Watkins (1863), 14 C. B. (N. 8.) 592; Elmes v. Burgh Market Co. (1891), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 183.

⁽n) Oates v. Bromil (1705), 1 Salk. 75. (a) Morgan v. Birnie (1833), 9 Bing. 672.

⁽p) Goodman v. Layborn (1881), Roscoe, Digest of Building Cases, 4th ed.,

Appendix, p. 162. (q) See p. 236, post.

contractor on completion, that amount is left at large, and in case of dispute must be settled by the jury, or by arbitration where the contract contains an arbitration clause. In such a case the Certificates. architect or engineer has no power to certify the amount of the final balance due to the builder or contractor (r).

SECT. 3. Final

SUB-SECT. 2.—Conclusiveness of a Final Certificate.

432. The final certificate of an architect or engineer will be Conditions of conclusive and binding on both parties if the following conditions conclusiveare complied with:

(1) The subject-matter of the certificate, so far as the same is to Intra vires be binding, must be within the powers of the certifier (s), and the and binding terms of the contract must effectively make his determination by contract. binding on both parties (a).

(2) The contract must not contain provisions enabling an Not subject arbitrator to open up, review, or revise the certificate (b).

to revision.

(3) It must be the honest expression of the certifier's opinion (c). Not fraudu-

(4) There must have been no improper interference with the lent. certifier on the part of the employer as to the giving of the Independent, certificate (d).

(5) It must be given during the existence of the power of the Given in

architect or engineer to give a certificate (e). (6) If the contract makes the power to certify conditional on After some previously existing state of facts, the existence of such a condition state of facts must be ascertained before the certificate can be acted on (f).

fulfilled.

(7) The certificate must be given by the person designated by the By proper contract, and if a particular time is fixed by the contract, at that person at time (y).

proper time.

(8) The certificate must purport to be final (h).

(9) Where the contract provides for arbitration in case disputes be final.

Purport to Given before dispute.

(r) Pashby v. Birmingham Corporation (1856), 18 C. B. 2.

(s) Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 229; Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272.

(a) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310.
(b) Robins v. Goddard, [1905] 1 K. B. 294; Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. R.

(c) South Eastern Rail. Co. v. Warton (1861), 6 H. & N. 520; Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. p.) 12, per ERLE, C.J., at p. 17; Clemence v. Clarke (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,

Vol. II., p. 41, per LINDLEY, J., at p. 52.

(d) Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson

on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347.

(e) Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1849), 7 Hare.

482; Smith v. Gordon (1880), 30 Upper Canada C. P. 553.

(f) See Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630, 648, where the engineer, having power to certify what sum was to be paid as liquidated damages for delay, certified a certain sum under this power, and it was held that the employers were still bound to aver and to prove before a jury that there had been a default by the contractor.

(q) See p. 221, post. (h) See p. 214, ante.



SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

should arise between the parties, and that a certificate of the architect or an award of the referee is to be conclusive, the certificate must have been given before any dispute has arisen (i).

How far certificate conclusive.

433. A final certificate may be only partially conclusive; for instance, it may be conclusive as to liability but not as to amount (k). or vice versû (l).

On the other hand, the certificate will be conclusive and binding on both parties, although it be made carelessly unskilfully or inaccurately, because persons employing, or agreeing to abide by the determination of, an architect or engineer who is incompetent must be bound by his mistakes (m).

Work of prescribed quality and also to satisfaction of architect.

Withdrawal.

Where the contract provides that work and materials shall be of some prescribed quality, and also that they shall be to the satisfaction of the architect, then, unless the covenants are independent, the description of the quality is mere surplusage and the certificate of the architect is conclusive as to the quality (n).

If a valid final certificate is once given, the architect or engineer cannot, unless so provided in the contract, withdraw it for the purpose of correcting incorrect statements of fact or of value contained in it, though if the certificate is invalid he can make another (a).

Again, if the certificate is based on erroneous reports by an agent of the employer, and not on any fraud of the contractor, it is conclusive against the employer (p).

Mtra rires certificates.

434. The certificates of architects and engineers are only conclusive as to the matters intrusted to them in that behalf, and if the certificate is ultra vires as to matter it is so far not conclusive (q). If the certificate is partially within the certifier's powers and partially without them, it may be conclusive so far as it is intra vires, and not as to the remainder. Thus, it may be conclusive as to quantity and not as to liability, or vice versû (a).

⁽i) Lloyd Brothers v. Milward (1895), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 288.

⁽k) Pashby v. Birmingham Corporation (1856), 18 C. B. 2.

⁽k) Pasnoy v. Birmingham Corporation (1855), 18 C. B. 2.
(l) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630.
(m) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J.
(C. P.) 12, per Willes, J., at p. 17; Harvey v. Lawrence (1867), 15 L. T. 571; Connor and Olney v. Belfast Water Commissioners (1871), 5 L. R. C. L. 55; Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 605; Laidlaw v. Hustings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architects' Legal Handbook, 4th ed., Appendix, p. 238; Patrician (1972) v. Thompson (1873) Hudson on Building Contracts 3rd ed. Bateman (Lord) v. Thompson (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 23; Dunaberg and Witepsk Rail. Co. v. Hopkins, Gilkes & Co., Ltd. (1877), 36 L. T. 733; Clemence v. Clarke (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41; Lapthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 Cab. & El. 486.
(n) Chapman v. Edinburgh Prison Board (1844), 6 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 1288;

Harvey v. Lawrence, supra.

⁽o) See Cook v. Ipswich Local Board (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 451; Cumberland v. Bowes (1854), 15 C. B. 348; and Freeman v. Jeffries (1869), L. R. 4 Exch.

⁽p) Ayr Road Trustees v. Adams (1883), 11 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 326.
(q) Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272; Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 229.

⁽a) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell, supra.

If the power to certify only arises on the happening of a certain event (e.g., in the event of the builder making default), the ascertainment of the event must precede the exercise of the power. Where no method of ascertaining the happening of the event is prescribed in the contract, the question in case of dispute must be left to the jury or to arbitration (b). If the architect has power to ascertain whether the event has happened, he must have actually determined that question before his power to certify arises.

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

435. The architect or engineer must give his certificate by the Certificate exercise of his own judgment, and without being improperly result of architect's interfered with by the employer, for if the latter improperly independent interferes (even in the absence of fraud), the final certificate will not judgment. be conclusive and binding on the contractor (c).

436. The architect cannot give a valid certificate if he acts Effect of

fraudulently or in collusion with the employer (d).

fraud or collusion.

An allegation of fraud on the part of the architect can only be sustained when he has acted dishonestly. A mere allegation that he has certified for less money than he ought to have done, or that he has rejected work which he ought to have approved, or that the measurements made by him are inaccurate (e), will not amount to an allegation of fraud, because the parties have agreed to accept the judgment of the architect as the standard of what is fair and reasonable.

The effect of fraud by the certifier alone without collusion with the employer is, it would seem, to render the certificate voidable; to treat it as void might in some circumstances leave the contractor in even a worse position than he was before (f).

Where, however, the employer makes use of the fraudulent certificate, and re-enters or forfeits the contract, that amounts to prevention, and the contractor has his remedy against the employer (g).

As to what amounts to collusion, any active interference by the employer with the architect or engineer to induce him to certify for less than he honestly believes to be due to the contractor would certainly be included. There is no case which decides the effect

(c) Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347.

(e) Re Meadows and Kenworthy (1897), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 292.

(f) See p. 183, ante, and Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151.

(g) See pp. 196, 200, ante; p. 242, post.

⁽b) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630.

on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., vol. 11., p. 541.

(d) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J.

(c. p.) 12. per Erle, C.J., at p. 17; Clemence v. Clarke (1879), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41, per Lindley, J., at p. 52; M'Intosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1855), 3 Sm. & G. 146; Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1849), 7 Hare, 482; Batterbury v. Vyse (1863), 2 H. & C. 42; Bliss v. Smith (1865), 34 Beav. 508, per ROMILLY, M.R., at 510. "Courts of equity interfere" where there is collusive decline, and p. 510: "Courts of equity interfere . . . where there is collusive dealing and concert between the employer and the person whom he has appointed architect, for the purpose of injuring the contractor or defeating his claim."

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

of an employer lying by and retaining a large sum from the contractor where he knows that the architect has fraudulently certified for less than is due, but it has been said that if the conduct of the architect is fraudulent his determination is void (h). architect refuses to exercise any judgment as to giving a certificate the contractor should call on the employer to appoint another (i).

Claims where no certificate.

437. Though a claim by a contractor, in the absence of a certificate which is a condition precedent to payment, would in the absence of fraud or collusion be certain to fail, yet the contractor cannot be restrained by injunction from bringing such an action (k).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Disqualification of the Certifier.

Architect in giving certificate not arbitrator.

438. Although the architect or engineer in giving a certificate may be acting as a quasi-arbitrator, he is not an arbitrator, and is not subject to the same rules, for he is chosen, not because of his ability to sift evidence, but on account of his skill and knowledge of the matters in question. He is the agent in other respects of one of the parties, and has also certain known interests, and is therefore to a certain extent biassed. His duty is to apply his knowledge and skill honestly and as impartially as he can to the giving of the certificate.

What will disqualify.

If, however, he has entered into some contract or arrangement with his employer unknown to the contractor which may have the effect of inducing him unfairly to cut down the cost of the works (1), as by promising that the costs shall not exceed a certain sum (m), this secret agreement will have the effect of disqualifying him. mere estimate of the cost of the work, however, would not have the effect of disqualifying the architect as certifier, unless the assurance was of such a peculiar nature as to support the inference that the architect had so committed himself by the expression of opinion as to render himself incapable of adjudicating on the question (n). Even a strongly expressed opinion of the certifier will not disqualify him from subsequently giving a decision on the same matter as arbitrator (o).

If the stipulation that the architect shall have these powers of certifying has been obtained by fraud or misrepresentation, the stipulation cannot be enforced (p).

⁽h) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. p.) 12, 17. See also Smith v. Howden Union (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151; Kellett v. New Mills Urban Instrict Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., pp. 329, 331.

⁽i) Clarke v. Watson (1865), 18 C. B. (N. s.) at p. 285. (k) De Worms (Baron) v. Mellier (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 554.

⁽¹⁾ Kemp v. Rose (1858), 1 Giff. 258.

(m) Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. R. 13 Eq. 1.

(n) Trowsdale & Son v. Jopp and North British Rail. Co. (1865), 4 Macph.

(Ct. of Sess.) 31, per Lord Ardmillan, at p. 36.

(o) Cross v. Leeds Corporation (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,

Vol. II., p. 369.

⁽p) Trickett v. Green (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.) 69; Kimberley v. Dick, supra; London Tramways Co. v. Bailey (1877), 3 Q. B. D. 217; Wakefield and Barnsley Banking Co. v. Normanton Local Board (1881), 44 L. T. 697.

439. The fact that the certifier is the known agent of one of the parties affords no ground for disqualification (q), nor does the fact that he is known to be a shareholder in the company when the employer is a company (r). The honest performance of his duties in the course of his employment as such agent, e.g., in superintending the works, affords no ground for disqualification (a). Nor will errors in judgment or want of skill disqualify him, for he is not infallible, and the parties having chosen him must abide by their choice (b).

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

What will not disqualify.

440. It is his duty to hear what both parties have to urge (c). and not to give facilities to one party which he does not give to the other (d), and generally to act impartially (e).

Duty to be impartial.

441. The known interests of architects and engineers, which Matters of builders and contractors will be presumed to have had in their which parties minds at the time of the contract, are that:—

which parties

- (1) The certifier is an agent, and in some cases a salaried servant, of the employer, and consequently owes a duty to him for reward.
- (2) It is usual for the certifier to have made an estimate for the employer of the cost of the work, and in many cases of the time necessary for completion, which gives him a certain interest in those estimates not being exceeded.
- (3) He usually prepares the contract which fixes his duties, and appoints himself to exercise the various functions appertaining to his different capacities as agent, certifier, and perhaps arbitrator.
- (4) He is under an obligation to his employer and has an inducement out of regard to his own reputation not to allow unnecessary

(a) Cross v. Leeds Corporation (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 369.

(b) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.) 12, per WILLES, J., at p. 17: "It is said by the defendants that the architect was not at liberty to set aside the provision of the contract as to a written order being necessary. There is a simple answer to this; if he has done his duty, he has not done so, if he has, he has decided erroneously, but that is a matter for his own conscience, and the corporation have to blame

that is a matter for his own conscience, and the corporation have to braine themselves for appointing him."

(c) Armstrong v. South London Tramways Co. (1890), 7 T. L. R. 123.

(d) Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347.

(e) Chambers v. Goldthorpe, [1901] 1 K. B. 624; Pawley v. Turnbull (1861), C. C. C. Dutterburg, Vice (1863), 2 H. & C. 43; Ludwood v. Romett (1877). 3 Giff. 70; Butterbury v. Vyse (1863), 2 H. & C. 42; Ludbrook v. Barrett (1877), 46 L. J. (c. P.) 798, 800.

⁽q) Jackson v. Barry Rail. Co., [1893] 1 Ch. 238; Eckersley v. Mersey Docks and Harbour Board, [1894] 2 Q. B. 667; Ives and Barker v. Willans, [1894] 2 Ch. 478; Pickthall v. Merthyr Tydvil Local Board (1886), 2 T. L. R. 805.
(r) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72. In one case the Court went so far as to hold that an engineer was not disqualified as certifier by the fact that the company had demised their railway to him at a rent to correspond with a fixed rate of interest upon the expense of the construction of the line (Hill v. South Staffordshire Rail. Co. (1865), 12 L. T. 63); but in this case the court does not seem to have drawn the distinction between the known and the unknown interests of architects and engineers in building and engineering contracts. See title Arbitration, Vol. I., p. 480.

SECT. 3. Final Certificates. extras, or excessive charges for extras, and to endeavour to keep down the amount and cost of extras.

(5) He is necessarily in frequent communication, in the exercise of his duties as agent of the employer, both with him and the contractor; though when he enters on his capacity as quasi-arbitrator he must be careful not to communicate anything to the one party which he does not communicate to the other, in relation to the subject-matter of his certificate (f).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Dispensing with a Certificate.

When certificate may be dispensed with.

442. Where the production of a certificate has been made a condition precedent to payment, this condition cannot be dispensed with, except where there is either fraud or collusion (q), or the employer interferes to prevent a certificate being given (h), or otherwise prevents completion (i), or where the certifier is disqualified (k), or where the condition has been waived.

When certificate wrongfully withheld.

443. Where the certificate has been fraudulently and collusively withheld, the action of the employer is a breach of contract, and the contractor has a right of action for damages for this breach of the contract (l).

If the employer interferes with the certifier, as for instance by telling him that he will not accept his certificate unless certain conditions, not stipulated for in the contract, are fulfilled, that again amounts to a breach of the contract (m).

It has been held that if the certifier never addresses himself to determine and certify, and wrongfully and unreasonably refuses or delays to certify, and the employer takes advantage of this refusal to refuse or delay payment, the contractor can recover without a certificate even if fraud is not alleged (n).

When performance prevented.

444. Where the employer prevents performance of the contract, this at once disables him from insisting on the condition as to the certificate, and that condition will be treated as dispensed with (o). No person can take advantage of the non-fulfilment of a condition the performance of which has been hindered by himself(p).

Where the performance is prevented by the acts or defaults of the

(p) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. B. 5 C. P. 310, 331.

⁽f) Page v. Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 347.

⁽g) See p. 217, ante. (h) See p. 217, ante.

⁽i) See p. 217, ante.

⁽k) See p. 218, ante.
(l) Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1850), 2
H. & Tw. 239; Macintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1850), 19 L. J. (CH.) 374; Milner v. Field (1850), 20 L. J. (EX.) 68.

⁽m) Brunsden v. Beresford (1883), 1 Cab. & El. 125. (n) Kellett v. New Mills Urban District Council (1900), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 329. But see Botterill v. Ware Guardians (1886), 2 T. L. R. 621, C. A.; Clarke v. Watson (1865) 18 C. B. (N. s.) 278.

(a) Hotham v. East India Co. (1787), 1 Term Rep. 638, 645; Mackay v. Dick

^{(1881), 6} App. Cas. 251.

architect or engineer, the employer will only be liable for them when they occur in the exercise of his functions as agent of the employer, for the employer is under no liability for his acts or defaults in the exercise of his quasi-judicial functions (q).

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

445. If the refusal to certify is caused by a mere unreason- when able or capricious exercise of the discretion vested in the architect certificate or engineer, the contractor has neither a cause of action against the employer (a) nor grounds for equitable relief (b), but if the architect delays the supply of plans necessary for the carrying out of the work, the employer is liable for breach of contract (c).

capriciously

446. The right to insist on a certificate as a condition precedent Waiver of being for the benefit of the employer, the employer can waive it. Whether or not such a right has been waived is a question of fact for the jury (d). Where, however, the contract must be under seal, it would seem, on the analogy of a condition making written orders for extras a condition precedent to payment (e), that the right to insist on a certificate cannot be waived by a mere parol agreement.

If acceptance of the work done can be proved, that may amount to waiver of the right to require a certificate as a condition precedent to payment (f). Such proof would be comparatively easy to establish in the case of a contract to build a ship (q), but much more difficult in the case of a building or engineering contract (h).

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Who is to give the Certificate.

447. The certificate must be given in strict accordance with Certificate the terms of the contract, and by the person therein designated by person either by name or description; thus, where a certificate by two designated or more architects is prescribed, a certificate by one only is not in contract. sufficient (i).

Where the person to give the certificate is designated by name, and there is no power contained in the contract under which the

(a) Botterill v. Ware Board of Guardians (1886), 2 T. L. R. 621.

(h) See p. 203, ante.

⁽⁹⁾ Re De Morgan, Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills and Granaries, Ltd. (1892), 8 T. L. R. 272.

⁽b) Moser v. St. Magnus and St. Margaret (Churchwardens) (1795), cited in Worsley v. Wood (1796), 6 Term Rep. 710, at p. 716; Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1858), 3 De G. & J. 334.

⁽c) As, for instance, not supplying drawings (Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 513; M'Alpine v. Lanarkshire and Ayrshire Rail. Co. (1889), 17 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 113; Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120).
(d) De Vile v. Arnold (1822), 10 Price (Ex.) 21.

⁽e) Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283. (f) Tayleur v. Blythe (1856), 27 L. T. (o. s.) 101.

⁽g) But not so in the case of a contract to repair a ship. See Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190, at p. 204: "The mere fact that the defendant took the ship which was his own property and made the best he could of it cannot give the plaintiffs any additional right. It is not like the case of an acceptance of goods which were not previously the property of the acceptor."

⁽i) Lamprell v. Billericay Union, supra, at p. 304.

SECT. 3. Final Certificates.

"Architect for time being."

Effect of

architect.

employer can dismiss that person and appoint another person to give the certificate, there can be no dispute as to who is to give the certificate, and any dismissal of the designated person by the employer will either be ineffective or amount to breach of contract (k).

Where the certifier is described as "the architect for the time being," or "A. B. or other the architect for the time being," it seems that the architect at the time when the necessity for the certificate arises is the proper person to certify, and not the person who was architect when the work the subject-matter of the certificate was performed (l).

448. The effect of the employer dismissing the architect or engineer differs according as the contract provides for the certificate being given by a named person, or by the architect or engineer of the employer for the time being. In the first case, though the employer can dismiss the architect or engineer from his functions as agent, he must still allow him to exercise his functions as certifier, and, apparently, provide for his doing so, while in the second case he has full power to dismiss him both as agent and certifier, but is bound within a reasonable time to appoint another suitable person to exercise these functions.

Part VII.—Price.

SECT. 1 .- Price for a Completed Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Lump Sum Contracte.

Liquidated demand.

449. If the contract is to construct a specified work for a specified sum of money (commonly called a lump sum contract), the contractor's claim for payment is a liquidated demand, and is within the provisions of the Rules of the Supreme Court as to obtaining summary judgment on a specially indorsed writ (m).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Price fixed by a Schedule of Prices.

Liquidated demand.

450. If the contract is to do work for a price to be ascertained by measuring each particular class of work and pricing it in accordance with a schedule (n), e.g., so much per yard for excavation etc., the price can be recovered in an action as a liquidated demand (o), if the measurement of the work is a purely ministerial act, and also

(k) Mills v. Bayley (1863), 2 H. & C. 36.

(1) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72.

⁽m) R. S. C., Ord. 3, r. 6; Ord. 14. So where the price is payable by instalments, sunmary judgment may be obtained in respect of each instalment (Workman, Clark & Co., Ltd. v. Lloyd Brasileño, [1908] 1 K. B. 968, C. A.).

⁽n) Jamieson v. M'Innes (1887), 15 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 17; Wilkie v. Hamilton Lodging House Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 951.

⁽a) Stephenson v. Weir (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 369. See also Meade v. Mouillott (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 207.

where it is intrusted to the architect or engineer in his quasijudicial capacity as certifier, and the measurement of the work has been certified (p).

SECT. 1. Price for a Completed Contract.

Similarly, if the contract is to perform a work for a lump sum, and additions and omissions are to be measured and valued in accordance with a schedule of prices, and their value added to or deducted from the stipulated price, the contractor's claim is a liquidated demand (q).

451. In case the schedule of prices is incomplete, and the Schedule contractor is under an obligation to perform some particular class incomplete. of work for which no price is fixed in the schedule, that class of work will have to be paid for at a reasonable rate, unless the contract provides some other manner of ascertaining its value, such as by referring the matter to some independent person as quasi-arbitrator (a). Such a claim would be in the nature of a quantum meruit and would be a liquidated demand (b).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Interest.

452. Apart from statute, interest is not payable on ordinary When interest debts unless by special agreement or mercantile usage, and damages payable. are not recoverable for non-payment of such debts (c). There is no mercantile usage that interest is payable on a debt due under a building contract.

Sub-Sect. 4.—Promise to pay more than agreed.

453. As in the case of other contracts, a promise to pay for the Consideration work more than the price fixed by the contract will not be binding for increase on the promisor unless it is supported by some consideration (1). on the promisor unless it is supported by some consideration (d). An undertaking to use greater expedition in the work than that contracted for would be sufficient consideration to make binding a promise for extra payment (e).

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Where no Price is fixed.

454. Where a price for the work to be done has not been fixed No price by agreement between the parties, the builder or contractor is originally entitled to recover the fair and reasonable value of the work done and the materials supplied by him, or, in other words, a quantum meruit. This right rests on an implied contract by the employer that he will pay for services rendered at his request (f).

⁽p) See Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602.

⁽q) See Meade v. Mouillott (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 207.
(a) Re Walton-on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400.
(b) Stephenson v. Weir (1879), 4 L. R. Ir. 369.

⁽c) London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co. v. South Eastern Rail. Co., [1892] 1 Ch. 120, per Lindley, L.J., at p. 140. As to the cases where interest is recoverable, see title Money and Money-Lending.

⁽d) Harris v. Watson (1791), Peake, 72; Stilk v. Myrick (1809), 2 Camp. 317; Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, 608; and see title CONTRACT.

⁽e) See Williamson v. Clements (1809), 1 Taunt. 523; McGreevy v. Russell (1887), 56 L. T. 501; and title CONTRACT. (f) 3 Bl. Com. 161; Vin. Ahr. 362; and see title WORK AND LABOUR.

SECT. 1. Price for a Completed Contract.

A reasonable price includes payment for the skill, supervision, and services of the contractor himself, as well as for materials and labour supplied by him (q).

Reasonable price.

Where part of the work has been paid for at a particular rate, and the contractor has raised no protest against payment at that rate, this will be evidence that the contractor has agreed to accept payment at that rate, and that that rate is reasonable.

Original price ceasing to apply.

455. Where a price was originally fixed in the contract, but such price has for some reason ceased to be applicable, so that a reasonable price has become substituted for that fixed by the contract, such reasonable price depends on all the circumstances. A contract to pay the market price has been held in a particular contract to mean the market price at the time the contract was made (h).

How far original contract still applicable.

When the condition in a contract as to price has ceased to be applicable, the contract may still have to be looked at, as there may be other conditions therein, still binding, which have some effect on the price.

Sect. 2.—Price where Contract is not completed.

Effect of noncompletion.

456. Where the contract is not completed the non-completion may arise in various ways, e.g., (1) by an agreement between the parties, (2) by default of the employer, or (3) by default of the contractor (i).

SECT. 3.—Bonus and Deductions.

When bonus payable.

457. A clause is often inserted in building and engineering contracts giving the builder or contractor an addition to the price if he completes the work sooner than the stipulated time (k). This addition to the price is usually called a "bonus."

This bonus may be conditioned to be payable in different ways. The contract may provide that if the contractor completes the work before a certain day he shall be entitled to receive a fixed sum, or it may provide that for every day or week by which completion precedes a fixed date a certain sum shall be paid to the contractor.

To entitle the contractor to claim the bonus he must show that he completed by the time fixed. If he is prevented from so doing by the default of the employer he can claim damages for preventing him from earning the bonus. It would seem that the measure of damages is not necessarily the full amount of the bonus, but may depend upon the amount of the expedition used (1).

⁽g) Grafton v. Armitage (1845), 2 C. B. 336. (h) Malloch v. Hodghton (1849), 12 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 215.

⁽i) See pp. 238 et seq., post. (k) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, 78; Macintosh v. Midland Counties Rail. Co. (1845), 14 M. & W. 548.

⁽¹⁾ Bywaters & Sons v. Curnick & Co. (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. I., p. 793. See, however, the obiter dicta of ALDERSON, B., in Macintosh v. Midland Counties Rail. Co., supra, at p. 558.

Part VIII.—Payment.

SECT. 1.—Payments on Account.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

SECT. 1. **Payments** on Account.

458. The large expenditure which builders and contractors have when payto incur in carrying out the works which they have undertaken to ments on construct renders it usual for the contract to provide for payments account due. on account of the price during the construction of the works. The manner in which these payments on account are regulated varies according to the terms of the contract. Sometimes the several instalments become due on the completion of particular stages of the work, e.g., a sum when the second floor of a house is reached, and so on (m); sometimes the interim payments are to be not less than a fixed sum, e.g., not less than £1,000 at the rate of £75 per cent. on the value of the work (and materials) supplied; or, again, at fixed periods, irrespective of amount, e.g., monthly payments at the rate of £75 per cent. of the value of the work (and materials).

Where the contract does not make completion a condition precedent to payment there may be an implied stipulation on the part of the employer to pay from time to time a reasonable sum to the contractor during the progress of the work (n).

459. Whichever method is agreed upon as that in accordance Conditions with which payment is to be made, nothing becomes due to the of payment. contractor until he has done everything to entitle him to receive payment (o). Each certificate for an instalment creates a debt due (p), and the contractor is entitled to immediate payment thereof subject to the terms of the contract and any right of the employer to any set-off or counterclaim; e.g., for liquidated damages (q).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Recovering back Instalments paid,

460. Where, after the payment of money to the contractor on Effect of account, he fails to complete owing to his own default or abandons contractor the contract without good cause, the employer may be entitled to the contract recover back the instalments paid on the ground that the consideration has wholly failed; but at all events the employer would have a ground of action for a breach of the contract to complete (r), in which the damages recovered might equal or exceed the amount paid on account.

H.L.-III.

Q

⁽m) Terry v. Duntze (1795), 2 Hy. Bl. 389.

⁽n) Roberts v. Havelock (1832), 3 B. & Ad. 404; The Tergeste, [1903] P. 26, per PHILLIMORE, J., at p. 34.

⁽o) Needler v. Guest (1647), Aleyn, 9.

(p) Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 235.

(q) Stubbs v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 311; Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 199.

(r) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651; Newfoundland Government v.

Newfoundland Rail. Co., supra.

SECT. 2.

SECT. 2.—Payment on Completion.

Payment on Completion.

When final payment due.

461. When the whole work is completed in accordance with the contract, payment becomes due to the contractor, except where there is a stipulation postponing the time for payment of the whole or part of the balance, e.g., until after the expiration of a period during which the contractor is liable for defects or for repairs (s).

Retention money.

As the interim payments to the contractor are usually made at a rate per cent. on the value of the work done and sometimes materials supplied, there remains on each of these values a balance unpaid. These balances constitute what is called retention money, which is retained by the employer as a security for the due completion of the work, and as a fund to be drawn upon either to complete the work, or rectify defects on the failure of the contractor to do so.

SECT. 3.—Mode of Payment.

Payment in cash.

462. Where the contract does not make any special provisions as to payment, the contractor is entitled to be paid in cash. In some contracts, however, special provisions are contained, stipulating that payment may be made either in whole or in part in bills of exchange, debentures, shares, land, or Lloyd's bonds.

Bills.

463. If the contractor takes bills, which are dishonoured, the contractor, unless he has accepted the bills in complete satisfaction of his debt, may either treat them as a nullity and sue on the contract, or he may sue on the dishonoured bills (t). holders in due course of such bills do not acquire any charge over or lien on the subject-matter of the contract, even when it is, as in the case of a ship, not being constructed on the land of the employer (a).

Debentures or shares.

464. If the payment is to be by way of debentures or shares, either party can insist on the prescribed mode of payment, though the price of the debentures or shares may have risen or fallen since the contract was made (b). An agreement to take payment from a company in fully paid-up shares of the company must be registered with the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies (c), but non-registration does not make the contractor liable as a contributory (d). The effect, however, of payment in fully paid shares seems to be that they are to be treated as paid up to the extent they have in fact been paid for in money or money's worth. If a builder agrees to take shares in a company in consideration of being employed as a contractor to execute works for the company, this is a conditional contract, and if

(t) See title CONTRACT.

⁽s) See also p. 214, ante, as to certificates.

⁽a) Re Lindsay, Ex parte Lambton (1875), 10 Ch. App. 405.
(b) De Waal v. Adler (1886), 12 App. Cas. 141. See also Re De Morgan Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills (1892), 8 T. L. B. 108, 292.
(c) Companies Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 48), s. 7 (1) (b); and see title COMPANIES.

⁽d) By the repeal of s. 25 of the Companies Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 131).

he is not given the contract, he need not take the shares, and is entitled to be struck off the list of contributories (e).

SECT. 3. Mode of Payment.

465. If the payment is to be made in land, the contractor will, it seems, be entitled to claim specific performance of the contract, if he has performed every condition precedent to his right to payment, or he may treat the contract as at an end and bring an action for a quantum meruit for the work done (f), or he may bring an action for breach of contract, in which case, it is suggested, the damages recoverable will be limited to the value of the land.

Land.

466. Where payment is to be made in Lloyd's bonds (g), How far debentures (h), or shares (i), and they are assigned by the contractor, subject to the assignee takes them subject to the equities existing between the employer and the contractor (i) at the time of the notice of assignment (k).

Sect. 4.—Appropriation of Payments.

467. There is a general rule of law that, in the absence of any Conditions appropriation by the employer at the time of payment, the contractor applicable to is at liberty to appropriate a general payment on account to any debt appropriation. he pleases (1). It is specially important, in the case of building and engineering contracts, to remember that the appropriation must be to a debt (m). A contractor, therefore, cannot, by purporting to appropriate a payment to extras not properly ordered in accordance with the contract (n), get over the non-fulfilment of a condition precedent to his right to payment (o). When, therefore, the contractor constructs additional works which are not ordered in the manner prescribed by the contract, or which have not been certified for by the architect when such certificate is a condition precedent to payment, no debt in respect of this additional work has been incurred by the employer, and the contractor cannot by purporting to appropriate a payment to this claim alter the position of the employer (p).

⁽e) Re Aldborough Hotel Co., Simpson's Case (1869), 4 Ch. App. 184.

⁽f) See Keys v. Harwood (1846), 2 C. B. 905.

⁽i) A company may decline to register any transfer of shares made by a

member who is indebted to them (Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), Table A, clause 10).

⁽j) See p. 270, post, and Re Blakely Ordnance Co., Ex parte New Zealand Banking Corporation (1867), 3 Ch. App. 154.

⁽k) A Lloyd's bond is not equitably subject to arrears of rent due from the grantee of the bond which accrued due after notice of the assignment though the lease was made before the notice (Watson v. Mid Wales Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 593).

⁽I) Thompson v. Hudson (1871), 6 Ch. App. 320; Clayton's Case (1816), 1 Mer, 572. See title Contract.

(m) Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283, 307.

(n) See p. 234, post.

⁽o) A creditor receiving money on account is not authorised to apply it towards the satisfaction of any claim which does not rest on some legal or equitable demand against the debtor (Lamprell v. Billericay Union, supra, per ROLFE, B., at p. 307).

⁽p) Ibid.

SECT. 4. Appropriation of Payments.

The question whether there is a debt must also be considered in the case where the employer is a corporate body, and there are statutory restrictions on the manner in which such body is able to contract (q).

Part IX.—Alterations, Additions, and Omissions.

Sect. 1.—Extras.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

No general duty as to extras.

468. Where a building or engineering contract does not contain provisions that alterations in, additions to, or omissions from the contract may be made, the builder is under no obligation to make them; and if he does so, the liability of the employer to pay for them depends upon various considerations (r).

It is incumbent on the contractor to show that there was an intention on the part of the employer to enter into some new contract, and, in case the orders for the variation come from the

architect, that he was authorised to give such orders (s).

Definition of "extras."

The word "extras" is employed to designate all works not expressly or impliedly described in the specification and plans. It appears to be a question of construction for the judge to decide, in the case of a written contract, whether additional work is of the kind contemplated by the contract or outside and independent of it (t).

Express power to order extras.

469. It is usual in building and engineering contracts to give the employer or his architect or engineer power to order additions to, omissions from, and variations in the specified works. It is also a common practice to prescribe the time and manner in which the orders for such variation of the works shall be given, e.g., by orders in writing to be given sometimes previous to their execution.

Liability of employer for additional works.

470. When additional works are ordered during the construction of works, the question of how far the employer is liable to pay for them depends upon how the alteration originated, whether it was authorised, and in many cases (depending on the terms of the contract) in what method it was ordered.

The employer will not be liable for—(1) (in a lump sum contract) work impliedly included in the work contracted for or necessary for its completion (u); (2) work performed voluntarily without

(u) See p. 186, ante.

⁽r) See p. 231, post.
(s) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. (Ex.) 37; Cooper v. Langdon (1841), 9 M. & W. 60.

⁽t) Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 149.

request (w); (3) work as to which the conditions precedent prescribed in the contract have not been complied with (x); (4) work ordered by the architect without authority (y); (5) work to pay for which no contract, express or implied, can be proved (a); and (6) in certain cases goods of the value of £10 and upwards (b).

SECT. 1. Extras.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Necessary Works.

471. Works or materials which, although not expressly described, Necessary are necessary for the completion of a lump sum contract, are not works not extras, and it does not matter that they are not described in the extras. specification (c), or shown on the plans or drawings, or that they are rendered necessary by defective planning or by an impracticable design (d), or that they are not taken out in the quantities (e), whether the quantities are made part of the contract (f) or not (g). The architect or engineer has no authority, even when he is empowered to order extras, to order such necessary works as extras.

included in

If work has to be done to the satisfaction of a third person, and that third person requires certain work to be done for his satisfaction, such work cannot give rise to a claim for payment for it as an extra, if it is necessary work (h).

472. When, however, the architect or engineer has authority to Necessary give a final and binding certificate fixing the final balance payable works included in to the contractor, such a certificate is conclusive between the parties, certificate as even though it should direct payment for work as extra which is extras. really comprised in the work contracted for, or for extra work not ordered in the manner prescribed by the contract (i).

In the case of a contract to construct works for which payment is

(x) See p. 234, post.

(b) Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 71), s. 4, replacing s. 17 of the

Statute of Frauds (29 Car. 2, c. 3). (c) Williams v. Fitzmaurice (1858), 3 H. & N. 844; Wilson v. Wallace (1859), 21 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 507; Re Shell Transport and Trading Co. and Consolidated Petroleum Co. (1904), 20 T. L. R. 517.

(d) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 579; and see Hydraulic

Engineering Co., Ltd. v. Spencer & Sons (1886), 2 T. L. R. 554.

(e) Scrivener v. Pask (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 715; Re Ford & Co. and Bemrose & Sons (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 354.

(f) Coker v. Young (1860), 2 F. & F. 98. (g) Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. B. 13 Eq. 1.

⁽w) Wilmot v. Smith (1828), 3 C. & P. 453; and see p. 232, post.

⁽y) Cooper v. Langdon (1841), 9 M. & W. 60; R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J.

⁽a) Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283; Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council (1863), 7 L. T. 736; Lovelock v. King (1831), 1 Moo. & B. 60; Johnson v. Weston (1859), 1 F. & F. 693; Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307; Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. M. Elroy & Sons (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1040; and see p. 230, post.

⁽h) Dobson v. Hudson (1857), 26 L. J. (C. P.) 153; and see p. 207, ante.
(i) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J.
(C. P.) 12; Apthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 T. L. R. 279; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238; Connor and Olley v. Belfast Water Commissioners (1871), 5 I. R. C. L. 55; and see p. 215, post.

SECT. 1. Extras.

to be made in accordance with a schedule of prices, if the particular class of work ordered is provided for in the schedule, it must be paid for accordingly, or if it is not it must, in the absence of any other prescribed mode of ascertaining the price, be paid for at a fair and reasonable price (k).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Works outside the Contract.

How far works outside contracta are extras.

473. If additional work is of such a nature as to be entirely outside the contract, it does not come within a clause relating to extras at all (l), and is not subject to any of the stipulations of the contract. But if the contractor accepts orders purporting to be given under the contract, when he might have refused to do them at all, he may not be able, depending on the circumstances, to set up that such orders relate to work altogether outside the contract, or that he is released from conditions precedent in regard to them. Work ordered after the completion of the contract may on that ground be entirely outside the contract (m).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Alterations, Additions, and Omissions.

Effect of ordering alterations.

474. A power to order alterations, additions, or omissions in the contract will not extend to permit the architect or engineer to change the whole scheme of the work and turn it into something entirely different from that contracted for (n). Thus, if additions are made to a building, the contract still exists so far as it can be traced to have been followed, but where the work is varied to such an extent that it is impossible to trace the contract at all, the contract must be treated as abandoned, and the work as having been done under an implied contract to pay by measure and value (o).

An unauthorised departure from the contract work by the contractor not only gives him no claim for extra payment, but may prevent his recovering payment under the contract, or even render him liable in damages for breach of his contract to complete the work in accordance with the specification and plans or his covenant not to vary or deviate from the contract, when such a covenant is contained in the contract (p).

A limitation is sometimes placed on the employer's or the architect's right to vary, by specifying a percentage by which the contract sum may be increased or diminished.

(k) See Re Walton-on-the-Naze Urban District Council and Moran (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 400.

⁽¹⁾ Reid v. Batte (1829), Mood. & M. 413; Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) 1862), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 149; Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120.

⁽m) Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount), supra, per ERLE, C.J., at p. 197: "With respect to such articles as were supplied after the contract was fully completed, it appears to me that they are entirely severed from the contract

and from any restriction contained in it."

(n) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. (Ex.) 37.

(o) Pepper v. Burland (1792), Peake, 103, per Lord Kenyon, at p. 104.

(p) Ellis v. Hamlen (1810), 3 Taunt. 52; Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72; Whitaker v. Dunn (1887), 3 T. L. R. 602; Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 220; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190.

SECT. 2.—Liability to pay for Alterations and Additions.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

475. Where the contract is to construct a specified work for a lump sum, and there is no power to order extras or variations, the builder is bound to do the work as specified, and cannot recover anything for extras or variations, unless he can establish a new Lump sum contract to pay for them either by showing that the employer contracts. expressly or impliedly ordered them (q), or that the architect, acting within the scope of his authority, did so, or that the employer ratified unauthorised orders of the architect (r), or that the employer accepted the work (s) either personally or by his duly authorised agent.

SECT. 2. Liability to pay for Alterations and Additions.

476. In the case of such corporations as can only contract under contracts seal, no such parol or implied new contract can be proved. The with corporaonly binding form of new contract is one under seal (t). contract contains a power for the architect or engineer to order extras, his orders will be binding on the corporation (u), but only so far as they are given in accordance with the contract (w).

In the case of a company able to contract by parol, such a new contract to pay for extras can be proved in the same manner as in the case of a private person (x).

477. Where there is a written contract, and a claim is made for Written payment for work alleged to be an extra, the contractor must contracts produce the written document to prove that the work is in fact extra to that which he has contracted to perform (y), even when the employer has had the benefit of the work (a). But where there is a separate employment to do the additional work, the written contract need not be produced (b).

The question whether the written document need be produced depends upon whether the work under the contract and that claimed for as additional are so mixed up that it is necessary to

⁽q) See p. 230, ante.

⁽r) See p. 233, post.

⁽s) See p. 203, ante, as to what constitutes acceptance.

⁽t) Homersham v. Wolverhampton Waterworks Co. (1851), 6 Exch. 137; Rutledge v. Farnham Local Board (1861), 2 F. & F. 406; Stevens v. Hounslow Burial Board (1889), 61 L. T. 839.

⁽u) Williams v. Barmouth Urban District Council (1897), 77 L. T. 383.

⁽w) Kirk v. Bromley Union (1848), 17 L. J. (CH.) 127; Thames Iron Works Co. v. Royal Mail Steam Packet Co. (1861), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 358.

(x) Pauling v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1853), 8 Exch. 867; and

similarly, on the analogy of a sale of land, Lowe v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1852), 21 L. J. (q. B.) 361.

(y) Vincent v. Cole (1828), 1 Mood. & M. 257; Jones v. Howell (1835), 4 Dowl.

^{(1854), 14} C. B. 759; Eccles v. Southern (1861), 3 F. & F. 142; Hill v. Nuttall (1864), 17 C. B. (N. s.) 262; Buxton v. Cornish (1844), 12 M. & W. 426. See also Fielder v. Ray (1829), 3 Moo. & P. 659; Stevens v. Pinney (1818), 2 Moore (c. P.), 349.

⁽a) Hughes v. Budd (1840), 8 Dowl. 478. (b) Reid v. Batte (1829), Mood. & M. 413.

SECT. 2. Liability to pay for Alterations and Additions.

Liability of employer to sub-contractor.

look at the written contract to see whether or not the work in respect of which the claim is made had relation to it or not (c).

478. If a sub-contractor is ordered by the employer to do work, the employer must pay him for it (d). If the sub-contractor claims against the employer for work done as extra to the principal contract, he must put the principal contract in evidence to show that the work is not included in it, and prove a distinct contract with the employer to do the work for which the action is brought (e).

SUB-SECT. 2.—When the Employer is not liable for Extras.

Works voluntarily done.

479. Where the contractor voluntarily, and without any request by the employer, does extra work, or employs better materials than those stipulated for, he has no claim against the employer for more than the contract price (f).

Even though the employer should assent to alterations from the works specified, he will not be liable to pay any more than the contract price, unless he either has expressly been informed, or ought to have known from the nature of the alterations, that additional expense might be incurred (g). If the alteration is by way of a concession to the contractor, the employer cannot be charged more than the contract price (h).

On the other hand, it would seem by analogy that if the employer consents to the contractor making use of less expensive materials than those specified, he cannot, unless there is a new contract, claim that the contractor shall make a corresponding reduction in the price (i).

Promise to pay for contract work as an extra.

480. Where the contractor refuses to perform work which is included in that specified and the employer promises to pay for it as an extra, such a promise by the employer is not binding, as it is made without consideration and is a mere nudum pactum(k).

Waiver of condition as to written orders.

481. If the contract provides that written orders from the architect shall be a condition precedent to payment for extras, and the employer personally gives verbal orders for extras, the question

(e) Eccles v. Southern (1861), 3 F. & F. 142.

F. & F. 693.

(k) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, 608.

⁽c) Parton v. Cole (1841), 11 L. J. (Q. B.) 70, per PATTESON, J., at pp. 70, 71. (d) Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307; and see Bramah v. Abingdon (Lord) (1812), 15 East, 62, 66.

⁽f) Wilmot v. Smith (1828), 3 C. & P. 453; Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190.
(g) Lovelock v. King (1831), 1 Mood. & R. 60; Johnson v. Weston (1859), 1

⁽h) Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. M'Elroy & Sons (1878), 3 App. Cas. 1040, where, during the execution of a lump sum contract, the builders, finding it impossible to complete their contract without increasing the thickness specified in the contract of certain girders, were allowed to do so, and it was held that they could not claim for the increased thickness of the girders as an

⁽i) It might also be contended in such a case that the employer has waived his right to insist on the stipulated materials being employed.

whether this may not amount to a waiver of the condition precedent or to a new contract to pay for the extras has not been decided in Liability to any reported case (l). Apparently, however, something more than a mere verbal order is necessary, though there may be circumstances which will entitle the contractor to recover (m). If the employer merely looks on and sees the extra work being done and says nothing, this does not amount to such waiver or new contract (n).

SECT. 2. pay for Alterations and Additions.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Extras ordered by the Architect.

482. Where the additions or variations are ordered by the Extras must architect, the contractor, before he can recover for them, must be covered by architect's show that it was within the scope of the architect's authority to authority to order them (o).

order them.

Whether the architect was authorised to order the deviations is a question of fact for a jury, except where it depends on the construction of the contract (p). The authority may arise from the powers given to the architect by the contract, or from written or verbal instructions given to him by the employer, or from the course of business adopted by the parties.

The authority of the architect or engineer to order additions or variations does not empower him to completely change the character of the works contracted for (a).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Effect of Final and Conclusive Certificate.

483. If the contract makes the certificate of the architect When emfinal and conclusive as to the amount to be paid to the contractor for the works actually executed, or as to the amount of the balance or as to whether extras are within the contract or not. or have in fact been executed, such a certificate is binding on both parties (r), and the employer cannot avoid paying in accordance with it by alleging that extras had been allowed for which had not been done, or had been improperly done (s), or had not been ordered in the manner which was made by the contract a condition precedent to payment (t). The contractor cannot under such a

ployer bound by certificate.

l) See Norwood v. Lathrop (1901), 178 Massachusetts Rep. 208, where it was held that a contractor could recover for extras done on the verbal orders of the employer's agent, given with the employer's assent, as this amounted to a waiver

of the requirement of written orders.

(m) Franklin v. Darke (1862), 6 L. T. 291, where there was a stipulation that orders for extras should be in writing, and when the employer ordered extras verbally, saying, "You do it, and you shall be paid for it," it was held that the contractor could not recover. The question of waiver does not seem to have been raised.

⁽n) Brown v. Rollo (Lord) (1832), 10 Sh. (Ct. of Sess.) 667; but see p. 235,

⁽o) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 37; Cooper v. Langdon (1841), 9 M. & W. 60. (p) Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307.

⁽q) R. v. Peto, supra, at p. 61.

⁽r) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.)

^{12;} Richards v. May (1883), 10 Q. B. D. 400.

(s) Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238; Lapthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 T. L. B. 279; Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272.

⁽t) Connor and Olley v. Belfast Water Commissioners (1871), 5 I. R. C. L. 55.

SECT. 2. Liability to pay for Alterations and Additions.

contract claim payment for extras, though all conditions have been observed in regard to them, if the architect does not include them in his certificate (u).

Where, however, the clause making the certificate of the architect conclusive is not wide enough to include the question of extras, neither party will be precluded by the certificate from claiming or resisting payment for extras (w).

SECT. 3.—Orders in Writing.

SUB-SECT. 1.-In General.

Usual conditions for ordering extras.

- 484. Building and engineering contracts in most cases impose some conditions precedent upon payment for extras. The usual conditions are the following, one or more of which may occur in a particular contract:
- (1) The contractor must obtain orders in writing for any extras; (2) the orders must be signed and, in some cases, countersigned;
- (3) the orders must have been given before the construction of the work ordered; (4) the orders must have been given before completion of the works under the contract; (5) the orders so given must be produced; (6) weekly accounts must be delivered; (7) a previous contract must be made for any extra work; and (8) in case of dispute the price of the extras must be settled by the architect, or by arbitration, before any claim can be made.

Order in writing condition precedent.

485. Of these conditions the effect of the one most frequently employed is that orders in writing shall be a condition precedent to payment for extras. This condition, being a limitation on the powers of the architect, cannot be waived by him, though his power to give a final conclusive certificate may over-ride the limitation, and the contractor is not entitled, as against the employer, to rely on any implied authority of the architect or on any representation by him of parol authority to order such extras (a). The contractor cannot obtain relief from such a stipulation in the contract (b), nor can he get a decree for an account of extras not ordered in the prescribed manner (c).

What amounts to order in writing.

486. The nature of building and engineering operations involves constant written communications between the architect or engineer and the contractor. These communications contain instructions as to the manner of carrying out the works, the explanation of plans and drawings, detailed drawings of particular parts of the works, and answers to questions put by the contractor. Some of these from one point of view may amount to a direction to do some work additional to the contract, but to constitute an order in writing

⁽u) Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272.

⁽w) Pashby v. Birmingham Corporation (1856), 18 C. B. 2; Lorden v. Price

^{(1896),} The Builder (April 25, 1896).

(a) Nixon v. Taff Vale Rail. Co. (1848), 7 Hare, 136; Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 149; Lorden v. Price, supra.

(b) Kirk v. Bromley Union (1848), 17 L. J. (CH.) 127.

(c) Nixon v. Taff Vale Rail. Co., supra.

the condition of the particular contract must be complied with, and this may require that the order should be given before the additional work is performed (d). A progress certificate (e), or any other form of subsequent approval of the extras, may not be sufficient.

SECT. 3. Orders in Writing.

Where the contract expressly stipulates that the work is to be done under the direction and to the approval of the architect, a communication from, or a direction by, the architect as to the method of doing the work is not an order in writing for extras (f), and where by the contract extras are required to be ordered by the architect in writing under his hand, sketches prepared in the architect's office and furnished to the builder, but not signed by the architect, are not such orders in writing as are contemplated by the contract (q). In order to make the absence of written orders conclusive against the contractor, the terms of the contract relating to such orders must amount to making them a condition precedent (h).

487. The exceptional cases in which the contractor can recover for extras in the absence of orders given in the manner prescribed by the contract are the following: (1) where there is a waiver or new contract to pay (i); (2) where the work is not additional, but with. entirely outside the contract (k); (3) where the employer has prevented the performance of the condition by fraud or otherwise (l); or (4) where a final and conclusive certificate has included the extras(m).

When conditions as to dispensed

It might in certain circumstances be held to be a fraud on the part of an employer if he should request alterations and additions to be made, stand by and see the expenditure going on, take the benefit of the expenditure, and then refuse payment on the ground that the expenditure was incurred without proper orders having been given for the purpose (n).

488. Although the absence of orders given in the prescribed Effect of conmanner is conclusive against the contractor's right to recover, the ditions as to employer is not precluded, it would seem, by the existence of orders given in the prescribed manner from showing that the work ordered as an extra was in fact included in the work specified, unless a conclusive certificate has been given.

(1878), 3 App. Cas. 1040.

(f) Dobson v. Hudson (1857), 26 L. J. (C. P.) 153. (g) Myers v. Saul (1860), 3 E. & E. 306.

⁽d) "The deed, when it requires written directions, clearly means written directions before the additional works should be done" (Lamprell v. Billericay Union (1849), 3 Exch. 283, per ROLFE, B., at p. 303).
(e) See p. 212, ante, and Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. McElroy & Sons

⁽g) Myers v. Saul (1860), 3 E. & E. 500.

(h) A condition merely binding the contractor to perform such alterations and additions as might be ordered was held in Canada not to be sufficient (Diamond v. McAnnany (1865), 16 Upper Canada C. P. 9).

⁽i) See pp. 232, 233, ante. (k) See p. 230, ante.

⁽l) See p. 239, post. (m) See p. 233, ante.

⁽n) Hill v. South Staffordshire Rail. Co. (1865), 12 L. T. 63, per TURNER, L.J., at p. 65.

SECT. 3. Orders in Writing.

Refusal to give proper orders.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Effect of Refusal to give Orders in Writing.

489. The builder can refuse to perform additional work not ordered in writing, if by the terms of the contract orders are required to be given in that way, but if he does perform such work without written orders, he cannot recover payment therefor (o).

A contractor cannot claim an increased price for the contract work on the ground that the employers have caused him to incur additional expense by a proper exercise of statutory powers (p).

Part X.—Maintenance and Defect Clauses.

SECT. 1.—Distinction between Maintenance and Defect Clauses.

Usual conditions as to defects and repairs.

490. There are various classes of conditions which are inserted in building and engineering contracts as to defects, repairs, and maintenance: e.g., (1) a general repairing condition which requires the contractor to keep the works in repair during some fixed period after the architect or engineer has given his final certificate; (2) a condition that the builder will rectify any defects in materials or work which are discovered within a fixed period; (3) a maintaining and upholding condition under which the contractor may possibly be required to do more than repair, and which in some cases involves a contract on his part to keep the subject-matter of the contract in working order during the stipulated time (q); and (4) a condition that the contractor is to be liable for breach of contract for defective work appearing at any time.

Extent of obligation to repair.

491. Under such a covenant to repair from the date of the final certificate, the builder or contractor is apparently not bound to do more than repair the existing structure, including making good the effects of ordinary wear and tear as well as damage from other causes, and to keep it in the condition in which it was at the beginning of the period over which the obligation to repair extends, in the same way in which a lessee is bound to repair under a repairing lease; but he is under no obligation, under a covenant to repair only, to substitute good materials for bad, or to supply omissions (r). Under a covenant to rectify defects he is, in the absence of express stipulation, under no obligation to make good the

⁽o) Thames Iron Works Co. v. Royal Mail Steam Packet Co. (1862), 13 C. B. (N. s.) 358; Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. s.) 149; but see Murdoch v. Luckie (1897), 15 New Zealand L. R. 296, 313.

 ⁽p) Rigby v. Bristol Corporation (1860), 29 L. J. (Ex.) 359.
 (q) Sevenoaks, Maidstone, and Tunbridge Rail. Co. v. London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co. (1879), 11 Ch. D. 625; Cunliffe v. Hampton Wick Local Board (1892), 9 T. L. R. 378.

⁽r) On the analogy of a lessee under a repairing lease see Proudfoot v. Hart (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 42; Lister v. Lane, [1893] 2 Q. B. 212.

SECT. 1.

Distinction between

Main-

Defect Clauses.

to make good

defects.

consequences of wear and tear, except so far as they may arise from defective construction (a).

492. If the contractor undertakes to make good defects discovered within a fixed period, his obligation extends to defects discovered tenance and within that time although the cause of those defects may not be discovered until after the expiration of that period (b).

If the defects had appeared before the beginning of the period Extent of agreed upon, then rectification should have formed part of the work of completion, but the contractor will not be obliged to rectify them if he has once obtained a conclusive certificate of satisfactory

completion.

493. Under a defects clause no liability to reconstruct the When conbuilding or works can arise in case of their destruction by fire or tractor liable to reconother accident not caused by the contractor's default, as his obligation is limited to making good anything owing to defective construc-Under a maintenance and repairing clause, he would, in such a case, be obliged to reconstruct the building or works (c), his obligation being a general one to maintain the buildings and make good all injury to them, however caused, except by default of the employer. Where, however, the destruction has involved some building or thing necessary to the existence of the contract works, the contract obligations will be at an end (d).

494. The employer's right of action on the breach of either a When right covenant to remedy defects or a covenant to maintain and repair of action accrues. is not postponed to the end of the period agreed upon (e).

SECT. 2.—Notice to remedy Defects.

495. Building contracts usually contain a provision that if the Restrictions builder does not remedy the defects, or execute the repairs he has on contracundertaken to perform, the employer may employ another builder to do the work and charge the defaulting builder with the cost.

If the contractor neglects to perform his obligation to remedy Notice by defects under a contract which provides that he shall do so employer of during some fixed period, the employer, it would seem, must give him notice that defects have appeared, in cases where the contractor is not in possession, and also give him an opportunity to remedy the defects by allowing him within a reasonable time to enter the site of the works and to do that which is necessary. This restriction of the contractor's liability is in accordance with the general rule of law that, where a person who is not in possession of a building is under an obligation to repair it, this obligation is conditional

tor's liability.

⁽a) District of Columbia v. Clephane (1884), 110 U. S. (3 Davis), 212.
(b) Cunliffe v. Hampton Wick Local Board (1892), 9 T. L. R. 378

⁽c) Brecknock and Abergavenny Canal Navigation v. Pritchard (1796), 6 Term Rep. 750; Bullock v. Dommitt (1796), 6 Term Rep. 650; Digby v. Atkinson (1815), 4 Camp. 275; London, Leith, Edinburgh, and Glasgow Shipping Co. v. Duffus (1841), 3 Macph. (Ct. of Sess.) 929.

(d) See p. 194, ante.
(e) See Luxmore v. Robson (1818), 1 B. & Ald. 584.

SECT. 2. Notice to remedy Defects.

Waiver of notice.

How far notice necessary. on his having received notice that the building is in need of repairs within the meaning of his obligation, and on his having been given an opportunity to enter and perform that obligation (f).

If, however, the builder has stated that he will not remedy defects or execute repairs, or has in any other way dispensed with notice, he will be liable to be charged with the cost of the repairs, even if he

has not had notice of them (g).

The question whether, if the builder or contractor knows of the existence of the defects or of the necessity for repairs, actual notice to him is still necessary, has not been decided in any reported case. The reasoning on which notice is required is that, where there is knowledge in the one party and not in the other, there notice is necessary (h), and before the contractor can be charged with a breach of duty he must have notice that the time for doing it has arrived, so as to have an opportunity of performing his obligation (i). It may also be suggested that if notice is not given to the contractor who has knowledge of the defects, he has no means of knowing that the employer considers that there are defects or means to stand on his rights and to insist on the work being remedied.

Part XI.—Breach of the Contract.

SECT. 1.—What amounts to Breach of the Contract.

Sub-Sect. 1.—By the Employer.

Failure to perform condition precedent.

496. If the employer does not provide the site at the appointed time, or does not appoint an architect, or otherwise does not observe some condition precedent to the contractor's liability to commence the work, the contractor can at once throw up the contract and bring an action for damages for breach by the employer (k). If, however, the contractor elects to proceed with the work, he may, according to circumstances, be relieved from stipulations in the contract as to completion to time, liquidated damages etc., and still have an action for damages (l).

Breach during performance.

497. If the breach by the employer occurs during the progress of the work, it depends on the particular circumstances of the case whether the breach goes to the root of the contract or not. If it goes to the root of the contract, the contractor is entitled to

⁽f) Makin v. Watkinson (1870), L. R. 6 Exch. 25; London and South Western Rail. Co. v. Flower (1875), 1 C. P. D. 77; Hugall v. M. Lean (1885), 53 L. T. 94. See generally, titles REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; LANDLORD AND TENANT.

⁽g) Johnstone v. Milling (1885), 2 T. L. R. 105. (h) London and South Western Rail. Co. v. Flower (1875), 1 C. P. D. 77, per BRETT, J., at p. 85.

⁽i) Ibid., per DENMAN, J., at p. 86. (k) See p. 198, ante.

⁽¹⁾ See p. 244, post.

abandon the contract and seek his remedy in damages at once. On the other hand, if it does not go to the root of the contract, he must go on and complete the work and then sue for damages in addition to the contract price (m). As a general rule, the longer the works have been in progress and the nearer they are to completion, the less likely is it that a breach of some particular stipulation acts as an abandonment or rescission of the contract so as to entitle the contractor to treat the contract as having been put an end to (n).

SECT. 1. What amounts to Breach of the Contract.

If the employer gives notice to the contractor not to do any more work, that amounts to a total breach, and entitles the contractor to treat the contract as rescinded (o); but the notice must be final (p).

The contractor has always an option to treat a breach by the Rights of employer which goes to the root of the contract, and which contractor. would have entitled him to throw up the contract, as a partial breach, and to continue the works to completion, and then seek his remedy in damages in addition to the contract price (q).

SUB-SECT. 2.—By the Contractor.

498. The entire abandonment of the work by the contractor will Breach by justify the employer in treating the contract as having been contractor. rescinded by the contractor (r). The same considerations apply. in the case of breach of particular stipulations by the contractor, as in the case of similar breach by the employer (a). where the contract provides that the contractor shall observe particular stipulations of the contract, such as a prescribed rate of progress, completion to time etc., under penalty of forfeiture of the contract, the breach of such a stipulation by the contractor may entitle the employer to exercise his powers of forfeiture (b).

Sect. 2.—Damages for Breach of Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Damages for Default of the Contractor.

499. Although the employer has paid the contract price, he may When right to bring an action for damages for the incomplete performance of the damages contract (c), while in case the price under an entire contract has not been paid, the contractor cannot recover it if he has not performed

(n) Cornwall v. Henson, [1900] 2 Ch. 298, 303. (o) Cort v. Ambergate etc. Rail. Co. (1851), 17 Q. B. 127.

enzon & Co., supra.

(q) Frost v. Knight (1872), L. B. 7 Exch. 111, per Cockburn, C.J., at p. 112.

(r) Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co., supra.

(a) See p. 238, ante.

(b) See pp. 252 et seq., post.

(c) Davis v. Hedges (1871), L. B. 6 Q. B. 687.

⁽m) Hosking v. Pahang Corporation, Ltd. (1891), 8 T. L. R. 125. See also Mersey Stell and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co. (1884), 9 App. Cas. 424, per Lord Blackburn, at pp. 442, 443; Rhymney Rail. Co. v. Brecon and Merthyr Tydfil Junction Rail. Co. (1900), 69 L. J. (CH.) 813.

⁽p) Société Générale de Paris v. Milders (1883), 49 L. T. 55; Bartholomew v. Markwick (1864), 15 C. B. (N. s.) 711; Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co., supra.

SECT. 2. Breach of Contract.

the contract, but will be liable in damages to the employer for Damages for the breach (d). In estimating such damages, however, the value to the employer of the work done would have to be taken into consideration.

> Where the employer has accepted work which has not been performed in strict conformity to the terms of the contract, but has reserved any claim he may have for defective performance (e), the employer may be entitled, depending on the terms of the reservation, to a reduction of the price payable to the contractor.

> Subject to the Statute of Limitations (f), the lapse of time does not absolve the contractor from his liability for defective work, although it may make it more difficult to prove that the work was defective (q).

Measure of damages.

500. The measure of damages for failure by the contractor to complete a building or engineering contract will include, first, the difference (if any) between the price of the work as agreed upon in the contract and the cost the employer is actually put to in its completion (h), and, secondly, any loss of rent of the building, or any loss of use of the building which may accrue to the employer in consequence of any delay in obtaining the completed building or works through the contractor's breach of contract (i). The right to recover the second item of damage is dependent on whether the use for which the building or works were intended was within the contemplation of the parties at the time when the contract was made. In certain cases, the measure of damages may be the loss of interest on the cost of the contract works, and of the land on which they are constructed (i).

Special damage.

501. Where the employer intends to use the building for some special purpose which is unknown to the contractor, the employer is entitled to recover as damages for the breach of contract the loss of use of the building for the purpose for which the contractor might

⁽d) Waters v. Towers (1853), 8 Exch. 401.

⁽e) As was the case in Gillespie v. Howden (1885), 22 Sc. L. R. 527.

⁽f) See title Limitation of Actions.

⁽g) M'Intyre v. Gallacher (1883), 11 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 64.
(h) Thornton v. Place (1832), 1 Moo. & R. 218; Chapel v. Hickes (1833), 2 Cr. & M. 214; Portman v. Middleton (1858), 27 L. J. (c. p.) 231; Elbinger Actien Gesellschaft v. Armstrong (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 473; Welch, Perrin & Co. v. Anderson & Co. (1891), 61 L. J. (q. B.) 167.

⁽i) Fletcher v. Tayleur (1855), 25 L. J. (c. p.) 65; Waters v. Towers (1853), 8 Exch. 401; Hydraulic Engineering Co. v. McHaffie (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 670; Cory v. Thames Ironworks Co. (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 181; Re Trent and Humber Co., Ex parte Cambrian Steam Packet Co. (1868), 4 Ch. App. 112; Gillespie v. Howden, supra; Marshall v. Macintosh (1898), 78 L. T. 750.

⁽j) Shepherd v. Pybus (1842), 3 Man. & G. 868; Wilson v. General Iron Screw Colliery Co. (1877), 47 L. J. (Q. B.) 239, 240. See also cases as to damages for the loss of the use of ships (The Greta Holme, [1897] A. C. 596; The Mediana, [1900] A. C. 113; The Marpessa, [1906] P. 95). Compare Smith v. Johnson (1899), 15 T. L. R. 179, where the builder used improper mortar, in consequence of which the local authority caused the building to be pulled down, and the building owner recovered both the cost of pulling down and rebuilding and the ground rent for the time occupied in doing so. See, generally, title DAMAGES.

have reasonably supposed it was to be used, if the employer has actually sustained damage to that extent (k).

If, by reason of the construction not being such as was stipulated for in the contract, the work is not only useless to the employer, but actually causes damage to him, as by the breaking of a drain defectively constructed by the contractor (l), or by the bursting of an engine supplied by the contractor (m), a further element of damages is introduced, namely, compensation incurred for injuries occasioned by the accident.

SECT. 2. Damages for Breach of Contract.

502. It has been held that where defects in the performance of the Reduction of work contracted for remain undiscovered owing to the negligence and price for default of persons employed by the employer to supervise the work, the utmost which the employer is entitled to recover is the sum which it would have cost to remedy the defects at the time when they might have been discovered by the exercise of reasonable diligence (n).

503. Damages for non-completion to time will include a sum Non-complesufficient to compensate the employer for not having the use of the tion to time. building or works between the expiration of the time limited by the contract (o) and the time when the work was completed. No damages can be recovered for the loss of the use of the building for any special purpose unless such purpose can reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of the parties when they entered into the contract (p). These sums are recoverable in cases where the contract does not provide for liquidated damages for delay (q), or where liquidated damages are provided for, but the stipulation to that effect has ceased to be applicable (r).

504. In the case of defective work done by the contractor the Mode of employer may defend an action for the price on the ground of breach claiming of the contract, and counterclaim for the damages which he has sustained. The reduced value of the work owing to its defective construction may be an element of such damages (s), or he may bring an independent action against the contractor (t); and the fact that he has not attempted to counterclaim in a previous action by the contractor will not operate to preclude him from bringing the independent action (u). Even when the employer has in an action

H.L.-III.

R

⁽k) Cory v. Thames Ironworks Co. (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 181.

⁽l) Mowbray v. Merryweather, [1895] 2 Q. B. 640, C. A. (m) Mackay v. Bannister (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 174, per Pollock, B., at p. 176. (n) Re Trent and Humber Co., Ex parte Cambrian Steam Packet Co. (1868), 4

Ch. App. 112.

(o) Wilson v. General Iron Screw Colliery Co. (1877), 47 L. J. (Q. B.) 239.

(p) This is on the analogy of a tenant who covenants to give up in good

repair, and does not do so, being obliged to compensate his landlord for the loss of rent during the period occupied in doing the repairs (Birch v. Clifford (1891), 8 T. L. R. 103).

⁽q) See p. 243, post.
(r) See p. 244, post. In this latter case the loss must be estimated from the date when, considering all the circumstances, the contractor ought to have completed.

⁽⁸⁾ Mondel v. Steel (1841), 8 M. & W. 858.

⁽t) Compare Jones v. Bright (1829), 5 Bing. 533; Poulton v. Lattimore (1829), 9 B. & C. 259; Mondel v. Steel, supra.

⁽u) Rigge v. Burbidge (1846), 15 M. & W. 598; Davis v. Hedges (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 687, 690.

SECT. 2. Contract.

by the contractor obtained a reduction of the price on account of Damages for defective work, he may yet bring an action for particular items Breach of of damage which could not have been recovered in the former action (a).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Damages for the Default of the Employer.

When right to damages exists.

505. As before stated (b), claims by the contractor on account of defaults by the employer fall into two classes: first, where there is a breach by the employer going to the root of the contract, and the contractor does not proceed with the work; and secondly, where the contractor treats the breach as partial and continues the work.

Breach going to root of contract.

In the first class, if the breach was previous to the commencement of the work, the measure of damages will be the amount of profit the contractor would have made if he had been allowed If, however, the work has been partially to do the work (c). performed, the contractor has an option to bring his action either for damages for breach of contract, or for the fair and reasonable value of the work actually executed and of the materials supplied ignoring the contract, or he can sue for both alternatively (d).

Partial breach.

In the second class, where the contractor continues the work, the most usual circumstances which give rise to claims are delay in giving the contractor possession of the site or in the supply of drawings, or suspension of the works caused by some act or omission of the employer and a consequent increase of expense in the performance of the works (e). Such claims also arise where the contractor becomes liable in damages to a sub-contractor through the default of the employer, in which case, if the existence of the sub-contract is known to the employer, it would seem that the contractor could claim, as an element of damages against the employer, the damages which he has to pay to the sub-contractor (f).

Loss of use of plant.

A contractor may also be entitled to damages for the loss of the use of his plant kept idle in consequence of the default of the employer and various other items of damage depending on the circumstances (q).

Sect. 3.—Penalties and Liquidated Damages.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

Penalty clauses.

506. In order to secure the punctual execution of building and engineering contracts it is a common practice to insert stipulations

⁽a) Mondel v. Steel (1841), 8 M. & W. 858.

⁽b) See p. 238, ante. (c) On the principle involved in Inchbald v. Western Neilgherry Coffee Co. (1864), 17 C. B. (N. S.) 733. See also Masterton v. Brooklyn Corporation (1845), 7 Hill (N. Y.) 61, 69.

⁽d) Lodder v. Slowey, [1904] A. C. 442, 453.

⁽e) Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48 L. T. 507.
(f) See Sawdon v. Andrew (1874), 30 L. T. 23.
(g) On the analogy of cases of the loss of services of a ship, in which the damages recoverable are not restricted to "tangible pecuniary loss," i.e., a definite sum out of pocket owing to the loss of the services. See The Greta Holme, [1897] A. C. 596, per Lord HERSCHELL, at p. 604; The Mediana, [1900] A. C. 13; The Marpessa, [1906] P. 95.

therein that, in the event of the works not being completed by a stipulated time, a sum or sums of money shall be payable by the contractor to the employer (h). This may be by way either of penalty or of liquidated damages. If the effect is to provide for the payment of a penalty, it binds neither party as to amount; but if the effect is to provide for the payment of liquidated damages, it is binding on both parties because they have themselves assessed the damages for the breach of contract (i). The usual stipulation is for a payment of so much per week or per day for delay after the expiration of the period fixed for completion. Where a sum of so much per day is fixed, Sundays and other holidays must be included in the computation, unless the damages are fixed at so much for each "working day" (k).

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liqui-Damages.

507. Whether the sum or sums of money payable by the When contractor in case of delay are to be treated as liquidated damages liquidated or a penalty is a question for the judge, and depends on the qamages penalty. intention of the parties, to be ascertained from the terms of the contract (l).

The payment of so much per week or per day for delay has in many cases been held to be liquidated damages (m), so also has the payment of a single sum for non-completion to time (n).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Release of Liquidated Damages or Penalties in General.

508. Where the liquidated damages are stipulated for at so much Necessity for per day or per week, there must be a definite date from which they from which are to run. If no such date is fixed by the contract, or if by the damages to operation of intervening circumstances the date fixed by the contract run. has ceased to be operative, and there is no provision in the contract under which another date can be substituted, all right to recover the sum stipulated for as liquidated damages has been put an end to (o), because there is no date from which the penalties

In many cases the time fixed by the contract ceases to be When time applicable on account of some act or default of the employer or his fixed in architect. A provision, therefore, is generally inserted, in order to extended. avoid such acts or defaults destroying the right to liquidated damages, by which the architect is empowered to grant an extension of time in certain specified events, and the contractor is bound, in case such an extension has been properly granted, to

⁽h) Legge v. Harlock (1848), 12 Q. B. 1015.

⁽i) See Lowe v. Peers (1768), 4 Burr. 2225; and title DAMAGES.
(k) Brown v. Johnson (1842), 10 M. & W. 331.

⁽¹⁾ See further, title DAMAGES. (m) Johnston v. Robertson (1861), 23 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 646; Crux v. Aldred (1866), 14 W. R. 656; Boneall v. Byrne (1867), I. R. 1 C. L. 573; Re Newman, Ex parte Capper (1876), 4 Ch. D. 724; Law v. Redditch Local Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 127, C. A.; Re White and Arthur (1901), 17 T. L. R. 461; Clydebank Engineering -and Shipbuilding Co. v. Yzquierdo y Castaneda (Don José Ramos), [1905] A. C. 6.

⁽n) Law v. Redditch Local Board, supra. (o) Dodd v. Churton, [1897] 1 Q. B. 562.

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liquidated

Damages.

complete within the extended time. This has the effect of substituting for the time fixed by the contract a new time from which the liquidated damages are to run.

But such a new time can only be substituted for the original time, under such a power, where the extension is given under the circumstances and in the events expressly stipulated by the contract (p). Thus, a power to extend the time in the event only of strikes or other causes beyond the contractor's control would not authorise an extension of time for delay in giving to the contractor possession of the site (q). In such a case the contract time would have ceased to be applicable, because of the delay in furnishing the site, and there being no power to fix another date for delay from such a cause, there would be no date for completion or from which the liquidated damages could run.

Waiver of liquidated damages.

Liquidated damages cease to be payable where the employer has waived the right to insist on them, e.g., where he has failed to deduct or retain the liquidated damages in cases where it is imperative on him under the contract to do so (r).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Release by Interference and Prevention.

Delay caused by employer.

509. There are many ways in which the completion of the works by the contract time may be prevented by the act or default of the employer (s), as, for instance, by ordering extras or not providing the site at the proper time, failure to supply drawings etc., or failure to supply any materials which the employer has agreed to supply. Where the effect of extras being ordered by the employer is to delay the contractor, it is clear that, in the absence of special stipulations in the contract, the date fixed for completion is made inapplicable and the contractor relieved from his liability to pay liquidated damages for delay (t). The onus lies on the contractor to prove that the delay was in fact caused by some act or omission of the employer (a).

The cases relating to release from the obligation to pay liquidated damages for delay in consequence of some delay caused by the employer seem to fall into three classes: (1) where a power to extend the time has been properly exercised; (2) where there was such a power, but it has not been properly exercised; and

(3) where there was no such power.

Extension of time.

510. In the first class of cases, the power of extension must have been exercised by the person designated, within the time which the contract either expressly or impliedly limits for that purpose, in the manner and for the events stipulated in the contract.

(a) Mort's Dock and Engineering Co. v. Wadey (1905), 22 T. L. R. 61.

⁽p) Wells v. Army and Navy Co-operative Society, Ltd. (1902), 86 L. T. 764.

⁽r) See Falkingham v. Victoria Railways Commissioner, [1900] A. C. 452. (s) See p. 238, ante.

⁽t) Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council (1863), 7 L. T. 736; Dodd v. Churton, [1897] 1 Q. B. 562.

Apparently the proper time for allowing an extension is when the event happens on which the extension depends. example, extras are ordered which delay the work, an extension of time should be made before the time when the delay is caused thereby. The effect of delay caused by such an order would be to set the time at large, at any rate for the time being, and it might be permanently (b). When this delay has once occurred the architect or engineer might, depending on the terms of the contract, have then no power to extend the time so as to reimpose on the contractor the obligation relating to liquidated damages (c). It would seem, however, that the extension must in any case be made at a reasonable time before the time limited for completion of the work has expired (unless there is some power in the contract to extend the time after completion), so that the contractor may know the time within which he has to complete and arrange his work accordingly.

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liquidated Damages.

If, however, the contractor applies to the architect for an extension of time and obtains it, he may thereby waive any rights to object to the architect's jurisdiction which otherwise he might have (d).

511. The other two cases, where there is power to extend, but Where no such power has not been exercised, and where there is no power to extension of extend, may be considered together.

In either of these cases the effect of delay caused by the employer is to set the time at large, and exonerate the builder from liquidated damages for delay (e), the general rule of law being that the performance of a condition is excused by obstruction on the part of the obligee (f). The onus of proof of such obstruction lies on the obligor (g).

Where, however, the contractor has undertaken to do the specified work, together with any extras that may be ordered, within the specified time (h), he has by this undertaking expressly deprived himself of the protection of the rule, so far as concerns the ordering of extras.

512. The architect, in the absence of an express stipulation to Effect of that effect, is not entitled to decide the question whether delay in arbitration

(b) Dodd v. Churton, [1897] 1 Q. B. 562.

(d) Sattin v. Poole (1901), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.,

p. 337. (e) Russell v. Sa da Bandeira (Viscount) (1862), 13 C. B. (N. S.) 149; Westwood v. Secretary of State for India in Council (1863), 7 L. T. 736; Dodd v. Churton,

Mackay v. Dick (1881), 6 App. Cas. 251.
(g) Steel v. Bell (1900), 3 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 319; Mort's Dock and Engineering

Co. v. Wadey (1905), 22 T. L. R. 61. (h) Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. B. 6 Q. B. 115; Tew v. Newbold-on-Avon United District School Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 260.

Digitized by Google

⁽c) Anderson v. Tuapeka County Council (1900), 19 New Zealand L. R. 1, in which case Stout, C.J., considered the effect of all the English leading cases on the point. See also Murdoch v. Luckie (1897), 15 New Zealand L. R. 296.

⁽f) Com. Dig. tit. Condition, L. (6); Holme v. Guppy (1838), 3 M. & W. 387; Thornhill v. Neats (1860), 2 L. T. 539; Courtnay v. Waterford and Central Ireland Rail. Co. (1878), 4 L. R. Ir. 11; Dodd v. Churton, supra. See also

SECT. 3. **Penalties** and Liquidated Damages.

Mode of obtaining liquidated damages. Deduction from payments due. the carrying out of the works has been caused by his own obstruction or that of the employer (i).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Release by Failure to deduct the Liquidated Damages.

513. Different building or engineering contracts vary in the manner in which they provide that the employer shall obtain

payment of the liquidated damages for delay.

Where it is merely provided that the employer shall be entitled to deduct or retain such liquidated damages as and when they become due from any payments to be made to the contractor, without any independent covenant on the part of the contractor to pay the liquidated damages, the employer will lose his right to claim the liquidated damages which have already accrued if he does not so deduct them (k). Where, however, the contract contains an independent covenant by the contractor to pay the damages, coupled with a right for the employer to deduct or retain them from payments to be made by him, the employer has a double remedy, and though he may have lost the right of deduction, he may still recover the liquidated damages against the contractor (a).

Sub-Sect. 5.—Release by Forfeiture.

Effect of forfeiture of contract.

514. If the employer exercises his power to forfeit the contract, then, unless there is a provision that in that event the liquidated damages are still to run till the date of actual completion, he cannot claim liquidated damages after the date of forfeiture (b).

If the determination of the contract by the employer with the work is wrongful, the remedy of the contractor is the same as in

other cases of breach of contract (c).

Where, however, the contract, in the case of forfeiture by the employer, either expressly fixes or provides means to ascertain a date up to which the liquidated damages are to run, or declares that forfeiture is not to affect in any other respect the liabilities of the contractor, the employer may be entitled to liquidated damages up to the time of actual completion (d).

Position of the parties.

515. Where the liability of the builder to pay liquidated damages for delay has ceased by reason of a forfeiture, the remedy of the employer may be either expressly provided for by the terms of the

Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48 L. T. 507; Wells v. Army and Navy Co-operative Society, Ltd. (1902), 86 L. T. 764.

(k) Macintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1865), 11 Jur. (N. S.) 681; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238.

(b) See Re Newman, Ex parte Capper (1876), 4 Ch. D. 724, reversed in Court of Appeal on other grounds, 46 L. J. (BCY.) 56.

(c) See p. 245, ante; Felton v. Wharrie (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts,

3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 455.
(d) Re Yeadon Waterworks Co. and Binns (1895), 72 L. T. 538; Simpson v. Trim Town Commissioners (1898), 32 I. L. T. 129.

⁽i) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; Lawson v.

⁽a) Fletcher v. Dyche (1787), 2 Term Rep. 32; Duckworth v. Alison (1836), 1 M. & W. 412; Clydebank Engineering and Shipbuilding Co. v. Yzquierdo y Castaneda (Don José Ramos), [1905] A. C. 6.

forfeiture clause, or, in the absence of any such provision, may lie in an action for unliquidated damages for breach of the

contract (e).

Where the employer is entitled to deduct the liquidated damages already accrued from the payments on account, and has done so before forfeiture or abandonment, it would seem that the contractor may have to bear the loss of the liquidated damages so far as they have actually been deducted (f).

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liquidated Damages.

SUB-SECT. 6 .- Release by Waiver.

516. The employer can always expressly waive the right to Waiver. liquidated damages by a new agreement, or, except in the case of a corporation which can only contract under seal (g), can do so by implication. The question of what amounts to waiver is governed by similar considerations as in the case of waiver of the right to forfeit the contract (h).

Where the architect has a general power to extend the time for By architect's all causes, and to take any liquidated damages due from the builder final certifiinto account in certifying for the final balance, the right to liquidated damages will be lost if the architect certifies for the final balance without taking the liquidated damages into account (i). In such a case it will be presumed that he has extended the time for completion, unless it is proved or admitted that the matter has not been determined by him, or was not expressly or impliedly within his jurisdiction (i).

In the case of a shipbuilding contract, where there is delay in delivery, an employer who is not ready and willing to accept the ship before the contractor is ready and willing to deliver her is not entitled to deduct liquidated damages for delay (k).

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Recovery of Liquidated Damages.

517. As before stated (l), the provisions as to the mode of recovery Mode of of liquidated damages vary in different contracts. Where they are recovery. only allowed to be deducted, no other mode of recovery is possible. Where there is an independent covenant on the part of the contractor to pay them, they can be counterclaimed in an action by the contractor for the price, or they may be made the subject of a separate action, apparently even when there was a previous opportunity of raising the question by a counterclaim, as in the case of a claim for defective work (m).

(k) Forrest & Son, Ltd. v. Aramayo (1900), 83 L. T. 335. (1) See p. 246, ante.

⁽e) See p. 249, post.) Felton v. Wharrie (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 455, per Lord ALVERSTONE, C.J., at p. 458.

⁽g) See Kirk v. Bromley Union (1848), 2 Phil. 640. (h) See p. 259, post. (i) Arnold v. Walker (1859), 1 F. & F. 671; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238.

⁽j) Jones v. St. John's College, Oxford (1870), L. R. 6 Q. B. 115; British Thomson Houston Co. v. West Brothers (1903), 19 T. L. R. 493.

⁽m) Davis v. Hedges (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 687. See also cases cited in note (a), p. 246, ante.

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liquidated Damages.

In the absence of express provision, a certificate from the architect to the effect that liquidated damages have accrued is not a condition precedent to the right to deduct, set off, or sue for them (n).

The condition that the sums payable shall be "as and for liquidated damages" is generally in favour of the contractor (o).

SUB-SECT. 8.—Recovery of Unliquidated Damages when Right to Liquidated Damages has gone.

Right to unliquidated damages.

518. Where the time fixed by the contract has ceased to be applicable in consequence of some delay by the employer, and consequently his right to liquidated damages has gone, he can have no claim for unliquidated damages provided the builder completes within a reasonable time (p). If in such a case the builder should not complete within a reasonable time, the question arises whether the employer is entitled to unliquidated damages, and if so, what the measure of those damages is.

This question does not appear to have ever been decided. It is suggested, however, that where the employer is the cause of the delay he could not recover more than the rate per day or per week, as the case may be, of the liquidated damages stipulated for by the contract (q).

SECT. 4.—Specific Performance.

Specific performance when injunction granted.

519. The courts will not grant specific performance of an ordinary building or engineering contract (r), although in the case of a building agreement where the consideration is the grant of a lease (s) such relief can be given (t).

A contractor, however, may in certain circumstances obtain an injunction to prevent the employer from expelling him from the works pending arbitration under the contract (u).

(n) See Carter v. Laudry (1880), 3 Pugsley and Burbridge, New Brunswick, 516. (o) Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522, per Brett, J., at p. 529: "The words 'as and for liquidated damages' are inserted in favour of the builder. If they were not there, the landowner could also bring an

action for damages for the breach of the agreement."

(p) Tyers v. Rosedale and Ferryhill Iron Co. (1875), L. R. 10 Exch. 195; and

compare Ford v. Cotesworth (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 544.

(q) The employer having by the contract estimated the amount of injury delay would cause him, it would seem inequitable, when by the fault of the

the tay would cause him, it would seem inequitable, when by the fault of the employer the provision as to liquidated damages has ceased to be applicable, that the contractor should be liable to pay a greater sum per day for delay.

(r) Lucas v. Commerford (1790), 3 Bro. C. C. 166; Moseley v. Virgin (1796), 3 Ves. 184; South Wales Rail. Co. v. Wythes (1854), 1 K. & J. 186; Greenhill v. Isle of Wight (Newport Junction) Rail. Co. (1871), 23 L. T. 885: Merchants' Trading Co. v. Banner (1871), L. R. 12 Eq. 18; Wood v. Silcock (1884), 50 L. T. 251.

(s) See p. 159, ante.

(t) Olley v. Fisher (1886), 34 Ch. D. 367; Lowther v. Heaver (1889), 41 Ch. D. 248. See also Cubitt v. Smith (1864), 11 L. T. 298; Hepburn v. Leather (1884), 50 L. T. 660; Wolverhampton Corporation v. Emmons, [1901] 1 K. B. 515; Molyneux v. Richard, [1906] 1 Ch. 34.

(u) Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 358; Foster and Dicksee v. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736. As to the circumstances in which such relief will not be given see Jennings v. Brighton

On the other hand, where railway and other companies have agreed to execute accommodation works under or in consideration of the passing of their Acts, they may be ordered specifically to perform their agreements (w), unless the court is of opinion that justice between the parties can be better done by an inquiry as to damages than by a decree for specific performance (x).

SECT. 3. Penalties and Liquidated Damages.

Part XII.—Forfeiture.

Sect. 1.—In General.

520. In building and engineering contracts it is usual to insert Forfeiture a provision empowering the employer to forfeit certain rights or clauses. property of the contractor on the occurrence of certain events. Thus the employer may be empowered to take possession of the work so far as it has been performed, and to complete the work either by himself or by employing some other contractor. The employer may also be empowered to take possession of or retain property of the contractor, such as materials, plant, or money already due to the contractor.

A power to determine the contract must be exercised in an unqualified manner, and by some act evincing the intention to do so (y). On the other hand, no writing or any particular formality is necessary, if not provided for in the contract, so long as there is sufficient to show that the power has actually been exercised (a).

Sect. 2.—Wrongful Forfeiture.

521. If the employer purports to determine the contract or to Effect of take possession of the property of the contractor when the contract wrongful does not empower him to do so(b), or if he exceeds his power under a forfeiture clause either by exercising the power when the event on which it is conditioned has not in fact happened, or, if it has happened, the event has been caused by the acts or defaults of the employer himself or his agents (c), or where the stipulated notice

forfeiture.

Intercepting and Outfall Sewers Board (1872), 4 De G. J. & S. 735, n.; Munro v. Wivenhoe and Brightlingsea Rail. Co. (1865), 4 De G. J. & S. 723; and generally, title Specific Performance.

⁽w) See Fortescue v. Lostwithiel and Fowey Rail. Co., [1894] 3 Ch. 621; and title COMPULSORY PURCHASE AND COMPENSATION.

⁽x) Wilson v. Northampton and Banbury Junction Rail. Co. (1874), 9 Ch. App.

⁽y) As in the case of a licence to mine (Roberts v. Davey (1833), 4 B. & Ad. 464). Merely sending an agent to "keep an eye" on the operations of the builder, and to prevent him from removing materials contrary to the contract, does not amount to an election to forfeit (Marsden v. Sambell (1880), 43 L. T. 120). See also Drew v. Josolyne (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 590.

(a) Drew v. Josolyne, supra, per Bowen, L.J., at p. 597.

(b) Felton v. Wharrie (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.,

p. 455.

⁽c) Lodder v. Slowey, [1904] A. C. 442.

SECT. 2. Wrongful Forfeiture.

(if any) is not given before the forfeiture, or where the forfeiture is by the architect without proper authority (d), the action of the employer, if followed by ousting the contractor from the works, will be a breach going to the root of the contract, and the remedy of the contractor is as in other cases of such a breach of contract(e). In such a case the contractor has an option to bring an action for the actual value of the work and labour done and materials supplied, or for damages (f).

Certificate of architect.

If the right to forfeit depends on a certificate by the architect, which by the terms of the contract is final and conclusive, and that certificate is given under a mistaken view of the circumstances, but honestly and in good faith, the forfeiture is not wrongful on that account (g). If, however, the architect gives such a certificate fraudulently, and the employer, either with or without knowledge of the fraud, purports to exercise the right to forfeit, the forfeiture is wrongful (h).

Remedies of the parties.

522. As a general rule, the court will not restrain the employer from even wrongfully exercising the power of forfeiture, as the contractor can be compensated in damages for any loss he may sustain by reason of the forfeiture. The court will not force the employer to employ a person to perform the works to whom he reasonably or unreasonably objects (i). Such a relief would be analogous to specific performance (i). In an exceptional case where there was an arbitration clause by which the validity of the determination of the engineer, on which the right to forfeit was based, might be questioned, an interim injunction pending the arbitration was granted (k).

The employer, however, on proper grounds, and on giving the usual undertaking in damages, may obtain an injunction restrain-

ing the contractor from proceeding with the works (l).

In case the employer has wrongfully exercised his power of forfeiture, there may be an inquiry as to what sums have been properly expended by the employer in completing the work since he took possession for the purpose of ascertaining the damages incurred by the contractor (m).

(e) Roone v. Hackney Public Baths Commissioners (1895), The Builder (17 Decem-

ber, 1895), p. 426; and see p. 242, ante.

(h) Smith v. Howden Union Rural Sanitary Authority (1890), Hudson on

Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 151.

(i) Garrett v. Banstead Downs and Epsom Downs Rail. Co. (1864), 12 L. T.

654, per KNIGHT BRUCE, L.J., at p. 654.

(j) Munro v. Wivenhoe and Brightlingsea Rail. Co. (1865), 12 L. T. 655, per Knight Bruce, L.J., at p. 657.

(k) Foster and Dicksee v. Hastings Corporation (1903), 87 L. T. 736.

(1) Cork Corporation v. Rooney (1881), 7 L. R. Ir. 191. (m) Macintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1863), 1 De G. J. & S. 443.

⁽d) Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 358; Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310.

⁽f) Lodder v. Slowey, [1904] A. C. 442. (g) As in the case of other certificates. See Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1858), 3 De G. & J. 334; Clarke v. Watson (1865), 18 C. B. (N. 8.) 278.

SECT. 3.—Forfeiture of the Contract.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

523. "Forfeiture" is more or less a loose term, but generally it is used as meaning the taking possession of works, which the con-tractor is carrying out under a contract, and completely ousting feiture on the him from the site. The clause in the contract which gives this power may give a right to complete the contract at the expense of the contractor and to use, and sometimes use up, his plant and materials. The proper exercise of such a power does not determine the contract; it merely alters the rights of the parties, in an agreed method. The powers of the architect or engineer in such a case may be expressly provided for, or the continuance of his powers may be left indefinite.

If the employer has forfeited the contract, and afterwards enters into a new agreement with the contractor for the latter to complete the work, the special conditions of the former contract as to forfeiture and as to certificates being conditions precedent to payment do not apply unless they are expressly incorporated in the new

contract (n).

The right of forfeiture may be stipulated to accrue either (1) on When right of the bankruptcy of the contractor only, or (2) on his bankruptcy forfeiture and also on the occurrence of other events, or (3) on the occurrence of other events only. The validity of a right to forfeit on the bankruptcy of the contractor is dependent on the nature of what is stipulated to be forfeited (o).

In addition to bankruptcy, forfeiture is usually conditioned upon the happening of one or more of the following events: (1) not commencing the work (p); (2) not regularly proceeding with the work for some fixed number of days (q); (3) not proceeding to the satisfaction of the employer, or of the architect (r); (4) not proceeding with such despatch as, in the opinion of the architect, will enable the works to be duly completed by the time stipulated (s); (5) not continuing with the work (a); (6) not proceeding in the manner required by the architect (b), or not complying with his orders and directions (c); (7) not performing the work as specified (d), or not observing some stipulation of the contract (e), or

SECT. 3. Forfeiture of the Contract.

feiture on the

⁽n) Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518; Wood v. Tendring Rural Sanitary Authority (1886), 3 T. L. R. 272.

⁽o) See p. 254, post.
(p) Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co. (1880), 6
L. B. Ir. 477.

⁽q) Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522; Re Walker, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black (1884), 26 Ch. D. 510.

⁽r) Davies v. Swansea Corporation (1853), 22 L. J. (Ex.) 297; Stadhard v. Lee (1863), 3 B. & S. 364.

⁽s) Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310; Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 513; Cork Corporation v. Rooney (1881), 7 L. R. Ir. 191.

⁽a) Roach v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1841), 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 89.
(b) Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co., supra.
(c) Hunt v. South Eastern Rail. Co. (1875), 45 L. J. (Q. B.) 87.
(d) Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co., supra.

SECT. 3. Forfeiture of the Contract.

being guilty of any default (f); (8) not completing as stipulated (g), or by the time agreed (h), or not completing under the direction and to the satisfaction of the surveyor (i); (9) leaving the works in an unfinished state (k); (10) failing, after the proper notice, to rectify defective work (l); (11) removing materials from the site (m); (12) not maintaining the works (n).

SUB-SECT. 2.—On Bankruptcy of the Contractor.

Validity of forfeiture on bankruptcy.

524. A provision empowering the employer to forfeit the contract on the bankruptcy of the contractor is introduced into building and engineering contracts for the purpose of preventing the contractor's trustee in bankruptcy from electing to complete the contract (o). Such a provision is valid, if it is coupled with a stipulation that the contractor's contract shall be a personal one (p), and further, so far as the forfeiture affects the mere licence of the contractor to enter the site, it would seem that the revocation of that licence can be conditioned on bankruptcy (q). The trustee, however, would be entitled to enter the site to remove any property of the bankrupt in respect of which the employer had no right under the contract (r).

SECT. 4.—Forfeiture of Property of the Contractor.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

What proforfeiture.

525. The powers of forfeiture of property belonging to the conperty liable to tractor which are conferred on the employer by particular building contracts usually extend to one or more of the following subjects: (1) the property in the materials brought on to the site (or appropriated to the work) which have not yet been physically annexed to the work (s); (2) the property in the contractor's plant, such as scaffolding and machinery (t); and (3) the property in the money retained by the employer, but due to the contractor for work already done at the time the right of forfeiture is exercised (a).

In addition or alternatively to the forfeiture of such property, it

(i) Hunt v. Bishop (1853), 8 Exch. 675.

(k) Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522.

(m) Marsden v. Sambell, supra.

(t) Roach v. Great Western Rail. Co., supra.

⁽f) Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. B. 2 Eq. 358.
(y) Baker v. Gray (1856), 25 L. J. (c. P.) 161.
(h) Tooth v. Hallett (1869), 4 Ch. App. 242; Marsden v. Sambell (1880),

⁽I) Arterial Drainage Co. v. Rathangan River Drainage Board (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 513.

⁽n) Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518.
(o) See title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., p. 162.
(p) Re Walker, Ex parte Gould (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 454. See title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., pp. 137, 138, 146 et seq.
(q) As a mere licence does not seem to be included in the definition of

[&]quot;property" in s. 168 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52).

⁽r) As to vesting of materials in the employer, see pp. 260 et seq., post.
(s) Tripp v. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687; Roach v. Great Western Rail.
Co. (1841), 10 L. J. (Q. B.) 89; Davies v. Swansea Corporation (1853), 22 L. J.
(EX.) 297; Re Garrad, Ex parte Newitt, supra.

⁽a) Ibid.; Davies v. Swansea Corporation, supra; Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co. (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 477.

is often provided that, on the happening of the event entitling the employer to exercise the right, the employer shall have (1) the right to use or use up the contractor's materials and plant to complete the work (b); (2) a right to procure and pay for all labour and materials at the contractor's expense (c); (3) the right after completion to sell any surplus material to recoup the employer for any loss he may have sustained (d); (4) a right to payment from the contractor for any loss sustained in completing (e).

SECT. 4. Forfeiture of Property of the Contractor.

526. Where a forfeiture clause only gives the employer a right when proto seize the materials on the site and use them for the purpose of perty does completing the work, the property in those materials does not pass employer. to the employer until they are actually built into the work (f). the case of plant such a clause does not pass the property at all (q), though where the plant has been seized under such a clause the contractor or his trustee in bankruptcy is liable in damages if he removes the plant prior to completion (h). The reason of this is that the word "use" has a different meaning as applied to materials, such as bricks and timber, in which case it means to consume by building into the work, and as applied to plant, such as scaffolding, in which case it means to employ without consuming (i), except in the case of such plant as becomes part of the structure by being so affixed or covered up that it cannot be removed. Further, in the absence of a valid clause vesting the materials in the employer, a right to a lien on such materials after notice is lost, if before the notice is given on which the right to a lien arises the property liable to forfeiture is seized by the sheriff under an execution against the contractor (k).

not pass to

527. Where a contract is forfeited, and the employer has no power Contractor's to seize or use the materials or plant, the contractor has a reason-right of able time after the date of forfeiture to enter upon the site to remove his materials or plant, as the case may be, but this is not so if the contractor himself has rescinded the contract, in which case he is not entitled to enter on the premises to remove the plant after the date of the rescission (l). This is on the analogy of the case of other licensees, who under revocable licences have a right to notice of revocation, and a reasonable time afterwards to remove their goods (m).

(f) Baker v. Gray, supra.

(g) Re Winter, Ex parte Bolland (1878), 8 Ch. D. 225.

⁽b) Tripp v. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687; Baker v. Gray (1856), 25 L. J. (c. p.) 161; Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co. (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 477.

⁽c) Stadhard v. Lee (1863), 3 B. & S. 364; Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518; Re Walker, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black (1884), 26 Ch. D. 510.

⁽d) Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 358. e) Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co., supra; Re Walker, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black, supra.

⁽h) Hawthorn v. Newcastle-upon-Tyne and North Shields Rail. Co. (1842), 3 Q. B. 734, n.; Re Winter, Ex parte Bolland, supra.

⁽i) See Poulton v. Wilson (1858), 3 F. & F. 403. (k) Byford v. Russell, [1907] 2 K. B. 522. (l) Marsden v. Sambell (1880), 28 W. R. 952.

⁽m) Mellor v. Watkins (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 400.

SECT. 4. **Forfeiture**

of Property of the Contractor.

Effect on forfeiture of property.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Forfeiture on Bankruptcy.

528. A provision in a building or engineering contract providing that any property of the contractor shall be forfeited to the employer in the event of the contractor's bankruptcy is void as against the trustee in bankruptcy so far as it purports to transfer to the employer property not already vested in him (n). however, such a provision is good as between the contractor and the employer (o).

If, however, the property in that which is described as being subject to forfeiture on bankruptcy is previously to the bankruptcy vested in the employer (p), or if he has had from the beginning of the contract a lien on this property (q), a power to seize such property is valid, subject, however, in the case of property vested in the employer to the doctrine of reputed ownership (r).

Reputed ownership.

529. In the case of building contracts it is a question of fact how far materials and plant vested in the employer are in the reputed ownership of the contractor. Apparently, however, the contractor would be held to be the reputed owner of plant in the absence of notice, as, for instance, by the plant being marked with the employer's name (s) or some other person's, or of a generally known custom to hire plant. As regards materials the decided cases seem to be inconsistent. In the case of contracts to build ships, the custom of shipbuilders building ships in their yards for other persons is so well known that the materials will not be held to be in the reputed ownership of the contractor (a); while in the case of building contracts it depends upon circumstances whether the materials are so far in the order and disposition of the contractor as to constitute him the reputed owner thereof (b).

When other events included.

530. If a forfeiture is conditioned to occur on bankruptcy or on other events and is exercised by reason of the bankruptcy of the contractor, the same considerations apply as in the case of forfeiture on bankruptcy alone, while if the forfeiture is exercised by reason of the happening of the other events, the additional power to forfeit in the case of bankruptcy is immaterial. For the trustee in bankruptcy, if he elects to carry on the contract, is in the same position as the

(p) Hart v. Porthgain Harbour Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 690. See, as to the

vesting of materials etc., pp. 260 et seq., post.
(q) See, as to lien, p. 265, post.
(r) See title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., pp. 173—181.

1

⁽n) See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 152, and see also Higginbotham v. Holme (1811), 19 Ves. 88, 92; Re Jeavons, Ex parte Mackay, Ex parte Brown (1873), 8 Ch. App. 643; Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay (1880), 14 Ch. D. 19.

⁽o) Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay, supra, per Cotton, L.J., at p. 26: "Though the contract is good as between the parties to it, it is on principle void in the event of the builder's bankruptcy."

⁽s) Sometimes valuable materials are marked with the employer's name. (a) Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; Clarke v. Spence (1836), 4 Ad. & El. 448, 472; Holderness v. Rankin (1860), 28 Beav. 180; Swainston v. Clay (1863), 4 Giff. 187; Re Attwater, Ex parte Watts (1863), 32 L. J. (BCY.) 35.

(b) Re Weibking, Ex parte Ward, [1902] 1 K. B. 713. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 177.

contractor, and is as much bound as the contractor was by the stipulations of the contract, and the employer is entitled to exercise the power of forfeiture as against the trustee for any act or default which would have given him a right to forfeit as against the contractor (c).

SECT. 4. Forfeiture of Property of the Contractor.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Forfeiture on Events other than Bankruptcy.

531. Where the right to forfeiture accrues on the happening of Passing of the some event other than bankruptcy, and the employer properly property. exercises his right (d), the property in the thing to be forfeited passes to him, subject to the terms of the contract.

532. A forfeiture of the contractor's property may be stipulated Absolute and to be either an absolute transfer or a qualified one. That is to qualified say, either it may be provided in the contract that property which belonged to the contractor before the forfeiture is to become the property of the employer, or it may be provided that the property of the contractor should be used, used up, or retained, as the case may be, for the purpose of completing the contract. In the first case the effect of such a clause, although expressed to be by way of liquidated damages, may amount to a penalty; but in the latter case, as the employer is only entitled to use, use up, or retain so much as is necessary to complete the work, the provision is not open to the objection of being a penalty (e). Generally such a power of forfeiture would be construed as a provision intended to operate by way of lien or security for the completion of the contract, that is to say, as a qualified forfeiture (f).

There is a great difference between the payment of a sum of money for delay, which increases proportionately to the delay, and the loss of materials and plant, which would bear no fixed proportion at any time to the loss incurred by the employer, or the loss of the retention money, the amount of which would be increasing, while the damage sustained by the employer would probably be decreasing.

533. Where a right to forfeit retention money exists, the employer has, it would seem, no right to forfeit instalments duly certified for, but not yet actually paid. He can only forfeit the retention money at the date of such certificate, and in addition any money in process of accruing due for work done after such certificate was given (q).

(g) Compare Ricker v. Fairbanks (1855), 40 Maine State Rep. 43; Geiger v. Western Maryland Railroud (1874), 41 Maryland State Rep. 4.

⁽c) See Tooth v. Hallett (1869), 4 Ch. App. 242. INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 162, 163. See title BANKRUPTCY AND

⁽d) See p. 256, post. (e) See p. 243, ante.

⁽f) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, per Lord Cranworth, L.C., at pp. 108, 109: "The object of these clauses was to enable the respondents to do, at the cost of the appellant, the work which he had failed, or seemed like to fail, in doing himself. . . . In such a case, if the property seized is made available as a fund for indemnifying the respondents, all the ends of the clauses in question are fully answered.

SECT. 5. Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfeit.

Method of ascertaining of forfeiture exists.

By architect etc.

By employer.

SECT. 5.—Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfeit.

SUB-SECT. 1.—How the Right to forfeit is to be exercised.

534. The mode of ascertaining the event on which the right to forfeit is stipulated to accrue is usually provided for by the terms of the contract. If, however, the mode of ascertainment is not provided for, the mode of ascertainment will be by arbitration (h), whether right if there is an arbitration clause wide enough to cover such a dispute, and in the absence of such a clause, by the jury (i).

> Where the ascertainment of the event is left to the final decision of a third person, as the architect or engineer, the decision of that third person cannot be impeached, except on the ground of its being ultra vires or fraudulent (j). The appointment of the architect or engineer, or other designated third person, in such a case is a

condition precedent to the right to forfeit (k).

Where the ascertainment of the event is left to the employer himself, the rule is that the employer must act reasonably. The question of reasonableness must to a great extent depend upon the particular circumstances of the case (l), and these may be such as to give the employer power to put into force the forfeiture in a manner which, except for the particular circumstances, would be unreasonable (m).

The power to forfeit, whether given to the employer or to the architect or engineer, will be strictly construed. For instance, a power given to the architect to decide whether the contractor is exercising due diligence, and making such due progress as would enable the works to be effectually completed by the stipulated time, will not give him power to determine whether or not the employer has by his own wrongful act prevented the contractor from proceeding with the work (n).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Time for exercising the Right to forfeit.

Forfeiture within reasonable time.

535. The forfeiture must be put in force within a reasonable time after the occurrence of the act or default of the builder on which the right arises, otherwise the delay in forfeiting will amount

⁽h) Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. B. 2 Eq. 358.

⁽i) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630; Roberts v. Bury Commissioners (1870), L. R. 5 C. P. 310.

⁽j) See Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1858), 3 De G. & J. 334; Pawley v. Turnbull (1861), 3 Giff. 70; Roberts v. Bury Commissioners, supra; and see p. 215, ante, as to certificates.

k) Hunt v. Bishop (1853), 8 Exch. 675. (1) See Dickinson v. Richmond Main Sewerage Board (1893), Hudson on Building

Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 254. (m) Stadhard v. Lee (1863), 32 L. J. (Q. B.) 75, per COCKBURN, C.J., at p. 78. Thus, contractors who are themselves under obligations to complete in time may be entitled to stipulate for forfeiture of a sub-contractor's contract when in their opinion the sub-contractor is not making satisfactory progress with the work, and in such a case the sub-contractor could not set up that the forfeiture was unreasonable or capricious.

⁽n) Roberts v. Bury Commissioners, supra. See also Tough v. Dumbarton Waterworks Commissioners (1872), 11 Macph. (Ct. of Sess.) 236.

to a waiver of the right, except where there is a continuing breach or a fresh breach of contract on the part of the contractor (o).

A right to forfeit on the happening of an event, coupled with a provision that the amount already paid to the contractor should be considered to be the full value of the work already done, can be exercised even though nothing had been paid to the contractor before the forfeiture (p), in which case the contractor would receive nothing for such part of the work as he might have done.

SECT. 5. Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfeit.

536. Where the event on which the right to forfeit arises is Forfeiture delay in making such progress as will enable the work to be completed by the stipulated time, or is non-completion by the stipulated time, the right to forfeit depends upon the stipulated time being still applicable (q). If that time has ceased to be applicable, no time is in existence with reference to which the rate of progress can be computed, or on the expiration of which the work should be completed (r).

Again, in the case of want of due progress, the forfeiture must take place before the expiration of the stipulated time (a), or in the case of non-completion to time immediately after the time expires (b).

If the contract contains a provision for extending the time for completion, and substitutes the extended time for the stipulated time, and such an extension is properly made, the extended time will, as regards forfeiture, take the place of the stipulated time (c).

537. The failure to complete by the time stipulated is not a Premature continuing breach (d).

If the employer has wrongfully forfeited on account of a breach which has not in fact occurred, he cannot justify the wrongful forfeiture on account of a different breach subsequently occurring (e).

Under a clause giving the right to forfeit in the event of the contractor ceasing to work for so many days after notice, the employer has no right to enter on the works before the expiration of those days, as the contractor would thereby be prevented from resuming work before the expiration of the period during which the contract leaves it open to him to do so (f). It depends on the

⁽o) Marsden v. Sambell (1880), 28 W. R. 952.

⁽p) Davies v. Swansea Corporation (1853), 22 L. J. (Ex.) 297.

⁽q) See pp. 243, 244, ante.

⁽r) Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518.
(a) Ibid., per Archibald, J., at p. 531: "The clause... can only be acted on and enforced within the time fixed for the completion of the works, for time is clearly of the essence of the contract, and it is only by reference to the time so agreed that the rate of progress can be determined"; Mohan and Holmes v. Dundalk, Newry, and Greenore Rail. Co. (1880), 6 L. R. Ir. 477.

⁽b) As allowing the contractor to proceed with the work would be a waiver of the stipulation (Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522).

(c) Barclay v. Messenger (1874), 43 L. J. (CH.) 449.

(d) Platt v. Parker (1886), 2 T. L. R. 786.

⁽e) Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay (1880), 14 Ch. D. 19; Re Walker, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black (1884), 26 Ch. D. 510.

⁽f) Re Walker, Ex parte Barter, Ex parte Black, supra, per FRY, L.J., at p. 520.

SECT. 5. Mode and Time of exercising the Right to forfeit.

When right to forfeit implied.

terms of the contract and on the circumstances of the case whether the notice of intention to forfeit must particularise what is required to be done by the contractor, or whether a general notice is sufficient(g).

538. If the contract does not contain an express right to forfeit, and time is made and still is of the essence of the contract, the employer may, if the builder or contractor does not complete to time, rescind the contract and take possession (h).

SECT. 6.—Waiver fof Right to orfeit.

Riffect of waiver.

539. When the time for exercising the right of forfeiture has elapsed without the right having been exercised, the employer will be treated as having waived his right (i). When waiver has once taken place the employer cannot go back on it and revive the right to forfeit (k).

If the breach is a continuing breach, payment after the breach does not amount to waiver (l). If after waiver another breach occurs, a new right of forfeiture arises, which can be exercised notwithstanding the previous waiver (m).

Where there has been a waiver of the right to forfeit and the employer ousts the contractor in purported pursuance of the power to forfeit, that will amount to wrongful forfeiture (n).

SECT. 7.—Position of the Employer if he completes after Forfeiture.

How far employer must account to contractor.

540. Unless there is some provision in the contract, not operating as a penalty, which effectually dispenses with the employer's obligation to account, an employer, entering and completing the work with the plant and materials of the contractor under a forfeiture clause, must account to the contractor for the plant and materials as well as the retention money (o). The employer, however, is not in the position of a mortgagee in possession, but will be allowed a larger discretion as to how he completes, so long as he does so

(k) Marsden v. Sambell (1880), 43 L. T. 120.

(n) Marsden v. Sambell, supra.

⁽g) Pauling v. Dover Corporation (1855), 24 L. J. (Ex.) 128, per PARKE, B., at p. 129: "If the engineer had desired the plaintiff to do some particular act... he ought to give him a notice to that effect, specifying to what extent he wished to have the work pulled down; but here the engineer's objection is, that the work is generally performed negligently; and that being so, the engineer is entitled to give a general notice."

⁽h) Cork Corporation v. Rooney (1881), 7 L. R. Ir. 191. See p. 190, ante.
(i) Walker v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1876), 1 C. P. D. 518; Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522; and see Davenport v. R. (1877), 3 App. Cas. 115; Strong v. Stringer (1889), 61 L. T. 470, which were cases of the forfeiture of a lease.

⁽l) Cooper v. Uttoxeter Local Board (1865), 11 L. T. 596.
(m) Stevens v. Taylor (1860), 2 F. & F. 419; Re Garrud, Ex parts Newitt, supra.

⁽o) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72.

in accordance with the contract, specification, and plans (p). employer is not entitled to substitute different materials in the place of those specified at the expense of the contractor (q).

SECT. 8.—How far Forfeiture Clause is a Bill of Sale.

541. A forfeiture and user clause in an ordinary building When contract constitutes "a licence to take possession of personal registration chattels" within the meaning of the statutory definition of a bill unnecessary. of sale (r), but the builder's liability not being a debt (s), it is not a licence to take possession of personal chattels as security for a debt (a), and consequently the contract does not require to be registered as a bill of sale (b).

Forfeiture is in most cases intended to be by way of security for the due performance of the contract (c). The presence of a clause vesting the property in the materials in the employer, coupled with a forfeiture clause, will in any case prevent the contract requiring registration as a bill of sale as a "security for any debt" under

the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (d).

Where the land on which the building is being carried on is mortgaged, the mortgagee's right to enter upon and take possession of the premises does not require to be registered as a bill of sale (e). If the mortgage confers on the mortgagee as against the mortgagor a power to sell the materials, independent of the power to enter upon and take possession of the premises, which can be exercised without the latter power being exercised, the mortgage requires to be registered as a bill of sale (f).

An assignment of a building agreement, i.e., an agreement in Assignment. which the consideration is the grant of a lease so far as it assigns the plant and materials, requires registration as a bill of sale (q).

SECT. 7. Position of Employer if he completes after Forfeiture.

(r) Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; and see title BILLS OF

(a) Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436, C. A., following Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; and Blake v. Izard (1867), 16 W. R. 108. See

(d) 41 & 42 Vict. c. 31, s. 4; see p. 264, post.

(g) Church v. Sage (1892), 67 L. T. 800.

 ⁽p) Fulton v. Dornwell (1885), 4 New Zealand L. R. (S. C.) 207.
 (q) "He may not be bound to finish it in the cheapest way possible" (ibid.), "but he must act reasonably" (Dillon v. Jack (1903), 23 New Zealand L. R. 547, per Stout, C.J., at p. 549).

SALE, p. 6, ante.
(s) See Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4; Re Garrud, Ex parte Newitt (1881), 16 Ch. D. 522, per COTTON, L.J., at p. 533: "Damages may be proved in bankruptcy as a debt, but that does not make them a debt for the purposes of another Act of Parliament. In my opinion there was no debt in the present case for which the possession was to be a security."

title Bills of Sale, p. 12, ante.
(b) See Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4.
(c) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72.

⁽e) Re Yates (1888), 38 Ch. D. 112. (f) Climpson v. Coles (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 465. See title BILLS OF SALE, p. 12, ante.

Part XIII.—Materials.

SECT. 1. Vesting of Property in Materials

etc.

Vesting of materials when built into the work. SECT. 1.—Vesting of Property in Materials etc.

SUB-SECT. 1.—By affixing Materials etc. to the Freehold.

542. As soon as materials of any description are built into a building or other erection they cease to be the contractor's property and become that of the freeholder (h), and where the employer has only an interest less than a freehold, he has the same interest in the built-in materials as he has in the land. Even if the employer detach them from the soil, the property does not revert to the contractor, and he acquires no right to remove them (i). Until, however, the materials are actually built into the work, in the absence of some stipulation intended to pass the property in them when delivered on the site, they remain the property of the contractor (k).

In the case of shipbuilding contracts the same rules apply where the ship is the property of the employer (l), and things which once have been fitted to and have formed part of the ship remain the property of the employer, although they may have been removed again for convenience (m). But under a contract to build a ship, without any special stipulations, no property in it passes to the employer until the ship is completed in the absence of a contract that it shall do so (n).

Materials to be paid for on delivery etc.

543. Where it is agreed that the materials shall be paid for, in whole or in part, on their being delivered and on this being certified by the architect or engineer, the property in them will pass to the employer as soon as they have been paid for, or as soon as the condition as to delivery and the certificate of the architect has been fulfilled, whether they have actually been paid for or not (o).

Vesting of plant etc.

544. In the course of building and engineering operations, in which it is necessary to use plant which is more or less affixed to the

(i) On the analogy of the rights of landlords and tenants as to fixtures, see Lyde v. Russell (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 394.

(k) Tripp v. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687; Wood v. Bell (1856), 25 L. J. (C. P.) 321, 385; Baker v. Gray (1856), 17 C. B. 462; Seath v. Moore (1886), 11 App. Cas. 350; Reid v. Macbeth and Gray, [1904] A. C. 223.
(l) Forman & Co. Proprietary v. The Ship "Liddesdale," [1900] A. C. 190;

and see Appleby v. Myers, supra, per BLACKBURN, J., at p. 659. See also Reid v. Fairbanks (1853), 13 C. B. 692.

(m) Wood v. Bell, supra, at p. 324. (n) Laidler v. Burlinson (1837), 2 M. & W. 602; Laing (Sir James) & Sons, Ltd. v. Barclay, Curle & Co., Ltd., [1908] A. C. 35; and see Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 71), s. 18.

(o) Banbury and Cheltenham Direct Rail. Co. v. Daniel (1884), 54 L. J. (CH.) 265.

⁽h) Elwes v. Maw (1802), 3 East, 38; Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651; Wake v. Hall (1883), 8 App. Cas. 195; Sims v. London Necropolis Co. (1885), 1 T. L. R. 584. See also 2 Smith, L. C., 11th ed. 189, and cases there

soil, it depends on the circumstances of the case in whom the property in the plant vests. The intention of the parties when they entered into the contract is the governing factor (p). During the continuance of the contract hoardings etc. seem, apart from special stipulations, to remain the property of the contractor (q).

SECT. 1. Vesting of Property in **Materials** etc.

SUB-SECT. 2.—By Agreement as to Unfixed Materials.

545. In many building, engineering, and shipbuilding contracts vesting clause a provision is inserted vesting in the employer the property in as to property unfixed materials brought on to the site, either when so brought on, or when so brought on and certified for, or on the happening of some event, such as the contractor being guilty of some default, or on his becoming bankrupt. Materials which have not yet been brought on to the site, but which have been appropriated to the work, are also in some cases made subject to similar provisions. A vesting clause of this nature may or may not be coupled with a forfeiture clause. Vesting clauses differ in their effect from forfeiture clauses, as they purport to pass the property automatically on the happening of the event, while forfeiture clauses, apart from vesting clauses, require to be brought into operation by the employer doing some act to show that he intends to exercise the right of forfeiture.

in unfixed

Vesting clauses are inserted in building contracts for the purpose of securing the money advanced to the contractor or as a security for the due performance of the contract (r). Whether a vesting clause has the effect of transferring the property in the unfixed materials to the employer without qualification depends on the terms of each particular contract (s).

546. The property in the materials which is given by the contract Extent to to the employer under a vesting clause is qualified by the right which prothe contractor has of using them for the construction of the works. The materials on the building site are there dedicated to a particular purpose in which both the employer and the contractor are interested, and after the fulfilment of this purpose the surplus, if any, revests in the contractor. Materials in such circumstances are not liable to be taken in execution under a judgment against the employer (t). On the other hand, the property in these materials passes to the employer sufficiently to prevent them being taken in execution under a judgment against the contractor (a).

perty may

⁽p) Wood v. Hewitt (1846), 15 L. J. (Q. B.) 247; Lancaster v. Eve (1859), 28 L. J. (c. P.) 235. These are not building cases, but the principle seems to be

⁽q) Partington Advertising Co. v. Willing & Co., Ltd. (1896), 12 T. L. R. 176.

⁽r) Hart v. Porthgain Hurbour Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 690, 696.

⁽s) See Holroyd v. Marshall (1862), 10 H. L. Cas. 191. (t) Beeston v. Marriott (1863), 8 L. T. 690. See also Kerr v. Dundee Gas Co. (1861), 23 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 343; Reeve v. Whitmore (1863), 33 L. J. (CH.)

⁽a) Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; Blake v. Izard (1867), 16 W. R. 108; Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436; and compare Byford v. Russell, [1907] 2 K. B. 522.

SECT. 1. Vesting of Property in Materials etc.

Construction of vesting clause.

547. It is a question of construction of the particular contract at what stage the property in the materials is intended to pass, and a question of fact whether that stage has been reached or not (b), and this question of fact must be ascertained in the ordinary way, unless the contract directs that it shall be ascertained by the determination of the architect or by arbitration (c).

A vesting clause, to be effective, must be so worded as clearly to express the intention of the parties to pass the property in the

materials (d).

Where there is a clause in the contract vesting the materials in the employer, a clause giving the employer the right to take possession of and use the materials does not affect the interest of the employer in the material given him by the vesting clause (e).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Rights qualifying Vesting of Materials.

Contractor's right of user.

548. Even when the property in the materials passes to the employer, it does so in the absence of express stipulation to the contrary, subject to the right of the contractor to use them for the construction of the works (f). It is submitted that any attempt by the employer or his architect to remove or dispose of the materials would be a breach of contract, and render the employer liable in damages to the contractor (g). Further, in many contracts it is stipulated that, in case materials should in the opinion of the architect not be in accordance with the specification, the contractor may be ordered to remove them, but in the absence of such a stipulation, if inferior materials are brought on to the site, the contractor would apparently be entitled to remove them for the purpose of substituting other proper materials (h).

Rights of contractor's trustee in bankruptcy.

549. Another class of rights to which the vesting of materials is subject is that of the trustee in case of the bankruptcy of These rights depend upon whether the vesting the contractor.

⁽b) Seath v. Moore (1886), 11 App. Cas. 350, per Lord Blackburn, at p. 370. See also Goss v. Quinton (1842), 3 Man. & G. 825; Byford v. Russell, [1907] 2

⁽c) See Garrett v. Salisbury and Dorset Junction Rail. Co. (1866), L. R. 2 Eq.

⁽d) Baker v. Gray (1856), 17 C. B. 462, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 479: "I think it is most likely that the parties intended that all timber which had been provided for the construction of the ship should be the property of the defendant. . . . But I do not think they have used language sufficiently clear to carry that intention into effect."

⁽e) Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; Banbury and Cheltenham

Direct Rail. Co. v. Daniel (1884), 54 L. J. (cH.) 265.

(f) Beeston v. Marriott (1863), 8 L. T. 690.

(g) Ibid.

(h) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651, per Blackburn, J., at p. 659: "We think that the plaintiffs . . . would have had a perfect right, if they thought that a portion of the engine which they had put up was too slight, to change it and substitute another in their opinion better calculated to keep in good repair during the two years, and that without consulting or asking the leave of the defendant." If the contractor under the terms of the contract can be ordered to remove inferior materials, and is so ordered, the employer will obviously have no claim to those materials for which others have been substituted at his request.

is conditioned to take place on the bankruptcy of the contractor, or on the happening of some other event. In the first case the vesting clause is invalid because a stipulation that what was the property of the contractor up to the date of the bankruptcy should go over to some one else in that event is void as being a fraud upon creditors (i). In the second case the clause is valid, on the ground that the trustee takes the property of the bankrupt contractor subject to all the incidents to which it is liable (j).

SECT. 1. Vesting of Property in Materials etc.

550. Another important question which arises in this connection Reputed is whether the materials are or are not in the possession, order, ownership. or disposition of the contractor so as to make him the reputed owner of them (k), notwithstanding that the property in them has vested in the employer.

A provision that the materials shall be "considered" the property of the employer is not sufficient to make the employer the owner

and so render the contractor the reputed owner (l).

A ship the property in which has passed to the employer does not Ships. by remaining in the possession of the shipbuilder for completion become property in his order and disposition so as to be in his reputed ownership (m). For as an unfinished chattel remaining in the hands of the manufacturer remains there for the purpose of being finished, so the materials do not remain with him to be ordered or disposed of, but to be completed. There is no right to presume that every ship in a shipbuilder's yard is his own, as the contrary is notorious, and it is well known that shipbuilders very rarely build for themselves, but for others under orders given to them.

Such a ship is, however, not privileged against distress for rent owing by the shipbuilder, as it is not a thing sent or delivered to a person exercising a trade, to be wrought or manufactured in the way of his trade (n), there being no delivery to the shipbuilder (o).

In the case of a building contract, however, where the property Building in the materials on the site has, by a vesting clause, become vested materials. in the employer, it has been held that they are in the possession, order and disposition of the builder, with the consent of the true owner, until the building is finished so as to make the contractor

⁽i) See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 152.

⁽k) Under Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 44 (iii.); and see

title Bankruptoy and Insolvency, Vol. II., pp. 173—181.

(I) Re Keen and Keen, Ex parte Collins, [1902] 1 K. B. 555; Hart v. Porthgain Harbour Co., Ltd., [1903] 1 Ch. 690, per Farwell, J., at p. 694: "In my opinion the true construction of the clause 'All such plant and material shall be considered the property of the company' is that it vests the property in the materials in the company at law subject to a condition, that when the engineer shall have certified the completion of the contract, the contractor shall be at liberty to remove them.

⁽m) Woods v. Russell (1822), 5 B. & Ald. 942; Clarke v. Spence (1836), 4 A. & E. 448, 472; Holderness v. Rankin (1860), 29 L. J. (CH.) 753, 761; Swainson v. Clay (1863), 4 Giff. 187; Re Attwater, Ex parte Watts (1863), 32 L. J. (BCY.) 35; M'Bain v. Wallace & Co. (1881), 6 App. Cas. 588.

⁽n) Simpson v. Hartopp (1744), Willes, 512. (o) Clarke v. Millwall Dock Co. (1886), 54 L. T. 814.

SECT. 1. Vesting of Property in **Materials** etc.

the reputed owner thereof, and therefore on the bankruptcy of the contractor they pass to his trustee (p).

SUB-SECT. 4.—How far a Vesting Clause is a Bill of Sale.

Vesting clause not bill of sale.

551. A clause in a building contract providing that the materials brought on to the site shall be considered as attached to the freehold is not an assignment, transfer, or assurance of personal chattels, nor a licence to take possession of them as security for a debt (q); neither is a clause providing that the materials brought on the soil shall become the property of the employer (r): the right conferred in this case is not a right in equity, but a right in law (s). The moment the goods are brought on the land the property in them passes, and there is nothing left upon which any equity as distinct from law can attach.

SECT. 2.—Lien.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- For the Benefit of the Contractor.

Extent of contractor's lien.

552. When the property in the materials has passed to the employer by reason of their having been affixed to the freehold, the contractor has no lien on them, or on the works constructed with them (t), unless he has expressly contracted with the employer that he shall have such a lien (a).

But when materials brought on the land of the employer have not been affixed to the freehold, and the property in them has not passed to the employer by the terms of the contract, the

(r) Ibid., per Bowen, L.J., at p. 439.

(a) Ibid.

(b) The law on this point is so clear that it never seems to have been necessary in England. In Upper Canada, howformally to declare it in any judgment in England. In Upper Canada, however, MACAULAY, J., stated the law as follows: "Although a right of lien frequently attaches to goods or chattels sold or made until the price be paid, yet no such lien attaches upon houses erected under building contracts, unless expressly sanctioned by the terms of such agreement, when it forms a species of mort-gage, including an interest in the estate" (Johnson v. Crew (1836), 5 Upper Canada Q. B. (o. s.) 200, at p. 204).

(a) In Wallis v. Smith (1882), 21 Ch. D. 243, at p. 248, FRY, J., by saying that "a man who declines to perform his contract can have no lien for money which he has expended in part performance," may be thought to have intended to imply that if he had completed he might have had a lien on the land. There is, however, no statute or decision on which such a proposition can be based, that is to say, in the case of a building or engineering contract, although in the case of a building agreement the equitable right of the builder to a lease may

be in the nature of a lien on the land. See further, title LIEN.

Digitized by Google

⁽p) Re Weibking, Ex parte Ward, [1902] 1 K. B. 713. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 177.
(q) Brown v. Bateman (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 272; Blake v. Izard (1867), 16 W. R. 108. Both these cases were under the Bills of Sale Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 36), but the Act of 1878 does not seem to make any difference, as the words added to the definition of "bill of sale" by the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 31), s. 4—" any agreement whether intended or not to be followed by the execution of any other instrument, by which a right in equity to any personal chattels, or to any charge or security thereon, shall be conferred "—do not include such a clause (Reeves v. Barlow (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 436, C. A.); and see title BILLS OF SALE, p. 12, ante.

contractor may have a right to hold them as an unpaid vendor until they are paid for (b).

SECT. 2. Lien.

SUB-SECT. 2.—For the Benefit of the Employer.

553. The employer may have a lien on the unfixed materials by How far express agreement; and where advances have been made on the implied lien. security of unfixed materials, on the understanding and agreement that the materials brought upon the premises should be considered a pledge for those advances, the employer has a lien on them (c). It is possible, however, that such a lien would not be valid against third parties by reason of the operation of the Bills of Sale Acts (d) if the materials were in the possession of the builder, as it might be held to constitute an agreement by which a right in equity to, or a charge or security on, the materials was conferred, but when an agreement provided that goods deposited on land were to be "deemed" to be in the possession of the owner of the land, and subject to a lien for the general balance of charges, it was held that this was not a bill of sale (e).

Where by the contract the employer is given a lien on the Effect of unfixed materials coupled with a power of seizure and user on contractor's the happening of an event, such as the bankruptcy of the contractor, the employer is protected against the contractor's trustee in bankruptcy, as the lien on the materials has been given from the very commencement of the contract, and the power to seize them on the bankruptcy of the contractor (f) is only given in consequence of the interest previously vested in the employer (g). As the contractor still remains the true owner of the materials which are subject to the lien, the doctrine of reputed ownership has no application (h); while for the reasons before stated the Bills of Sale Acts (i) do not apply. Even if it is stipulated that the lien shall only arise on the happening of an event, such as neglect to proceed with the works, and the contractor becomes bankrupt, and the event also happens, the employer can still seize the materials on the happening of the event (j), as the transaction is of the date of the contract, and is therefore a protected transaction (k). But if an

⁽b) Bellamy v. Davey, [1891] 3 Ch. 540. (c) Tripp v. Armitage (1839), 4 M. & W. 687; Re Waugh, Ex parte Dickin (1876), 4 Ch. D. 524; Banbury and Cheltenham Direct Rail. Co. v. Daniel (1884), 54 L. J. (CH.) 265.

⁽d) 41 & 42 Vict. c. 31; 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43. See title BILLS OF SALE, pp. 13, 14, ante.

⁽e) Spencer v. Midland Rail. Co. (1895), 11 T. L. B. 542.

⁽f) Re Waugh, Ex parte Dickin, supra; Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay (1880), 14 Ch. D. 19, 26; both decided under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (32 & 33 Vict. c. 71), s. 94; but the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, does not appear to alter the law in this respect.

⁽g) Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay, supra.

⁽h) Hawthorn v. Newcastle-upon-Tyne and North Shields Rail. Co. (1840), 3 Q. B. 734, n.

⁽i) 41 & 42 Vict. c. 31; 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43; see p. 265, ante, and title BILLS

OF SALE, p. 14, ante.
(j) Re Waugh, Ex parte Dickin, supra; Re Harrison, Ex parte Jay, supra. (k) Under s. 49 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52). See title BANKRUPTOY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 288.

SECT. 2. Lien.

execution under a judgment against the contractor is levied on the materials before the event happens, e.g., a notice of failure to proceed with the works, it is no longer competent for the building owner to acquire a lien by subsequently giving notice (l).

SECT. 3.—Materials belonging to the Employer.

Property in old materials.

554. Building contracts usually contain a provision under which the contractor agrees to clear away any old materials which may be found on the site. Such a provision may or may not, according to the terms of the contract, confer on the builder the right of property in these old materials (m).

In some cases the contractor agrees to allow for the old materials, or to take them for the purpose of the works. If he agrees to allow for them and has not done so, the employer is entitled to set

off the value of the old materials against the price (n).

If the employer supplies materials to the contractor for the purpose of the works, he may be entitled to set off their value against the sum payable to the contractor. His right to do so depends on the terms of the contract and on the circumstances (o).

Part XIV.—Assignment and Devolution of Rights and Liabilities as between Assignee and Employer.

Sect. 1.—Assignment of Rights.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

What the employer can assign.

555. An employer can assign the benefits and the burdens of a contract, as between himself and his assignee, but he cannot by such an assignment relieve himself from his obligations to the contractor, nor will such an assignment give the assignee any right to call upon the contractor to complete the contract. The contractor may, however, be a party to the assignment, in which case the assignment operates not only as an assignment (between the employer and the assignee), but also as a new contract between the assignee and the contractor, involving novation (p).

What contractor can assign.

556. The right possessed by the contractor which may become the subject of assignment is the right to receive payment of money

(n) Harvey v. Lawrence (1867), 15 L. T. 571.

title Choses in Action.

⁽l) Byford v. Russell, [1907] 2 K. B. 522. See p. 253, ante.
(m) In an American case it has, however, been held that, in the absence of express stipulation, the materials of old buildings on the site belong to the contractor (Morgan v. Stevens (1879), 6 Abb. (N.Y.) New Cases, 356).

⁽o) Allinson v. Davies (1796), Peake, Add. Cas. 82; Grainger v. Raybould (1840), 9 C. & P. 229; Newton v. Forster (1844), 12 M. & W. 772.

(p) See p. 179, ante; p. 274, post. For assignment of rights in general, see

SECT. 1.

of Rights.

due or to become due, whether by instalments or otherwise. A mere contract to make advances to the contractor by way of loan Assignment is not assignable, as specific performance of such a contract could not be enforced, and there is no debt or other legal chose in action Features of which can be assigned (q). But a contract to pay to the contractor assignment. instalments of the agreed price on the production of certificates from the architect involves the creation of a debt, and the contractor can assign his right to payment (r).

The assignee, however, takes subject to the equities existing Assignment is between the employer and the contractor, and can be in no subject to better position than his assignor, whose right to payment may, according to the terms of the contract, be destroyed by forfeiture, or reduced by the deduction of liquidated damages etc. (s).

Such an assignment of moneys due or to become due under a stamp. building contract is not a bill of exchange or an order to pay, and is not liable to stamp duty as such (t).

Notice in writing of the assignment must be given to the debtor, or Notice. other person liable to make the payment (u), in order to entitle the assignee to bring an action for the money or debt. Such a notice need not be in any particular form; a mere letter is sufficient. If the assignee neglects or delays to give the notice the assignment is only an equitable one. The effect of this is to let in equities which had arisen prior to the notice. But this will not operate to postpone the assignee's claim to that of a creditor who has obtained a garnishee order, if the assignee's charge was originally prior in date, as the garnishee order cannot establish any claim in derogation of the rights which the assignee has acquired under his security (w). The assignment must specify the particular fund to be charged, or it will be inoperative (a).

The assignee can sue without being obliged to join his assignor as a party (b).

557. If the contractor assigns a share of the profits of the con-Assignment tract, the assignee will not necessarily be constituted a partner (c), of share of or be rendered liable as such (d). If the stipulations are consistent profits. with the object of securing the repayment of money advanced,

(q) May v. Lane (1894), 43 W. R. 193. Compare South African Territories, Ltd. v. Wallington, [1898] A. C. 309.

⁽r) Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 25 (6).

⁽s) Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 579.
(t) See Crowfoot v. Gurney (1832), 9 Bing. 372; Diplock v. Hammond (1854), 2 Sm. & G. 141; Buck v. Robson (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 686; Adams v. Morgan (1883), 14 L. R. Ir. 140; Re Toward, Ex parte Moss (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 310; and see title BILLS OF EXCHANGE ETC., Vol. II., pp. 570 et seq.

⁽u) Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 25 (6).

⁽w) Badeley v. Consolidated Bank (1888), 38 Ch. D. 238.
(a) Crowfoot v. Gurney, supra; Percival v. Dunn (1885), 29 Ch. D. 128.
Thus, a mere note given by the contractor to a person to whom he is indebted requesting the employer to pay him and oblige the contractor, without anything

further, will not operate as an assignment.

⁽b) Sanders v. Peek (1884), 50 L. T. 630.
(c) Even independently of s. 2 of the Partnership Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict.

⁽d) Kelly v. Scotto (1880), 49 L. J. (CH.) 383.

SECT. 1. Assignment of Rights.

they will not be conclusive evidence of a partnership between the parties (e).

Although s. 25 (6) of the Judicature Act, 1873, refers to assignments which are absolute and not by way of charge, a mortgage of moneys to become payable under a building or engineering contract, with a proviso for redemption, is within the section (f).

Assignment by way of mortgage.

558. Where there is an assignment of a share of the profits, with power to the assignee to enter in case of the bankruptcy of the builder, the assignee's claim in the bankruptcy is postponed until the other creditors are satisfied, but he is not prevented from enforcing his rights so far as he can do so without coming in under the bankruptcy, e.g., by foreclosure (g). It is doubtful whether a stipulation in the contract against any assignment by the contractor of money to become due under it is valid, but it is submitted that it is (h). A stipulation not to assign without licence from the employer is valid (i).

SUB-SECT. 2.-What may be assigned.

Instalments.

559. Instalments accruing under the contract (j) can be assigned as legal choses in action (k). An assignment, however, of moneys not earned at the date of the bankruptcy, though good as against the employer or anyone claiming under him, will not be valid as against the contractor's trustee in bankruptcy (l). As regards instalments which have accrued due before the bankruptcy, the assignee is entitled to them against the contractor's trustee in bankruptcy.

Retention money.

560. Retention money (m) may also be assigned as being money earned, but not yet payable. Such an assignment will pass the interest of the contractor in the retention money, subject to the rights of the employer as to forfeiture, deduction of penalties etc. (n).

Position on bankruptcy.

561. If the contractor becomes bankrupt, his trustee, if he elects to adopt the contract and complete, takes subject to valid

(n) See p. 270, post.

⁽e) Badeley v. Consolidated Bank (1888), 38 Ch. D. 238. (f) Tancred v. Delagoa Bay and East Africa Rail. Co. (1889), 23 Q. B. D. 239;

Durham Brothers v. Robertson, [1898] 1 Q. B. 765.

(g) Badeley v. Consolidated Bank, supra, at pp. 254, 261. See title Bank-

RUPTOY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 227.

(h) Compare Re Turcan (1888), 40 Ch. D. 5, where, however, it is suggested, Cotton, L.J., at p. 10, that the difficulty caused by such a stipulation might be overcome by means of a declaration of trust. See also Re Griffin (1898), 79 L. T. 442.

⁽i) Laurie v. West Hartlepool Thirds Indemnity Association and David (1899), 4 Com. Cas. 322.

⁽j) As being covered by s. 25 (6) of Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66).

⁽k) Rodick v. Gandell (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 763; Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3

⁽¹⁾ Re Jones, Ex parte Nichols (1883), 22 Ch. D. 782; Re Toward, Ex parte Moss (1884) 14 Q. B. D. 310. See, as to this, more fully under title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 159, 160.

(m) For the definition of retention money, see p. 226, ante.

assignments of money earned previously to the bankruptcy (o), and he cannot, even by expending his own money in completing the Assignment work, obtain priority over the assignees (p), although if he had not done so the retention money would not have become payable. Where there is an obligation on the contractor to maintain the works for a period, and the retention money due on completion of the work prior to the period of maintenance has been assigned or charged, the trustee, if he elects to complete, and does complete, will complete the assignee's right to payment on completion; and the assignee will be entitled to be paid the retention money unreduced by any set-off for failure to maintain. The employer, however, will have a right to set off in bankruptcy the damages for the breach of the contract to maintain against any claims the trustee may have against him (q). The trustee is not, however, debarred from contesting the validity of the assignment (r).

SECT. 1. of Rights.

562. The employer cannot assign his right under a forfeiture Forfeiture clause to enter and seize the unfixed materials, as it is a bare licence clause. to seize chattels (s).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Equities to which the Assignment is subject.

563. The right of the assignee is subject to all equities which Time at which would have been entitled to priority over the right of the assignee if the Judicature Act had not passed (t). The effect of this is that the assignee from the contractor takes no better title than the contractor had at the time of notice of the assignment being given to the employer (a). Equities which arise subsequently to the notice given to the employer can only be set off if they are debts arising out of the same contract and sufficiently connected with the subject-matter of the assignment, e.g., liquidated damages for delay (b). Thus where a proportionate part of the price for a railway becomes due on the completion of each section, the employer can set off damages for non-completion of the whole railway against assignees of the proportionate parts of the price (c).

The employer may set off this claim as against that of the Position of assignee, but cannot claim or counterclaim it as damages against employer. him, and cannot recover anything from him (d). The employer, after notice of the assignment, cannot take away or diminish the rights of the assignee by any payment to, or other transactions

⁽o) Re Toward, Ex parte Moss (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 310.

⁽p) Drew v. Josolyne (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 590, 597. (q) Re Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30

Ch. D. 216.

⁽r) Re Jones, Ex parte Nichols (1883), 22 Ch. D. 782.
(s) On the analogy of a hire-purchase agreement (Re Davis & Co., Ex parte Rawlings (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 193).

⁽t) By s. 25 (6) of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66).

⁽a) Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 569; Young v. Kitchin (1878), 3 Ex. D. 127.

⁽b) Young v. Kitchin, supra; Re Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Lee and Chapman's Case, supra.

⁽c) Newfoundland Government v. Newfoundland Rail. Co. (1888), 13 App. Cas. 199.

⁽d) Young v. Kitchin, supra.

SECT. 1.
Assignment
of Rights.

with, the contractor (e) or persons claiming under him (f). The parties, however, can by agreement in the original contract exclude the rule that an assignee should take subject to the equities, but such a stipulation would hardly occur in the case of a building contract.

If the right to receive the money assigned depends upon the architect giving a certificate, and such a certificate is given by the architect fraudulently and in collusion with the contractor, this will afford a good defence in an action by the assignee based on the certificate (g).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Assignment subject to Forfeiture.

Effect of forfeiture.

564. Where the employer rightfully determines the contract by forfeiture, on account of some default by the contractor, or where the contractor abandons the work, then, as the contract never becomes completed, the right to payment on the part of the contractor never accrues, and there is nothing on which an assignment of money to become due can operate (h).

SECT. 2.—Assignment of Liabilities.

Sub-Sect. 1.—Assignment by the Contractor.

How far contractor may assign liabilities. **565.** The question whether the builder can sublet the performance of the contract depends on whether the contract is a personal one or not (i), and this depends, in the absence of express stipulation making the contract a personal one or prohibiting assignment, upon whether the builder is selected with reference to his individual skill, cleverness, integrity, and financial responsibility, or other personal qualification, in which case the performance of the contract cannot be assigned by him, notwithstanding that the person who is offered to take his place is equally well qualified to perform it (k), or whether the contract is one as to which it must be indifferent to the employer whether it is done by the immediate party to the contract, or by someone on his behalf (l).

It would appear that the contractor cannot assign his liabilities in the following cases (m): (1) where there is an express stipulation against assignment or subletting; (2) where an intention of the parties not to permit assignment can be implied from the circumstances (n), as where a particular contractor is employed owing to

(n) Robson v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 303; Knight v. Burgess, supra.

⁽e) Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 569. (f) Smith v. Kirk (1871), 25 L. T. 426, where the

⁽f) Smith v. Kirk (1871), 25 L. T. 426, where the court was equally divided.

⁽g) Wakefield and Barnsley Banking Co. v. Normanton Local Board (1881), 44 L. T. 697.

⁽h) See p. 251, ante.(i) See title CONTRACT.

⁽k) Knight v. Buryess (1864), 33 L. J. (CH.) 727; British Waggon Co. v. Lea (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 149, per COCKBURN, O.J., at p. 153; Tolhurst v. Associated Portland Cement Manufacturers, [1903] A. C. 414, 420.

⁽l) British Wagyon Co. v. Lea, supra.

(m) The reported cases relating to building contracts on this point are not sufficient in number for an absolute general rule to be deduced from them.

some particular qualification, either in respect of skill, financial position, or the possession of special plant adapted for the work; (3) where the work is of a special nature (o), such as the construction of a lighthouse (p), electric lighting, well boring, or hydraulic work, or possibly in the case of works of great magnitude, requiring special engineering skill, or the possession of particular plant.

SECT. 2. Assignment of Liabilities.

566. Where two or more persons jointly contract to perform a Joint service for the employer, one or more of them may, in the absence contractors. of some special circumstances as to personal qualifications, assign to the other or others.

567. Where the contract would otherwise not be assignable, the Acquiescence employer, if he has acquiesced in the assignment, and accepted the services of the assignee, will be estopped from raising any objection on the ground that the contract ought to have been carried out by the original contractor, and this will be so even where there is no formal assignment, substituted contract, or sub-contract (a).

by employer.

568. If the consideration for the assignment, as between assignor Prevention by and assignee, is the payment of money to the assignor on com- assignee. pletion, then, if the assignee prevents the completion of the contract, or enters into a substituted contract, so that the event on which the money becomes payable never happens, the assignor will have no claim for the money, but he will have a claim in damages against the assignee (b).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Assignment by the Employer.

569. The employer cannot get rid of his liability to pay the price Consent of to the contractor by assignment without the consent of the latter. The contractor cannot be compelled to carry out a building contract, with all its onerous conditions, and then have to rely for payment on a person with whom he never contracted, and who may be a "man of straw" (c). In shipbuilding contracts in such a case, the shipbuilder would be to a certain extent protected by having a lien for payment on the unfinished ship (d), but that fact would not have the effect of entitling the employer to assign so as to get rid of the obligation to pay.

necessary.

If on an assignment by the employer the contractor refuses to go on with the works, and is then induced to do so by a promise by the assignee to pay him, there would be sufficient consideration to

(o) Johnson v. Raylton (1881), 7 Q. B. D. 438.

⁽p) Anon., cited by PATTESON, J., in Wentworth v. Cock (1839), 10 Ad. & El.

^{42,} at p. 45.

(a) Falle v. Le Sueur and Le Huguet (1859), 12 Moo. P. C. C. 501.

(b) Humphreys v. Jones and Pickering (1850), 20 L. J. (Ex.) 88.

(c) Robson and Sharpe v. Drummond (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 303, per Lord Tenterden, C.J., at p. 307; Humble v. Hunter (1848), 12 Q. B. 310, per Lord Denman, at p. 317; and see further, title Contract.

in an unfinished state at the engine-makers', it was held that an equitable mortgage of the ship and her engines was subject to the lien of the enginemakers (Re Hodgkin, Ex parte Softley (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 746).

SECT. 2. Assignment of Liabilities.

support the promise (e), and the contractor would have a right of action against the assignee, at all events for all work done subsequent to the promise (f).

SECT. 3.—Bankruptcy.

Effect of contractor's bankruptcy.

570. If the contractor becomes bankrupt, any contract to which he is a party, unless it is a personal contract (g), passes to his trustee in bankruptcy (h), subject, however, to the trustee's right to disclaim it.

If the bankrupt goes on doing the work after his bankruptcy, the price will be payable to the trustee (i).

Incidents of contractor's bankruptcy.

571. The bankruptcy of the contractor may give rise to the exercise, rightfully or wrongfully, of powers of forfeiture of the contract (k), or of materials and plant (l), or the vesting of materials may be conditioned to occur on bankruptcy (m). Claims by the employer against the contractor both for liquidated and unliquidated damages and for prospective injury may be set off in the bankruptcy against the money due to the contractor (n).

On the bankruptcy of the contractor the trustee, if called upon to elect, must either disclaim the contract, or adopt it. In the latter case he becomes bound by its terms (o); in the former case the contract is put an end to, and all the provisions as to forfeiture and liquidated damages at once cease. The employer must then, if he suffers injury by the disclaimer, seek his remedy in damages against

the estate of the contractor (p).

If a sub-contractor of the contractor should commit breaches of contract, the trustee of the contractor will have a right of action for unliquidated damages against the sub-contractor (q).

Effect of employer's bankruptcy.

572. A contractor is not bound to continue to supply materials to or work on credit for the employer if the latter becomes bankrupt (r).

(f) Oldfield v. Lowe (1829), 9 B. & C. 73. See also Pearson v. Graham (1834), 3 L. J. (Ex.) 175.

(k) See p. 251, ante. (l) See p. 254, ante.

(o) See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 162. (p) See Re Newman, Ex parte Capper (1876), 4 Ch. D. 724; Re Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Lee and Chapman's Case, supra.

⁽e) See Scotson v. Pegg (1861), 6 H. & N. 295; Shadwell v. Shadwell (1860), 9 C. B. (N. s.) 159.

⁽q) Jackson v. Swarbrick, [1870] W. N. 133. (h) Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 54; and see title Bank-RUPTOY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 162.

⁽i) Whitmore and Surmon v. Gilmour (1844), 13 L. J. (Ex.) 201. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 159.

⁽m) See p. 263, ante. (n) See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 211 et seq.; and see Peat v. Jones (1881), 8 Q. B. D. 147; Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benzon & Co. (1884), 9 App. Cas. 434; Re Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Lee and Chapman's Case (1885), 30 Ch. D. 216.

⁽q) Wright v. Fairfield (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 727. (r) Re Sneezum, Ex parte Davis (1876), 3 Ch. D. 463, per MELLISH, L.J., at p. 473.

Any rights of action which the employer may have against the contractor pass to the employer's trustee in bankruptcy (a).

SECT. 3. Bankruptcy.

SECT. 4.—Devolution on Death. Sub-Sect. 1.—Death of the Employer.

573. The executors or administrators of an employer are entitled Rights and to the benefit of the performance of a building contract, but, on the duties of other hand, they are liable to the contractor for the price. If the land on which the building is being erected goes to the heir or to a devisee, it would seem that the heir or devisee, as between himself and the personal representatives, can compel the erection of the building and throw the cost upon the personal estate of the deceased employer (b).

If there are several employers jointly interested in the contract, and one of them dies, then, if by agreement between the employers the executors of any party dying are to have the benefit of the work, the law will imply a contract on the part of the deceased that his executors shall pay to the other employers their proportion of the price, although the legal remedy of the contractor will be solely against the surviving employers (c).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Death of the Contractor.

574. If a man has agreed to build a house for another by a certain Rights and time, and dies before that time, his executors are bound to perform duties of the contract (d). And, on the other hand, the executors of the deceased contractor are entitled to perform the contract and recover payment for it (e). If the executor or administrator of the contractor enters into a fresh or supplementary contract in his capacity as personal representative, he will be personally liable on the contract, but may bring an action on it in his representative capacity, and this right of action, if accrued, will pass to an administrator de bonis non on the death of the original personal representative (f).

Where one of several joint contractors dies, his executors are entitled to share in the contract, and to have their rights as between themselves and their joint contractors ascertained on the completion. and cannot, without their consent, be bought out at a valuation (q).

executors etc.

Digitized by Google

⁽a) Wright v. Fairfield (1831), 2 B. & Ad. 727. And see title BANKRUPTCY

AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 136—139.

(b) Holt v. Holt (1694), 2 Vern. 321; Cooper v. Jarman (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 98; Re Day, [1898] 2 Ch. 510; and compare Bradbury v. Morgan (1862), 1 H. & C. 249. See also Lechmere v. Carlisle (Earl) (1733), 3 P. Wms. 211. See also Land Transfer Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 65), s. 2; and, generally, title EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.

⁽c) See Prior v. Hembrow (1841), 8 M. & W. 873, per Alderson, B., at p. 889.
(d) Fitzherbert, La Graunde Abridgement, tit. Barre, pl. 60 (1453), cited by Coke, C.J., in Quick and Harrie v. Ludborrow (1615), 3 Bulst. 29, 30. See also Siboni v. Kirkman (1836), 1 M. & W. 418, 422, 423; Corner v. Shew (1838), 3 M. & W. 350, per Parke, B., at p. 354; Knight v. Burgess, (1864) 33 L. J. (ch.) 727 (omission of word "assign"). See generally, title Executors and Administrators.

⁽e) Marshall v. Broadhurst (1831), 1 Cr. & J. 403. (f) Moseley v. Rendell (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 338.

⁽g) McClean v. Kennard (1874), 9 Ch. App. 336. See also Ambler v. Bolton (1872), L. B. 14 Eq. 427.

SECT. 4. Devolution on Death.

Personal contracts.

If the contract is a personal one, the personal representatives of a deceased contractor will be entitled to be paid any instalments of the price which have actually accrued due, or they may have a claim by way of quantum meruit for work done up to the date of the death (h); but, on the other hand, they cannot insist on completing the personal contract of the deceased (i).

SECT. 5.—Attachment of Instalments and Retention Money.

Extent of right.

575. The general law as to attachment of debts applies to the case of moneys already due and payable under a building contract.

The right of attaching debts or obtaining garnishee orders over them, however, extends only to debts actually owing or debts accruing (j), that is to say, what is debitum in præsenti solvendum in futuro, and does not include such payments under a building contract as have not yet been earned (\bar{k}) .

Forfeiture.

The power to attach a debt accruing due under a building contract would be defeated by a forfeiture (l).

Assignment.

An attachment of money payable under a building contract will not take priority over an assignment (even if the assignment be only equitable) which is prior in date to the attachment (m).

Part XV.—Substituted Contracts and Subcontracts.

Sect. 1.—Substituted Contracts.

Effect of novation.

576. The introduction of a new party into a contract, either by substitution or addition, is termed novation. When it is by way of substitution the original party, for whom the new party is substituted, is, to an extent depending on the terms of the new contract, released from further performance of the original contract. Where a new contractor is substituted for the original one and takes over the work on the terms of the original contract, he will be bound by stipulations therein relating to deduction of liquidated damages etc. (n).

 ⁽h) Stubbs v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 311.
 (i) Farrow v. Wilson (1869), L. R. 4 C. P. 744, 746; and see Wentworth v. Cock (1839), 10 Ad. & El. 42, per PATTESON, J., at p. 45.
(j) R. S. C., Ord. 45.
(k) See title EXECUTION.

⁽l) See p. 251, ante. (m) Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co. (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 235; Badeley v. Consolidated Bank (1888), 38 Ch. D. 238.
(n) Re Yeadon Waterworks Co. and Binns (1895), 72 L. T. 538. See title

CONTRACT.

SECT. 2.—Sub-contracts.

Sub-Sect. 1.—Relations between Sub-contractor and Employer.

SECT. 2. Subcontracts.

No privity of contract.

577. As a sub-contractor is not a party to the contract between the employer and the contractor, he cannot bring an action against the employer on it, there being no privity of contract between them (o). If, however, the particular article, which he has undertaken to prepare or furnish, is not affixed to the freehold, he still remains the owner of it until he has completed and delivered it, and, in case the contractor should become bankrupt, he can claim the chattel and refuse to part with it except on getting payment or a charge on the purchase-money which the employer has agreed to pay the contractor for it (p).

Where the sub-contractor is liable to compensate the contractor Assignment for inferior work or defects in the work supplied by him, and the contractor is under a similar liability to the employer, the employer against subcan take an assignment of the contractor's right to compensation, contractor. and bring an action thereon against the sub-contractor (q).

of contractor's rights

578. Acceptance by the employer of the work done by a sub- How far contractor will in no way cause an implication that the employer employer has made any contract with the sub-contractor (r). If the sub-contractor sets up orders from the employer for work as "extra to" the principal contract, he must produce the principal contract to show that the work is not included in it, and prove a distinct contract between the employer and himself, before he can recover from the employer (s).

may pay sub-

In some circumstances, the employer cannot discharge his Effect of liability to the contractor's trustee in bankruptcy by paying the accepting sub-contractor. In case of the bankruptcy of the contractor, the architect cannot deduct payment made to a sub-contractor for work and materials supplied by him when certifying the balance due to the principal contractor, but may be compelled to certify payment to the principal contractor without such deduction (t). Where, however, the contract provides that in the event of the principal contractor unduly delaying proper payment of the sub-contractors the employer may pay them himself, then if the contractor unduly delays proper payment to the sub-contractors, e.g., by presenting his petition in bankruptcy, the employer is justified in paying the sub-contractors, notwithstanding the bankruptcy of the contractor (u).

sub-contractor's work

579. There may be communications or dealings between the When employer and the sub-contractor which amount to a contract, employer

liable to subcontractor.

⁽o) See title CONTRACT.

⁽c) See title CONTRACT.

(p) Bellamy v. Davey, [1891] 3 Ch. 540.

(q) Constant v. Kincaid & Co. (1902), 4 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 901.

(r) Bramah v. Abinger (Lord), cited in Paterson v. Gandasequi (1812), 15

East, 62, per Lord Ellenborough, at p. 66.

(s) Eccles v. Southern (1861), 3 F. & F. 142. See also p. 234, ante.

(t) Re Holt, Ex parte Gray (1888), 58 L. J. (q. B.) 5.

⁽u) Re Wilkinson, Ex parte Fowler, [1905] 2 K. B. 713.

SECT. 2. Subcontracts. express or implied, on the part of the employer to pay the subcontractor (w). If there is a promise by the employer to pay or see the sub-contractor paid, the question arises whether the promise is collateral to the contract between the contractor and the subcontractor, and given by way of guarantee, in which case it must be in writing (x), or whether it is a direct promise to pay, in which case a verbal promise is sufficient.

Payments on architect's certificate.

If the employer promises to pay the sub-contractor "out of the money" that he has to pay to the principal contractor, this is a direct promise, and not a guarantee to be liable for the principal contractor's debt (y).

Where the contract provides that provisional sums mentioned in the specification shall be paid by the contractor or by the employer in such amounts and to such persons as the architect shall direct, and the architect certifies a sum as due to a merchant and deducts this from money due to the contractor, it has been held under the particular circumstances of the case that the merchant is entitled to claim payment from the employer (a).

Principal contractor as agent of employer.

If it appears that the principal contractor was acting as the agent of the employer in contracting with the sub-contractor, the The burden of proof lies employer will be liable to the latter. on the sub-contractor to show that the employer, and not the principal contractor, was the real principal, and he may call evidence to show that the employer personally gave orders to do work and supply materials for the same building to third parties as corroboration of his evidence that the employer gave him personal orders to do the work (b); while the employer can call the principal contractor to prove that on the accounts between him and the sub-contractor nothing is due to the latter (c).

Sub-Sect. 2 .- Relations between Principal Contractor and Sub-contractor.

Rights and liabilities of principal contractor.

580. The contractor, in the absence of stipulations to the contrary or circumstances which show the contract to be personal, has a right to sublet portions of the work to sub-contractors, and to be paid for the work performed by them, being also liable for defects in such work in the same way and to the same extent as if he had performed it himself (d).

Forfeiture of principal contract.

The principal contractor, by subletting part of the work, impliedly contracts with the sub-contractor that he will not by any act or default of his own prevent the sub-contractor from performing his share of the work. Thus if, in consequence of the default of the principal contractor, the employer forfeits the contract and ousts

⁽w) Smith v. Rudhall (1862), 3 F. & F. 143.

⁽x) See p. 280, post, and title GUARANTEE. (y) Dixon v. Hatfield (1825), 2 Bing. 439; Andrews v. Smith (1835), 2 Cr. M. & R. 627; Stevenson's Trustee v. Campbell & Sons (1896), 23 R. (Ct. of Sess.)

^{711.}

⁽a) Hobbs v. Turner (1902), 18 T. L. R. 235. (b) Woodward v. Buchanan (1870), L. R. 5 Q. B. 285. (c) Gerish v. Chartier (1845), 1 C. B. 13.

⁽d) British Wayyon Co. v. Lea (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 149. See also p. 270, ante.

the sub-contractor, the sub-contractor will have a claim in damages against the principal contractor (e).

The sub-contractor, on his part, is liable to the principal contractor for defective work, as the relation between them is similar to that of employer and contractor (f).

581. Where the sub-contractor knows that, by the terms of the contract between the employer and the principal contractor, the principal contractor is liable to liquidated damages or forfeiture for delay, the liability of the sub-contractor to the contractor for delay may be increased. And the principal contractor may be entitled to recover from the sub-contractor the liquidated damages he has had to pay owing to the delay caused by the sub-contractor, or the profit he would have made on a contract rescinded from that cause, together with the cost of work thrown away (q).

Such knowledge of the terms of the principal contract is, however, not sufficient to prove that the sub-contractor agreed with the principal contractor to be bound by the terms of the contract. Thus if the sub-contractor properly completes his part of the work, his right to payment will not depend upon the certificate of the architect, notwithstanding that it is a condition precedent to

payment to the principal contractor (h).

Where the sub-contractor expressly contracts to be bound by the terms of the principal contract, provisions as to retention money will be applied to him proportionally in the ratio that his contract bears to the whole contract (i).

But provisions in the principal contract, which are not applicable as between the contractor and sub-contractor, will not be

incorporated by implication in the sub-contract.

It has been held that a clause in the principal contract referring disputes between the employer and contractor to arbitration will not be incorporated impliedly so as to refer disputes between the contractor and the sub-contractor to arbitration (j).

But where the terms of the principal contract are expressly incorporated in the sub-contract, the provision as to arbitration will apply (k).

SECT. 3.—Prime Cost and Provisional Sums.

582. The term "p.c.," or "prime cost sum," has come to be used Origin of in building and engineering contracts because of the difficulty of term "prime cost."

Clause referring disputes

to arbitration

(e) See p. 251, ante. (f) As to the effect of a stipulation limiting the responsibility of the subcontractor to the replacement of faulty work supplied by him, see Prince of Wales Dry Dock Co. (Swansea), Ltd. v. Fownes Forge and Engineering Co., Ltd. (1904), 90 L. T. 527, where it was held that a sub-contractor denying that his work was faulty was liable to the principal contractor for the costs of a counterclaim successfully raised by the employer for defects in such work.

(g) Hydraulic Engineering Co. v. McHaffie (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 670.
(h) Lewis v. Hoare (1881), 44 L. T. 66.
(i) Geary, Walker & Co. v. Lawrence & Son (1906), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 406.
(j) Goodwin v. Brand (1905), 7 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 995.

(k) See Temperley Steam Shipping Co. v. Smyth & Co., [1905] 2 K. B. 791.

SECT. 2. Subcontracts.

Defective work by subcontractor.

How far terms of principal contract binding on subcontractor.



SECT. 3.
Prime Cost
and
Provisional
Sums.

Whether contractor entitled to trade and cash discounts.

describing accurately, at the time the contract is entered into, every article to be used in the building or work. Instead, therefore, of giving a description of the article required, the contractor is directed in the specification to provide and fix the article, whatever it is, at the "p.c.," or "prime cost sum," of so many shillings or pounds, as the case may be, which the architect thinks will be the probable prime cost of the article required.

The architect may in addition define the meaning of "p.c.," or "prime cost sums," and state that they are the net sum paid or payable to the merchant, either with or without, and usually without, any allowance to the contractor for profit. Notwithstanding the ordinary acceptation of the term, the contractor often seeks to recover not the net cost, but the cost to an ordinary person, out of which the contractor has had the benefit of trade discounts as well as It appears to be clear that he cannot be discounts for cash. entitled to trade discounts (l), but whether he is entitled to discount for cash must depend on the circumstances in each case. It would seem that, apart from special circumstances, the contractor is not entitled to cash discount. The building owner's obligation is to pay prime cost, and it would seem that he cannot be under any obligation to pay some other price. The contractor may be under no obligation to pay cash, but if he does, it would seem that he cannot charge the building owner more than he has paid, namely, prime cost. It has been held that, in the case of a quantity surveyor who obtained a discount for cash on the payment by him of certain lithographer's charges, he was, as against the person who employed him, entitled to the discount so obtained, but this case depended on proof of a custom (m).

Provisional sums.

583. A provision is often inserted in building contracts that the builder shall provide a sum either for contingencies, such as extras, or, on the other hand, to pay for some contemplated work a tender for which may have been obtained from some merchant or specialist, or for some work the exact nature of which has not been defined at the date of the contract. The sum so provided is generally referred to as a provisional sum. Clauses are often inserted defining the rights of the building owner and the architect in respect of these sums, and where such clauses exist the contract must be referred to. Apart from any special terms, it would seem that, if no expenditure is required for such contingencies, a provisional sum inserted therefor can be deducted. In the other case, that is, if the provisional sum is inserted in the contract for a specific work, it would seem that the work cannot be omitted and given to another contractor without rendering the employer liable for damages for loss of profit(n).

Liability of employer to builders' merchants. 584. The question of the liability of the building owner to builders' merchants employed to carry out work contemplated by provisional sums has occasioned much litigation. This arises

(n) Gallagher v. Hirsh (1899), New York 45 App. Div. 467.

⁽¹⁾ Compare Hippisley v. Knee Brothers, [1905] 1 K. B. 1.

⁽m) London School Board v. Northeroft (1889), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 142.

generally from three causes: either because the builder's merchant declines to take orders from the builder or prefers to take them from the architect, and the architect, without consulting his employer, gives orders to the merchant direct (in which case it is doubtful on whose account he gives the orders), or because ambiguous provisions are inserted in the contract, or because of conditions empowering the architect to direct payment of these sums due to the merchant. It has been held that where an architect has this power, and he certifies a sum as due to a merchant and deducts this sum from money due to the contractor, the building owner under the particular circumstances of the case is liable to pay the merchant (o). There is, however, apart from special circumstances, no right in the merchant to recover money from anyone with whom he has not contracted, and this is a question of fact. Where the merchant has received an order from the architect, it is also a question of fact whether the architect is personally liable, or whether he is the agent of the building owner or even of the builder in ordering the goods.

SECT. 3. Prime Cost and Provisional Sums.

A clause is sometimes inserted in the building contract, for the Power to protection of the merchant, to the effect that, if the contractor is order direct delaying payment to the merchant, the architect shall have power payment to merchants. to order direct payment by the building owner to the merchant. Such a power is not annulled by the builder's bankruptcy, and if the architect acts on it the merchant is entitled to be paid in priority to the claim of the builder's trustee in bankruptcy (p).

Part XVI.—Guarantees and Sureties.

SECT. 1 .- For due Performance by the Contractor.

585. In building and engineering contracts the contractor some- when sureties times has to find sureties who by a bond (q) or otherwise make required. themselves responsible for the due performance of the contract by the contractor. Urban authorities are required by statute (r) to take sufficient security in the case of contracts to the amount of £100 or upwards (s). Boards of guardians are authorised to require sureties from the contractor if they deem it advisable (t).

⁽o) Hobbs v. Turner (1902), 18 T. L. R. 235.

⁽p) Re Wilkinson, Ex parte Fowler, [1905] 2 K. B. 713.
(q) As to bonds generally, see title BONDS, pp. 79 et seq., ante, and for form of such bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. VI., p. 244.

⁽r) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 174 (4). See title Public HEALTH ETC.

⁽s) It has not been decided whether this sub-section is mandatory or only directory. S. 174 (1) has been decided to be mandatory (Young & Co. v. Royal Learnington Spa Corporation (1883), 8 App. Cas. 517), and s. 174 (2) to be directory (Soothill Upper Urban Council v. Wakefield Rural Council, [1905] 2 Ch. 516). If the sub-section is mandatory, the effect of not taking security would be to avoid the contract; if it is only directory, the contract would be valid. See further, titles LOCAL GOVERNMENT; PUBLIC HEALTH ETC.

⁽t) General Poor Law Order of 1847, Statutory Rules and Orders Revised, Vol. X., "Poor, England," p. 74.

SECT. 1. For due Performance by the Contractor.

Where sureties are required by the contract it will depend on the terms of the contract whether or not the providing of the sureties is a condition precedent to payment (u).

Position of sureties.

586. The principles relating to the validity of the undertaking of sureties, their release, and the remedies of the employer are generally the same in the case of building contracts as in that of other contracts of suretyship (w).

Certificate withheld.

A surety may be discharged by actual completion of the works the subject of the guarantee in accordance with the specification, although a certificate, which is a condition precedent to payment to the contractor, has not been given, but this depends on the terms of the guarantee (x). Where there has been concealment by the contractor of defective work, and a certificate has been fraudulently obtained by him from the engineer, the surety will not be discharged (y).

Alteration in contract.

Concealment etc.

An immaterial alteration in the contract made after the guarantee has been entered into will not discharge the surety (z).

A mere misrecital of a fact, as that a specification had been signed when in fact it had not, is not such a fraud or concealment as will release the surety (a). But if the works are without the knowledge of the surety made subject to the supervision and approval of the engineer of some third party, the surety will be

Where the employer "shall and may" insure the works at the expense of the contractor, a surety will be discharged by his failure to do so (c).

Extension of work or of time.

An increase of the amount of work to be done or an extension of time for constructing the work will also have the effect of discharging the contractor's sureties (d).

Premature payment.

The surety will also be discharged if, without his knowledge and assent, the employer makes any payment to the contractor before the time when such payment is due under the contract (e), unless authorised by the guarantee so to do.

Insanity.

If the contractor becomes insane, and consequently unable to perform the work, the sureties are entitled to complete the contract (f).

(f) Tracey v. M'Cabe (1893), 32 L. R. Ir. 21.

⁽u) See Roberts v. Brett (1865), 11 H. L. Cas. 337; and see p. 226, ante.

⁽w) See title GUARANTÈE.

⁽x) Compare Lewis v. Houre (1881), 44 L. T. 66.

⁽x) Compare Lewis v. Houre (1881), 44 L. 1. 60.
(y) Kingston-on-Hull Corporation v. Harding, [1892] 2 Q. B. 494.
(z) Andrews v. Lawrence (1865), 19 C. B. (N. 8.) 768.
(a) Russell v. Trickett (1865), 13 L. T. 280.
(b) Stiff v. Eastbourne Local Board (1868), 20 L. T. 339.
(c) Watts v. Shuttleworth (1861), 7 H. & N. 353.
(d) Harrison v. Seymour (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 518.

⁽e) General Steam Navigation Co. v. Rolt (1859), 6 C. B. (N. S.) 550, 584, where instalments of price were paid to the contractor before he had done the work in respect of which they were payable; Warre v. Calvert (1837), 7 Ad. & El. 143, where the contract provided for advances from time to time of not more than three-fourths of the value of the work completed, and the sums advanced exceeded the whole value of the work; Calvert v. London Dock Co. (1838), 7 L. J. (CH.) 90, where retention money was advanced to the contractor.

An employer who is sued by the contractor may bring in the surety as third party to a counterclaim against the contractor for a breach of the contract guaranteed (q).

SECT. 1. For due Performance by the Contractor.

Sect. 2.—For Payment of the Contractor.

587. Sureties to guarantee the payment of the contractor are When sureties frequent in the case of private employers (h), and they are sometimes necessary where the employer is a public body, especially where the price is payable out of poor rates.

In some cases there may also be a guarantee for the payment of a sub-contractor. In such a case, if there is an obligation on the part of the contractor to supply the work within a fixed time coupled with a similar obligation on the part of the sub-contractor, and the employer waives delay on the part of the contractor, and the contractor is liable to pay the sub-contractor in full, the surety cannot set up the delay on the part of the sub-contractor as a defence in an action by the sub-contractor on his guarantee (i).

Sect. 3.—For Financing the Contractor.

588. In some cases the contractor finds it necessary to obtain Charging of sureties to guarantee his banking account (k) or other liabilities he contractor's may incur (l), and such sureties are sometimes given a charge over the contractor's interest in the contract.

The effect of such charges to sureties is similar to other cases of assignment of payments to be made under the contract (m).

Part XVII.—Arbitration Clauses in Building Contracts.

SECT. 1.—In General.

589. It is very common to insert in building and engineering Usual arbitracontracts a clause to the effect that, in case disputes arise between tion clauses. the contractor on the one side and the employer or his architect on the other, such disputes shall be referred to arbitration. clauses vary in their scope in different contracts. In some cases they include all disputes that may arise without exception; in others only disputes as to particular subjects are to be referred to arbitration. In some cases the arbitrator is named or is an independent

(m) See p. 267, ante.

⁽g) Turner v. Hednesford Gas Co. (1878), 3 Ex. D. 145.

⁽h) Andrews v. Lawrence (1865), 19 C. B. (N. s.) 768, is an instance of such a guarantee.

⁽i) Oastler v. Pound (1863), 7 L. T. 852. (k) Brice v. Bannister (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 569; Re Asphaltic Wood Pavement Co., Lee and Chapman's Case (1880), 30 Ch. D. 216.

⁽¹⁾ Heming v. Maline and Tremery (1835), 4 L. J. (Ex.) 245.

SECT. 1. In General. person appointed in some prescribed way; in others each party is to appoint an arbitrator, and these arbitrators in case of difference are to appoint an umpire; while in others, again, the architect or engineer is the arbitrator, notwithstanding that he is the agent of the employer (n).

Construction.

Arbitration clauses in building contracts are to be construed in accordance with the general law of arbitration (o), and are governed by the Arbitration Act, 1889 (p).

Stay.

In the case of an action by a contractor for damages arising from an alleged wrongful forfeiture of a contract containing an arbitration clause covering the cause of action, the court may exercise its discretion by refusing a stay (q).

SECT. 2.—What is included in an Arbitration Clause.

Application of arbitration clause.

590. Whether a particular dispute is within the scope of an arbitration clause depends in each particular case on the wording of the clause; and when the arbitration is to be by the architect or engineer, the clause should be construed strictly (r).

Thus a clause providing that disputes in any way relating to the contract and the conditions connected with or relating to the proposed buildings and works should be referred to the architect as arbitrator does not, it would seem, give the architect power arbitrarily to determine the final balance due to the contractor on completion (s); and, in the absence of express provisions, the architect will not have jurisdiction to decide in his capacity as arbitrator whether or not the employer has committed breaches of the contract, e.g., by delaying the works or wrongfully ousting the contractor (t).

Where the reference is to an independent arbitrator or umpire, an arbitration clause will apparently be construed rather more widely than in the case of reference to the agent of one of the parties (u).

⁽n) For forms of arbitration clauses see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. II., pp. 94, 586, 601; and for form of agreement to refer, ibid., p. 109.

⁽c) As to the general law of arbitration, see title Arbitration, Vol. I. (p) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 49.

⁽q) Pickering v. Cape Town Rail. Co. (1865), L. R. 1 Eq. 84; and see Nobel Brothers Petroleum Production Co. v. Stewart (P.) & Co. (1890), 6 T. L. R. 378;

Contra, Renshaw v. Queen Anne Mansions Co., [1897] 1 Q. B. 662; Parry v. Liverpool Malt Co., [1900] 1 Q. B. 339.

(r) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630; Tough v. Dumbarton Water Works Commissioners (1872), 11 Macph. (Ct. of Sess.) 236; Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48 L. T. 507; Hutcheson & Co. v. Eaton & Sim (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 861.

(a) Pashby v. Rirmingham Comparation (1856), 18 C. B. 2

⁽s) Pashby v. Birmingham Corporation (1856), 18 C. B. 2.
(t) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell, supra; Moyers v. Soady (1886), 18
L. R. Ir. 499; Swiney and M'Larnon v. Ballymena Commissioners (1888), 23
L. R. Ir. 122; Mackey & Son v. Leven Police Commissioners (1893), 20 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1093; Wells v. Army and Navy Co-operative Society, Ltd. (1902), 86 L. T. 764. But see Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board, supra; Levy & Co. v. Thomsons (1883), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1134.

⁽u) Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and the City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. R. 470.

591. Where there has been abandonment or rescission of the contract, the arbitration clause will, it would seem, cease to apply unless there is a special provision extending the scope of the clause to such a case (w), or unless the arbitration clause is sufficiently wide to include the question whether such abandonment or rescission is a breach of the contract between the parties (a).

Where a sub-contractor agrees to be bound by the terms of a principal contract, which contains a clause referring disputes between the employer and the contractor to arbitration, this does not operate as a submission to arbitration of disputes between the contractor and the sub-contractor (b), unless that term of the principal contract is expressly incorporated in the sub-contract (c).

SECT. 2. What is included in an Arbitration Clause.

When arbitration clause does not apply.

SECT. 3.—Difference between Arbitration and Certifying.

592. In cases where the architect or engineer who has to give a Distinction certificate of approval of the work done is also the person designated between to act as arbitrator in case of disputes, it is very important to dis- arbitrator and tinguish between his duties and powers in his capacity as a certifier as certifier. from those in his capacity as arbitrator. It must also be observed that he may be acting in regard to the same matter at one time in the one capacity and at another time in the other (d). When the architect or engineer is acting in his capacity as certifier the provisions of the Arbitration Act, 1889 (e), do not apply, while when he is acting in his capacity as arbitrator they do.

In most cases it is possible to make this distinction, but it sometimes occurs that a building contract is framed in such a way as to make the two capacities inseparable. For instance, where the approval or condemnation of work and materials is left to the sole discretion of the architect, it would seem that as to these matters he is acting during the whole progress of the works in a quasijudicial capacity, whereas in other cases he might be acting as agent of the employer while the work was being done, and in a judicial capacity when subsequently deciding a dispute as to the sufficiency of the work or materials. In this former case the employer might not discover the negligent approval of insufficient work etc. until after the expiration of the quasi-judicial powers of the architect.

593. The first distinction to be observed is that where architects Use of and engineers have to decide matters by the exercise of their skill skill and and knowledge of the particular subject on which they have to give judgment. a decision, or where their certificate or determination (not award)

⁽w) Mansfield v. Doolin (1869), 4 I. R. C. L. 17, 25; Bell v. Keesing (1888), 7 N. Z. L. R. 155. See also General Bill posting Co. v. Atkinson (1908), 24 T. L. R.

⁽a) Renshaw v. Queen Anne Mansions Co., [1897] 1 Q. B. 662; Parry v. Liverpool Malt Co., [1900] 1 Q. B. 339.

⁽b) Goodwins v. Brand & Son (1905), 7 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 995.

⁽c) See Temperley Steam Shipping Co. v. Smyth & Co., [1905] 2 K. B. 791. (d) Cross v. Leed's Corporation (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed.,

Vol. II., p. 369.
(e) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 49. See title Arbitration, Vol. I., p. 441.

SECT. 3.

Difference between Arbitration and Certifying.

Valuation.

is made a condition precedent to the contractor's right to payment, they are not arbitrators (f).

Where architects or engineers have to decide the value of works done by the contractor, they are $prim\hat{a}$ facie valuers, and not arbitrators (g). But although a valuation or appraisement is generally not an award, it may become so under particular circumstances. Thus, where the intention of the parties is that their respective cases should be heard and a decision arrived at upon the evidence adduced before the person who is to value, then, although the object to be arrived at is the ascertainment of the value of the works, the matter can properly be considered as an arbitration (h).

Prevention of disputes.

Where the function of the architect in the particular matter is to prevent a dispute arising, then he is not an arbitrator, but where the agreement is that any dispute shall be inquired of and determined by the architect, that may, where there is to be anything of the nature of a judicial inquiry, be a submission to arbitration, and the determination of the architect may be an award, although it is in the form of a certificate (i).

Intention of parties.

594. The important question is the intention of the parties. If they intend to have a valuation, and not a judicial inquiry, the fact of the person named hearing evidence will not overrule their intention, and his determination is a valuation, and not an award (k). And it does not matter whether the architect is called an arbitrator if the intention of the parties was that he should act as a valuer or certifier (l).

Whether the clause referring matters arising under the contract to the architect is intended to provide for a judicial inquiry or not, the tendency is to treat the matter as a submission to arbitration, even in cases where the subject-matter of the architect's determination may not require a judicial inquiry. But when the architect has given his decision as a certifier without the contractor having previously claimed to be heard by him, or to be allowed to adduce evidence, the tendency will be to treat the intention of the parties as being that he should determine by the exercise of his skill and judgment, and not judicially (m).

Revocation.

595. It is also important to distinguish the functions of the architect as certifier or valuer from those as arbitrator in view of

(g) See Re Carus-Wilson and Greene (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 7.

(i) Wadsworth v. Smith, supra, per Blackburn, J., at p. 337.
(k) On the analogy of a valuation of compensation (Re Dawdy (1885), 15

Q. B. D. 426).
(l) Compare Collins v. Collins (1858), 26 Beav. 306; Re Hammond and Waterton (1890), 62 L. T. 808.
(m) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J.

⁽f) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72; Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1858), 28 L. J. (CH.) 230; Wadsworth v. Smith (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 332.

⁽h) On the analogy of a valuation of tenant's compensation (Re Hopper (1867), L. R. 2 Q. B. 367).

⁽m) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (c. P.) 12; Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597, 601, 613; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., pp. 238, 256.

the power to revoke a submission to arbitration by leave of the court (n), while an agreement for valuation is irrevocable (o).

Also if the architect should die, or become incapable, or refuse to act, then, if it is an arbitration, a substitute may be appointed by the court (p), while it has been held that this power does not exist in the case of persons selected to decide questions by the exercise of their skill and judgment (q).

The same considerations apply in the case of an umpire as in that of a reference to the decision of one valuer or arbitrator.

SECT. 3. Difference between **A**rbitration and Certifying.

Appointment of substitutes.

trolled by

596. Where a building contract contains a clause by which the How far determination or certificate of the architect is made final and conclusive between the parties, or is made a condition precedent to any right of the contractor to payment (r), and the contract also con- arbitration tains a clause by which all disputes are to be referred to arbitra- clause. tion, a question arises as to how far the arbitration clause affects the certificate clause.

Where the arbitration clause, as in many cases, in express terms Matters in excepts certain matters and leaves them to the sole discretion of architect's the architect, no arbitration can arise in respect of these matters except by agreement, and, in the absence of an allegation of fraud, neither the court nor the arbitrator has jurisdiction to review the determination of the architect as to them (s).

Where, on the other hand, there is no express restriction of the where scope of the arbitration clause, the jurisdiction of the arbitrator certificate does not apparently extend to review the correctness of measurements (t) and valuations (a) where they are made conclusive between the parties, or conditions precedent to a right to payment (b). architect or engineer in giving such a certificate is acting so as to prevent a dispute arising, and the jurisdiction of the arbitrator only comes into existence when a dispute has actually arisen. When there are two clauses giving similar jurisdiction either to the architect or to the arbitrator, the effect seems to be that when the architect has given his certificate before a dispute has actually

(o) Northampton Gas Light Co. v. Parnell (1855), 15 C. B. 630.

(q) Re Hammond and Waterton (1890), 62 L. T. 808. (r) See p. 210, ante.

(t) Macdonald v. Malcolm (1855), 17 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.), 1033.

(a) Re Meadows and Kenworthy (1897), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 292.

⁽n) Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), s. 1. See title Arbitration, **V**ol. I., p. 449.

⁽p) Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), ss. 5, 6. See title Arbitration, Vol. I., p. 456.

⁽s) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597; Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48 L. T. 507.

⁽b) Clemence v. Clarke (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41, per Lindley, J., at p. 52: "What interpretation is to be put upon two such clauses? I apprehend—and you must give them both effect—it would stand in this way; that if it is capable of being made the subject of a reference, it is not to be conclusive for the purpose of that reference, but it is to be conclusive for every other purpose. That would be the construction I should put upon it, assuming that the certificate is a proper subject-matter of reference, which I doubt very much indeed." See also Brunsdon v. Staines Local Board (1884), 1 Cab. & El. 272 (a case of extras).

SECT. 3. Difference between **A**rbitration arisen it is final and conclusive between the parties, but if a dispute has arisen before the architect has certified, then his power of certifying is destroyed, and the jurisdiction of the arbitrator arises (c).

and Certifying.

Certificate not made

conclusive.

Where, however, although the architect has power to give a certificate, that certificate is not made conclusive between the parties, and is not made a condition precedent to payment, such a certificate would be subject to an arbitration clause in the contract (d).

When arbitration substituted for certificate.

597. In some contracts payment has to be made either on the certificate of the engineer or on the award of the arbitrator (e). In such a case the award of the arbitrator, if there has been arbitration, is just as much a condition precedent to payment as the certificate would otherwise be (f).

If the architect, however, purports to decide in his capacity of arbitrator questions as to which his determination is conclusive, together with other questions as to which he is really an arbitrator, he will subject himself, in respect of both classes of matters, to the jurisdiction which the court has over him as arbitrator (q).

When arbitration clause inoperative.

598. Where in fact there is, or can be, no dispute, the arbitration

clause does not come into operation at all (h).

Where, however, the parties have once actually referred a question to arbitration, they will, it would seem, be bound by the award. although either might previously have successfully opposed the reference to arbitration (i).

SECT. 4.—Disqualification of Arbitrator.

Effect of arbitrator being agent of one of the parties.

599. Although the general law as to the disqualification of arbitrators applies to building contracts, just as it does in other cases, this class of contracts often presents the peculiarity of the person designated as arbitrator being the agent of one of the parties. This peculiarity has given rise to much litigation. The effect of the decisions is that where an architect has two duties to perform, i.e., to superintend and control the work and to act as arbitrator, no honest exercise of his functions in the one capacity will disqualify him from acting in the other capacity (k). Nor will even extremely strong expressions of opinion in his capacity of

(f) Ibid., per MELLISH, L.J., at p. 613.

⁽c) Lloyd Bros. v. Milward (1895), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 288. See also Clemence v. Clarke (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41.

⁽d) Re Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft für Locomotivbau and City of London Contract Corporation (1886), 2 T. L. R. 470; Robins v. Goddard, [1905] 1 K. B.

⁽e) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597.

⁽y) Mills v. Bayley (1863), 32 L. J. (Ex.) 179. (h) Greenock Parochial Board v. Coghill & Son (1878), 5 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 73Ż.

⁽i) Goodwin v. R. (1898), 28 Can. S. C. R. 273. (k) Scott v. Carluke Local Authority (1879), 6 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 616.

superintendent disqualify him from acting as arbitrator (l), except where such expressions show that he is not open to argument (m).

Thus, an architect is not disqualified from acting as arbitrator by the fact that there is money due to him as architect from the employer(n) nor by being subsequently appointed manager to the employer (o); nor by holding shares in a company which is employing him in a case where the fact is known by the contractor (p); nor by subsequently expressing an opinion during the progress of the works as to matters in dispute (q); nor by complaints of the way in which the contractor is performing the works (r); nor by his measurements being impeached (s); nor by revising the specifications or schedules for the works (t); nor by the fact that one of the parties has brought an action against him, alleging fraud and misrepresentation (u); nor on the ground that he is in substance made the judge as to his own competence to advise his employers, unless there is sufficient reason to suppose that he will not act fairly (a); nor, when the reference is to the principal engineer for the time being, by the fact that some other person has been put over his head as principal engineer of amalgamated works which include the works the subject-matter of the contract (b); nor by the fact that the construction of the work may improve his own estate (c); nor unless the court is satisfied that the arbitrator cannot deal impartially with the matters in dispute (d), or without adjudicating on his own defaults and neglects (e).

SECT. 4. Disqualification of Arbitrator.

⁽¹⁾ Cross v. Leeds Corporation (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 369, where the engineer had characterised the claim of the contractor on which he was to adjudicate as being "outrageous."

⁽m) Nuttall v. Manchester Corporation (1892), 8 T. L. R. 513; Baring Brothers v. Doulton & Co. (1892), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 704; Jackson v. Barry Rail. Co., [1893] 1 Ch. 238; Re Frankenberg and Security Co. (1894), 10 T. L. R. 393.

⁽n) Morgan v. Morgan (1832), 2 L. J. (Ex.) 56. (o) Phipps v. Edinburgh and Glasgow Rail. Co. (1843), 5 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 1025.

⁽p) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72. Unless the pecuniary interest is known to the builder it will disqualify (Beddow v. Beddow (1878), 9 Ch. D. 889).

⁽q) Hughes v. Liverpool Corporation, Annual Practice, 1890-1891, p. 152; Jackson v. Barry Rail. Co., [1893] 1 Ch. 238; Ives and Barker v. Willans, [1894] 2 Ch. 478; Halliday v. Hamilton's (Duke) Trustees (1903), 5 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 800.

⁽r) Scott v. Carluke Local Authority (1879), 6 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 616.
(s) Mackay v. Barry Parochial Board (1883), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1046.
(t) Adams v. Great North of Scotland Rail. Co. (1889), 26 Sc. L. R. 765, 772.
(u) Belcher v. Roeden School Site and Buildings, Ltd. (1901), 85 L. T. 468. See also Buchan v. Melville (1902), 39 Sc. L. R. 398.

⁽a) Ives and Bacher v. Willans, supra, at p. 488; Eckersley v. Mersey Docks and

Harbour Board, [1894] 2 Q. B. 667.

(b) Re Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale (1866), L. B. 1 C. P. 269, where the railway had been amalgamated with other railways, and the person referred to was not the principal engineer of the amalgamated railways, though he remained engineer of that part which was the subject-matter of the contract.

⁽c) Johnston v. Cheape (1817), 5 Dow, 247; Drew v. Drew (1852), 14 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 559, affirmed by House of Lords, Times (March 12, 1855).

⁽d) Re Donkin and Company of Proprietors of Leeds and Liverpool Canal (1893), 9 T. L. R. 192.

⁽e) Nuttall v. Manchester Corporation (1892), 8 T. L. R. 513; Eckersley v. Mersey Docks and Harbour Board, [1894] 2 Q. B. 667; Wells v. Army and Navy

SECT. 4.
Disqualification of
Arbitrator.

The parties have agreed on a tribunal, which they knew would not be absolutely impartial, and they must abide by their agreement (f). But if an arbitrator has misconducted himself, the court may remove him (g).

Part XVIII.—Architects and Engineers.

SECT. 1.—Employment and Authority.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

When contract written.

600. Architects and engineers are not usually employed under a written contract when the employment is to design and superintend a building or works, but in the case where drawings and plans are submitted in a competition the published conditions of the competition, coupled with the acceptance by the architect, may constitute a written contract to employ the successful competitor.

If the contract between the employer and the contractor contains provisions which define, limit, or extend the authority of the architect, the authority will be construed strictly, and as not extending to confer powers not necessary for the effectual exercise of the

powers expressly granted (h).

When under seal. In the case of corporations and local bodies bound to contract under seal (i), the appointment of an architect or engineer requires to be under seal (j).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Authority as Agent.

Duties of architect.

601. The objects for which an architect or engineer is employed comprise the preparation of drawings and plans for the buildings or works in contemplation, and also the superintendence of their construction, and he generally is, depending on the terms of the

Co-operative Society (1902), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 376.

⁽f) See also, as to disqualification of the architect as certifier or quasi-

arbitrator, p. 218, ante.

(g) Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), s. 11 (1). It is misconduct under this section for an arbitrator deliberately to decide contrary to law (Darlington Wagon Co. v. Harding and Trouville Pier and Steamboat Co., [1891] 1 Q. B. 245).

⁽h) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597; Lawson v. Wallasey Local Board (1883), 48 L. T. 507; Betts (Frederick), Ltd. v. Pickfords, Ltd., [1906] 2 Ch. 87.

(i) For these, see titles Corporations; Local Government.

⁽⁷⁾ Hunt v. Wimbledon Local Board (1878), 4 C. P. D. 48, approved in Young & Co. v. Royal Learnington Spa Corporation (1883), 8 App. Cas. 517; but sealing may be dispensed with where the consideration has been executed, if the corporation is not one to which the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 174, applies (Lawford v. Billericay Rural District Council, [1903] 1 K. B. 772). See title Corporations. See Dyte v. St. Pancras Board of Guardians (1872), 27 L. T. 342.

contract, the agent of the building owner or employer in both these

respects (k).

With regard to drawings and plans, although the architect is the agent of the building owner, he has no implied authority to warrant that they are correct, or that the work can be carried out in accordance with them, or that temporary constructional works, in the case of engineering contracts, are practicable (l).

SECT. 1. Employment and Authority.

If, however, the architect makes representations as to the accuracy Fraud. of plans, or as to the quantities of work to be done, or other matters, and does so fraudulently, and with the intention that they should be acted on, and they have actually been acted on, and that to the prejudice of the contractor, the employer will be liable in respect of them, and cannot escape liability by relying on a clause in the contract purporting to throw the onus of inquiry on the contractor (m).

The authority of the architect as agent does not empower him Promises as without the knowledge or consent of his employer to make promises to waiver of that conditions contained in it will be varied or waived (n), and if etc. the employer is a corporation, which can only contract under seal, the authority must be under seal (o).

If there are omissions in the plans, drawings, or specifications, Faulty plans the architect has no implied authority to order as extras such things etc. omitted as are necessary to complete the contract (p), or, where the scheme is impracticable, to order as an extra work which is necessary to enable the works to be constructed (q).

In most cases an architect has to supply detailed or working Working This duty, however, is drawings. drawings during the progress of the work. only to be exercised for the purpose of directing the contractor as to the exact manner in which the work described generally in the contract plans and drawings is to be done (r).

602. Where an architect is merely instructed to prepare plans, Procuring of his employment is that of a skilled draughtsman, and not that of an agent, and he has no implied authority to obtain tenders or to negotiate for advances (s); but where he has authority to obtain tenders, he is entitled to use the customary means of obtaining them (t). And further, if the tenders he submits are not accepted by the building owner, it would seem that he is entitled to get others

H.L.—III.

(t) As to employing a quantity surveyor for this purpose, see p. 309, post.

⁽k) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 37, at p. 54; Wallis v. Robinson (1862), 3 F. & F. 307; Kimberley v. Dick (1871), L. R. 13 Eq. 1; Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed.,

⁽¹⁾ Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120. (m) Pearson (S.) & Son, Ltd. v. Dublin Corporation, [1907] A. C. 351. (n) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597.

⁽o) Young v. Royal Leamington Spa Corporation (1883), 8 App. Cas. 517.

⁽p) Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co., supra.
(q) Tharsis Sulphur and Copper Co. v. M'Elroy & Sons (1878), 3 App.

⁽r) R. v. Peto, supra, at p. 54; Cooper v. Langdon (1841), 9 M. & W. 60. (e) Spratt v. Dornford (1862), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., **V**ol. II., p. 7.

SECT. 1.
Employment and
Authority.

sent in within the time limited, or, if no time is limited, within a reasonable time (u). An authority to obtain tenders does not imply an authority to enter into a contract with a builder or contractor, even when the tender is within the price the employer is prepared to pay (a).

Dismissal of contractor.

603. In the absence of a special provision to that effect in the building contract, the architect has no authority to dismiss the contractor from his employment, but such a power is usually inserted in contracts in the form of a forfeiture clause (b).

Employment of sub-con-tractors.

604. The authority of the architect to designate persons as subcontractors or specialists (i.e., persons to manufacture or supply special articles) depends on the terms of the contract in each case, and this may become important in considering the question to whom these sub-contractors are to look for payment (c).

Varying the contract.

605. The architect or engineer has no general authority to vary, waive, or dispense with any conditions contained in the contract without being specially authorised to do so; and even where he is authorised by the contract to give directions as to the manner in which the work is to be carried out, he can only give such directions as fall within the contract, and may not vary the whole scheme of the proposed works (d), or allow the substitution of entirely different materials for those specified in the contract (e).

Ordering of extras.

606. An architect or engineer has authority to order extras only when the contract so provides, and then only to the extent provided therein. He cannot order work as an extra, or certify for materials as having been supplied, when in fact the work has not been done, or the materials supplied (f). The architect or engineer cannot waive or dispense with a condition that extras shall be ordered in writing, or any similar condition (g). If, however, he has power by a final and conclusive certificate to adjust the amount payable by the employer to the contractor, the employer must pay the amount certified for if the certificate is honestly given, although the certificate may include work not done, or materials not supplied, or extras not executed or work properly included in the contract work or extras not ordered in the manner prescribed by the contract (h).

⁽u) See Tetley v. Shand (1871), 25 L. T. 658; Imperial Ottoman Bank v. Cowan (1873), 29 L. T. 52; Borrowman v. Free (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 500.

⁽a) On the analogy of an estate agent (Hamer v. Sharp (1874), L. R. 19 Eq. 108). See title AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 166.

⁽b) See p. 249, ante. (c) See p. 275, ante.

⁽d) R. v. Peto (1826), 1 Y. & J. 37; Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co. (1873), 8 Ch. App. 597; Ramsay v. Brand (1898), 25 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 1212.
(e) Steel v. Young (1907), 9 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 360.

⁽f) In the same way as shipowners are not liable on bills of lading for goods which have not been shipped (Grant v. Norway (1851), 10 C. B. 665). And see Sharpe v. San Paulo Rail. Co., supra.

⁽g) See p. 234, ante.
(h) Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis Corporation (1865), 35 L. J.
(C. P.) 12; Connor & Solley v. Belfast Water Commissioners (1871), 5 L R. C. L.

607. Architects and engineers, instead of employing a quantity surveyor to take out quantities for the purpose of tenders, sometimes take out the quantities themselves (i). It is doubtful whether any authority to do this can be implied (k).

SECT. 1. Employment and Authority.

Many building and engineering contracts provide that deviations Taking out are to be measured up and paid for in accordance with a schedule of prices. If the architect or engineer has by the contract to certify the balance due to the contractor, he would have implied authority to measure the deviations for that purpose (l).

quantities etc.

608. So long as an architect acts honestly and within the scope Personal of his employment as agent of the employer, he can incur no personal liability for his acts to the contractor (m).

liability of architect.

If an architect or engineer exceeds his authority in ordering additional works of the contractor, he may incur liabilities towards the contractor for breach of warranty of authority (n), but as such unauthorised acts would not bind his employer, it would seem that he could hardly incur any liability towards his employer (o) in respect of them.

SECT. 2.—Duties of Architects and Engineers.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Professional Skill and Care.

609. Although architects and engineers do not require to possess Liability for any diplomas or qualifying certificates, they are bound to possess a want of skill. reasonable amount of skill in the art or profession they exercise for reward, and to use a reasonable amount of care and diligence in the carrying out of work which they undertake, including the preparation of plans and specifications (p). Every person who enters into a profession undertakes to bring to the exercise of it a reasonable degree of care and skill (q), and represents himself as understanding the subject and qualified to act in the business in which he professes to act (a). The employer buys both skill and judgment, and the

(i) This was the course pursued by the architect in Young v. Blake (1887), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 106.
(k) See, however, Lansdowne v. Somerville (1862), 3 F. & F. 236, in which case

(l) Beattie v. Gilroy (1882), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 226.

(m) See p. 301, post.

(n) See title AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 221.

(q) Lanphier v. Phipos (1838), 8 C. & P. 475, per TINDAL, C.J., at p. 479

(a) Jenkins v. Betham (1854), 15 C. B. 168, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 188 (surveyor and valuer); Harmer v. Cornelius (1858), 5 C. B. (N. s.) 236, per WILLES, J., at p. 246 (scene painter). See title BAILMENT, Vol. I., pp. 559, 560.

^{55;} Laidlaw v. Hastings Pier Co. (1874), Jenkins and Raymond, Architect's Legal Handbook, 4th ed., p. 238; Lapthorne v. St. Aubyn (1885), 1 Cab. & El. 486.

Keating, J., left the following questions to the jury: "Was there such a custom [for the architect to take out the quantities], and was it known to the parties, and did they contract on the footing of the custom?" The jury found that there was such a custom known to the parties on the footing of which they contracted.

⁽o) See p. 295, post.
(p) Badgley v. Dickson (1886), 13 Ontario Appeals, 494, per OSLER, J.A., at p. 500; Beck v. Smirke (1894), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 286 (surveyor).

SECT. 2. Duties of Architects and Engineers. architect ought not to undertake the work if it cannot succeed, and he should know whether it will or not (b).

Where the directions of the employer to the architect are susceptible of more than one meaning, and the architect honestly, but erroneously, adopts the one which his employer did not intend, he will not be liable (c).

Amount of skill requisite.

610. As to the amount of skill required, the architect or engineer need not necessarily exercise an extraordinary degree of skill. It is not enough to make him responsible that others of far greater experience or ability might have used a greater degree of skill, or even that he might have used some greater degree. question is whether there has been such a want of competent care and skill, leading to the bad result, as to amount to negligence (d).

The duty of the architect to employ this competent degree of skill is only applicable, however, to the exercise of his functions as agent of his employer, and not to his exercise of those as arbitrator or quasi-arbitrator (e), for when he is acting in the latter capacities he is not liable either for want of skill or for negligence (f).

Where an architect or engineer is employed upon works which involve the use of some new invention with which he has no practical acquaintance, and he has not professed that he is acquainted with it, his failure may not render him liable for want of skill (q).

The professional skill and care required from architects and engineers can be more conveniently considered in detail in connection with the liabilities of architects or engineers to their employers (h).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Quasi-judicial Duties.

No liability to employer.

611. In most building and engineering contracts the architect or engineer, in addition to his duties as agent of his employer, has to perform certain duties of a quasi-judicial nature, such as deciding between the parties whether work and materials are such as are specified in the contract, valuing as between the parties, and determining questions which may arise between them. He is then in a position which is similar to that of an arbitrator or an average adjuster, and in that position is not liable to his employer either for want of skill, ignorance of law, or negligence (i).

Careful discrimination is necessary to separate the functions of an architect or engineer in these two capacities (k).

Besides these quasi-judicial functions as certifier or valuer,

⁽b) Duncan v. Blundell (1820), 3 Stark. 6, per BAYLEY, J., at p. 7.
(c) See Ireland v. Livingston (1872), L. R. 5 H. L. 395.
(d) See Rich v. Pierpont (1862), 3 F. & F. 35 (medical man).

⁽e) Chambers v. Goldthorpe, [1901] 1 K. B. 624, C. A.

⁽f) See para. 611, infra. (g) Turner v. Garland and Christopher (1853), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 2.

⁽h) See p. 295, post. 'i) Chambers v Goldthorpe, supra.

⁽k) Jenkins v. Betham (1854), 15 C. B. 168, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 188. See this point fully discussed p. 283, ante.

in many instances the architect or engineer employed to supervise the work is nominated in the contract as the arbitrator to decide disputes which have actually arisen in respect of the same work (l).

SECT. 2. Duties of Architects and Engineers.

Sub-Sect. 3.—Delegation of Duties.

power to

612. The employment of an architect or engineer being a Extent of personal contract, he cannot delegate his duties entirely (m). But he need not individually go into every matter in detail (n), and may make use of the skill and labour of others in the performance of The ordinary course of business would make it his duties (o). unreasonable and impossible for the architect or engineer to be constantly on the site, supervising the construction of every part of the works, and taking upon himself the functions of a clerk of the works. The architect or engineer, however, is responsible for the acts and defaults of the subordinates to whom he intrusts the superintendence of details (p). The architect is not entitled to rely implicitly on the judgment of the clerk of the works, and, although a clerk of the works may be appointed by the employer, the architect may be liable to his employer for the clerk of the works' negligence (q).

SUB-SECT. 4 .- Personal Contracts.

613. The contract of employment of an architect or engineer is Death etc. of a personal one, and consequently there is an implied condition that architect. the contract shall only continue so long as the person employed remains alive, and in sufficiently good health to perform his part of the contract. For this reason the death, insanity, or continued disablement by illness of the architect dissolves the contract of employment (r), and neither party (nor in case of death the representatives of the deceased) can bring an action for the breach, which has in fact been brought about by the act of God (s).

614. In case of temporary illness, the omission to perform the Illness of services contracted to be supplied would not entitle the employer to architect. rescind the contract, except where constant personal supervision had been stipulated for (t).

(l) See p. 281, ante.

(m) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72, 117.

⁽n) Clemence v. Clarke (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 41.

⁽o) Kirkwood v. Morrison (1877), 5 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 79; and see British Waggon Co. v. Lea (1880), 5 Q. B. D. 149.

⁽p) Moneypenny v. Hartland (1824), 1 C. & P. 352, 354.
(q) Saunders v. Broadstairs Local Board (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 159; Lee v. Bateman (Lord) (1893), Times (October 31, 1893).

⁽r) Boast v. Firth (1868), L. R. 4 C. P. 1 (apprentice); Robinson v. Davison (1871), L. R. 6 Exch. 269 (concert singer); Grove v. Johnston (1889), 24 L. R. Ir. 352 (rate collector).

⁽s) See title CONTRACT.

⁽t) Cuckson v. Stones (1858), 1 E. & E. 248. See Poussard v. Spiers (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 410; as to payment of architects and engineers in case of death etc.

SECT. 2.

Duties of Architects and Engineers.

615. If the contract has relation to the personal conduct of the party who dies or retires, his death or retirement puts an end to the contract, but it is not so if the contract has no such relation (a).

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Duration of Duties.

Death of employer.
As agent.

616. The employment of an architect or engineer in the capacity of agent of the building owner or employer may be determined at any moment, with or without good cause. The only remedy of an architect who has been dismissed without good cause is an action for damages (b), as the court will neither decree specific performance of the contract of employment, nor grant an injunction restraining the building owner from employing another architect (c).

As arbitrator or certifier.

617. When the architect or engineer is, by the terms of the contract, to give decisions in a quasi-judicial capacity, and he alone is the person to give such decisions, the building owner has no right to prevent him acting, though he may dismiss him from his service, and he cannot revoke the agreement to abide by the decision of the architect (d), but where the person designated to perform those functions is described as "the architect or engineer of the employer for the time being," or as "A.B. or other the architect for the time being," the building owner can appoint another person to act as architect or engineer. Who is the architect for the time being in the case of his acting as arbitrator depends upon who is the person coming under that description at the time when the dispute arises (e). In the case of a certificate the person entitled to give it would seem to be the architect at the time when the certificate is to be given (f).

In case the employer should attempt to dismiss the architect or engineer, and should prevent him from going on to the site of the works for the purpose of measuring and certifying, the court will grant a mandatory order compelling the employer to allow the entry for the purposes of valuation (g).

during the course of their employment, see Davison v. Reeves (1892), 8 T. L. B. 391; and p. 305, post.

(b) Hickey v. Browne (1842), 4 I. L. R. 277.

(c) See title CONTRACT.
(d) Mills v. Bayley (1863), 2 H. & C. 36. See also Murray v. Cohen (1888), 9
New South Wales L. R. Eq. 124.

(e) Ranger v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1854), 5 H. L. Cas. 72; Re Wansbeck Rail. Co. and Trowsdale (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 269; Strachan v. Cambrian Railways (1905), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 398.

(f) See Kellett v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154. In America the

(q) Smith v. Peters (1875), L. R. 20 Eq. 511.

⁽a) Phillips v. Alkambra Palace Co., [1901] 1 K. B. 59, per Lord ALVERSTONE, C.J., at p. 63.

⁽f) See Kellett v. Stockport Corporation (1906), 70 J. P. 154. In America the view has been taken that it must be the architect employed during the construction of the particular work to which the certificate is to apply, as he alone has the requisite knowledge (Wangler v. Swift (1882), 90 N. Y. (Ct. of Appeal) 38)

SECT. 3.—Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

618. If an architect acts without due care and skill (h) in the designing or supervision of the works intrusted to him, the building owner is entitled to dismiss him (i). Besides this, the architect or engineer will forfeit either wholly or in part his right to remuneration, as a person who holds himself out as skilled in any art cannot recover for services which are useless, and which by the ordinary exercise of reasonable skill he ought to have known would be useless, for the object in respect of which he was employed (j). In addition to this, if the negligence or want of skill of the architect or engineer has occasioned loss to his employer, he will be liable to the latter in damages (k). These are not limited to the amount of the remuneration which under the agreement the architect or engineer was to receive, but are measured by the actual loss occasioned to the employer (1). The employer can set up such a claim for damages as a defence to an action by the architect or engineer for his fees, as well as by way of counterclaim, or in a separate action for damages (m).

SECT. 3. Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers.

Employer's remedies.

619. The question whether the architect or engineer has used a Tests of want reasonable and proper amount of care and skill is one of fact, and of skill. appears to rest on the consideration whether other persons exercising the same profession, and being men of experience and skill therein, would or would not have acted in the same way as the architect in question (n).

It is evidence of ignorance and unskilfulness in any particular to act contrary to the established principles of art or science which are universally recognised by members of the profession (o).

620. It is part of the duty of an architect to ascertain and to Compliance comply with the requirements of all public and local statutes with statutes and bye-laws.

(h) See p. 291, ante.

(i) Harmer v. Cornelius (1858), 5 C. B. (N. S.) 236.
(j) See title AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 196.
(k) Gordon v. Millar (1858), 1 Dunl. (Ct. of Sess.) 832; Armstrong v. Jones (1869), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 15; Ellisen v. Laurie (1878), Times (February 19, 1878); Rogers v. James (1891), 56 J. P. 277.

(I) Saunders v. Broadstairs Local Board (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 159.
(m) Davies v. Hedges (1871), L. B. 6 Q. B. 687; Mondel v. Steel (1841), 8
M. & W. 858; Armstrong v. Jones, supra; Saunders v. Broadstairs Local Board,

m. & W. 636; Armstrong V. ones, supra; and see title Damages.

(n) See Chapman v. Walton (1833), 10 Bing. 57, per Tindal, C.J., at p. 63:

"It is not only an unobjectionable mode, but the most satisfactory mode, of determining this question to show by evidence whether a majority of skilful and experienced brokers would have come to the same conclusion as the defendant. If . . . as many out of a given number would have been of his opinion as against it he who only stipulates to bring a reasonable degree of skill to the performance of his duty would be entitled to a verdict.'
(a) See Slater v. Baker (1767), 2 Wils. 359.

SECT. 3.
Liabilities
of Architects and
Engineers
to their
Employers.

regulating buildings in the locality of the building, and with all sub-statutory legislation, such as bye-laws (p), made under them. Ignorance or disregard of the legal requirements as to buildings may result not only in a fine on the employer, but also in an order for the whole or part of the building to be pulled down (q), and the architect would be liable to his employer for the loss so incurred (r). The knowledge of the law which an architect is expected to have is not a minute and accurate knowledge, but a knowledge of the general rules of law applicable to the exercise of his profession (s).

Failure on the part of the architect to submit plans to the proper authorities, or to give the notices required by law, may involve the employer in penalties, and even if the contractor has undertaken to give all the necessary notices, it would seem that it is still the duty of the architect in superintending the work to see that the contractor does so, at any rate in so far as the notices are building notices, or on the contractor's default to do so himself or inform his employer. Where a judicial decision has altered what was previously supposed to be the law affecting a particular profession, it seems to be the duty of persons practising that profession to acquaint themselves within a reasonable time with the effect of such a decision and to act accordingly in the exercise of their profession (t).

If the building owner, however, knowingly instructs the architect to design a building which will contravene the law, the architect will incur no liability; but, on the other hand, it would seem that he would not be able to recover any fees for superintendence, as a contract to build in contravention of an Act of Parliament is

illegal (a).

Interference with private rights.

621. As regards private rights, the architect should inquire from his employer as to the existence of any easements or restrictions affecting the site, and must in the preparation of his design avoid infringing them. The employer owes a duty to his neighbours not to infringe their rights, and cannot so delegate the execution of building operations to a contractor as to relieve himself from that obligation (b).

The architect or engineer may render himself liable to an adjoining owner by preparing plans and superintending work which necessitates a trespass on the adjoining owner's land (c).

⁽p) James v. Masters, [1893] 1 Q. B. 355.

⁽q) See Hopkins v. Smethwick Local Board (1890), 59 L. J. (Q. B.) 250, and title Public Health etc.

⁽r) On the principle of Hadley v. Baxendale (1854), 9 Exch. 341, 354. See title DAMAGES.

⁽s) Jenkins v. Betham (1855), 15 C. B. 168, per JERVIS, C.J., at p. 189.

⁽t) See Lee v. Walker (1872), L. R. 7 C. P. 121.

⁽a) Stevens v. Gourley (1859), 7 C. B. (N. S.) 99. See also Stone v. Cartwright (1795), 6 Term Rep. 411.

⁽b) Cubitt v. Porter (1828), 8 B. & C. 257; Bower v. Peate (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 321; Hughes v. Percival (1883), 8 App. Cas. 443; Jolliffe v. Woodhouse (1894), 10 T. L. R. 553. See also p. 315, post.

⁽c) Monks v. Dillon (1884), 12 L. R. Ir. 321.

Sub-Sect. 2.—Liability for Failure to examine Site, Foundations etc.

622. If an architect or engineer fails to exercise reasonable precaution in the examination of the site on which the works are to be constructed, and does not ascertain the circumstances affecting it, such as the nature of the soil and strata, the existence and condition of buildings thereon, whether there are rights of way, or of light and air, or other easements affecting it, and consequently his plans are defective or impracticable, he may be liable to his employer for any loss occasioned to him thereby (d); and the fact that the builder or contractor is liable to the employer under a contract to construct the works, notwithstanding the defective plans, will not necessarily exonerate the architect or engineer, the employer having a double remedy and being entitled to bring an action against either the architect or the builder, or against them both (e).

SECT. 3. Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers.

Plans defective by reason of site etc.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Liability as to Plans, Specifications etc.

623. The liability of an architect or engineer in respect of plans, Grounds of drawings, and specifications may arise either through their being liability. defective or incomplete, or through their not being supplied to the contractor either at all, or in proper time.

The design of a building or of works may be defective or incom- Defective plete, for example—(1) as not being in accordance with the art and design. science of architecture, or opposed to sound principles of building or engineering; (2) as not being in accordance with the instructions of the employer; (3) as contravening statutes and bye-laws; and (4) as disregarding restrictions imposed on the use of the land, either by public or private rights.

The mere approval of the plans and specification by the employer Effect of will not exonerate the architect or engineer from liability when the design of the works is structurally defective, or does not carry out the instructions of the employer, although the employer has been

employer's approval.

told by the architect or engineer to examine them (f).

The measure of damages, where the design of the work is Measure of impracticable or useless, must depend upon whether the work has damages. been commenced or not. If the work has not been commenced, the damages would be the amount of any fees the architect or engineer had been paid, and any other losses incurred by the employer which are the immediate and probable consequence of the architect's negligence. If, however, a contract has been entered into with a contractor, the damages will be added to by any proper claim made by the contractor for work thrown away and loss of profit on the contract, if the work in consequence of the useless design is abandoned. Where unnecessary works have been specified the measure of damages would appear to be the amount paid or payable for such unnecessary works, while where work which should have been specified has been omitted by the architect, and has to be paid

(e) Brown v. Laurie (1854), 5 Lower Canada Reports, 65. (f) Smith v. Barton (1866), 15 L. T. 294 (valuer).

Digitized by Google

⁽d) Moneypenny v. Hartland (1826), 2 C. & P. 378; Columbus Co. v. Clowes. [1903] 1 K. B. 244.

SECT. 3.
Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers.

to their Employer Failure or delay in supplying for in addition to the contract price, the measure of damages will not necessarily be the whole cost of the omitted work, although it might be.

624. Where, by reason of a failure or delay in the supplying of plans, the contractor becomes entitled to rescind the contract altogether, or is released from his obligation to complete the works within the specified time etc., the architector engineer may become liable to his employer for the loss incurred by him (g), and part of such loss may be the loss of the rent or profit which would have been derived from the building or the loss of interest on his money (h).

Property in plans.

plans etc.

625. Plans and drawings prepared by architects and engineers are, in the absence of a special agreement, the property of the person who pays for them, and even if there were a custom that the architect should retain them, such a custom would be unreasonable (i).

SUB-SECT. 4 .- Liability as to Quantities.

Taking out quantities. **626.** If the architect himself takes out the quantities, and does so negligently or unskilfully so as to make them greater than they should be, thus increasing the price, he will be liable to his employer (j) if the terms of the contract between builder and building owner are such that the excess cannot be taken into account and deducted from the moneys due to the builder.

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Liability by recommending the Acceptance of a Tender.

Solvency etc. of contractor. **627.** An architect or engineer does not warrant the solvency or capability of a builder or contractor whose tender is accepted, but he is bound to give his employer the benefit of any information he may have in respect of such solvency or capability, and not to allow his employer to enter blindly into a contract with a person whom he has any reason to suspect of being impecunious or inefficient. And it would seem, depending on the circumstances, that he might be liable for not making reasonable inquiries (k).

SUB-SECT. 6 .- Liability as to Superintendence.

Supervision must be thorough.

628. Although an architect is not expected to be constantly on the works, and to supervise every detail, it is not sufficient for him to pay occasional visits and to get any defects which he may happen to notice set right; his duty is to give such an amount of supervision as will enable him to give an honest certificate whether or no

(k) See Heys v. Tindall (1861), 1 B. & S. 296.

⁽g) On the principle of Hadley v. Baxendale (1854), 9 Exch. 341, 354; see title Damages.

⁽h) See The Marpessa, [1906] P. 95, C. A., affirmed [1907] A. C. 241.

⁽i) Beresford (Lady) v. Driver (1851), 20 L. J. (CH.) 476; Ebdy v. M. Gowan (1870), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 18; Gibbon v. Pease, [1905] 1 K. B. 810.

⁽j) See McConnell v. Kilgallen (1878), 2 L. R. Ir. 119, 121. As to quantity surveyor's liability under similar circumstances, see p. 312, post.

the work has been done in accordance with the contract (1). And though his supervision may be partially, as to matters of detail, intrusted to subordinates, such as clerks of the works, inspectors etc., the architect or engineer cannot exonerate himself by saying that the negligence was theirs (m).

The failure of the architect or engineer to discover at the time that the work done or materials supplied are not up to the standard of the contract may involve the employer in loss, where the employer's rights against the contractor are limited to having such defects made good as were ascertainable at some particular time (n). The loss to the employer, due to the engineer's negligence, in such a case might be the difference between the amount for which the builder or contractor is actually liable and the whole cost of the repairs, or the whole expense of rectifying the defects.

SECT. 3. Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers.

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Liability as to Certificates and Measurements.

629. Except where the valuation or certificate is given by the Howfar architect or engineer acting in a quasi-judicial capacity, he is liable to his employer for all negligent or unskilful measurement and valuation of work done. If the architect should negligently or unskilfully over-estimate the amount of progress certificates, the employer might, in the event of the builder or contractor becoming bankrupt, have to complete the work at his own expense, and so lose the amount by which the builder had been overpaid (o).

inaccuracy.

SUB-SECT. 8 .- Liability for Fraud and Secret Commissions.

630. Any fraudulent or dishonest act on the part of an architect Effect of or engineer will render him liable to his employer. In particular secret such liability would arise in the case of an architect receiving some secret commission or bribe from the builder. Any such contract, whether resulting in loss to the employer or not, makes the architect liable to an action on the part of his employer, for no agent can retain secret profits made at his principal's expense (p).

commissions.

It is a practice among some architects to insert a provision in Charges for the quantities that the builder shall pay the architect for copies of the copies of specification and drawings which are necessary for the execution of the works. Such payments received by the architect from the builder, if made without the knowledge of the building owner. are a breach of the right of the employer to demand the faithful services of the architect to the exclusion of any arrangement with

specification

⁽I) Jameson v. Simon (1899), 1 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1211.
(m) Armstrong v. Jones (1869), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 15; Saunders v. Broadstairs Local Board (1890), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 159.

⁽n) Re Trent and Humber Co., Ex parte Cambrian Steam Packet Co. (1868), L. R. 6 Eq. 396.

⁽⁶⁾ In a Canadian case, Irving v. Morrison (1877), 27 Upper Canada C. P. 242, an architect was held to be liable to his employer for the amount of the loss

⁽p) Rogers v. James (1891), 56 J. P. 277; and see title AGENCY, Vol. I., pp. 189—191.

SECT. 3. Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to their Employers. the persons whose work he has to supervise (q). Besides, if the building owner has already arranged with the architect to pay him for such services, the architect would be obtaining payment twice over. Architects also, when they take out quantities for the purpose of tenders, frequently provide that payment for such services shall be made by the builder to them, out of the first or other instalments payable under the contract. A provision of this kind is open to strong objection, because the architect becomes the creditor of the builder, and this may interfere with the honest discharge of his duties. If the architect does render such services. he should render them to his employer and look to him for payment (r).

No one has a right to recover any secret commission from the builder or contractor, as the consideration for the contract

to pay is corrupt (s).

The courts will not listen to any evidence of custom or usage of agents to take such secret commissions (t).

Measuring up deviations etc.

631. In cases where there is a condition in the contract that deviations shall be measured up, the architect's charge for this work is sometimes added to the builder's charge for extras and certified for by the architect. This is objectionable, for if this work is included in the work paid for by the architect's commission, it would be a fraud on the part of the architect to certify a payment to the builder including charges of the architect which the building owner is not liable to pay; while, if the work is not included in the architect's commission, the including such charges in those of the builder and certifying for them is a breach of the duty of the architect to his employer. In some cases architects employ a clerk or other nominee to prepare the bills of quantities (whether for tenders or for extras and omissions), whose name is made to appear as the quantity surveyor, but who, though he takes the fees from the builder, hands them over to the architect. Such a course of proceeding seems to be a fraud on the building owner (a).

Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906.

632. It is now a misdemeanour to give or receive any consideration for an agent's doing or forbearing to do any act in relation to the business of his principal (b).

CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

⁽q) See Harrington v. Victoria Graving Dock Co. (1878), 3 Q. B. D. 549. (r) With regard to this the Royal Institute of British Architects state, in their Schedule of Professional Practice, that if an architect should take out quantities for tenders, he should do so with the concurrence of his employer. and that it is desirable that the remuneration for this work should be paid by the employer. See clause 18 of the Royal Institute of British Architects Schedule of Professional Practice Revised, 1898.

⁽s) Harrington v. Victoria Graving Dock Co., supra.
(t) Bulfield v. Fournier (1894), 11 T. L. R. 62, per Lord Russell of Killowen, C.J. See, however, Holden v. Webber (1860), 29 Beav. 117.
(a) See Panama and South Pacific Telegraph Co. v. India-rubber, Gutta Percha and Telegraph Co. (1875), 10 Ch. App. 515. See also Re Canadian Oil Works Corporation, Hay's Case (1875), 10 Ch. App. 593; Salford Corporation v. Lever, [1891] 1 Q. B. 168, C. A.; Re North Australian Territory Co., Archer's Case, [1892] 1 Ch. 322, C. A.
(b) Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 34), s. 1 (1). See title Criminal Law and Procedure.

SECT. 4. Liabilities

of Architects and

Engineers

to Con-

tractors.

Liable only

for breach of

warranty of

authority or

Sect. 4.—Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to Contractors.

SUB-SECT. 1 -In General.

633. As there is no contractual relation between the architect or engineer and the builder or contractor, no liability in respect of negligence or want of skill can arise (c), and the contractor has no ground of action against the architect (d) so long as the architect or engineer acts honestly and within the scope of his authority as agent of the building owner or employer. He does not in any way warrant that his employer is solvent, or that he will pay the price, or that he will act reasonably, or that he will observe the terms of the contract.

for fraud.

Further, an architect or engineer, being an agent with a disclosed principal, does not in any way incur a liability to pay for the work, unless, of course, he has in some way led the person employed to believe that payment was to come from himself (e). Where there is a written contract the obligations are defined.

If, however, the architect should exceed his authority without the knowledge of the builder, and thus cause him to provide work or materials or otherwise to incur expense for which the employer is not responsible, the architect will be liable to an action by the builder or contractor for breach of warranty of authority (f), whether the architect had a bonû fide belief that he was authorised to do what he did or not (g); while if the groundless assertion of authority was made fraudulently, the builder or contractor can bring an action for deceit or misrepresentation against the architect or engineer (h). If the contractor knew, or could have known, the limits of the architect's authority, as, for instance, from the terms of the building contract, this is an answer to an action for breach of warranty of authority (i).

634. The measure of damages in case of breach of warranty of Measure of authority seems to be the amount that will indemnify the contractor and put him in the same position as if the act of the architect had been within his authority. Thus the contractor would be entitled to recover the cost of the work ordered without

⁽c) Le Lievre v. Gould, [1893] 1 Q. B. 491, C. A., per Lord ESHER, M.R., at p. 497: "The question of liability for negligence cannot arise at all until it is established that the man who has been negligent owed some duty to the person who seeks to make him liable for his negligence. What duty is there when there is no relation between the parties by contract? A man is entitled to be as negligent as he pleases towards the whole world if he owes no duty

⁽d) Ambrose v. Dunmow Union (1846), 9 Beav. 508, 515; Robertson v. Fleming (1861), 4 Macq. 167; Stevenson v. Watson (1879), 4 C. P. D. 148.

⁽e) See Chidley v. Norris (1862), 3 F. & F. 228, and title AGENCY, Vol. I., p. 220.

⁽f) Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; Collen v. Wright (1857), 8

⁽g) See Howard v. Sheward (1866), L. R. 2 C. P. 148, and title AGENCY, Vol.

⁽h) Ludbrook v. Barrett (1877), 46 L. J. (c. P.) 798; Stevenson v. Watson, supra.

⁽i) Halbot v. Lens, [1901] 1 Ch. 344.

SECT. 4. Liabilities of Architects and Engineers to Contractors. Liability to

authority, together with a reasonable profit, and the costs which he has had to pay in the course of any litigation caused by the breach of warranty of authority (k). If the warranty is fraudulent, the architect will be open to an action for deceit, as well as for breach of warranty of authority (l).

An architect or engineer may also make himself liable to persons supplying materials for the works, if he orders such materials

without naming his principals (m).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Liability for Plans, Quantities etc.

No warranty that plans are practicable.

epecialists.

635. An architect or engineer does not warrant to the builder or contractor the practicability of the plans, drawings, and specifications prepared by him, or of the temporary means of construction indicated in the specification. It is the duty of the contractor to investigate these matters for himself, and any usage for him to rely on the drawings or specifications is bad (n). If he does not inquire into the matter he runs the risk of not being able to carry out the work, and must take the consequences (o).

Accuracy of bills of quantities.

636. In the same way the architect or engineer does not warrant the correctness of the bills of quantities supplied to the persons who propose to tender, whether they have been prepared by an independent quantity surveyor or by the architect or engineer himself (p).

The liability for inaccurate quantities prepared by the architect rests on the same principle as where they are prepared by an independent quantity surveyor. If the architect is employed by the builder, he is liable to him for negligence in their preparation (q), but where the architect has been employed by the building owner to prepare the quantities, and the amount of his charges therefor is to be added to the amount of the tender, the payment by the builder of those charges will not impose any more liability on the architect than it will do in the case of an independent quantity surveyor (r).

But if the architect or engineer expressly makes himself responsible to the builder or contractor for the accuracy of the quantities,

(1) See Re National Coffee Palace Co., Ex parte Pannure (1883), 24 Ch. D. 367, 371; Derry v. Peek (1889), 14 App. Cas. 337.

that he did so as agent, and was held liable to pay the plaintiffs.

(n) Thorn v. London Corporation (1876), 1 App. Cas. 120. See p. 187, ante.

(o) Bottoms v. York Corporation (1892), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 220. See also Jackson v. Eastbourne Local Board (1886), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 67.

(p) Sherren v. Harrison (1860), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 6; Scrivener v. Pask (1866), L. R. 1 C. P. 715.

(q) See Priestley v. Stone (1888), 4 T. L. R. 730.

⁽k) Randell v. Trimen (1856), 18 C. B. 786; Collen v. Wright (1857), 8 E. & B. 647; Simons v. Patchett (1857), 7 E. & B. 568; Meek v. Wendt, [1889] W. N.

⁽m) Beigtheil and Young v. Stewart (1900), 16 T. L. R. 177, where an architect, who invited the plaintiffs to give tenders for lamps, and referred to his "clients," but did not name them, afterwards ordered the lamps without saying

⁽r) Young v. Blake (1887), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 106.

SECT. 4.

Liabilities

of Architects and

Engineers to Con-

tractors.

fraud.

he will be liable to compensate him if the quantities are not reasonably accurate (s).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Liability for Fraud.

637. An architect or engineer will be liable to the builder or contractor if he acts fraudulently to his prejudice, whether in refusing to certify or in certifying dishonestly, and whether in Liability for collusion with his employer or not (t).

The architect cannot excuse himself from liability for fraud by alleging that he merely acted as the agent of his employer, for all persons directly concerned in the commission of a fraud are to be treated as principals, and a contract of agency cannot impose any obligation on the agent to commit a fraud (u).

The mere fact of an architect refusing to certify or to ascertain the amount owing (a) is no proof of fraud, nor is the fact that measurements made by him for the purpose of valuation are

inaccurate, or made on a wrong principle (b).

Sect. 5.—Liability of Architects and Engineers to Prosecution etc.

638. Architects and engineers permanently employed by public Criminal etc. bodies may incur a criminal or quasi-criminal liability by being in

some way interested in the contract (c).

An officer employed under the Public Health Act, 1875, must not be concerned or interested in any contract with the authority by whom he is employed, otherwise he becomes incapable of being employed under the Act in future, and is further liable to a penalty of £50, which may be sued for by a common informer (d).

Architects and engineers may be guilty of corruption under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906 (e), and if employed by public bodies, under the Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act, 1889(f).

(s) Bolt v. Thomas (1859), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 4. (t) Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1850), 2 H. & Tw. (1) Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Rail. Co. (1850), 2 H. & Tw.
239; Macintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co. (1850), 19 L. J. (CH.) 374; Padley
v. Lincoln Waterworks Co. (1850), 2 Mac. & G. 68; Scott v. Liverpool Corporation (1856), 25 L. J. (CH.) 227; Goodyear v. Weymouth and Melcombe Regis
Corporation (1865), 35 L. J. (C. P.) 12; Ludbrook v. Barrett (1877), 46 L. J.
(C. P.) 798; Re De Morgan, Snell & Co. and Rio de Janeiro Flour Mills and
Granaries, Ltd. (1892), 8 T. L. R. 272.
(u) Cullen v. Thomson's Trustees and Kerr (1862), 4 Macq. 424, 432. In an
Irish case the court went so far as to hold that the contractor had no right

of action against an engineer for refusing to certify even if the refusal was the result of fraud, or of collusion with the employer (Murphy v. Bower (1866), I. R. 2 C. L. 506). This case, however, is inconsistent with Ludbrook v. Barrett, supra, and Stevenson v. Watson (1879), 4 C. P. D. 148.

(a) Stevenson v. Watson, supra; Le Lieure v. Gould, [1893] 1 Q. B. 491, C. A.

(c) See title Public Authorities and Public Officers.

(d) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55, s. 193; Whiteley v. Barley (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 154, C. A. (e) 6 Edw. 7, c. 34. See title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

(f) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 69. See titles CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE; PUBLIC AUTHORITIES AND PUBLIC OFFICERS.



⁽b) Re Meadows and Kenworthy (1897), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 292.

SECT. 5. Liability of Architects and Engineers to Prosecution etc.

Limitation of actions.

They may also be liable to an indictment for manslaughter in case of a fatal accident through their negligence (g).

Sect. 6.—Limitation of Actions.

639. The limitation of actions against architects and engineers is governed by the general principles of law (h), and also, where they are officers of public bodies, by the special provisions applicable to such officers (i).

Sect. 7.—Remuneration of Architects and Engineers.

Sub-Sect. 1 .- Payment for completed Work.

Under express contract.

640. If the employer has entered into an express contract, whether oral or in writing, with the architect or engineer, the remuneration of the latter will necessarily be governed by the terms of this contract.

Implied contract.

641. Where no express contract has been entered into as to the terms of the employment of an architect or engineer, the right to remuneration rests on a contract to pay what is reasonable, implied by requesting and accepting the services (k) or by inducing the architect by fraud to perform them without intending to pay for them (l).

If the contract does not specifically provide for payment, evidence is admissible to show that the parties intended the services to be gratuitous, or that payment was only to be made in the discretion of the employer (m).

employer is to fix amount.

642. If the agreement, however, is that the employer shall decide how much the remuneration is to be, the architect or engineer need not accept any amount fixed by the employer, nor need he wait till an amount has been fixed, but he is entitled to reasonable remuneration, such as the employer ought to have fixed (n).

Probationary drawings.

643. Where drawings etc. are merely submitted for approval, no claim for remuneration arises unless the work is approved or used (o), and similarly in the case of plans or drawings submitted in competition, subject of course to the terms of the published terms of the competition (p).

(h) See title Limitation of Actions.

O. & K. 707.

(o) Moffatt v. Dickson (1853), 13 C. B. 543; Moffatt v. Laurie, supra. (p) Ward v. Lowndes (1859), 28 L. J. (Q. B.) 265.

⁽g) See title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE. There is no reported case in which an architect or engineer has been sought to be rendered criminally responsible in such circumstances.

⁽i) See title Public Authorities and Public Officers. (k) Manson v. Baillie (Sir W.), Bart. (1855), 2 Macq. 80; Moffatt v. Laurie (1855), 15 C. B. 583, 593; Landless v. Wilson (1880), 8 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 289. (l) Rumsey v. North Eastern Rail. Co. (1863), 32 L. J. (c. p.) 244. (m) Taylor v. Brewer (1813), 1 M. & S. 290. (n) Bryant v. Flight (1839), 5 M. & W. 114; Bird v. M'Gaheg (1849), 2

Such "probationary drawings" (q) are in the nature of a tender, that is, a mere proposal or offer to do work, and without acceptance there is no mutuality on which an implied contract to pay for them can be based.

If the plans or drawings submitted for approval are used for any purpose, they will have to be paid for (r).

SECT. 7. Remuneration of Architects and Engineers.

644. If the architect or engineer is authorised to obtain tenders, he is entitled to payment of any expenses reasonably or necessarily incurred in connection therewith (8).

Procuring of

SUB-SECT. 2.—Where the Work is not completed.

645. Where an architect or engineer has partly performed Prevention by the services for which he has been employed, and the employer terminates the employment, the architect whose services are not required may sue either on a quantum meruit, or for damages for the breach of the contract (t).

employer.

If the designer is instructed to prepare plans for a building or for works to cost approximately a certain sum, and all the tenders sent in are considerably in excess of the sum mentioned, it seems to be a question of fact for the jury whether the employer is entitled to repudiate the employment and refuse to pay the architect (a), on the ground that there was a condition that the works should be capable of being constructed for the sum, or approximately the sum, mentioned and that the buildings as designed could not be carried out for that sum or anything near it (b).

646. The contract to employ an architect or engineer, being a Death of personal one, is dissolved by the death or incapacity of the person architect. employed (c), but not so that it is rescinded ab initio, but only so as to be rendered void in the future.

Where the architect or engineer is entitled to payment by instalments at particular times, and dies during the progress of the work, his personal representative will be entitled to recover any instalments due at the time of his death (d). In the absence of an agreement that payment is only to be made on the completion of the work, it would seem that the personal representative of the

⁽q) Moffatt v. Dickson (1853), 13 C. B. 543.

⁽r) Landless v. Wilson (1880), 8 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 289. (s) See Bayley v. Wilkins (1849), 7 C. B. 886. (t) Prickett v. Badger (1856), 1 C. B. (N. s.) 296; and see title Con-TRACT.

⁽a) Burr v. Ridout (1893), Times (22nd February, 1893).

⁽b) Nelson v. Spooner (1861), 2 F. & F. 613, at p. 618. In this case Cockburn, C.J., left the following questions to the jury: (1) whether it was an express condition that the works should be capable of being executed for the estimated sum; if not, then (2) whether there was an implied condition that the work should be capable of being done for a sum reasonably near to the estimated sum; if so, then (3) was the estimate reasonably sufficient? and as to a claim for work and labour on the plans etc., (4) whether the labour was bestowed or not under the special contract.

c) See p. 294, ante.

⁽d) Stubbs v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1867), L. B. 2 Exch. 311.

SECT. 7. Remuneration of Architects and Engineers. deceased architect could recover the value of the work he had actually done, and by which the employer had benefited (e).

Any payments made by the employer on account during the progress of the work would not seem to be recoverable by him in case of the disablement of the architect by the act of God, as the consideration would not have completely failed (f).

Negligence.

647. An architect cannot recover payment for services unskilfully performed (g), and, if he has received payment, may be compelled to refund it, provided that his lack of skill amounts to a total failure of consideration by reason of his employer deriving no benefit from his services, besides being liable for damages (h).

Where, however, the architect has performed his duties properly, and the employer has obtained the thing he bargained for duly constructed, the employer cannot be said to have derived no benefit from the architect's services, merely because he has not reaped the pecuniary advantage he expected from the works designed (i).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Amount of Remuneration in General.

Amount payable.

648. Where an express agreement has been made as to the amount of the remuneration to be paid to an architect or engineer, he must be paid accordingly. Where, however, no agreement has been made, the architect or engineer is entitled to reasonable The amount of such reasonable remuneration is a remuneration. question for the jury (i).

Professional scale of charges.

649. In the case of architects the usual professional charge for designing and superintending the construction of buildings is 5 per cent. on the total cost of the works. This charge has been sanctioned by the Royal Institute of British Architects, in a schedule of professional charges issued by them, which also fixes charges of 21 per cent. on the estimated cost for an approved design with plans, elevations, sections, and specifications, and of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. in addition for procuring tenders. The commission of 5 per cent. is by the same schedule made payable in certain instalments. Attempts have been made to induce the courts to accept these

⁽e) This was admitted on the part of the defendant company in Stubbs v. Holywell Rail. Co. (1867), L. R. 2 Exch. 311.

⁽f) See title Contract. (g) Farnsworth v. Garrard (1807), 1 Camp. 38, per Lord Ellenborough, at p. 39: "If there has been no beneficial service, there shall be no pay"; Moneypenny v. Hartland (1826), 2 C. & P. 378; Duncan v. Blundell (1820), 3 Stark. 6, where the plaintiff proved that by defendant's order he had erected a stove and laid a tube under the floor to carry off the smoke, which it entirely failed to do, so that the stove could not be used, and was non-suited on the ground that "when a person is employed in a work of skill, the employer buys both his labour and his judgment; he ought not to undertake the work if it cannot succeed, and he should know whether it will or not"; Hunt v. Wimbledon Local Board (1878), 4 C. P. D. 48, 54, C. A.

⁽h) Columbus Co., Ltd. v. Clowes, [1903] 1 K. B. 244.
(i) See title Agency, Vol. I., p. 194.
(j) Bryant v. Flight (1839), 5 M. & W. 114; Bird v. M'Gaheg (1849), 2 C. & K. 707.

charges as customary, but without success (k). On the other hand, it is right to take into consideration the practice adopted by a large proportion of the profession (l).

This scale is therefore only binding on an employer who has either expressly or impliedly agreed to be bound by it. But in estimating what is a reasonable charge juries have frequently adopted this 5 per cent. on the cost as being a reasonable charge.

The value of competition drawings is arrived at by ascertaining Competition the reasonable cost of the labour and materials expended in drawings. preparing them, and not by the chance of obtaining a prize, as the latter is too remote (m).

Engineers as a body have not adopted any scale or limited their Engineers. charges.

SUB-SECT. 4.—Work outside Design and Superintendence.

650. Architects, engineers, and surveyors are often employed Scale of to perform work other than that of designing and superintending remuneration for outside the construction of buildings and works, such as measuring, valuing, and surveying, qualifying as witnesses, and attending in court and before arbitrators, settling the accounts of builders and tradesmen etc. For work of this nature they are entitled, in the absence of any express agreement, to reasonable remuneration. A scale for the valuation of land and property, called "Ryde's scale," is in use among land surveyors, but there is no custom making it binding upon their employers (n).

Generally the courts do not regard with favour the mode of fixing the remuneration for this class of work by way of a percentage (o). Where, however, the employer is himself a surveyor, and can be shown to have recognised Ryde's scale in other transactions, then, in the absence of any suggestion of other terms of employment, it may be assumed that the employment was on the terms of Ryde's scale (p).

SUB-SECT. 5.—By whom the Remuneration is payable.

651. The ordinary employment of an architect or engineer Payment by being by the employer, he has to look to the employer for employer. payment (q).

(k) Gwyther v. Gaze (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 21; Burr v. Ridout (1893), Times (22nd February, 1893); Furthing v. Tomkins (1893), 9 T. L. R. 566.

(1) Whipham v. Everitt (1900), Roscoe, Digest of Building Cases, 4th ed., 171, per KENNEDY, J., at p. 172.

(m) Watson v. Ambergate, Nottingham and Boston Rail. Co. (1851), 15 Jur. 448.
(n) Debenham v. King's College, Cambridge (1884), 1 T. L. R. 170; Brocklebank v. Lancashire and Yorkshire Rail. Co. (1887), 3 T. L. R. 575; Drew v. Josolyne (1888), 4 T. L. R. 717.

(o) Upsdell v. Stewart (1794), Peake, 255, per Lord Kenyon, at p. 256: "As to the custom offered to be proved, the course of robbery on Bagshot Heath might as well be proved in a court of justice"; Chapman v. De Tastet (1817), 2 Stark. 294. See also Seymour v. Bridge (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 460; Perry v. Barnett (1885), 15 Q. B. D. 388, 393.

(p) Buckland and Garrard v. Pawson & Co. (1890), 6 T. L. R. 421. (q) Compare Webb v. School (1851), 3 Philadelphia Rep. (c. p.) 125. See p. 300, ante.

SECT. 7. Remuneration of Architects and Engineers.

Digitized by Google

SECT. 7. Remuneration of Architects and Engineers.

In many cases there is a provision in the building contract giving power to deviate from the works specified, and that additions or omissions due to such deviations are to be measured up by the architect so as to ascertain the amount to be added to or deducted from the contract price. Some architects add a charge for this service, and include it in the certificate given to the builder, and look to him for payment, but this work is done in the interest of the building owner, and the liability to pay rests on him, and not on the builder, who can only be made responsible to the architect in the case of special agreement, or in case he has actually received the amount from the building owner (r).

As between vendor and purchaser.

If a lessee is under a covenant with his lessor to do repairs to the satisfaction of the lessor's architect, such architect's certificate is part of the title, and must be obtained if required by a purchaser of the lease at the vendor's expense (s).

Sub-Sect. 6.—When the Remuneration is payable.

Where no express stipulation.

652. In a contract to perform work, if there is no express or implied stipulation that no payment is to be made until the work is completed, the person employed is entitled to payment from time to time for the work actually done (t).

Entire contracts.

If, however, the contract is one to perform an entire work, such as to prepare plans drawings and the specification for a fixed sum, or for a percentage on the whole cost of the work, the right to payment does not arise until the whole work has been done (u), unless the contract, as it does in many cases, provides for payment Any such instalments constitute a debt due by instalments. and payable, for which the architect can sue as and when it becomes due (w).

Payment conditional on happening of event.

653. If payment is made dependent on the happening of some event, the right to payment only arises on the happening of that event (x), except where it depends on something to be done by the employer, and there is an implied contract by the employer that he will do that thing, and he has not done it (a).

Lien on plans etc.

654. The architect has a lien on the plans and drawings prepared by him, and need not deliver them up until he is paid (b), and may bring his action for his charges although he still retains Even if he demands more than a reasonable price the plans. for the plans, and that is refused, he is not precluded from bringing an action for his charges and recovering reasonable remuneration (c).

(c) Ibid.

⁽r) Beattie v. Gilroy (1882), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 226.

⁽s) Re Moody and Yates (1885), 28 Ch. D. 661. (t) Appleby v. Myers (1867), L. R. 2 C. P. 651, 660. See p. 307, ante.

⁽u) See Johnson v. Gandy (1855), 26 L. T. (o. s.) 72. (w) Compare Workman, Clark & Co., Ltd. v. Lloyd Brazileño, [1908] 1 K. B.

⁽x) Moffatt v. Laurie (1855), 15 C. B. 583; Hamlyn & Co. v. Wood & Co., [1891] 2 Q. B. 488.

⁽a) M'Connell v. Kilgallen (1878), 2 L. R. Ir. 119. (b) Hughes v. Lenny (1839), 5 M. & W. 183.

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Bankruptcy of the Architect or Engineer.

655. On the architect or engineer becoming bankrupt all moneys owing to him become the property of his trustee in bankruptcy, to whom pass also the bankrupt's rights of action for breach of contract and wrongful dismissal (d). If, however, the trustee does not interfere, the bankrupt can himself sue for work done after the bankruptcy (e).

If the architect or engineer is employed on the terms of being paid a salary, his remuneration does not vest in the trustee in bankruptcy, unless an order is made by a bankruptcy court (f), appropriating part of the bankrupt's salary or income for the

benefit of his creditors (q).

The trustee in bankruptcy has no power to make the bankrupt architect work to earn salary or any other remuneration (h), though the court has power to suspend or refuse the bankrupt's certificate where he refuses to earn money (i).

SECT. 7.

Remuneration of Architects and Engineers.

Rights of trustee.

Part XIX.—Quantity Surveyors.

SECT. 1.—Employment and Duties of Quantity Surveyors.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Employment to take out Quantities for Tenders in General.

656. The usual course of business in building contracts is for the Authority of architect to employ a quantity surveyor to take out the quantities of the contemplated buildings or works. The authority of the architect to do this is express or implied: he may have explained to his employer the necessity of obtaining bills of quantities (k), or he may rely on an authority implied by his authorisation to obtain tenders (l), if it would be impracticable to obtain proper tenders without bills of quantities for the use of persons intending Such authority has also been implied where the to tender. employer knew that quantities had been taken out, and either actively or tacitly acquiesced in this being done (m).

employ.

(e) Jameson v. Brick and Stone Co., Ltd., supra.

(i) Re Barker, Ex parte Constable, Re Jones, Ex parte Jones (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 285.

(k) See p. 164, ante.

(m) Moon v. Witney Guardians (1837), 3 Bing. (N. C.) 814.

⁽d) Jameson v. Brick and Stone Co., Ltd. (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 208; Emden v. Carte (1881), 17 Ch. D. 768. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 136, 159.

⁽f) Under Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 53. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., p. 191. (g) Re Brindley, Ex parte Brindley (1887), 4 Morr. 104; Re Shine, Ex parte Shine, [1892] 1 Q. B. 522, C. A.

⁽h) Re Hutton, Ex parte Benwell (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 301; Board of Trade v. Block (1888), 13 App. Cas. 570. See title BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, Vol. II., pp. 166, 167.

⁽¹⁾ Waghorn v. Wimbledon Local Board (1877), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 39.

SECT. 1. Employment and Duties of Quantity Surveyors.

Another ground on which this implication of authority is founded is that of custom (n), but this custom apparently does not extend to Ireland (o).

It is doubtful whether architects, instead of employing a quantity surveyor, have implied authority to take out the quantities

themselves (p).

In the case of engineering contracts, there does not appear to be any settled practice as to the employment of quantity surveyors for the taking out of quantities for tenders. Many engineers take out their own quantities; quantities for engineering works being very much simpler than quantities for building works.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Employment to reduce Tenders.

How far within architect's authority.

657. It often occurs that when tenders are obtained the lowest of them is found to be higher than the sum which the employer is willing to expend on the construction of the required works. A quantity surveyor, usually the surveyor who has taken out the quantities for tenders, is then employed to reduce the quantities so as to make the design less costly, and to bring the expense within the amount which the employer is willing to lay out. It is doubtful whether an architect has any implied authority to employ a quantity surveyor to do this work. In any case, such an authority cannot be implied if the employer limited the authority of the architect to designing a work at a limited cost, for if the architect has disregarded his instructions by designing a building the cost of which exceeds the amount to which he was limited, he cannot charge his employer with the expense of rectifying his own mistake (q). Even in such a case, however, the employer might ratify the employment by acquiescence (r).

Sub-Sect. 3.—Employment to measure up Deviations.

How far within architect's authority.

658. The authority of an architect or engineer to employ a quantity surveyor to measure up deviations in the works depends to some extent upon the duties cast upon the architect or engineer by the contract (s). If he is designated as the person to perform this work, whether it be included or not in the work for which his remuneration is fixed, he cannot have authority from his employer to employ a quantity surveyor to do the work and charge his employer. If, however, the building contract merely directs that

(o) Antisell v. Doyle, [1899] 2 L. R. 275, disapproving the dictum of Monahan,

⁽n) Taylor v. Hall (1870), I. R. 4 C. L. 467, per Monahan, C.J., at p. 479; Young v. Smith (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 57; North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333.

C.J., in Taylor v. Hall, supra.
(p) See p. 291, ante. See, however, Lansdowne v. Somerville (1862), 3 F. & F. 236, in which case Keating, J., left the following questions to the jury: "Was there such a custom, and was it known to the parties, and did they contract on the footing of the custom?" The jury found that there was such a custom known to the parties on the footing of which the parties had contracted.

⁽q) Evans v. Carte (1881), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 64. (r) Ibid., per Lord Coleridge, C.J., at p. 66. (s) As to the authority of the architect or engineer to measure up these

deviations, see p. 291, ante.

the value of the deviations is to be ascertained in some manner. without saying by whom, there may be a custom authorising the architect, at all events in any large matter, to employ a quantity surveyor to measure up (a). If the quantity surveyor is employed by the architect to vindicate the correctness of his certificate, which has been questioned by the building owner, a customary authority to employ a quantity surveyor cannot be implied in such a case (b).

SECT. 1. Employment and Duties of Quantity Surveyors.

Sub-Sect. 4.—Measuring up Deviations for the Builder.

659. If the builder employs a quantity surveyor to measure up Employment deviations, he does so on his own account, and not on that of the of builder. employer, as it is for him, and not for the employer, to make out an account of what is due to him for his work, because, if the owner is not satisfied with the builder's account, he can employ a surveyor to check it at his own expense (c).

Sect. 2.—Liabilities of Quantity Surveyors.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Liability to the Employer.

660. In the ordinary course where the architect, in his capacity Privity of as agent of the building owner, engages a quantity surveyor to contract. prepare bills of quantities for the purpose of procuring tenders, the relation of employer and employed exists between the building owner and the quantity surveyor, and the usual provision in the instructions to those tendering that the person tendering is to add the amount of the quantity surveyor's charges to his tender does not alter this relation, as when complied with it merely amounts to a conditional contract by the builder to pay the quantity surveyor's fees in certain events, which, after all, come indirectly out of the employer's pocket (d).

The quantity surveyor owes a duty to his employer to take out the quantities carefully and skilfully (e).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Liability to the Contractor.

661. The customary mode of adding the quantity surveyor's No privity of charges to the tender does not import any contractual relation contract.

(b) Plimsaul v. Kilmorey (Lord) (1884), 1 T. L. R. 48.

(e) Moneypenny v. Hartland, supra.

⁽a) Birdseye v. Dover Harbour Board Commissioners (1881), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 62, where evidence was given of a custom that in any large matter, say over £2,000, the architect was entitled to call in a quantity surveyor, to be paid by the employer, and the jury found in favour of the custom; Beattie v. Gilroy (1882), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 226.

The schedule of charges sanctioned by the Royal Institute of British Architects as revised in 1898 states (paragraph 1) that the usual remuneration by way of 5 per cent. commission on the total cost of the works is "exclusive of measuring and making out extras and omissions." This schedule, however, has not the force of a custom binding on the employer (Farthing v. Tomkins (1893), 9 T. L. R. 566). On the other hand, it would be difficult to imply that the architect would be bound to do this work in the absence of anything in the architect would be bound to do this work in the absence of anything in the contract making it incumbent on him.

⁽c) Beattie v. Gilroy, supra.
(d) Moneypenny v. Hartland (1826), 2 C. & P. 378; see also Scrivener v. Pask (1865), L. R. 1 C. P. 715.

SECT. 2.
Liabilities
of Quantity
Surveyors.

between the contractor and the quantity surveyor (f), except the contract by the contractor to pay the surveyor in accordance with the conditions of the contract between the employer and the contractor (g).

The quantity surveyor is not liable to the contractor for any representations contained in the bills of quantities, unless such

representations are made fraudulently (h).

Fraud.

If the quantity surveyor fraudulently either for his own purposes, or in collusion with the employer, makes out the quantities short, he is liable to the contractor in the first case alone, and in the second jointly with the employer (i).

Negligence.

If one or more persons intending to tender for a contract employ a quantity surveyor to take out the quantities on their behalf, the quantity surveyor is liable to him or them if he negligently takes out the quantities short.

Architect taking out quantities. If the architect or engineer takes out the quantities himself, his liability to the builder is the same as that of an independent quantity surveyor (k).

SECT. 3.—Remuneration of Quantity Surveyors.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Amount of the Remuneration.

Scale of charges. 662. The amount which a quantity surveyor is entitled to claim, in the absence of any express contract fixing his remuneration, is a reasonable reward for the work and labour expended by him in preparing the bills of quantities.

Quantity surveyors usually charge from 1 (or even less) to $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the contract price, but there is no custom binding on the persons employing them to pay by a percentage rate at all (l).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Lithographers' Charges.

Discounts.

663. As a number of copies of the bills of quantities are required for the purpose of obtaining tenders, it is usual for the quantity surveyor to have them lithographed. The quantity surveyor in such a case usually employs his own lithographer, and though it would be improper for the quantity surveyor to receive any commission from the lithographer, he may retain anything in the nature of a discount for cash (m) as distinguished from trade discounts (n). The charge for lithography is, however, usually inserted

(n) See Hippisley v. Knee Brothers, [1905] 1 K. B. 1.

⁽f) Young v. Blake (1887), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.,
p. 106. See also Scrivener v. Pask (1865), L. R. 1 C. P. 715.
(g) North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333. There is no reported case in which

⁽g) North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333. There is no reported case in which a quantity surveyor has been held to be under any liability to the builder for the accuracy of his quantities where the employment has been in the customary manner.

⁽h) Priestley v. Stone (1888), 4 T. L. R. 730.

⁽i) Ibid.; and see p. 303, ante.

⁽k) See p. 303, ante.
(l) Gwyther v. Gaze (1875), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.,

p. 21.
(m) London School Board v. Northcroft (1889), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 142.

at the end of the bill of quantities (o), and the contractor is directed to add that charge to the amount of his tender, and sometimes a stipulation is inserted in the building contract that the builder shall pay the quantity surveyor out of the first instalment of the contract price.

SECT. 3. Remuneration of Quantity Surveyors.

SUB-SECT. 3.—When the Employer is liable to pay.

664. The liability of the employer to the quantity surveyor depends on the authority of the architect, express or implied, to employ the quantity surveyor, and when this exists the employer is, in the absence of any inconsistent stipulations, liable to pay the charges of the quantity surveyor.

How far employer

The memorandum, which is sometimes annexed to the bills of quantities, to the effect that the person who obtains the contract shall pay the charges of the quantity surveyor out of the first instalment of the price, has the effect, in the case of a contract being entered into, of discharging the employer from his liability to pay the quantity surveyor (p). This has been described as a conditional contract.

If, however, the employer does not proceed to enter into a contract with a contractor for the construction of the works, he remains liable to the quantity surveyor, as the condition governing the shifting of the liability has never happened (q).

665. The employer may also render himself liable to pay the When quantity surveyor, even when the latter is engaged by the architect employed without authority, by ratification of, or acquiescence in, the authority. employment (r). The employer may expressly contract himself out of any liability to pay the quantity surveyor, or expressly limit the authority of the architect to employ a quantity surveyor (s).

666. The liability of the employer to pay the quantity surveyor Alteration of for altering the quantities for the purpose of reducing the tenders quantities and depends entirely on the authority of the architect to employ the of deviations. quantity surveyor for this purpose (a), and the same is the case as regards measuring up deviations.

SUB-SECT. 4.—When the Contractor is liable to pay,

667. If the contractor has expressly contracted to pay the charges When conof the quantity surveyor, he will be liable to do so, or he may be tractor liable. liable on the conditional contract before referred to (b).

(p) Young v. Smith (1880), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 57; North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333.

(q) Moon v. Witney Guardians (1837), 3 Bing. (N. C.) 814, per TINDAL, C.J., at p. 818; Gribbon v. Moore (1869), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 12; Gwyther v. Gaze (1879), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 21; Waghorn v. Wimbledon Local Board (1877), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 39.

(r) Evans v. Carte (1881), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II.,

p. 64.

(s) Gwyther v. Gaze, supra. (a) See p. 310, ante.

⁽o) See Campbell (D.) & Son v. Blyton (1893), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 250.

⁽b) Locke v. Morter (1885), 2 T. L. R. 121; see p. 313, ante.

SECT. 3.
Remuneration of
Quantity
Surveyors.

Again, if the contractor by his own default prevents the happening of the event on which the right of the quantity surveyor to payment arises under the conditional contract, e.g., the payment of the first instalment of the price, the contractor will be liable to pay the quantity surveyor, although the event has not happened (c). The taking over by a contractor of a mortgage on the contract buildings in good faith to protect himself is not such a prevention of payment as to entitle the quantity surveyor to sue him (d).

In the absence of a stipulation in the agreement between the employer and the contractor, or of an express contract to the same effect between the contractor and the quantity surveyor, the contractor would apparently only be liable to pay for the quantities when the employer has paid him for them, in addition to all that

was due to him under the contract (e).

If the architect himself takes out the quantities, the liability of the contractor to pay his charges is similar to his liability to an independent quantity surveyor, but it is a question how far the conditional contract would apply in this case.

If the contractor employs the quantity surveyor to measure up for the purpose of preparing his account for deviations, he will have

to pay for work done for his benefit by his own order (f).

Employment by architect.

When a quantity surveyor is employed by the architect to measure up deviations, this employment is in the interest of the employer. The contractor, therefore, incurs no liability to pay the charges for this work unless they have been included in the amount of a certificate, and actually paid to $\lim_{x \to \infty} g(x)$.

SUB-SECT. 5.—When the Architect is liable to pay.

Employment without authority.

668. Where the architect employs a quantity surveyor without express or implied authority he must pay him, but if the architect represents that he has authority when he has none he is liable for breach of warranty of authority to the quantity surveyor. The measure of damages in this case is the amount of the remuneration the quantity surveyor would have been entitled to recover if the employment had been authorised, together with any costs to which the quantity surveyor has been put in an unsuccessful action to recover his charges from the employer (h).

⁽c) M'Connell v. Kilyallen (1878), 2 L. R. Ir. 119; Mellor v. Britton (1900), 16 T. L. R. 465.

⁽d) Campbell (D.) & Son v. Blyton (1893), Hudson on Building Contracts, 3rd ed., Vol. II., p. 250.

⁽e) Taylor v. Hall (1870), I. B. 4 C. L. 467; Locke v. Morter (1885), 2 T. L. B. 121; North v. Bassett, [1892] 1 Q. B. 333.

⁽f) See Plimsaul v. Kilmorey (Lord) (1884), 1 T. L. R. 48.
(g) Beattie v. Gilroy (1882), 10 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 226; Plimsaul v. Kilmorey

⁽Lord), supra; Locke v. Morter, supra.

(h) Plimsaul v. Kilmorey (Lord), supra. See Firbanks' Executors v. Humphreys (1886), 18 Q. B. D. 54.

Part XX.—Injuries to Persons or Property.

PART XX. Injuries to Persons or Property.

669. In the course of the construction of building or engineer- Work not ing works injury is sometimes done to passers-by or other persons, or to the land buildings or property of adjoining or neighbouring owners or other persons, and questions arise as to the liability of the employer or the contractor, or of both, in respect of such injuries. These questions must be decided with reference to the general law (i), and there is no law applicable to them which is peculiar to building contracts.

It may be useful, however, to state generally that where the Contractor work contemplated by the contract is of such a nature that it can be lawfully done, in the absence of negligence, without causing injury to others, and the employer has employed a competent contractor and parted with all control over the execution of the works, the contractor will as a general rule be solely responsible for any injuries caused to others by the carrying out of the works, or by the negligence of the workmen employed (k). The fact of the employer lending workmen of his own to the contractor will not make him liable, if such workmen are under the control and superintendence of the contractor (l).

670. Where the nature of the contractor's employment is such Work danthat, though the work is in itself lawful, injury to the property of gerous or the neighbouring owner may be expected to arise in the natural course of events, unless certain precautions are taken, then it is the duty of the employer to take care that those things are done which are necessary to prevent the mischief arising (m); and he

injury complained of. The right of support and the remedies for its infringement are discussed under the title EASEMENTS AND PROFITS & PRENDRE.

(k) Milligan v. Wedge (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 737; Allen v. Hayward (1845), 7
Q. B. 960; Reedie v. London and North Western Rail. Co. (1849), 4 Exch. 244;
Peachey v. Rowland (1853), 22 L. J. (c. P.), 81; Steel v. South Eastern Rail. Co.
(1855), 16 C. B. 550; Butler v. Hunter (1862), 31 L. J. (EX.) 214; Searle v.
Laverick (1874), L. R. 9 Q. B. 122; Crawford v. Peel and Carmichael (1887), 20
L. R. Ir. 332; Blake v. Woolf, [1898] 2 Q. B. 426; Holliday v. National
Telephone Co., [1899] 2 Q. B. 392, C. A.

(1) Murray v. Currie (1870), L. R. 6 C. P. 24.

⁽i) Thus, in the case of injuries to persons the law will be found stated under the titles NEGLIGENCE; NUISANCE; and TRESPASS respectively. In the case of injuries to property when the works are constructed under statutory powers and compensation is sought, reference must be made to the title COMPULSORY PURCHASE AND COMPENSATION. The question how far statutory powers justify what would in their absence be actionable nuisance is dealt with under the title NUISANCE. In the absence of statutory powers, or in the event of statutory powers being exceeded or exercised negligently, reference must be made to the titles Negligence, Nuisance or Trespass, according to the nature of the injury complained of. The right of support and the remedies for its infringe-

⁽m) As to the amount of care the building owner must employ, see Brown v. Windsor (1830), 1 Cr. & J. 20; Trower v. Chadwick (1836), 3 Bing. (N. C.) 334; (1839), 6 Bing. (N. C.) 1; Walters v. Pfeil (1829), 1 Mood. & M. 362; Taylor v. Stendall (1845), 7 Q. B. 634. See title Negligence.

PART XX. Injuries to Persons or Property.

cannot divest himself of this duty by employing some other person (whether contractor, architect, or engineer) to do what is necessary to prevent the mischief, or by stipulating that such other person shall take precautions against it (n).

If the acts of the employer, though amounting to a public nuisance, also cause particular damage to a neighbouring land-owner, the latter will be entitled to maintain an action against the employer (o).

These considerations will apply with still greater force if there is anything unlawful in the work which the contractor is employed

to do (p).

Indemnity.

671. The employer may to a certain extent safeguard himself by taking an indemnity from the contractor, but this has no effect on the rights of third persons, and he still remains subject to the duty and liable for the consequences of its breach (q).

Contractor and subcontractor.

672. A contractor in his relation to a sub-contractor is in a similar position as regards liability for nuisance or negligence as is the employer in his relation to the principal contractor (r).

Personal control etc. by employer.

673. If the employer retains in his own hands the control over the execution of the works, or if he interferes with the contractor in carrying them out, he will be liable to third persons for any injuries sustained by them or their property (s).

(o) Rose v. Groves (1843), 5 Man. & G. 613; Benjamin v. Storr (1874), L. R. 9 C. P. 400; Boyce v. Paddington Borough Council, [1903] 1 Ch. 109, reversed on another point sub nom. Paddington Corporation v. A.-G., [1906] A. C. 1. See title NUISANCE.

(p) Ellis v. Sheffield Gas Consumers Co. (1853), 2 E. & B. 767; Pitts v.

Kingsbridge Highway Board, supra.

(r) Rapson v. Cubitt (1842), 9 M. & W. 710; Knight v. Fox and Henderson (1850), 20 L. J. (Ex.) 9; Overton v. Freeman (1852), 21 L. J. (c. P.) 52; Murray v. Currie (1870), L. R. 6 C. P. 24; Pearson v. Cox (1877), 2 C. P. D. 369; Blake v. Thirst (1863), 8 L. T. 251.

(s) Burgess v. Gray (1845), 1 C. B. 578; Holliday v. National Telephone Co., [1899] 2 Q. B. 392, C. A.; Bennet v. Castle (1898), 14 T. L. R. 288, C. A.

⁽n) Gregory v. Piper (1829), 9 B. & C. 591; Bower v. Peate (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 321, per Cockburn, C.J., at p. 326; Randleson v. Murray (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 109; Hole v. Sittingbourne and Sheerness Rail. Co. (1861), 6 H. & N. 488; Pickard v. Smith (1861), 10 C. B. (N. S.) 470; Gray v. Pullen (1864), 5 B. & S. 970; R. v. Stephens (1866), L. R. 1 Q. B. 702; Rylands v. Fletcher (1868), L. R. 3 H. L. 330; Pitts v. Kingsbridge Highway Board (1871), 25 L. T. 195; Tarry v. Ashton (1876), 1 Q. B. D. 314; Lemaitre v. Davis (1881), 19 Ch. D. 281; Dalton v. Angus (1881), 6 App. Cas. 740; Hughes v. Percival (1883), 8 App. Cas. 443; Rlack v. Christoburch Finance Co. [1804] A. C. 48. Links 8 App. Cas. 443; Black v. Christchurch Finance Co., [1894] A. C. 48; Jolliffe v. Woodhouse (1894), 10 T. L. R. 553; Hardaker v. Idle District Council, [1896] 1 Q. B. 335, C. A.; Hill v. Tottenham Urban District Council (1898), 79 L. T. 495; Penny v. Wimbledon Urban District Council, [1899] 2 Q. B. 72, C. A.; The Snark, [1900] P. 105, C. A.

⁽q) Dodd v. Holme (1834), I Ad. & El. 493; Ellis v. Sheffield Gus Consumers Co., supra. "In violations of a right to property, whether real or personal, . . . he who procures the wrong is a joint wrong-doer, and may be sued either alone or jointly with the agent in the appropriate action for the wrong complained of "(Lumley v. Gye (1853), 22 L. J. (Q. B.) 463, per ERLE, J., at p. 472).

674. The liability of the employer for injuries will not absolve the contractor from liability, and the contractor will always remain liable for any tortious acts of his own or of his servants (t).

The contractor may be sued either alone or jointly with his employer; but it must be noticed that the effect of bringing an Joint action and recovering judgment against either the employer or liability. contractor is to bar any claim against the other (a), and also that, as the employer and the contractor are joint tort-feasors, there is no contribution between them (b).

PART XX. Injuries to Persons or Property.

BUILDING DISPUTES.

Generally.—See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects. Under London Building Acts.—See METROPOLIS.

⁽t) Bates v. Pilling (1826), 6 B. & C. 38; White v. Peto Brothers (1888), 58 L. T. 710.

⁽a) See title JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS.
(b) See title TORT.

BUILDING LEASES.

See Landlord and Tenant.

BUILDING MATERIALS.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING OWNER.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING QUANTITIES.

See Building Contracts, Engineers, and Architects.

BUILDING REGULATIONS.

See METROPOLIS; PUBLIC HEALTH ETC.

BUILDING RESTRICTIONS.

See REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL; SALE OF LAND.

BUILDING SOCIETIES.

											3	PAGE
PART	I. I	NATURE AN	ID CLA	SSES	OF	BUI	$LD\Pi$	VG	SOCIE	TIES	5 –	323
	SECT.	1. Introduc	TORY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	323
		Sub-sect. 1.	General	Natu	re of	Build	ing S	ocie	ties	-	-	323
		Sub-sect. 2.	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	324
	SECT.	2. DIFFEREN	T CLASS	SES OI	Soc	IETIE	8	-	-	-	-	324
		Sub-sect. 1.					-			-	-	324
		Sub-sect. 2.	Unincon	porat	ed an	d Inc	orpor	ated	Societi	.es	-	324
		Sub-sect. 3.	Termina	ung	ana P	ermai	nent	50C16	eties	-	-	325
PART	п. І	NCORPORA'	TION C	F B	UILI	DING	SOC	IEI	TIES	-	-	327
	SECT.	1. INCORPOR	ATION O	F NE	WLY-	ESTAB	LISH	ED S	SOCIETI	ES	_	327
	SECT.	2. Incorpor	ATION O	f Un	INCOE	PORA	TED S	Soci	ETIES	_	_	327
PART	III.	NAME ANI	CHIE	F OF	FIC	E OF	SO	CLE	TY	-	-	329
	SECT.	1. Name of		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	329
		Sub-sect. 1.				-	-	-	-	-	-	329
		Sub-sect. 2.	•			-	-	-	-	-	-	330
	SECT.	2. Chief Of	FICE OR	PLA	CE OF	ME	ETING	-	-	-	-	330
PART	TV 1	RULES OF	A SOCI	TETY	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	331
		1. Incorpor				_	_	_	_	_	_	331
	DECI.	Sub-sect. 1.				_	_	_	_	_		331
		Sub-sect. 2.			nles	-	-	_	-	:	-	331
		Sub-sect. 3.				-	-	-	-	-	_	332
	SECT.	2. Unincore	ORATED	Soci	ETY	_	_	_	-	-	_	335
		Sub-sect. 1.	In Gene	ral	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	335
		Sub-sect. 2.			Rules	-	-	-	-	-	-	335
Danm	v 0	FFICERS O	F A SC	ንሮፒ ፑ፣	rv	_	_					336
IARI		1. Incorpor				-	-	-	•	•	•	336
	SECT.					-	-	-	-	•	•	
		Sub-sect. 1. Sub-sect. 2.	Omcers Director	Gener G	апу	-	-	-	-	-	-	$\frac{336}{341}$
		Sub-sect. 3.	The Secr	retary	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	342
		Sub-sect. 4.			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	343
	SECT.	2. Unincorp	ORATED	Socia	ETY	-	_	-	-	-	_	344
		Sub-sect. 1.	Officers	Gener	ally	_	_	_	-	-	_	344
		Sub-sect. 2.					Creasi	ırer	or Tru	stees	-	347
DADT	VT 1	MEMBERS	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	348
TABL		1. Membersi	7170	_	_	_	_	_	•	_	_	348
		2. RIGHTS A		-	- -	- 1(m-	- 	-	-	-	-	
				ILITII	S OF	DIEM	BERS	-	-	-	-	351
	SECT.	3. MEETINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	353
H.L	—III									Y		

BUILDING SOCIETIES.

_												F	AGE
PART		SHARES		- •	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	355
		1. In GE			•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	355
		2. Trans			•	-	-		-	-	-	-	357
		3. WITHI			•	-	•	-	-	-		-	358
	SECT.	4. FINES	AND E	ORFE	ITUR	E	•	-	-	-	-	-	361
		Sub-sect.			•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	361
		Sub-sect.	2. For	feitur	θ	-	-	-			-	-	362
PART	VIII	ADVAN	CES	_	_	_	_						000
		1. In GE			_	_	-	•	•	•	-	-	363
		2. SECUR		W177	317 A	- DW 4 N	- -	- 	- 	-	-	-	363
		3. Const.									•	-	364
		4. Reden		N ANI	J E.S.	FECT	OFA	TORIG	AGES	•	•	-	365
		5. Enfor			· Man	- 	<u>-</u>	•	-	-	-	-	366
	BECT.				MOR	TGAG	E			-	•	-	368
		Sub-sect. Sub-sect.	2. For	e reclosi	ire	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	$\frac{368}{369}$
		Sub-sect.	3. Pro	of in	Bank	rupte	o y	•		-		-	370
	SECT.	6. Disch					-	_	_		_	_	370
		7. STAMP					TAX	·_			_	_	372
								-					0.2
Part	IX.	BORROW	ING .	AND	LOA	NS	-	-	-	_	-	-	373
	SECT.	1. Power	к то В	ORRO	W AN	D LE	ND I	MONE:	r	-	-	-	373
		Sub-sect.						-	-	-	_	-	373
		Sub-sect.	2. Un	incorp	orat	ed So	cietie	s -	- ,	-	-	-	375
	SECT.	2. Exerc	CISE OF	Bor	ROWI	NG P	OWE	R.8	-	-		-	375
		Sub-sect.	1. In	Gener	al	- .	-	-	. - _	•	-	-	375
		Sub-sect.	2. Ex	cess1v	e Exe	erc180	of B	OTTOW	ing P	'owers	-	-	376
Part	x . 8	URPLUS	FUN	DS ·		-	-	-	_	_	_	_	378
		1. Inves			THER	Арр	LICAT	PTON:	_	_	_	_	378
		2. TRANS						-	_	_	_	_	380
										•	_	-	990
PART.	XI.	DISPUT	ES		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	380
	SECT.	1. In Ge	NERAL		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	380
	SECT.	2. ARBIT	RATION	٠.	-	-	-	-	•		_	-	381
		Sub-sect.	1. Ele	ection	of A	rbit r a	tor	_	-	-	_	_	381
		Sub-sect.	2. Pro	ocedur	e in :	regar	d to A	Arbitr	ation	-	-	-	382
		Sub-sect.				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
		3. THE (-	-	-	-	•	-	-	385
	SECT.	4. Justic	CES OF	THE	Peac	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	386
		5. THE I			-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	386
	SECT.	6. WHAT							-	-		-	387
		Sub-sect.						8-	•	-	-	-	387
		Sub-sect.	2. Inc	corpor	ated	Socie	ties	-	-	•	-	-	388
Рарт	ХII	ACCOU	STV	AND	TN	SPE	ርጥተብ	N C	F	BOOK	g.	OR	
- ani	4444.	AFFA		-	-	_	-	-	_			о <u>т</u> .	389
	SECT	1. Accor			_		_	_	_	_	_	-	389
		2. Inspe		OF Bo	OKe	OR A	FFAT	- D-9	_	_	_	-	
	CECI.	a. MOLE	~11UM \	J. 100	OHO	J., A	eral.	110	_	-	-	-	390
PART	XIII	AMAL(GAMA	TION	AN	r dv	'RAN	ISFEI	R.	_	_	_	391

											:	PAGE
PART	XIV.	DISSOL	UTION	AND	CAN	CELI	LATIC)N(OR SU	SPE	N-	
		SION	OF RE	GISTR	Y-	-	-	-	-	-	-	392
	SECT.	i. Incort	PORATED	Socie	TIES	-	-	-	-	-	_	392
		Sub-sect.	1. Diss	olution	by In	strum	ent	-	_	-	-	392
		Sub-sect.	2. Disse	olution	under	the l	Rules	-	-	_	_	393
		Sub-sect.						istra	r -	_	-	394
		Sub-sect.								_	_	394
		Sub-sect.									-	396
	SECT.	2. Uninc	ORPORA	red So	CIETIE	8 -	-	-	-	-	-	397
		Sub-sect.				_	-	-	-	-	-	397
		Sub-sect.	2. Wind	ding-up	unde	r the	Comp	anies	Acts	-	-	398
For	· Co-ope	erative Bui	lding So	cieties -	See to	itle L	NDUST	RIAL	, Pro	VIDE	NT	AND
	•		•				SIMII	AR	SOCIET	IES.		
	Other	Societies	-		,,	\mathbf{F}			SOCIET			
									PROV			
									Socie	TIES	;]	LOAN
							Soci	ETIE	8.			

Part I.—Nature and Classes of Building Societies.

SECT. 1.—Introductory.

SUB-SECT. 1.—General Nature of Building Societies.

675. Building societies are societies formed for the purpose of Definition. raising, by the subscriptions of the members, a fund out of which advances may be made to members, by way of mortgage, upon the security of freehold, copyhold, or leasehold estate (a), the primary object being to assist the members in obtaining a small landed property (b).

The method adopted is for the members to take shares of a definite Mode of amount, which amount is, as a rule, payable to the society by small carrying on periodical subscriptions. Out of the funds so acquired advances are made to members from time to time upon mortgage of freehold, copyhold, or leasehold property, the amount of such advances being the nominal amount of the shares held by the member less in most cases a considerable discount.

Although treated in the earlier legislation as bodies of comparatively humble interest, many building societies have developed into large institutions. Some societies have so far deviated from their original intention as mainly to devote their funds to financing builders (c), the funds being derived from members who subscribe for shares as an investment and are known as investing members. But whatever

⁽a) See Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13. Operamble to the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32).

(b) Preamble to Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32). Compare the

⁽c) See Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519, per Lord BLACKBURN, at p. 548.

SECT. 1. Introductory. their magnitude, and whatever their sphere of operation, building societies are, subject to the distinction hereafter explained between unincorporated and incorporated societies, carried on under the provisions of the same statutes.

SUB-SECT. 2 .- The Registrar.

State control through Registrar.

676. The functions and powers of the Registrar of Building Societies in England are vested in the central office of the Registry of Friendly Societies, consisting of the Chief Registrar and assistant

registrars of Friendly Societies (d).

The Registrar is the instrument through which the State exercises Thus, in the case of incorporated societies, he issues certificates of incorporation, registers rules and alterations of rules, prescribes the form of and receives the annual accounts and statements, and possesses powers of inspecting and dissolving societies and of suspending and cancelling registry of incorporation, and is charged with the duty of laying an annual report before Parliament of the accounts of all societies and the proceedings of the registry (e).

His functions in the case of unincorporated societies are more limited, his chief duties relating to alterations of rules and

statements of accounts.

SECT. 2.—Different Classes of Societies.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- General Division.

Classification of building societies.

677. Building societies are divided into the following classes: (1) unincorporated, (2) incorporated, (3) terminating,

(4) permanent.

The division of societies into unincorporated and incorporated and into terminating and permanent is a cross division. terminating or a permanent society may be either incorporated or unincorporated. The distinction between terminating and permanent societies is one drawn from their method of operation in practice, but is not of much legal importance. With the exception of a difference regarding the limit of their borrowing powers (f), and the circumstances under which they terminate (g), both terminating and permanent societies are subject to the same law. The only division of importance from a legal point of view is the division into unincorporated and incorporated societies.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Unincorporated and Incorporated Societies.

Unincorporated societies.

678. Unincorporated societies were all formed under the Building Societies Act, 1836 (h). That Act did not contain general provisions

⁽d) Friendly Societies Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 25), ss. 1, 2. As to the constitution of the central office, see title FRIENDLY SOCIETIES.

⁽c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 17, 18, 40; Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), ss. 2, 4—7, 27.

(f) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 15.

(g) Ibid., ss. 5, 32 (1).

(h) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32. No short title is given to this Act either by the Act itself, or by the Short Titles Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 14). But it is referred to the Building Societies Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 14). to as the Building Societies Act, 1836, in the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58

regulating the constitution of building societies, but supplied their place by enacting that such of the provisions of the Friendly Societies Acts of 1829 (i) and 1834 (j) as might be applicable to the purpose of any benefit building society should apply to such Societies formed under those Acts are not bodies society (k). corporate, but their property is vested in trustees or their treasurer, by whom actions may be brought or defended (1).

SECT. 2. Different Classes of Societies.

Incorporated societies are all incorporated unler the provisions Incorporated of the Building Societies Act, 1874 (m). Except for certain special purposes (n), the need for trustees is thereby removed, as the corporate body thereby created is itself capable of being clothed with

legal rights and obligations.

All societies formed since November 2, 1874 (o), are incorporated under the last-mentioned Act, which provided means whereby existing unincorporated societies might receive certificates of incorporation and thereby become bodies corporate (p).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Terminating and Permanent Societies.

679. A terminating society is a society which by its rules is to Terminating terminate and have its affairs wound up and its assets distributed societies. at a fixed date, or when a result specified in its rules is attained (q).

The members of such a society agree to take one or more shares Method of of a definite nominal amount and to pay subscriptions either carrying on (1) until a certain date, usually fixed as being the date on which, if all subscriptions were duly paid, the total nominal amount of all

business.

Vict. c. 47), s. 25, and will be so cited throughout this title. The Act was repealed, except so far as regards then existing societies, by the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 7, thus rendering it impossible to form any new societies under the former Act. Only sixty societies now remain to which the Act of 1836 applies, and this number is liable to be diminished from time to time by dissolution or by incorporation. By the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 25 (2), the Act of 1836 was repealed as from August 25, 1896, as to all societies formed thereunder after the year 1856. In England the opinion has been expressed that all such societies, unless they obtained incorporation before the date of the repeal, ceased to be legally constituted under the Building Societies Acts, and, if consisting of more than 20 persons, became illegal associations under s. 4 of the Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89). See Re Il/racombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, [1901] 1 Ch. 102, 113; Shaw v. Benson (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 563; Re Thomas, Ex parte Poppleton (1884), 14 Q. B. D. 379; and title Companies. The contrary has been held in Scotland (Smith's Trustees v. Irvine and Fullerton

Building Society (1905), 6 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 99).
(i) 10 Geo. 4, c. 56. This statute and that cited in the next note have no short title, but are commonly referred to as in the text, and will, for convenience,

be so cited throughout this title.

(j) 4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40. (k) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 4.

(a) Dimining Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 21.
(b) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 21.
(m) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42. See p. 327, post.
(n) E.g., the investment of surplus funds. See p. 378, post.
(o) The date on which the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), came into operation (ibid., s. 2)

(p) Ibid., ss. 9, 10, 11; Building Societies Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 9), s. 2,

which was substituted for s. 8 of the Act of 1874; and see p. 327, post.

(q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 5. See generally, as to such societies, Fleming v. Self (1854), 3 De G. M. & G. 997, per Lord Cranworth, L.C., at pp. 1013 et seq.

SECT. 2.
Different
Classes of
Societies.

the shares would have been received, or (2) until the society has in hand sufficient to pay all members who have not received any advance the full nominal amount of their shares. From time to time the funds in hand are applied in paying to those of the members who desire advances the nominal amount of their shares less a considerable discount. The members who thus receive payment of their shares in advance give mortgage security for the payment of the future subscriptions on their shares and of any other payments which may become due from them under the rules during the Members who do not receive advances continuance of the society. usually have the right of withdrawing from the society (r), and those who have received advances can usually redeem the security given by them (s). Upon the agreed date arriving or the object being attained the funds are applied in paying to those members who have received no advance the full nominal amount of their shares, and any surplus is divided as profits among the same persons.

Back payments. One result of the ordinary scheme of a terminating society is that persons desiring to become members after its formation must pay down a sum equal to the amount of the subscriptions already paid by the original members with interest thereon. These payments are known as "back payments," and considerably check the increase of membership. In some cases this difficulty has been overcome by issuing a fresh series of shares each year, each series being calculated to mature in a certain number of years (usually about twelve) and working itself out as if it were a separate terminating society, though the money received is all put into one general fund.

Permanent societies.

680. A permanent society is a society whose rules do not specify any fixed date or given result as the period for its termination (t). It therefore continues to be a going concern as long as there is a demand for, and funds available for making, advances to existing or new members.

Method of carrying on business.

Persons can join such a society at any time. The shares are of fixed nominal amount, and are payable by a definite number of subscriptions, or, in most cases, may be paid up in full in one sum. When the share has been paid up in full, and no advance has been made, the member receives interest on the amount. At any time before an advance has been made, and whether his shares are paid up in full or not, a member may withdraw, and will be paid such sum as he is entitled to under the rules usually in the order of his notice of withdrawal; sometimes the right of withdrawal is by the rules expressly given "provided the funds permit." A member desiring an advance receives the nominal amount of his shares, usually subject to a discount or to the payment of a premium, and gives a mortgage to secure the repayment of the amount advanced and interest thereon by a definite number of instalments, and also the payment of any money becoming payable by him under the rules whilst the mortgage is outstanding. As a rule, after an advance has been made no further subscriptions are payable.

⁽r) See p. 358, post.(s) See p. 366, post.

⁽t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 5.

Part II.—Incorporation of Building Societies.

SECT. 1.—Incorporation of Newly-established Societies.

681. Any number of persons, not less than three (u), may form a building society (a), either permanent or terminating (b).

The first step to be taken by the promoters is to agree upon a set of rules for the government of the society. Two copies of the proposed rules, signed by three of the promoters and by the intended secretary or other officer, must be sent to the Registrar (c). The Registrar, if he finds the proposed rules contain the statutory provisions, and are otherwise in accordance with the Building Societies Acts (d), returns one copy, with a certificate of incorporation, to the secretary or other officer, and retains and registers the other copy (e). The society thereupon becomes a body corporate by its registered name, having perpetual succession and a common seal (f).

If any persons representing themselves to be a society commence Penalty for business without first obtaining a certificate of incorporation, they are liable, upon summary conviction before justices at the complaint of the Registrar, to a penalty not exceeding £5 for every day business incorporation. is so carried on (g).

A certificate of incorporation, purporting to be signed by the Effect of Registrar, will, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, be certificate of received as evidence in all courts of law and elsewhere (h), and cannot be declared void by the court on the ground that it has been obtained irregularly (i).

Sect. 2.—Incorporation of Unincorporated Societies.

Any unincorporated society the rules of which have been Method of certified under the Act of 1836 may obtain a certificate of incor- incorporating poration, and thereupon it becomes an incorporated society, and rated society. is thenceforth subject to the statutes regulating incorporated

The application for registration must be made to the Registrar, and must be made by the authority of a general meeting of the

SECT. 1. Incorporation of Newlyestablished.

Steps requisite to procure incorporation.

Societies.

(u) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 17.

⁽a) As it is now and has been since the passing of the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 7, impossible to form a new unincorporated society, the present section of this title treats only of the formation of incorporated societies.

⁽b) Ibid., s. 13.
(c) Ibid., s. 17. Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 41. For form of application for registration, see ibid., r. 2, and Form C; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 8. The fee payable is £5 (Building Society Regulations, 1906). The Building Society Regulations, 1895, are printed in Statutory Rules and Orders, revised to December 31, 1903, II., title Building Society.

⁽d) See p. 331, post. (e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 17.

⁽f) Ibid., s. 9. (g) I bid., s. 43. (h) Ibid., s. 20.

⁽i) Glover v. Giles (1881), 18 Ch. D. 173.

⁽k) Building Societies Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 9), s. 2.

SECT. 2. Incorporation of Unincorporated Societies.

Registration of rules.

society specially called for that purpose (l). The application must be accompanied by a copy of the rules of the society and a statutory declaration that such authority for the application was duly given, and that the rules were certified under the Act of 1836 (m).

It is a necessary preliminary to the grant of a certificate of incorporation that the existing rules shall have been registered with the Registrar (n). This may be effected in two ways: (1) on a proper application being made for the purpose, the clerk of the peace for the county in which the society is established should transmit to the Registrar the transcript of the rules of the society filed with the rolls of the sessions of the peace (o), and the Registrar keeps and registers the transcript (p); (2) if the clerk of the peace does not transmit the transcript of the rules of the society, the society may furnish the Registrar with a copy of the rules, purporting to be certified, or to be a true copy of the rules certified, by the barrister appointed to certify the rules of friendly societies (q), authenticated by the statutory declaration of the secretary or other officer, as the Registrar may require, and the copy of the rules is kept and registered by the Registrar (r).

In practice if the Registrar has not, at the time he receives the application for incorporation, received the transcript of the rules, he applies for it to the clerk of the peace. If he does not receive it within seven days, he so informs the applicant for incorporation, who must furnish the necessary evidence of the rules being certified (s).

Effect of incorporation.

684. After incorporation the previously existing rules, so far as they do not conflict with the provisions of the statutes regulating incorporated societies, continue in force until altered or rescinded in accordance with those statutes (1).

The court cannot, at the instance of some of the incorporators, declare the incorporation void on the ground that it has been obtained by fraud or irregularity (u).

All rights of action and other rights and all estates and interests

(1) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 12; Building Society

Regulations, 1895, r. 1; and see p. 352, post.
(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 12; Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 1. For form of application and declaration, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, and Forms A and B; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 11, 12. A fee of £5 is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1895), and Forms A and B; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 11, 12. A fee of £5 is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1996) Regulations, 1906)

(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 10 and 11. These provisions have virtually expired, as the Registrar has the rules of all the sixty unincorporated societies now in existence; see note (g), p. 324, ante.

(o) A transcript of the rules of a society certified under the Act of 1836 had to

(a) A transcript of the rules of a society certified under the Act of 1836 had to be deposited with the clerk of the peace of the county in which the society was established (Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 7).

(b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 10.

(c) Under Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 4, rendered applicable to unincorporated societies by Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 4. The barrieter is now replaced by the Registrar (Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 7).

(c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 11.

(e) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 1. (t) Building Societies Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 9), s. 2.

(u) Glover v. Giles (1881), 18 Ch. D. 173.

in real and personal estate belonging to, or held in trust for, an unincorporated society vest on incorporation in the incorporated society without any conveyance or assignment, except in the case of stocks and securities in the public funds, and estates in copyhold or customary hereditaments, the title to which cannot be transferred without admittance (w).

SECT. 2. Incorporation of Unincorporated Societies.

Part III.—Name and Chief Office of Society.

SECT. 1.—Name of Society.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Original Name.

685. The name of the society must be set forth in the rules Limitations which are forwarded to the Registrar prior to incorporation (a). On on choice of the grant of a certificate of incorporation the society becomes a corporate body by its registered name (b). The registration of the rules is a condition precedent to incorporation, and the Registrar will refuse registration if the name chosen for the society is identical with that in which a subsisting society is already registered, or so nearly resembles the name of a subsisting society as to be calculated to deceive, unless such subsisting society is in course of being terminated or dissolved and assents to such registration (c).

The last words of the name of any new incorporated society must be "Building Society," and the Registrar may refuse to allow the insertion or retention of any words which imply that the society is other than a building society (d).

An unincorporated society retains its name on incorporation, and Unincorthe provisions as to identity and similarity of name do not apply porated society. until it changes its name (e).

686. An incorporated society may not use any name or title other Necessity than its registered name. If a society contravenes this provision, the society, and also every director or member of the committee of management who is a party to the contravention, is liable on

for use of registered

(d) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 7. (e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 9, 17.

⁽w) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 27, as amended by Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 3. S. 27 of the Act of 1874 as unamended referred only to property of which the society as unincorporated was possessed on November 2, 1874, thus leaving outstanding any property acquired by a society between that date and the date of its incorporation. The amendment above mentioned rendered s. 27 of the Act of 1874 applicable to all property possessed by a society at the date of its incorporation. S. 4 of the Act of 1877 operated to transfer, with the exceptions above mentioned, the outstanding property of societies incorporated before its passing.
(a) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (1).

⁽b) *Ibid.*, s. 9. (c) Ibid., s. 17. This provision is similar to that contained in s. 20 of the Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), and it is conceived that cases decided on that section apply to building societies. See title COMPANIES.

SECT. 1. Name of Society.

summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £10 and, in the case of a continuing offence, to an additional fine not exceeding £10 for every week during which the offence continues (f).

The registered name of an incorporated society must appear on

the seal (q).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Change of Name.

Mode of changing name.

687. An incorporated society may change its name by resolution of three-fourths of the members present at a meeting called for the purpose. The choice of a new name on alteration is subject to the same restrictions regarding identity or similarity with the names of subsisting societies as the choice of a name on the formation of a new society. The change of name does not affect any right or obligation of the society, or of any member or other person concerned (h).

Notice of change.

Change of chief office

or place of

meeting.

Notice (i) of the change of name must be sent to the Registrar for registration accompanied by a statutory declaration (k) of an officer of the society that the above provisions have been complied Thereupon the Registrar, if he finds the matter in order, gives a certificate of registration (l). He may, however, if he finds any identity or similarity with the name of any previously registered society, require further evidence that that society is not subsisting or is in course of being terminated or dissolved and consents to such registration (m). No change of name can be registered unless the new name ends with the words "Building Society" (n).

Sect. 2.—Chief Office or Place of Meeting.

688. The rules of a society, whether incorporated (o) or unincorporated (p), must specify the chief office or place of meeting.

An incorporated society may change its chief office in manner directed by its rules, or in default of such direction at a general meeting specially called for the purpose (q). No alteration of the rules is necessary on such change, but notice in duplicate of the change must be given by the secretary to the Registrar within seven days, and will be registered by him and a certificate given (r).

An unincorporated society may change its place of business to another place within the county in which the rules are enrolled

(f) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 15.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (10). (h) Ibid., s. 22.

(i) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 15, and Form O; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 36.

(k) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 15 and Form P; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 36.

(1) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 22. The fee for the certificate is ten shillings (Building Society Regulations, 1906). For form of certificate, see Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), Sched. III.

(m) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 15.

(n) Ibid. r. 7; and see p. 329, ante.
(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (1).
(p) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 10.
(q) Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 2.

(r) Ibid.; Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 13, and Form M. A fee of two shillings and sixpence is payable for the certificate (Ibid. r. 41).

without apparently altering the rules, upon giving notice in writing to the Registrar within seven days before or after removal, such notice being signed by the secretary or other principal officer and three or more members (s).

Chief Office or Place of Meeting.

Part IV.—Rules of a Society.

SECT. 1.—Incorporated Society.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

689. The rules of a society form the contract between the society Rules contain and the members (t). This contract is to be found in the rules contract befor the time being in force, having regard to any alterations duly and members. made (u). They are binding on the members and officers of the society and on all persons claiming on account of a member, or under the rules, all of which persons are deemed to have full notice

A printed copy of the rules of an incorporated society, certified by Copies of the secretary or other officer of the society to be a true copy of its rules. registered rules, is, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, evidence of the rules (b).

A society must supply to any person requiring the same a complete printed copy of the rules with a copy of the certificate of incorporation appended thereto at a charge not exceeding one shilling for each copy (c).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Contents of Rules.

690. The rules of any building society established after Necessary August 25, 1894, and of any incorporated society existing on that date which subsequently to that date substitutes a new set of rules for its existing rules, must set forth the name of the society and its chief office or place of meeting, the manner in which the stock or funds of the society is or are to be raised, the purposes to which they are to be applied, and the manner in which they are to be invested; the terms on which unadvanced subscription shares or paid-up shares (if any) are to be issued and withdrawn, with tables, where applicable in the opinion of the

(s) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 10. See R. v. Registrar of Friendly Societies (1852), 16 J. P. 613. For form of notice, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 35.

(t) Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12 App. Cas. 197,

per Lord Macnaghten, at p. 205; Re Doncaster Permanent Benefit Building and Investment Society (1866), 14 L. T. 13; Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33; Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Exparte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463.

(u) Barnard v. Tomson, [1894] 1 Ch. 374, per North, J., at p. 392; Re Norfolk and Norwich Provident Permanent Benefit Building Society, Smith's Case (1875), 1 Ch. D. 481, must be taken to have been decided on the special facts. See Wilson v. Miles Platting Building Society (1887), 22 Q. B. D. 381, n. As to the alterations that may be made, see p. 333, post.

(a) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 21.

(c) I bid., s. 17.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

Registrar, showing the amount due by the society for principal and interest separately; whether any, and what, preferential shares are to be issued; the manner in which and terms upon which advances are to be made and redeemed, with tables, where applicable in the opinion of the Registrar, showing the amount due from the borrower after each stipulated payment; the manner in which losses are to be ascertained and provided for, and in which membership is to cease; whether the society intends to borrow money, and if so, within what limits; the manner of altering and rescinding the rules of the society and of making additional rules; the manner of appointing, remunerating, and removing the board of directors or committee of management, auditors, and other officers, and their powers and duties; the manner of calling general and special meetings of the members; provisions regulating the audit of the accounts, the settlement of disputes (d), the device, custody, and use of the seal, the custody of the mortgage deeds and other securities belonging to the society, the fines and forfeitures to be imposed on members of the society, and the manner in which the society, whether terminating or permanent, shall be terminated or dissolved (e).

Schedule of forms optional.

A society may describe in a schedule to its rules the forms of conveyance, mortgage, transfer, agreement, bond, security for deposit or loan or other instrument necessary for carrying its purposes into execution (f).

General powers as to making rules.

Beyond those already mentioned there are no statutory provisions expressly dealing with the rules of building societies. building society may make such rules as it pleases, provided that the rules do not conflict with the general law of the realm or the express provisions of the Building Societies Acts, and do not make the society a thing different from a building society (g).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Alteration of Rules.

Method of changing rules.

691. The rules can only be altered in the manner authorised A different procedure is authorised with regard by statute (h). to societies certified before the Act of 1874, and subsequently incorporated thereunder, from that authorised with regard to societies first established after the passing of that Act (i).

Any of the first-mentioned class of societies may alter or rescind any rule or make any additional rule by the vote of three-fourths of the members present at a special meeting called for the purpose,

⁽d) See p. 381, post. Provision for settlement by general meeting or the board of directors will not be sanctioned by the Registrar.
(e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16; Building Societies

⁽c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 19.

(f) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 19.

(g) Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519, per Lord Selborne, L.C., at p. 538. For specimen forms of rules of building societies, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 13, 21.

⁽h) Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12 App. Cas. 197, per Lord Macnaghten, at p. 205, where it was held that the rights of withdrawing members under the rules could not be altered by an ordinary resolution.

⁽i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 18.

of which notice specifying the proposed alteration, rescission, or addition shall have been given to the members in the manner provided by the rules of the society, or in the absence of such rules by letter sent through the post seven days previous to such meeting (k).

Sect. 1. Incorporated Society.

Any of the second-mentioned class may alter or rescind any rule or make an additional rule in the manner its rules direct (k).

692. In both cases a society altering or rescinding any of its Registration rules or making an additional rule must forward to the Registrar an of alteration. application for registration of the alteration signed by three members and the secretary, accompanied in case of partial alteration (1) by two copies of every alteration or addition signed in the same way, or in case of rescission by two copies of the resolution passed for that purpose similarly signed, or in case of complete alteration by a printed copy of the existing rules and two printed copies of the new rules similarly signed, and also in each case by a statutory declaration by an officer of the society that the provisions above mentioned with regard to the procedure to be adopted have been complied with (m). The Registrar, if he finds that the alteration, addition, or rescission is in conformity with the Building Societies Acts, returns one of such copies to the secretary or other officer of the society with a certificate of registration, and retains and registers the other (n).

693. The certificate of the Registrar is conclusive that the Effect of necessary preliminary steps have been taken to make the alteration registration. binding on the society and members (o), but the fact that a rule has been registered is not conclusive as to its legality or validity (p). His duties, when rules are presented for registration, are merely to consider whether or not the proposed rules are in conformity with the Acts(q). If the Registrar refuses to register a rule or alteration, the proper remedy is by mandamus (r).

694. No limit has been placed by statute upon the power to alter Extent of rules, and the power is very extensive. Thus, alterations may be made whereby the rights of members who have given notice of withdrawal are altered to their detriment (s), whereby the

change rules.

(k) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 18.

(1) As to what constitutes a partial alteration, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 3 (a).

(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 18; Building Society Regulations, 1895, rr. 4, 5, and Forms D, E, F; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 30—33.

(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 18; Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 6. A fee of £1 is payable for the certificate (Building Society Regulations, 1906).

(o) Rosenberg v. Northumberland Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 373;

Dewhurst v. Clarkson (1854), 3 E. & B. 194.
(p) Laing v. Reed (1869), 5 Ch. App. 4. The certificate expressly states that the registration of rules and alterations does not imply approval of them by the Registrar, or any guarantee of the good management or financial stability of the society. See form of certificate in Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), Sched. III.

(q) R. v. Brabrook (1893), 69 L. T. 718.

(7) Ibid. See as to mandamus generally, title CROWN PRACTICE.
(s) Pepe v. City and Suburban Permanent Building Society, [1893] 2 Ch. 311; Davies v. Second Chatham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 61 L. T. 680.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society. sums standing to the credit of unadvanced members are diminished (t), and whereby sums payable by advanced members in respect of their mortgages are increased (a). It is no objection that the alteration affects members who have an existing claim on the society (b).

Alterations not permitted.

But though the limits of the power of altering rules are not defined, the alterations must relate only to the internal rights of the members of the society (c), and there are certain classes of alterations which are clearly invalid. No alteration of a rule can be valid which is not made in good faith or which purports to affect the rights of outside creditors or to change the nature of the constitution of the society or which is directed against a particular individual (d). A society which is recognised to be in a state of insolvency cannot make alterations in its rules the effect of which would be to alter the rights of the members in a winding-up (e), although it may make such alterations as will facilitate the realisation of assets and the discharge of obligations (f).

An alteration of rules may be either partial, consisting of alterations of or additions to the existing rules, or complete, consisting of the substitution of an entire set of rules for the existing rules (q).

Although the name and chief office of the society must be stated in the rules, either may be changed without an alteration of rules (h).

695. Special provision is made by statute for altering rules in the case of building societies established before August 25, 1894, whose rules provide that advances may be balloted for. In such case a society may, notwithstanding anything in its rules, resolve by a majority of its members present or voting by voting papers at a meeting called for the purpose upon a scheme for the discontinuance of advances by ballot and for making other provision in lieu thereof. The scheme must be supported by a majority of the members present at the meeting or voting by voting papers who have not at the date of the meeting received their advances by

chief office. Discontinuance of ballot for advances.

Alteration may be

partial or

complete.

Change of name and

⁽t) Strohmenger v. Finsbury Permanent Investment Building Society, [1897] 2 Ch. 469, C. A. Such a result cannot be effected by an ordinary resolution (Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12 App. Cas. 197, per Lord HALSBURY, L.C., at p. 201).

⁽a) Rosenberg v. Northumberland Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 373; Wilson v. Miles Plating Building Society (1887), 22 Q. B. D. 381, n.; Bradbury v. Wild, [1893] 1 Ch. 377. In these cases the securities were framed so as to secure the payment of sums payable under the rules for the time being of the If a mortgagee has made a special contract with the society, such contract cannot be altered by an alteration of rules (Re Norwich and Norfolk Provident Permanent Benefit Building Society, Smith's Case (1875), 1 Ch. D. 481; Wilson v. Miles Platting Building Society, supra).

⁽b) R. v. Brabrook (1893), 69 L. T. 718.

⁽c) Strohmenger v. Finsbury Permanent Investment Building Society, supra, per CHITTY, L.J., at p. 480; Sixth West Kent Mutual Building Society v.Hills [1899] 2 Ch. 60, per BYRNE, J., at p. 69.

⁽d) Strohmenger v. Finsbury Permanent Investment Building Society, supra. (e) Sixth West Kent Mutual Building Society v. Shove (1895), reported [1899] 2 Ch. 64, n.

⁽f) Sixth West Kent Mutual Building Society v. Hills, supra.
(9) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 3.

⁽h) Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 2. See p. 330, ante-

The scheme and every alteration must be registered in the same manner as rules. Notice of the meeting and a copy of the proposed scheme together with a voting paper must be sent to every member fourteen days before the date of the meeting (i).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

SECT. 2.—Unincorporated Society.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- In General.

696. As it is now impossible to form a new unincorporated society, General prothe only matter of importance in connection with the rules of such visions as to societies is the method of alteration.

The rules of an unincorporated society must be proper and wholesome for the government and guidance of the society, not repugnant to the provisions of the Act of 1836 or the general laws of the realm (j).

A schedule to the rules may contain forms of conveyance, schedule of mortgage, transfer, agreement, bond, and other instruments forms.

necessary for the purposes of the society (k).

The rules had to be certified by the barrister appointed to certify Rules must be the rules of friendly societies (l), and entered in a book kept by an certified and officer of the society appointed for the purpose, which book must be entered. open at all reasonable times for the inspection of the members (m). The rules so confirmed and entered are binding on the members, officers and contributors, and their representatives, all of whom are deemed to have full notice thereof (n).

The entry of such rules or the transcript deposited with the clerk Evidence. of the peace or an examined copy of the transcript is evidence of the rules (o).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Alteration of Rules.

697. The first step to be taken in altering the rules of an unincor- Requisition porated society is for a requisition to be made by seven or more for meeting. members of the society requiring a general meeting of the society to be called for the purpose.

Thereupon a written or printed notice, signed by the president or Meeting. other principal officer or by the clerk of the society, convening such general meeting must (p) be issued and publicly read with the requisition at the two usual meetings of the society next before the meeting convened by the notice. The alteration may be made at the general meeting thus convened, and must be carried by a three-fourths majority of the members present (q).

Boynes (1843), 1 Car. & Kir. 65). As to the transcript, see p. 328, ante.
(p) R. v. Aldham and United Parishes Insurance Society (1851), 21 L. J.
(Q. B.) 1, disapproving R. v. Bannatyne (1851), 2 L. M. & P. 213.

(q) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 9.

⁽i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 12, which also prohibits balloting for advances in the case of societies established after the passing of the Act.

⁽j) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1.
(k) I bid., s. 3.
(l) Now the Registrar (Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42)), s. 7.
(m) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 7.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 8.
(c) Ibid. To make a copy evidence, all the rules must be examined (R. v.

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Society. As an alternative, alterations may be made by a committee of members chosen for the purpose at a meeting convened in manner above described. Three-fourths of the members of the committee must concur in each alteration (r).

Alteration by committee. Submission to Registrar.

698. Two copies of the alterations agreed upon, signed by three members and countersigned by the clerk or secretary and accompanied by a statutory declaration (s) of the clerk or secretary or one of the officers of the society that the provisions of the Acts have been complied with must as soon as possible be submitted to the Registrar (a).

Registrar's certificate.

The Registrar must consider, if required, in consultation with the clerk or secretary of the society, whether the alterations are calculated to carry into effect the intention of the framers and whether they are in conformity to law, and if he sees no objection to the suggested alterations he must indorse a certificate on each of the copies to the effect that the rules are in conformity to law (b). One of the certified copies is returned to the society, and the other retained by the Registrar (c). The alterations become binding on the members and officers of the society and other persons interested therein on the date on which they are certified by the Registrar (d).

Submission to quarter sessions. If the Registrar considers the alterations improper, he must point out which part or parts are repugnant to law (e). The society may then submit the alterations with the Registrar's objections to a court of quarter sessions; and the justices may, if they think fit, confirm the alterations notwithstanding the Registrar's objection (f).

Alterations permissible.

The same principles with regard to what alterations of rules are permissible apply in the case of an unincorporated as in the case of an incorporated society (g).

Part V.—Officers of a Society.

SECT. 1.—Incorporated Society.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Officers generally.

Necessary and usual officers.

699. The business of a building society is managed through its officers, who must, in order to permit of proper compliance with the provisions of the Building Societies Acts, include a board of directors or committee of management, a secretary or manager, and at least

⁽⁷⁾ Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 9. (8) For form, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 34.

⁽a) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 4.
(b) Ibid. The fee of one guinea is payable for the certificate of alteration, unless a fee has been paid upon an alteration of the rules within three years, or there is sent with the new or altered rules an affidavit that they are copies of rules already enrolled under the Acts (ibid., s. 5).

⁽c) Ibid., s. 4.

⁽d) Ibid.

⁽e) Ibid.

⁽f) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), ss. 5, 10.

⁽g) See p. 333, ante.

two auditors. Other officers very commonly appointed are a treasurer, a surveyor, and a solicitor (h). A solicitor does not, however, become an officer merely because he is appointed solicitor to the society, and primâ facie is not an officer (i).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

An infant is not competent to hold any office in a society (k), and Infants and it seems doubtful whether a corporation can be an officer (1).

corporations.

All officers are bound by the rules, and are taken to have full notice thereof (m).

700. The rules must set forth the manner of appointing, Appointment remunerating, and removing the board of directors or committee of etc. of officers. management, auditors, and other officers (n), and the powers and duties of the board of directors or committee of management and other officers (o).

701. Every officer of a society having the receipt or charge of Giving of any money belonging to the society must, before entering on his security. office, enter into a bond (p) with at least one surety, or give the security of a guarantee society or other security, in such sums as the society requires, to secure the rendering of a true account of all money received and paid by him on account of the society and the payment of all sums due from him to the society whenever the rules appoint or the society requires him to do so (q).

702. Every officer, his executors or administrators, must upon Duty to demand made or notice in writing given or left at his last or usual place of business—(1) give in his account, as may be required by the board of directors or committee of management, to be examined

(h) The two latter are enumerated as officers in s. 23 of the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47).

H.L.-III.

 \mathbf{z}

⁽i) Re Liberator Permanent Benefit Building Society (1894), 71 L. T. 406, per CAVE and Collins, JJ., at pp. 407, 408. As to the circumstances in which a solicitor may be held to be an officer within s. 10 of the Companies (Windingup) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63), see *ibid*. Presumably the position of a surveyor is similar. It is apprehended that trustees appointed for the purpose of investments of surplus funds in the public funds or upon security of copy-Westlers of surprus rather in the patients of rather and profiles and the patients of the societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 25, 28; and pp. 365, 378, post) are not prima facie and as such trustees officers of the society.

(k) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 38.

⁽¹⁾ See Re West of England and South Wales District Bank, Ex parte Swansea Friendly Society (1879), 11 Ch. D. 768, decided on the provisions of the Friendly Societies Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 60), s. 15 (7).

(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 21.

(n) Ibid., s. 16 (6). Appointments must be made honestly, and an agree-

ment by a person, in consideration of his appointment, to pay money to the person whose duty it is to appoint is corrupt and unenforceable (Starr v. Wall (1889), 6 T. L. R. 108). Care should be taken that the rules are strictly complied with. See Roberts v. Price (1847), 4 O. B. 231.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (12).

(p) For the form, see ibid., Schedule; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III.,

p. 68. It is conditioned, not only for rendering accounts of payments and receipts and payment of all moneys in his hands, but also for the assignment and transfer or delivery of all securities and effects, books, papers, and property of the society in his hands or custody. For such bonds generally, see title GUARANTEE.

⁽q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 23.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

Effect of failure to account.

and allowed or disallowed by them; (2) pay over all the moneys remaining in his hands, and deliver all securities and effects, books, papers, and property of the society in his hands or custody, to such person as the society may appoint (r).

In the event of any neglect or refusal by an officer to perform these obligations, the society may either sue upon the bond which the officer has entered into (s), or apply to the county court (t) of the district in which the chief office or place of meeting of the society is situate (u). Upon such an application the court may proceed in a summary way and make such order as it thinks just; and an order so made is not subject to any appeal (w). Such an application, whether any bond be put in suit or not, must be by action, commenced by plaint and summons in the ordinary way, in which the society are plaintiffs and the officer against whom the application is made is defendant (x).

Criminal proceedings for misappropriation.

703. Any person (a) who by false representation or imposition obtains possession of any moneys, securities, books, papers, or other effects of a society, or, having the same in his possession, withholds or misapplies the same, or wilfully applies any part thereof to purposes other than those expressed or directed in the rules and authorised by the Building Societies Act, 1874, is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £20, with costs not exceeding twenty shillings, and to be ordered to deliver up to the society all such moneys, securities, books, papers, and other effects, and to repay the amount of money applied improperly (b). In default of payment of such penalty and costs, or of such delivery of effects, or of such repayment, he may be

⁽r) Ibid., s. 24. When an officer whom the society alleged to have been dismissed was required to account and to deliver up all books and other property of the society in his hands, it was held immaterial whether he had been legally dismissed or not (First Edinburgh and Leith 415th Starr Bowkett Building Society v. Munro (1883), 11 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 5).
(s) See p. 337, ante.
(t) I.e., in England. As to this, and as to the appropriate courts in Scotland

and Ireland, see Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 4.

⁽u) Ibid., s. 24. Independently of these provisions the society can sue its officers in the High Court, claiming, e.g., an account of moneys misappropriated or retained, payment, and damages for breach of trust (Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society v. Richards (1888), 39 Ch. D. 372, C. A.). Such a claim, even where the officers are members of the society, is not a "dispute" between the society and a member thereof "in his capacity of a member" within s. 2 of the Building Societies Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 41), and need not be referred to arbitration under a rule requiring disputes between the society and any members thereof to be referred to arbitration (Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society v. Richards, supra); see pp. 387, 388, post.
(w) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 24; and see First

Edinburgh and Leith 415th Starr-Bowkett Building Society v. Munro, supra.

(x) County Court Rules, 1903, Ord. 41, r. 7. Particulars must be filed stating, when the bond is not in suit, the nature of the thing required to be done, or the neglect complained of, or the property required to be given up (ibid., rr. 8-10); otherwise the general practice applies (ibid., Ord. 50, r. 36). See title County Courts.

⁽a) Including, of course, officers whose position obviously provides special opportunities for fraud.

⁽b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 31.

imprisoned, with or without hard labour, for any time not exceeding three months (c). These provisions, however, do not prevent any such person from being proceeded against by indictment if a conviction has not previously been obtained against him for the same offence under the Building Societies Act, 1874 (d).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

Proceedings under these provisions may be taken by or at the Who may instance of (1) the society, or (2) any member authorised by the prosecute. society, or by its board of directors or committee of management. or by the Registrar, or (3) the Registrar (e).

704. No officer may, in addition to the remuneration allowed Receipt of by the rules, receive from any other person any gift, bonus, com- commissions mission, or benefit, for or in connection with any loan made by the society (f). Any person paying or accepting any such gift, bonus, commission, or benefit is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £50, and in default of payment may be imprisoned, with or without hard labour, for any time not exceeding six months; and the person accepting any such gift, bonus, commission, or benefit must, at the direction of the court by whom he is convicted, pay over to the society the amount or value thereof, and in default of such payment may be imprisoned. with or without hard labour, for any time not exceeding six months (q).

A purchase by an officer of property sold by the society as mort- Purchase by gagees under a power of sale will be declared void as against the officer of mortgagor, even though the sale is by auction and there is no property. evidence that it was at an undervalue (h).

705. If any society neglects or refuses to give any notice, Liabilities of send any return or document, or do or allow to be done anything officers for defaults of required by the Building Societies Acts, or to do any act or furnish society. any information required for the purposes of those Acts by the

c. 60), s. 16 (9)).
(d) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 31. If any arrangement is made with a defaulting officer, care should be taken to avoid anything which might be an agreement to stifle a prosecution. See Jones v. Merionethshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1892] 1 Ch. 173, C. A.

(e) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 18.

(h) Martinson v. Clowes (1882), 21 Ch. D. 857.

⁽c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 31 Such an order for repayment, followed by imprisonment in default, is a bar to an action brought by the society for recovery of the same moneys. See Vernon v. Watson, [1891] 2 Q. B. 288, C. A., per Lord Halsbury, L.C., at p. 290 (a decision upon an almost identical provision in the Friendly Societies Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict.

⁽f) Ibid., s. 23.
(g) Ibid. Even apart from this statutory provision, the society may enforce payment to itself of bonuses so received (Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society v. Richards, [1889] W. N. 103); but it is conceived, on the analogy of the decision in Vernon v. Watson, supra, that an order under s. 23 for payment over, followed by imprisonment in default, would be a bar to such an action. It should be observed, however, that the reasoning of Lord Halsbury, L.C., in *Vernon* v. *Watson*, supra, at p. 290, founded upon the saving of the right to indict, is not here applicable. An officer may also be liable to indictment under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 34); see title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society. Registrar or by an inspector (i), every officer bound by the rules to fulfil the duty of which a breach has been committed, and if there is no such officer, then every member of the committee of management or board of directors, unless it appears that he was ignorant of, or attempted to prevent the breach (j), is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £20 for each offence, and, in the case of a continuing offence, to an additional fine not exceeding £5 a week during the continuance of the offence (k).

False statements in documents sent to Registrar.

706. Any person who wilfully makes, orders, or allows to be made any false statement in any document required by the Building Societies Acts to be sent to the Registrar, or by erasure, omission, or otherwise wilfully falsifies any such document, is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £50 (l).

Defendant and wife competent witnesses.

707. Upon the hearing of any charge involving the infliction of fine or imprisonment on summary conviction under the Building Societies Act, 1894, the defendant and his wife are admissible as competent witnesses (m).

Liability of officers on bills of exchange etc.

708. When a bill or note is drawn or accepted by an officer on behalf of the society, he will be personally responsible, unless he uses clear and explicit words to show that this is not the intention (n); but the giving of a promissory note on which the directors and not the society are liable does not affect the liability of the society for money which has, in fact, been lent to, or deposited with, it (o).

(i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 21. As to inspectors, see p. 390, post.

(m) Ibid., s. 24. (n) Price v. Taylor (1860), 5 H. & N. 540, per MARTIN, B., and BRAMWELL, B., at p. 545; Allan v. Miller (1870), 22 L. T. 825, per CHANNELL, B., at p. 827. The mere circumstance of his adding "secretary," "director," or other description of his official position, to his name will not relieve him of personal time of the control of the c liability (Bottomley v. Fisher (1862), 1 H. & C. 211, per Bramwell, B., at p. 217; Allan v. Miller, supra); nor will the circumstance that the promise is to pay money as "value received for the society" (ibid.). For the general rule in case of agents, see titles AGENOY, Vol. I., p. 221; BILLS OF EXCHANGE ETC., Vol. II., p. 495.

(o) Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 (county court case). See also Chapleo v. Brunswick Building Society (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 696, C. A., where the society appears to have escaped liability only because its borrowing powers had been exhausted when the loan was made. Both these cases, as also Price v. Taylor, supra, Allan v. Miller, supra, and Bottomley v. Fisher, supra, were decisions relating to unincorporated societies, but it is conceived that they apply equally to incorporated ones. See Lindley,

Company Law, 6th ed. p. 281.

⁽j) Ibid. (k) Ibid. It may be here remarked that, where liability is incurred to the penalties imposed in the case of a society commencing business without a certificate of incorporation, or making default in inserting in any deposit book, or acknowledgment, or security for loan certain matters required to be inserted, the persons incurring such liability will naturally, in the great majority of cases, be the officers, or some of them. See Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 43, amended by Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 28, and Sched. II.; and p. 327, ante, p. 374, post. (1) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 22.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Directors.

709. The general (p) powers and duties of the board of directors or committee of management (a) of building societies seem to be regulated by the same principles as those of the directors of limited companies (b). Their position is not the same as that of ordinary Principles trustees, and, in particular, they may, in the exercise of their discretion, properly make advances upon securities of a speculative directors. nature forbidden to ordinary trustees (c). And, so long as they act honestly and within their powers, they will not be liable for any loss, even though caused by an error of judgment on their part (d).

If the rules declare that when the directors or managers exercise Acts authorcertain powers the minutes signed by the directors or managers ised under concurring shall be sufficient authority for such exercise, omission to sign will not invalidate an exercise of the powers otherwise duly authorised (e). If, however, the directors or managers exceed their powers by doing acts which are neither authorised by the rules (f), nor for which there is such a potential necessity as to confer an implied power (q), such acts will not be binding on the society. though the directors or managers may incur personal liability.

They will be personally liable to the society for any loss caused Liability for to it by their ultra vires acts (h), and may be liable to third parties unauthorised acts.

in damages for breach of a warranty of authority (i).

An indemnity given to the directors or managers by the rules does not extend to acts which are ultra vires and beyond the powers which the society itself could confer upon them (k).

SECT. 1.

Incorporated Society.

regulating position of

⁽p) As to special statutory provisions relating thereto, see p. 342, post.
(a) This alternative description is used throughout the Building Societies Acts, except in s. 13 (3) of the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47). It is conceived that the only difference between a board of directors and a committee of management is that of name.

⁽b) Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society v. Aizlewood (1889), 44 Ch. D. 412, per Stirling, J., at p. 453. As to what are the powers and duties of directors of limited companies, see title COMPANIES.

⁽c) Ibid., per STIRLING, J., at pp. 454, 459.
(d) Cullerne v. London and Suburban General Permanent Building Society (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 485, C. A.

⁽e) Priestley v. Hopwood (1864), 12 W. R. 1031.

⁽f) Portsea Island Building Society v. Barclay, [1895] 2 Ch. 298, C. A.; Chapleo v. Brunswick Building Society (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 696, C. A. (g) Small v. Smith (1884), 10 App. Cas. 119, where a guarantee of a prior mortgage debt in consideration of the prior mortgagee forbearing to exercise his power of sale was held ultra vires, though the society was not forbidden by its rules to lend on equity of redemption.

⁽h) E.g., if they make advances to members on the security of their shares, though not if they merely authorise such advances (Cullerne v. London and Suburban General Permanent Building Society, supra), or if they remit fines imposed on members by the rules (Re Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, [1901] 1 Ch. 102, per WRIGHT, J., at p. 110).

⁽i) Richardson v. Williamson (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 276; Chapleo v. Brunswick Building Society, supra, where a secretary embezzled money which he was authorised by the directors to receive on loan in excess of the borrowing powers of the society. As to circumstances in which directors may be held to be pledging their personal credit, see Re National Permanent Benefit Building

Society, Ex parte Williamson (1869), 5 Ch. App. 309, per GIFFARD, L.J., at p. 312. (k) Cullerne v. London and Suburban General Permanent Building Society, supra, per Lindley, L.J., at p. 488.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

Statutory powers and duties.

710. The board of directors or committee of management have certain special statutory powers, duties, and liabilities. may require certain accounts of officers to be given in to be examined and allowed or disallowed by them (l); they may appoint and remove trustees for the purpose of certain investments (m); on the death intestate of a member or depositor who has not more than £50 in the funds of the society they may, on certain conditions, decide to whom the amount due may be paid without letters of administration being taken out (n); they may order the sealing of the statutory receipt indorsed upon or annexed to a mortgage or further charge (o); and they may authorise certain proceedings to be taken against persons guilty of fraud (p); and three of their number, together with the secretary or other officer, must sign the application for a direction to transfer stock standing in the names of trustees for the society (q).

Statutory liabilities.

711. If the society receives loans or deposits in excess of its borrowing powers, the whole of the directors or committee of management when such loans or deposits were received will be liable for the amount so received in excess (r), even though they were, through the secretary's fraud, unaware that the limit of borrowing powers had been reached (s). The directors authorising an advance on second mortgage, where the society is not first mortgagee, are jointly and severally liable for any loss on the advance occasioned to the society (t). If the society contravenes the provisions forbidding it to use any name or title other than its registered name, or to accept any deposit except on certain terms as to repayment or withdrawal (a), every director or member of the committee of management who is a party to the contravention will be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £10 and in case of a continuing offence an additional fine not exceeding £10 per week during the continuance of the offence (b).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- The Secretary.

Duties of secretary.

712. The following are some of the special powers and duties of the secretary (c). He must give notice of every change of the chief office

⁽¹⁾ Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 24; and see p. 337, ante. (m) Ibid., s. 25; and see p. 378, post.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 29; and see p. 353, post.

⁽o) Ibid., s. 42, Schedule; and see p. 371, post.

⁽p) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 18; and see p. 338, ante. (q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 26; and see p. 380, post. (r) Ibid., s. 43. As to the limits of a society's borrowing powers, see pp. 373, et seq., post.
(s) Cross v. Fisher, [1892] 1 Q. B. 467.

⁽t) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 13; and see p. 364, post. The section does not mention a committee of management, but it is conceived that this omission would not be held to confer any exemption on such a committee, see note (a), p. 341, ante.

⁽a) See p. 374, post. (b) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 15. For the consequences of neglect or refusal to give any notice etc. required by the Building Societies Acts, see ibid., s. 21; and pp. 339, 340, ante.

⁽c) See as to duties of officers generally, p. 357, ante.

of the society to the Registrar within seven days after such change (d). and must sign the request to cancel the registry of the society (e). He or the manager must countersign the statutory receipt indorsed upon or annexed to a mortgage or further charge (f). He or some other officer may make a printed copy of the rules evidence by certifying it to be a true copy of the registered rules (q), must prepare and countersign the annual account and statement of the society (h), and must sign the statutory declaration accompanying an application for a direction to transfer stock (i). His signature, together with those of three members of the society, must be attached to the application for a certificate of incorporation (k), to the two printed copies of the rules accompanying such application (l), to an application to register a partial or complete alteration of rules (m),

to a notice of change of name (n), and to a notice of termination of dissolution when the dissolution is not by instrument (o); and his signature, together with those of three members of the board of directors or committee of management, must be attached to an

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

713. Even without having been formally appointed agent of How far the society, he may become its general agent, so as to bind it, with regard to certain matters arising in the ordinary course of If he employs a private clerk to assist him, he its business (q). will be responsible to the society for the acts of the clerk, notwithstanding that the society knew and approved of such employment (r).

application for a direction to transfer stock (p).

society.

The secretary may also be the general agent of the directors to For directors. do acts ultra vires the society (s).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Auditors.

714. Notwithstanding anything in the rules, one at least of the One auditor auditors must be a person who publicly carries on the business of accountant. an accountant (a).

```
(d) Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 2; Building Society
Regulations, 1895, r. 13, and Form M.
  (e) Ibid., r. 8, and Form G.
  (f) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42; and see p. 371,
post.
  (g) Ibid., s. 20; and see p. 331, ante.
  (h) Ibid., s. 40; and see p. 389, post.
  (i) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 16, and Form R; and see p. 380,
  (k) Ibid., r. 2, and Form C.
  (1) Ibid.; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 17.
  (m) Ibid., s. 18; Building Society Regulations, 1895, rr. 4, 5, and Forms D
and F.
  (n) Ibid., r. 15, and Form O.
  (o) Ibid., r. 28; and see p. 394, post.
  (p) Ibid., r. 16, and Form Q; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict.
```

c. 42), s. 26; and see p. 380, post.

(q) Allard v. Bourne (1863), 15 C. B. (N. s.) 468 (repairs to property mortgaged to the society); Cross v. Fisher, [1892] 1 Q. B. 467, C. A., per Lord HALSBURY, L.C., at p. 475 (receipt of money on deposit which he embezzled).

(r) Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte James (1883), 48 J. P. 54.

(s) Cross v. Fisher, supra.
(a) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 3.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Society.

Attestation of account by auditors.

The rules must provide for an annual or more frequent audit of the accounts and inspection by the auditors of the mortgages and other securities belonging to the society (b).

The annual account and statement of the society must be attested by the auditors, to whom the mortgage deeds and other securities belonging to the society must be produced (c). In attesting such annual account or statement, each auditor must either certify that it is correct, duly vouched, and in accordance with law, or specially report to the society in what respect he finds it incorrect, unvouched, or not in accordance with law (d). He must also certify that he has at that audit actually inspected the mortgage deeds and other securities belonging to the society, and state the number of properties with respect to which deeds have been produced to and actually inspected by him(e).

SECT. 2.—Unincorporated Society.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Officers Generally.

Usual and necessary officers.

715. The management of an unincorporated building society is usually vested in a committee of management, sometimes called the board of directors (f), and a secretary. In addition to any other officers, there must be a treasurer or trustee or trustees in whom the property of the society is vested (g).

The application of the general principles regulating the powers. duties, and liabilities of officers appears to be the same whether the society is or is not incorporated. The special statutory provisions, however, which govern unincorporated societies differ considerably in many respects from those which apply when the society is an incorporated one.

Appointment.

716. The society may at any meeting, or by its committee, elect any person to be steward, president, warden, treasurer, or trustee, and may also elect and appoint such clerks and other officers as may be deemed necessary for the execution of the purposes of the society for such space of time and for such purposes as the rules direct (h).

Effect of rules.

717. All officers are bound by the rules, of which they are deemed to have full notice (i).

The rules must contain provisions with respect to the powers and duties of the members at large and of the committees or

(e) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 2 (2).
(f) See p. 347, post.
(g) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 21; and see p. 347,

(i) Ibid., s. 8.

⁽b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (8). As to the account, see further p. 389, post.

⁽c) Ibid., s. 40. (d) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 2 (2). forms of certificate and special report, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., **p.** 78.

post. (h) Ibid., s. 11. The auditors who attest the annual statement must be members of the society (ibid., s. 33).

Where, however, the rules provide that certain formalities shall be sufficient authority for the execution of powers, the omission to observe such formalities will not necessarily invalidate the exercise of such powers (l).

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Society.

718. Every officer who is in any way concerned with the receipt, management, or expenditure of any of the society's money must, if required by the rules, before taking upon himself the execution of his office, enter into a bond in a statutory form with two sureties, in such sum as the society may think fit, conditioned for the just and faithful execution of his office, the rendering of accounts, and paying obedience to the society (m). Such bond is to be given to the Registrar (n), and in case of forfeiture the society may sue upon it in his name on giving him full indemnity against costs (o).

Giving of security.

719. Every person having or receiving any of the moneys, Duty to effects, or funds of the society, or intrusted with the disposal, account. management, or custody thereof, or of any securities, books, papers, or property relating to the same, his executors, administrators, and assigns, is under obligations as to the rendering of accounts, payment over of moneys, and delivery of securities etc. identical in effect with those imposed on officers of incorporated societies (p). In case of any neglect or refusal to perform any of such obligations, the society may proceed by petition and obtain a summary order which will be final and conclusive (q).

720. No officer is liable to make good any deficiency that may Liability to arise in the society's funds unless he has made a written declaration, deposited and registered in like manner with the rules, that he is willing so to be answerable; and he may limit his responsibility to

make good deficiency.

(1) Priestley v. Hopwood (1864), 12 W. R. 1031. See also Grimes v. Harrison (1859), 26 Beav. 435.

(m) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 12 and Schedule. For form of bond, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 69.

(n) Originally to the clerk of the peace for the time being, but it is apprehended that, having regard to the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 7, the above statement is correct. The use of the statutory form is not compulsory, and it is more convenient to have the bond given in favour of the trustees of the society or to take the security of a guarantee society.

(o) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 11. (p) 1bid., s. 14; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 24. (q) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 14; and see Re Briton Friendly Society (1852), 1 W. B. 50.

⁽k) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 10. As to the powers of committees, see p. 347, post. As to certain special statutory powers and duties of officers, see Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will, 4, c. 32), s. 5 (indorsing of receipt on mortgage); Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 9, and R. v. Aldham and United Parishes Insurance Society (1851), 21 L. J. (Q. B.) 1 (signing of certain notices of general meetings); Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 10 (notice of removal of place of meeting); *ibid.*, s. 12 (entries of powers of special committees); *ibid.*, s. 24 (payments on death intestate of members); *ibid.*, s. 33, Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 40, and Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 25 (annual audit and statement). As to the remuneration of officers, see Alexander v. Worman (1860), 6 H. & N. 100, per Pollock, C.B., Martin, B., and CHANNELL, B., at p. 113.

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Society.

any sum specified in such declaration (r). He is, however, personally responsible and liable for all moneys actually received by him on account of or for the society (s). But where an officer holds money as bailee for the society this last provision does not increase his responsibility beyond that of a bailee; e.g., he will not be liable for moneys of which without negligence he has been robbed immediately after the receipt thereof (t).

Nor will trustees, who have signed cheques, acting ministerially and simply under the direction of the directors, be liable for loss incurred through the misapplication by the directors of the moneys

so obtained (a).

Criminal proceedings for misappropriation.

721. Any person who by any false representation or imposition fraudulently obtains possession of any part of the moneys of the society, or who fraudulently withholds any money belonging to the society which he has in his possession, may, if no special provision for such offence is made in the rules (b), be summoned before two justices of the peace residing within the county within which the society is held. Such justices must determine the complaint according to the rules of the society, and on conviction may award double the amount of the money fraudulently obtained or withheld to be paid to the treasurer for the purposes of the society, together with costs not exceeding ten shillings. If the sum awarded is not duly paid it may be levied by distress, and in default of distress the offender may be imprisoned with hard labour for not more than These provisions do not prevent the society from three months. proceeding by indictment or complaint, save that no person may be proceeded against by indictment or complaint if a previous conviction has been obtained for the same offence under the provisions of the Act (c).

Liabilities on dissolution.

722. Any trustee or other officer or person aiding or abetting in a division or misappropriation of the funds of the society on a dissolution without the requisite consent, is liable to the like penalties as in cases of fraud (d).

Effect of death, bankruptcy etc.

723. If any officer intrusted with the keeping of the accounts, or having in his hands or possession by virtue of his office any moneys or effects belonging to the society, or any deeds or securities relating to the same, dies, or has any execution issued against his property or makes any assignment for the benefit of his creditors (e),

⁽r) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 22. (s) Ibid.; and see also ibid., s. 3, as to penalties for misapplication of the funds.

⁽t) Walker v. British Guarantee Association (1852), 21 L. J. (q. B.) 257. See

further, title BAILMENT, Vol. I., p. 544.

(a) Grimes v. Harrison (1859), 26 Beav. 435, per ROMILLY, M.R., at p. 447.

(b) See Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 3.

(c) Ibid., s. 25. It is apprehended that if an offender had been imprisoned on non-payment of the sum awarded, and in default of distress, the society could not afterwards such him for the sum fraudulent obtained or withheld. See Vernon v. Watson, [1891] 2 Q. B. 288; and p. 339, ante.

⁽d) Friendly Societies Act. 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 26.
(e) Originally also if he became a bankrupt, but the priority in that event has long been abolished as regards building societies, though it still exists

the society may demand and receive its property, and has priority over other creditors for all sums of money due from him by virtue of his office (f).

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Society.

Sub-Sect. 2.—The Committee and the Treasurer or Trustees.

724. The society may elect any number of its members, such Powers of number to be declared in the rules, to be a committee, and may committee. delegate to such committee all or any of its powers. In the case of a committee acting for general purposes, its powers must be declared in the rules. When a committee is appointed for any particular purpose its powers must be reduced into writing and entered in a book by the secretary or clerk of the society, and a majority of its members must always concur in its acts. A committee must, in all things delegated to it, act for and in the name of the society; and all its acts within its powers have force and effect as the acts of the society. The transactions of a committee must be entered in a book belonging to the society, and are subject to the review, allowance or disallowance, and control of the society (q).

725. The society's power to lay out or invest surplus funds is Investments exercisable by the treasurer or trustee for the time being, with the in name of consent of the society testified in accordance with the rules. securities and investments so taken or made are to be in his name, and he has power, with the consent of the society, to alter, transfer, or sell the same. He must account for all dividends, interest, and proceeds arising from funds so laid out or invested (h).

726. All property of the society, real or personal, is vested in Vesting of the treasurer or trustee for the time being (i). Upon the death or property in removal of any treasurer or trustee all such property vests in the trustee for succeeding treasurer or trustee (k), or where there is a continuing time being. treasurer or trustee in him jointly with the succeeding treasurer or

in favour of friendly societies: see Re Barrell, Ex parte Bailey (1854), 5 De G. M. & G. 380, and Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 40 (4); Preferential Payments in Bankruptcy Act. 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 62), s. 2; and title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., pp. 215, 216. Re Williams (1887), 36 Ch. D. 573, appears to be a decision in favour of its survival in the case of the insolvent estate of a deceased officer being administered in the Chancery Division; but since Re Maggi (1882), 20 Ch. D. 545, the case on which the decision in Re Williams, supra, was based, has been disapproved in Re Whitaker, [1901] 1 Ch. 9, C. A., it is apprehended that Re Williams, supra, can on this point no longer be recarded as of any authority. can on this point no longer be regarded as of any authority.

(f) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 12. The section has been held to apply even though the officer at the time of his death had no money of the society in his hands because he had spent it all (Moors v. Marriott (1878), 7 Ch. D. 543); and the society will not lose its priority through not having used due diligence in examining the officer's accounts (ibid.). See further, as to this priority, titles EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS; FRIENDLY

Societies.

(g) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 12.
 (h) Ibid., s. 13.

(i) Ibid., s. 21.

⁽k) But see Dewhurst v. Clarkson (1854), 3 E. & B. 194, 217, as to the effect of an appointment not in accordance with the rules.

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Society.

trustee (l), without any assignment or conveyance except the transfer of stocks and securities in the public funds of Great Britain and Ireland (m). Such property for the purpose of legal proceedings is to be deemed to be the property of the person who is treasurer or trustee for the time being (n). He may in his own name as treasurer or trustee bring or defend any action concerning such property provided he has been authorised by the society or committee, and no action is to be discontinued by his death or removal, but may be continued by the succeeding treasurer or trustee in the name of the person commencing the same, with the same consequences as to costs as if it had been commenced in his name (0).

Transfer of property by person appointed by court.

727. The High Court may appoint a person to assure property vested in a trustee of a society when such trustee is out of the jurisdiction, or is idiot, lunatic, or of unsound mind, or when it is uncertain whether he is living or dead, or when he refuses to assure such property to the trustee duly nominated in his stead; or may order a transfer of stock and payment of dividends when a trustee in whose name such stock is standing is absent or out of the jurisdiction, or is bankrupt, insolvent, or lunatic, or when it is uncertain whether he is living or dead (p).

Part VI.—Members.

SECT. 1.—Membership.

Number of members.

728. No restrictions are placed on the membership of building societies either by the Building Societies Act, 1836 (\hat{q}), or by the Building Societies Acts, 1874 to 1894 (r). Any three or more persons could up to 1874 have combined to form a building society under the Building Societies Act, 1836 (s), and may now establish an incorporated society (t).

Test of membership.

729. Neither for unincorporated societies nor for incorporated societies has the legislature formulated any definite test of member-It would seem that, in the case of unincorporated societies,

(l) Walker v. Giles (1848), 6 C. B. 662, per MAULE, J., at p. 692.

(m) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 21.

⁽n) Ibid., even though the rules provide for an assignment, and no assignment has in fact been made (Morrison v. Glover (1849), 4 Exch. 430). See also R. v. Redford (1869), 11 Cox, C. C. 367.

⁽o) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 21.

(p) Ibid., ss. 15—19. A petition presented under s. 15 should not be served on the trustee refusing to convey (Re Third Burnt-Tree Building Society, Exparte Armstrong (1848), 16 Sim. 296); and no petition under these sections can be presented after the dissolution of the society (Re Eclipse Mutual Benefit Association (1854), 23 L. J. (ch.) 280). It is believed, however, that this precedure is now seldow if ever employed recourse being usually had to the procedure is now seldom, if ever, employed, recourse being usually had to the provisions of the Trustee Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 53). See title Trustees.

⁽q) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32. (r) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42; 38 & 39 Vict. c. 9; 40 & 41 Vict. c. 63; 47 & 48 Vict. c. 41; 57 & 58 Vict. c. 47. (a) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32, s. 1.

⁽t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13. But the number of members must be at least three (ibid., s. 17).

it is competent for each society to prescribe by its rules what shall be considered primary, if not conclusive, evidence of membership, and such rules will be enforced by the court (a).

SECT. 1. Membership.

No formalities for joining a society are prescribed by any of the In unincorporated societies it would appear that the payment of a subscription to the society is sufficient to constitute anyone a member (b); and this seems true of incorporated societies also (c). But it depends on the rules of the society (d), which are binding on members, and on all persons claiming under them or under the rules(e).

For the purpose of liquidation, the question whether a person is or is not a member must be fixed as at the date of the liquidation and as if the society were a going concern; and when it has once been established that a person has been a member of the society in liquidation, it lies with him to show that he has ceased to be a member (f).

730. In the case of an incorporated society the rules must Cessation of provide when membership is to cease (g). Speaking generally, membership both of incorporated and unincorporated societies comes to an end upon the complete performance of the contract between the member and the society (h), upon the dissolution of the society (i), upon the termination of the society if a terminating society (k), upon the discharge of all liabilities in the winding up of a society, upon withdrawal (l), upon forfeiture (m), or upon death (n).

Although power to expel members is not expressly given in any Expulsion. of the Acts relating to building societies, it is impliedly given in

membership.

- (a) Such as signing the share-book (Dobinson v. Hawks (1848), 16 Sim. 407; Re St. George's Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Foote (1858), 6 W. R. 766); or being appointed a director (ibid.). It is probable that a similar view would be taken by the court in the case of incorporated societies. See Know v. Shepherd. (1860), 2 L. T. 351; Re Victoria Permanent Benefit Building, Investment, and Freehold Land Society, Empson's Case (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 597.
 - (b) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1. (c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13. (d) Re Bowling and Welby, [1895] 1 Ch. 663, C. A., per LINDLEY, L.J., at

pp. 669, 670.

(e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 21; Grimes v. Harrison

(1859), 26 Beav. 435, per ROMILLY, M.R., at p. 442; Re Bowling and Welby, supra.

(f) Irvine and Fullerton Property Investment and Building Society v. Cuthbertson (1905), 8 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1. See also Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Building Society, Ex parte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463.

(g) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 1.

h) That is, in the case of an unadvanced member, by his paying all his subscriptions, fines etc. and receiving his share of the funds; in the case of an advanced member, by paying all his subscriptions (if any), fines etc. and having a reconveyance or a receipt indorsed on his mortgage deed (Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Exparte Pullman, supra).

(i) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 26, incorporated in Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32.

(k) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 5, 32.

(1) Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203. As to withdrawal and the effect of winding up on withdrawing members, see pp, 358 et seq., post.

(m) See p. 362, post.

(n) As to the position of the representatives of deceased members, see Re Bowling and Welby, supra; Knox v. Shepherd, supra; and p. 350, post.

SECT. 1. Membership.

the case of unincorporated societies, by the provision that if a member shall have been expelled, and the arbitrators or justices shall order him to be reinstated, they may, in default of reinstatement, award him a reasonable sum of money (o). In the case of incorporated societies such a power can, it is conceived, be exercised if the rules of the society so provide.

Infants.

731. An infant may be admitted a member of an unincorporated society provided he obtain the consent of his parents, masters, or guardians (p), and will have the same powers, rights, and liabilities as an adult member. An infant may also be admitted into an incorporated society if the rules of the society do not prohibit such admission (q), and this, it seems, without the necessity of obtaining any consent. He can give all necessary acquittances, but during minority cannot vote or hold any office in the society (r).

Married women.

732. A married woman may be a member of a building society. All shares in any such society standing in the sole name of a married woman on January 1, 1883, or made to stand in the sole name of a married woman after that date, and the interest of a married woman in all shares in any such society so standing or made to stand in her name jointly with any person other than her husband, are to be deemed, until the contrary be shown, to be her separate property (s).

Certain persons who are not members.

733. Persons depositing money with a building society by way of loan (t), personal representatives of deceased members (a), and (for the purpose of winding up) past members (b), are not members; but the rules of a society may admit the personal representatives of deceased members to all the privileges of the deceased members whom they represent, and if they avail themselves of this right they will be liable to all the incidents of membership (c). trustee in bankruptcy of a member is not a member (d).

Corporations.

734. A corporation cannot be a member of an unincorporated society (e); but a corporation (at least if a limited liability com-

(o) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 8, incorporated in Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(p) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 32, incorporated in Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.
(q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 38.

 (\hat{r}) Ibid. An infant member also can consent to the dissolution of a society (Dennison v. Jeffs, [1896] 1 Ch. 611), but cannot execute a mortgage in favour of the society (Nottingham Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Thurstan, [1903]

A. C. 6). See, generally, title Infants.
(s) Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 75), ss. 6—8. As to investments with husband's money, see ibid., s. 10; and as to deciding questions of title between husband and wife, see ibid., s. 17, and title HUSBAND AND WIFE.

(t) Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434, C. A.

(a) Re Bowling and Welby, [1905] 1 Ch. 663, C. A.
(b) See pp. 396, 399, post.
(c) Knox v. Shepherd (1860), 2 L. T. 351. An executor is not entitled on behalf of his testator's estate to take shares in a building society (Thorne v. Thorne, [1893] 3 Ch. 196). As to his borrowing money from the society, see Cruikshank v. Duffin (1872), L. B. 13 Eq. 555; Thorne v. Thorne, supra; and p. 363, post.

(d) Re Bowling and Welby, supra.
(e) Dobinson v. Hawks (1848), 12 Jur. 1037; Bristol and Clifton Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Harbour (1886), 81 L. T. Jo. 171.

pany) can be a member of an incorporated society (f), as also can a society registered under the Industrial and Provident Societies Act, 1893 (q), unless the rules provide to the contrary.

SECT. 1. Membership.

Sect. 2.—Rights and Liabilities of Members.

735. Members in a permanent society are practically of two Classes of classes, "advanced" or "borrowing" and "unadvanced" or members. "investing." The former comprise those who have received their shares in advance, and the latter those who for the time being have not received their shares in advance but stand to receive the amount of those shares hereafter or ultimately and in the end (h).

An advanced member receives the present payment of a sum not Advanced exceeding the nominal value of his shares, and gives a mortgage to members. secure certain periodical and other payments to the society (i). In respect of such mortgage the member may sue and be sued as mortgagor (j). An advanced member may or may not (according to the rules of the particular society) be entitled to share in the profits and be liable for the losses; but he will not be liable for losses in the absence of provision in the rules to that effect (k), and is not made subject to such liability by reason only of his being entitled to share in profits (1).

An unadvanced member is one who, in consideration of paying his Unadvanced subscriptions (and all other moneys due under the rules) at specified times until the total value of his shares has been paid, has then a claim on the assets of the society for the amount of his shares, subject to any losses incurred by the society, but with a right to participate in any profits.

736. The status of the members of building societies in relation Status of to non-members is different according as the society is unincorporated or incorporated. If the former, the society has no existence apart from its members (m); if the latter, they are members of a corporation (n), and then, for instance, creditors must look to the society, and not to the individual members (o). But societies,

(1) Brownlie v. Russell, supra.

⁽f) Bristol and Clifton Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Harbour (1886), 81 L. T. Jo. 171.

⁽q) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 39, s. 38. See title Industrial, Provident and SIMILAR SOCIETIES.

⁽h) Tosh v. North British Building Society (1886), 11 App. Cas. 489, per Lord HERSCHELL, L.C., at p. 502. As to "withdrawing" members, see pp. 358

et seq.
(i) See p. 366, post. In the case of those societies called "Starr-Bowkett" (which have ceased to be established since the Act of 1894), the amount of each share is much smaller than the amount of the advance to which the member may become entitled in respect of it.

⁽j) See p. 388, post. (k) See Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235, per Lord Bramwell, at p. 260; Tosh v. North British Building Society, supra. Such a liability was imposed by the rules in Re Albion Mutual Permanent Benefit Building Society (1888), 43 Ch. D. 410, n.; Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society (1890), 43 Ch. D. 407.

⁽m) Re Kent Benefit Building Society (1861), 1 Drew. & Sm. 417.
(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 9.

⁽o) Re Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 470.

SECT. 2.
Rights and
Liabilities
of Members.

whether unincorporated or incorporated, are not joint stock companies, still less ordinary partnerships, but are societies of a special kind formed and regulated under Acts of Parliament for special purposes, and the relation of their members to non-members is controlled by those Acts (p).

Liability to outside creditors.

737. The result as regards liability to outside creditors is that in the case of an unincorporated society the individual members. whether advanced or unadvanced, are personally liable for all ordinary debts properly incurred by the directors or committee for the ordinary purposes of the society while they are members (q), but are not personally liable for money borrowed on deposit, and any rule purporting to impose such liability would be ultra vires (r). In the case of incorporated societies, creditors can only enforce payment against the society, and the liability of members to contribute to the funds of the society is in the case of unadvanced members limited to the amount already actually paid on their shares and any sums payable in respect of such shares which have become due and are in arrear at the time when the liability is to be enforced (s), and in the case of advanced members is limited to the amount payable in respect of their shares under any mortgage or other security or under the rules of the society (a).

Rights and liabilities inter se. 738. The rights and liabilities of members inter se, whether the society be unincorporated or incorporated, are regulated by the rules, which constitute a contract between the members (b). Furthermore, the contract is one which is not put an end to by the winding up of the society (c). Nor can members escape from the incidents of membership even when the incidents are such as were not anticipated at the time they joined (d). In cases for which the rules do not expressly provide (where, that is, the contract is not explicit) the rules are to be applied as nearly as possible (e).

'(q) Applying the doctrine of principal and agent (Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519, per Lord Blackburn, at pp. 546—548; Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352).

(a) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 14; Brownlie v. Russell, supra. See further, as to the liability of members on dissolution, pp. 393,

396, 399, post. (b) See p. 331, ante.

(c) Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33.

(d) London Provident Building Society v. Morgan, [1893] 2 Q. B. 266, per KENNEDY, J., at p. 272.

(e) Re Middlesbrough etc. Building Society (1889), 58 L. J. (CH.) 771.

⁽p) Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235, per Lord Selborne, L.C., at p. 248; Tosh v. North British Building Society (1886), 11 App. Cas. 489; Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12 App. Cas. 197; Irvine and Fullerton Property Investment and Building Society v. Cuthbertson (1905), 8 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1.

⁽r) Ibid.
(s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 14; Brownlie v. Russell, supra, per Lord Selborne, L.C., and Lord Watson, at pp. 250, 256. See a different view expressed by Cave, J., in Re Sheffield and South Yorkshire Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 470, and LINDLEY, L.J., in Sibun v. Pearce (1890), 44 Ch. D. 354, C. A., at p. 372.

739. Building societies have in certain circumstances special privileges in relation to the estates of deceased members. In Rights and unincorporated societies whenever the trustees of the society have made any payment to any person or persons who at the time of Members. such payment appear to the trustees to be entitled to the effects Privileges as of any deceased intestate member, such payment is valid as against regards any other person or persons claiming as next of kin (f); and if any deceased member die entitled to any sum not exceeding twenty pounds, the members. trustees or the treasurer, if satisfied that no will was made and that letters of administration will not be taken out, may pay such sum according to the rules of the society dealing with such cases, or if there be no such rules, then to the persons entitled to the effects of the deceased intestate, and this without administration (q).

Liabilities

There is a similar provision in the case of incorporated societies, save that the limit is fifty pounds, that the power extends to the property of deceased depositors as well as to that of deceased members, and that the society must receive satisfactory evidence of death and a statutory declaration that the member or the depositor died intestate, and that the person claiming is the person entitled under the Statutes of Distribution (h).

740. Special provisions are made in the case of both unincor- Punishment porated societies and of incorporated societies for the punish- of members ment of members who by false representation obtain possession of priating any money, securities, books, papers, or other effects of the society, property. or who, having them in their possession, wrongfully withhold or misapply them (i).

SECT. 3.—Meetings.

741. The conduct of building societies resides in the members in Meetings. meeting (k) assembled, or in officers and agents duly appointed at such meeting.

(f) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 23, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(g) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 24, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(h) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict c. 42), s. 29; Statutes of Distribution (22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 10, and 1 Jac. 2, c. 17, s. 7); see further, title DESCENT AND DISTRIBUTION. As to the special provision on a sale when the heir of a deceased member is an infant, see p. 369, post.

(i) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 25, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s 4; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 31. See pp. 338, 346, ante.

(k) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), and Building Societies Acts, 1874 to 1894, contemplate the holding by building societies of several types of meetings, of varying importance, and endowed with different powers. For example, the ordinary monthly or other meetings of a society are in general occupied only with the receipt of contributions and such like matters of routing. The annual meeting has to receive the receipt of the directors and of routine. The annual meeting has to receive the report of the directors and the statement of accounts, and to appoint the officers. Meetings for other purposes are special meetings. But the provisions are confused, and the Acts do not use uniform language in respect of these meetings, so that it is difficult to form a clear idea as to the effect of the legislation. Such terms as "special meeting" (which at any rate in some circumstances may be a "special general meeting" (Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494)), "general meeting," "general meeting specially called," "usual meeting," "annual meeting," and others,

Digitized by Google

SECT. 3. Meetings.

Powers where society unincorporated.

In unincorporated societies "members" may alter, amend, annul, or repeal rules, and may impose fines and forfeitures (1). General meetings may appoint committees (m), and may, on the observance of required formalities, dissolve the society (n). The "usual" meeting (o) may appoint officers (p).

A "general meeting specially called for the purpose" may make an application to the Registrar to issue a certificate incorporating the society under the Building Societies Act, 1874(q). Any unincorporated society which has become incorporated may alter or rescind any rule or make any additional rule at a "special meeting called for the purpose" (a).

Powers where society incorporated.

742. In the case of incorporated societies, a "meeting called for the purpose" may change the name of the society (b); a "general meeting specially called "may wind up the society (c); two or more societies may unite or one society may transfer its engagements to another by resolution passed at a general meeting of each society convened for the purpose (d); in certain circumstances the first general meeting of a society may appoint arbitrators, and at subsequent general meetings substitutes may be appointed in the place of arbitrators dying or refusing or neglecting to act (e); and if the rules of any society do not provide for changing the chief office of the society, a change can be made by "a general meeting specially called for the purpose "(f).

occur with great frequency. Not seldom different titles are used for meetings that appear to be identical. No doubt in many cases the difficulties are solved in the rules of the society; and the scope of the rules on this subject would not be confined to the subjects mentioned in the various Acts. "Meeting" implies a meeting of the members, whether qualified by the expression "general" or not. It should be noted that some things which in incorporated societies require a meeting of the members can in unincorporated societies be done by the general

committee of management, or by a committee appointed by a meeting of members.

(l) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1. The rules in the first instance are made by the "persons" forming the society, but, inasmuch as the society is not formed until the rules are confirmed (Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 7; Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 4, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 8), it cannot be said that these persons form a "meeting."

(m) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 12, incorporated in

(n) Friendly Societies Act, 1826 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(n) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56). s. 26, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1826 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4; Re Middlesbrough etc. Building Society (1889), 58 L. J. (ch.) 771; and see p. 397, post.

(c) That is, no doubt, a meeting held at short and regular intervals, such as

weekly.

(p) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 11, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.
(q) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 12. The section seems to mean that the power of making the application is reserved exclusively to "general meetings specially called for the purpose."

(a) As to the meaning of the term "meeting," see note (k), p. 353, ante. (b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 22; see p. 330, ante.

(c) Ibid., s. 32; and see p. 395, post.
(d) Ibid., s. 33; and see p. 391, post.
(e) Ibid., s. 34; and see p. 381, post.
(f) Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 2; and see p. 330, ante.

743. Unincorporated societies must state in their rules the place or places at which it is intended that the society shall hold its meetings. Such place or places, however, may be altered without Place of altering the rules on the giving of a prescribed notice, but only to meeting. another place or other places in the same county (g). Similarly, in incorporated societies the rules must set forth the place of meeting for the business of the society (h), and they must prescribe the manner of calling general and special meetings (i).

SECT. 3. Meetings.

744. Societies, whether incorporated (k) or unincorporated (l), must Presenting present an annual account to the annual or other general meeting. annual

745. The Registrar may (1) on the application of one-tenth of the Special meetmembers of an incorporated society or of one hundred members if ing called by there are more than one thousand, or (2) upon evidence being furnished by a statutory declaration of not less than three members of facts which in his opinion call for recourse to the judgment of a meeting, or (3) upon failure of a society to make or to correct or complete any return required by the Building Societies Acts, and in each case with the consent of the Secretary of State, call a special meeting of the society (m). The Registrar may determine the time and place of the meeting and the matters to be discussed, and the meeting will have all the powers of a meeting called under the rules and may appoint its own chairman (n).

Part VII.—Shares.

SECT. 1.—In General.

746. The fund which it is the object of building societies to Nature of form is divided into shares (o). Shares in building societies differ shares. in essence from shares in limited liability companies in that the latter constitute definite portions of the capital of the company, and are strictly limited in number, whereas the former represent no proportionate quota of the capital of the society, and are unlimited in number. There may be as many shares in a building society as people like to apply for (p).

(h) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16(1). But apparently this place must be the chief office (ibid.).

(i) Ibid., s. 16 (7). (k) Ibid., s. 40. (l) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 25 (1).

(m) Ibid., s. 5 (1) (5). (n) Ibid., s. 5 (4). Other regulations as to such meetings are set out in the

above section and in the Building Society Regulations, 1895, rr., 36—40.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13.

(p) Irvine and Fullerton Property Investment and Building Society v. Cuthbertson (1905), 8 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1.

⁽g) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 10, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4. As to notices, see Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 7.

SECT. 1. In General.

Restrictions as to shares in unincorporated societies.

Shares in unincorporated societies must not exceed in value one hundred and fifty pounds each, and the subscriptions must not exceed twenty shillings per month for each share (q), but a member may hold two or more shares exceeding in all the above-mentioned limit (r). Except on withdrawal, no member can receive any interest or dividend on his shares until the whole value has been realised (s).

There is no limitation on the value of shares in incorporated societies or the amount of the subscriptions (t). Shares to be paid up in full in one payment may be issued (u).

Kinds of shares.

747. The Building Societies Act, 1836 (w), makes no attempt to distinguish between shares of different kinds. The widest powers are given, subject only to the condition that the rules of the society shall state in what shares the funds of the society shall belong to the members (a); and although the Building Societies Act, 1874 (b), and the Building Societies Act, 1894 (c), for societies established after, or adopting new rules after, the passing of the Act of 1874, in terms mention different classes of shares, they in no way fetter the right of societies to determine which of these classes will be created, or prevent them from creating other In the case of incorporated societies the rules must indicate the various classes of shares that the society proposes to issue, and the terms on which they are to be issued, repaid and withdrawn (d). The question is always one of the rules; these are omnipotent and decisive. It follows from this that practically all the decisions in building society cases turn on the construction of particular rules.

Preferential shares.

In practice most societies limit themselves to creating advanced and unadvanced shares (e), but some have preferential shares also. These carry a guaranteed rate of interest, and the holders are not liable to contribute to losses or entitled to share in profits. The rights of the holders of such shares depend on the rules of the particular society, but usually such shares have a preference as to payment of capital, and any deficiency in a winding-up must be made up by the other members (f), and the liability of the preferential shareholders to outside creditors (g) would only arise after

⁽q) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1. (r) Morrison v. Glover (1849), 4 Exch. 430; but see Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494.

⁽e) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1. The value of a share will be realised when the instalments and accumulated interest reach the amount of the share (Auld v. Glasgow Working Men's Building Society (1887), 12

App. Cas. 197, per Lord HERSCHELL, at p. 200).

(t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 13, 16 (2), (4); Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 1 (b), (c), (d).

⁽u) Ibid.

⁽w) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32.

Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 3, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 4.

⁽b) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 16 (2), (4). (c) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 47, s. 1 (b), (c), (d)

⁽d) I bid. (e) See p. 351, ante. (f) Re Reliance Permanent Benefit Building Society (1892), 61 L. J. (CH.) 453. (g) See p. 352, ante.

the funds belonging to such other members have been exhausted. The right of preferential payment of capital sometimes depends on In General. notice of withdrawal having been given (h). In the case of newly incorporated societies or incorporated societies adopting new rules, the rules must state whether preference shares are to be issued, and must give a limit to such issue (i).

SECT. 1.

748. Shares in building societies may be bequeathed, as such, to Bequests etc. a charity (j). They will not pass by a bequest of "moneys" (k) of shares. unless there is a context to aid such a construction, and they cannot be the subject of donatio mortis causa (l).

749. Registration in the books of the society constitutes primâ Title to facie legal title to shares (m). A payment to the person legally, shares. though not equitably, entitled to shares, without notice of the equitable title, is good, even if some of the special provisions of the rules with regard to payment have not been complied with (n).

750. In the case of unincorporated societies all shares held jointly Joint holders. in any society existing at the commencement of the Building Societies Act, 1874, the rules of which do not prohibit joint holding, are to be deemed to be lawfully so held (o). In every incorporated society shares can be held jointly (p).

For the purpose of consenting to a dissolution of the society, joint holders are to be considered as one member, and must all sign the instrument of dissolution, though, if one of the joint holders be really acting as the agent of the others, he may sign for them provided that he does so in the proper way (q). A member may hold some shares jointly and others severally, and such a shareholder can indicate his consent to a dissolution in respect of all his shares by signing the instrument in one place only (r).

Sect. 2.—Transfer.

751. Shares in building societies are subject to transfer (s). Right to The motive of the transfer cannot be inquired into (t). transfer.

(k) Collins v. Collins (1871), 40 L. J. (cH.) 541. See title WILLS. (l) Re Weston, [1902] 1 Ch. 680. See title GIFTS.

(l) Re Weston, [1902] I Ch. 880. See title GIFE.
(m) Nolloth v. Simplified Permanent Benefit Building Society (1885), 53 L. T. 859.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 39.

(p) I bid.

(q) Dennison v. Jeffs, [1896] 1 Ch. 611. (r) Ibid.; Re Stranton Iron and Steel Co. (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 559; Pender v. Lushington (1877), 6 Ch. D. 70; Mojjatt v. Farquhar (1878), 7 Ch. D. 591. (s) See Allan v. Urquhart (1887), 25 Sc. L. R. 47, shares being a class of

property of which the right to transfer is a necessary incident.

(t) Pender v. Lushington, supra; Moffatt v. Farquhar, supra, both cases relating to limited companies, the principle of which, however, seems applicable.

⁽h) See Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519; Sixth West Kent Mutual Building Society v. Shove, (1895), reported, [1899] 2 Ch. 64, n.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 1 (d).

(j) Entwistle v. Davis (1867), 36 L. J. (Ch.) 825, holding that such shares did not fall within the provisions of the Charitable Uses Act, 1735 (9 Geo. 2, c. 36), now mostly repealed, but substantially re-enacted in the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 42), the question being whether the share is such that it might result to the owner as land or whether nothing can come to is such that it might result to the owner as land, or whether nothing can come to him but in the shape of money. See generally, title CHARITIES.

SECT. 2. Transfer.

Where rules regulating the method of transfer exist they must be strictly complied with (u).

Liquidation. Married women.

On the sale and transfer of shares after an order for compulsory winding-up the transferee is not entitled to be registered as owner without the sanction of the court (w).

Shares standing in the name of a married woman either solely or jointly with any person other than her husband can be transferred by her, so far as her interest is concerned, without the concurrence of her husband (a).

Advanced members.

Advanced shareholders may, without assigning their shares, transfer the property secured by mortgage subject to a covenant to pay all sums due in respect of the shares, and in such cases the effect of redemption may be to extinguish liability on the shares so far as the transferee is concerned, although liabilities in connection with them may continue as against the transferor (b).

Sect. 3.—Withdrawals.

Right of withdrawal.

752. Shares can be withdrawn before becoming "realised" (c), the withdrawing shareholder getting present payment of the amount of his subscriptions less any deductions under the rules, and foregoing any right to a share of the ultimate profits (d).

The rights of "withdrawing," of "matured," and of "withdrawn" members (e), both inter se and in relation to continuing members, are absolutely determined by the contract between them, that is, by the rules (f).

Necessity of notice.

Notice of withdrawal must in all cases be given, the period

(u) Allan v. Urguhart (1887), 25 Sc. L. R. 47. (w) Re Onward Building Society, [1891] 2 Q. B. 463, C. A.

(a) Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 75), s. 9.
(b) Priestley v. Hopwood (1864), 10 L. T. 646.
(c) By a "realised" share is meant one which has by due payment of all

necessary subscriptions become fully paid up. (d) The right to withdraw is not expressly given by statute, but depends on the rules of each society; but it is so far recognised in the Building Societies Acts that the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1, impliedly, and the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (4), and the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 1 (b), (c), expressly, make it obligatory on all societies under the Acts to state in their rules the terms on which shares can be withdrawn. A rule forbidding withdrawal will not, it is believed, be accepted by the Registrar.

(e) A member withdrawing passes through two stages: first, from the date the notice is given to that of its expiration; secondly, from the date of the expiration of the notice to that of payment. Such a member, therefore, occupies in

turn three different positions.

It will tend to clearness if a different title be used for each position, i.e., for a member to be called during the currency of the notice a "withdrawing" member, after the expiration of the notice and before payment a "matured" member, after payment a "withdrawn" member.

(f) Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33; Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Salthurn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203. Rules may be so framed as to give withdrawing members a charge on certain funds, thereby affecting the order of payment (Re Alliance Society (1885), 28 Ch. D. 559, C. A.; Botten v. City and Suburban Permanent Building Society (1895), 72 L. T. 375, C. A.). varying according to the rules. An informal notice will be sufficient if accepted by the society, although a special form be provided Notice is waived if the member subsequently by the rules (q). accepts a loan from the society on the footing of his continuing a member, even if the loan is ultra vires (h).

SECT. 3. Withdrawals.

753. A withdrawing member is not liable to make any Liability of further contribution after the date of his notice either while the withdrawing society continues or, in the case of an incorporated society, in a winding-up (i). But if before the notice expires the stoppage of the business of the society is recognised as inevitable, or the society is wound up, the notice has no effect, and gives no priority for payment over members who have given no notice (j).

754. For certain purposes matured members are still members. Position of Thus, they are subject to rules compelling disputes between matured members to be referred to arbitration (k), and they must be counted among the number of members when calculating the majority necessary to constitute a valid instrument of dissolution (l); and further they are liable to have the rules altered to their prejudice (m), and to have their notices postponed by rules made after the notices were given (n), but not so as to render the notices of Matured members are relatively to continuing no effect (o). members creditors of the society, and have priority in a windingup (p).

If the rules provide that members may withdraw "provided the Withdrawal funds permit," and there are no funds when a notice of withdrawal "provided matures, the member giving the notice acquires a right to receive payment when funds permit, and will have priority over non-withdrawing members in a winding-up (q).

⁽g) Re Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society (1883), 24 Ch. D. 421,

affirmed (not appealed against on this point) (1884) 10 App. Cas. 33.

(h) Re Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, Davis v. Norton, [1900] 2 Ch. 819.

⁽i) Sibun v. Pearce (1890), 44 Ch. D. 354, per LINDLEY, L.J., at p. 372.

(j) Re Ambition Investment Building Society, [1896] 1 Ch. 89; Re Sunderland 36th Universal Building Society (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 394.

(k) Wright v. Deeley (1866), 4 H. & C. 209; Mitchell v. Caledonian Property Investment Building Society (1886), 23 Sc. L. R. 651; Walker v. General Mutual Building Society (1887), 36 Ch. D. 777, C. A.; and this, too, even if incorporations to the property of withdraws, here matured (Davier v. Second has taken place after the notice of withdrawal has matured (Davies v. Second Chatham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 61 L. T. 680).

⁽¹⁾ Sibun v. Pearce, supra; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict.c. 42), s. 32 (3).

⁽m) Pepe v. City and Suburban Permanent Building Society, [1893] 2 Ch. 311. (n) R. v. Brabrook (1893), 69 L. T. 718.

⁽o) Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33, per Lord Selborne, L.C., at p. 36.

⁽p) Ibid.; Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203; Re Carrick (North British Building Society in Liquidation) (1885), 22 Sc. L. R. 833; Blair v. Broadfoot's Trustees (1890), 27 Sc. L. R. 859. But they are not creditors within the fraudulent preference provisions of the Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 164 (Re Gwawr-y-Gweithwyr Industrial and Provident Society, [1901] 2 K. B. 477).

(q) Walton v. Edge, supra, per Lord Selborne, L.C., at pp. 37, 38.

SECT. 3. Withdrawals.

Operation of notice.

755. Notice of withdrawal is self-operating (r), and at the expiration of the notice if all subscriptions, fines, and other liabilities to the society are paid, and the amount due under the rules paid to the member, the shares are withdrawn (s); and the withdrawn member ceases to be a member, and is under no liability on dissolution or winding-up (t).

Loss of right of withdrawal.

756. The right to withdraw is put an end to by a winding-up order, which acts as a compulsory withdrawal (u), by insolvency of the society, and by stoppage of business, or by a condition of things that renders stoppage of business inevitable (a). But a notice which has matured before any of those events is nevertheless effective (b).

Effect of winding-up order.

757. The rights of withdrawing, of matured, and even in some circumstances of withdrawn, members are liable as against the outside public to be modified by an order for winding-up, though the validity and force of the contract between them, that is of the rules, is not thereby impaired (c).

Priorities.

The rule as to priority of payment when a society is being wound up is as follows: outside creditors, including persons who have deposited moneys by way of loan (d), must be paid first; then realised (e) members and those matured members (f) whose notices had expired before the winding-up began; then withdrawing members and continuing members pari passu (g). The members of each class are entitled to be paid in full (h) before any payment is made to the classes behind them; priority between the members of each class depends on the rules of the society (i).

But this order of payment is subject to the qualification that whenever the rules contain (as they frequently do) a proviso giving precedence to the widows and children of deceased members, then widows and children (if otherwise entitled) will, as between creditors and contributories, always take precedence over all members, whether

(r) Re Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society (1889), 22

Q. B. D. 470, per CAVE, J., at p. 475.
(s) Ibid.; Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463.

(t) Ibid.
(u) Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235.

(a) Re Carrick (North British Building Society in Liquidation) (1885), 22 Sc. L. R. 833; Re Sunderland 36th Universal Building Society (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 394; Re Ambition Investment Building Society, [1896] 1 Ch. 89.

(b) Re Ambition Investment Building Society, supra, at p. 100.
(c) Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33.
(d) Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society (1885), 30 Ch. D. 43**à**.

(e) Re Norwich and Norfolk Provident Building Society, Ex parte Rackham (1876), 45 L. J. (ch.) 785.

(f) Walton v. Edge, supra; and this although between the giving of the notices and the winding-up there never were any funds for payment. See Barnard v. Tomson, [1894] 1 Ch. 374.

 (q) Re Ambition Investment Building Society, supra.
 (h) Re Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1900] 2 Ch. 81**9**.

(i) Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203.

matured or withdrawing, and that, too, whether the deceased members had or had not given notice of withdrawal (k). Executors of deceased members may take a like priority (l).

SECT. 3. Withdrawals.

A member who, having given notice of withdrawal, afterwards accepts from the society a loan upon terms whereby he still continues to receive interest upon his share, but pays interest upon his advance, cannot in the winding-up set off the amount of his share against the unpaid balance of the loan (m).

Advance to withdrawing member.

758. Interest is payable by the society in case of withdrawal if Right to the rules so provide (n), compound interest being recoverable equally with simple interest (o); and if by the rules the day for payment of the sum payable on withdrawal be fixed, it would seem that there would be a sum certain payable by virtue of some written instrument at a certain time so as to entitle the member to interest (p). But if the payment depend on a condition, such as the determination by the directors or the committee of the rate, or the availability of funds, payment cannot be enforced unless and until the condition be fulfilled (q). Interest, if payable, may in the case of withdrawals between half-yearly dates of payment be recovered for the period between the date of payment last past and the day of withdrawal (r).

SECT. 4.—Fines and Forfeitures.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Fines.

759. Unincorporated building societies have express powers to Right to impose reasonable fines, penalties, and forfeitures on members who offend against the rules (s). No similar express power is given to incorporated societies, but the power is implied in the provision that societies shall make rules as to the fines and forfeitures to be imposed on the members (t). Rules as to fines cannot be arbitrarily dispensed with (a).

(1) Re Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1900] 2 Ch. 819.

(m) Ibid.

(n) Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203.

(o) Re Doncaster Permanent Benefit Building and Investment Society (1866), 14 L. T. 13.

(p) Civil Procedure Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42), s. 28; Re Blackburn and District Building Society, [1886] W. N. 22, C. A.

(q) Re Blackburn and District Building Society, supra; Re Sunderland 36th Universal Building Society (1890), 24 Q. B. D. 394.

(r) Perrutt v. London Scottish Permanent Benefit Building Society (1888), 59 L. T. 31.

(s) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 1.
(t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (13). The most usual object with which fines are imposed is as a punishment for non-payment of subscriptions or other dues.

(a) Compare Re Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, [1901] 1 Ch. 102, per WRIGHT, J., at p. 110.

⁽k) Thompson v. Atlas Permanent Building Society (1894), 97 L. T. Jo. 218; Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society (1898), 78 L. T. 393. See Barnard v. Tomson, [1894] 1 Ch. 374, 392.

SECT. 4. Fines and Forfeitures.

The liability to be fined is in some cases, as, for instance, in that of executors or administrators of deceased members, a test of membership (b).

Nature of fines.

760. Fines are not penalties (c), nor are they interest by way of a penalty (d). In the case of unincorporated societies (e), fines that are unreasonable, such as those that are cumulative in arithmetical progression (f), cannot be enforced (g); but if possible the rules will be so construed as to make the fines reasonable (h), and if reasonable they will be enforced (i).

Interest on fines.

Fines for

No interest is chargeable on fines unless the rules otherwise provide (k); but fines secured by covenant in a mortgage form part of the principal in foreclosure, and are payable with interest (l).

Fines for non-payment of fines may be recovered, if the rules so provide (m).

non-payment of fines. Fines not

If the rules as to fines be not duly enforced, they cannot, as in liquidation proceedings, be revived against those members who have been allowed to escape their effect (n).

enforced. Fines not entered up.

The fact that fines are not entered up against a member by the proper official does not absolve the member from liability (o).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Forfeiture.

Effect of forfeiture.

761. Forfeiture usually takes place on non-payment for a definite period of subscriptions and fines, when the sums already paid by the defaulting member become forfeited to the society (p). Rules as to forfeiture of shares (q) will be enforced by the court, if reasonable (r). The forfeiture will, as a rule, take effect, not ipso facto on default, but at the option of the directors (s). But although the forfeiture is binding so far as the shares are concerned, the shareholder is

(e) Presumably the same would be held true of incorporated societies, but the Building Societies Acts, 1874 and 1894 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42; 57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), contain no provision similar to that in s. 1 of the Building Societies Act,

1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32).

(f) Re Tierney (1874), I. R. 9 Eq. 1. As to what fines are reasonable, see Parker v. Butcher, supra; Pilkington v. Baker, supra.

(g) Lovejoy v. Mulkern (1877), 46 L. J. (CH.) 630; Re Middlesbrough Building Society (1884), 54 L. J. (CH.) 592.

(h) Lovejoy v. Mulkern, supra. See Re Tierney, supra.

(i) Ibid.

(k) Parker v. Butcher, supra; Ingoldby v. Riley (1873), 28 L. T. 55.

(l) Provident Permanent Building Society v. Greenhill (1878), 9 Ch. D. 122; Re

Knight, Ex parte Voisey (1882), 21 Ch. D. 442, O. A.

(m) Re Middlesbrough Building Society, supra.

(n) North British Building Society v. M'Lellan (1887), 14 R. (Ct. of Sess.) 827.

(o) Handley v. Farmer (1861), 29 Beav. 362.

(p) Irvine and Fullerton Property Investment and Building Society v. Cuthbertson (1905), 8 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 1.

(a) For the statutory provisions as to forfeiture, see p. 361, ante.
(b) Card v. Carr (1856), 1 C. B. (n. s.) 197.
(c) Moore v. Rawlins (1859), 6 C. B. (n. s.) 289, per Byles, J., at p. 310;
R. v. D'Eyncourt (1864), 4 B. & S. 820, per Blackburn, J., at p. 835. Compare
Bigg's Case (1865), L. R. 1 Eq. 309 (a company case). See, however, Irvine and
Exploration Property Investment and Publish Science. Fullerton Property Investment and Building Society v. Cuthbertson, supra.



⁽b) Knox v. Shepherd (1860), 2 L. T. 351. (c) Pilkington v. Baker, [1877] W. N. 210. (d) Parker v. Butcher (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 762.

not therefore absolved from all relation to the society. He may, for instance, still be liable to be placed on the list of contributories in a winding-up (t).

SECT. 4. Fines and Forfeitures.

Part VIII.—Advances.

Sect. 1.—In General.

762. The general law of mortgages applies to mortgages taken Application by building societies as a security for advances made to members (a). of general The same law also applies to the questions which arise incidental law. to such mortgages, such as the presumption that a tenant for life who pays off the amount secured by a mortgage intends to keep the charge alive for his own benefit (b), and the postponment (c) of a legal mortgage to a subsequent equitable security on the ground of negligence in the custody of the title-deeds (d).

763. Although an infant is capable of being a member of a Advances to building society (e), he cannot execute a valid mortgage to secure infant. sums advanced to him by the society (f); but if the advance has in fact been used to complete a purchase by the infant, the society will be entitled to stand in the shoes of the vendor and to enforce the vendor's lien (q).

764. An executor may in his representative capacity give a valid Mortgage by mortgage to secure sums advanced to him by a building society for executor. the purposes of the estate (h). If, to obtain the advance, the executor becomes a member of the society and renders himself liable to further payments, the liability for these will be merely personal although they are expressed to be secured by the mortgage (i).

765. A society established after August 25, 1894, may not cause Advances by or permit applicants for advances to ballot for precedence, or in ballot.

(t) Re St. George's Benefit Building Society (1858), 6 W. R. 766.

MORTGAGE.

(c) See Northern Counties of England Fire Insurance Co. v. Whipp (1884), 26

Ch. D. 482, C. A.; and title MORTGAGE.

(d) Garside v. Liverpool Railway Permanent Benefit Building Society (1897), 13

T. L. R. 189, C. A., where the solicitor of the society obtained possession of and dealt with the title-deeds of property he had mortgaged to the society.

(e) Building Societies Act, 1874, s. 38; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4,

(f) Nottingham Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Thurstan, [1903] A. C. 6. (g) Ibid.

(h) Cruikshank v. Duffin (1872), L. R. 13 Eq. 555; Thorne v. Thorne, [1893] 3

(i) Thorne v. Thorne, supra.

⁽a) Provident Permanent Building Society v. Greenhill (1878), 9 Ch. D. 122; Bell v. London and South Western Bank, [1874] W. N. 10. For the general law of mortgages, see title MORTGAGE. For forms of mortgages to building societies, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 43 et seq.

(b) Re Harvey, [1896] 1 Ch. 137, C. A. See, as to this presumption, title

SECT. 1. any way make the granting of an advance depend on chance or In General. lot(k).

Transfer of mortgage.

766. A mortgage in favour of a building society can be transferred with the consent of the mortgagor (l).

Annual value for county vote.

767. In ascertaining whether the annual value of the estate of an advanced member of a building society, who has mortgaged his estate to the society, is such as to entitle him to be placed on the register of county voters, no deduction is to be made for such part of the annual payments under the mortgage as represents principal (m).

SECT. 2.—Security on which Advances may be made.

Freehold, copyhold, or leasehold property.

768. The nature of the security which may be accepted by building societies is shown by their object, which is to make advances to members out of the funds of the society upon the security of freehold, copyhold, or leasehold estate (n). But the addition of collateral personal security will not invalidate an otherwise good advance, though the propriety of the advance must be tested as if no such ingredient entered into it (o).

Advance on other property. First mortgages only.

An advance on the security of any other description of property, e.g., the shares of a member, is beyond the powers of a society (p).

No advance may be made by an incorporated society on land subject to a prior mortgage unless such prior mortgage is in favour of the society making the advance (q). This prohibition seems to extend to any device by which a first mortgage is turned into a second mortgage (r).

Under Land Transfer Acts.

769. Land registered under the Land Transfer Acts, 1875 and 1897 (s), may be charged by the registered proprietor in favour of a

(k) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 12. As to altering the rules when they provide that advances may be balloted for, see p. 334, ante.
(l) Re Runney and Smith, [1897] 2 Ch. 351, C. A., per Lindley, L.J., at p. 359.
It has not yet been decided whether such a mortgage can be transferred without such consent, but the better opinion appears to be that such a transfer would be invalid; see ibid.; Ulster Building Society v. Glenton (1888), 21 L. R. Ir. 124. As to the effect of amalgamations of societies or the transfer of the

engagements of one society to another, see p. 391, post.

(m) Rolleston v. Cope (1871), L. B. 6 C. P. 292; Robinson v. Dunkley (1863), 15 C. B. (N. s.) 478. See Copland v. Bartlett (1848), 6 C. B. 18; Beamish v. Stoke (Overseers) (1851), 11 C. B. 29. As to county voters, see title Elections.

(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13; Cullerne v. London

and Suburban General Permanent Building Society (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 485, C. A.,

per LINDLEY, L.J., at p. 488. See p. 323, ante.

(o) Shefield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society v. Aizlewood

(1889), 44 Ch. D. 412.

(p) Cullerne v. London and Suburban General Permanent Building Society, supra. (\bar{q}) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 13 (1). This prohibition does not apply to an unincorporated society nor to any society in Scotland or Ireland which was on August 25, 1894, authorised by the rules to make advances on second mortgage (ibid., s. 13 (2)).

(r) Portsea Island Building Society v. Barclay, [1895] 2 Ch. 298, C. A., decided

on the effect of a rule against advances on second mortgage, where the society

postponed their first charge to that of another mortgagee.

(s) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87; 60 & 61 Vict. c. 65. As to these Acts generally, see title REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL.

SECT. 2.

Security on

which Advances

may be

made.

charge to

building society by means of a mortgage made in pursuance of or consistent with the rules of the society, and the mortgage will be deemed to be a charge made in the prescribed manner and will

be registered accordingly (t).

In order to enable the society to retain possession of the mortgage of registered land for the purpose of indorsing thereon the statutory receipt on discharge, the original mortgage will, if they wish, be Delivery of delivered to them after registration upon their delivering at the instrument of registry a copy verified by the signature of the secretary, which society. copy will be admissible in evidence without the production of the original (a). The copy need not be stamped, but will be filed at the registry (b).

The original mortgage when delivered to the society will be Cancellation indorsed with a certificate of registration and thenceforth treated on payment as the certificate of charge, and must be indorsed from time to time with notes of the various dealings, and on discharge of the security will be delivered up, cancelled, and retained in the Land Registry (c).

770. Where a mortgage to an incorporated society includes copy- Mortgage of holds, the society may require the lord of the manor of which the copyholds. copyholds are held to admit such persons, not more than three in number, as the society appoints to be trustees on its behalf as tenants in respect of such copyholds, on payment of the usual fines, fees, and other dues payable on the admission of a single tenant, or the lord may admit the society as tenant on payment of such special fine, or compensation in lieu of fine, and fees as may be agreed upon (d).

Sect. 3.—Construction and Effect of Mortgages.

771. A provision in a mortgage that, on default being made of Implied payment of an instalment, the whole sum secured shall immediately covenant to become payable, implies a covenant to pay accordingly upon which an action may be brought (e).

A covenant in a mortgage to observe the rules of the society in Covenant to effect incorporates in the mortgage the material provisions of the observe rules. rules, and these provisions will bind a subsequent mortgagee having notice of the covenant (f).

If the rules of a society make provisions for the payment of Set-off of subscriptions by advanced members, the society may apply the subscriptions subscriptions towards the discharge of the amount owing on the mortgage (g). If in such a case the member dies before the moneys

(c) Ibid., r. 122.

(g) Moxon v. Berkeley Mutual Benefit Building Society (1890), 59 L. J. (CH.)



⁽t) Land Transfer Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 65), s. 9 (3).

⁽a) Land Transfer Rules, 1903, r. 121.

⁽b) Ibid.

⁽d) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 28.
(e) Sherriff v. Glenton (1873), 28 L. T. 65. See further, as to such a provision, Wallingford v. Mutual Society (1880), 5 App. Cas. 685; Keene v. Biscoe (1878), 8 Ch. D. 201; Cordingley v. Alliance Society, [1887] W. N. 220; and title MORTGAGE.

⁽f) Andrews v. City Permanent Benefit Building Society (1881), 44 L. T. 641, where the rules gave the society the right to consolidate. As to the effect of a subsequent alteration of rules upon the rights of the mortgagor, see p. 334, ante.

SECT. 3. Construction and Effect of Mortgages. secured by the mortgage are fully paid, and the mortgage is paid off without recourse to the amount paid as subscriptions, this amount must be paid to his legal personal representatives, and they are the only persons who can give a valid discharge to the society (h).

Where the rules of a society stipulate that an applicant for an advance shall make certain weekly payments until the advance is made, and after the execution of the mortgage shall repay the advance by certain quarterly instalments, any advanced member is entitled to set off sums paid in respect of the weekly payments against the instalments first becoming payable (i).

SECT. 4.—Redemption.

How far conditions for redemption to be specified in rules.

772. Any society established after August 25, 1894, or which substitutes a new set of rules for its existing rules after that date, must set forth the conditions upon which a borrower can redeem the amount due from him before the expiration of the period for which the advance was made, with tables showing the amount due from the borrower after each stipulated payment, unless the Registrar is of opinion that such tables are not applicable (k).

Difference between terminating and permanent society.

773. A fundamental difference exists in most cases between a mortgage to a terminating society and one to a permanent society. The former generally secures the payment by the member to whom the advance is made of the future subscriptions payable on the shares in respect of which the advance is made (the number of such subscriptions being usually not easily ascertainable), together with all fines and other moneys payable by the member to the society under the rules. A mortgage to a permanent society generally secures the repayment of the sum advanced with interest and possibly a premium by a definite number of instalments in accordance with tables in the rules, and also any fines or other moneys which may become payable by the member to the society under the rules during the continuance of the society (1). The result as regards redemption is that, while there is generally little difficulty in ascertaining the amount payable on redeeming a mortgage to a permanent society, it is often not easy to determine the amount payable on redeeming a mortgage to a terminating society, though sometimes in this case also the number of instalments is fixed (m).

(1) See Davidson, Precedents and Forms in Conveyancing, 4th ed., Vol. II.. Part II., p. 704.

(m) See Parker v. Butcher (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 762; Sparrow v. Farmer (1859), 26 Beav. 511. For the distinction between terminating and permanent societies, see pp. 325, 326, ante.

^{524,} the effect being practically to give the society a charge on the amount paid

as subscriptions, and pro tanto to increase the margin on the mortgage.

(h) Re Burton (1897), 13 T. L. R. 275.

(i) Inglis v. Cave, [1869] W. N. 131. For another case of construction, but one which decides no question of principle, see Weekes v. South London Equitable Building Society (1897), 13 T. L. R. 291.

(k) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 1 (e). Before 1874,

though the rules of building societies generally made provision for the redemption of mortgages, the amount payable upon redemption was often not clearly to be ascertained from such rules.

774. In the case of an ordinary terminating society the mortgage of an advanced member is only redeemable on payment of the amount of all moneys which would become due under the rules during the period during which the society continues. In some cases the period taken for this purpose is the probable duration of the of mortgage to society (n), in others its longest possible duration (o). Where the rules dealing with redemption permit it on payment of subscriptions for a fixed term of years, an advanced member is entitled to redeem in accordance with those rules, although on the construction of the rules as a whole he may be personally liable for further subscriptions (p).

SECT. 4. Redemption.

Redemption terminating society.

In general redemption will only be permitted in accordance with Control of the terms of the contract of membership and security as contained right to rein the rules of the society and the mortgage deed. Thus, a member may not be entitled to redeem upon payment of the amount by which the sum advanced to him exceeds the subscriptions which he has paid and the proportion of profits to which he is entitled, but only upon the terms of paying all future subscriptions without any deduction by way of discount (q). Where the construction of the rule is clear, an advanced member redeeming may be entitled to the same proportion of profits as an unadvanced member would have been entitled to on withdrawing, notwithstanding that taking an account on this footing will occasion inconvenience or even absurdity (r). Similarly, an advanced member redeeming may be entitled to a bonus according to the agreement, although it has been declared by mistake on too high a scale (s). As a question of construction, an advanced member may be allowed to set off redemption moneys paid by him although redemption moneys are not expressly mentioned in the rule (t); and the combined effect of the prospectus and rules of a society may entitle the society to add a premium to the actual sum advanced and to charge interest on the combined amount and demand payment of the whole from an advanced member seeking to redeem (a).

775. A member must on redemption pay all fines which have Payment of been stipulated for (b), but without interest thereon (b), unless there fines etc. is express provision to that effect (c). If a mortgage purports to secure all subscriptions and other moneys becoming due from the mortgagor to the society, the words "other moneys" must be read

(o) Fleming v. Self (1854), 3 De G. M. & G. 997.

⁽n) Mosley v. Baker (1849), 1 H. & Tw. 301; Seagrave v. Pope (1852), 1 De G. M. & G. 783.

p) Sparrow v. Farmer, (1859), 26 Beav. 511. See also Handley v. Farmer (1861), 29 Beav. 362.

⁽q) Mosley v. Baker, supra; Seagrave v. Pope, supra. (r) Fleming v. Self, supra. (s) Archer v. Harrison (1857), 7 De G. M. & G. 404. (t) Smith v. Pilkington (1859), 1 De G. F. & J. 120.

⁽a) Harvey v. Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society (1884), 26 Ch. D. 273, C. A.

⁽b) Parker v. Butcher (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 762.
(c) Provident Permanent Building Society v. Greenhill (1878), 9 Ch. D. 122.

SECT. 4. Redemption.

as ejusdem generis with the preceding words, and will, therefore, not include moneys due from a member in his character as secretary (d).

Contribution by redeeming member to losses.

776. Where there is a special contract to that effect, advanced members must on redemption pay their proper proportion of losses sustained by the society (e). But such liability can only be enforced in the manner prescribed by the contract and the rules (f). In the absence of a special contract, an advanced member who has discharged all the payments due in respect of his security is entitled to be released without contributing to losses sustained after the date of the advance (g). When an advanced member has paid all that is due under the rules and the terms of his mortgage and has had the statutory receipt indorsed on his deed he ceases to be a member of the society, and is not liable for any losses (h).

Effect of winding-up on right to redeem.

777. The right of an advanced member to redeem on payment of the sums for which he is liable under the mortgage and rules continues notwithstanding that the society is being wound up (i), but an advanced member of an unincorporated society in such circumstances must in addition pay the amount of any call made upon him for the purpose of satisfying the claims of outside creditors and costs of winding-up (j).

SECT. 5.—Enforcement of Mortgage.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Sale.

Society not trustee as regards power of sale.

778. A society is not a trustee of a power of sale contained in a mortgage. If the society exercises the power bonû fide for the purpose of realising the debt, without corruption or collusion with the purchaser, the court will not interfere even although the sale be very disadvantageous (k). But on a sale in exercise of the power the property cannot be sold to the secretary, solicitor, or other

(d) Bailes v. Sunderland Equitable Building Society, [1886] W. N. 191. (e) Re Albion Mutual Permanent Benefit Building Society (1888), 43 Ch. D. 410, n.; Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society (1890), 43 Ch. D. 407. As to such a liability being imposed by rules made subsequently to the mortgage, see p. 334, ante.

(f) Durham and Northumberland Working Men's Permanent Building Society v. Davidson (1892), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 473, C. A., where on the rules the liability was held enforceable by deductions from the amount standing to the credit of the member, not by surcharge.

(g) Buckle v. Lordonny (1887), 56 L. J. (CH.) 437. The increase of the liabilities of an advanced member by an alteration of the rules has already been dealt with at p. 334, ante.

(h) Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463.

(i) Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235; Tosh v. North British Building Society (1886), 11 App. Cas. 489; Re Doncaster Permanent Building Society (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 158; Re Middlesbrough etc. Building Society (1889), 58 L. J. (CH.)

(j) Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352.

(k) Warner v. Jacob (1882), 20 Ch. D. 220. As to a mortgagee's power of sale generally, see title MORTGAGE.

agent of the society acting in the matter of the sale. Any attempt

at such a sale will be set aside as invalid (1).

SECT. 5. Enforcement of Mortgage.

Transfer of equity of redemption.

A power of sale in a mortgage executed in pursuance of an agreement to that effect contained in a memorandum accompanying a deposit of title-deeds with a building society to secure an advance will not be invalidated by the fact that between the respective dates of the deposit and the mortgage the property has been sold and conveyed to a purchaser subject to the charge created by the deposit. On an exercise of the power of sale by the society the person to whom the property has been conveyed will hold the legal estate as a trustee for the purchaser from the society (m).

A power in a mortgage for the trustees or trustee for the time Rights of being of the society to sell in case of default cannot be exercised by an individual to whom the mortgage has been transferred by the society (n).

transferee of mortgage.

779. The amount which a society is entitled to retain out of the Application of proceeds of a sale will depend on the terms of the contract con-proceeds of tained in the mortgage and the rules (o). A building society having notice of a subsequent equitable mortgage and selling or joining with the mortgagor in a sale will be responsible to the equitable mortgagee for the surplus proceeds of sale (p).

If a member who has executed a mortgage to a society die intestate leaving an infant heir or co-heiress, the society may, after selling the mortgaged premises, pay to the administrator or administratrix of the deceased member any proceeds of sale, not exceeding £150 in amount, which may remain in the hands of the society after paying the amount due to the society and the costs and expenses of the sale. The sum so paid is to be considered personal estate, and liable to duty accordingly (q).

The purchaser of property sold under a power of sale is some- How far purtimes required to become a member and is liable accordingly (r).

chaser liable as member.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Foreclosure.

780. A building society is, like other mortgagees, entitled Foreclosure. to a decree for foreclosure (s), unless the mortgage is in the

(p) West London Commercial Bank v. Reliance Permanent Building Society (1885), 29 Ch. D. 954, C. A., decided on general principles.

⁽l) Martinson v. Clowes (1882), 21 Ch. D. 857.

⁽m) Leigh v. Lloyd (1865), 2 De G. J. & S. 330. (n) Re Rumney and Smith, [1897] 2 Ch. 351, C. A. (o) Matterson v. Elderfield (1869), 4 Ch. App. 207, where on the express terms of the rules the portions of future instalments attributable to interest were retained; Re Goldsmith, Ex parte Osborne (1874), 10 Ch. App. 41, where, in the absence of express provision, the interest portion of future instalments was not allowed to be retained; Re O'Donohoe (1876), I. R. 10 Eq. 221, where on the express terms discount was allowed on future instalments.

⁽q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 30.
(r) Handley v. Farmer (1861), 29 Beav. 362.
(s) Provident Permanent Building Society v. Greenhill (1878), 9 Ch. D. 122; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13. The form of decree will usually be the same as in the case of an ordinary mortgage (Bell v. London and South Western Bank, [1874] W. N. 10). In some cases reference has been

870

SECT. 5. Enforcement of Mortgage. form of a trust for sale, in which case the proper remedy is a sale (t).

Where the sum actually advanced is less than £500, although the mortgage is expressed to secure a larger amount, the proceedings may be taken in the county court (u).

Costs.

A society is entitled to add the costs of foreclosure proceedings to its security (w), unless its conduct has been unreasonable or improper, in which case it may be deprived of its costs and may also be charged with interest on the balance (if any) in its hands (a).

Sale of foreclosed land.

Land acquired by foreclosure or surrender or other extinguishment of the right of redemption must be sold as soon as conveniently practicable (b).

SUB-SECT. 3.—Proof in Bankruptcy.

Future instalments.

781. If an advanced member become bankrupt, and the society prove in the bankruptcy for the amount owing, the proof may properly include the value of such parts of the future instalments as represent interest, but a rebate must be deducted from such parts of the future instalments as represent principal (c). A premium is in the nature of capital (d).

Sect 6.—Discharge of Mortgage.

Reconveyance.

782. When the moneys secured by a mortgage or further charge to an incorporated society have been paid and discharged, the society may execute a reconveyance of the mortgaged property to the then owner of the equity of redemption, or to such persons and to such uses as he may direct (e). An unincorporated society likewise may, on payment off of the mortgage, execute a reconveyance (f).

Statutory receipt.

783. In the case of both incorporated (g) and unincorporated (h)societies an alternative mode of revesting the property is provided by statute. The society may, if incorporated, indorse on or annex to, and, if unincorporated, indorse on, the mortgage or further

made to the rules (Ingoldby v. Riley, [1873] W. N. 38; Boney v. Charter, [1887] See also for a special form Seton, Forms of Judgments and Orders, 6th ed. 2124. As to foreclosure generally, see title MORTGAGE.

(t) Schweitzer v. Mayhew (1862), 31 Beav. 37.

(u) Shields, Whitley, and District Amalgamated Model Building Society ▼. Richards, [1901] W. N. 106. See title County Courts.

(w) Cotterell ▼. Stratton (1872), 8 Ch. App. 295.

(a) Compare Durham and Northumberland Working Men's Permanent Building

(a) Compare Durham and Northmeriana Working Men's Permanent Building Society v. Davidson (1892), 61 L. J. (Q. B.) 473, C. A.

(b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 13.

(c) Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), ss. 37, 40, and Sched. II., r. 21; Re Browne & Wingrove, Ex parte Ador, [1891] 2 Q. B. 574, C. A. Re Phillips, Ex parte Bath (1882), 22 Ch. D. 450, C. A., which was a building society case, is no longer law on this point. See title Bankruptcy and Insolvency, Vol. II., p. 233.

p. 255.

(d) Re Phillips, Ex parte Bath (1884), 27 Ch. D. 509, C. A.

(e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42. The reconveyance cannot properly be made to anyone else (Fourth City Mutual Benefit Building Society v. Williams (1879), 14 Ch. D. 140, per JESSEL, M.R., at p. 146).

(f) Re Page (No. 2) (1863), 32 Beav. 485. Compare Carlisle Banking Co. v. Thompson (1885), 28 Ch. D. 398, a friendly society case.

(g) Building Societies Act. 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42) = 42

(g) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42. (h) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 5. charge a receipt; and such receipt vacates the mortgage or further charge, and vests the property comprised in the security in the Discharge of person for the time being entitled to the equity of redemption.

SECT. 6. Mortgage.

If the society is unincorporated, the receipt must be in the form specified in the rules of the society and signed by the trustees (i); and if incorporated, it must be in the form specified in the schedule to the Building Societies Act, 1874, executed under the seal of the society, and countersigned by the secretary or manager (j).

Form of

A statutory receipt may be delivered as an escrow (k).

784. A statutory receipt, whether the society is incorporated (1) Vesting of or unincorporated (m), operates to convey the legal estate to the person by statutory who has the best right to call for it. If there are subsequent receipt. incumbrances, this will in most cases be the next incumbrancer in point of time, but this is not necessarily so. The legal estate will vest in a person who, without notice of an existing second mortgage, pays off the building society and receives the title-deeds with a statutory receipt indorsed on the mortgage (n).

The legal estate vests in the person to whom it passes for all purposes. Accordingly, if in the case last mentioned the person who pays off the building society makes a further advance to the mortgagor on the security of the property comprised in the mortgage without notice of the second mortgage, he will be entitled to tack; and the further advance will be payable in priority to the second mortgage, which was prior in point of time (o).

A statutory receipt is a final discharge, and after it has been given no claim can be made by the society in respect of any sum secured by the mortgage, even though the receipt was given under a mistake (p).

785. If a mortgage or further charge in favour of an incor- Discharge of porated society has been registered under any Act for the registered registration or record of deeds or titles (q), the registrar, or his

(i) Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), s. 5. (j) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42.

(k) Lloyds Bank, Ltd. v. Bullock, [1896] 2 Ch. 192, where the mortgage with indorsed receipt was handed to the solicitor of the society to be delivered on payment off, and he, without receiving payment, dealt fraudulently with the property, without, however, thereby affecting the title of the society.

(1) Fourth City Mutual Benefit Building Society v. Williams (1879), 14 Ch. D. 140; Sangster v. Cochrane (1884), 28 Ch. D. 298.

(m) Pease v. Jackson (1868), 3 Ch. App. 576; Lawrence v. Clements (1874), 31 L. T. 670; Robinson v. Trevor (1883), 12 Q. B. D. 423; Hosking v. Smith (1888),

13 App. Cas. 582. (n) Crosbie-Hill \forall . Sayer, [1908] 1 Ch. 866; and cases cited in notes (l) and (m), supra.

(o) Hosking v. Smith (1888), 13 App. Cas. 582. As to tacking, see title MORTGAGE.

(p) Harvey v. Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society (1884), 26 Ch. D. 273, C. A., overruling Farmer v. Smith (1859), 4 H. & N. 196; London and County United Building Society v. Angell (1896), 65 L. J. (Q. B.) 194. These two cases were decided in connection with incorporated societies, but as the provisions in the Acts of 1836 and 1874 are alike, it may be assumed that the law is the same in the case of an unincorporated society. See also Priestley v. Hopwood (1864), 10 L. T. 646.

(4) For registration of deeds and titles generally, see title REAL PROPERTY

AND CHATTELS REAL.

SECT. 6. Mortgage.

deputy or assistant, or the recording officer, as the case may be. Discharge of must, on production of the statutory receipt verified by oath. make an entry opposite the entry of the mortgage or charge to the effect that the mortgage or charge is satisfied; and the entry will have the effect of clearing the register or record of the mortgage. The officer must also give a certificate, which may be either indorsed on the mortgage or charge or separate, to the same effect as the entry. The certificate will be received as evidence in all courts and proceedings without any further proof (r).

Discharge of charge on registered land.

In the case of both incorporated and unincorporated societies an instrument of discharge of a charge under the Land Transfer Acts. 1875 and 1897 (s), in the required form, under the seal of the society, if incorporated, or under the hands and seals of the trustees or other proper officers, if unincorporated, and in either case attested by the secretary, has the same effect in vacating the mortgage and vesting the estate as a statutory receipt (t).

A statutory receipt is included in the definition of "assurance" in

the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (u).

Discharge of copyhold mortgage.

786. If a mortgage to an incorporated society comprising copyholds or lands of customary tenure has been entered upon the court rolls, the steward or his deputy must, on production of the statutory receipt verified by oath, make an entry and grant a certificate to the like effect as is above mentioned with regard to registered mortgages. The certificate is in like manner evidence without further proof (w).

SECT. 7.—Stamp Duties and Income Tax.

How far exempt from stamp duties.

787. Mortgages to building societies are subject to the same stamp duties as ordinary mortgages, except that a mortgage by a member to an unincorporated society securing a sum not exceeding £500 is exempt from duty (x).

Statutory receipts by both incorporated and unincorporated societies and reconveyances by incorporated societies are exempt

from duty (a).

Income tax.

788. A building society is liable to pay income tax on so much of the payments made by advanced members as represents interest. provided that income tax has not been deducted by the member on payment (b). Advanced members are, however, entitled to deduct income tax on so much of the payments made by them as represents

See title INCOME TAX.

⁽r) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42.
(s) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87; 60 & 61 Vict. c. 65. For form of instrument of discharge see Land Transfer Rules, 1903, r. 166, and Form 48; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. XI., p. 416.
(t) Land Transfer Rules, 1903, r. 167.

⁽u) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 3. See title REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL.
(w) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 42.
(x) Ibid., s. 41; Walker v. Giles (1848), 6 C. B. 662; Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55

Vict. c. 39), s. 89.

⁽a) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 41; Old Battersea and District Building Society v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, [1898] 2 Q. B. 294; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 37.

(b) Leeds Benefit Building Society v. Mallandaine, [1897] 2 Q. B. 402, C. A.

interest (c). The deduction must be made at the time of payment, and if the deduction is not so made, the sum cannot afterwards be retained out of future instalments (d).

SECT. 7. Stamp Duties and Income Tax.

Part IX.—Borrowing and Loans.

Sect. 1.—Power to borrow and lend Money.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Incorporated Societies.

789. Any society incorporated under the Building Societies Act, Power to 1874, may receive deposits or loans at interest within the limits borrow. mentioned below from members or other persons, or from corporate bodies, joint stock companies, or terminating building societies (e).

The rules of every incorporated society established after August 25, Statement in 1894, and of every incorporated society which substitutes a new rules of intenset of rules for its existing rules after that date, must set forth borrow. whether the society intends to borrow money, and if so within what limits not exceeding those prescribed by statute (f).

790. In the case of a permanent society the amount for the time Limitation on being owing by the society in respect of loans from all sources (g) amount in must not exceed two-thirds of the amount for the time being secured permanent to the society by mortgages from its members (h). In calculating the society. amount for the time being secured to the society, no credit may be taken for the amount secured by mortgages payments in respect of which were upwards of twelve months in arrear at the date of the society's last preceding annual account and statement, or by mortgages on properties of which the society had been twelve months in possession at the same date (i). It is immaterial whether the mortgages are mortgages in respect of shares or not so long as

(c) Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Wythes (1885), 53 L. T. 492.

(d) Ibid.

Owing to the practical difficulties which arise in dealing with income tax questions in connection with building societies, the Board of Inland Revenue has prepared two alternative schemes on the terms of which they are willing to enter into an arrangement with any society desiring it for the payment of income tax, and dispensing with any further question as to the liability of the society and its members in respect of interest. The arrangements are set out in a memorandum issued by the Board, which can be obtained on application at Somerset House.

(e) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 15 (1).

(f) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 15 (1).
(g) See Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society,
Exparte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463, 467.
(h) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 15 (2). The section

contains a saving clause preserving the rights of depositors and lenders in cases where societies had, prior to the passing of the Act, borrowed in accordance with

their certified rules sums exceeding this limit.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 14. The section further provides that the validity of any deposit or loan which was within the limit provided by law at the time when it was received should not be affected.

SECT. 1. Power to borrow and lend Money.

they are mortgages by members (k). Each mortgage is reckoned as a security for the total amount payable thereunder, whether in respect of principal, interest, fines, or otherwise, and no discount is deducted from sums payable in futuro (l).

Limitation in case of terminating society.

In the case of a terminating society the amount for the time being owing by the society in respect of loans may either be a sum not exceeding the amount which would be permissible in the case of a permanent society, or else a sum not exceeding twelve months' subscriptions on the shares for the time being in force (m). A society cannot take advantage of whichever of these limits may at any given time be most advantageous, but must by its rules adopt one of them. If at any time the amount owing by the society in respect of loans exceeds the limit adopted by the rules the borrowing is unauthorised, notwithstanding that the alternative limit is not exceeded (n).

Overdraft at bank.

One month's notice of withdrawal.

Overdrawing the society's banking account is borrowing (o).

791. An incorporated society may not accept any deposit except on the terms that not less than one month's notice may be required by the managers of the society before repayment or withdrawal. If this requirement is not complied with, the society and every director or member of the committee of management who is a party to the non-compliance is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £10, and in the case of a continuing offence to an additional fine not exceeding £10 for every week during which the offence continues (p).

Indorsement of statutory provisions on security.

Every deposit book or acknowledgment or security of any kind given for a deposit or loan by an incorporated society must have printed or written therein or thereon the whole of the fourteenth and fifteenth sections of the Building Societies Act, 1874 (q). The omission to carry out this obligation does not, however, invalidate any security given for a loan (r), but the person or persons by whom such omission is made is or are liable upon summary conviction before justices at the complaint of the Registrar to a penalty not exceeding £5 (s).

Death of depositor.

792. On the death intestate of a depositor having a sum not exceeding £50 in the funds of an incorporated society the directors

(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 15 (3). (n) Looker v. Wrigley (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 397.

be printed, but there is no statutory requirement to that effect.

(r) Re Guardian Permanent Benefit Building Society (1882), 23 Ch. D. 440,
C. A., reversed on other grounds sub nom. Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519.

(8) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 43.

⁽k) Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463, 467.
(l) Neath Building Society v. Luce (1889), 43 Ch. D. 158.

⁽o) Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn Benefit Society (1884), 9 App. Cas. 857.
(p) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 15.
(q) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 15 (5). S. 14 of the Act of 1874 limits the liability of members, as to which see p. 352, ante. S. 15 defines the power to borrow, as to which see p. 373, ante. As these sections are amended by s. 14 of the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), that amendment should also

may pay the amount due to the person who appears to them to be entitled under the Statutes of Distribution (t) without taking out letters of administration. Before making the payment the directors must receive evidence of the death and a statutory declaration that the lend Money. depositor died intestate, and that the claimant is entitled as above mentioned. Payment in this way is a complete discharge to the society, but any other person claiming to be next of kin or lawful representative of the depositor may take proceedings to recover the amount of the deposit from the person who has received it from the society (u).

SECT. 1. Power to borrow and

SUB-SECT. 2.—Unincorporated Societies.

793. An unincorporated society may borrow money to the Extent of extent (w) and for the purposes (a) authorised by its rules, but not power to otherwise (b). A rule which gives an unlimited power to borrow is valid (c). It is not essential that there should be a rule giving an express power to borrow, if on the construction of the rules as a whole it appears that power to borrow is included within their scope (d). A rule giving power to borrow so as to make the members personally liable beyond the amount properly payable in respect of their shares would be ultra vires (e).

Borrowing by officers in their own names on behalf of the society is Borrowing by borrowing by the society, so as to entitle the officers in the bankruptcy officers. of the lenders to set off against the debt other moneys due from the lenders to the society (f). So a society is liable for money in fact lent to it though the promissory note on which it was lent is one on which the directors, and not the society, are liable (q).

Sect. 2.—Exercise of Borrowing Powers.

SUB-SECT. 1.—In General.

794. A building society may attach conditions to the repayment Conditions of of money borrowed by it in addition to those required by law as repayment. above stated, and these conditions must be complied with before any action will lie against the society for repayment. Thus, a society

⁽t) 22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 10; 1 Jac. 2, c. 17, s. 7. See further, title Descent and DISTRIBUTION.

⁽u) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 29. See p. 353, ante.

⁽a) Move v. Sparrow (1870), 18 W. R. 400; Re Durham County Permanent Investment, Land, and Building Society, Davis' Case (1871), L. R. 12 Eq. 516.

^{(1869), 5} Ch. App. 309; Re Victoria Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Williamson (1869), 5 Ch. App. 309; Re Victoria Permanent Benefit Building, Investment, and Freehold Land Society, Hill's Case (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 605; Richardson v. Williamson (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 276; Re Professional, Commercial, and Industrial Benefit Building Society (1871), 6 Ch. App. 856; Chapleo v. Brunswick Building Society (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 696, C. A.

⁽c) Murray v. Scott, supra, overruling Laing v. Reed (1869), 5 Ch. App. 4. (d) Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434, C. A. (e) Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352.

⁽f) Ex parte Clennell, Re Davies (1861), 9 W. R. 380. (g) Heath v. Kidsgrove Permanent Building Society (1887), 82 L. T. Jo. 449 (county court case). See p. 340, ante.

SECT. 2. Exercise of Borrowing Powers.

may require as a condition precedent to payment production by the lender or someone authorised by him of a loan pass-book, given to him when the loan was made (h). A society may also stipulate that, if the available balance in hand is not sufficient to pay all lenders requiring repayment, repayments shall be made according to priority of notice (i).

Giving of security.

795. An incorporated society may give security for deposits or loans unless the rules expressly or impliedly forbid it (k). An unincorporated society may mortgage specific property to secure loans if the rules expressly or impliedly authorise it (l); but a mere power to borrow is not a sufficient ground for such implication, and a declaration in the rules that moneys borrowed are to be a first charge on the property of the society negatives such an implication (m).

If security is given, it is a question of the construction of the instrument itself whether it amounts to a mortgage of specific property. or only constitutes a general charge on the assets of the society (n).

Personal credit of members.

The directors of a building society have no power to pledge the personal credit of the members as security for the repayment of moneys borrowed (o), and a rule professing to give them this power is ultra vires and void (p). The existence of such a rule will not, however, necessarily invalidate the rule giving the borrowing power, unless the two are so necessarily connected that the one must fall with the other (p).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Excessive Exercise of Borrowing Powers.

Society not liable for ultra vires borrowing.

796. Moneys borrowed by the directors of a society, whether incorporated (q) or unincorporated (r), in excess of its borrowing powers, create no debt as against the society; and any securities given by the society to secure the loan will be ordered to be delivered up (s). Any moneys paid by the society to the lender in discharge of the loan may be recovered back by the society (t). If

(l) Murray v. Scott (1884), 9 App. Cas. 519, per Lord Blackburn, at p. 556; Re Durham County Permanent Investment, Land, and Building Society, William 1997 Case (1871), L. R. 12 Eq. 521; but see contrà, Moye v. Sparrow (1870), 18 W. R. 400.

(r) Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn Benefit Society (1884), 9 App. Cas. 857; Re Durham County Permanent Investment, Land, and Building Society, Davis' Case (1871), L. R. 12 Eq. 516.
(s) Re Guardian Permanent Benefit Building Society (1882), 23 Ch. D. 440,

per Jessel. M.R., at p. 451.

(t) Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society v. Cunliffe, Brooks & Co. (1885), 29 Ch. D. 902, C. A.

⁽h) Atkinson v. Bradford Third Equitable Benefit Building Society (1890), 25 Q.`B. D. 377.

⁽i) Brett v. Monarch Investment Building Society, [1894] 1 Q. B. 367, C. A. (k) There is no statutory provision in regard to this power of incorporated societies to give charges on specific assets as security for money borrowed by them. Ss. 15 (5) and 19 of the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42). contain references to security given for a loan which it is apparently assumed that a society has power to give.

⁽m) Murray v. Scott, supra.
(n) Jones v. Swansea Cambrian Benefit Building Society (1880), 29 W. R. 382. (o) Murray v. Scott, supra, per Lord Selborne, at p. 538; Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352.

(p) Re Mutual Aid Permanent Benefit Building Society (1885), 30 Ch. D. 434.

(q) Cross v. Fisher, [1892] 1 Q. B. 467, C. A., per Lord Esher, M.R., at p. 478.

the lender be the society's banker, he will not, in taking the accounts, be allowed the benefit of the rule that earlier drawings out are, in the absence of specific appropriation, to be attributed to earlier payments in (a).

SECT. 2. Exercise of Borrowing Powers.

The issue of a deposit note by an incorporated society, in accordance with its borrowing powers, to a person who had at an earlier date, when the society was unincorporated and was without borrowing powers, lent money to the society, does not improve the position of the lender, and the deposit note is unenforceable (b).

797. When an advance to a building society creates no debt against Personal the society because it is not authorised by the rules, the directors liability of of the society, whether incorporated or unincorporated, are personally liable for the amount (c). It is not essential that the money should have been applied for the purposes of the society, or that a receipt or acknowledgment should have been signed by the directors, if the money has been received by the person authorised, either expressly or by the course of business adopted, to receive deposits (d).

used to pay

798. If and so far as money lent to a society in excess of its When ultra borrowing powers has been applied in the discharge of lawful debts rires loan or obligations of the society, the lender is entitled to have his loan off society's treated as valid, as the liabilities of the society are not thereby debts. increased (e). He is not, however, entitled to the benefit of any securities held by the creditor so paid (f). If the society has given the lender security for the amount of the loan, he may hold the security as a security for the amount applied in paying creditors of the society (g). If the money lent has been employed by the society in making advances and security taken in return, the lender to the society is entitled to such security as having been in fact acquired with his own money (h), and is entitled to the benefit of the whole amount payable under the security, notwithstanding that, owing to the deduction of a premium or otherwise, the amount actually advanced by the society was less (i).

(a) Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn Benefit Society (1884), 9 App. Cas. 857. the general rule governing the appropriation of payments, see Clayton's Case (1816), 1 Mer. 572; and title Bankers and Banking, Vol. I., p. 586.

(b) Ex parte Watson (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 301, where the deposit note was issued on the lender's agreeing to refrain from legal proceedings to enforce repayment

(d) Chapleo v. Brunswick Building Society (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 696, C. A.; Cross v. Fisher, [1892] 1 Q. B. 467, C. A.

(e) Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn Benefit Society, supra. Compare Re Wrexham, Mold, and Connah's Quay Rail. Co., [1899] 1 Ch. 440, C. A.

(h) Blackburn and District Benefit Building Society v. Cunliffe, Brooks & Co. (1885), 29 Ch. D. 902.

(i) Neath Building Society v. Luce (1889), 43 Ch. D. 158.

⁽c) In the case of an incorporated society the liability is statutory (Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 43; Looker v. Wrigley (1882), 9 Q. B. D. 397). In the case of an unincorporated society, the liability depends on an application of the principle of warranty of authority (Richardson v. Williamson (1871), L. R. 6 Q. B. 276).

⁽f) Re Wrexham, Mold, and Connah's Quay Rail. Co., supra.
(g) Blackburn Building Society v. Cunlife, Brooks & Co. (1882), 22 Ch. D. 61,
C. A., not appealed against on this point, Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn Benefit Society, supra; see ibid., per Lord Blackburn, at p. 866.

Part X.—Surplus Funds.

SECT. 1. Investment or other Application.

Investment by unincorporated societies.

SECT. 1.—Investment or other Application.

799. Unincorporated societies are, subject to the rules, authorised to invest their surplus funds in real or Government securities, in any of the chartered banks in Scotland, or in the Bank of the Commercial Banking Company of Scotland, and not otherwise (k), power being given to alter, transfer, or sell the securities (l). The powers of investing trust funds given by statute (m) to trustees do not apply to the funds of a building society, such funds being vested in trustees who have no power of investing, but only invest under the direction of the board of directors (n). There is no provision in the statutes defining what are "surplus funds"; and, in the absence of any recorded decision on the point, it is presumed that they are such funds as are not, at the time of investment, required for effecting the ordinary purposes of the society.

Investment by incorporated societies.

800. Incorporated societies, as the rules permit, may invest the surplus funds upon real or leasehold securities, in the public funds. in parliamentary stock or securities, and in any stock or securities payment of the interest on which is guaranteed by Parliament (o), and in any security in which trustees are for the time being authorised to invest (p), and, if terminating societies, also with other They may also invest in incorporated building societies (q). Government stock through a savings bank, provided that the Government stock credited by the bank to the society does not exceed £500 stock at any one time (r). For the purpose of investments in the public funds or upon the security of copyholds, a society or the directors or committee may appoint or remove trustees (s).

Purchase of land.

801. Funds of an unincorporated society may be invested in the purchase (whether from members of the society (t) or from strangers) of freehold estates even if the rules do not confer any

(l) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 13, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(m) Trustee Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 53), s. 1.

(s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 25.

⁽k) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 13, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4, except as regards investments in savings banks, in which unincorporated building societies are expressly forbidden to invest by s. 6 of the latter Act.

⁽m) Trustee Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 53), s. 1.

(n) Re National Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society (1889), 43 Ch. D. 431.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 25.

(p) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 46), s. 17. See Trustee Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 53), ss. 1, 2, 5 (1); Colonial Stock Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 62), s. 2; and title Trusts and Trustees.

(q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 25.

(r) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 16 (1) (b). For the meaning of "savings bank" and of "Government stock," see Savings Bank Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 69), s. 5 and Sched. I; and title Bankers and Banking, Vol. I., p. 576.

(s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 25.

⁽t) Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494, per PARKE, B., at p. 505.

express power to that effect (a), and a fortior if they do confer such power (b); but the society must be able then and there to make payment in full, and to make it out of surplus funds. No society must do anything that would be tantamount to altering its consti- Application. tution, such as changing it into a freehold land society (b).

SECT. 1. Investment or other

An incorporated society may purchase a building for conducting its business, and may purchase land for the purpose only of erecting thereon such a building (c).

A society may lend its surplus funds on mortgage either to Mortgage. strangers (d) or to its own members (e), and the security in the case of loans to members may be either freeholds (e) or leaseholds (f).

802. The permanent investments of building societies are con- Permanent trolled by the Acts, which give power to invest in certain securities investments.

Funds of building societies, if improperly invested and in such a Following of condition that they are ear-marked, may be followed and recovered (h). funds. But they are not trust funds subject to the general powers of investment given by law to trustees (i).

Unauthorised investment of surplus funds does not put an end Unauthorised to the society or alter its constitution, but at most gives rise to a investments. right to a remedy in equity (k). Loans to persons not mentioned in the Acts are not illegal, but only unauthorised, and they therefore would be valid if sanctioned by all the members, and can be recovered from the borrowers (l).

803. Unincorporated societies may make temporary deposits of Temporary their funds in the Bank of England to the account of the National deposits by Debt Commissioners, provided no deposit be less than £50 (m), and rated in the funds of any savings banks within the meaning (and sub- societies. ject to the provisions) of the Sayings Bank Act, 1828 (n), without

(a) Mullock v. Jenkins (1851), 14 Beav. 628.
(b) Grimes v. Harrison (1859), 26 Beav. 435. For the distinction between a freehold land society and a building society, see ibid., per Lord ROMILLY, M.R., at p. 441: "Freehold land society buys land with the funds contributed by the members of the society, and then divides it amongst them; but a benefit building society advances to its borrowing members money derived from the subscriptions, and which the borrowing members themselves lay out in the purchase of lands or buildings and then mortgage them to the society." For freehold land societies, see title Industrial, Provident and Similar Societies.
(c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 37.

(d) Re Kent Benefit Building Society (1861), 1 Drew. & Sm. 417, per KINDERS-LEY, V.-C., at p. 424.

(e) Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494. Such loans, of course, have no connection with advances.

(f) Morrison v. Glover (1849), 4 Exch. 430. These cases (Re Kent Benefit Building Society, supra; Cutbill v. Kingdom, supra; and Morrison v. Glover, supra) were decisions under the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), but considering the more ample powers of investment given by the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 25, it is submitted that they would apply to incorporated societies also.

(g) Hardy v. Metropolitan Land and Finance Co. (1872), 7 Ch. App. 427.

(h) I bid.

(i) Re National Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society (1889), 43 Ch. D. 431.

(k) R. v. D'Eyncourt (1864), 4 B. & S. 820. (l) Re Coltman (1881), 19 Ch. D. 64 (a friendly society case). (m) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 31, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(n) 9 Geo. 4, c. 92.

SECT. 1. Investment or other Application.

By incorporated societies.

being subject to the restriction as to amount imposed on friendly societies by that Act (o).

An incorporated society may make temporary deposits in a savings bank (p), provided that the whole amount of the funds of the society, exclusive of Government stock (a), credited by the bank to the society does not exceed £300 at any one time (r).

SECT. 2.—Transfer of Stock.

Transfer of stock of unincorporated societies to persons nominated by court.

804. In the case both of unincorporated societies and of incorporated societies special provisions are made with regard to the transfer of stock in the Bank of England and the Bank of Ireland standing in the names of trustees of building societies. stock or funds are standing in the names of persons as trustees of an unincorporated society, and the trustees, or any of them, are out of the jurisdiction, or bankrupt, or insolvent, or lunatic, or it is not known whether they or he be living or dead, then the court is empowered to direct that the stock and funds be transferred to such persons as the society may name (or to the other trustee or trustees where all are not so incapacitated), and that the dividends be paid to them accordingly (s).

To persons nominated by Registrar on behalf of incorporated societies.

In corresponding circumstances in the case of incorporated societies the Registrar is given power upon a written application from the secretary or other officer of the society and three directors or three members of the committee of management to direct the transfer of stock into the name of any person or persons. The persons to transfer are the surviving or continuing trustee or trustees or in their default the officials of the Bank of England and the Bank of Ireland respectively (t).

The application must be in the prescribed form, and accompanied by a statutory declaration verifying the facts, also in prescribed form, and the certificate of the stock (u).

Forged

Incorporated societies, but not unincorporated societies, come Transfer Acts. within the Forged Transfers Acts, 1891 and 1892 (a).

Part XI.—Disputes.

SECT. 1 .- In General.

Provision of special tribunals.

805. As building societies are, in the contemplation of the legislature and to a large extent in fact, aggregations of persons of

(o) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 9, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(p) That is, a trustee savings bank or a post-office savings bank, but no other Savings Bank Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 69), s. 5 (1)). See title BANKERS AND

BANKING, Vol. I., pp. 576—580.

(q) See Savings Bank Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 69), s. 5 (2) and Sched. I.

(r) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 16 (1) (a).

(s) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 16. See also *ibid.*, ss. 18, 19. (t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 26. (u) Building Society Regulations, 1895, rr. 16—19. A fee of £1 is payable (*ibid.*, r. 41). For the form, see *ibid.*, Forms Q and R; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 65—68.

(a) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 43, s. 3; 55 & 56 Vict. c. 36, s. 1. As to these Acts, see title Companies.

small means engaged in the pursuit of a desirable object, the policy has been adopted of furthering their efforts by freeing their operations from all unnecessary expense. With this object special provision has been made for the economical settlement of disputes between a society and its members without recourse to the ordinary courts(b).

SECT. 1. In General.

Every society, whether incorporated or unincorporated, must by its rules provide a tribunal to which any disputes between the society and its members or any person claiming under them may be referred for settlement (b). In the case of an incorporated society the tribunal must be either arbitrators, the Registrar, or the court (c), and in the case of an unincorporated society either arbitrators or the justices of the peace for the county in which the society may be formed (d).

If the matter in difference is a dispute within the meaning of the Ordinary provisions above referred to, the ordinary tribunals have no juris- jurisdiction diction to deal with it in the first instance (e).

SECT. 2.—Arbitration.

Sub-Sect. 1.—Election of Arbitrators.

806. Where the rules of a society direct disputes to be referred to Election of arbitration (f), arbitrators must be named and elected in the manner provided by the rules or, if there be no such provision in the rules, at the first general meeting of the society (g). Notwithstanding that the rules provide that the election is to be made at the first general meeting, an election at a subsequent meeting would be good (h). No one can be chosen as an arbitrator who is interested directly or indirectly in the funds of the society (i). the arbitrators must in the case of an incorporated society be entered in the minute book of the society, and in the case of an

(c) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (9). The court is the county court of the district in which the chief office or place of meeting is situate (*ibid.*, s. 4).

(d) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the

Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(e) Ex parte Payne (1849), 5 Dow. & L. 679; Norton v. Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1895] 1 Q. B. 246, C. A. See further p. 387, post.

(f) Arbitration as a method of settling disputes is applicable to both incorporated and unincorporated societies, and as the statutory provisions in each case are similar, it is convenient to treat of both classes of societies together. For

(h) Christie v. Northern Counties Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889),

⁽b) See Thompson v. Planet Benefit Building Society (1873), L. R. 15 Eq. 333, per BACON, V.-C., at p. 347; Prentice v. London (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 679, 686, 688.

the principles applicable to arbitrations generally, see title Arbitration, Vol. I.
(g) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34. The choice in the first instance of arbitrators of an unincorporated society is not now material, as no more unincorporated societies can be formed, but the procedure was in fact the same except that there was no corresponding provision allowing the method of election to be dealt with by the rules.

⁴³ Ch. D. 62, per North, J., at p. 66, such a provision being directory only.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

SECT. 2. Arbitration. unincorporated society in the book in which the rules of the society are entered (i).

Vacancy in office.

In case of the death or refusal or neglect to act of any one of the appointed arbitrators, a new arbitrator must be elected to act in his place, such election taking place in the case of an incorporated society at a general meeting of the society (k), and in the case of an unincorporated society at the next meeting of the society or of the general committee of the society (l).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Procedure in regard to Arbitration.

Arbitrators chosen by ballot.

807. In each case of dispute a number of the elected arbitrators not less than three must be chosen by ballot, the number of the arbitrators, which accordingly must be more than three, and the mode of ballot being determined by the rules of the society (m). when a dispute arises for decision there is not a sufficient number of elected arbitrators to enable the dispute to be referred in compliance with the rules, an election of new arbitrators may then be held; and the arbitrators then chosen will be entitled to take part, if chosen, in the decision of the dispute (n).

Failure to appoint arbitrators.

If in the case of an incorporated society either party to a dispute fails for forty days to comply with an application under the rules for arbitration, any person concerned may apply to the county court by petition to have the dispute determined (o). In the case of an unincorporated society, the rules of which provide for arbitration, if any member or person claiming under a member makes application to the society or an officer thereof to have a dispute settled by arbitration, and such application is not complied with within forty days, any justice may, on complaint on oath, summon the officer of the society against whom the complaint is made, and the dispute may be determined by any two justices (p).

Notice of intention to proceed.

808. Notice of the intention to proceed with the reference must be given by the arbitrators to the member who is a party to the

(k) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34.

(i) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

and pp. 385, 386, post.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 35 (1).

(p) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 7, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

⁽j) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34; Friendly Societies Act. 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

⁽n) Norton v. Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1895] 1 Q B. 246, C. A., overruling Christie v. Northern Counties Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 43 Ch. D. 62. This was the case of an incorporated society, and it was said that the member should apply for a mandamus to compel the society to elect arbitrators. See, however, as to this case, the Reports of the Chief Registrar of Friendly Societies for the year ending December 31, 1894, Part A, p. 55. Compare in the case of an unincorporated society, Reeves v. White (1852), 17 Q. B. 995. An alternative and preferable course would be for the member to wait for forty days and then apply to the county court or justices. See infra,

dispute. Notice which might under the rules be sufficient notice to the member in connection with the business of the society is not Arbitration. necessarily sufficient notice by the arbitrators of their intention to proceed (q).

SECT. 2.

809. The arbitrators may, if they think fit, refuse to hear Hearing of **c**ounsel (r).

counsel.

810. The provisions of the Arbitration Act, 1889, apply to arbi- How far trations where the reference is made under the rules of a building Arbitration society so far as such provisions are consistent with the Acts applicable. regulating building societies, or with any rules or procedure authorised or recognised by such Acts (s).

811. Arbitrators to whom a dispute arising in connection with an Case stated by incorporated society is referred may, at the request of either party, arbitrators. state a case for the opinion of the Supreme Court on any question of law (t), but they cannot be compelled to do so (a). The law with regard to unincorporated societies differs in that arbitrators not only may, but can be compelled to, state a case (b). There is no appeal from the opinion expressed by the court on a case stated by an arbitrator in the course of a reference (c).

812. If the dispute is one arising in connection with an incor- Discovery. porated society, the arbitrators have power to grant to either party such discovery, as to documents and otherwise, as might at the date of the passing of the Building Societies Act, 1874, have been granted by any court of law or equity (d). Discovery on behalf of the society is made by such officer as the arbitrators determine (e).

813. If the arbitrators, in the case of an incorporated society, Refusal or refuse, or for twenty-one days neglect, to make any award, any neglect to person concerned may apply to the county court to determine the

(r) Re MacQueen and Nottingham Caledonian Society (1861), 9 C. B. (N. S.) 793.

See title Barristers, Vol. II., p. 376.
(s) Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), s. 24; and see generally title ARBITRATION, Vol. I.

(t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36. (a) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 20.

(c) Re Knight and Tabernacle Permanent Building Society, [1892] 2 Q. B. 613, C. A., decided on the terms of s. 19 of the Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), but the terms of s. 36 of the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), are very similar. See title Arbitration, Vol. I., p. 464.

(d) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36. (e) Ibid.

⁽q) Where the rules of a society provided that all summonses, circulars, and notices should be deemed served if posted to the last entered address of a member, and notice of an intention to proceed was posted by the arbitrators, but not received by the member, it was held that the notice was insufficient, and that the arbitrators could not proceed in his absence without giving him further notice (Hilton v. Hill (1863), 9 L. T. 383).

⁽b) Arbitration Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 49), s. 19; Tubernacle Permanent Building Society v. Knight, [1892] A. C. 298. This case was decided in connection with the Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), but it is equally applicable to unincorporated societies. The law has since been changed with regard to incorporated societies, as above stated, by the Act of 1894, but the decision still applies to unincorporated societies.

SECT. 2. Arbitration. dispute (f). In the case of the refusal or neglect to make any award by the arbitrators of an unincorporated society, the dispute may be determined by two justices (g). This procedure is also available when an award has been made, but is not binding because not made in accordance with the rules (h).

SUB-SECT. 3.—The Award.

Majority award.

814. It is not necessary that the arbitrators should be unanimous.

An award may be made by a majority (i).

Form of award.

There are no statutory provisions regulating the form of the award in the case of incorporated societies, except that the award must limit a time within which it is to be complied with (k). In the case of unincorporated societies, the form of the award has been fixed by statute (l).

Effect of award.

815. An award made according to the true purport and meaning of the rules (m) is binding and conclusive on all parties, and final to all intents and purposes, without appeal, and is not removable into any court of law, or restrainable by the injunction of any court of equity (n).

When part of an award is on the face of it ultra vires, and is severable from the rest of the award, the court can stay proceedings

as to that part (o).

Enforcement of award in county court.

816. In the case of an incorporated society, should either of the parties to the dispute refuse or neglect to comply with the award within the time limited therein, the county court, upon proof of the making of the award and of the refusal of the party to comply therewith, will enforce compliance upon the petition of any person concerned (p).

(f) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 35 (1). As to the form of application, see note (s), p. 385, post.

(g) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 7, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4), c. 32, by s. 4.

(h) R. v. Grant (1849), 14 Q. B. 43, per Lord Denman, C.J., at p. 63. Compare Bache v. Billingham, [1894] 1 Q. B. 107, C. A., a friendly society case, where an award was held binding though made improperly, but not in a way contrary to the rules of the society.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act,

1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(k) Ilid. The form of award by the Registrar (Building Society Regulations,

1895, Form A) may be adopted.

(1) Form annexed to Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

(m) See R. v. Grant, supra, at p. 63, where an award was held bad as having been made after hearing the evidence of one side only, the rules providing that evidence on both sides should be heard. Compare Bache v. Billingham,

(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), ss. 34, 36; Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies

Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.
(o) Armitage v. Walker (1855), 2 K. & J. 211, per Wood, V.-C., at p. 226. (p) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34. The proceedings will be commenced by plaint and summons in the ordinary way, the party claiming to be entitled to the award being plaintiff, and particulars must be filed stating the relief claimed (County Court Rules, 1903, Ord. 41, r. 4). See title COUNTY COURTS.

In the case of an unincorporated society, if either of the parties refuses or neglects to comply with the award, the party aggrieved Arbitration. may apply to any justice of the peace residing in the county in which the society is held, and such justice may, upon proof of the by justices. making of the award and the refusal to comply therewith, summon the defaulting party to appear at a time and place to be named in the summons. Upon the appearance of the defaulting party or, in default of appearance, upon proof of service of the summons, any two justices may deal with the matter and make such order as seems to them just, including a direction to pay costs not exceeding ten shillings (q).

SECT. 2.

Enforcement

If any sum of money awarded by the justices is not immediately Recovery by paid together with the costs, the justices may by warrant under their hands and seals cause the sum and costs to be levied by distress and sale of the moneys, goods, chattels, securities and effects of the society or party in default, as the case may be, or other legal proceeding, together with the costs of the distress and sale or other proceeding (q).

If no sufficient distress is found or if the legal proceeding is Liability of ineffectual, then the sum and costs above referred to are to be levied officers. by distress of the proper goods of the party or of the officer of the society neglecting or refusing to comply with the award, by other legal proceedings.

An officer of a society, however, who is compelled to pay any sum under an award or order of justices, is entitled to be repaid out of the moneys of the society, or out of the first moneys thereafter received by the society (q).

Justices, if applied to, are bound to enforce an award once it is Justice must made. They are not entitled to go behind the award and consider enforce award such questions as whether the society by acting ultra vires has not freed the member from any liability for further payments (r).

as it stands.

SECT. 3.—The County Court.

817. The power of the county court to act in the decision of County court disputes is confined to disputes arising in incorporated societies. for incorpo-Where the rules of the society direct disputes to be referred to the only. court or to justices, the county court is the primary tribunal (s). But in certain events the court has also jurisdiction where the rules direct disputes to be referred to arbitration, and one party has failed to arbitrate (t), or the arbitrators have failed to make an award (a).

rated societies

The county court has the same powers of stating a case and Powers of granting discovery as arbitrators, and the same provisions apply as county court. to the finality of its determination (b).

⁽q) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 27, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

⁽r) R. v. D'Eyncourt (1864), 4 B. & S. 820.
(s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 35 (2). The proceedings will be by plaint and summons in the ordinary way, the member being plaintiff, and the society being defendant (County Court Rules, 1903, Ord. 41 r. 2). Particulars of demand stating the nature of the dispute and the relief claimed must be filed (ibid., r. 3). See title County Courts.

⁽t) See p. 382, ante.

⁽a) See p. 383, ante. (b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36; and see pp. 383, 384, ante; and title Arbitration, Vol. I., pp. 462, 464, 470.

SECT. 4. Justices of the Peace.

Justices of the peace for unincorporated Enforcing compliance with rules.

SECT. 4.—Justices of the Peace.

818. The justices play the same part in the case of unincorporated societies as the county court does in the case of incorporated societies.

Where the rules of the society direct disputes to be referred to societies only. justices, any justice may, on complaint being made to him of any refusal or neglect of any member or officer to comply with the rules, summon the person against whom the complaint is made to appear at a time and place named in the summons. Upon appearance of the person summoned or, in default of appearance, upon proof of service of the summons, two justices may proceed to hear and determine the dispute according to the rules of the society (c). If the award directs payment of a sum of money, the award may be enforced in the same way as a similar award made by arbitrators (d).

The justices also have jurisdiction when the rules direct disputes to be referred to arbitration, and the society has failed for forty days to arbitrate (e), or the arbitrators have failed to make an award (f).

Reinstatement of expelled member.

Jurisdiction in arbitra-

tions.

819. If justices award that a member who has been expelled from a society should be reinstated they may also award to the expelled member, in default of reinstatement, such a sum of money as they shall think just and reasonable, payment of which may be enforced in the same way as payment of money awarded by arbitrators may be enforced (q).

Order of iustices conclusive.

820. Every sentence, order, and adjudication of justices in a case in which they have jurisdiction is final and conclusive to all intents and purposes, and is not removable into any court of law or restrainable by injunction of any court of equity (h).

SECT. 5.—The Registrar.

Registrar.

821. The Registrar (i) has jurisdiction to decide a dispute arising in an incorporated society if the rules direct disputes to be referred to him, or if the parties agree to refer the particular dispute to When the rules provide for reference to the Registrar, and either party fails to fill up and execute the portion of the submission

(d) I bid.; and see p. 385, ante.

(e) See p. 382, ante. (f) See p. 384, ante.

(h) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 29, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

⁽c) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 28, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.

⁽g) Friendly Societies Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 40), s. 8, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4. As to enforcement of payment, see p. 385, ante.

⁽i) See p. 324, ante.
(j) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34. For form of reference, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form A G; Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 63. It must be executed in duplicate (Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 32), and the Registrar may require statements to be supported by statutory declaration (ibid.). If the Registrar desires the parties to appear, he may give notice in Form A H (ibid., r. 33).

relating to his or its case, the other party may send the submis-

sion to the Registrar (k).

SECT. 5. The Registrar.

The Registrar may grant discovery (1) and state a case on a question of law for the opinion of the Supreme Court (m). His award has the same effect as that of arbitrators (n), and equally is final and binding (o).

Powers of Registrar.

SECT. 6.—What Disputes must be referred.

Sub-Sect. 1 .- Unincorporated Societies.

822. Dealing first with unincorporated societies, the cases have

established two principles which serve as a guide.

The first principle is that a dispute, to be referred in accordance Disputes with the Acts, must be a dispute between the society and a member between in his capacity as member. Thus, a claim by a society against an officer of a society who has purchased land on behalf of the society and endeavoured to retain it for himself, on the pretext that such a purchase would be an ultra vires act on the part of the society, is not a dispute which can be referred under the rules of the society (p). The question whether or not a person is member of a society is not such a dispute (q).

societies and members.

The second principle is that, for a dispute to be within the scope Statutory of the statutory provisions for reference, the machinery set up by the statutes must be adequate to deal with it completely (r).

procedure must be applicable.

The proper method, therefore, of deciding questions between a society and a member involving claims on the covenants or other provisions contained in a mortgage (s), or the right to redeem the mortgaged property (t), or accounts as between mortgagor and mortgagee (a), is by action in the ordinary courts.

Questions regarding the rights of withdrawing members must be Withdrawing decided by the statutory machinery, and a member who has given members. a notice of withdrawal which has been accepted by the society still continues to be a member within the meaning of the provisions for referring disputes (b).

⁽k) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 32.

⁽t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36. For the form of order, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form A K.

⁽m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36; but this is not compellable (Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 20).

(n) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 34. For the form, see

Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form A I.

⁽o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36.

⁽a) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 36.

(p) Mullock v. Jenkins (1851), 14 Beav. 628.

(q) Prentice v. London (1875), L. R. 10 C. P. 679. Compare Willis v. Wells, [1892] 2 Q. B. 225; Palliser v. Dale, [1897] 1 Q. B. 257, C. A.

(r) See Fleming v. Self (1854), 3 De G. M. & G. 997.

(s) Cutbill v. Kingdom (1847), 1 Exch. 494; Morrison v. Glover (1849), 4 Exch. 430; Doe d. Morrison v. Glover (1850), 15 Q. B. 103; Farmer v. Giles (1860), 5 H. & N. 753. Reeves v. White (1852), 17 Q. B. 995, has not been followed.

(t) R. v. Trafford (1854), 4 E. & B. 122; Fleming v. Self, supra; Buckle v. Lordonny (1887), 56 L. J. (ch.) 437.

(a) Mulkern v. Lord (1879), 4 App. Cas. 182.

(b) Trott v. Hughes (1850), 16 L. T. (o. s.) 260; Armitage v. Walker (1855), 2 K. & J. 211: Wright v. Deeley (1866), 4 H. & C. 209; Huckle v. Wilson (1877),

² K. & J. 211; Wright v. Deeley (1866), 4 H. & C. 209; Huckle v. Wilson (1877),

SECT. 6. What Disputes must be referred.

Where the executor of a deceased member has, in accordance with the rules, been dealt with by the directors of a society on the footing that he is in the position of a member, he may be a member for the purpose of having a dispute between him and the society referred to arbitration (c).

Sub-Sect. 2 .- Incorporated Societies.

823. The law on this subject dealing with incorporated societies is practically the same as that with regard to unincorporated societies, except where express provision is made by the rules of a society (d).

Definition of " disputes.'

The word "disputes" in the Acts regulating incorporated societies, or in the rules of an incorporated society is deemed to refer only to disputes between the society and a member or any representative of a member in his capacity of a member of the society, unless by the rules for the time being it is otherwise expressly provided (e). In the absence of such express provision, it does not apply to any dispute between a society and a member or any other person whatever as to the construction or effect of any mortgage deed or any contract contained in any document other than the rules of the society. Subject to the same qualification, it does not prevent the society or member, or person claiming through or under a member, from obtaining in the ordinary course of law any remedy in respect of any mortgage deed or other contract contained in any document other than the rules to which it or he would otherwise be entitled (f).

Withdrawing members.

A withdrawing member of an incorporated society continues to be a member for the purpose of referring disputes (g).

Misappropriation by officer.

A claim against a former director for sums of money which he is alleged to have received on behalf of the society and appropriated to his own use is a proper case for an action (h).

and Doubleday v. Hosking (1869), L. R. 15 Eq. 344, n.
(c) Compare Kelsall v. Tyler (1856), 25 L. J. (Ex.) 153.
(d) Municipal Permanent Investment Society v. Richards (1888), 39 Ch. D. 372, per STIRLING, J., at p. 383.

(e) Western Suburban and Notting Hill Permanent Benefit Building Society v.

(e) Western Shouroan and Noting Interferences Benefit Building Society v. Martin (1886), 17 Q. B. D. 609, C. A., per Fry, L.J., at p. 618.

(f) Building Societies Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 41), s. 2, as interpreted by Western Suburban and Notting Hill Permanent Benefit Building Society v. Martin, enpra, and altering the law as laid down in Wright v. Monarch Investment Building Society (1877), 5 Ch. D. 726; Hack v. London Provident Building Society (1883), 23 Ch. D. 103, C. A.; and Municipal Building Society v. Kent (1884), 9 App. Cas. 260, all holding that questions concerning mortgages to incorporated societies must be referred. incorporated societies must be referred.

(y) Walker v. General Mutual Building Society (1887), 36 Ch. D. 777, C. A.; Davies v. Second Chatham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1889), 61 L. T. 680; Norton v. Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1895] 1 Q. B. 246, C. A.

(h) Municipal Permanent Investment Building Society v. Richards, supra.

² C. P. D. 410; Johnson v. Altrincham Permanent Benefit Building Society (1883), 49 L. T. 568; Thompson v. Planet Benefit Building Society (1873), L. R. 15 Eq. 333, where Bacon, V.-C., declined to follow Smith v. Lloyd (1859), 26 Beav. 507,

Part XII.—Accounts and Inspection of Books or Affairs.

SECT. 1.—Accounts.

SECT. 1. Accounts.

824. Building societies, whether unincorporated or incorporated, are under statutory liability to render accounts. These accounts Statutory are of two sorts: those rendered to the society by its officers, or by liability to render anyone having any of its assets in possession, and those rendered by the society to its members. Incorporated societies must make suitable provision in their rules for the due taking of the accounts (i).

825. Every person, whether an officer of the society or not (k), who Accounting to has in his possession any of the money, effects, funds, or securities the society. of an unincorporated society, must on demand in writing from the society or from the committee hand in his accounts at the usual meeting of the society, and pay over all moneys in his possession, and transfer all securities (l). Similar provisions exist in the case of incorporated societies (m).

826. Every society must prepare an annual account, which, in Annual the case of an incorporated society, must be in the form prescribed account by by, and must contain such particulars as are directed by, the Chief the society. Registrar of Friendly Societies (n), and, in particular, must include a general statement of the funds and effects, liabilities and assets, investments and mortgages of, the society. This account must be made up to the end of the official year of the society to which it relates (a). The account must be attested by the auditors and countersigned by the secretary or other officer (p). A copy of the account must be sent to the Chief Registrar of Friendly Societies within fourteen days after the meeting at which the account was presented, or within three months after the expiration of the official year of the society, whichever period expires first (a). A copy must be suspended in a

the passing of the Act of 1894 (ibid., s. 2 (4)).
(p) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 40; Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 2 (2). As to the duties of the auditors in regard to the annual account, see p. 344, ante.

(a) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 2 (3).

⁽i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 16 (8).
(k) Re Briton Friendly Society (1852), 1 W. R. 50.
(l) Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 14, incorporated in the Building Societies Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 32), by s. 4.
(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 24.
(n) I bid.; Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), ss. 21 (1), 25 (1). Forms of account with a memorrandum as to the manner of making

^{25 (1).} Forms of account, with a memorandum as to the manner of making out the account and statement, are issued by the registry office. For form of account of an incorporated society, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III.,

⁽o) Building Societies Act, 1894, s. 2 (1). "Official year" means in the case of societies formed after the passing of the Building Societies Act, 1894, the year ending December 31, and in the case of any other society the year ending with the time up to which its annual account and statement was made at

390

SECT. 1. Accounts. conspicuous place in every office of the society, and every member, depositor, and creditor for loans is entitled to receive a copy (b).

Punishment for failing to account.

For any breach of the provisions relating to accounts the society and the officers responsible are liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding £20 for each offence, and, for a continuing offence, to an additional fine not exceeding £5 a week (c).

Account on dissolution.

When any incorporated society is dissolved, the person having the conduct of the dissolution must send a special and certified balance-sheet to the Registrar within twenty-eight days from the termination of the dissolution (d).

Sect. 2.—Inspection of Books or Affairs.

Inspection of books by accountant or actuary.

827. The Registrar may, on the application of ten members of an incorporated society who have been members for not less than twelve months, appoint an accountant or actuary to inspect the books of the society and report thereon (e).

Costs of inspection.

The applicants must give security for the costs of the inspection, but the Registrar may direct the costs to be paid either by the applicants or the society or the members or officers past or present (f).

Conduct of inspection.

The person appointed may make copies or extracts from the books, and the Registrar must communicate the results of the inspection to the applicants and the society (g).

Examination **of** affairs by inspector.

828. The Registrar may also, on the application of one-tenth of the members of an incorporated society or of 100 members in the case of a society consisting of more than 1,000 members, and with the consent of the Secretary of State, appoint an inspector to examine into and report on the affairs of the society (h).

Costs.

The applicants must give security for the costs of the inspection, but the Registrar may direct the costs to be paid by the applicants or the society or present or past members or officers (i).

Powers of

The inspector may require the production of all books, securities, and documents, and may examine on oath the officers, members, and servants (k).

inspector.

The Registrar may appoint an inspector without such application. but with the consent of the Secretary of State, when the society has for two months after notice failed to make correct or complete

Circumstances justifying appointment of inspector by Registrar.

(c) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 21. (d) Ibid., s. 11.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 5 (2) (c), (d).

(k) Ibid., s. 5 (3).

⁽b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 40.

⁽e) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 4 (1). For form of application, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 80. A fee of £1 is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 41). As to investigation with a

view to dissolution, see p. 394, post.

(f) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 4 (2).

(g) Ibid., s. 4 (3), (4).

(h) Ibid., s. 5 (1). For form of application and of statutory declaration to accompany it, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 36, and Forms A L, A M; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., pp. 81, 82. A fee of £1 is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 41).

any return required by the Acts, or where evidence is furnished by a statutory declaration of not less than three members of facts which, in his opinion, call for investigation (l).

SECT. 2. Inspection of Books or Affairs.

Part XIII.—Amalgamation and Transfer.

829. Two or more incorporated societies may unite and become Requisites for one society, with or without any dissolution or division of the funds amalgamation of such societies or either of them, or an incorporated society may transfer its engagements to any other incorporated society, upon such terms as shall be agreed upon by three-fourths of the members of each of such societies present at general meetings respectively convened for the purpose, provided either (1) that each such threefourths majority hold amongst them not less than two-thirds of the total number of shares of the particular society (m), or (2) that the agreement for union or transfer agreed upon as above mentioned is approved of in writing by the owners of the same proportion of shares of each society, whether present at the meeting or not (n).

or transfer.

Notice of the union or transfer must be sent to the Registrar and registered by him (o).

830. The registration by the Registrar of the notice of union or Vesting of transfer operates as a conveyance, transfer, and assignment, as at property on the date of the registration, of the funds, property, and assets of the societies uniting to the united society, or of the society transferring its engagements to the society to which its engagements are transferred, as may be set forth in the instrument of union or transfer. This provision does not, however, apply in the case of stocks and securities in the public funds of Great Britain and Ireland, or in the case of estates in customary or copyhold hereditaments the title to which cannot be transferred without admittance (p).

registration.

A union or transfer of engagements does not affect the rights of Creditors any creditor of any society uniting or transferring its engage- unaffected.

Unincorporated societies cannot amalgamate (r).

Unincorporated

(m) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 33.

(n) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 19. For form of

⁽¹⁾ Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 5 (5). For form of societies, declaration, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 82. It will be observed that even in this case the Registrar has no authority to defray the expenses out of public funds.

resolution for union, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 37.

(o) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 33. The notice must be sent in duplicate (Building Society Regulations, 1895, rr. 30 and 31). For forms of notice, see ibid., Forms A E and A F; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 38. A fee of 10s. is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 41).

⁽p) Building Societies Act, 1877 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 63), s. 5. This is the only reference in the statutes to an "instrument" of union or transfer.

⁽q) Ibid.; Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 33. (r) Re Liverpool etc. Building Society (1871), 15 Sol. Jo. 177.

Part XIV.—Dissolution and Cancellation or Suspension of Registry.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies.

SECT. 1.—Incorporated Societies. SUB-SECT. 1.—Dissolution by Instrument.

Instrument of dissolution.

831. A society may be dissolved with the consent of three-fourths of the members holding not less than two-thirds of the shares. Their consent is testified by their signatures to an instrument of The instrument of dissolution is binding on all members of the society, and must set forth (1) the liabilities and assets of the society in detail, (2) the number of members and the amount standing to their credit in the books, (3) the claims of depositors and other creditors and the provision made for their payment, (4) the intended appropriation or division of the funds and property of the society, and (5) the names of one or more persons to be appointed trustees for the special purpose and their remuneration (s).

Registration.

The instrument must be executed in duplicate (a), and must be registered in the same manner as the rules (b). When sent for registration the instrument must be accompanied by a statutory declaration by an officer of the society stating that it has been signed in the manner mentioned (c).

Signature of instrument.

Each member must sign both duplicates of the instrument (d). Joint holders of a share are reckoned as one member in calculating the number of consenting members, but they must all sign the instrument of dissolution, though a member who holds a share jointly with others and also a share in his own name need only sign each duplicate once (e). Infant members (f) and members who have given notice of withdrawal and have not been paid (g) may consent to a dissolution, and must be taken into account in calculating the number of members of the society. The duly authorised agent of a member may sign the instrument on behalf of his principal (h).

Subject to the same provisions regarding consents, signature, and registration, the instrument of dissolution may be altered (i).

Position during progress of dissolution.

832. During the progress of the dissolution the Building Societies Acts continue to apply to the society as if the trustees

(e) Ibid. (f) Ibid.

(g) Sibun v. Pearce (1890), 44 Ch. D. 354, C. A. See p. 359, ante.

(i) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (3).

⁽s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (3). instrument, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form T; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 86

⁽a) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 20.
(b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (3).
(c) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 20. For form of declaration, see ibid., Form U; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 87.
(d) Dennison v. Jeffs, [1896] 1 Ch. 611.

⁽h) Dennison v. Jeffs, supra. But see 2nd Edinburgh and Leith 493rd Starr-Bowkett Building Society v. Aitken (1892), 29 Sc. L. R. 456, where the Court of Session held that a signature by an agent was not sufficient.

appointed under the instrument of dissolution were the board of directors or committee of management of the society (k).

Withdrawing members entitled to priority under the rules whose notices have matured before the date of the dissolution retain their priority in a winding-up under an instrument of dissolution (l). Priorities. The representatives of deceased members have priority over withdrawing members (m).

The rights of members under the rules cannot be altered by the Alteration of instrument of dissolution, unless the alteration has been previously rights by the sanctioned so as to be effective as an alteration of rules (n).

Advanced members cannot on dissolution be compelled to pay Liability of the amount payable under their securities or the rules except at the times, and subject to the conditions, therein expressed (0).

833. Within fourteen days after the termination of the dissolution notice in duplicate of such termination must be sent to the Registrar (p).

Within twenty-eight days after the termination of the dissolu- Accounts etc. tion the liquidators, trustees, or other persons having the conduct of the dissolution must send to the Registrar an account and balance-sheet, signed and certified by them as correct, showing the assets and liabilities of the society at the commencement of the dissolution, and the mode in which those assets and liabilities have been applied and discharged. Persons failing to comply with this requirement are subject to a penalty of £5 a day during default (q).

834. A trustee of an instrument of dissolution who relies entirely Liability of on his co-trustee and takes no active part in the execution of the trust does not act "honestly and reasonably" (r), so as to be entitled to claim relief from liability (s).

SUB-SECT. 2.—Dissolution under the Rules.

835. An incorporated society may be dissolved in manner pre- Dissolution scribed by its rules (t).

Notice in duplicate of the commencement of the dissolution must

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies.

members.

Returns to Registrar.

of dissolution.

under rules.

⁽k) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 9.
(l) Barnard v. Tomson, [1894] 1 Ch. 374; Re Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1900] 2 Ch. 819; and see p. 360, ante.

⁽m) Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society (1898), 78 L. T. 393; Re Counties Conservative Permanent Benefit Building Society, supra; and see p. 361, ante.

⁽n) E.g., taking away the priority of members who had given notice of withdrawal (Botten v. City and Suburban Permanent Building Society, [1895] 2 Ch.

⁽o) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 10; Kemp v. Wright, [1895] 1 Ch. 121.

⁽p) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 28. For form of ibid., Form A C; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 91.
(q) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), 1894, s. 11. For form of notice, see

See Judicial Trustees Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 35), s. 3 (1). See further, title Trusts and Trustees.

⁽s) Re Second East Dulwich 745th Starr-Bowkett Building Society (1899), 47 W. R. 408.

⁽t) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (2).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies. be sent to the Registrar within fourteen days after the commencement of the dissolution (a).

The same statutory provisions with regard to the Building Societies Acts continuing to apply to the society during the dissolution, with regard to sending an account and balance-sheet to the Registrar on the termination of the dissolution, and with regard to the rights of advanced members, apply as in the case of dissolution by instrument (b).

Sub-Sect. 3.—Dissolution by Award of Registrar.

Investigation by Registrar.

836. If an application in writing (c), stating that the society is unable to meet the claims of its members, and that it would be for their benefit that it should be dissolved, and requesting an investigation into the affairs of the society with a view to dissolution, is made to the Registrar by one-tenth of the members of an incorporated society. or by 100 members where the total number of members exceeds 1,000, the Registrar may investigate the affairs of the society, but he must first give two months' previous notice in writing to the society at its registered chief office or place of meeting (d).

Award of Registrar.

If on such investigation it appears that the society is unable to meet the claims of its members and that it would be to their advantage that it should be dissolved, the Registrar may, if he considers it expedient, award that it should be dissolved, and if he so awards must direct how its affairs are to be wound up (e). The award may be suspended for a period to enable the society to make such changes in its rules as will, in the opinion of the Registrar, obviate the necessity for dissolution (f).

Gazetting of award.

Within twenty-one days after making the award the Registrar must insert notice thereof in the London Gazette, and in some newspaper circulating in the county in which the chief office or place of meeting of the society is situate (g).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Winding-up under the Companies Acts.

Winding-up.

837. An incorporated society may be wound up either voluntarily under the supervision of the court or by the court (h).

is payable (Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 41).

(b) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), ss. 9—11; and see pp. 392, 393, ante.

(c) For the form of application, see Building Society Regulations, 1895,

(c) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 7 (2). For the form (e) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 7 (2). For the form (e) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 7 (2).

of award, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form Y.

(f) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 7 (2).
(g) Ibid., s. 7 (3). For the form of notice, see Building Society Regulations,

1893, Form Z.

(h) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (4). A mere voluntary winding-up does not seem to be authorised. See Palmer's Company Precedents, Part II., 9th ed., p. 716. As to making an order for winding-up by the court when the liquidation has been begun under supervision, see Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63), s. 14; and title COMPANIES.

⁽a) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 26 (2). For the form of notice, see ibid., Form AA; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 89. A fee of 2s. 6d.

Every incorporated society is a company within the meaning of the Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (i); and the enactments for the time being in force for the winding up of companies in the Chancery Division of the High Court, whether enacted before or after 1874, apply to the winding up of building societies (k); and the same rules, therefore, both as to the court which will have jurisdiction in the winding-up and otherwise, apply to an incorporated society wound up by or under the supervision of the court as would apply to a company registered under the Companies Acts (1).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies.

The jurisdiction is vested in the High Court, the Chancery Courts having Palatine Courts, and the county courts (m). If the capital of the jurisdiction. society paid up or credited as paid up exceeds £10,000, the proceedings must be in the High Court or Palatine Court; and if the paid-up capital does not exceed that sum, the proceedings must, if the chief office of the society is within the jurisdiction of a county court having jurisdiction under the Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890, be in that county court (n).

In the case of incorporated societies wound up in the county Winding-up court the Companies Acts, 1862 to 1890, and the rules made there- in county under, so far as the Acts and rules relate to winding-up, apply, and the winding-up is conducted in all respects as if the societies were companies registered under the Companies Acts (o). The costs of winding-up are taxed on the Supreme Court scale (o).

838. In each case the petition for the order of the court must be Who may made either by a member authorised to present the petition on petition. behalf of the society by three-fourths of the members present at a general meeting of the society specially called for the purpose, or by a judgment creditor for not less than £50 (p).

(k) Re Portsea Island Building Society, supra; Jones v. Swansea Cumbrian Benefit Building Society (1880), 29 W. B. 382; Re Sunderland 32nd Universal Building Society (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 349.

(o) County Court Rules, 1903, Ord. 41, r. 12. (p) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32 (4). In the case of the winding up of an incorporated society under the supervision of

⁽i) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 63; Building Societies Act, 1694 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 8. See before that Act Re Portsea Island Building Society, [1893] 3 Ch. 205.

⁽¹⁾ E.g., proceedings against an officer for misfeasance under s. 10 of the Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63) (Re Liberator Permanent Benefit Building Society (1894), 71 L. T. 406). As to winding up of companies generally, see title Companies. It must, however, be remembered that many provisions of the Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890, do not apply in the case of a winding-up under supervision (ibid.)

⁽m) Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63), s. 1 (1).

(n) Ibid., s. 1 (2), (3). There is no reported decision as to the meaning of capital "paid up or credited as paid up" in the case of a building society, but it would probably be held to mean the amount paid up or credited as paid up on the shares in respect of which no advance had been made. As to which county courts have invalidation and the County Courts (Parket and County Courts (Parket and County County Courts (Parket and County Count courts have jurisdiction, see the County Courts (Bankruptcy and Companies Winding-up) Jurisdiction Order, 1899, s. 3, and Sched. I.; Statutory Rules and Orders Revised to December 31st, 1903, iii., title County Court, England, pp. 78—86; and title County Courts. As to transfer of proceedings from one court to another, see Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890, s. 3; Companies (Winding-up) Rules, 1903, rr. 42—47; Statutory Rules and Orders Revised to December 31st, 1903, iii. title Company England, pp. 31st, 1903, iii. Eventually Rules and Orders Revised to December 31st, 1903, iii. title Company England, pp. 31st, 1904, and title County Rules and Orders Revised to December 31st, 1903, iii. December 31st, 1903, ii., title Company, England, pp. 31-33; and title COMPANIES.

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies.

Notice of the commencement of the winding-up must be given in duplicate to the Registrar within fourteen days from the date of the supervision or winding-up order, as the case may be (q).

Notices to Registrar.

Notice of the termination of the winding-up must be given in duplicate to the Registrar within fourteen days after such termination (r).

Liabilities of unadvanced members.

839. The liability of an unadvanced member of an incorporated society is limited to the amount which he has actually paid or which at the commencement of the winding-up is in arrear on his shares (s). An unadvanced member who has withdrawn is under no liability though the withdrawal was less than a year from the winding-up (a).

Liability of advanced members.

The liability of an advanced member is limited to the amount payable on his shares under any mortgage or other security or under the rules of the society (b). He may redeem on payment of this amount (c), but he cannot be called upon to pay except at the time or times and subject to the conditions expressed in the mortgage or other security or the rules (d).

Transfer during compulsory winding-up.

In case of a sale and transfer of shares after a compulsory winding-up order has been made the transferee is not entitled to be registered as owner without the sanction of the court. -court has power to order the register to be rectified by the insertion of the transferee's name, but the exercise of the power is discretionary, and an order will not be made except a strong case is made out (e).

SUB-SECT. 5.—Cancellation or Suspension of Registry.

When registry cancelled or suspended.

840. Where the Registrar is satisfied that (1) the certificate of incorporation has been obtained by fraud or mistake, or (2) the society exists for an illegal purpose, or (3) the society has wilfully and after notice from the Registrar violated the provisions of any of the Building Societies Acts, or (4) the society has ceased to exist, he may, with the approval of the Secretary of State and after giving not less than two months' notice specifying the grounds for the proposed action (f), cancel the registry of a society, or suspend

the court the society should pass a resolution for a voluntary winding-up in the same manner as if it were a company (see title COMPANIES), and then authorise a member, in accordance with s. 32 (4) of the Building Societies Act,

1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), to apply for a supervision order.

(q) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 32; Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 27. A fee of 2s. 6d. is payable on the registry of any such notice (ibid., r. 41). For the form, see ibid., Form A B; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 90.

(r) Building Society Regulations, 1895, r. 29. For the form, see ibid., Form A D; and Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 92.

(s) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 14; Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235.

(a) Re Sheffield and South Yorkshire Permanent Building Society (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 470. See p. 300, ante.

(b) Building Societies Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 42), s. 14.
(c) Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235.
(d) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 10.
(e) Re Onward Building Society, [1891] 2 Q. B. 463, C. A.
(f) For the form of notice, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form H.

the registry for any period not exceeding three months, and he may also from time to time, with the like approval, renew the suspension

for a similar period (g).

When application is made to the Registrar to exercise his compulsory power of cancellation, he may require the application to be made in duplicate and to be supported by a statutory declaration (h).

SECT. 1. Incorporated Societies.

841. Notice of the cancelling or suspension must be advertised Gazetting of in the London Gazette and in some newspaper circulating in the notice. county in which the head office of the society is situate (i).

If the registry is cancelled or suspended for a period exceeding Appeal. six months, an appeal lies to the High Court (j).

The Registrar may also, if he thinks fit, cancel the registry of a Cancellation society at the request of the society (k).

on request of

society.

842. A society, after the cancellation or during the suspension Effect of of its registry, absolutely ceases to enjoy the privileges of an incor- cancellation porated society, but without prejudice to any liability incurred by the society, and any such liability can be enforced as if no cancellation or suspension had taken place (l). It will become a partnership with individual liability, and, if consisting of more than twenty

SECT. 2.—Unincorporated Societies.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Dissolution.

843. It is doubtful whether the enactment contained in the Dissolution Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (n), which provides for dissolution with under the consent of five-sixths in value of the existing members ascer- Societies Act, tained in a special manner, is applicable to building societies. It 1829. might apply to an unincorporated society if the enactment has been incorporated in the rules (o).

members, an illegal association (m).



⁽g) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 6 (1), (2).

⁽a) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 vict. c. 47), s. 6 (1), (2).
(b) Building Societies Act, 1894, (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 6 (2). For form of advertisement, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form L.
(j) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 6 (3).
(k) Ibid., s. 6 (4). For form of application, see Building Society Regulations, 1895, Form G. The application must name a newspaper in which the cancellation may be advertised and must he accompanied by a sum to pay for the cellation may be advertised, and must be accompanied by a sum to pay for the

cellation may be advertised, and must be accompanied by a sum to pay for the advertisement in that paper and in the Gazette (ibid., r. 8).

(i) Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47), s. 6 (5).

(m) Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 4. See Re Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, [1901] 1 Ch. at p. 113; Shaw v. Benson (1883), 11 Q. B. D. 563; Re Thomas, Ex parte Poppleton (1885), 14 Q. B. D. 379; and title Companies. For a discussion of the results which would follow from this state of things, see Reports of the Chief Registrar of Eriandly Societies for the Year ending December 31, 1894, Part A. 70 Friendly Societies for the Year ending December 31, 1894, Part A, pp. 48-50, quoted in Davis's Building Societies, 4th ed., p. 80.

⁽n) 10 Geo. 4, c. 56, s. 26.
(o) Compare Re London and Metropolitan Counties Benefit Building and Investment Society, [1889] W. N. 18. It may, however, be pointed out that some of the provisions of the Friendly Societies Act, 1829 (10 Geo. 4, c. 56), s. 26, are clearly not applicable to building societies.

SECT. 2.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Winding-up under the Companies Acts.

Unincorporated Societies.

Winding-up. Jurisdiction.

members at least required.

844. An unincorporated society may be wound up as an unregistered company under the Companies Act, 1862 (p), except where the society was established after 1856 and has not been incorporated under the Act of 1874(q).

The court has also jurisdiction to make an order in the case of a society whose certificate of incorporation has been cancelled (r).

To give the court jurisdiction the society must have at least seven members at the date of the petition (s). Representatives of deceased members, trustees of bankrupt members, and past members, although they may be liable to contribute, are not members (t).

Who may petition.

Seven

845. The petitioner may be a creditor (a) or an unadvanced (b) or advanced member (c). A petitioner who is a member of the society stands in a different position from one who is a creditor, as he is not entitled to an order ex debito justitiæ.

When order made.

The court before making the order will take into consideration the interests of all parties and the circumstances of the case generally (d), including the wishes of the majority of the members (e). Thus, an order has been refused where it would not have been to the advantage of the members generally (f), where it would have been of no service to the petitioner (g), where the petitioner had not previously taken the opinion of the members, as required by the rules (h), and where the costs of the winding-up would have been too great (i).

Vesting of property in liquidators.

846. Any application for an order vesting the property of the society in the liquidator ought to be made in the name of the

(q) Re Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, [1901] 1 Ch. 102. The contrary has been expressly held in Scotland (Smith's Trustees v. Irvine and Fullerton Building Society (1905), 6 F. (Ct. of Sess.) 99). See note (y), p. 325, ante. (r) Re Grosvenor House Property Acquisition and Investment Building Society,

[1902] W. N. 115.
(s) Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 199.

(t) Re Bowling and Welby, [1895] 1 Ch. 663, C. A. Re National Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1867] W. N. 225, contra, must be treated as overruled.

(a) Re No. 3 Midland Counties Benefit Building Society, supra. A person who

has lent money for the purposes of a society which has no power to borrow is not a creditor (Re National Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Williamson (1869), 5 Ch. App. 309).

(b) Re Queen's Benefit Building Society, supra.

(c) Re Professional, Commercial, and Industrial Benefit Building Society (1871), 6 Ch. App. 856, where an order was refused.

(d) Re Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Society, supra.
(e) Re Professional, Commercial, and Industrial Benefit Building Society, supra. (f) Re Planet Benefit Building and Investment Society (1872), L. R. 14 Eq. 441.
(g) Re London Permanent Benefit Building Society (1869), 17 W. R. 513.
(h) Re London and Metropolitan Counties Benefit Building and Investment

Society, [1889] W. N. 18.

(i) Re Second Commercial Benefit Building Society (1879), 48 L. J. (CH.) 753.

⁽p) Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), ss. 199-204; Re No. 3 Midland Counties Benefit Building Society (1864), 4 De G. J. & S. 468. Compare Re St. George's Building Society (1857), 4 Drew. 154; Re Doncaster Permanent Building Society (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 158; Re Queen's Benefit Building Society (1871), 6 Ch. App. 815; Re Cilfoden Benefit Building Society (1868), 3 Ch. App. 462. See

society and served on the trustees (k). If there is more than one liquidator, and they sell the real estate of the society, they must all execute the conveyance, otherwise only a share of the legal estate proportionate to the number executing the conveyance will pass (1).

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Societies.

Where land subject to a rent-charge is vested in the liquidator of Land subject a building society under the order of the court, the liquidator is to rentnot personally liable to pay the rent-charge (m). After the liquidator has repudiated the land subject to a rent-charge, no claim in the winding-up for arrears of the rent-charge accruing after the repudiation will be allowed (n).

847. Apart from liabilities created by special rules and subject Liabilities of to the liabilities in respect of the costs of winding-up and debts members. owing to outside creditors mentioned below, the position of advanced and unadvanced members of an unincorporated society in a winding-up is similar to that of members of an incorporated society (o). Unadvanced members who have withdrawn and advanced members who have redeemed are no longer members, and are subject to no further liability (p).

All members, advanced and unadvanced, are personally liable Debts and without limit for all ordinary debts incurred in the proper carrying loans to the on of the business of the society while they were members (q). But society. in respect of moneys lent to the society they are not liable beyond the amounts payable by them under the terms of the rules and tables (r).

848. The liability of unadvanced members in regard to other Unadvanced members is limited to the amounts which they have paid or are, under the rules, liable to pay up to the commencement of the They are not liable to calls for the purpose of winding-up (s). adjusting the rights of unadvanced members interse (t). Unadvanced members who have given notice of withdrawal expiring before the winding-up are entitled to be paid in priority to members who have not given notice (u).

Advanced members are entitled to redeem their mortgages in Advanced accordance with the rules, and are not bound to remain to share members. losses, notwithstanding that they might have been entitled to share

⁽k) Re Albion Mutual Permanent Building Society (1888), 57 L. J. (CH.) 248.

⁽i) Re Ebsworth and Tidy (1889), 42 Ch. D. 23. (m) Graham v. Edge (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 683, C. A.

⁽n) Re Blackburn und District Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Graham (1889), 42 Ch. D. 343.

⁽v) Re Middlesbrough etc. Building Society (1889), 58 L. J. (CH.) 771. See

⁽p) Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, Ex parte Pullman (1890), 45 Ch. D. 463.

⁽q) Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352, applying the doctrine of principal and agent.

⁽r) Ibid., per WRIGHT, J., at p. 371, on the ground that borrowing is not necessary for the purposes of the business of a building society.

⁽s) Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, and Saltburn etc. Building Society, supra.

⁽t) Re Doncaster Permanent Building Society (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 579.

(u) Walton v. Edge (1884), 10 App. Cas. 33; Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and Cleveland District Permanent Benefit Building Society No. 2 (1885), 53 L. T. 203.

SECT. 2. Unincorporated Societies.

profits if any profits had been made (w). They may, however, be required to pay up immediately the amount owing on their securities, less any discount to which they would be entitled on voluntary redemption under the rules (a). They are not liable to a call for the purpose of making up any deficiency in the amount owing to unadvanced members (b), unless the rules specially so provide (c). If, however, there is such a special provision, payment of such a call will be secured by the mortgages given by the members if they purport to secure, in addition to fines etc., "other payments" in respect of their shares (c). They are also liable to contribute to the costs of the winding-up (d).

If under the rules advanced members are liable for any contribution to losses which the directors may have allotted to them in performance of their duties under the rules, they will be liable for any losses notwithstanding no apportionment has been made before the

winding-up (e).

Mortgagor non-member.

The fact that a mortgage by a non-member to a society contains a recital that the mortgagor holds certain shares in the society is not sufficient, in the absence of other evidence of membership, to render the mortgagor subject to the liabilities of a member (f).

(w) Tosh v. North British Building Society (1886), 11 App. Cas. 489; Re Middlesbrough, Redcar, and Saltburn etc. Building Society (1889), 58 L. J. (CH.)

771; Re Britannia Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1891] W. N. 123.

(a) Brownlie v. Russell (1883), 8 App. Cas. 235, per Lord Bramwell, at p. 259;
London Provident Building Society v. Morgan, [1893] 2 Q. B. 266. In both cases the societies were incorporated, but the decisions were given before the passing of the Building Societies Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 47). Six months? notice may be necessary in some cases. See London Provident Building Society

v. Morgan, supra, per Kennedy, J., at p. 273.
(b) Re Doncaster Permanent Building Society (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 579; Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, [1894] 2 Ch. 352, per

Wright, J., at p. 373.

(c) Re West Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society (1890), 43 Ch. D. 407. See Re Reliance Permanent Benefit Building Society (1892), 61
L. J. (CH.) 453, where a call was made to repay preference shareholders.
(d) Re West London and General Permanent Benefit Building Society, supra. See

Re Albion Mutual Permanent Benefit Building Society (1888), 43 Ch. D. 410, n. (e) Re Albion Mutual Permanent Benefit Building Society, supra; Re West

Riding of Yorkshire Permanent Benefit Building Society, supra.

(f) Re Victoria Permanent Benefit Building, Investment and Freehold Land

Society, Empson's Case (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 597.

BURGAGE TENURE.

See REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL.

BURGLARY.

See Criminal Law and Procedure.

BURIAL AND CREMATION.

	PAGE
PART I. DUTIES OF EXECUTORS AND OTHERS AS TO BURIAL	404
SECT. 1. DUTY TO DISPOSE OF BODY	404
Sect. 2. Funeral Expenses	406
PART II. CHURCHYARDS AND BURIAL IN CHURCHYARDS -	407
SECT. 1. PROPERTY ETC. IN CHURCHYARDS	407
SECT. 2. CONSECRATION OF CHURCHYARDS AND BURIAL GROUNDS	412
SECT. 3. RIGHT OF BURIAL	413
SECT. 4. BURIAL IN CHURCHES	415
SECT. 5. MONUMENTS IN CHURCHES AND CHURCHYARDS -	416
SECT. 6. EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS OF BURIAL	419
SECT. 7. BURIAL SERVICE	420
SECT. 8. EXCLUSION FROM CHRISTIAN BURIAL	421
SECT. 9. BRAWLING AND NUISANCES	422
SECT. 10. USE OF CHURCHYARD FOR SECULAR PURPOSES -	423
PART III. BURIAL IN CONSECRATED GROUND WITHOUT	
SERVICE OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND -	
	144
PART IV. FEES ON BURIAL IN CHURCHYARD ETC	428
Sect. 1. Burial Fees etc	428
Sect. 2. Mortuaries or Corse-presents	431
PART V. ALIENATION OF LAND FOR BURIAL GROUNDS ETC	. 432
Sect. 1. Introductory	- 432
SECT. 2. GIFTS FOR CHURCHES ACTS, 1803 AND 1811 -	- 434
SECT. 3. THE BURIAL GROUND ACT, 1816	- 436
SECT. 4. THE CHURCH BUILDING ACTS	- 436
Sect. 5. The New Parishes Acts	- 441
Sect. 6. The Consecration of Churchyards Acts	- 441
SECT. 7. THE PLACES OF WORSHIP SITES ACTS	- 442
SECT. 8. TRUSTEE APPOINTMENT ACTS	- 444
SECT. 9. VESTING OF PROPERTY IN BURIAL GROUNDS -	- 445
THE DECEMBER OF DIDILLY ORDINARY TOURS AND ASSESSED.	
PART VI. PROVISION OF BURIAL GROUNDS UNDER BURIAL	- 445
	- 445 - 445
Sub-sect. 1. Introductory Sub-sect. 2. Definitions	- 440 - 448
0.1 . 0.77 . 1 . 1.77	450
H.L—III. D D	

D		PAGE
PART	VI. PROVISION OF BURIAL GROUNDS UNDER BURIAL ACTS—continued.	
	SECT, 2. ELECTIVE BURIAL BOARDS IN URBAN DISTRICTS	451
	Sub-sect. 1. Establishment and Election of Burial Board -	451
	Sub-sect. 2. Joint Burial Boards	456
	Sub-sect. 3. Proceedings and Powers of Burial Boards -	457
	Sub-sect. 4. Provision of Burial Ground, Acquisition etc. of	400
	Sub-sect. 5. Consecrated and Unconsecrated Portions of Burial Ground	460
	Sub-sect. 6. Chapels	466 468
	Sub-sect. 7. Rights of Sepulture, Duties of Incumbents etc.	468
	Sub-sect. 8. Charges of Burial Board for Burial etc	473
	Sub-sect. 9. Finances of Burial Board Sub-sect. 10. Fees of Ministers of Religion, Sextons etc	474
	Sub-sect. 11. Conveyance of Body to Place of Burial -	479 483
	SECT. 3. URBAN AUTHORITIES WITH POWERS OF BURIAL BOARDS	484
	Sub-sect. 1. Introductory	484
	Sub-sect. 2. Order in Council investing Borough Council with Powers of Burial Board	484
	Sub-sect. 3. Order in Council investing Non-municipal	404
	Urban District Council with Powers of Burial	
	Board Sub-sect. 4. Urban Authority invested with Powers of Burial	487
	Board under s. 49 of the Local Government	
	Act, 1858	489
	Sub-sect. 5. Urban Authority invested with Powers of Burial	400
	Board under s. 44 of the Sanitary Act, 1866 - Sub-sect. 6. Urban Authority invested with Powers of	490
	Burial Board under s. 62 of the Local Govern-	
	ment Act, 1894	491
	Sub-sect. 7. Miscellaneous	491
	SECT. 4. BURIAL AUTHORITIES UNDER THE BURIAL ACTS IN RURAL AREAS	492
	SECT. 5. JOINT COMMITTEES WITH POWERS OF BURIAL BOARDS -	499
	SECT. 6. BURIAL AUTHORITIES UNDER BURIAL ACTS FOR AREAS IN LONDON	500
	SECT. 7. MISCELLANEOUS	503
Danm	VII. CEMETERIES UNDER PUBLIC HEALTH (INTER-	
LABI	MENTS) ACT, 1879	506
	SECT. 1. PROVISION OF CEMETERY	506
	SECT. 2. BURIALS IN CEMETERIES, CONSECRATION ETC	509
	SECT. 3. PROTECTION OF CEMETERY; ACCOUNTS OF LOCAL AUTHO-	
	RITY ETC	512
PART	VIII. PRIVATE CEMETERIES	512
PART	IX. THE CEMETERIES CLAUSES ACT, 1847	514
	SECT. 1. APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ACT	514
	SECT. 2. MAKING OF CEMETERY	515
	SECT. 3. PREVENTION OF NUISANCES	517
	SECT. 4. BURIALS IN THE CEMETERY	517
	SECT. 5. EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS OF BURIAL, MONUMENTS, INSCRIP-	
	TIONS ETC	520
	SECT. 6. PAYMENTS TO INCUMBENTS AND PARISH CLERKS -	522
	Correspondent Contraction	500

BURIAL AND CREMATION.

			ntna	OT A1	TEE	2 A C*	T 18:	17	mtinu	ed.	P	AGE
		E CEMETE		CLA	USES	AU.	1, 10-	: 1——cc	_	_	_	523
		ACCOUNTS		-	-	DAN	AGEG	TTC	_	_	-	523
		RECOVERY			TIEO,	- LAM	AGES	E 10.	_	-		525
		. MISCELLA			•	_	-					
PART	X. CLOS	SED AND	DISU	SED	BUR	IAL	GRO	UND	S-	-	-	525
	Sect. 1.	CLOSING OF	BURIA	AL GR	ouni	OUND	d Pro s	HIBIT -	TIONS.	AGAIN -	ST -	525
	Sport 9	Building	TIPON	Disus	ED I	BURLA	ı Gr	OUND	8-	-	-	532
	SECT. 3.	CONVEYAN FOR OPE	CE AN	D U	-	TION	OF	Buri -	AL G	łroun -	DS -	533
Part	XI. SUI	PERVISION	N OF	BUI MENI	RIAL IS	GR	OUNI -	DS B	Y GC	VER	N- -	537
	SECT. 1.	SANITARY	REGU	LATIO	N A	ND I	NSPE	TION	OF	BURI	AL -	537
	SECT. 2.	GROUND INQUIRIES		- ECRET	ARY	of S	- TATE	-	-	-	-	539
Part		JRIAL OF					-	-	-	-	-	539
_		URIAL O					DRO	OWN:	ED	-	-	547
_	72777 D	URIAL O	ים דים	RSO	vs .	DYI	va (OF I	INFE	CTIO	us	
PART	XIV. B	DISEASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	549
	SECT. 1.	PROVISION	8 APP	LICABI	LE IN	Lox	DON	-	-	-	-	549
	SECT. 2.	Provision	s of 7	THE I	NFEC	rious -	Disi	EASE -	(Pre	VENTI	(NO	550
	SECT. 3.	Provision		гне І	UBLI	о Не	ALTH	AoT,	, 1875	. –	-	552
		MISCELLA		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	553
PART	XV. DI	SINTERM	ENT (OF D	EAD	BOI	OIES	-	-	-	-	553
PART	XVI. R	EGISTRAT	CION	of B	URI.	ALS	-	-	-	-	-	555
		BURIALS						-	-	-	-	555
	SECT. 2.	BURIALS	in Bu	RIAL (Grou	NDS,	Семі	ETERI	ES ET	.c	-	559
	SECT. 3.	BURIALS OF ENG	in Co	NSECE Sede	ATED	Gr.	DUND	WITI	TUOH	CHU	RCH	561
	Sport 4	DESTRUCT				ND FA	LSIFI	CATIO	N OF	REGIS	ΓER	561
	SECT 5	. Coroner'	a Ord	ER A	ND I	REGIS	TRAR	s CE	RTIFI	CATE	FOR	
	DECI. 0	Burial			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	562
		. Still-boi				-	-	-	-	-	-	563
٠	SECT. 7	. Coffins	CONTA	INING	MOR	E TH.	AN ON	E Bo	DY	-	-	564
PART	XVIL	MORTUAI	RIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	564
PART	xvIII.	CREMAT	ION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	568
	SECT. 1	. STATUTOI	ay Pro	OVISIO	enc	-	-	-	-	-	-	568
		Sub-sect. 1.				-	-	-	-	-	-	568
		Sub-sect. 2.				Coro	nere	-	-	-	-	568 569
	:	Sub-sect. 3. Sub-sect. 4.	Fees	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	569
		Sub-sect. 5.	Repea	al of c	ertair	n Ens	ctmer	ıts -	-	-	-	570
			_							D D	2	

Par	r XVIII. CREMATION—continued.	A.G.E.
		570
		570 570
	place Sub-sect. 4. Disposal of Ashes Sub-sect. 5. Registration of Cremations etc	571 574 574 575
For	Constitution and General Powers of Boards of Guardians See title Poor Law. Metropolitan Borough Councils - ,, METROPOLIS. Urban Authorities, Parish Councils, and Vestries ,, Local Governme: Ecclesiastical Law generally ,, Ecclesiastical L. Religious Services ,, Ecclesiastical L.	w.

Part I.—Duties of Executors and others as to Burial.

SECT. 1.—Duty to dispose of Body.

Mode of disposing of body.

849. Upon the death of any person the duty attaches to the survivors—to use advisedly an indefinite expression—to bury or otherwise dispose of the body. The ordinary method of disposing of the body is by burial; but there is no prohibition against other methods. Thus, even before the statute (a) by which the practice is now recognised and regulated, cremation was not unlawful (b). the body is buried the law does not require that the interment should be in any particular place, nor, if the burial takes place elsewhere than in consecrated ground (c), does it prescribe any particular ceremony. Burial in private ground is permissible unless the user of the ground for that purpose amounts to a nuisance (d), or involves a breach of some statutory prohibition against burial in the particular locality (e). A disregard of decency in the disposal of a dead body would, however, generally be a misdemeanour as amounting to a common nuisance (f), and is perhaps a misdemeanour apart from nuisance (g).

at p. 951.
(e) E.g., the prohibitions referred to at pp. 525, 526, post.

(f) R. v. Price, supra.

(q) The duty to dispose of a dead body has been described as a duty to

⁽a) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8).

(b) R. v. Price (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 247.

(c) "Our Church knows no such indecency as putting the body into the consecrated ground without the service being at the same time performed" (Kemp v. Wickes (1809), 3 Phillim. 264, per Sir J. Nicholl., at p. 295); and this is still the law unless the conditions of the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), are satisfied. See p. 424, post.
(d) See Cowley (Lord) v. Byas (1877), 5 Ch. D. 944, C. A., per Jessel, M.R.,

It is a misdemeanour to dispose of a body so as to prevent the holding of an inquest over it in a case where the coroner has reasonable ground for holding an inquest (h).

SECT. 1. Duty to dispose of Body.

850. A person cannot by will or otherwise legally dispose of his body after death; and any directions on the matter that he may have given are consequently not legally binding upon his representatives (i). There are, however, statutory provisions of body. enabling a person to give effective directions with regard to the anatomical examination of his body after his death (j), and the cremation of the remains of a person known to have left written directions to the contrary is prohibited (k).

Directions of deceased as to disposal

851. The law as to the persons upon whom the duty of disposing of a dead body falls, and as to the nature of that duty (1), is imperfectly developed. Such a duty is, however, recognised as incumbent on the executors of the deceased (m), on the husband of a deceased wife (n), on the parent of a deceased child (o), and on any householder on whose premises the body lies (p); and neglect of the duty may be a common law misdemeanour (q).

posal lies,

852. The law, in general, recognises no property in a dead Right to body (r); but it does recognise as incident to the duty to dispose of possession

of body.

bury the body with decency, or to give it "Christian" burial. See Gilbert v. Buzzard (1820), 3 Phillim. 335, at p. 353; R. v. Stewart (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 773; R. v. Vann (1851), 2 Den. 325. And, if the duty is more than a moral duty, a breach of the duty without lawful excuse would seem to be a common law misdemeanour. See title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

(h) R. v. Price (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 247; R. v. Stephenson (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 331.
(i) Williams v. Williams (1882), 20 Ch. D. 659.

(j) Anatomy Acts, 1832 and 1871 (2 & 3 Will. 4, c. 75 (see particularly ss. 7, 8); 34 & 35 Vict. c. 16). See further, title MEDICINE AND PHARMACY. (k) Cremation Regulations, 1903, r. 3; and see p. 571, post.

(1) The matter is complicated by the circumstance that a dead body left unburied will generally give rise to a nuisance, and that there are of course duties to obviate or remedy such a nuisance which practically involve the duty of disposing of the body. These duties are, howeview, distinct from the duties referred to in the text. These duties are, however, from a legal point of

(m) 2 Bl. Com. 508; and see the cases referred to pp. 406, 407, post, as to

funeral expenses.

(n) Jenkins v. Tucker (1788), 1 Hy. Bl. 91; Ambrose v. Kerrison (1851), 10 C. B. 776; Bradshaw v. Beard (1862), 12 C. B. (N. s.) 344, all relating to the recovery of funeral expenses from the husband. And see Clark v. London

General Omnibus Co., Ltd., [1906] 2 K. B. 648, C. A., per FARWELL, L.J., at p. 663.

(o) R. v. Vann (1851), 2 Den. 325, where the futher of a deceased child was indicted for failing to bury it, and the court, while expressing the opinion that it was the duty of a parent, if of sufficient means, to provide "Christian" burial for his child (by which, however, they must be taken to have meant no more than that it was his duty to dispose of the body with decency, see p. 404 anter beld that the defendant's went of means were a defence and see p. 404, ante), held that the defendant's want of means was a defence, and would have been a defence even if the indictment had been for nuisance arising from the unburied body, although the defendant could have obtained the necessary money from the poor law authorities on loan, as the defendant was not bound to incur a debt. The opinion expressed in this case was, however, doubted in Clark v. London General Omnibus Co., Ltd., supra, where it was suggested that the obligation on a father to bury his child may be a moral obligation only.

(p) R. v. Stewart, supra.

(q) See R. v. Vann, supra. (r) See R. v. Sharpe (1857), 26 L. J. (M. c.) 47, per Erle, J., at p. 48. No Duty to dispose of Body.

the body rights to the possession of the body until it is disposed of (s). A creditor is not entitled to retain the dead body of his debtor as security for his debt (t).

Sect. 2.—Funeral Expenses.

Allowance out of deceased's estate.

853. Proper funeral expenses are payable out of the property of the deceased in priority to any other charges (u); and the executor will accordingly be allowed in respect of funeral expenses such amount, but such amount only, as is reasonable in the circumstances, regard being had, *inter alia*, to the position in life of the deceased. Less will, however, be allowed where the estate is insolvent, at any rate if the executor knew or had reason to suspect that the estate was insolvent, than in the case of a solvent estate (w). The amount that will be allowed in the case of a solvent estate may be affected by the terms of the will (x).

Payment of undertaker.

854. An undertaker who performs a funeral suitable to the position and circumstances of the deceased may recover his reasonable charges from the executor of the deceased, having assets, without any specific contract (y), unless he has given credit to another, in which case the executor is not liable to the undertaker (a), but is liable to repay the person who employed the undertaker (b).

If, however, the heir of a deceased intestate employs and

doubt, however, a dead body may in some circumstances be the subject of property, e.g., in the case of a mummy. The shroud in which a dead body is wrapped remains the property of the person to whom it previously belonged, and should be described accordingly in an indictment for stealing the shroud after burial (Haynes' Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113).

(s) 2 Bl. Com. 508, as explained in Williams v. Williams (1882), 20 Ch. D. 659,

(s) 2 Bl. Com. 508, as explained in Williams v. Williams (1882), 20 Ch. D. 659, per KAY, J., at p. 664. The right is spoken of in that case as being the right of the executors; but no doubt other persons charged with the duty of disposing of the bodies of the dead have similar rights, e.g., a husband in the case of a dead wife.

(t) R. v. Fox (1841), 2 Q. B. 246; R. v. Scott (1842), 2 Q. B. 248, n., decided with reference to an attempt on the part of a gaoler to detain, on account of pecuniary claims, the body of a debtor dying in prison. See also Ayl. Par. 135.

(u) 3 Co. Inst. 202. See title EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.

(w) See 2 Bl. Com. 508; Offley v. Offley (1691), Prec. Ch. 26, 27; Stag v. Punter (1744), 3 Atk. 119, where £60 was allowed where the deceased was apparently of large means, though, as it proved, the solvency of the estate was at least doubtful; Stacpoole v. Stacpoole (1816), 4 Dow, 209, 227; Hancock v. Podmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 260, where, in the case of a captain on half-pay whose estate was insolvent, £79 was held to be too much, and £20 was suggested as reasonable; Edwards v. Edwards (1834), 2 Cr. & M. 612, the headnote of which appears to be inaccurate; Bridge v. Brown (1843), 2 Y. & C. Ch. Cas. 181; and compare Mullick v. Mullick (1829), 1 Knapp, 245. The former strictness of the common law as to the allowance in the case of an insolvent estate (as to which see Shelly's Case (1693), 1 Salk. 296, and Stag v. Punter, supra) is now relaxed. See Edwards v. Edwards, supra.

(x) See Paice v. Canterbury (Archbishop) (1807), 14 Ves. 364, at p. 372.

- (y) Tugwell v. Heyman (1812), 3 Camp. 298; Ambrose v. Kerrison (1851), 10 C. B. 776.
- (a) Rogers v. Price (1829), 3 Y. & J. 25; Brice v. Wilson (1834), 3 Nev. & M. (K. B.) 512.

(b) See Green v. Salmon (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 348.

voluntarily pays the undertaker, he cannot recover the amount so

paid out of the personalty (c).

As the estate of the deceased is chargeable with the funeral expenses, administration will in a proper case be granted to the undertaker as a creditor in respect of such expenses (d).

SECT. 2. Funeral Expenses.

855. A husband is liable for the funeral expenses of his wife, Liability of at any rate if she leaves no property, even though he may have separated from her altogether, and though she be buried without his knowledge or request; and he is equally liable whether the person who causes the body to be buried is an undertaker or any other person (e). But a husband who is his wife's executor is entitled to retain out of her estate the expenses of her funeral, although her will contains no charge of debts or funeral expenses and the estate is insolvent (f).

856. An infant widow whose husband dies without leaving assets Liability of is liable on a contract for the funeral expenses of her deceased infant. husband; but it would seem that an infant is not liable upon a contract for the burial of his parent (q).

857. The funeral expenses of a person who has been killed by Persons killed the negligence of another appear to be in no case recoverable from by negligence. the latter either under the Fatal Accidents Act, 1846 (h), or at common law (i).

There are statutory provisions as to the funeral expenses of Seamen. sailors dying while on service, the effect of which is, shortly, to cast the expense on the shipowner if the sailor dies from injuries received in the service of the ship and to provide for the deduction of such expenses from the sailor's wages in other cases (j).

Part II.—Churchyards and Burial in Churchyards.

SECT. 1.—Property etc. in Churchyards.

858. There is in most parishes a burial ground, usually Parish adjoining the parish church, which is recognised as the parish churchyards.

(f) Re M'Myn (1886), 33 Ch. D. 575.

(g) Chapple v. Cooper (1844), 13 M. & W. 252. (h) 9 & 10 Vict. c. 93 (Lord Campbell's Act).

⁽c) Coleby v. Coleby (1866), 12 Jur. (n. s.) 496. (d) Newcombe v. Beloe (1867), L. R. 1 P. & D. 314; Re Fowler (1852), 16 Jur. 894.

⁽e) Jenkins v. Tucker (1788), 1 Hy. Bl. 91; Ambrose v. Kerrison (1851), 10 C. B. 776; Bradshaw v. Beard (1862), 12 C. B. (N. s.) 344.

⁽i) See Clark v. London General Omnibus Co., Ltd., [1906] 2 K. B. 648, C. A., following Osborn v. Gillett (1873), L. R. 8 Exch. 88, and overruling Bedwell v. Golding (1902), 18 T. L. R. 436.

⁽j) Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 60), s. 207 (1), (5). As to the application of the section, see ibid., ss. 260-266, and the definition section, ibid., s. 742. See generally, title SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION.

SECT. 1. Property etc. in Churchyards.

churchyard, and, unless closed for burials by Order in Council (k), constitutes the ordinary place of sepulture for the parishioners. It is proposed in the present part of this title to deal with certain branches of the law relating to such burial grounds, which it will be convenient to term parish churchyards, and to burial therein, and incidentally to some extent with the law as to burial in consecrated ground generally.

When a burial ground is a parish churchyard.

What conditions must be fulfilled in order that a burial ground should constitute a parish churchyard cannot be stated with certainty. Consecration, actual or presumed, appears to be essential, and there must, no doubt, be an intention that the ground should become a parish churchyard (l). If a burial ground has been recognised for any length of time as a parish churchyard, the presumption arises that the necessary conditions have been ful-In some cases statutory provisions prevent there being any question as to whether a burial ground is a parish churchyard (m).

Freehold churchyard.

859. Where a parish has no rector, or the rector is himself the incumbent, the freehold of the parish churchyard is, normally at least, in the incumbent. Where, however, there are both a rector and an incumbent, it seems that normally, in the case of an ancient churchyard, the freehold is in the rector (n), but that in

(k) See pp. 526 et seq., post.

(1) The question was touched upon in argument in Stewart v. West Derby Burial Board (1886), 34 Ch. D. 314, 333.

(m) E.g., the enactments in aid of the provision of churchyards referred to

at pp. 432 et seq., post.

(n) That, apart from statutory provisions, the freehold is prima facie in the parson, to use the general expression, is clear (Com. Dig. tit. Esglise, G. 1; Degge's Parson's Counsellor, 7th ed., p. 230). But, while the better opinion seems to be that, where there is a rector distinct from the incumbent, the freehold is, in the absence of statutory provisions to the contrary, in the former (see Greenslade v. Darby (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 421; Walter v. Mountague (1836), 1 Curt. 253, per Dr. Lushington, at p. 260; Griffin v. Dighton (1864), 5 B. & S. 93, per Cockburn, C.J., at p. 103), there is some authority for the view that the freehold is in the incumbent, at least in the case of a vicar, and not in the rector (Com. Dig. tit. Cemetery, A. 2; Stephen's Commentaries, 14th ed., Vol. II., p. 679, repeating a statement contained in earlier editions; St. Botolph-without-Aldgate (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 161, per Dr. Tristram, at p. 167). In Stewart v. West Derby Burial Board, supra, KAY, J., at p. 333, appeared disposed to accept the statement in Stephen's Commentaries, and referred to Champneys v. Arrowsmith (1867), L. R. 3 C. P. 107, Ex. Ch., as an authority for the same view. In the last cited case, however, though the freehold was taken to be in the vicar, it did not appear whether there was a rector or not, and the point was not discussed, nor was the churchyard in fact an ancient churchyard. And later in Batten v. Gedye (1889), 41 Ch. D. 507, KAY, J., seems to have considered that the freehold of an ancient churchyard was or might be in the lay rector. It seems that when by the sentence of consecration the landowner renounces all right and title to the land the freehold may thereupon become by operation of law vested in the parson (see Campbell v. Liverpool Corporation (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 579); but that the freehold of consecrated ground can be in others, e.g., in trustees, is clear (see the Burial Ground Act, 1816 (56 Geo. 3, c. 141), s. 4; and p. 445, post), and the fact that the freehold was in trustees would probably not in itself prevent the burial ground from being a parish churchyard (Stewart v. West Derby Burial Board, supra).

the case of a churchyard consecrated in modern times the freehold is normally, by virtue of various statutory provisions, in the incumbent as distinguished from the rector (o).

The rector or incumbent in whom the freehold is vested may maintain an action for trespass, in case of an unauthorised interference with the churchyard, by virtue of his rights as freeholder (p).

SECT. 1. **Property** etc. in Churchyards.

860. The right to the herbage and, subject to what is said Herbage and below, to the trees in the churchyard is in the incumbent if the timber in freehold is vested in him; and in the case of a vicarage the presumption from general practice and usage is that such right forms part of the vicar's endowment, though the freehold may be in the rector; but the right is primâ facie in the rector when he is the freeholder, and the incumbent is a perpetual curate (q).

churchyard.

The rector or incumbent is not, however, at liberty to fell the Felling trees. trees inadvisedly, or for purposes other than the repair of the church and the like (r). If he does so, he may be restrained by injunction at the instance of the patron of the living (s), and may possibly be liable to indictment (t).

Even where the freehold is in the rector, the incumbent is entitled to the possession of the churchyard for the purposes of

(0) Some of the enactments enabling new churchyards to be provided contain provisions for the vesting of the freehold in the incumbent. See pp. 439, 440, 444, post. See also the general provisions in the Burial Ground Act, 1816 (56 Geo. 3, c. 141), s. 4, referred to at p. 445, post.

(p) Y. B. 11 Hen. 4, 12 a, pl. 25; and see Batten v. Gedye (1889), 41 Ch. D.

507, per KAY, J., at p. 516.

Digitized by Google

⁽q) Greenslade v. Darby (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 421; and see Cox v. Ricraft (1757), 2 Lee, 373; Lynd. lib. 3, tit. 28, De Communitate Ecclesiæ, p. 267. In 2 Roll. Abr., p. 337, it is said that the right is in the vicar as between him and the rector; but Bellamie's Case (1615), 1 Roll. Rep. 255, which is cited with reference to the proposition, appears only to show that the question is triable at law, and not in the Ecclesiastical Court. The case is cited on this point a Roll. Abr. p. 211. See the pate in 1 Gib. Cod. pp. 207. in 2 Roll. Abr., p. 311. See the note in 1 Gib. Cod., pp. 207, 208. Under the Incumbents Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 117), perpetual curates are now in almost all cases styled vicars, but the Act does not alter their legal position.

⁽r) By a document sometimes referred to as 35 Edw. 1, stat. 2 (printed in the Statutes Revised, 2nd ed., Vol. I., p. 79, as among statutes of uncertain date), but which is referred to by Lord Coke in his note on Liford's Case (1614), 11 Co. Rep. 46 b, at p. 49 b, as a treatise declaratory of the common law, it is declared that the parson shall not fell the trees "undiscreetly, but only when the chancel of the church doth want necessary reparations, nor shall convert them to any other use by any other means, unless the body of the church do likewise want reparations, and the parsons do of charity think good to give of those trees to the parishioners wanting them." In Strachy v. Francis (1741), 2 Atk. 217, however, Lord HARDWICKE said that a rector might cut the timber for repairing the parsonage-house as well as the chancel, and mentioned also the repair of pews belonging to the rectory, and obtaining botes for repairing barns and out-houses belonging to the parsonage, as purposes for which the timber might be felled; but in Greenslade v. Durby, supra, BLACKBURN, J., doubted whether Lord HARDWICKE was speaking of trees in a churchyard. See also A.-G. v. Warren (1844), Cripps' Law of the Church and Clergy, 6th ed., pp. 434, 435.

⁽s) Stracky v. Francis, supra; and see Bradly v. Stratchy (1740), Barn. (CH.) 399; Knight v. Mosely (1753), Amb. 176; Sowerby v. Fryer (1869), L. R. 8 Eq.

⁽t) See Cox v. Ricraft, supra, per Sir G. Lee, at p. 375.

SECT. 1.
Property
etc. in
Churchyards.

burials etc., and in respect of such possession may maintain trespass against a wrong-doer (u).

Certain powers of control over the churchyard may be exercised by the churchwardens for the avoidance of disturbance or irreverent conduct therein, though these powers are very ill defined (a).

How far parson is "owner."

861. The interest which the parson has in the churchyard as freeholder appears to be sufficient to qualify him, other conditions being satisfied, as a parliamentary elector in respect of the owner-ship qualification (b).

The parson appears, however, not to be "owner" of the churchyard within the meaning of statutory definitions of "owner," such as those applicable to the interpretation of the Public Health Acts and the Metropolis Management Acts, to the effect that "owner" means the person receiving the rack-rent of the premises, or who would receive the rack-rent if the premises were let at a rackrent (c).

Incumbent as "occupier."

An incumbent in whom the freehold is vested is the "occupier" of the churchyard; and, if the occupation is of value by reason of his receiving a net income from fees in respect of exclusive rights of burial or rights of erecting monuments etc. in the churchyard, he is rateable accordingly (d).

Remedies for unauthorised interference. **862.** An unauthorised interference with the churchyard, whether on the part of the rector or incumbent or others, is an offence against ecclesiastical law (e). And in a proper case a civil court will grant an injunction to restrain an interference with the churchyard that would constitute an offence against ecclesiastical law (f). Such an injunction will be granted at the instance of the

⁽u) See Jones v. Ellis (1828), 2 Y. & J. 265; Griffin v. Dighton (1864), 5 B. & S. 93; Greenslade v. Darby (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 421. The first two of these cases relate to the church, and it is not altogether clear how far in the respect in question the position of the churchyard is the same as that of the church.

⁽a) See Ecclesiastical Courts Jurisdiction Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 32), s. 3; and p. 422, post. See also Marriott v. Tarpley (1838), 9 Sim. 279; Ex parte Blackmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 122, per LITTLEDALE, J., at p. 124; Jones v. Ellis, supra; Worth v. Terrington (1845), 13 M. & W. 781; Taylor v. Timson (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 671.

⁽b) See Kirton v. Dear (1869), L. R. 5 C. P. 217; Besmick v. Alker (1872), L. R. 8 C. P. 265; Vickers v. Selwyn (1903), 89 L. T. 747; Wolfe v. Surrey County Council (Clerk), [1905] 1 K. B. 439, decided with reference to the parson's free-hold in the church. See title Elections.

⁽c) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 4; Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), s. 250. See Angell v. Paddington Vestry (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 714, decided with reference to a church, and explained in Wright v. Ingle (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 379, C. A.; R. v. Lee (1878), 4 Q. B. D. 75, also decided with reference to a church.

⁽d) North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B. 835, C. A. (e) See Bennett v. Bonaker (1828-9), 2 Hag. Ecc. 25, 3 Hag. Ecc. 17; Burgoyne v. Free (1829), 2 Hag. Ecc. 456, 662; Walter v. Mountague (1836), 1 Curt. 253, a case of forming a footpath across a churchyard and making a new gate to it; Marriott v. Tarpley, supra; Batten v. Gedye (1889), 41 Ch. D. 507; and see also the cases cited as to monuments and vaults pp. 416 et seq., post. See title Ecclesiastical Law.

⁽f) Marriott v. Tarpley, supra.

churchwardens (q), but, in view of the remedies available in the Ecclesiastical Court, not at the instance of a parishioner (h).

A faculty for the making of alterations etc. in the churchyard may be granted in spite of the opposition of the incumbent or rector, and notwithstanding that such incumbent or rector is the freeholder (i); and such a faculty would, it seems, override the Faculty rights of the rector or incumbent as freeholder (k).

863. In a particular parish, by immemorial usage, the incumbent or the owner of particular land may be bound to maintain the of churchchurchyard and its fences. And such a duty, it seems, is enforce- yard. able by indictment, or, if the liability is not denied, by proceedings in the Ecclesiastical Court (1).

In general, however, it was the duty of the churchwardens to see Duty of that the churchyard and its fences were kept in proper repair, and churchthis duty was enforceable at law so long as the payment of church rates, upon which the burden of repair fell, was compulsory (m). Since the abolition of compulsory church rates, the duty of churchwardens is limited to effecting repairs out of such funds as may be available for the purpose from voluntary church rates or subscriptions, and has ceased to be a legal obligation (n).

Statutory power is vested in the Ecclesiastical Commissioners Powers of (as successors of the Commissioners for the execution of the Church Building Acts (0)), if they think fit, to alter, repair, pull down, and sioners.

SECT. 1. Property etc. in Church. vards.

against wishes of freeholder. Maintenance

Ecclesiastical

(g) Marriott v. Tarpley (1838), 9 Sim. 279. (h) Batten v. Gedye (1889), 41 Ch. D. 507.

(i) See Bulwer v. Hase (1803), 3 East, 217; Tattersall v. Knight (1811), 1 Phillim. 232; Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164; Rugg v. Kingsmill (1867), L. R. 2 P. C. 59; Keet v. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73. Some of these cases relate to the church itself; but in this respect the church and churchyard appear to be in the same position.

(k) This seems to be the better opinion; see Cripps' Law of the Church and Clergy, 6th ed., p. 429, referring to the question of monuments in the church. The point has, however, never been definitely decided. It was raised as regards a church in *Bulwer v. Hase*, *supra*, where the court refused to prohibit, at the instance of the rector, proceedings for the grant of a faculty for stopping up a window, but without deciding whether the faculty, if granted, would override the rights of the rector in respect of his freehold. See, however, Frances v. Ley (1615), Cro. Jac. 366, also reported sub nom. Day v. Beddingfield,

Nov. 104; and note (a), p. 415, post.
(i) See Claydon Churchwardens v. Duncombe (1638), 2 Roll. Abr. 287, tit. Prohibition, 52, where on a denial of the liability a prohibition went to restrain proceedings in the Ecclesiastical Court against a landowner alleged to be liable to repair the churchyard; R. v. Reynell (1805), 6 East, 315, where a new trial was refused in a case where the vicar had been indicted for non-repair of a churchyard for which he was alleged to be liable, and had been acquitted.

(m) In 2 Co. Inst. 489 it is said that the parishioners ought to repair the inclosure of the churchyard, a statement which may be taken to mean that it was their duty to contribute through the church rate to the expense. See Canones Ecclesiastici (1603), 85. See also Walter v. Mountague (1836), 1 Curt. 253, per Dr. Lushington, at p. 260.

(n) The abolition of compulsory church rates was effected by the Compulsory Church Rate Abolition Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 109), the effect of which is (except in certain cases specially provided for) to make the payment of church rates voluntary, and thus to relieve churchwardens from the absolute duty of providing for the upkeep of church fabrics and ornaments. As to the maintenance

of closed churchyards, see pp. 529 et seq., post.
(o) Church Building Commissioners (Transfer of Powers) Act, 1856 (19

SECT. 1. Property etc. in Churchyards.

rebuild, or order or direct to be altered, repaired, pulled down, and rebuilt, the walls or fences of any existing (p) churchyard or burial ground of any parish or chapelry, and to fence off with walls or otherwise any additional or new burial ground set out or provided by virtue of the Church Building Acts; and also to stop up and discontinue or alter or vary, or order to be stopped up and discontinued or altered or varied, any entrance or gate leading into any churchyard or burial ground, and the paths, footways, and passages into, through, or over the same, as to them may appear useless and unnecessary, or as they shall think fit to alter or vary, provided that the same be done with the consent of any two justices of the peace of the county, city, town, or place where any such entrance, gate, path, or passage shall be stopped up or altered, and on notice being given in the manner and form prescribed by the Highway Act of 1815(q).

SECT. 2.—Consecration of Churchyards and Burial Grounds.

Consecration by bishop and chancellor.

864. When land is to be consecrated for a churchyard or burial ground (r), the bishop and chancellor of the diocese attend upon the land, and a special service of consecration is held, which varies somewhat in different dioceses, but the effective part of which is the act or sentence of consecration, which is read by the chancellor and signed by the bishop (s).

Fees.

The total amount of the fees payable when a church and burial ground are consecrated together is twelve guineas, and when a cemetery is consecrated alone ten guineas (t).

Consecration of additional ground.

865. Where any ground adjoining an existing (u) churchyard has been or is added thereto, the bishop of the diocese may if he thinks fit, at the churchyard or in the church to which it belongs, by his own hand or by the hand of any bishop of the Church of England lawfully appointed as his commissary, sign an instrument

& 20 Vict. c. 55), s. 1. As to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, see title ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

(p) As the words "existing churchyard" here used appear to be contrasted with "any churchyard" later in the section, it is probable that this part of the section applies only to churchyards existing in 1819, the date of the Act.

(η) Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 39. The statute of 1815 (55 Geo. 3, c. 68) referred to is repealed by the Highway Act. 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 50), s. 1 (see title Highways, Streets and Bridges); but its provisions as to notices remain applicable to proceedings under s. 39 of the Church Building Act, 1819 (R. v. Stock (1838), 8 Ad. & El. 405; R. v. Arkwright (1848), 12 Q. B. 960). There is no appeal against an order of the Commissioners under s. 39 of the Act of 1819, for that section cannot be read as incorporating the provisions of the Act of 1815 as to appeals (R. v. Stock, supra). It is essential to the validity of the order that notice should be duly given before the order is made (R. v. Arkwright, supra).

(r) Except where, in cases where it is available, advantage is taken of the

statutory method referred to at p. 413, post.
(s) As to the form of service etc., see title Ecclesiastical Law.
(t) The fees are fixed by a table settled by the two archbishops and the Lord Chancellor and confirmed by Order in Council of December 10, 1895, under the Pluralities Act, 1838 (1 & 2 Vict. c. 106), s. 131, and the Ecclesiastical Fees Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 135). See Statutory Rules and Orders revised to 1903, Vol. IV., Ecclesiastical Fees, 1.

(u) This probably means existing for the time being.

declaring or recording the consecration of such ground, without the presence of the chancellor or registrar of the diocese being necessary. The signature of the bishop to such instrument is to be attested by the chancellor, or by a surrogate, or by any two clergymen of the diocese, and is to be in the following form, indorsed on a plan of the ground so added: "I, A. B., Bishop of , do hereby declare and record the ground added to the churchyard of within plan, to be consecrated ground and part of the said churchyard." Such instrument so signed and attested, on being deposited in the registry of the diocese, has the same effect as a sentence of consecration (a).

SECT. 2. Consecration of Churchyards etc.

In such case no officer of the bishop or of the diocese is to receive Fees. any fee for attendance at the consecration, or any allowance for travelling or for attendance (b); but a fee of five shillings is payable to the registrar for the deposit of the instrument of consecration (c).

SECT. 3.—Right of Burial.

866. Every parishioner and inhabitant of a parish and every Persons person dying within the parish has the right to be buried in having right. the parish churchyard or burial ground (d). The right is enforce-

To speak of the deceased as having a right of burial involves some laxity of

language, but the expression is convenient and sanctioned by usage. Formerly persons unbaptised or excommunicate or felo de se were excluded from Christian burial (see p. 421, post), and as, according to ecclesiastical law, no one could be buried in consecrated ground without the Church service (Kemp v. Wickes (1809), 3 Phillim. 264, at p. 295), they might thus be excluded from burial in the parish churchyard. But the ecclesiastical law was never allowed to prevail over the common law right so as to exclude such persons from burial in the churchyard (R. v. Taylor (1721), Burn, Ecclesiastical Law, Vol. I., p. 258, cited in Andrews v. Cawthorne (1745), Willes 536, at p. 538, where it was held that an information could be granted against a parson for opposing



⁽a) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 1. Land may "adjoin" an existing churchyard within this section, though separated from it by a highway (Re Bateman (Baroness) and Parker, [1899] 1 Ch. 599).

⁽b) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 2.

⁽c) I bid., s. 3.

⁽d) While the existence of the right is clear, the authority as to the persons by whom it is enjoyed is meagre. In Com. Dig. tit. Cemetery, A. 2, the inclosure of the churchyard is spoken of as "belonging" to the "parishioners." but this appears to mean only that the duty of inclosing the churchyard and of repairing the walls etc. rests upon the parishioners; see Com. Dig. tit. Prohibition, G. 3; and in Com. Dig. tit. Cemetery, B., it is said that "every the parishioners of the reverse was a second to the parishioners." person may have burial in the churchyard [sc. of the parish] where he dies. In Degge's Parson's Counsellor, 7th ed., p. 175, there is a similar statement, and also a quotation from the canon law: "ubi decimas persolvebat vivus sepeliatur mortuus." In Ex parte Blackmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 122, the right was spoken of as being that of the "parishioners," and in Hughes v. Lloyd (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 157, as being that of the inhabitants or residents. The right is referred to in statutes in various ways, e.g., in the Burial Acts it is spoken of in some cases as the right of the "parishioners and inhabitants, in others as the right of the parishioners and persons dying in the parish, while in s. 2 of the Church Building Act, 1827 (7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 72), it is spoken of as the right of persons dying in the parish. In the enactments mentioned at pp. 540, 541, post, it seems to be assumed that the right extends to paupers chargeable (apart from the modern legislation as to chargeability to unions) to

SECT. 3. Right of Burial.

Districts of parish.

able at common law and not merely in the Ecclesiastical

If a parish is divided into ecclesiastical districts under the Church Building Acts, and there is no burial ground within any such district, then, until a burial ground has been provided, the bodies of persons dying within such district may be interred in the cemetery of the parish church in all respects as if such division had not taken place (f). But when any such district which has become a new parish by virtue of the New Parishes Act, 1856(q), has a burial ground of its own, the inhabitants of the district lose the right of being buried in the churchyard of the mother parish (h).

Nonparishioners.

867. Strangers to the parish, that is, persons not connected with the parish so as to have the right of burial under discussion, are in practice not infrequently buried in the parish churchyard with the permission of the incumbent and churchwardens, and it seems that such burials do not necessarily involve any breach of the common law or of ecclesiastical law (i). The common practice of incumbents to allow strangers to be buried in the churchyard without obtaining the consent of the churchwardens is not supported by any authority (k).

The burial of strangers to the parish in the parish churchyard without a faculty in that behalf, however, if it causes actual inconvenience to the parishioners, is an offence against ecclesiastical

law (1), and might probably be restrained by injunction (m).

the burial of an unbaptised parishioner in the churchyard, though the Court of King's Bench refused to interpose as to the reading of the service over the deceased). Now s. 13 of the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), makes it clear that such persons have the right of burial in the churchyard, though not with the full Church service; see pp. 421, 428, post. (e) R. v. Taylor (1721), Burn, Ecclesiastical Law, Vol. I., p. 258, cited in Andrews v. Cauthorne (1745), Willes, 536, at p. 538; and see R. v. Coleridge

- (1819), 2 B. & Ald. 806.

 (f) Church Building Act, 1827 (7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 2, the operation of which, though confined in terms to cases where districts have been created under the Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), appears to be extended by the Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 25, to all districts created under any of the Church Building Acts. In Bliss v. Woods (1831), 3 Hag. Ecc. 486, at p. 521, Sir J. Nicholl spoke of s. 2 of the Act of 1827 as "hardly required." See also Hughes v. Lloyd (1888), 22 Q. B. D. 157.

 (g) 19 & 20 Vict. c. 104. As to this Act, see title Ecclesiastical Law.

(h) Hughes v. Lloyd, supra.

(i) See Bardin v. Calcott (1789), 1 Hag. Con. 14, where Lord STOWELL (then Sir W. Scott), at p. 17, would appear to have regarded the incumbent and churchwardens as competent to give permission for the burial of strangers to the parish in the churchyard, though saying that the permission should be sparingly granted. See also Littlewood v. Williams (1815), 6 Taunt. 277; Nevill v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Exch. 212.

(k) See A.-G. v. Strong, (1868), referred to in 1 Seton's Forms of Judgments and Orders, 6th ed., p. 559. See note (m), infra.
(l) See Burn, Ecclesiastical Law, Vol. I., p. 258, referring to an unreported case of Harrow-on-the-Hill Churchwardens; Littlewood v. Williams, supra.

(m) In A.-G. v. Strong, supra, an interim injunction (afterwards made perpetual by consent) was granted restraining an incumbent from permitting the burial of strangers in the parish churchyard without the consent of the churchwardens and parishioners; but the case is not reported, and the circumstances in which the injunction was granted do not appear.

868. The right to burial does not entitle the representatives of the deceased to insist upon the burial of the deceased in any particular part of the churchyard (n), and there cannot be a good custom entitling the inhabitants of a particular parish to be buried as near as possible to their ancestors (o).

SECT. 3. Right of Burial.

869. The right of burial extends only to burial in an ordinary Limits of manner. Thus, it does not carry with it a right to the erection right. of a monument (p), or the construction of a vault (q). while the right of burial is, as has been said, enforceable at common law, the question as to the particular manner of burial is within the exclusive cognisance of the Ecclesiastical Court (r). The Ecclesiastical Court will in general treat burial in an iron coffin as proper, provided that a reasonable payment is made in respect of the privilege (s).

SECT. 4.—Burial in Churches.

870. Parishioners and others entitled to a right of burial in Burial in the parish churchyard have not, as such, any right to burial within church on the church (t). And it seems that the construction of a vault faculty. for burial under a church, and possibly the burial of a body in the church apart from the construction of a vault, without a faculty in that behalf, even with the consent of the incumbent or rector in whom the freehold is vested, is an offence against ecclesiastical law. A faculty may issue for the purpose notwithstanding the opposition of the incumbent or rector, and such a faculty would apparently override the rights of the incumbent or rector as freeholder (a). And, on the other hand, a rector in whom the freehold is vested is not entitled as of right to the

⁽n) Ex parte Blackmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 122. It was said in this case that the discretion as to the particular part of the churchyard in which a burial should take place was in the incumbent and churchwardens. But the question as to the person or persons with whom the decision ultimately rests, e.g., in case of difference between the incumbent and churchwardens, or where there are other interests in the churchyard besides those of the incumbent and churchwardens, was not under consideration. See also North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B. 835, C. A., per BARNES, P., at p. 842.

⁽o) Fryer v. Johnson (1755), 2 Wils. 28.

⁽p) See pp. 416, 417, post.

⁽q) See p. 419, post. (r) R. v. Coleridge (1819), 2 B. & Ald. 806; Ex parte Blackmore, supra. See title ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

⁽s) This seems to be the effect of the decision in Gilbert v. Buzzard (1820), 3 Phillim. 335.

⁽t) As to the history of the practice of burying in churches, see Kennett, Parochial Antiquities 591, 592; Gilbert v. Buzzard, supra; Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164, 171.

⁽a) See Rich v. Bushnell, supra; Rugg v. Kingsmill (1867), L. R. 2 P. C. 59; and see note (k), p. 411, ante. The resolution in the Star Chamber in Frances v. Ley (1615), Cro. Jac. 366, also reported sub nom. Day v. Beddingfield, Noy, The resolution in the Star Chamber in Frances 104, that "neither the ordinary himself nor the churchwardens can grant licence of burying to any within the church but the parson only, because the soil and freehold of the church is only in the parson, and in none other," cannot apparently now be regarded as law.

SECT. 4. Burial in Churches. Prescription. issue of a faculty authorising him to construct a vault under the

A right of burial in a church may, however, be prescribed for as belonging to a messuage (c).

Statutory restrictions.

871. There are certain statutory prohibitions against burial in or near churches.

In the first place, there is a prohibition (which, however, does not extend to prevent burial in a vault wholly arched with brick or stone constructed for the purpose under the church or chapel, and to which the only access is by steps outside the church or chapel) against breaking the pavement or opening the soil within a church or chapel erected under the Church Building Acts for the purpose of burial, or constructing a grave in a cemetery or churchyard adjacent or belonging to the church or chapel within twenty feet from its external walls. Breach of this prohibition is punishable by penalties recoverable summarily (d).

Again, no vault or grave may be constructed or made within the walls of or underneath any church or other place of public worship built in any urban district after August 31, 1848; and a breach of this prohibition entails liability to a penalty recoverable in an

action of debt (e).

SECT. 5.—Monuments in Churches and Churchyards.

Faculty strictly necessary for erection of monument.

872. In strictness it would seem that a person who places a monument to the dead in a church or churchyard otherwise than in pursuance of a faculty in that behalf renders himself liable to a criminal suit in the Ecclesiastical Court (f); and there cannot, it

(b) Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164.

(c) Waring v. Griffith's (1758), 1 Burr. 440; Harvey's Case, Co. Ent. 8, cited

in Dawney v. Dec (1621), Cro. Jac. 605; 1 Gib. Cod. 453.
(d) Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), s. 80. The prohibition does not apply to the burial of the ashes of a body that has been cremated (Re Kerr, [1894] P. 284).

(e) Public Health Act, 1848 (11 & 12 Vict. c. 63), s. 83, repealed and re-enacted

by s. 343 and Sched. V. of the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55). Whether the prohibition attaches in the case of a church built after August 31,

^{(1794), 1} Hag. Con. 205; Beckwith v. Harding (1818), 1 B. & Ald. 508; Seager v. Bowle (1823), 1 Add. 541; Rich v. Bushnell, supra. There are passages in the judgment of Lord Stowell. (1789), 1 Hag. Con. 14, which might be understood as supporting the view that under some circumstances, at any rate by custom, the leave of the churchwardens would be sufficient to completely legalise the erection of tombstones in a churchyard. But, unless, as seems improbable, there is some distinction in this respect between ordinary tombstones and more important monuments, the later cases cited above seem conclusively to negative this view. In Hopper v. Davis (1754), 1 Lee, 640, it was said, with reference to a tombstone set up in a church, that "the tombstone was originally set up by a proper authority, it having been done with the parson's consent; for though the ordinary may interpose and order a monument to be taken down if it is inconveniently placed, yet if he does not interpose, the parson's consent is sufficient"; but probably this means no more than that the Ecclesiastical Court will not necessarily order the removal of a monument merely because it was in the first instance erected without a faculty. See the reference to the case in Phillimore, Ecclesiastical Law, 2nd ed., Vol. I., p. 693.

seems, be a valid custom for the erection of such monuments in a particular church, even with the consent of the churchwardens or the like, without a faculty (g). In practice, however, a faculty is seldom sought in ordinary cases. The leave of the incumbent or churchwardens, or both, together with that of the rector where the freehold is vested in a rector other than the incumbent, is obtained, and is treated as sufficient authority without more (h).

The placing of monuments in a church or churchyard without a Erection faculty in that behalf is further an act of trespass, in respect of without conwhich the incumbent or rector, as the case may be, has a cause of trespass.

action if his consent has not been obtained (i).

Although, however, the consent of the incumbent, and of the rector Issue and also where his rights will be affected, should be sought before application is made for a faculty for the erection of monuments, the withholding of such consent will not necessarily prevent the issue of a faculty (k); and the better opinion seems to be that the faculty will justify the erection of the monument notwithstanding the rights of property of the incumbent or rector (1).

An appeal to the superior Ecclesiastical Court lies from the Appeal as to decision of the ordinary with regard to the grant of a faculty for the grant of faculty.

erection of a monument (m).

A faculty is not necessary to authorise the repair of a monument Repair. that has been lawfully erected (n).

SECT. 5. Monuments in Churches and Churchyards.

(g) See Beckwith v. Harding (1818), 1 B. & Ald. 508; Seager v. Bowle (1823), 1 Add. 541. In the former of these cases, though Lord Ellenborough, C.J., at p. 517, should perhaps be understood as having decided no more than that the particular custom there set up for the churchwardens to erect monuments at their free will and pleasure was bad, BAYLEY, J., at p. 518, said that the custom was "against the general rule of law, which requires the consent of the ordinary, and is therefore bad." In Seuger v. Bowle, supra, the High Court of Delegates ordered an allegation in the defendant's pleadings to the effect that in the particular parish it had been usual and customary previous to the erection of any monument to obtain the consent of the minister and churchwardens, but not to apply for the consent of the ordinary except in particular cases, to be expunged, and thus, as explained in a note to the case by the learned editor at p. 554, may be taken to have expressed its final judgment that no practice can absolutely legalise the erection of a monument without a faculty.

(h) In Maidman v. Malpas (1794), 1 Hag. Con. 205, where it was held that a criminal suit would lie in the Ecclesiastical Court against one who had erected a monument in the chancel, without, it should be said, obtaining the consent of the incumbent or rector, Lord STOWELL (then Sir W. SCOTT) said at p. 208: "There can be no question as to the jurisdiction of the court, which is established by its own decisions, and those of the temporal courts, that no monument can be erected without leave of the ordinary. All parishioners have a right to be buried in the churchyard without leave of the incumbent; but the permission of the ordinary is necessary before any monument can properly be erected. . . . The consent of the incumbent is taken on such occasions, and especially of the rector, for monuments in the chancel. A faculty likewise is required, though it is frequently omitted under the confidence reposed in the minister, and the Ecclesiastical Court is not eager to interpose."

(i) Beckwith v. Harding, supra. (k) See p. 411, ante.

(l) Ibid.

(m) Cart v. March (1738), 2 Stra. 1080; Bulwer v. Hase (1803), 3 East, 217.

(n) Bardin v. Calcott (1789), 1 Hag. Con. 14, where it was said that the consent of the churchwardens should be asked where it was desired to repair a monument, but that the churchwardens would be bound to grant such consent.

Digitized by Google

SECT. 5. in Churches and Churchvards.

Inscriptions on monuments.

Even where a monument has been placed in a church or church-Monuments yard without due authority, its removal without a faculty is an offence against ecclesiastical law (o).

> 873. The nature of an inscription proposed to be placed on a monument in a church or churchyard may constitute a ground for the refusal of a faculty for the erection of the monument (p). Or, again, the question of the propriety of an inscription on such a monument may in some cases be raised directly by a criminal suit in the Ecclesiastical Court against a person who is alleged to have put up an inscription of an unlawful character (q). It is the duty of the incumbent to superintend the placing of inscriptions on monuments, but the discretion of the incumbent may be overruled by the ordinary or by the superior Ecclesiastical Courts, and a faculty granted without the incumbent's consent, if such consent is unreasonably withheld (r).

Property in monuments.

874. A monument set up in a church or churchyard remains the property of the person by whom it is set up during his life, and that person may accordingly sustain an action for trespass against anyone removing or defacing it (s); and it is said on high authority that after the death of the person setting it up the monument becomes the property of the heir of the deceased in whose honour it was erected, and descends to the heirs of the latter, as being in the nature of an heirloom, and at any rate that such heirs may sustain an action if the monument be interfered with (t).

The alteration of monuments may, however, be authorised by faculty (u).

Alteration of monuments.

> (o) See Hutchins v. Denziloe (1792), 1 Hag. Con. 170, per Lord STOWELL (then Sir W. Scott), at p. 172; Maidman v. Malpas (1794), 1 Hag. Con. 205, 212; and see Ritchings v. Cordingley (1868), L. R. 3 A. & E. 113; Vincent v. Eyton,

> (p) See Keet v. Smith (1876), 1 P. D. 73, where on appeal to the Privy Council a faculty was ordered to issue in a case where the incumbent had objected to an inscription on the ground that it was proposed that a Wesleyan minister should be styled "Reverend"; Egerton v. All of Odd Rode, [1894] P. 15.

(r) Keet v. Smith, supra; Breeks v. Woolfrey, supra; Pearson v. Stead, supra.
(s) Dame de Wyche's Case (1469), Y. B. 9 Edw. 4, 14 A, as explained in Corven v. Pym (1605), 12 Co. Rep. 105; Spooner v. Brewster (1825), 3 Bing. 136.
(t) See Corven v. Pym, supra; 3 Co. Inst. 202; 1 Co. Litt. 18 b; Frances v.

(u) See Sharpe v. Hansard (1830), 3 Hag. Ecc. 335, where a scheme for

⁽q) Breeks v. Woolfrey (1838), 1 Curt. 880, where it was held that an inscription, "Pray for the soul of J. Woolfrey. 'It is a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead' (2 Macc. xii. 46)," was not open to objection as being contrary to the doctrines of the Church. This decision was distinguished in Egerton v. All of Odd Rode, supra, where a faculty for a stained glass memorial window bearing the words "De caritate tua ora pro anima H . . . mortuse . . . et pro anima J... mortui..." was refused. And in Pearson v. Stead, [1903] P. 66, where a monument with the words "of your charity pray for the repose of the souls of W.—, also of G.—," had been erected in a churchyard, a confirmatory faculty for the retention of the monument was refused, and a faculty authorising its removal was granted. The circumstances were, however, of a special character.

Ley (1615), Cro. Jac. 366; also reported sub nom. Day v. Beddingfield, Noy, 104: May v. Gilbert (1613), 2 Bulst. 150. In Hitchcock v. Walter (1838), 6 Dowl. 457, an action of the kind was actually brought by the heir of the deceased, but the case is only reported on a point of pleading.

Sect. 6.—Exclusive Rights of Burial.

875. An exclusive right to the use of a particular part of the churchyard for the purposes of burial cannot be obtained without a faculty (a).

SECT 6. Exclusive Rights of Burial.

Faculty necessary.

What has already been said (b) as to the erection of a monument without a faculty, as to the grant of such a faculty without the consent of the rector or incumbent, and as to the effect of a faculty granted without such consent, would appear to apply mutatis mutandis to the construction of vaults for burial in churches and churchyards (c).

The rector or incumbent in whom the freehold is vested is not entitled as of right to the issue of a faculty for a vault which he

desires to construct (d).

The Ecclesiastical Court will, before granting a faculty for Issue of the reservation of an exclusive right of burial or the construction faculty. of a vault, require to be satisfied that what is proposed is not likely to be generally prejudicial to the parish, even though the issue of the faculty is not opposed (e). A faculty cannot issue for a vault under a church which is not consecrated (f).

876. A faculty for a vault or the reservation of a grave space Limitations may be limited in the same way as is usual in respect of pews "to in faculty. the use of the family of A. B. so long as they continue parishioners and inhabitants" (g), or "to A. B., his heirs and family" (h); and a faculty for the reservation of a grave space may, in a proper case, be granted to a non-parishioner (i).

The grant of a faculty for a vault does not confer upon the grantee Faculty for a freehold interest in the vault (j).

vault does not pass freehold.

levelling a churchyard, and laying upright head and foot stones flat, was authorised by faculty. As to the alteration or removal of monuments in connection with the utilisation of a disused burial ground as an open space, see

(a) Gilbert v. Buzzard (1820), 3 Phillim. 335, per Lord Stowell (then Sir W. Scott), at pp. 357, 358; Bryan v. Whistler (1828), 8 B. & C. 288; De Romana v. Roberts, [1906] P. 332.

(b) See pp. 416, 417, ante.

(c) See Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164; Rugg v. Kingsmill (1867), L. Ř. 2 P. C. 59.

(d) Rich v. Bushnell, supra.

- (a) Rich v. Bushnett, supra.

 (c) Rosher v. Northfleet (Vicar) (1825), 3 Add. 14.

 (f) Turner v. Hanwell (Rector) (1842), 1 Notes of Cases, 368.

 (g) Magnay v. St. Michael (Rector etc.) (1827), 1 Hag. Ecc. 48.

 (h) Oughton, Ordo Judiciorum (1738), Vol. II., p. 297, No. 323.

 (i) Re Sargent (1890), 15 P. D. 168. The objection to the grant of a faculty for a pew, with a limitation to "A. B. and his heirs," on the ground that the heirs might not be parishioners, referred to in Walter v. Gunner (1798), 1 Hag. Con. 314, per Lord Stowell (then Sir W. Scott), at p. 321, appears therefore not necessarily to extend to a faculty for a vault or the reservation of a grave
- (j) St. Botolph-without-Aldyate (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 161, per Dr. Tristram, at pp. 167, 168: "It is sometimes erroneously supposed that the owner of a faculty vault in a church or churchyard has a freehold interest in it. But this can only happen where a vault is in a private chapel or private aisle the fee of which is in the owner of the chapel or aisle. . . . final control of the church and chancel and of the churchyard is vested in the chancellor as ordinary, for this purpose. It is by virtue of this control that

SECT. 7. Burial Service.

Minister's duty to perform service.

Penalty for refusal.

Sect. 7.—Burial Service.

877. It is the duty of every minister of the Church of England to perform the burial service, according to the rites of the Church, over the body of any person not excluded from Christian burial (k), and otherwise entitled to burial in a churchyard, which is brought there for burial, after convenient warning to such minister (l).

In case of breach of this duty the minister is liable to be suspended from his ministry for three months by the bishop of the diocese (m). The bishop has no discretion in the matter, but, on proof of the offence, is bound to suspend the incumbent for the full three months (n).

The refusal on the part of a minister to bury the body of a parishioner is not only an ecclesiastical offence, but is or may be also an offence at common law (o); and it is possible that an action for damages would lie at the suit of those put to expense by the refusal (p). The duty of the minister in the matter is, moreover, enforceable by mandamus (q).

Warning to minister. 878. The "convenient warning" which must be given to the minister has reference to the convenience of the minister rather

chancellors formerly granted faculties for vaults in churches or churchyards, and latterly in churchyards only, but in every such faculty there is a proviso saving the jurisdiction of the court. . . What is really granted by the faculty is the use of the ground for a vault so long as it is not required for the general use of the parishioners; and when it is so required, by the practice of the Ecclesiastical Courts, the owner of the vault is entitled to have it removed to another site in the churchyard at the cost of the applicant for the faculty."

(k) See p. 421, post.

(i) Canones Ecclesiastici (1603), 68. The canon provides that "no minister shall refuse or delay . . . to bury any corpse that is brought to the church or churchyard (convenient warning being given him thereof before) in such manner and form as is prescribed in the . . . Book of Common Prayer. And if he shall refuse to . . . bury [the corpse] . . ., except the party deceased were denounced, excommunicated majori excommunicatione for some grievous and notorious crime (and no man able to testify of his repentance), he shall be suspended by the bishop of the diocese from his ministry by the space of three months." As is explained in Escott v. Mastin (1842), 4 Moo. P. C. C. 104, the effect of the incorporation of the rubric of the Prayer-book with the Act of Uniformity (13 & 14 Car. 2, c. 4) is to except from the operation of the canon cases where the deceased, though not within the exclusion there mentioned, is excluded from Christian burial by the rubric. There appears to be no other case where the minister is justified in refusing burial. See Cooper v. Dodd (1850), 2 Rob. Eccl. 270, where a clergyman was suspended for refusing to perform the burial service on the ground that the deceased, who was found drowned, must have met with his death while intoxicated. Notwithstanding the generality of the language of the canon, it is clear that the duty can only attach to a minister as regards a churchyard or burial ground in which it is his duty to officiate, e.g., to the incumbent in the case of a parish churchyard, and in the case of a person entitled in some way to burial in such churchyard or burial ground. See Nevill v. Baker (1862), cited in Phillimore, Ecclesiastical Law, 2nd ed., Vol. I., p. 655, and in Re Sargent (1890), 15 P. D. 168, at p. 169, where a minister was suspended for refusal to perform the burial service over the body of a non-parishioner lawfully brought for burial in a family vault.

(m) Canones Ecclesiastici (1603), 68.

(n) Escott v. Mastin, supra.
(o) R. v. Taylor (1720), Burn, Ecclesiastical Law, Vol. 1, p. 258, cited in Andrews v. Cawthorne (1745), Willes, 536, at p. 538.

(p) Compare Davis v. Black (1841), 1 Q. B. 900.
(q) Ex parte Titchmarsh (1845), 9 Jur. 159. For mandamus, see title Crown Practice.

than to that of the persons undertaking the funeral of the deceased. It must be given before the corpse is brought to the church, and a warning that the corpse has been brought is insufficient (r).

SECT. 7. Burial Service.

879. Except where the omission of any service or the use of a Irregularity shortened service is authorised by the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (s), the full burial service must be read, or the minister will be liable to suspension (t).

Irregularities in the performance of the burial service, or as regards the use of any ornament or vesture in any burial ground, are among the matters in respect of which proceedings against incumbents under the Public Worship Regulation Act, 1874, are authorised (a).

Sect. 8.—Exclusion from Christian Burial.

880. Persons who die unbaptised or excommunicate, or who have Persons laid violent hands upon themselves, are excluded by ecclesiastical excluded. law from Christian burial, and the full burial service must not be read over their remains (b). The use of a shortened service at the burial of such persons is, however, now permitted by statute (c).

Although, as has been stated, the burial service according to the rites of the Church is not to be used in the case of a person who has never been baptised, a minister may not refuse to perform the service in the case of a person baptised according to the forms of a Church or sect other than the Church of England (d), or by a layman in the name of the Trinity (e).

Meaning of "unbaptised."

881. Where any person is found felo de se by a coroner's jury, the Felo de se. coroner must direct that the remains of such person be interred in the churchyard or other burial ground of the parish or place in which the remains of such person might by law or custom be

⁽r) Titchmarsh v. Chapman (1844), 1 Rob. Eccl. 175, at p. 182.

⁽s) 43 & 44 Vict, c. 41. As to this Act, see pp. 424 et seq., post.
(t) Re Todd (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, supplement, p. li., where the minister was suspended for omitting the words expressing the hope that the deceased "resteth in our Lord Jesus Christ," being under the impression that the

deceased was intoxicated when he died.

(a) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 85, s. 8. With regard to this Act, and the means of enforcing the due observance of the ritual of the Church generally, see title

ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

(b) Rubric of the Burial Service incorporated with the Act of Uniformity (13 & 14 Car. 2, c. 4). See Escott v. Mastin (1842), 4 Moo. P. C. C. 104. In addition to these classes, there were formerly others to whom Christian burial was denied, notably to heretics, to persons not receiving the Holy Sacrament at least at Easter, and to persons killed in duels, tilts, or tournaments. See 1 Gib. Cod. 450.

⁽c) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 13; and see p. 428, post.

⁽d) Kemp v. Wickes (1809), 3 Phil. 264; Titchmarsh v. Chapman, supra; Nurse v. Henslowe (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, 272. In the two last-mentioned cases baptism according to the custom of the Independents and Primitive Methodists was considered sufficient.

⁽e) Escott v. Mastin, supra. Until 1844 Papists were not only permitted, but required, to be buried with the Church of England service, under the sanction of a penalty imposed on their representatives (stat. 3 Jac. 1, c. 5, s. 10, repealed by stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 102); and see Kemp v. Wickes, supra.

SECT. 8. Exclusion from Christian Burial.

Executed criminals. interred if the verdict of felo de se had not been found against such person (f). The interment may take place in any of the ways prescribed or authorised by the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (g).

882. The body of every offender executed by process of law must be buried within the walls of the prison within which judgment of death is executed on him, unless a Secretary of State, being satisfied, on the representation of the visiting justices of a prison, that there is no convenient space within the walls for such burials, has by writing appointed some other place for the purpose (h).

SECT. 9.—Brawling and Nuisances.

Brawling etc.

883. Any person guilty of riotous, violent, or indecent behaviour in any churchyard or burial ground, or who molests, lets, disturbs, vexes, or troubles, or by any other unlawful means disquiets or misuses, any clergyman in holy orders celebrating any divine service, rite, or office in any churchyard or burial ground, is liable on summary conviction to fine or imprisonment (i). An appeal to quarter sessions lies against the conviction (k).

An offender against this provision may, immediately after the Apprehenoffence is committed, be apprehended by any constable or churchwarden of the parish or place where the offence was committed and taken before a justice to be dealt with according to law (l).

A clerk in holy orders guilty of brawling in a churchyard is liable to suspension for such time as the ordinary may think fit (m),

sion of offenders.

Brawling by clergyman.

> (f) Interments (felo de se) Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 19), s. 2. (g) Ibid., ss. 3, 4. As to the methods of burial prescribed and authorised by the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), see pp. 424 et seq., post. Formerly the bodies in question were directed by the coroner to be buried in a public highway, and a stake driven through them. The statute 4 Geo. 4, c. 52, directed that they should be buried privately in the usual churchyard or burial place between the hours of 9 and 12 p.m. without Christian rites. This statute

> is repealed by the Interments (felo de se) Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 19), s. 1.
>
> (h) Capital Punishment Amendment Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 24), s. 6. The Offences against the Person Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 100), s. 3, provides that the bodies of persons executed for murder are to be buried within the precincts of the prison; but this provision appears to be superseded, or at least modified, by s. 6 of the Act of 1868.

> (i) Ecclesiastical Courts Jurisdiction Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 32), s. 2. As to the meaning of the section, which extends to brawling in churches etc. as well as in churchyards, see Cope v. Barber (1872), L. R. 7 C. P. 393; Kensit v. St. Paul's (Dean and Chapter), [1905] 2 K. B. 249.
> (k) Ecclesiastical Courts Jurisdiction Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 32). s. 4,

> repealed as regards procedure by the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 43), s. 4. See titles Criminal Law and Procedure; Ecclesiastical LAW.

> (1) Ecclesiastical Courts Jurisdiction Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 32), s. 3. The words are "every such offender in the premises after the said misdemeanour so

> committed immediately and forthwith may be apprehended and taken "etc.
> (m) Stat. 5 & 6 Edw. 6, c. 4, s. 1. The words are if he shall, "by wordes onelye, quarrell, chyde, or brawle." The statute formerly applied to brawling in churches and churchyards by any person, but the jurisdiction of the Ecclesiastical Courts as to brawling was abrogated, and the Act of Edward VI. repealed, except as to persons in holy orders, by the Ecclesiastical Courts Jurisdiction Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 32), ss. 1, 5.

and if guilty of assault in the churchyard is liable to excommunication (n).

A nuisance in a churchyard is cognisable by the Ecclesiastical Court (o).

SECT. 9. Brawling and Nuisances.

Sect. 10.—Use of Churchyard for Secular Purposes.

884. In strictness, when a churchyard or burial ground has once Faculty. been consecrated, only an Act of Parliament can divest it of its sacred character, and a faculty should not be granted for applying it to secular purposes (p). But deviations from the strict rule are frequently allowed, and faculties may be granted for various purposes consonant with modern requirements (q).

A faculty will, in proper cases, be granted for appropriating a Way over portion of a churchyard for widening a public highway, at any rate where the churchyard is closed for burials (r), or for making and

churchyard.

(n) Stat. 5 & 6 Edw. 6, c. 4, s. 2. See note (m), p. 422, ante. The words are if he shall "smyte or laye violent hands upon anye other." The section provides that the offender shall be ipso facto excommunicate; but this merely means liable to excommunication. See Bilson v. Chapman (1735), Lee temp. Hard. 190; Wilson v. Greaves (1757), 1 Burr. 240; Titchmarsh v. Chapman (1844), 3 Notes of Cases, 370, per Sir H. J. Fust, at pp. 396, 397.

(o) See Quilter v. Newton (1691), Carth. 151, cited in Batten v. Gedye (1889), 21

Ch. D. 507, per KAY, J., at p. 514.

(p) See R. v. Twiss (1869), L. B. 4 Q. B. 407, per Cockburn, C.J., at p. 412;

St. George, Hanover Square (Rector) v. Steuart (1740), 2 Stra. 1126, where the Ecclesiastical Court was prohibited from granting a faculty to a private person to build a charity school on the churchyard contrary to the wishes of the rector and parishioners.

(q) Thus, faculties have been granted, in the case of closed churchyards, for the construction of chambers under a churchyard for the storing and transformation of electricity (Re St. Nicholas Cole Abbey; Re St. Benet Fink Churchyard, [1893] P. 58), and for flights of steps and entrances thereto to give access to chambers for storing electricity constructed under a street adjoining the churchyard (Re St. Benet, Sherehog, [1893] P. 66, n.). And see the cases cited in note (r), infra, and notes (s) and (t), p. 424, post. Faculties were formerly granted in some cases for the erection of schools or vestry rooms or the like in disused burial grounds (Campbell v. Paddington (Parishioners) (1852), 16 Jur. 646; Russell v. St. Botolph (Parishioners) (1859), 5 Jur. (N. 8.) 300; Re Bettison (1874), L. R. 4 A. & E. 294; Hansard v. St. Matthew, Bethnal Green (Parishioners) (1878), 4 P. D. 46; St. George, Hanover Square (Rector) v. Hall (1879), 5 P. D. 42); but faculties for such purposes could not in general be properly issued now, in view of the provisions of the Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. view of the provisions of the Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), prohibiting building in disused burial grounds. See London County Council v. Dundas, [1904] P. 1, by which, apparently, St. James-the-Less, Bethnal Green (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1899] P. 55, must be taken as overruled; Re St. Sepulchre, Holborn Viaduct (1903), 19 T. L. R. 723; and pp. 532, 533, post.

(r) Ex parte Bideford Parish (Rector), [1900] P. 314, a decision of Sir A. Charles in the Court of Arches, explaining Harper v. Forbes (1859), 5 Jur. (N. 8.) 275. The previous decisions of the consistorial courts on the point had been divergent (St. Lohn's, Walknesh (Baster), Parishioner, (1850)

point had been divergent (St. John's, Walbrook (Rector) v. Parishioners (1852), 2 Rob. Eccl. 515; St. Botolph-without-Aldyate (Vicar etc.) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 161; St. Andrew's, Hove (Vicar) v. Mawn, [1895] P. 228, n.; Re Plumstead Burial Ground, [1895] P. 225; St. Nicholas, Leicester (Vicar) v. Langton, [1899] P. 19). In St. John the Baptist, Cardiff (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1898] P. 155, a faculty was granted for the formation of a footpath across a closed churchyard for the use of the parishioners and public, subject to provisions for the closing of the path one day in the year to show that it remained an integral part of the churchyard.

Digitized by Google

SECT. 10. Use of Churchyard for Secular . Purposes.

fencing a pathway across a churchyard as a private way to adjoining premises (s).

Land granted for burials and consecrated for that purpose does not, unless under the provisions of a special Act of Parliament, revert to the grantor upon the discontinuance of burials therein (a).

Part III.—Burial in Consecrated Ground without Service of the Church England.

When service may be omitted.

885. Except in the case of burials under the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (b), it is necessary that the burial service of the Church of England, and no other, should be performed over the dead body of any person (other than a person excluded from the right of Christian burial) who is buried in consecrated ground (c); and that service must be performed by a duly authorised minister of the Church (d).

Under the Act of 1880, however, any relative, friend, or legal representative having the charge of or being responsible for the burial of a deceased person (e) may give notice that it is intended that the deceased person shall be buried within the churchyard or graveyard (f) of a parish or ecclesiastical district or place without the performance, in the manner prescribed by law, of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England, and after receiving such notice no rector, vicar, incumbent, or officiating minister is liable to any censure or penalty, ecclesiastical or civil, for permitting such burial (q).

(g) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 1.

⁽e) St. Gabriel, Fenchurch Street (Rector) v. City of London Real Property Co., [1896] P. 95. As to the statutory provisions authorising the utilisation of closed churchyards as open spaces, see pp. 533 et seq., post. As to the grant of a faculty for the improvement of a disused burial ground, with a view to its enjoyment by the public, before this legislation, see Re St. George-in-the-East (Rector) (1876), 1 P. D. 311.

⁽a) Campbell v. Liverpool Corporation (1870), L. R. 9 Eq. 579.
(b) 43 & 44 Vict. c. 41. The Act is often called Osborne Morgan's Act.
(c) Kemp v. Wickes (1809), 3 Phillim. 264, at p. 295.

⁽d) Johnson v. Friend (1860), 6 Jur. (n. s.) 280; Wood v. Headingley-cum-Burley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713.

⁽e) There are special provisions as to the persons by whom the notice may be given, and to whom it is to be given, in the case of deceased paupers. See

p. 425, post.

(f) The term "graveyard" in this connection includes any burial ground or cemetery vested in any burial board or burial authority having the functions of a burial board (see pp. 445 et seq., post), or provided under any Act relating to the burial of the dead, in which the parishioners or inhabitants of any parish or ecclesiastical district have rights of burial (Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 1), and also any cemetery provided under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31) (Burial Act, 1900) (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 7).

886. Where it is desired that the burial should take place in the consecrated part of a burial ground or cemetery vested in a Burial withburial authority (h), the notice is to be given at such time and to such person as the burial authority may direct (i); and the burial authority are under no obligation to transmit it to the incumbent (k).

PART III. out Service of Church of England.

In other cases the notice is to be a forty-eight hours' notice, and Rules as to is to be given to or left at the usual place of abode of the rector, vicar, or other incumbent, or, in his absence, the officiating minister in charge of the parish or ecclesiastical district or place, or any person appointed by him to receive the notice (l). In the case, however, of a cemetery or burial ground (other than a cemetery or burial ground provided by a burial authority) provided under any Act relating to the burial of the dead, if a chaplain is appointed to perform the burial service of the Church of England therein, the notice is to be addressed to him (m).

The notice is to be in writing, plainly signed with the name and Form of stating the address of the person giving it; it is to be in the form notice. scheduled to the Act of 1880, or in a form to the like effect; it is to be indorsed on the outside "Notice of Burial"; and it is to state the day and hour when the burial is proposed to take place (n).

887. The notice, in the case of any deceased poor person whom Deceased a board of guardians are required or authorised to bury, may be pauper. given to the rector, vicar, or other incumbent, in manner above stated, and also to the master of any workhouse in which the poor person may have died, or otherwise to the guardians, by the husband, wife, or next of kin of such poor person, who for the purposes of the Act of 1880 is to be deemed the person having charge of the burial; and in such case it is the duty of the guardians to permit the body to be buried in the manner provided by that Act (o).

888. The proprietors or directors of any proprietary cemetery Bye-laws of may make such bye-laws or regulations as may be necessary for cemetery enabling any burial to take place therein in accordance with the company. Act of 1880 (p).

889. If the time proposed in the notice for the burial is incon- Time of venient on account of some other service having been, previously burial. to the receipt of the notice, appointed to take place in the churchyard or graveyard or the church or chapel connected therewith, or

(p) Ibid., s. 1.

⁽h) As to the meaning of burial authority, see p. 450, post.

⁽i) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 8.

⁽k) Wood v. Headingley-cum-Burley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713

⁽¹⁾ Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 1. (m) Ibid.

⁽n) Ibid., ss. 1, 3. If the address of the person giving the notice is not stated, the notice is ineffectual (Houre v. Ram (1881), 45 J. P. 729). For form of notice, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 147.

⁽⁶⁾ Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 2. As to the powers and duties of boards of guardians with regard to the burial of the poor, see pp. 539 et seq., post.

PART III. Burial without Service of Church of England.

on account of any bye-laws or regulations lawfully in force in any graveyard limiting the times at which burials may take place in that graveyard, the person receiving the notice must, unless some other day or time is mutually arranged within twenty-four hours from the time of giving or leaving the notice, signify in writing, to be delivered to or left at the address or usual place of abode of the person from whom the notice has been received, or at the house where the deceased person is lying, at which hour of the day named in the notice, or (in case of burial in a churchyard, if such day is a Sunday, Good Friday, or Christmas Day) of the day next following, the burial shall take place; and the burial will then take place (q)at the hour so appointed or mutually arranged, and in other respects in accordance with the notice.

Unless otherwise mutually arranged, the time of the burial must be between 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. if the burial be between April 1 and October 1, and between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. if the burial be between October 1 and April 1. No such burial may, however, take place in any churchyard on Sunday, Good Friday, or Christmas Day, if any such day being proposed by the notice be objected to in writing for a reason assigned by the person receiving the notice (r).

When no such intimation of change of hour is sent to the person from whom the notice was received, or left at the house where the deceased person is lying, the burial is to take place in accordance with and at the time specified in the notice (s).

Burial may be with or without religious service.

890. At any burial under the Act of 1880 all persons are to have free access to the churchyard or graveyard in which it takes The burial may take place, at the option of the person having charge of or being responsible for the same, either without any religious service, or with such Christian and orderly religious service at the grave, as such person may think fit; and any person or persons invited or authorised by the person having charge of or being responsible for the burial may conduct such service or take part in any religious act thereat. The words "Christian service" for this purpose include every religious service used by any church. denomination, or person professing to be Christian (t).

Burials to be decent and orderly.

891. All burials under the Act of 1880, whether with or without a religious service, must be conducted in a decent and orderly manner; and any person guilty of riotous, violent, or indecent behaviour at any such burial, or wilfully obstructing such burial or any such service as above mentioned thereat, or who delivers any address in the churchyard or graveyard not being part of or incidental to a religious service permitted by the Act, nor otherwise permitted by any lawful authority, or who, under colour of any religious service or otherwise, in any such churchyard or graveyard, wilfully endeavours to bring into contempt or obloquy the Christian

⁽q) The words of the section are "and it shall be lawful for the burial to take place, and it shall take place, at the hour" etc.

⁽r) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 3. (s) Ibid., s. 4.

⁽t) 1bid., s. 6.

religion or the belief or worship of any church or denomination of Christians or the members or any minister of any such church or Burial withdenomination, or any other person, is guilty of a misdemeanour (a).

PART III. out Service of Church of England.

892. All regulations as to the position and making of the grave which would be in force in any churchyard or graveyard in the case of persons interred therein with the service of the Church of of graves. England apply with regard to burials under the Act of 1880 (b).

Position etc.

893. Subject to exceptions in the case of burial grounds provided Fees. by burial authorities (c), any person who, if the burial had taken place with the service of the Church of England, would have been entitled by law to receive any fee, is entitled, in case of a burial under the Act of 1880, to receive the like fee in respect thereof (d).

894. All powers and authorities existing by law on September 7th, Powers for 1880 (e), for the preservation of order, and for the prevention prevention of and punishment of disorderly behaviour in any churchyard or graveyard, may be exercised in case of a burial without the rites of the Church of England in the same manner and by the same persons as if the same had been a burial according to the rites of the Church of England (f).

895. No minister in holy orders of the Church of England is Use of Church subject to any censure or penalty for performing the burial service of England subject to any censure or penalty for performing the burial service in unaccording to the rites of the Church of England in any unconsecrated consecrated burial ground or cemetery or part of a burial ground or cemetery, or ground. in any building thereon, in any case in which he might have lawfully used the same service if such burial ground or cemetery or part of a burial ground or cemetery had been consecrated. And the relative, friend, or legal representative having charge of or being responsible for the burial of any deceased person who had a right of interment in any such unconsecrated ground vested in any burial board or authority with the powers of a burial board, or provided under any Act relating to the burial of the dead, is entitled, if he think fit, to have such burial performed therein according to the rites of the Church of England by any minister of the Church of England who may be willing to perform the same (g).

(a) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 7.

(c) See pp. 480, 481, post.
(d) Ibid., s. 5. As to fees in respect of burials in churchyards, see pp. 428 et seq., post. As to burial fees in burial grounds provided by burial authorities,

see pp. 479 et seq., post.

(e) The words in the section are "powers and authorities now existing by law for the preservation of order" etc.

(f) $\bar{l}bid.$, s. 8. As to these powers and authorities, see pp. 422, 423, ante, pp. 466, 512, post.

(g) Ibid., s. 12.

⁽b) Ibid., s. 5. As to the position etc. of graves in a churchyard, see p. 415, ante. In the case of a burial ground provided by a burial authority these matters may be the subject of regulations made before January 1, 1901, by a Secretary of State, or since that date by the Local Government Board (see p. 537, post), or of bye-laws (see pp. 508, 509, post), as the case may be. Subject to such regulations or bye-laws, the burial authority have control over them by virtue of their general control over the burial ground. As to the control of the burial authority over a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts, see p. 465, post.

PART III. out Service of Church of England.

Use of other than prescribed service in certain Cases.

896. It is lawful for any minister in holy orders of the Church Burial with of England authorised to perform the burial service, in any case where the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England may not be used and in any other case at the request of the relative, friend, or legal representative having the charge of or being responsible for the burial of the deceased, to use at the burial such service, consisting of prayers taken from the Book of Common Prayer and portions of Holy Scripture, as may be prescribed or approved of by the ordinary, without being subject to any ecclesiastical or other censure or penalty (h).

No right of burial conferred where such does not otherwise exist.

897. The Act of 1880 does not authorise the burial of any person in any place where such person would have had no right of interment if the Act had not passed, or without performance of any express condition on which, by the terms of any trust deed, any right of interment in any burial ground vested in trustees under such trust deed, not being the churchyard or graveyard or part of the churchyard or graveyard of the parish or ecclesiastical district in which the same is situate, may have been granted (i).

Registration.

898. There are special provisions, the effect of which will be stated later, as to the registration of burials under the Act of 1880, and as to the person to whom, in the case of such a burial, the certificate of the registrar of births, deaths, and marriages of his having registered or received notice of the death is to be delivered, and to whom, where the burial is authorised under a coroner's order, such order is to be delivered (k).

Saving as to Church of England ministers.

899. Save as in the Act of 1880 expressly provided as to ministers of the Church of England, nothing in that Act authorises or enables any such minister who has not become a declared member of any other church or denomination, or executed a deed of relinquishment under the Clerical Disabilities Act, 1870 (l), to do any act which he would not by law have been authorised or enabled to do if the Act had not passed, or exempts him from any censure or penalty in respect thereof (m).

Part IV.—Fees on Burial in Churchyard etc.

SECT. 1.—Burial Fees etc.

Fees due by custom or statute.

900. No fee in respect of burial in a parish churchyard is due at common law, but a burial fee may be due by immemorial custom

(i) Ibid., s. 9. (k) Ibid., ss. 10, 11; and see p. 561, post.

⁽h) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 13. Church of England service may not be used at the burial of suicides, unbaptised or excommunicated persons. See p. 421, ante.

^{(1) 33 &}amp; 34 Vict. c. 91. See title Ecclesiastical Law.

⁽m) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 14.

in any particular parish (n), or by statute; and in fact burial fees alleged to be customary are very generally paid.

SECT. 1. **Burial Fees** etc.

901. The burial fee is by custom generally payable to the incumbent; but it may by custom be payable to the churchwardens of the parish (o), or a moiety may be payable to the incumbent and a custom. moiety to the churchwardens (p); and there may possibly be other similar special customs.

To whom payable by

There cannot be a valid custom for the payment of a burial No fee where fee where no service is done (q). Thus, there cannot be a custom no service that a fee should be paid to the rector of a parish upon the burial of one of his parishioners in another parish (r).

902. Burial fees due by custom are not recoverable in a court of Recovery of common law, but in the Ecclesiastical Court only (8). If, however, fees. the custom be denied, its existence must be tried in the common law courts (t), and the Ecclesiastical Court will be restrained by prohibition from trying it (a). Statutory burial fees, on the other hand, are not recoverable in the Ecclesiastical Court, unless the statute expressly or impliedly so provides (b).

903. In theory, in order that a customary burial fee should be Validity of payable, the custom must have originated before the time of legal custom. memory, i.e., before the commencement of the reign of Richard I. And though evidence of uniform practice in modern times will raise the presumption that the custom has existed from before the time of legal memory, the presumption will be rebutted if it is shown that the custom cannot have existed so long(c). In order that a burial fee should be due by custom, it must be reasonable, and of fixed amount; and therefore, whatever the practice may

(o) Gilbert v. Buzzard, supra, at pp. 365, 366; Andrews v. Symson (1676), 3 Keb. 504, 523, 527; Anon. (1682), 2 Show. 184; Waring v. Griffiths (1758), 1 Burr. 440.

(c) See title CUSTOMS AND USAGES.

⁽n) Andrews v. Cawthorne (1745), Willes, 536; Dean of Exeter's Case (1707), 1 Salk. 334. In Gilbert v. Buzzard (1821), 3 Phillim. 335, Lord Stowell (then Sir W. Scott) made an order approving a table that had been prepared by the vestry of fees for burial in a parish churchyard. In so doing, however, it seems clear that he must be taken, so far as concerned the fees for ordinary burials, to have been merely intimating what fees were in his view proper to be paid, for it is quite clear that no order of an Ecclesiastical Court can impose an enforceable liability to pay such fees. See Spry v. St. Marylebone (Directors etc.) (1839), 2 Curt. 5, per Dr. Lushington, at pp. 11, 12; Varty v. Nunn (1841), 1 Notes of Cases, 191, per Dr. Lushington, at pp. 207, 208, affirmed 2 Notes of Cases, 108.

⁽p) Littlewood v. Williams (1815), 6 Taunt. 277; Bardin v. Calcott (1789), 1 Hag. Con. 14, 17.

⁽q) Patter v. Castleman (1753), 1 Lee, 387; Naylor v. Scott (1729), 2 Ld. Raym. 1558.

⁽r) Topsall v. Ferrers (1617), Hob. 175, approved in Burdeaux v. Lancaster (1697), 1 Salk. 332, where a christening fee was in dispute.
(s) Spry v. Gallop (1847), 16 M. & W. 716.

⁽t) Anderson v. Walker (1692), 2 Lut. 1030. See title Customs and Usages. p. 11; Topsall v. Ferrers, supra; Dean of Exeter's Case (1707), 1 Salk. 334. (a) Spry v. St. Marylebone (Directors etc.), supra, per Dr. LUSHINGTON, at

⁽b) Spry v. St. Marylebone (Directors etc.), supra. See also Spry v. Emperor (1840), 6 M. & W. 639.

SECT. 1. Burial Fees etc. have been so far back as the evidence goes, no fee can be due by custom of an amount which would have been unreasonably excessive in the time of Richard I., having regard to the then value of money (d).

Fees on erection of monuments etc. 904. Fees in addition to burial fees are generally paid in respect of the erection of monuments, the construction of vaults, and the like, in a church or churchyard; but the law with regard to such fees is not clearly settled (e).

Special fees on burial of nonparishioners. 905. Special fees are generally paid on the burial of strangers to the parish, and an agreement to pay such fees is good and enforceable on the ground that, when the incumbent is called upon to do

(d) See Bryant v. Foot (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 497 (marriage fees). In many cases sums that would have been excessive in the time of Richard I. have been held to be payable, e.g., for toll, by custom, on the ground that the custom may be taken to have been to pay a reasonable fee, the modern practice being taken as evidence of what is reasonable. See Laurence v. Hitch (1869), L. R. 3 Q. B. 521. These cases were distinguished in Bryant v. Foot, supra, on the ground that a marriage fee must necessarily be of a fixed amount; and there seems no reason for distinguishing between burial fees and marriage fees in this respect.

(e) It seems clear that such fees may, like ordinary burial fees, be payable by custom. See Bardin v. Calcott (1789), 1 Hag. Con. 14, per Lord STOWELL (then Sir W. SCOTT), at p. 17 (fees payable to churchwardens); Rich v. Bushnell (1827), 4 Hag. Ecc. 164, per Sir J. NICHOLL, at p. 173 (fees payable to the vicar on the erection of monuments in a chancel of which the freehold was in the rector). The existence of fees payable by custom to the incumbent is also recognised by provisions in the part of s. 33 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), now repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12 and Sched. II.,

which refers to fees so due "by law or custom."

The payment of fees may also in some cases be insisted on apart from custom. Thus, Lord Stowell in Bardin v. Calcott, supra, spoke of a fee in respect of the erection of tombstones in a churchyard as being due to the vicar "as of common right"; and in Young v. Kingston-on-Thames Joint Burials Committee, [1907] 1 K. B. 416, C. A., Moulton, L.J., at p. 422, used language which may be understood as showing that in his view the incumbent is in ordinary cases entitled to a fee of the kind, apart from custom, by virtue of his rights of property in the churchyard. It may be doubted, however, whether, apart from custom, any fee is due to the incumbent in the sense that it could be recovered either in a court of common law or in the Ecclesiastical Court. It should be added in this connection that in Rich v. Bushnell, supra, Sir J. NICHOLL said that no fee was due to the vicar as of common right in respect of burial in the church of which the freehold was in the rector. As has been seen, however, at p. 417, ante, the practice where it is proposed to erect a monument, or tombstone, or vault, is to seek the consent of the incumbent, and of the rector where the freehold is in a rector distinct from the incumbent, whether, as is the strict course, a faculty is afterwards applied for or not. And it seems that an agreement to pay a fee to the rector or incumbent in respect of his consent would be a good and enforceable agreement; see Nevill v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Exch. 214; and compare Dean of Exeter's Case (1707), 1 Salk, 334, where it is laid down that no fee is due for burial of common right; "buriance is necessary, the person giving it may stand upon his own price." Again, the Ecclesiastical Court is in a position to enforce the payment of a fee to the rector or incumbent as a condition of the grant of a faculty. In *Maidman* v. *Malpas* (1794), 1 Hag. Con. 205, Lord Stowell would seem to have regarded a demand by a rector in whom the church was vested for a reasonable fee in respect of his consent to the erection of a monument as proper. But in Rich v. Bushnell, supra, Sir J. NICHOLL appears to have taken the contrary view. See also Gilbert v. Buzzard (1820), 3 Phillim 335; North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B. 835, C. A.

what by law he is not bound to do, he may refuse except upon such conditions as he chooses to impose (f).

SECT. 1. Burial Fees etc.

906. The Ecclesiastical Commissioners, as successors of the Church Building Commissioners (g), are empowered to make and fix any table of fees (including burial fees) for any parish with the consent Building of the vestry or select vestry, or persons exercising the powers of a Acta. vestry in such parish, or in or for any district chapelry or parochial chapelry, in which any church or chapel is built or appropriated under the Church Building Acts, with the consent nevertheless, in all such cases, of the bishop of the diocese; and all fees so fixed may be demanded, received, sued for, prosecuted, and recovered by the spiritual person or clerk or sexton to whom the same are assigned in like manner and by the same means as any ancient legal fees of a like nature may be sued for, prosecuted, and recovered (h). The table of fees must be registered in the registry of the diocese (i).

Fees under

SECT. 2.—Mortuaries or Corse-presents.

907. In connection with the subject of burial fees a few words Nature of a should be said as to mortuaries or corse-presents, though the law with regard to these matters has almost, if not entirely, ceased to be of more than antiquarian interest.

A mortuary, at any rate in its more modern form, may be described as a payment due by custom on a man's death out of his property to the parson. And, whether corse-presents and mortuaries were identical in origin or not, corse-presents may now be regarded as only another name for mortuaries (k).

The right of the clergy to mortuaries was limited by an Act Payment of of Henry VIII. (1), the main provisions of which are, shortly,

⁽f) Nevill v. Bridger (1874), L. R. 9 Exch. 214; and see Ex parte Blackmore (1830), 1 B. & Ad. 122, per LITTLEDALE, J., at p. 124.

Under the Church Building Commissioners (Transfer of Powers) Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 55)

⁽h) Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 11. The section refers to churches or chapels built or appropriated under the Church Building Acts. 1818 and 1819 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45; 59 Geo. 3, c. 134), only, but would appear to be extended to churches to chapels built or appropriated under later Church Building Acts by the Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 25.

⁽i) Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 18. (k) See, as to the origin etc. of mortuaries and corse-presents, Spelman, Concilia, Vol. I., pp. 545, 564, Vol. II., p. 390; Spelman, De Sepultura, p. 189; Lynd., lib. 1, tit. 3, De Consuetudine, p. 19, lib. 3, tit. 14, De Sepultura, p. 184, tit. 16, De Decimis, p. 196; Glanv., lib. 7, c. 5; Stillingfleet, Ecclesiastical Cases, ed. 1698, Vol. I., p. 248; Selden, History of Tythes, 287; Dugdale, Asticuities of Warmickeling and del 2004, 2004, Plete Lib. Antiquities of Warwickshire, 2nd ed., p. 929; 2 Co. Inst. 491; Fleta, lib. 2, c. 60, par. 30. The authorities on the subject are collected in Brooke-Little, Law of Burial, 3rd ed., pp. 50—52. See also for a full discussion of the nature of mortuaries, Ayrton v. Abbott (1849), 14 Q. B. 1, 5, where it was held that mortuaries were not recoverable summarily as "small tithes, offerings, oblations, obventions, or compositions" under stat. 7 & 8 Will. 3, c. 6, s. 2 (now repealed, except as to tithes, offerings, and compositions not commuted or otherwise still payable, by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 59)). This case appears to be the last reported decision relating to mortuaries.

⁽¹⁾ Stat. 21 Hen. 8, c. 6. Certain special rights preserved by the statute

SECT. 2. Mortuaries or Corsepresents.

to the following effect: No mortuary is to be paid except where the payment of mortuaries has been customary, nor by any married woman or child or person other than a householder, nor in the case of a person dying possessed of goods to a value under ten marks. In the case of persons dying possessed of goods of greater value the mortuary is limited to 3s. 4d. where the value of the goods is less than £30, to 6s. 8d. where it is less than £40, and to 10s. in other cases, and to the customary sum where such sum is less than the limit. No one is to pay more than one mortuary, and that is payable in the parish where the deceased last resided.

Recovery of mortuaries.

Mortuaries are recoverable in the Ecclesiastical Court (m), and possibly also at law (n). If, where proceedings are taken in the Ecclesiastical Court, the alleged custom on which the claim is based is denied, the custom is triable in the courts of common law, and prohibition will lie to the Ecclesiastical Court accordingly (o); but prohibition will not be granted if, without denial of the custom of payment, it is alleged that the mortuary is of custom payable to the impropriator, and not to the vicar (p).

Commutation by parochial agreement.

Parochial agreements for the commutation of mortuaries were authorised by the Tithe Act, 1839 (q); but, in the absence of a special provision in the parochial agreement, the provisions of the Tithe Act, 1836 (r), do not extend to them (s).

Part V.—Alienation of Land for Burial Grounds etc.

SECT. 1.—Introductory.

Charity.

908. The provision, maintenance, or improvement of a burial ground of a public character is a charitable purpose (a).

were subsequently dealt with by the Mortuaries (Bangor etc.) Abolition Act, 1713 (13 Anne, c. 6), and the Mortuaries (Chester) Act, 1755 (28 Geo. 2, c. 6). The statute of Henry VIII. remains unrepealed.
(m) 2 Co. Inst. 491; Lynd., lib. 1, tit. 3, De Consuetudine, p. 19.

(a) Manby v. Curtis (1816), 2 Price, 284, per Thomson, C.B., at p. 295; Degge's Parson's Counsellor, 7th ed., p. 349.

(b) Prohibition lies in such cases notwithstanding a provision in the statute "Articuli Cleri" (9 Edw. 2, stat. 1, c. 1, s. 2) declaring that prohibition is not to issue to the Ecclesiastical Court in suits for, inter alia, mortuaries, and a somewhat similar provision in the statute "Circumspecte Agatis" (13 Edw. 1, 1500) 2 Nov. 1000 (1960) 2 Nov. stat. 4). See Proud v. Piper (1869), 3 Mod. Rep. 268; White's Case (1589), Cro. Eliz. 151; Hinde v. Chester (Bishop) (1631), Cro. Car. 237; Johnson v. Oldham (1700), 1 Ld. Raym. 609; Johnson v. Wrightson (1701), 1 Lut. 1066. See also Torrent v. Burley (1726), 2 Stra. 715, where an attempt seems to have been made to recover a mortuary by means of proceedings in equity.

(p) Marke v. Gilbert (1665), 1 Sid. 263.

(q) 2 & 3 Vict. c. 62, s. 9, repealed by the Statute Law Revision (No. 2) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 51). (r) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 71.

(8) Ibid., s. 90.

(a) Re Vaughan (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; Re Manser, [1905] 1 Ch. 68, where a bequest for the maintenance of a Quaker burial ground was held good; Re Douglas, [1905] 1 Ch. 279. And see Re Pardoe, [1906] 2 Ch. 184; and title CHARITIES.

Hence it follows, on the one hand, that a grant of land or money for such a purpose in perpetuity is not invalid as infringing the

rule against perpetuities (b).

On the other hand, it follows that a devise or bequest of land, or of money to be laid out on land, for the purposes in question, is subject to the provisions of the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1891 (c), unless it is authorised by some other Act; and that before the Act of 1891 such a devise or bequest was void unless authorised by statute, as also was a bequest of impure personalty for the purposes in question. It follows similarly that grants inter vivos of land, or of money to be laid out on land, for the purpose in question, unless made pursuant to statutory authority, are valid only if made in compliance with Part II. of the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1888 (d), and were formerly valid only if made in compliance with the enactments thereby replaced.

In some cases, moreover, the grant of land for a burial ground Mortmain. without a licence in mortmain might, unless made in pursuance of statutory authority, be voidable under Part I. of the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1888 (e), and might similarly have been voidable before that Act under the statutes replaced by Part I. of

that Act (f).

A number of enactments, passed partly with the view of removing Modern the difficulties in the way of grants for the purpose of burial enactments. grounds arising under the enactments above referred to and partly with a view to facilitating grants for the purpose by limited owners and the like, are, however, in force (g). Some of these enactments are available only for the purpose of providing a consecrated burial ground. Others are not so confined, and are thus available, for instance, where it is desired to provide a burial ground for the use of a religious community other than the Established Church.

909. A gift for the provision or maintenance of a tomb or Maintenance sepulchral monument of the donor or his family, and not within or of tomb etc. forming part of the fabric of a church or place of worship, is not a fabric not a gift for a charitable purpose. Hence a gift, or devise, or bequest charity. for such a purpose does not come within Part II. of the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1888 (h), or the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1891 (i), and formerly did not come within the enactments thereby replaced (k). It follows, too, that a gift, devise, or bequest of the kind is invalid if it infringes the rules against perpetuities (l). But the provision or upkeep of a monument

SECT. 1. Introductory.

(b) As to the rule against perpetuities, see title PERPETUITIES.

c) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 73. (d) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 42.

(e) Ibid. See title Corporations.

(f) Difficulty was in particular created by stat. 23 Hen. 8, c. 10. (g) These enactments, falling conveniently into seven groups, are discussed at pp. 434 et seq., post.
(h) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 42.

(i) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 73.

H.L.-III.

(k) Mellick v. Asylum (President and Guardians) (1821), 1 Jac. 180; Adnam v. Cole (1843), 6 Beav. 353.

(1) Lloyd v. Lloyd (1852), 2 Sim. (N. S.) 255; Rickard v. Robson (1862), 31

SECT. 1. Introductory. inside a church or forming part of its fabric is a good charitable purpose (m).

SECT. 2.—Gifts for Churches Acts, 1803 and 1811.

Power to give not more than five acres or £500 for burial ground.

910. Under the Gifts for Churches Act, 1803 (n), every sane person of full age other than a feme covert without her husband (o) having in his or her own right any estate or interest in possession, reversion, or contingency, of or in any lands or tenements, or of any property in any goods or chattels, may by deed enrolled in manner provided by the statute 27 Hen. 8, c. 16 (p), or by will duly executed three calendar months at least, including the days of execution and death, before death, give and grant to any person or body politic or corporate all his or her estate, interest, or property in such lands or tenements not exceeding five acres, or goods or chattels not exceeding in value £500 (q), for or towards, inter alia (r), the repairing, purchasing, or providing of any churchyard for a Church of England church or chapel, and to be for that purpose applied according to the will of the benefactor in and by such deed or will expressed, the consent and approbation of the ordinary being first obtained, and in default of such direction, limitation, or appointment, in such manner as shall be directed and appointed by the patron and ordinary, with the consent and approbation of the parson, vicar, or other incumbent; and such person, body politic or corporate, their heirs and successors, may purchase, receive, take, hold, and enjoy for the purpose aforesaid, as well from such persons as may be charitably disposed to give the same as from all other persons as may be

(m) Hoare v. Osborne (1866), L. R. 1 Eq. 585; Re Rigley's Trusts (1866), 36 L. J. (CH.) 147.

(n) 43 Geo. 3, c. 108. See further, title Ecclesiastical Law.

(o) This restriction is not removed by the Married Women's Property Act,

1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 75), s. 1 (1) (Re Smith (1887), 35 Ch. D. 589).

(p) That is, by being enrolled in the High Court, or (subject to a proviso as to land in towns corporate where the corporation officers have authority or have been lawfully used to enrol deeds etc.) within the county where the land is situate before the custos rotulorum and two justices and the clerk of the peace, or two of them, whereof the clerk of the peace is one, within six months next after the date of the deed.

(q) These limitations do not prevent larger grants where such grants can be supported apart from the Act (Re Douglas, [1905] 1 Ch. 279).

(r) The other purposes are, shortly, providing churches of the Established Church and residences for the officiating ministers. The provision of a new church clock is within these purposes (Re Hendry (1887), 56 L. T. 908).

Beav. 244; Fowler v. Fowler (1864), 33 Beav. 616; Hoare v. Osborne (1866), L. R. 1 Eq. 585; Re Rigley (1866), 36 L. J. (CH.) 147; Fisk v. A.-G. (1867), L. R. 4 Eq. 521; Hunter v. Bullock (1872), L. R. 14 Eq. 45; Dawson v. Small (1874), L. R. 18 Eq. 114; Re Williams (1877), 5 Ch. D. 735; Re Vaughan (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187; Re Tyler, [1891] 3 Ch. 252, C. A., where a condition in a bequest to a charity that the testator's family vault should be kept in repair, and that on non-compliance with this condition the bequest should go over to another charity, was held to be valid on the principle that the rule against perpetuities does not apply to a transfer, in certain events, of property from one charity to another; Re Manser, [1905] 1 Ch. 68, where a bequest for maintaining a burial ground, and in particular the grave of the testator's wife, was held to be good, the direction with regard to the particular grave being merely a special obligation ancillary to the repair of the burial ground, and not a separate trust. See title Charities.

willing to sell or alienate to them, any lands or tenements, goods or chattels, without licence in mortmain (s).

Only one such gift or devise may be made by one person, and if the same exceeds five acres in lands or tenements, or the value of £500 in goods and chattels, it is to be good and valid to that extent; and the High Court (t) may make order for reducing Reduction of every such gift or devise within the said limits, and for allotting excessive gift. such specific five acres, and, if occasion require, such specific goods and chattels, as in its judgment may be most convenient, and otherwise as may appear to the court just and reasonable (u).

SECT. 2. Gifts for Churches Acts, 1803 and 1811.

911. The Gifts for Churches Act, 1803, further contains pro- Grants by visions enabling corporations aggregate or sole to grant, by way of corporations. gift or exchange, plots of land not exceeding one acre for, inter alia, the enlargement of a churchyard, and possibly, in some instances the provision of a new churchyard (w).

912. The Gifts for Churches Act, 1811, contains provisions Crown lands. enabling Crown lands and lands of the Duchy of Lancaster to be granted, to an extent not exceeding five acres in the case of any one grant, for, inter alia, the purpose of a churchyard for any church or chapel of the Church of England (x).

By the same Act any person or body politic or corporate seised Waste lands of or entitled to the entire and absolute fee simple of any manor of manor. is enabled, by deed enrolled in the High Court, to grant to the rector, vicar, or other minister of any parish church and his successors, or to the curate or minister of any chapel and his successors, any land, not exceeding in the whole five acres, parcel of the waste of such manor, and lying within the parish or extraparochial district where such church or chapel is or is intended to be erected, for the purpose, inter alia, of a churchyard or burying ground, or of enlarging a churchyard or burying ground, for such

the section between pure and impure personalty, see Sinnett v. Herbert (1872), 7 Ch. App. 232; Champney v. Davy (1879), 11 Ch. D. 949.

(t) This jurisdiction is given by the section to the Lord Chancellor on petition, but appears to have been transferred to the High Court by the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66). See *ibid.*, ss. 16, 17, 34, 76; and Re Pollard (1888), 20 Q. B. D. 656, C. A.

(u) Gifts for Churches Act, 1803 (43 Geo. 3, c. 108), s. 2.

⁽s) Gifts for Churches Act, 1803 (43 Geo. 3, c. 108), s. 1. A bequest of money for the repair of a churchyard is good under this section (Re Vaughan (1886), 33 Ch. D. 187). So also is a devise on a secret trust for the purpose of the section (O'Brien v. Tyssen (1884), 28 Ch. D. 372). But a gift for the upkeep of a tomb outside the church is not within it (Re Rigley's Trust (1866), 36 L. J. (CH.) 147; Re Vaughan, supra). The section does not authorise the grant or devise of land to be sold in order that the proceeds should be applied for the purposes of the section, for it contemplates that the land granted or devised should be applied specifically to these purposes in connection with a particular church (Incorporated Church Reviews Society, Color (1855) with a particular church (Incorporated Church Building Society v. Coles (1855), 5 De G. M. & G. 324). A devise of the kind would now, however, be good under the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 73), subject to the provisions of that Act; see title CHARITIES. A grant under the section is not rendered invalid by a reservation in the grantor's favour (Fisher v. Brierley (1860), 29 L. J. (ch.) 477). As to the apportionment of a bequest under

⁽w) Ibid., s. 4. The section is very confusedly expressed. (x) Gifts for Churches Act, 1811 (51 Geo. 3, c. 115), s. 1. See further, title ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

SECT. 2. Gifts for Churches Acts, 1803 and 1811.

parish or extra-parochial place, freed and discharged of and from all rights of common thereon (y).

SECT. 3.—The Burial Ground Act, 1816.

Sale by ecclesiastical corporation of land not exceeding one acre.

913. Under the Burial Ground Act, 1816, any ecclesiastical corporation, aggregate or sole, possessing land adjacent to any cemetery, churchyard, or burying ground, may sell by deed enrolled in the High Court within six calendar months, for the purpose of consecration, such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary for enlarging such cemetery, churchyard, or burial ground, not exceeding one acre (z), subject, however, in the case of a corporation sole, to conditions of which the effect is shortly as follows:

Requirements in case of corporation sole.

The consent of the bishop and of the patron of the living must be testified by their being parties to the conveyance. The land must be valued and a description thereof prepared by a person appointed by the ordinary, and the description and valuation must be verified on oath before a justice. If the value exceeds £100, land of an equal value must be conveyed to the same uses as that conveyed by the corporation sole; if it is between £20 and £100, such value must be paid to the Governors of Queen Anne's Bounty to be applied for the benefit of the corporation sole; and if under £20, it must be paid to the corporation sole to be applied by him at his own discretion (a).

Indefeasibility of title.

No alienation by an ecclesiastical corporation under the provisions of the Burial Ground Act, 1816, is to be questioned after the expiration of twenty years from the time of such alienation on account of any want of compliance with the forms prescribed by the Act (b).

SECT. 4.—The Church Building Acts.

Acquisition of land for churchyards etc.

914. The Church Building Acts, 1818 to 1884 (c), contain an elaborate code of provisions for the purchase on behalf of parishes and other ecclesiastical areas of land for sites for churches and chapels and burial grounds, resembling that contained in the Lands Clauses Acts, and including powers for the compulsory acquisition of land (d). The compulsory provisions of this code appear, however,

(d) See particularly Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), ss. 35-53; Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), ss. 36-38; Church Building Act,

⁽y) Gifts for Churches Act, 1811 (51 Geo. 3, c. 115), s. 2. This enactment does not authorise a grant overriding customary rights other than rights of common, e.g., a customary right to use the land as part of a village green (Forbes v. Ecclesiastical Commissioners (1872), L. R. 15 Eq. 51).

⁽z) Burial Ground Act, 1816 (56 Geo. 3, c. 141), s. 1.

⁽a) Ibid., s. 2.
(b) Ibid., s. 3. See also ibid., s. 4; and p. 445, post.
(c) This collective title is given to a group of nineteen Acts by the Short Titles Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 14), s. 2 and Sched. II. There is no provision in the Acts that they are to be read or construed together; but s. 25 of the Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), provides that the powers, privileges, and authorities contained in the previous Acts may be used and applied for the purpose of carrying the Act of 1845 and the previous Acts into execution, mutatis mutandis, so far as the same are applicable thereto, and are not inconsistent with or repugnant to the Act of 1845. The Acts, it may be mentioned, are notorious for faulty draftsmanship and obscurity.

SECT. 4.

The Church

Building

Acts.

from the first to have been practically a dead letter (e); and the provisions as to limited owners appear to be largely superseded by

later legislation (f).

The Acts further contain provisions for meeting the expenses of the acquisition of land for the purposes above mentioned by Expenses, means of church rates or rates in that nature and grants from a sum of £1,500,000 appropriated by the Acts of 1818 and 1824, and enabling the provision of churches and burial grounds to be made obligatory. But with the abolition of compulsory church rates (g) and the exhaustion of the above-mentioned appropriation these provisions have become obsolete.

The Acts, however, also contain provisions as to gifts of land for Gifts of land the purposes, inter alia, of burial grounds, and as to the property in land for burial grounds acquired under the Acts, and other matters,

which are still of practical importance.

The general effect of these provisions is briefly (h) stated below. Powers of The working of the Church Building Acts is in the hands of the Ecclesiastical Commis-Ecclesiastical Commissioners, as successors (i) of a body of commissioners. sioners, commonly known as the Church Building Commissioners, originally established by the Church Building Act, 1818, and continued from time to time by subsequent Acts.

915. The Ecclesiastical Commissioners are empowered to accept Power of Comand take land for, inter alia, sites of additional churches or chapels, missioners to including ground for providing a churchyard, and making a proper and sufficient access thereto, from any person willing to give the Whether this power is extended to land for burial grounds independently of sites for additional churches is not $\mathbf{clear}(k)$.

916. There are provisions authorising the grant for the purposes Grants of of a burial ground of Crown land and of land of the Duchies of Crown lands. Cornwall and Lancaster (l), and also, though it may be questioned

1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), ss. 2—4, 7—9, 26, 28, 29, 34; Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), ss. 19—21; Church Building Act, 1851 (14 & 15 Vict. c. 97), s. 27; Church Building Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 32). See further, title Ecclesiastical Law, and as to compulsory acquisition of land generally, see title Compulsory Purchase and Compensation.

(e) From a parliamentary return of 1886 (sess. 1, 103, li. 13) these powers would appear to have been exercised only in one case, which occurred in 1820.

(f) See particularly Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50);

(h) The obscurity of the Acts is such that any attempt to state the effect of the enactments referred to with precision would necessarily fail.

(i) Under the Church Building Commissioners (Transfer of Powers) Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 55).

835, C. A., per Bigham, J., at p. 850.
(/) Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), s. 34; Church Building

Act, 1838 (1 & 2 Vict. c. 107), s. 8.

pp. 442 et seq., post.

(g) By the Compulsory Church Rate Abolition Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 109). Places of Worship Sites Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 21); and

⁽i) Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), s. 33.
(k) See Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), ss. 36, 37; Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 26; Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 25; North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B.

SECT. 4. Building Acts.

Grants by charitable corporations etc.

whether they are still operative, provisions authorising grants for The Church like purposes by Government departments (m).

> 917. Any body politic, corporate, and collegiate, and corporation aggregate or sole, or any trustees, guardians, commissioners, or other persons having the control, care, or management of any hospitals, schools, charitable foundations, or other public institutions, are empowered to grant lands, by way of gift, for, inter alia, church or chapel yards or cemeteries or the enlargement thereof. grants are to be made to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners or their nominees to be used for the purposes of the Church Building Acts, and are declared to be valid notwithstanding any law, statute, usage, or custom to the contrary; and the grantors are declared to be indemnified in respect thereof (n).

> These powers do not, however, enable trustees of a charity to give, for the purposes of the Church Building Acts, lands of the charity which are required for the purposes of the charity (o).

Form of conveyance.

918. A statutory form is provided for conveyances to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners or their nominees under the Church Building Acts, applicable alike in the case of conveyances by way of gift and conveyances by way of sale; and it is declared that such conveyances shall be effectual in law and a complete bar to all estates tail, and other estates, rights, titles, trusts, interests, and incumbrances (p).

Conveyances under the Church Building Acts are exempt from stamp duty (q).

Exemption from stamp duty. Consecration.

919. It is expressly provided that land which is added to an existing churchyard or burial ground, or appropriated and set apart for a new burial ground under the Church Building Act, 1819, shall be, as soon as conveniently may be, consecrated for the burial of the dead according to the usage of the Church of England, and be used for ever after as an additional burial ground (r).

But, though the Acts contemplate that burial grounds provided under them will in all cases be consecrated, it is not clear whether

(n) Ibid. See also Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), s. 34, extended by the Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 37, by which somewhat similar, but apparently less extensive, powers are given.

⁽m) Church Building Act, 1822 (5 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 1. The doubt as to whether the powers conferred on Government departments by this section are still operative arises from the changes in the constitution of such departments which have taken place since 1822.

⁽a) A.-G. v. Manchester (Bishop) (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 436.

(b) Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 2; and see Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 24. See also Church Building Act, 1840 (3 & 4 Vict. c. 60), ss. 2—4, whereby, subject to certain provisions that can hardly have any application in the case of a burial ground, a licence in mortmain is expressly made unnecessary in the case of conveyances under the Acts. These enactments do not validate a conveyance not authorised by the Acts (A.-G. v. Manchester (Bishop), supra). The Church Building Act, 1838 (1 & 2 Vict. c. 107, s. 6), provides a special statutory form of conveyance for the purposes of that Act and the Act of 1831.

⁽q) Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 28. (r) Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 38.

this express provision extends to burial grounds provided under the other Church Building Acts (s).

920. Land obtained for a burial ground under the Church Building Acts in most cases vests in the incumbent upon consecration (t); and, though in some cases the whole of the land

SECT. 4.
The Church
Building
Acts.

Property in land obtained for burial ground.

(s) See Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 25; and note (c), p. 436, ante.

(t) The provisions of the Acts on this subject are complicated. The Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), by s. 38, provides that the freehold of land added to an existing churchyard or burial ground or appropriated for a new burial ground under that Act shall upon consecration vest in the person in whom the freehold of the ancient churchyard or burial ground of the parish or chapelry where the same is situated may be vested; and this section, though to a large extent superseded by the later enactments mentioned below. Is apparently still operative in some cases.

enactments mentioned below, is apparently still operative in some cases. The Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 29, provides, putting it shortly, that after the expiration of five years after the conveyance of any lands to the Church Building Commissioners (now the Ecclesiastical Commissioners), or to any person, for the use of any parish or place as a site for a church or chapel or a church or chapel yard or cemetery, whether by gift or grant, or upon a sale under that Act or the Acts of 1818 and 1819, although no church or chapel shall, before the expiration of the five years, have been built and consecrated upon the site, such lands shall become and remain vested in the Commissioners or person to whom the same were conveyed for the purposes of the Acts, free from adverse claims. Probably, however, this enactment did not affect the vesting of consecrated land in the incumbent etc. under the Act of 1819. It has been generally referred to in text-books as intended merely to make the title indefeasible. As to its effect in that respect, see A.-G. v. Manchester (Bishop) (1867), L. R. 3 Eq. 436.

The Church Building Act, 1824 (5 Geo. 4, c. 103), s. 14, provides that the

The Church Building Act, 1824 (5 Geo. 4, c. 103), s. 14, provides that the site of a church built under that Act, with the cemetery belonging thereto, if any, shall vest in the persons named in the sentence of consecration as a body corporate; and the Church Building Act, 1831 (1 & 2 Will. 4, c. 38), s. 9, contains a somewhat similar provision as to the site of a church built under that Act, with the cemetery, if any, belonging thereto. See also ss. 17 and 18 of that Act as to the indefeasibility of the title. But a church so built may become the church of a new parish, in which case the provisions of the Act of 1856 referred to below would apparently vest the freehold in the incumbent. And, again, if the land had been conveyed to the Church Building Commissioners or Ecclesiastical Commissioners, the provisions of the Act of 1845 referred to below might apply to the exclusion of the provisions of the Act of 1824.

The Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 13, provides that the freehold of every burial ground of which the Church Building Commissioners (now the Ecclesiastical Commissioners) may have accepted or shall accept a conveyance under the Church Building Acts shall after consecration vest in the incumbent for the time being of the church to which the burial ground belongs, or if there is no such incumbent, then in such body or person as the Commissioners, with consent of the bishop, direct, until there is an incumbent, and then in such incumbent, for the use of the inhabitants of the place for which the burial ground was acquired. The same section provides that the freehold of the site of every church of which the Commissioners may have accepted or shall accept a conveyance under the Church Building Acts (as to any church not yet consecrated, when the same shall be consecrated) shall vest in the incumbent. Under this branch of the section it has been held that the whole vests in the incumbent, though only a portion has been consecrated (Plumstead District Board of Works v. Ecclesiastical Commissioners, [1891] 2 Q. B. 361; Exparte London County Council, [1896] 1 Ch. 520).

Lastly, the New Parishes Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 104), s. 10, provides that (subject to a provision as to local Acts) the freehold of the site of the church of any new parish, and of the churchyard, burial ground, and vaults

SECT. 4.
The Church
Building
Acts.

conveyed may vest in the incumbent where part only has been consecrated (u), in general the land until consecrated vests in the Ecclesiastical Commissioners or other persons to whom it was conveyed (w). The Ecclesiastical Commissioners have certain statutory powers for dealing with land obtained under the Acts but not consecrated (a).

Acquisition of land outside area.

921. Where land for a burial ground purchased by or under the authority of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, or with money granted by them, under the Church Building Acts, is situate outside the area for which the ground is obtained, the ground upon consecration *ipso facto* becomes part of that area (b); and in all cases, if the Ecclesiastical Commissioners accept a conveyance of land for a new or additional burial ground under the Acts, they may declare that the land shall after consecration become part of the area on behalf of which it has been conveyed (c).

Chapel accommodation etc. for use of several areas. **922.** There are provisions under which, where a burial ground is provided under the Church Building Acts for two or more areas (d), a chapel and a lodge or other building may be provided for the common use of the several areas, subject to regulation by the bishop (e), and under which, in the case of such a burial ground, the bishop has power to dispense with the separate fencing of the parts of the ground belonging to the several parishes, and to authorise the inclosure of the whole of the land by a single fence (f). The repair of such chapel etc. and fence is to be provided for by means of a repair fund invested in the names of trustees, with regard to whose appointment etc. there are statutory provisions (g). The freehold of such a chapel when consecrated, and of any lodge, walks, or gates of the burial ground, vests in the bishop; and the

belonging thereto, shall vest in the incumbent, and that lands conveyed for such purposes shall be discharged from adverse claims. See also New Parishes Acts and Church Buildings Acts Amendment Act, 1869 (32 & 33 Vict. c. 94), ss. 6, 7; Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50), s. 5; and p. 444, post.

(u) See Plumstead District Board of Works v. Ecclesiastical Commissioners, [1891] 2 Q. B. 361.

(w) See Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 29; and note (t), p. 439, ante.

(a) Church Building Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 45), s. 51; Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 34; Church Building Act, 1840 (3 & 4 Vict. c. 60), s. 19.

(b) Church Building Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 134), s. 22; Church Building Act, 1822 (3 Geo. 4, c. 72), s. 26.

(c) Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 14.

(d) As appears from the provisions as to fencing in the Church Building (Burial Service in Chapels) Act, 1846 (9 & 10 Vict. c. 68), s. 3, infra, the case contemplated is that of a ground part of which constitutes the burial ground of one area and part of which constitutes the burial ground of another. The provision of a burial ground the whole of which is to be for the use of two areas does not appear to be authorised by the Acts.

(e) Ibid., ss. 1, 2; and see s. 5, defining "parish." S. 1 contains special provisions as to burial fees.

(f) Ibid., s. 3.
(g) Ibid., s. 4. There is no provision as to the source from which the fund is to come. It is no doubt contemplated that it will be subscribed or the like.

preservation and custody thereof is placed in the hands of the trustees (h).

SECT. 5.—The New Parishes Acts.

SECT. 4. The Church Building Acts.

New Parishes

923. The New Parishes Acts do not authorise the grant of land or property for the purposes of a burial ground eo nomine; but they contain provisions of the widest scope authorising the grant by deed duly enrolled or devise of real property and the gift or bequest of personal property to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for, inter alia, providing churches and chapels for the purposes of the Acts (i); and probably the expressions "church" and "chapel" may be taken for this purpose to include burial grounds attached thereto (k).

The Acts also provide for the vesting of the burial ground of a new parish in the incumbent (1).

Sect. 6.—The Consecration of Churchyards Acts.

924. The powers for granting land to an extent not exceeding Grants of land one acre as a site for a school conferred on limited owners by the not exceeding School Sites Acts, 1841 and 1849 (m), are extended by the Consecra-enlargement tion of Churchyards Acts to the grant of land for the enlargement of churchyard. of churchyards or burial-places, subject to provisions of which the effect is as follows (n).

The grant is not to be made otherwise than in fee simple, and may be made in a statutory form. A grant may be made by a tenant for life without the concurrence of the person next entitled in remainder in fee simple or fee tail, and the grant is good without licence in mortmain (o).

The conveyance is exempt from stamp duty (p), and after five years confers an indefeasible title on the person or corporation in whom the churchyard or burial-place enlarged is vested (q).

925. When any land so added to a consecrated churchyard is Reservation of the gift of any person, whether resident or not in the parish or ecclesiastical district in which the churchyard is situated, the giver of the land may reserve the exclusive right in perpetuity of burial and of placing monuments and gravestones in a part of the land

exclusive right of

⁽h) Church Building Act, 1851 (14 & 15 Vict. c. 97), s. 28.
(i) New Parishes Act, 1843 (6 & 7 Vict. c. 37), s. 22, amended and extended by New Parishes Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 94), s. 7; Church Building Act, 1851 (14 & 15 Vict. c. 97), s. 24; and New Parishes Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 104), s. 4. See further, title Ecclesiastical Law.

⁽k) See $R. \ v. \ Abrey (1854), 23 \ L. \ J. (m. c.) 154, per Ehle, J., at pp. 155, 156, as to the expression "church" including the burial ground.$

⁽¹⁾ See note (t), p. 439, ante.

⁽m) 4 & 5 Vict. c. 38; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 49.

⁽n) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 4. By s. 2 of the Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 47), the Act of 1867 is declared applicable to burial grounds attached to or belonging to union houses.

⁽o) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), ss. 4, 5. The statutory form expresses the grant to be "unto the person or persons, or corporation sole or aggregate, in whom" the churchyard or burial ground to be enlarged "is now vested, his or their heirs or successors."

⁽p) Ibid., s. 6. (q) Ibid., s. 7.

SECT. 6. The Consecration of Churchyards Acts. added, not exceeding one-sixth of the whole of such land. The part in which such right is reserved must be shown and coloured on the plan indorsed on the instrument declaring or recording the consecration of the added land; and a memorandum in a form prescribed (to be prepared and executed at the expense of the person making the reservation) must be written on such instrument and signed by the incumbent and churchwardens of the parish or ecclesiastical district in which the churchyard is situated. memorandum so signed, after the land is consecrated, operates as an exclusive right in perpetuity in the land therein referred to (r).

Nature of rights reserved.

The exclusive rights above mentioned are to be considered to be the real estate of the giver, his heirs and assigns, and no body may be buried or monument or gravestone placed in the land in which such rights have been granted, except by consent of the owner thereof for the time being, save that such consent is not necessary for the burial of a deceased owner, or of a wife or widow of any deceased owner who has been or is about to be buried in such ground. But no such reservation gives the right to bury the body of any person not entitled to be buried in consecrated ground, and all powers of the bishop of the diocese and persons acting under his authority as to the placing and erection of monuments and gravestones and to the placing and removal of inscriptions in churchyards remain in force in the reserved ground (s).

Closing of reserved portion.

Such reserved portion is not to be included in any Order in Council under the Burial Acts for closing the churchyard to which it belongs, but it may be closed under a separate Order founded on a special report that the ground is in such a state as to render any further interments therein prejudicial to the public (t).

Sect. 7.—The Places of Worship Sites Acts.

Grants authorised.

926. The Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (u), and the Places of Worship Sites Amendment Act, 1882 (a), authorise the grant, conveyance, or enfranchisement by way of gift, sale, or exchange in fee simple or for a term of years, of any quantity, not exceeding one acre. of land, not being part of the demesne or pleasure ground attached to any mansion-house, as, inter alia, a site for a burial-place (b).

(r) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 9, as amended by Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 47), s. 1. The prescribed form of memorandum is as follows:-

"We, A. B. [rector, vicar, or incumbent] and C. D. and E. F., churchwardens, , declare the piece of land [here insert the description and measurement], on this plan, to be the burial-place of G. H., the giver of the land added to the churchyard of , his heirs and assigns.

A. B., Signed C. D., E. F.,

in the presence of J. K.

"Dated this day of

(s) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 10, as amended by Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 47), s. 1.

(t) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 11.

As to the closing of burial grounds under the Burial Acts, see pp. 525 et seq., post.

(u) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 50. (a) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 21.

(b) Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50), s. 1. The other

This power is given, in the first place, to tenants in fee or in tail or for life or lives of freehold land beneficially interested and in possession, subject, in the case of a tenant for life or lives, to the consent of the person next entitled in remainder in fee simple or fee tail, special provision being made for cases where such person Freeholds. is under disability or is unborn or unascertained (c).

SECT. 7. The Places of Worship Sites Acts.

Secondly, the power is extended to the case of lands of copyhold Copyholds. or customary tenure, subject to the provisions of the Lands Clauses

Acts (d) with respect to copyhold lands (e).

Thirdly, the power is made exercisable by persons equitably Persons in interested in lands without the concurrence of the trustees in whom the local estate is vested by married women with the concurrence the legal estate is vested, by married women with the concurrence vested, of their husbands but without acknowledgment, and by guardians and committees on behalf of infants and lunatics (f).

Fourthly, the power is extended to any corporation, ecclesiastical Corporations or lay, whether sole or aggregate, and any officers, justices of the etc. peace, trustees, or commissioners holding land for public, ecclesiastical, parochial, charitable, or other purposes or objects, subject to conditions of which the effect is shortly as follows:-

In the case of an ecclesiastical corporation sole below the dignity Consents of a bishop, the consent of the bishop is requisite.

required.

In the case of a municipal corporation the consent of the Treasury is requisite.

In the case of parochial property the consent of a majority of the ratepayers and owners of property in the parish assembled at a meeting convened in the manner prescribed by the Union and Parish Property Act, 1835 (g), and of the Local Government Board and of the guardians of the poor of the parish or of the union comprising the parish, is requisite.

In the case of property held on trust for charitable purposes the consent of the Charity Commissioners (or in some cases of the

Board of Education (h)) is requisite (i).

purposes authorised are sites for churches, chapels, meeting-houses, or other places of divine worship, and residences for ministers officiating in such places of worship or any places of worship within a mile of the site. See further, title ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

(c) Ibid.; Places of Worship Sites Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 21), s. 2; and see Re Salisbury (Marquis) and Ecclesiastical Commissioners (1876), 2 Ch. D. 29, C. A.

(d) Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), ss. 95-98. For the Lands Clauses Acts, see title COMPULSORY PURCHASE AND COMPENSATION.

(e) Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50), s. 1.

(f) Ibid., s. 3. The section provides that upon such a conveyance the legal estate shall vest in the "trustees of such place of worship or residence," the draftsman having apparently forgotten the case of a burial ground.

(g) 5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 69. The Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 52 (1), which in the case of rural parishes substitutes the consent of the parish meeting for that of the ratepayers and owners of property for certain statutory purposes, does not affect the consent of owners and ratepayers required under the Places of Worship Sites Acts.

(h) See Board of Education (Powers) Order in Council, 1902, whereby the consent of the Board of Education is substituted for that of the Charity

Commissioners in regard to educational endowments.
(i) Places of Worship Sites Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 21),

SECT. 7. The Places of Worship Sites Acts.

Application of money etc. and right of reverter. Form of conveyance.

Grants to Ecclesiastical Commissioners.

927. One person may grant more than one site under the Acts, provided that each site does not exceed one acre in extent (k).

There are provisions as to the payment and application of the purchase-money or money received for equality of exchange (1), and for the apportionment of rent to which the land granted is subject, and savings for incumbrances (m); and there is a provision for the reverter of the land if not used for the statutory purposes (n).

Conveyances for the purposes of the Acts may be made in a

statutory form (o).

928. The persons authorised by the Acts to make grants may convey, by way of gift, sale, or exchange, any site or sites, not exceeding an acre in the case of any one site, for any of the purposes of the Church Building Acts (which purposes, as has been seen (p), include those of a burial ground), to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, or as they may direct; and those Commissioners may act as trustees for the purpose of taking and holding any sites granted under the Acts. And all conveyances made under these provisions (q) are to be deemed to be made under the Church Building Acts, and the land conveyed is to vest in conformity with such conveyances and the Church Building Acts(r).

SECT. 8.—Trustee Appointment Acts.

Land vested in trustees.

929. The Trustee Appointment Acts, 1850 to 1890, contain provisions dealing with the appointment of new trustees when land for the purpose of, inter alia, a burial ground, has been acquired in trust for any congregation or society or body of persons associated for religious purposes, or by trustees in connection with any society or body of persons comprising several congregations or other sections or divisions or component parts associated together for any religious purposes, and provisions also to the effect that the conveyance or other assurance of the land shall not only vest the land in the parties named therein, but also in their successors in office duly appointed without further assurance.

Fines etc. in respect of copyholds.

If such land is of copyhold or customary tenure and liable to payment of any fine, with or without a heriot, on the death or alienation of the tenant thereof, a sum corresponding to such fine and heriot becomes due to, and may be recovered by, the lord of the manor on the next appointment of a new trustee or trustees thereof,

⁽k) Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50), s. 1.

⁽l) Ibid., s. 2. (m) I bid., s. 3.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 1.
(o) Ibid., s. 4. The section in terms authorises the use of the statutory form only in the case of a site for a place of worship or the residence of a minister, but the form itself, which is contained in the section, is adapted, inter alia, to the case of a burial-place. For a modification of the statutory form suitable in certain cases, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 122.

⁽p) See pp. 436 et seq., ante.
(q) The words are "all conveyances made under this present enactment."
(r) Places of Worship Sites Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 50), s. 5. As to the vesting of burial grounds under the Church Building Acts, see Church Building Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 70), s. 13; and p. 439, ante.

and at the expiration of every period of forty years thereafter so long as such land is held in trust as before mentioned (s).

SECT. 8. Trustee Appointment Acts.

Sect. 9.—Vesting of Property in Burial Grounds.

930. There is a general provision in the Burial Ground Act, 1816, Property in that all ground which has been or shall be consecrated as burial consecrated ground shall after twenty years from the time of such consecration be considered as discharged from all adverse titles, claims, and demands whatsoever, and as absolutely vested in the trustee or trustees, if any, thereof, and if there should not be any such trustee or trustees, then in the vicar or perpetual curate, if any, for the time being, and if there should not be any vicar or perpetual curate, then in the rector for the time being, of each parish in which such burial ground is situate (t).

Part VI.—Provision of Burial Grounds under Burial Acts.

Sect. 1.—Preliminary.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Introductory.

931. It is proposed, in the present part of this title, to discuss the provision and maintenance of burial grounds by burial boards and other authorities under the Burial Acts, 1852 to 1906 (u), and matters connected therewith. The complexity of the subject renders some preliminary observations on the legislation necessary.

932. The first of the group of Acts in question—the Burial Act, Application of 1852 (w)—applied originally only to the metropolis as defined by that Acts in Act (a); and while most of its provisions were extended to the rest of the country by the Burial Act, 1853 (b), so that in the main the Act

(a) Trustee Appointment Act, 1850 (13 & 14 Vict. c. 28); Trustee Appointment Act, 1869 (32 & 33 Vict. c. 26); Trustee Appointment Act, 1890 (53 & 54

Vict. c. 19). See further, title Ecclesiastical Law.
(t) Burial Ground Act, 1816 (56 Geo. 3, c. 141), s. 4. In Westminster Corporation v. St. Martin-in-the-Fields (Vicar etc.) (1907), 96 L. T. 491, land acquired under statutory powers in the early part of the eighteenth century for the enlargement of a churchyard and conveyed to trustees for that purpose and duly consecrated, together with a vestry room, not itself consecrated, built on the land, was held to have vested in the vicar under this enactment upon the presumed death of the last surviving trustee.

(u) The collective title of the Burial Acts, 1852 to 1906, is given to a group of fifteen Acts, beginning with the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), and ending with the Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44), by the combined operation of the Short Titles Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 14), s. 2, Sched. II., the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 13, and the Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44),

s. 3.

(w) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 85.

(a) Ibid., s. 53 and Sched. A.
(b) 16 & 17 Vict. c. 134, s. 7. Words referring to the metropolis in the sections of the Act of 1852 which were thus extended to the rest of the country were SECT. 1.

of 1852 has since been of general application, a few of its provisions Preliminary. remain applicable only to the "metropolis" within the meaning of the Act, and the distinction between the "metropolis" and the rest of the country has been kept up to a slight extent in the later legislation (c).

Area of metropolis.

The area defined as constituting the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Act of 1852 (d) differs in some respects from that defined as the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Metropolis Management Acts (e), and now, with some alterations, constituting the administrative county of London. The result is that the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Burial Acts, that is to say, the area to which the exclusively metropolitan provisions of those Acts apply, is not co-extensive with the administrative county of London, but, speaking broadly, differs from that area in that it includes Willesden and excludes Eltham, Lee, Kidbrooke, and Lewisham. Penge, which was formerly in the administrative county of London, but is so no longer, is not in the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Burial Acts (f).

Establishboards.

933. The scheme of the Burial Acts, 1852 and 1853 (q), as regards ment of burial the provision and maintenance of burial grounds, was to enable the vestry of a parish to pass a resolution for the provision of a burial ground, and to provide for the establishment, upon the passing of such a resolution, of a "burial board" elected by, and to some extent under the control of, the vestry, as the executive authority to provide the burial ground and otherwise carry the Acts into execution.

> The initial simplicity of this scheme has, however, since been much modified.

(1) Areas other than parishes.

The later Burial Acts contain provisions enabling burial grounds to be provided, and elective burial boards established, for areas other than parishes in like manner as for parishes.

repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 56), s. 1 and Sched. I., and are omitted in the Revised Statutes. In these circumstances, it has been thought sufficient in the present title to cite the sections of the Act of 1852 that are of general application without referring to the extending section of the Act of 1853.

(c) The operation of the Burial Acts in the administrative county of London differs very much from their operation elsewhere (see pp. 500 et seq., post); but this is chiefly in consequence of the provisions of the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), and not of the distinction drawn in the Burial Acts between the "metropolis" and the rest of the country.

(d) The "metropolis" is defined by s. 53 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16

Vict. c. 85), as meaning and including the cities and liberties of London and Westminster, the borough of Southwark, and the parishes etc. mentioned in Sched. A to the Act.

(e) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 120 and the various amending Acts, for which see title

f) It is not practicable to state the differences between the two areas with complete precision, as changes have taken place in the boundaries of many of the parishes and other areas referred to in the definition of "metropolis" in the Act of 1852, and it is not possible, without investigation of numerous particular cases, to say whether these changes have or have not altered the area constituting the "metropolis" for the purposes of that Act.

(g) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 85; 16 & 17 Vict. c. 134.

Under provisions contained partly in the later Burial Acts and partly in other statutes, borough councils and other urban autho- Preliminary. rities, and in some cases the members of such an authority representing a particular ward, may be invested with the functions of authorities. elective burial boards, subject to some modifications.

Important alterations in the operation of the Burial Acts are (3) Rural made by the Local Government Act, 1894 (h), particularly as regards districts. parishes and other areas in rural districts. Thus, to mention only the more important of these alterations, the power of passing the initial resolution for the provision of a burial ground under the Burial Acts—or, in the phraseology of the Act of 1894, the power of "adopting" the Burial Acts—is, in the case of parishes and other areas in rural districts, transferred from vestries and like bodies to parish meetings; as also are, to a great extent, the other functions of vestries under the Burial Acts (i). The functions of burial boards already in existence for parishes and other areas wholly or partly in rural districts were in general transferred to parish councils, or in some instances to parish councils, parish meetings, and urban authorities, or some of such bodies, jointly, in which case the functions in question are discharged by a joint committee of the appointing authorities (j). Provision is made that, on the subsequent adoption of the Acts for a rural parish under a parish council, or for part of such a parish, the parish council shall act as the executive authority for the purposes of the Acts in lieu of an elective burial board (k); and there is a provision which enables the parish meeting of a rural parish not having a parish council to be constituted the executive authority for the purposes of the Acts (1).

Under the London Government Act, 1899 (m), another method (4) Metroof "adopting" the Burial Acts is substituted in the case of areas politan boroughs. in London for the passing of a resolution to provide a burial ground, and provision is made securing that the metropolitan borough councils, to the exclusion of any other form of authority, shall in London act as the executive authorities under the Acts(n).

Under special legislation, the Corporation of the City of London (5) City of have, with some modifications, the functions of a burial board for the City (o).

One result of these changes is that the functions of an elective Various burial burial board may now be found vested, subject to greater or less authorities. modification, in an urban authority, the members of an urban authority representing a particular ward, a parish council, a parish meeting, a joint committee appointed by parish councils, parish meetings, and urban authorities, or two or more of such bodies, or a metropolitan borough council, as well as in an elective burial

⁽h) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73. For this Act see generally, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽i) Ibid., s. 7 (1), (3), (4), (8). (j) Ibid., ss. 7 (5), 53 (2); Local Government (Joint Committees) Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 40); and see pp. 499, 500, post. (k) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (7); and see p. 493,

⁽l) Ibid., s. 19 (10); and see pp. 493, 494, post.

⁽m) 62 & 63 Vict. c. 14.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 4; and see pp. 500 et seq., post.

⁽o) See p. 503, post.

SECT. 1.

board. All such executive authorities under the Burial Acts are, Preliminary. together with the Corporation of the City of London, in whom, as has been said, the functions of a burial board are vested, and local authorities maintaining burial grounds under certain other legislation, referred to in recent legislation as "burial authorities" (p).

It is proposed to confine attention in the next section mainly to the case of elective burial boards for parishes and other areas wholly comprised in urban districts. It will, however, be convenient to make occasional reference to other burial authorities.

Extension of the Acts to areas other than parishes.

The Burial Acts, 1852 and 1853, as has been said, contemplated the establishment of burial boards for parishes only (q). Subsequently, however, various other areas were put in the position of parishes for the purposes of the Acts. The result is that many of the enactments in the Acts of 1852 and 1853, and even in later Acts, which are expressed in reference to parishes, have a more general application. In the ensuing pages the language of these enactments has, whenever it has seemed possible to do so without substantial risk of inaccuracy, been generalised so as to state their effect as extended to areas other than parishes; but it has not been thought necessary on each occasion to cite the extending enactments as well as the original enactment.

SUB-SECT. 2.—Definitions.

Interpretation of terms used in Burial Acts.

934. The Burial Acts, 1852, 1853, 1854, 1855, 1857, 1859, 1871, and 1900, are incorporated with each other (r), and the interpretation of these Acts is governed by the following definitions (s), some of which are of great importance, and which, subject to what is said below, must be taken as governing the meaning of the defined expressions in passages in the text referring to those Acts.

" Parish."

"Parish" is defined as meaning, in effect, a poor law parish (1). But the expression is not, in fact, used in the Acts exclusively in this sense (a), and in the present part of this title the more definite

(p) See p. 450, post.

(s) The definitions referred to in the present paragraph are contained in s. 52

of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85).

(t) The definition is that "'parish' shall mean every place having separate overseers of the poor, and separately maintaining its own poor." This definition is inapt now that parishes in unions no longer maintain their own poor separately, but may be taken as equivalent to the definition of "parish" in the Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), s. 5, i.e., "a place for which a separate poor rate is or can be made, or for which a separate overseer is or can be appointed." See further, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(a) Notwithstanding the definition, an ancient ecclesiastical parish which was not a poor law parish was, in R. v. Sudbury Burial Board (1858), E. B. & E. 264, held to be a parish for which a burial board could be established under

⁽q) The Acts enabled two or more parishes to combine for the provision of a common burial ground, and provided that in such cases the burial boards of the several parishes should act together as a joint burial board (Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 23; see p. 456, post), but this is scarcely a real exception from the statement in the text.

⁽r) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 21; Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 30; Burial Act, 1839 (22 Vict. c. 1), s. 2; Burial Act, 1871 (34 & 35 Vict. c. 33), s. 2; Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 13. The non-incorporation of the remaining Acts is not practically of much importance, as they are for the most part either very short or of special scope.

expression "poor law parish" will be used where it is intended to

refer exclusively to a parish in that sense.

SECT. 1. Preliminary.

"Ratepayers" is defined as meaning the persons for the time "Ratebeing assessed to and paying poor rates of the parish. It must, no payers." doubt, be taken in the case of an area other than a poor law parish to mean persons rated to and paying poor rate in respect of property in the area. The expression includes an occupier whose rates are paid by the owner, or in lieu of whom the owner is rated (b), but not an owner who pays rates in lieu of the occupier by agreement, whether statutory or otherwise (c). Whether it includes an owner actually rated in lieu of the occupier under statutory provisions in that behalf is doubtful (d).

"Incumbent" and "minister" are defined as meaning, in respect "Incumof any fee made payable to an incumbent or minister under the bent" and Burial Acts, the clergyman who would have been entitled to the fee had the body been buried in the churchyard or burial ground of the parish from which it came, or in the burial ground of the ecclesiastical district in case such district had a burial ground at the passing of the Burial Act, 1852; and it is provided that if any difference shall arise between two or more persons severally claiming to be the incumbent or minister under this provision, the difference shall be determined by the bishop of the diocese (e).

"minister."

"Churchwardens" is defined as meaning also chapelwardens or "Churchother persons discharging the duties of churchwardens.

"Overseers" is defined as meaning also any persons authorised to "Overseers." make and collect or cause to be collected, the poor rate of the parish, and acting instead of overseers of the poor.

"Clerk" is defined as meaning the clerk appointed pursuant to "Clerk." the Burial Act, 1852, by a burial board.

"Vestry" receives a definition that will be given hereafter (f).

935. Some further expressions used in the present and ensuing parts of this title require explanation.

the Act of 1852; but the decision has been doubted in view of the financial provisions of the Act, which do not appear to have been called to the attention of the court. See R. v. Walcot Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 555, particularly per Crompton, J., at p. 559; R. v. Wright (1862), 8 Jur. (n. s.) 260; Hornby v. Toxteth Park Burial Board (1862), 31 Beav. 52, where Lord Romilly, M.R., seems clearly to have thought that a burial board could not be established under the Act of 1852 for an area other than a poor law parish. There are, however, provisions in the Act of 1852, and in the later Acts, where "parish" is clearly used as including parishes that are not poor law parishes. See Day v. Peacock (1865), 18 C. B. (N. s.) 702.

(b) Poor Rate Assessment and Collection Act, 1869 (32 & 33 Vict. c. 41), ss. 7, 15, 19; Parliamentary and Municipal Registration Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 26), s. 14; Assessed Rates Act, 1879 (42 Vict. c. 10). See further, title RATES AND RATING.

(c) Mogg v. Clark (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 79, C. A.; A.-G. v. Croydon Corporation (1889), 42 Ch. D. 178.

(d) See R. v. Hampton (1865), 6 B. & S. 923, 939; Mogg v. Clark, supra, per LINDLEY, L.J., at p. 84.

(e) The bishop has no jurisdiction to make any order as to the destination, as between different incumbents, of fees for the burial of non-parishioners in a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts (Re Fulham Burial Fees (1878), Times (November 26, 1878), per Dr. TRISTRAM). (f) See p. 450, post.

SECT. 1. " Adoption " of Burial Acts.

The initial resolution to provide a burial ground, which, or some Preliminary. equivalent proceeding, is necessary before the provision of a burial ground can be undertaken under the Burial Acts, is called in the Local Government Act, 1894 (q), the "adoption" of the Burial Acts: and the expression will be used in this title where it is found convenient.

" Burial authority."

The expression "burial authority" is defined in the Burial Act. 1900, as meaning any burial board, any council, committee, or other local authority having the powers and duties of a burial board, and any local authority maintaining a cometery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (h), or under any local Act (i). tunate generality of this definition precludes employment of the expression to mean a burial board or other executive authority under the Burial Act, 1852, and the amending Acts; and recourse has therefore been had in this title to various circumlocutions to designate a burial authority of the latter character.

Urban district" and "urban authority."

The expression "urban district" is used in the present title to include boroughs, whether county boroughs or not (k), and the expression "urban authority" to include municipal corporations of both county and other boroughs (a).

" Non-municipal urban district."

The expressions "non-municipal urban district" and "nonmunicipal urban district council" are used to designate urban districts other than boroughs and the councils of such districts.

SUB-SECT. 3.—Vestries and Meetings in Nature of Vestry.

Power of vestry.

936. Subject to the consent of the urban authority, the power of "adopting" the Burial Acts for a poor law parish in an urban district is enjoyed by the vestry of the parish, and that vestry has likewise the power of electing the burial board, and certain powers of control over the board; and like powers are enjoyed by the "vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry" for the area in the case of the areas other than poor law parishes for which the adoption of the Acts is authorised (b).

Definition of " vestry."

937. The expression "vestry" is defined, for the purposes of the Burial Acts, as meaning the inhabitants of a parish lawfully assembled in vestry, or for any of the purposes for which vestries are holden, except in those parishes in which there is a select or

(h) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 31.

⁽g) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73, s. 7 (8).

⁽i) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 11.
(k) This is the meaning of the expression both in the Public Health Acts, and generally in the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73). See Kirkdale Burial Board v. Liverpool Corporation, [1904] 1 Ch. 829; and title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽a) This is the meaning of the expression in the Public Health Acts. The expression "urban district council" is generally strictly equivalent to "urban authority," but is so commonly used as excluding municipal corporations, that it has been thought well to avoid the expression as far as possible as ambiguous.

⁽b) See Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), ss. 11, 12; Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 5; Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 62 (2).

other vestry elected under the Vestries Act, 1831 (c), or under any local Act for the government of any parish by vestries, in which Preliminary.

parishes it means such select or other vestry (d).

If in any parish a general vestry co-exists with a select vestry select vestry appointed under a local Act, the powers of the vestry under the co-existing. Burial Acts attach to the vestry which exercises the general management of the parish (e).

There is no explanation in the Burial Acts of the "meeting in "Meeting in the nature of a vestry" to which the functions of the vestry under the nature of the Acts are extended in the case of areas other than parishes for a vestry." which there is no vestry.

SECT. 1.

General and

938. No resolution or proceeding of any vestry, or meeting in the validity of nature of a vestry, for the purposes of the Burial Acts is void or proceedings. voidable by reason of any defect or irregularity of or in notice of the vestry or meeting, or any other error in form in calling the vestry or meeting, or in the proceedings thereat, unless notice in writing of such defect, irregularity, or error be given at the vestry or meeting, or within seven days thereafter, to the churchwardens or other persons to whom it belongs to convene the vestry or meeting, who are thereupon to call another meeting to consider the previous resolution or proceeding or the matter thereof (f).

Sect. 2.—Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Sub-Sect. 1.—Establishment and Election of Burial Board.

939. The Burial Acts cannot be adopted—that is to say, the Consent of initial resolution for the provision of a burial ground cannot be urban authoeffectively passed—for any part of an urban district without the tion of Burial consent of the urban authority (g).

Subject to this restriction, and to the necessity in some cases for Areas for the sanction of the Local Government Board (h), the Acts may in urban districts be adopted, in each case by the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry for the area in question, and elective burial boards established accordingly, for the following areas (i):—

(1) A poor law parish (k).

rity to adop-

which Acts may be adopted.

(e) R. v. Gladstone (1857), 7 E. & B. 575, where the general vestry was held to be the proper vestry for this purpose; R. v. Peters (1856), 6 E. & B. 225, where the select vestry was held to be the proper one. For vestries in general, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(f) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 27. The provisions of the Vestries Act, 1818 (58 Geo. 3, c. 69), s. 1, and the Acts amending the same, as to the notices of vestry meetings, do not apply to parishes created under the Church Building Acts etc. for ecclesiastical purposes only (*B. v. Barrow* (1869), L. B. 4 Q. B. 577).

(g) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 62 (2).

⁽c) 1 & 2 Will. 4, c. 60. See title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.
(d) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 52. The definition relates, of course, only to the vestry of a "parish" within the meaning of the Act. As to the meaning of "parish" for this purpose, see p. 448, ante.

⁽h) See pp. 452, 453, post.

⁽i) As to alteration of the area of a burial board, see p. 505, post.
(k) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), ss. 10, 11. The sections authorise the establishment of a burial board for a "parish," an expression that, as has been seen (p. 448, ante), is defined as meaning a poor law parish.

(2) Two or more parishes or places (whether poor law parishes or not) (i.) united for all or any ecclesiastical purposes, or (ii.) which heretofore have had a church or burial ground for their joint use, or (iii.) of which the inhabitants have been accustomed to meet in one vestry for purposes common to such several parishes or

(3) A parish, township, or other district, not being a poor law

parish, which has heretofore had a separate burial ground (m).

(4) A parish, new parish, township, or other district not being a poor law parish, which has no separate burial ground. Where this provision is acted upon the powers of any other vestry or meeting and burial board (i.e., other than the vestry or meeting and burial board of the area in question) cease and determine (n).

(5) The residue of a poor law parish for part of which a burial

board has been established (o).

Where sanction of Local Government Board required.

940. The sanction of the Local Government Board (p) is, however. necessary for the establishment of a burial board in the case of-

(1) Two or more parishes or places united for ecclesiastical purposes, or which have had a church or burial ground for their

establishment of a burial board under the Act of 1852 for an ancient parish which was not a poor law parish, see note (a), p. 448, ante. Burial boards can now be established for such areas under the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81); see infra. The fact that a poor law parish is divided into ecclesiastical parishes, for each of which a separate burial board may be established under the Act of 1857, does not preclude the establishment of a burial board for the

poor law parish (R. v. Walcot Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 555).

(l) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 11; and see R. v. Coleshill Overseers (1862), 34 L. J. (Q. B.) 96. The word "heretofore" probably refers to the date of the Act. See note (m), infra. As to joint burial boards for areas

consisting of two or more parishes, see p. 456, post.

(m) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 12. The word "heretofore" refers to the date of the Act (R. v. Tonbridge Overseers (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 339, C. A., per Brett, M.B., at p. 341). Under this enactment a burial board may be established for an area forming part of a larger area for which a burial board has been already established, though the result is to place the former area under the jurisdiction of two burial boards (ibid.). The word "district" in the section has no statutory definition, but has generally been considered to mean an ecclesiastical district, as it is contemplated that it will have a vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry.

(n) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 5. As to the meaning of "district," see note (m), supra. Under this enactment a burial board may be established for an area forming part of a poor law parish, though a burial board has been already established for the whole poor law parish; and, though as regards the future, the smaller area will cease to be liable to contribute to the expenses of the burial board for the poor law parish, it remains liable to contribute to expenses and liabilities already incurred. See R. v. Walcot St. Swithin Overseers (1862), 2 B. & S. 571, in which case, however, the latter point was not actually decided, and the court expressed great doubt as to the proper construction of the section, but were generally of opinion to the effect here stated.

o) Viner v. Tonbridge Churchwardens (1859), 2 E. & E. 9, where this was held to be the implied effect of the legislation authorising the establishment

of a burial board for part of a poor law parish.

(p) The sanction required was formerly that of a Secretary of State (i.e., in practice the Home Secretary), but that of the Local Government Board was substituted by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

joint use, or of which the inhabitants have been accustomed to meet in one vestry, if any one or more of such parishes or places is a poor law parish, or has a separate burial ground (q); and

(2) Any parish or place which has been divided into two or more districts for all or any ecclesiastical purposes, if any one or more of

such districts has a separate burial ground (r).

Where the sanction of the Local Government Board is thus required, no burial board may be appointed until the resolution of the meeting declaring the expediency of such appointment has been passed and sent to and approved by the Board; and the Board before giving such approval may require notice of such resolution, with particulars, to be published in such manner as may be deemed necessary for giving notice to persons interested (a).

If, in the first of the cases above mentioned in which the sanction Sanction as to of the Local Government Board is required, it appear to the Board part of area. that any of the parishes or places in question has a sufficient burial ground, or that otherwise it would not be expedient that a burial board should be established for such parish or place, the Board may direct that parish or place to be excepted, and a burial board may then be established for the residue of the area (b).

941. The power of resolving upon the provision of a burial Meetings for ground for a parish or other area in an urban district is, as has been said (c), in the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry for that parish or area.

The churchwardens or other persons to whom it belongs to convene Convening of meetings of the vestry of a parish may at any time convene such meeting. a meeting on their own initiative to consider the question of providing a burial ground for the parish (d); and, though it is not expressly so provided, it is implied that like action may be taken by persons empowered to convene vestry meetings or meetings in the nature of vestry meetings for other areas for which burial grounds may be provided.

In the case of a parish, moreover, the churchwardens or other persons to whom it belongs to convene meetings of the vestry of the parish must convene a meeting of the vestry for the special purpose of determining whether a burial ground shall be provided under the Burial Acts for the parish either (1) upon the requisition in writing of ten or more ratepayers (e) of the parish to whom it appears that the place or places of burial in the parish are insufficient or dangerous to health (f), or (2) if and

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Conditions of ment Board sanction.

adoption of

⁽q) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 9.

⁽r) Burial Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 64), s. 4.
(a) Burial Act, 1871 (34 & 35 Vict. c. 33), s. 1. The section also validates approvals given before the Act, whether before or after the appointment of the burial board.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 9.

⁽c) See p. 450, ante.

⁽d) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 3. For form of notice convening such a meeting, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 100.

⁽e) As to the meaning of "ratepayers," see p. 449, ante.
(f) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 10. For form of requisition see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 99.

when the Local Government Board (q) give notice that it is their intention to make a representation to His Majesty in Council that burials should be discontinued wholly or in part in any burial ground of the parish (h). And a similar obligation appears to be imposed by implication on the persons empowered to convene vestry and similar meetings for areas other than parishes.

Notice of vestry meet-

942. Public notice of a vestry meeting convened to consider the question of providing a burial ground under the Burial Acts and of the place and hour of holding the same, and the special purpose thereof, must be given, in the usual manner in which notices of the meetings of the vestry are given, at least seven days before holding such vestry meeting (i).

Copy of resolution for Local Government Board.

If it be resolved at the meeting that a burial ground shall be provided, a copy of that resolution, signed by the chairman, must be sent to the Local Government Board (k).

Appointment of persons to form burial board.

943. When the resolution to provide a burial ground has been passed by the vestry, or meeting in the nature of a vestry, of any parish or other area in an urban district, and the sanction of the Local Government Board, when necessary, and of the urban authority has been obtained (l), the vestry or meeting are (unless as an alternative steps are taken to invest the urban authority, or certain members of that authority, with the powers of a burial board for the parish or area (m)) to appoint not less than three nor more than nine persons, qualified as is mentioned below, to be the burial board of the parish or other area (n).

Membership of burial boards.

944. The members of a burial board for a parish must be ratepayers (o) of the parish for which it is appointed, but the incumbent of the parish may be appointed and reappointed from time to time a member thereof though not a ratepayer (p). One-third, or as nearly as may be one-third (to be determined among themselves), of the members go out of office every year at such time as is from time to time fixed by the vestry, but outgoing members are eligible

(h) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 3.

(1) See pp. 451 et seq., ante.

(p) As to the eligibility of the incumbent, see, however, p. 455, post.

⁽g) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

⁽i) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 3.

(i) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 10. The provisions of the section as to notice of a vestry meeting may no doubt be taken as applicable also, mutatis mutandis, to meetings in the nature of a vestry. For form of certificate of due publication of the notice, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 101. As to vestry meetings and their convening, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽k) Ibid., s. 10, as amended, by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State, by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I. The following is suggested as a proper form:—"That a burial ground under the Burial Acts be provided for the parish [or district, etc.] of ." See Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 102.

⁽m) See p. 489, post.
(n) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 11. The section refers to the case of a parish only; but its provisions are extended to other areas by the enactments enabling burial boards to be established for other areas.
(o) As to the meaning of "ratepayers," see p. 449, ante.

for immediate reappointment. Any member may at any time resign on giving notice to the churchwardens or persons to whom it belongs to convene the vestry (q). And these provisions apply, mutatis mutandis, to burial boards for other areas (r).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

There is no special provision as to the method in which the appointment of members of a burial board is to be carried out, and the matter is accordingly regulated by the general law applicable to proceedings of the vestry or other meeting by whom the appointment is made (s).

945. Every vacancy in a burial board is to be filled up by the Vacancies in vestry or meeting appointing it within one month after the vacancy burial boards. has happened, and immediately upon its occurrence the vacancy is to be notified by the board to the churchwardens or others to whom it belongs to convene the vestry or meeting. If the vestry or meeting neglect to fill up the vacancy, it may be filled by the burial board at any meeting thereof (a); but an election by the vestry or meeting is good though made after the expiry of the month if the burial board have not already filled the vacancy (b). Every person to be appointed to supply any vacancy must be a ratepayer of the parish or area for which the board is appointed, subject, it would seem, to the eligibility of the incumbent though not a ratepayer (c).

A burial board may act for any purpose notwithstanding any vacancies therein (d).

946. The reasonable expenses incurred in taking a poll of the Expenses of ratepayers of any parish or part of a parish on the occasion either poll of rateof the appointment or reappointment by the vestry of persons to be the burial board for such parish or part of a parish, or of the filling up by the vestry of any vacancy or vacancies on such board, are to be defrayed by the board as if they were expenses incurred by the board in carrying the Burial Acts into execution (e).

(q) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 11.

(s) As to the proceedings of vestries, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

referred to supra.

(d) Ibid. The board could not, however, act if reduced in number below a quorum. See Newhaven Local Board v. Newhaven School Board, supra.

(e) Burial Boards (Contested Elections) Act, 1885 (48 & 49 Vict. c. 21), s. 2 The Act is expressed, as appears in the text, as confined to the case of a burial board for a parish or part of a parish; but it would perhaps be held to apply generally. *Prima facie* the expression "parish" in the Act, which is not

⁽r) See Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), ss. 11, 12; Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 5.

⁽a) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 4. A quorum of the board (i.e., three members (see p. 457, post)) must be present to enable the board to exercise their power of filling vacancies. Hence if the number of members were reduced below three, the remaining members would be unable to fill the vacancies. See Newhaven Local Board v. Newhaven School Board (1885), 30 Ch. D. 350, C. A. There is no provision applicable to burial boards similar to the provision held in that case to validate the acts of the local board notwithstanding the irregularities in its constitution.

⁽b) R. v. South Weald Overseers (1864), 5 B. & S. 391.
(c) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 4. The section provides that the person to be appointed shall be a ratepayer, but this provision can hardly be intended to override the provisions as to the eligibility of the incumbent

947. A burial board is a body corporate by the name of "The , in the county of Burial Board for the parish of and by that name has perpetual succession and a common seal, and may sue and be sued, and may acquire and hold lands for the purposes of the Burial Acts without licence in mortmain (f).

Forgery of the seal of a burial board is a felony (g).

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Joint Burial Boards.

Agreement for provision of common burial ground.

948. The vestries of any parishes which may have respectively resolved to provide burial grounds under the Burial Acts may concur in providing one burial ground for the common use of such parishes in such manner, not inconsistent with the Burial Acts, as they may agree, and may agree as to the proportions in which the expenses of such burial ground shall be borne by such parishes; and the proportions for each of the parishes will then be chargeable on and payable out of the poor rates of the parish accordingly.

Establishment of joint burial board.

In such case, according and subject to the terms agreed on, the burial boards appointed for the several parishes are for the purpose of providing and managing such one burial ground, and taking and holding land for the same, to act as one joint burial board (h) for all the parishes, and may have a joint office, clerk, and officers, and all the provisions of the Burial Acts will apply to such joint board accordingly. The accounts and vouchers of the joint board are to be audited by the auditors of each of the parishes; and any surplus money at the disposal of the joint board is to be paid to the overseers of the parishes respectively in the same proportions as those in which such parishes are liable to such expenses (i).

Corporate capacity of joint burial board.

949. A joint burial board established under the above provisions, which must not be confused with a joint committee appointed under the Local Government Act, 1894, to discharge the functions of a burial board (k), is a corporate body by the name of "The Burial , in the county of Board for the parishes of and and by that name has perpetual succession and a common seal, and power to hold land for the purposes of the Burial Acts (l).

Determination of union of parishes.

950. Where the vestries of two or more parishes have agreed to

incorporated with the other Burial Acts, means poor law parish by virtue of the definition of "parish" in the Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 53),

(f) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 24. The provisions enabling burial boards to be established for areas other than parishes of course imply that a proper modification of this title should be made in the case of burial boards for such areas.

(g) Forgery Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 98), s. 36. See title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

(h) It is thus contemplated that each parish will elect a burial board exactly as if it were acting independently, but that the persons elected for the several parishes will all sit together as a single joint board. There is nothing to indicate that the several boards retain independent capacities during the existence of the joint board.

(i) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 23. (k) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73, s. 53 (2). As to such joint committees, see p. 499, post. (l) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 24.

provide one burial ground for the common use of such parishes. they may, at any time before such burial ground has been provided, determine the union between the parishes under the agreement; and upon the union being so determined, the provisions of the Burial Acts are to apply with regard to the parishes and their respective burial boards as if the union had not been formed, save that expenses already properly incurred by the joint board are to be defrayed as above stated (m).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

951. All acts authorised to be done by any burial board with the Approval of approval, sanction, or authority of the vestry of the parish for which vestries. it is constituted may be done by a joint burial board with the approval, sanction, or authority of the vestries of the majority of the parishes for which it is constituted (n).

952. The foregoing provisions as to joint burial boards may Areas other probably be taken to apply, mutatis mutandis, to areas other than than parishes. parishes for which burial boards may be established as well as to parishes (o).

Sub-Sect. 3.—Proceedings and Powers of Burial Boards.

953. A burial board may meet at such times as are determined Meetings. upon at any previous meeting; and it is at all times competent for any two members of the board, by writing under their hands, to summon, with at least forty-eight hours' notice, the board for any special purpose mentioned in such writing, and to meet at such time as is appointed therein. Meetings must be held at the office of the board, or at another convenient place previously publicly notified (p).

The quorum of the board is three (q).

Quorum.

954. Entries of all proceedings of a burial board, with the names Minutes of of the members who attend each meeting, are to be made in books proceedings. to be provided and kept for that purpose, under the direction of the board, and are to be signed by the members present, or any two of them; and all entries purporting to be so signed are to be received as evidence without proof of any meeting of the board having been duly convened or held, or of the presence at any such meeting of the persons named in any such entry as being present thereat, or of such persons being members of the board, or of the signature of any person by whom the entry purports to be signed, all of which matters are to be presumed until the contrary be proved (r).

⁽m) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 2.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 1.

⁽o) It is, however, remarkable that the provisions of the Act of 1857 refer only to parishes, though when that Act was passed the establishment of burial boards for other areas had been authorised. In R. v. Sudbury Burial Board (1858), E. B. & E. 264, an ancient parish not being a poor law parish was held to be authorised to concur in the appointment of a joint burial board under the Act of 1852, on the ground that such a parish was a "parish" within the meaning of the Act of 1852. But see note (a), p. 448, ante.

(p) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 13, as partially repealed by the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 18 Vict. a 85), s. 5.

⁽q) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 14.
(r) Ibid., s. 16. Though the minutes must be signed by two members who were present at the meeting to which they relate, it is not necessary that

Inspection of books.

955. A burial board are required to keep accounts (s).

956. The minute books and books of account are to be at all reasonable times open to the examination of every member of the board, churchwarden, overseer, and ratepayer without charge; and these persons are entitled to take copies of and extracts from such books, also without charge. Penalties recoverable summarily are imposed in respect of refusal to permit such inspection or taking of copies or extracts (t). The court will not, however, grant a mandamus in aid of the right of inspection unless such inspection is sought for a bona fide purpose (a).

Audit.

957. The vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry are yearly to appoint two persons, not being members of the burial board, to be auditors of the accounts of the board, and at such time in March in every year as the vestry or meeting appoint the board are to produce to the auditors their accounts, with sufficient vouchers for all moneys received and paid, and the auditors are to examine the accounts and vouchers and report thereon to the vestry or meeting (b).

they should be signed at that meeting. They may be signed at the next or probably a subsequent meeting. See Southampton Dock Co. v. Richards (1840), 1 Man. & G. 448; Miles v. Bough (1842), 3 Q. B. 845. The provisions of the Burial Act, 1852, as to minutes of burial boards are expressly declared to be inapplicable to borough councils constituted burial boards by Order in Council (Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 2). In R. v. Wimbledon Urban District Council (1897), 14 T. L. R. 146, it was assumed, without argument, that the provisions as to inspection of the minutes of a burial board contained in s. 17 of the Act of 1852, and referred to later in the text, applied to minutes with reference to transactions under the Burial Acts of a non-municipal urban district council invested (how, does not appear) with the functions of a burial board. It is submitted, however, that at any rate the provisions of s. 16 as to the minutes of burial boards do not in general (even in the absence of express enactment to the contrary) extend to other bodies discharging the functions of burial boards. It can hardly be intended, for instance, that one set of provisions as to authentication should apply to minutes of a parish council relating to transactions under the Burial Acts, and another set to minutes relating to other matters.

(s) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 16, which requires the board to keep true and regular accounts of all sums of money received and paid for or on account of the purposes of the Act in the parish, and of all liabilities incurred by them for such purposes, and of the several purposes for which such sums are paid and such liabilities incurred.

(t) Ibid., s. 17.

(a) R. v. Wimbledon Urban District Council, supra; and see note (r), supra.
(b) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 18. This section may probably be regarded as confined to accounts of elective burial boards, though, except as regards borough councils invested with the functions of burial boards by Order in Council (see Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 2), and possibly as regards metropolitan authorities (as to which see s. 197 of the Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), now repealed by the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 55 (2) and Sched. III.), there is nothing express to prevent its applying to other authorities exercising the functions of a burial board. The accounts of such authorities (except borough councils) are subject to an efficient audit by auditors appointed by the Local Government Board, and it cannot have been intended that the accounts should be also subject to the ineffectual audit provided for by s. 18 of the Act of 1852.

958. A burial board are required to appoint, and may remove at pleasure (c), a clerk and such other officers and servants as are necessary for the business of the board and the purposes of their burial ground, and, with the approval of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry, may appoint reasonable salaries, wages, and allowances for such clerk, officers, and servants (d).

SECT. 2, Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

The burial board cannot by employing gravediggers deprive a sexton of his right to earn fees by digging graves in the consecrated part of the ground (e), nor are they justified in incurring expense in employing gravediggers to dig graves which it is the sexton's duty to dig (f).

Officers and

959. A burial board, when necessary, may hire and rent a office. sufficient office for holding their meetings and transacting their business (q).

960. A burial board may contract with any person or company Contracts. for building chapels and inclosing, laying out, and embellishing any burial ground, and for furnishing any materials and things, and for executing and doing other works and things necessary for the purposes of the Burial Acts. Such contracts are to specify the several works and things to be executed, furnished, and done, and the prices to be paid for the same, and the times when the works and things are to be executed, furnished, and done, and the penalties to be suffered in cases of non-performance. All such contracts or true copies thereof are to be entered in books kept for that purpose.

No contract above the value or sum of £100 is to be entered into Notice of conby the burial board for the purposes of the Burial Acts unless gloo.

(e) As to this right, see pp. 469 et seg., 473, post.
(f) Gell v. Birmingham Corporation (1864), 10 L. T. 497; St. Margaret, Rochester, Burial Board v. Thompson (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 445, per Keating, J.,

⁽c) The officers of the board will therefore not be entitled to notice before dismissal, at any rate in the absence of express agreement entitling them to notice; and it is very questionable whether a binding agreement entitling them to notice can be made. See Wood v. East Ham Urban District Council (1907), 71 J. P. 129, C. A.; and compare Wright v. Zetland (Marquis), [1908] 1 K. B. 63,

⁽d) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 15. It appears to be unnecessary for an authority acting in the execution of the Burial Acts, other than an elective burial board, to appoint a clerk specially for the purpose of their business under these Acts, and indeed questionable whether they have power to do so. The Local Government Board have held, on appeal from a district auditor, that a parish council acting in the execution of the Burial Acts have no power to appoint a clerk for the purposes of these Acts in addition to their general clerk. The officers and servants whom a burial board may appoint do general clerk. The officers and servants whom a burial board may appoint do not include a chaplain or sexton; and although the board may nominate a Nonconformist minister to perform such services as may be required, they have no power to make any payment to him other than of the fees specified in s. 3 of the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15).

⁽g) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 15. The section is so expressed as to leave it doubtful whether the approval of the vestry is required for this purpose. The section, so far as it need be quoted, runs thus: "The board shall appoint and may remove . . . officers and servants, . . . and, with the approval of the vestry, may appoint . . . salaries . . . for such . . . officers and servants, and, when necessary, may hire and rent a sufficient office. . . . "

previous to the making thereof fourteen days' notice has been given in one or more of the public newspapers published in the county or counties comprising the area of the board expressing the intention of entering into the contract, in order that any person willing to undertake the contract may make proposals for that purpose, to be offered to the board at a time and place to be mentioned in the notice. It is not, however, incumbent on the board to accept the lowest tender (h).

The above requirements as to contracts with a burial board are for the most part, if not wholly, directory (i), so that a contract made by or with a burial board in disregard of them would nevertheless be enforceable by either party thereto. Subject to extensive exceptions, however, a contract with a burial board not under the seal of the board would be void on the general principles applicable

to the contracts of corporate bodies (k).

SUB-SECT. 4 .- Provision of Burial Ground, Acquisition etc. of Land.

Duty to provide ground.

961. It is the duty of a burial board, subject to the alternative, referred to later, of contracting with cemetery owners, with all convenient speed to proceed to provide a burial ground for their area, and to make arrangements for facilitating interments therein; and in providing the burial ground the board are to have reference to the convenience of access thereto from the area for which it is provided (l).

The burial ground may be within or without that area; and, with the approval of a Secretary of State, the board may, if they

see fit, provide more than one burial ground (m).

Purchase by agreement.

962. For the purpose of providing a burial ground the burial board, with the consent of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry (n), may by agreement purchase any lands for the purpose of forming a burial ground or making additions thereto, or purchase from any company or persons entitled thereto any cemetery or cemeteries, or part or parts thereof, subject to the rights in vaults and graves and other subsisting rights which have been previously granted therein (o). For the purpose of such a purchase they may avail themselves of the provisions of the Lands Clauses

(k) See Stevens v. Hounslow Burial Board (1889), 61 L. T. 839; and titles

CONTRACT; CORPORATIONS.

(i) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 25. (m) Ibid.; Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 3, as amended by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12.

(n) This consent may be dispensed with in certain cases. See p. 461, post. (o) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 26. For form of agreement for purchase, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 111; and for form of conveyance, see ibid., p. 121.

⁽h) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 31.
(i) See Newell v. Worcester Corporation (1854), 9 Exch. 457; Soothill Upper Urban Council v. Wakefield Rural Council, [1905] 2 Ch. 516, C. A. The nonobservance of such requirements might, however, affect the right of the board to charge the amount of the contract upon the poor rate, which can only be done where the expenses so charged are incurred "in carrying this Act into execution." See s. 19 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85).

Acts as to the purchase of land by agreement (p); but they have no powers for the compulsory acquisition of land (q).

963. Instead of providing a burial ground, the burial board may contract with any company or persons entitled to a cemetery for the burial therein, and either in any allotted part thereof, or otherwise, and upon such terms as the board think fit, of the bodies of persons who would have had rights of interment in the burial ground provided by the board if such ground had been provided (r).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Contract with cemetery owners.

vestry of consent to expenditure.

964. There are provisions enabling the Local Government Board, Refusal by in the event of the refusal of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry to sanction the expenditure declared by the burial board to be necessary for providing and laying out a burial ground and building any necessary chapel thereon (s), to dispense with the need of such sanction as regards such expenditure and also as regards the borrowing of money, the purchase of lands or of a cemetery or part thereof, and the provision of a mortuary (t).

965. Subject to certain restrictions (u), a burial board have the following powers for the alienation of lands acquired by them (a):— They may, with the approval of the vestry or meeting in the

Alienation of land by burial board. Sale with approval of vestry.

(p) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 27. This section incorporates the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), except the provi-This section incorporates sions with respect to "the purchase and taking of lands otherwise than by agreement," "the recovery of forfeitures, penalties, and costs," superfluous lands, and access to the special Act. For the purposes of the Burial Act, the "promoters of the undertaking" is to mean the burial board. As to the Lands Clauses Acts generally, see title Compulsory Purchase and Compensation.

(q) The powers of authorities acting in the execution of the Burial Acts other than elective burial boards as to the acquisition of land are in some cases more extensive than those of elective burial boards, and extend to the acquisition of

(r) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 26. For form of such agreement, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 108.

(a) As to the building of chapels by burial boards, see p. 468, post.

(t) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 6, as amended, by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State, by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4. As so amended, the section provides in effect that if the vestry of any parish refuse or neglect to authorise the expenditure of such sums as the burial board of the parish have declared to be necessary for providing and laying out a burial ground and building the necessary chapel or chapels therein, the burial board may represent such refusal or neglect to the Local Government Board, and if it appears to the Board after inquiry that the burial board are unable to provide such burial ground, or to proceed effectually in the execution of their duties, by reason of such refusal or neglect, the Board may authorise the burial board, without further authority, sanction, or approval of the vestry, to expend such sums for providing and laying out a burial ground, and building the necessary chapel or chapels thereon, and to borrow for all or any of such purposes, and to enter into and make such contracts and purchases and to do such other acts as under ss. 19, 20, 26, and 42 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), might have been expended, borrowed etc., with the authority etc. of the vestry, subject to such limitation of amount or other limitation or restriction as the Board may prescribe. See R. v. Kilham, Yorkshire, Burial Board (1885), 1 T. L. R. 678, C. A.

(u) See p. 462, post.
(a) In the case of authorities acting in the execution of the Burial Acts other than elective burial boards, other provisions applicable to the alienation of land acquired for a burial ground may come into play; see pp. 486, 492, 497, post.

nature of a vestry, sell and dispose of any lands purchased by them under the Burial Acts, or any part thereof, in which no interment has taken place, and which it may appear to them may be properly sold and disposed of. The proceeds of sale are to be applied to such of the purposes of the Burial Acts as the board think fit (b). There are statutory provisions as to the form of the conveyance. and as to the receipt for the purchase-money where land is sold under this power (c).

Lease with approval of Local Government Board.

Again, the board may, with the sanction of, and subject to regulations approved of by, the Local Government Board, let any land purchased by them under the Burial Acts which has not been consecrated, and in which no body has been interred, and which is not for the time being required for the purposes of a burial ground, in such manner and upon such terms as the burial board see fit, so, however, that power is reserved to that board to resume possession of any such land which may be required for the purposes of burial on giving six months' notice (d).

Land once consecrated remains so.

Once land acquired by a burial board has been consecrated, however, it cannot be divested of its sacred character except by statute, and this circumstance would practically stand in the way of the sale of such land except for some purpose for which a faculty could be obtained (e).

Use of unconsecrated ground for other purposes.

Unconsecrated land which is maintained by a burial authority and set apart for the purposes of burial must not be applied to any other purpose except by leave of the Local Government Board (f).

Moreover, if the land has once been set apart for the purpose of interment, notwithstanding that it has never been used for that purpose, provisions operate which in general prevent its being used for building in the hands of the purchaser or lessee (q).

Appropriation of parish and charity lands.

966. A burial board have also certain powers for the appropriation of parish land and land held for parochial charities (h).

(b) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 28.

(c) Ibid.; Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), s. 132. (d) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 17, as amended, by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State, by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4.

(e) See p. 423, ante.

(f) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 6. This would not prevent the conveyance or appropriation of the ground for an "open space" under the enactments referred to at pp. 533 et seq. (g) See pp. 423, 424, 532, 533, post.

(h) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 29: "Provided always, that any burial board under this Act, with the approval of the vestry and of the guardians of the poor of the parish (if any), and of the Poor Law Board [now the Local Government Board], may from time to time appropriate for the purposes of a burial ground for such parish, either alone or jointly with any other parish or parishes, any land vested in such guardians, or in the churchwardens, or in the churchwardens and overseers of the parish, or in any feoffees, trustees, or others, for the general benefit of the parish, or for any specific charity: Provided always, that where any land so taken and appropriated shall be subject to any charitable use, such land shall be taken on such conditions only as the Court of Chancery in the exercise of its jurisdiction over charitable trusts shall appoint and direct." over charitable trusts shall appoint and direct.

The section, occurring as it does in the Act of 1852, of course refers to the case of a burial board for a parish only, but it must doubtless be taken to be

967. There are provisions enabling a transfer to be made to a burial board of a burial ground provided under the Church Building Acts, in respect of which there is an outstanding debt charged on the church rate, in consideration of the board paying off the debt, or such part thereof as may be agreed upon by creditors to whom two-thirds of the debt is due (i). But in view of the Compulsory Church Rate Abolition Act, 1868 (j), these provisions are becoming obsolete. Where they are acted upon the burial board may, with the approval of the vestry, enlarge the burial ground by the Building Acts. addition of unconsecrated ground (k).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Transfer of burial ground

968. A burial board, with the approval of the vestry or meeting in Purchase of the nature of a vestry, may purchase any cemetery closed by Order cemetery in Council which adjoins or is near to any land appropriated or Order in about to be appropriated by the board for a burial ground, if the Council. same appears to the board eligible for the purpose of appropriating or erecting buildings for or making approaches to their burial ground. The Order in Council, however, remains in force with regard to such cemetery notwithstanding such purchase (1).

969. A burial board are required to redeem any tithe rent-charge Redemption to which land taken by them for the purpose of a burial ground is of tithe rentsubject at twenty-five years' purchase, making the necessary application to the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries (m), as soon as they are in possession of the land, and before applying it to the purposes of a burial ground (n).

extended to other areas for which burial boards may be established. Probably guardians of a union comprising the parish are not guardians of the parish within the section. Property formerly vested in the churchwardens and overseers is now in the case of a rural parish vested in the parish council or the corporation of the chairman of the parish meeting and the overseers, as the case may be, under the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), ss. 5 (2), 19 (7); and in the case of an urban parish is not infrequently vested in the overseers alone, or in the urban authority, under an order of the Local Government Board made pursuant to s. 33 of that Act.

The court will not entertain an application in respect of charity land under the section unless the leave of the Charity Commissioners or the Board of Education, as the case may be, to make the application has been obtained under s. 17 of the Charitable Trusts Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 137), though their approval of the objects of the application is not required (Ex parte Watford Burial Eoard (1856), 2 Jur. (N. s.) 1045). The court may impose the payment of a price as one of the conditions on which it will authorise the appropriation of the land (Re Egham Burial Board (1857), 3 Jur. (N. S.) 956). The consent of the vestry, when given under the erroneous belief that the land will be

appropriated free of charge, is a nullity (ibid.).
(i) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 7.
(j) 31 & 32 Vict. c. 109. It must be remembered, however, that compulsory church rates are not abolished by the Act where money is due upon security of them, and in some parishes payment of such rates is still enforced. See title ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.

(k) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 7.

(l) Ibid., s. 26.

(m) As successors of the Tithe Commissioners (Board of Agriculture Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 30), s. 2 (1) and Sched. I., Part II.; Board of Agriculture and Fisheries Act, 1903 (3 Edw. 7, c. 31). As to redemption of tithe rent-charge generally, see title Ecclesiastical Law.

(n) Tithe Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 42), s. 1; and see ibid., ss. 2—6.

Use of ground within one hundred yards of dwellinghouse.

Extent of prohibition.

When objec-

tion cannot

be raised.

Purchase of consent to use of ground.

970. A burial board may lay out and embellish any burial ground provided by the board in such manner as may be fitting and proper (o).

971. No ground not used as or appropriated for a cemetery before August 14, 1855, may be used for burials under the Burial Acts (p) within the distance of one hundred yards from any dwelling-house without the consent in writing of the owner, lessee, and occupier of such dwelling-house; but (subject to an execption with regard to certain special rights acquired before November 27, 1906) such consent is not required where the dwelling-house is or was begun to be erected, or is or was erected or completed, after any part of the burial ground has or had been so used or appropriated (q).

The prohibition is against the actual interment of bodies. Land may be appropriated as part of the burial ground within the hundred yards so long as no actual interment takes place within that distance (r). The hundred yards are to be measured as the crow flies (s) from the walls of the dwelling-house itself, and not from the walls or boundary of the curtilage (t).

A landowner who sells land for the purpose of a burial ground cannot afterwards object to the use of the land for burial within a hundred yards of a dwelling-house belonging to him on land which he retains (a).

It would appear to be legitimate for a burial board to purchase the

(o) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 30. The section contained further provisions which are now repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12 and Sched. II.

(p) Notwithstanding that the restriction is expressed to apply only to burials under the Burial Acts—the words of the section are, "under the said Act [the Burial Act, 1852] or this Act, or either of them "—it was held by MALINS, V.-C., in Greenwood v. Wadsworth (1873), L. B. 16 Eq. 288, to apply to burials in a burial ground provided by private enterprise; and it was afterwards assumed to have this general effect in Cowley (Lord) v. Byas (1877), 5 Ch. D. 944, C. A. It is, however, generally thought that the decision in Greenwood v. Wadsworth,

supra, was wrong.

(q) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 9; Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44), s. 1. S. 9 of the Act of 1855 was held in Godden v. Hythe Burial Board, [1906] 2 Ch. 270, C. A., to apply with reference to dwelling-houses erected after the provision of the burial ground as well as any erected previously, and s. 1 of the Act of 1906, which is expressed to operate retrospectively—the words are that the consent "shall not be and shall be deemed never to have been required in any case" etc.—was enacted in consequence of that decision. The section is, however, subject to a proviso to the effect that nothing in the section shall affect any rights acquired before November 27, 1906, under any judgment or order of a court of competent jurisdiction or under any agreement in writing, but that in case of a dispute one of the parties to which is a burial authority within the meaning of the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15) (see p. 450, ante), arising under an agreement as to any such right, the dispute shall, if either party so requires, be determined by the Local Government Board, either as arbitrators or otherwise at the option of the Board, in manner provided by the section.

(r) Cowley (Lord) v. Byas, supra.

(e) Mouflet v. Cole (1872), L. R. 8 Exch. 32.
 (t) Wright v. Wallasey Local Board (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 783.

(a) Toms v. Clacton Urban District Council (1898), 78 L. T. 712, decided on the principle that a man cannot derogate from his own grant.

consent of an owner, lessee, or occupier to the use of their burial ground for burial within a hundred yards of a dwelling-house.

972. The general management, regulation, and control of any burial ground provided by a burial board are, by express enactment, subject to the provisions of the Burial Acts and any regulations made thereunder (b), vested in and exercisable by the burial board, but any question which arises touching the fitness of any monumental burial ground. inscription in the consecrated part of the ground is to be determined by the bishop (c).

Moreover, as owners and occupiers of the land, the board are in Rights and general, and apart from express provision, entitled to the ordinary duties in rights and subject to the ordinary duties of owners and occupiers ground

of land (d).

Whether the burial ground of a burial board is extra commer- Liability as cium, so that the board escape statutory liabilities imposed on "owners" under Public Wealth Acts, Health Acts appears not to have been decided, but probably it is not, at any rate etc. while used for burials (e).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Control of

973. A burial board are rateable in respect of their burial Rates. ground (f), subject to the limitation that land acquired by a burial

(b) As to regulations under the Burial Acts, the power to make which is now in the Local Government Board, see p. 537, post.

(c) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), as 38. As to the effect of the section as regards the mutual rights of the burial board and grantees of exclusive rights of burial etc. in the burial ground, see Ashby v. Harris (1868), L. B. 3 O. P. 523, and McGough v. Lancaster Burial Board (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 223 C. A. and 473 cost 1. The cost of dimension between the second control of the second cost of the seco 323, C. A.; and p. 473, post. In case of dispute between the board and any person as to the fitness of an inscription in the consecrated part of the ground, the proper course is to apply to the chancellor of the diocese to hold a court to decide the question. Either party may so apply (Dean of Carlisle's Case (1866), Times (December 21, 1866).

(d) Crowhurst v. Amersham Burial Board (1878), 4 Ex. D. 5, where a burial board were held liable in damages for injury to a horse depastured in an adjoining field occasioned by its eating branches of yew trees planted by the board in their burial ground, which had been allowed to project over the field, affords an instance of the liability of a burial board in their capacity of the owners and occupiers of land. See titles AGRICULTURE, Vol. I., p. 296; BOUNDARIES,

FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS, pp. 126, 127, ante.

(e) A parish churchyard appears to be extra commercium. See Angell v. Paddington Vestry (1868), L. R. 3 Q. B. 714, decided with reference to a church, and noticed in Wright v. Ingle (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 379, C. A. On the other hand, the cemetery of a cemetery company is not extra commercium (St. Giles, Camberwell, Vestry v. London Cemetery Co., [1894] 1 Q. B. 699). And the burial ground of a burial authority in actual use would probably be held to be on the footing of the cemetery of a cemetery company in this respect. See Westminster Corporation v. Johnson, [1904] 2 K. B. 737, C. A. A burial ground no longer in use might, however, be held to be in a different position, particularly if closed by Order in Council and appropriated as an open space. See London County Council v. Wandsworth Borough Council, [1903] 1 K. B. 797, C. A.; but see Herne Bay Urban District Council v. Payne, [1907] 2 K. B. 130.

(f) That the burial ground is rateable is assumed in s. 15 of the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), referred to in note (g), p. 466, post. See also London County Council v. Erith Overseers, [1893] A. C. 562; Liverpool Corporation v. West Derby Union (1905), 69 J. P. 277, not followed on a point immaterial to the present question in Hornsey School of Art v. Edmonton Union Assessment Committee (1905), 70 J. P. 121, and distinguished in Liverpool Corporation v. West Derby Union (1908), 72 J. P. 228, affirmed 24 T. L. R. 701, C. A.; North Manchester Overseers v. Winstanley, [1908] 1 K. B. 835, C. A.

Digitized by Google

board for a burial ground, with or without any building erected or to be erected thereon, may not, while used for such purposes, be assessed to any rates at a higher value than that at which it was assessed at the time when it was so acquired (g). A burial ground does not fall within the definition of "agricultural land" in the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (h), and is thus rateable to the poor rate at the full rate in the pound.

Apparently, however, the burial ground would cease to be rateable if it ceased to be used for burials, and were not used for any other

purpose than that of an open space (i).

Protection of burial grounds.

974. The clauses of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (k), with respect to the protection of the cemetery, are applicable to burial grounds provided by burial boards (1). These clauses impose penalties (m) on persons injuring the fences etc. of the burial ground. defacing tombstones, causing disturbance in the burial ground etc.

Sub-Sect. 5.—Consecrated and Unconsecrated Portions of Burial Ground.

Application for consecration.

Omission to make applica-

975. A burial authority for any burial ground may, if they think fit, apply to the bishop to consecrate any portion of the burial ground approved in that behalf by the Secretary of State (n).

If the burial authority do not make the application within a reasonable time after a request in that behalf, the Secretary of State, if satisfied that a reasonable number of the persons for whom, or within the area for which, the burial ground is provided desire that a portion of it be consecrated, and that the consecration fees have been paid or reasonably secured, may make the application in respect of an approved portion of the ground, and the bishop may consecrate accordingly; and it is the duty of the burial board in such case to make such arrangements as may be necessary for the consecration (o).

(h) 59 & 60 Vict. c. 16, s. 9. See title RATES AND RATING.

(n) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 1 (1). For form of application for Home Secretary's approval, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 123;

⁽g) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 15. As to the basis of assessment within this maximum, see title RATES AND RATING.

⁽i) See Lambeth Overseers v. London County Council, [1897] A. C. 625; Manchester Corporation v. Chorlton Union Assessment Committee (1897), 15 T. L. R. 327; Liverpool Corporation v. West Derby Union (1908), 24 T. L. R. 701, C. A. (k) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65, ss. 58, 59. See further, as to these sections, p. 523, post. (l) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 40.

⁽m) The sections do not themselves provide for the manner in which the penalties are to be enforced; but s. 62 of the Act of 1847, under which penalties are recoverable summarily in manner provided by the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), ss. 140—160, as modified by the Summary Jurisdiction Acts (see pp. 523, 524, post), would probably be held to apply with reference to the recovery of penalties under the sections incorporated with the Burial Acts.

for form of petition to the bishop, see *ibid.*, p. 125.

(o) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 1 (2). Before the Act of 1900 it was obligatory in all cases upon a burial board to apply to the bishop to consecrate at least a portion of the burial ground, and their duty was enforceable by mandamus (R. v. North Kelsey Burial Board (1892), Times (March 22, 1892); R. v. Basingstoke Burial Board (1896), 60 J. P. 708). The Secretary of State has power to cause inquiries to be held with regard to the consecration of burial grounds. See p. 539, post.

No wall or fence need be erected or maintained between the consecrated and unconsecrated portions of a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts, but, in the case of any burial ground where there is no such wall or fence, the burial board must place and keep in repair such boundary marks of stone or iron as may be sufficient to show the boundaries of such portions respectively (p).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

976. If a burial board apply in writing to the bishop to conse- Refusal by crate a burial ground stating that the ground is in a fit and proper condition for the purpose of interment with the rites of the Church of England, and the bishop refuses to consecrate, the board may appeal to the archbishop, who is to decide the matter in dispute. If the archbishop decides that the ground is not in such fit and proper condition, the board must put it in a fit and proper condition; but if he decides that it is in a fit and proper condition and ought to be consecrated, he is to communicate such decision in writing to the bishop, and if the bishop do not within one calendar month thereafter consecrate the ground, the archbishop must under his hand and seal license the same for interments according to the rites of the Church of England, and such licence, until the ground is consecrated, operates to make lawful the use of the same as if it had been consecrated (q).

consecrate.

977. Where a Secretary of State certifies that all necessary pro- Use before visions with regard to a burial ground provided under the Burial consecration. Acts have been complied with, it is lawful for the incumbent or incumbents of the parish or parishes for which the ground is provided, or his or their curate or curates, or such duly qualified person as any such incumbent may authorise, if such incumbent, curate, or person think fit, to bury in the burial ground before the decision of the bishop or archbishop upon the application for consecration (r).

978. The unconsecrated part of a new burial ground provided Allotment of under the Burial Acts must be allotted in such manner and in unconsecrated such portions as may be sanctioned by a Secretary of State (s). Portions may be allotted for the exclusive use of particular denominations (t).

portion.

(q) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 12, as amended by the Burial

⁽p) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 11. Before this Act it was held that there was a sufficient "setting apart" of the unconsecrated part of the burial ground within the now repealed provisions of s. 30 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), where that part was separated from the consecrated part by a wall 12 inches high (R. v. Tiverton Burial Board (1858), 31 L. T. (o. s.) 233).

Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12.

(r) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 13. A clergyman of the Church of England is now at liberty to use the burial service of the Church in any unconsecrated ground in which he might lawfully have used such service if such ground had been consecrated (Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44

Vict. c. 41) s. 12); and see p. 427, ante.
(s) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 7.
(t) See Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 2 (1), (2). For form of application to the Secretary of State, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 123.

SECT. 2.

Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Erection of chapels.

Erection of denominational chapels. SUB-SECT. 6.—Chapels.

979. A burial authority may, at their own cost, erect on any part of their burial ground, which is not consecrated or set apart for the exclusive use of any particular denomination, any chapel which they consider necessary for the due performance of funeral services, but no chapel so erected after July 10, 1900, may be consecrated or reserved for the exclusive use of any denomination (u).

980. A burial authority may also, at the request and cost of the residents within their district belonging to any particular denomination, erect, furnish, and maintain a chapel for funeral services according to the rites of that denomination on the ground appropriated to their use. Where such a request is made, and the estimated costs are tendered to the burial authority or reasonably secured, then, if the burial authority refuse to grant the request or fail to give effect to it within a reasonable time, a Secretary of State may, if he thinks fit, by order in writing, require the burial authority to erect etc., or give facilities for erecting etc., such a chapel in accordance with directions given in the order, and the burial authority must comply with the order (w).

Use of chapel for adjoining burial grounds. 981. There are provisions enabling two burial boards who have provided separate burial grounds, if such burial grounds adjoin each other, to provide a chapel or chapels jointly for use in connection with burials in both grounds, or, with the sanction of a Secretary of State, to contract for the use by one of such boards of a chapel provided by the other (a).

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Rights of Sepulture, Duties of Incumbents etc.

Right of burial in ground provided by burial board. 982. Where a burial ground is provided by a burial board for a parish, or for two or more parishes, such burial ground is to be deemed the burial ground of such parish or parishes, and the

(u) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 2 (1).

(w) Ibid., s. 2 (2), (3). Before the Act of 1900 a burial board had power to erect a chapel for the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England, and also a chapel or chapels on the unconsecrated part of the ground for funeral services, and where they erected a chapel for the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England they were, in certain cases, bound also to provide chapel accommodation for the performance of a funeral service by persons not being members of that Church. See the portions of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 30, the Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 7, and the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 14, repealed by the Act of 1900, s. 12 and Sched. II. A chapel erected before the Act of 1900 for the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England, and consecrated for that purpose, is for that purpose a substitute for the parish church (St. Margaret, Rochester, Burial Board v. Thompson (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 445). The Secretary of State has power to cause inquiries to be held with regard to the building of chapels in burial grounds. See p. 539, post.

(a) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 16. The section refers to burial

(a) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 16. The section refers to burial boards for two parishes, but must doubtless be taken to extend to burial boards for other areas. It refers also to the powers of burial boards for the provision of chapels under the Burial Acts, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85) and 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c 134); but it must now, it seems, be read as referring to the substituted powers conferred by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15). See the

Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), s. 38 (1).

parishioners and inhabitants of such parish or parishes—and for this purpose persons dying in the parish are to be considered to be inhabitants (b)—have the like rights of sepulture in the burial ground as they would have had in the burial ground of their parish (c). And where a burial ground is provided by a burial board for any other area, the inhabitants of that area have the same right to be buried in such burial ground as the inhabitants of a parish have to be buried in their parish burial ground (d).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

983. There are provisions also putting the consecrated part of a Performance burial ground provided by a burial board on the footing of a parish of burial burial ground as regards the performance of the burial service by the incumbent and the functions of parish clerks and sextons.

Subject to what is said below as to the case of a "new parish," the effect of these provisions when the burial ground is provided for a poor law parish which is also an ecclesiastical parish, or for an ancient ecclesiastical parish, or for an area consisting of two or more such parishes, is shortly as follows: The incumbent of the parish or of each of the parishes, as the case may be, is required to perform the same duties and has the same rights and authorities for the performance of the burial service in the case of the burial of parishioners or inhabitants of his parish (including persons dying there), and the clerk and sexton are required and authorised to discharge the same functions in reference to such burials, as if the burial ground were the burial ground of their parish. But in more complicated cases difficulties may arise as to the application and effect of these provisions (e).

(b) See p. 413, ante.

(c) See the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 32, discussed in note (e),

in/ra. As to rights of sepulture in parish burial grounds, see pp. 413 et seq., ante.

(d) This follows from the consideration that the Burial Acts subsequent to the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), while providing that burial grounds may be provided for areas other than "parishes," also enact that the Act of 1852 and such other Acts are to be read and construed together as one Act. See

p. 448, ante.

(e) The principal enactment dealing with this matter is s. 32 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), which is as follows (the words in italics being now repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12): "From and after the consecration as aforesaid of any burial ground provided under this Act (except any portion thereof intended not to be so consecrated), or where all or any part of such burial ground, by reason of the same having been already consecrated, shall not require to be consecrated, then from and after such time as the bishop of the diocese shall appoint, such burial ground shall be deemed the burial ground of the parish for which the same is provided, and where the same is provided for two or more parishes such burial ground shall be in law as if such parishes were one parish, and as if such burial ground were the burial ground of such one parish; and every incumbent or minister of the parish or of each of the parishes (as the case may be) for which such burial ground is provided shall, by himself and his curate, or such duly qualified persons as such incumbent or minister may authorise, perform the duties and have the same rights and authorities for the performance of religious service in the burial in such burial ground, or in the consecrated portion thereof, of the remains of parishioners or inhabitants of the parish of which he is such incumbent or minister, and shall be entitled to receive the same fees in respect of such burials which he has previously enjoyed and received; and the clerk and sexton of such parish or of each of such parishes shall (when necessary) perform and exercise the same duties and functions in respect of the burial of the remains of parishioners

The provisions as to "new parishes" above mentioned are to the effect that the incumbent, clerk, and sexton of a "new parish" constituted under the New Parishes Acts, and to which

or inhabitants of the parish of which he is clerk or sexton in such burial ground or the consecrated portion thereof, and shall be entitled to receive the same fees on such burials, as he has previously performed and exercised and received, as if such burial ground were the burial ground of the respective parish of such incumbent or minister, clerk, and sexton respectively; and the parishioners and inhabitants of such parish or of each of such parishes shall have the same rights of sepulture in such burial ground as they respectively would have had in the burial ground or burial grounds in and for their respective parish, subject nevertheless to the provisions herein contained."

It will be remembered that the Act of 1852 contemplated the provision of burial grounds for "parishes" within the meaning of that Act, and through the medium of joint burial boards for areas consisting of two or more such parishes only. The directions, however, in the subsequent Burial Acts, that the Acts are to be read and construed as one Act, necessarily involve that the provisions of the section are to be taken to be extended, mutatis mutandis, to cases where a burial ground is provided by a burial board for an area other than a "parish." No difficulty in this respect occurs so far as regards rights of sepulture; but difficulties have arisen as to the application of the section in such cases with

regard to the functions of the incumbent and the sexton and clerk.

In Hornby v. Toxteth Park Burial Board (1862), 31 Beav. 52, questions were raised as to the performance of burial service and rights to burial fees in the case of burials in the consecrated part of a burial ground provided by a burial board established for the poor law parish of T. It was in dispute whether T. was ecclesiastically extra-parochial or part of the parish of W. Three churches or incumbencies had been established in T. The first was established under a local Act of 1774. Under this Act a cemetery attached to the church was vested in the churchwardens of the church, who were authorised to sell burial places in it to any persons willing to purchase such places; and at burials in the cemetery fees double in amount of those payable in cases of burials at the parish church of W. were made payable, of which the one moiety was to be handed over to the vicar of W., and to be applied by him like fees for burials at that parish church. In 1844 a district was assigned to the church established under the Act of 1774 by Order in Council under the Church Building Acts. The second church or incumbency was established under a local Act of 1815, which contained provisions with regard to a cemetery attached to the church substantially, with reference to the questions in the case, to the same effect as those of the Act of 1774. The third incumbency was established in 1837 under the Church Building Acts by an Order in Council constituting a part of T. an ecclesiastical district. No burial ground was provided for this district. The burial board were established shortly after 1853, and part of the burial ground provided by them was consecrated in 1856. The vicar of W., who was one of the plaintiffs, had treated T. as not being part of the parish of W. It was held, without deciding whether T. was part of the parish of W. or not, that neither the vicar of W. nor the incumbent of any of the three incumbencies established in T. was entitled to burial fees in respect of interments in the burial ground. The vicar of W. could not claim fees as such even if T. were part of the parish of W., since, for the purposes of the Burial Acts, T. was a separate parish from W. Again, the vicar of W. could not be regarded as the incumbent of T., or if he was such incumbent de jure, he was not so de facto, and had enjoyed no fees on the burials of inhabitants of T. as vicar of T. incumbents of the incumbencies established under the Acts of 1774 and 1815 were not entitled to fees because the burial grounds attached to their churches were not burial grounds in which inhabitants of T. had rights of sepulture, burial spaces in such burial grounds being simply saleable to any purchaser indifferently. A fortiori the third incumbent, to whose church no burial ground was attached, had no claim. No decision was given by the court in this case as to the duties of the incumbents to perform the service over bodies of inhabitants brought for burial in the burial ground, the decision being confined to their right to fees. Owing to the legislation contained in the Burial Act, 1900

those Acts or any of them may apply, and comprised in a parish or parishes for which a burial ground is provided under the Burial Acts (but not having a separate burial ground under those Acts), are, if the new parish contributes to the rates out of which the ground has been provided, to perform the like duties in respect of the burials of parishioners and inhabitants of the new parish in the consecrated part of the burial ground as if the burial ground were New parishes. the burial ground of the new parish, subject, however, to a saving for the rights of existing incumbents etc. (f).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

(63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), with regard to ministers' fees, the case has not the importance that it had before that Act.

In Day v. Peacock (1865), 18 C. B. (N. s.) 702, questions arose as to the rights to burial fees in the case of burials in the consecrated part of a burial ground provided by a local board acting as a burial board for the poor law parish of B. under the following circumstances: B. was an ancient parochial chapelry, and as such had had an ancient burial ground. An additional church was built in B. under the Church Building Acts and a burial ground provided in connection with it, and in 1831 part of B., known as the district of St. G., was, under those Acts, assigned to that church, the burial ground becoming the burial ground of that district. In 1844 a part of the district of St. G. was, under the Church Building Acts, made into a separate ecclesiastical district under the name of St. J., but no separate burial ground was provided for that district, and the inhabitants of St. J. accordingly retained their rights of sepulture in the burial ground of St. G. The ecclesiastical district of St. J. had not become a new parish under the New Parishes Acts. The ancient burial ground of B. and the burial ground of St. G. were closed by Order in Council in 1857. The local board were constituted a burial board in 1858, and a part of the burial ground provided by them was consecrated in 1861. It was held that the incumbent of St. J. was not entitled to burial fees in respect of the burials of persons belonging to that district, that the incumbent of St. G. was entitled to fees in respect of the burial of persons coming from St. G. as originally constituted (i.e., including St. J.), and that the incumbent of the residue of B. was entitled to burial fees in respect of the burial of persons belonging to that part of B.
In Stewart v. West Derby Burial Board (1886), 34 Ch. D. 314, it was held that

where a burial ground was provided by a burial board for a poor law parish which had been constituted a separate ecclesiastical parish by a local Act the incumbent of the parish was bound to perform the burial service on the burial of parishioners and inhabitants of the parish in the consecrated part of the ground, although the parish had never had any separate burial ground of its own, and that he was entitled to any fees which the burial board might collect in respect of the performance of such service by him. The case also raised questions as to incumbents' right to fees on such interments, turning on the provisions of the local Act in relation to the peculiar circumstances of the case; but these questions were not definitely decided, and appear to be of little or no

general interest.

(f) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 5. The section, after provisions, already referred to (p. 452, ante), authorising the establishment of a burial board and provision of a burial ground for "any parish, new parish, township, or other district" not being a poor law parish and having no separate burial ground, and making incidental provisions, continues as follows (the words in italics being repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12 and Sched. II.): "and until a burial ground shall be so provided as aforesaid and consecrated for any new parish or district created or to be created pursuant to" the New Parishes Acts, 1843, 1844, and 1856 (6 & 7 Vict. c. 37; 7 & 8 Vict. c. 94; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 104), "or any or either of them, and to which the said Acts, or any or either of them, may apply, the incumbent of such new parish or district (if any burial ground has been or shall be provided under the herein recited Acts [the earlier Burial Acts] for the burial of the dead, or any or either of them, for any parish or parishes out of rates to which such new parish or district, or any part thereof, shall have contributed or contribute or be liable, shall, with respect

Exclusive character of incumbent's rights. 984. Where an incumbent is required and entitled, under the provisions of the Burial Acts above referred to, to perform the burial service over the remains of parishioners and inhabitants of his parish or district in the consecrated part of a burial ground provided by a burial board, it is unlawful, as being an offence against ecclesiastical law, for any person other than such incumbent or a person authorised by him to perform the burial service over the remains of such persons there, and the exclusive rights thus vested in the incumbent in the matter will be enforced by injunction. But the duties of the incumbent in question do not extend to the burial of strangers to the parish or district whom the burial board permit to be buried in the ground, though he has the right to prevent any other minister of the Church of England from performing the Church of England service over strangers in the consecrated part of any burial ground situate in his parish (g).

Arrangements in case of joint burial ground. 985. Where a burial ground is provided under the Burial Acts for the common use of two or more parishes, in case any question arise among the incumbents of such parishes as to the performance of the burial service by a chaplain to be paid by contributions from them or deductions from fees or sums payable to them, or otherwise touching the performance of service in the consecrated part of the

to the burial in such last-mentioned burial ground of the remains of the parishioners or inhabitants of such new parish or district, or of such part thereof as shall have contributed or contribute as aforesaid, as the case may be, perform the same duties, and have the same rights, privileges, and authorities, and be entitled to the same fees, and also the clerk and sexton of such new parish or district shall, when necessary, respectively perform the same duties, and be entitled to the same fees, in respect of such burials, as if the said burial ground were exclusively the burial ground of such new parish or district, subject nevertheless to all provisions to which the incumbents, clerks, and sextons of original parishes are respectively subject in and by the said Burial Acts, or any or either of them: Provided also, that nothing herein contained shall affect the rights or privileges of any existing incumbent, clerk, or sexton without the consent of such incumbent, clerk, or sexton respectively."

without the consent of such incumbent, clerk, or sexton respectively."

Cronshaw v. Wigan Burial Board (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 217, and Harris v. Lambeth Burial Board (1883), 47 J. P. 501, are cases in which the section was held to entitle the incumbent of a district which had become a new parish within the New Parishes Acts to burial fees; and White v. Norwood Burial Board (1885), 16 Q. B. D. 58, is a similar decision with regard to sextons' fees. But in all these cases the contest was as to whether the district had become such a new parish. On this subject see R. v. Perry (1861), 3 E. & E. 640; Jones v. Gough (1865), 3 Moo. P. C. C. (N. S.) 1; and title Ecclesiastical Law. In Ormerod v. Blackburn Burial Board (1873), 28 L. T. 438, the section was held to extend to the sexton of a new parish which had never had a separate burial ground. It was held in Haig v. Barlow (1892), Trist. 149, that the section did not give the incumbent of such new parish any right to monumental fees, but only to fees for performing the service; but it has been doubted whether the Chancellor's decision in this case can be reconciled with the decision in Cronshaw v. Wigan Burial Board, supra.

(g) Wood v. Headingley-cum-Burley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713. There is some difficulty about the rights and duties of persons in holy orders as to the performance of the burial service in the consecrated part of the burial ground in cases where, as, for instance, in the case of the burial ground in question in Hornby v. Toxteth Park Burial Board (1862), 31 Beav. 52 (see note (e), p. 470, ante), or in the case of the burial of out-parishioners, the provisions of the Burial Acts above mentioned as to the rights and duties of the incumbent do not apply. Primá facie it is unlawful by ecclesiastical law for any person other than the incumbent of the parish, or a person licensed by him, to perform

ground, the bishop of the diocese is required from time to time to confirm any arrangement approved by a majority or, in case of equal numbers, one-half of such incumbents, and such arrangement so confirmed is binding on all the parties concerned (h).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

986. The burial board cannot deprive the sexton of his right to discharge the functions of his office in the case of burials in their Rights of burial ground and to earn the fees attached to the discharge of sexton. such functions (i) by appointing other persons to do the work usually done by a sexton (k).

Among the duties of a sexton is that of tolling the bell, and if the chapel provided for the burial ground is consecrated, and furnished with a bell, the sexton has a right of entry to the chapel for the purpose of tolling it (l).

SUB-SECT. 8.—Charges of Burial Board for Burial etc.

987. A burial board may, under such restrictions and conditions Sale of excluas they think proper, sell the exclusive right of burial, either in sive rights of perpetuity or for a limited period, in any part of their burial ground, and also the right of constructing any vault or place of burial, with the exclusive right of burial therein, in perpetuity or for a limited period, and also the right of erecting and placing any monument, gravestone, tablet, or monumental inscription in the burial ground (m).

Such exclusive right of burial is analogous to the corresponding Nature of rights which may be acquired under faculty in a churchyard (n); right of exclubut it is a statutory right, and is not to be extended beyond the sive burial. words of the statute which authorise it. The grant of such a right, therefore, conveys to the grantee no freehold estate in any part of the ground, nor the right to erect a gravestone, though the latter right may be separately acquired (o). It may be granted to the

the burial service in any consecrated ground in the parish. See Wood v. Headingley-cum-Burley Burial Board, [1892] 1 Q. B. 713; Johnson v. Friend (1860), 6 Jur. (N. 8.) 280; Moysey v. Hillcoat (1828), 2 Hag. Ecc. 30. The provisions of the Burial Acts above referred to, in cases to which they apply, pro tanto override this rule; but in cases not provided for by the Burial Acts the rule prevails. See Wood v. Headingley-cum-Burley Burial Board, supra, and expressions to a similar effect by Dr. TRISTRAM in Re Fulham Burial Fees (1878), Times (November 26, 1878).

(h) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 39.

(i) As to these fees, see Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (1), (3), (5); and pp. 479, 480, 482, post.

(k) Gell v. Birmingham Corporation (1864), 10 L. T. 497; St. Margaret, Rochester, Burial Board v. Thompson (1871), L. R. 6 C. P. 444, at p. 459.

(m) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 33, as amended by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12.

(n) See p. 419, ante.

(o) See McGough v. Lancaster Burial Board (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 323, C. A., where a grant of an exclusive right of burial with a right to erect a gravestone, subject, inter alia, to existing and future regulations issued by the board, was held by the Court of Appeal, partly in view of the terms of the grant and partly in view of the statutory control over the ground vested in the burial board under s. 38 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85) (as to which see p. 465, ante), not to entitle the grantee to place a glass shade on the grave to protect a wreath in contravention of regulations made by the board. In

grantee and his heirs, and in that case will descend accordingly (p). And there is no apparent reason why it should not be granted to the grantee and his family by analogy with the limitations in faculties (q). It does not appear to be an interest in land so as to be affected by the Statute of Frauds, but rather to be an incorporeal hereditament in the nature of an easement, and it therefore requires a deed to complete the title at law (r).

Fees chargeable by burial board.

988. A burial board are entitled to charge fees in respect of interments in their burial ground, as well as to make charges for the rights of exclusive burial etc., which they are empowered to sell as above mentioned (s).

Table of fees.

The board are required to fix and settle such fees and charges, subject to the approval of the Local Government Board; and, with the approval of that Board, and also of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry, they may from time to time revise and alter such fees and charges. A table showing the fees and charges, and all other fees and payments in respect of interments in the burial ground (t), must be printed and published, and be affixed, and at all times continued, on some conspicuous part of that ground (a).

Receipt of fees on behalf of ministers. The board, in addition to the fees and charges above mentioned which they receive on their own behalf, are required to receive fees on behalf of ministers of religion who perform funeral services in the ground, and in some cases also fees still payable to incumbents other than for services rendered (b).

SUB-SECT. 9 .- Finances of Burial Board.

Control by vestry of expenditure of burial board. **989.** Subject to a provision under which such authorisation may be dispensed with by the Local Government Board (c), the expenses of a burial board in providing and laying out a burial ground and building any necessary chapel thereon must not exceed such sum

the earlier case of Ashby v. Harris (1868), L. R. 3 C. P. 523, a grant of similar rights, subject to a provise to the effect that if the gravestone were not kept in repair according to such regulations as the burial board should make the grant should be void, was held to entitle the grantee to plant the grave with shrubs and flowers notwithstanding a regulation made by the board subsequently to the grant prohibiting planting in the burial ground by persons other than the board's employees; but the decision was doubted in McGough v. Lancaster Burial Board (1888), 21 Q. B. D. 323, C. A.

(p) Matthews v. Jeffrey (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 290, where it was contended, unsuccessfully, that although the grant was made to the grantee, his heirs and assigns, the right nevertheless passed on the death of the grantee intestate

to the family of the grantee generally.

(q) See p. 419, ante. (r) See Bryan v. Whistler (1828), 8 B. & C. 288, and generally, titles EASE-MENTS AND PROFITS A PRENDRE; REAL PROPERTY AND CHATTELS REAL.

(s) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 34, as amended by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12.

(t) E.g., the fees payable to ministers of religion for services rendered.
(a) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 34; Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 7; Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), ss. 4, 12. The approval of the Local Government Board was substituted for that of the Secretary of State by s. 4 of the Act of 1900. For form of table of fees, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 133.

(b) See pp. 479 et seq., post.

(c) See p. 461, ante.

as the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry may authorise to be expended for the purpose (d). But their expenditure on other matters is not subject to the control of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry.

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

990. The expenses of a burial board for a poor law parish in carrying the Burial Acts into execution are chargeable upon and are to be paid out of the poor rate of the parish; and the overseers or other officers making and levying the poor rate are, upon for poor law the receipt of a certificate under the hands of not less than three parish. members of the board of the sums required from time to time for defraying any such expenses, to pay such sums out of the poor rate as the board direct (e).

Expenses of burial board

991. The provisions as to the manner in which burial boards Expenses of for areas other than poor law parishes are to raise their funds burial board are expressed in very confused language, but their effect is as than poor law follows :-

for areas other parishes.

Subject to the one exception mentioned below, the board issue certificates, such as are above mentioned, calling for contributions from the overseers or other persons making and levying the poor rate of the poor law parish or poor law parishes constituting or comprising the area of the board. Where the whole of a poor law parish from which a contribution is thus sought is comprised within the area of the board, the certificate is to be met out of the poor rate; where part only of a poor law parish either constitutes or is comprised within the area of the board, then the overseers, or other persons levying the poor rate, are to meet the certificate by the levy of an addition to the poor rate or of a separate rate or rates in that part of the parish. The exception is that where the contribution is required from part of a poor law parish comprised in an incorporation or union maintaining its poor out of a common rate the certificate is to call for the contribution from the persons authorised to make and collect the common rate or cause it to be made and collected, and is to be met by those persons by the levy of an addition to the common rate or of a separate rate within the part of the parish in question (f).

Where the burial board is established for united parishes etc. Apportionunder s. 11 of the Burial Act, 1855, the contributions of the several parishes and places in question are to be proportionate to their rateable values (q). And where a joint burial board is

tributions.

⁽d) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 19.

⁽e) Ibid., s. 19; and see ibid., s. 14.

⁽f) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), ss. 11, 13. The financial provisions of these sections extend to cases where the burial board was originally constituted for a poor law parish, but, owing to subsequent alterations in parish boundaries, their area has ceased to be co-extensive with such a parish (R. v. Keighley Overseers (1897), Local Government Chronicle, 1897, p. 47).

(g) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 11. The apportionment must be according to rateable values for the time being (R. v. Coleshill Overseers (1862), 31 T. I. (O. R.) 210 effects without docking the lateration of the content of t

^{(1862), 31} L. J. (Q. B.) 219, affirmed, without dealing with this point, 34 L. J. (Q. B.) 96, Ex. Ch.). In the case of a burial board the contributions must still be apportioned according to rateable values notwithstanding the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 16). See Lancashire Asylums Board v.

Levying of separate rate. established the matter is determined by the agreement for the establishment of the board (h). In other cases, however, there is no express provision as to how contributions are to be apportioned between different areas.

992. For the purpose of levying a separate rate on part of a parish to meet expenses of a burial board under the above provisions, the overseers or other persons levying the rate have the same powers, remedies, and privileges, and are to proceed in the same manner, as in the case of the poor rate, and any such rate may, notwithstanding any restriction in relation to the parish rate or common rate, be made and levied as may be necessary to provide for the payments required (i). The officers ordinarily employed in the collection of the poor rate must, if required by the overseers, collect such a separate rate, and are to receive out of the rate such remuneration for the additional duty as the overseers, with the consent of the vestry of the poor law parish (k), deter-The accounts of the overseers in relation to the rate are subject to audit by the district auditor (m).

Borrowing on security of rates.

993. A burial board may, with the sanction of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry—which, however, may be dispensed with by the Local Government Board in certain cases (n)—and the approval of the Local Government Board (o), borrow any money required for providing and laying out a burial ground and building a chapel or chapels thereon on the security of the rates out of which their expenses are payable (p).

Manchester Corporation, [1900] 1 Q. B. 458, C. A. In the case of an urban authority exercising the financial powers of a burial board, however, the apportionment might have to be made according to assessable values by virtue of s. 3 of the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896. See title RATES AND RATING.

(h) See p. 456, ante.

(n) See p. 461, ante.

(o) Substituted for this purpose for the Treasury by the Local Authorities (Treasury Powers) Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 33), s. 1 (1).

(p) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 20. The section, the latter part of which, dealing with the discharge of the loan, is repealed, contemplates of course the case of a burial board for a parish only, and authorises borrowing on the security of the "future poor rates of the parish." The provisions as to the

⁽i) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 13. The effect of this provision seems to be to apply to the rate in question the enactments for the time being applicable to the poor rate, and not the enactments applicable to that rate at the date of the Act of 1855. See R. v. Lambert (1854), 2 C. L. R. 883; R. v. Wolferstan, [1893] 2 Q. B. 451; but see contra, Bird v. Adcock (1878), 47 L. J. (M. C.) 123. Many of the enactments affecting the poor rate passed since the Act of 1855, moreover, apply in terms to local rates generally or to a class of such rates that would include a separate burial rate; and other such enactments may apply to the rate by virtue of s. 38 of the Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), if not by virtue of s. 13 of the Act of 1855 itself. See generally, title RATES AND RATING.

generally, the MATES AND MATING.
(k) In the case of a rural parish the consent would be that of the parish council or parish meeting, as the case might be.
(l) Poor Law Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 54), s. 17.
(m) Divided Parishes and Poor Law Amendment Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Vict. c. 61), s. 37; and see the Orders of the Local Government Board of March 20, 1879, and September 8, 1903 (Statutory Rules and Orders Revised, 1904, Vol. X., "Poor, England," 379, 537).
(n) See p. 461, anter

There are provisions authorising burial boards to borrow at a lower rate of interest for the purpose of paying off securities bearing a higher rate of interest (q) and provisions enabling them to borrow for the purpose of paying off the principal of a previous loan at the time appointed for repayment if they are unable to pay off the same otherwise (r).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

994. There are alternative methods of exercising their borrowing Methods of powers open to the burial board.

borrowing.

(1) They may borrow by mortgage of the rates chargeable in Mortgage of accordance with provisions in the Burial Acts (s). Where they adopt rates. this course the clauses of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (t), with respect to mortgages to be executed by the commissioners, apply (u). And the board are, for the purpose of providing a sinking fund for paying off the principal, to set aside once in every year, out of the moneys charged, such sum as they think proper, being a sum equal to or exceeding one-fiftieth of the principal borrowed (a). The effect of the clauses of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847, as thus applied, is as follows (b):— The mortgage is to be by deed under the seal of the board duly stamped and stating the consideration, and may be in a form scheduled to the Act of 1847 or to the like effect (c). The

security must, no doubt, be taken to be extended in the manner stated in the text. See R. v. Coleshill Overseers (1864), 34 L. J. (Q. B.) 96, where money borrowed by a burial board established under s. 11 of the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), for united parishes, each of which was a poor law parish, was held to be rightly charged on "the future rates to be made and levied" in the one poor law parish "and also on the future rates to be made and levied" in the other poor law parish.

(q) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 4; Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16), s. 80, as incorporated with the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), by s. 19 of that Act. S. 4 of the Act of 1854 is expressed to apply to burial boards established under the Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), and borough councils constituted burial boards by Order in Council only, and, though its operation may be extended to some extent by the later Burial Acts, it cannot be regarded as of general application with regard to borrowing to pay off loans raised under the powers of the Burial Acts. S. 80 of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847, on the other hand, would appear to apply generally to loans raised under these powers. These reborrowing powers would not enable the burial board to pay off the mortgagee compulsorily before the time at which they were otherwise entitled to pay him off. See West Derby Union v. Metropolitan Life Assurance Society, [1897] A. C. 647.

(r) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 5. The section is expressed to

apply only to the burial boards and councils to which the previous section

applies. See note (q), supra.
(s) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 20. See note (p), p. 476, ante.

(t) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 16, ss. 75—88. (u) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 19.

(a) Ibid., s. 20; and see Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16),

(b) The group of sections of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16), headed "With respect to the Mortgages to be executed by the Commissioners," contains, in addition to the provisions referred to in the text, provisions with regard to the enforcement of the security by the appointment of a receiver (ibid., ss. 86, 87); but these provisions appear to be inapplicable in the case of a burial board.

(c) Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16), s. 75 and Sched. B.

mortgagees are entitled to proportions of the rates charged according to the sums advanced by them without priority one above another by reason of priority as regards the date of the advance or mortgage (d). A register of mortgages is to be kept and to be open to inspection (e). The mortgages are transferable by deed, duly stamped and stating the consideration, which may be in a form scheduled to the Act of 1847 or a form to the like effect; and such transfers are to be registered (f). The interest, in the absence of other provision in the mortgage, is payable halfyearly (g). Money may be borrowed at a lower rate of interest in order to pay off mortgages bearing a higher rate of interest (h). A period for the repayment of the principal may be fixed, and if fixed is to be named in the mortgage; and in that case, upon the expiration of such period, the principal is to be repaid on demand at the place named in the mortgage, or, if no place is so named, at the office of the board (i). If no period for repayment is fixed, the principal is repayable, at the instance either of the board or the mortgagee, after the expiry of twelve months from the date of the mortgage, upon certain notice (k). Interest ceases to run as from the expiration of due notice on the part of the board to pay off the principal, unless the board fail to pay off such principal, with interest already due, accordingly (1). A sinking fund is to be established for the purpose of paying off the mortgages, and is to be applied for that purpose (m). Where the board are enabled to pay off one or more of the mortgages then payable, but not the whole of the mortgages of the same class, the order in which the mortgages are to be paid off is determinable by lot(n). The accounts of the board are to be open to inspection by the mortgagees (o).

Grant of terminable annuities.

(2) They may borrow by means of terminable annuities for a life or lives, or for any number of years not exceeding thirty (p).

(e) Ibid., s. 76.(f) Ibid., ss. 77, 78, and Sched. C.

(g) Ibid., s. 79.

(h) Ibid., s. 80; and see p. 477, ante.

(i) Ibid., s. 81. (k) Ibid., s. 82.

(l) Ibid., s. 83. (m) Ibid., s. 84; and see p. 477, ante.

(n) Ibid., s. 85. (o) Ibid., s. 88.

(p) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 21. Whether the provisions of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16), above mentioned, apply, so far as they are capable of applying where money is borrowed in this way, is not clear. S. 19 of the Act of 1857 incorporates the clauses of the Act of 1847, and enacts that these clauses shall apply to "mortgages and other securities" to be created by burial boards, and that the expression "the Commissioners" in those clauses shall for this purpose mean the burial board "acting in the execution of the said clauses and the Acts hereinbefore recited [i.e., the earlier Burial Acts] or this Act"; s. 20 contains provisions as to a sinking fund in the case of money borrowed on "mortgages granted under any of the said Acts or this Act"; and s. 21 provides that "any burial board . . . may, for the purpose of raising money, instead of making mortgages under any of the said Acts, grant terminable annuities for a life or lives, or for any number of years not exceeding thirty years, to be paid out of the like moneys as provided with regard to the moneys secured by such mortgages." There is no further provision with

⁽d) Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 16), s. 75.

(3) They may borrow in accordance with the Local Loans Act,

1875 (q), by means of debentures or annuity certificates.

(4) The Public Works Loan Commissioners are authorised to advance money to burial boards and other burial authorities in accordance with the provisions of the Public Works Loans Acts (r).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

Application of income.

995. The money raised for defraying the expenses of the burial board and the income derived from the burial ground, except fees for the application of which other provision is made, are to be applied by the burial board in or towards defraying their expenses under the Burial Acts. And whenever, after repayment of all moneys borrowed for the purposes of the Burial Acts and the interest thereof, and after satisfying all the liabilities of the board with reference to the execution of those Acts, and providing such balance as is deemed by the board sufficient to meet their probable liabilities during the ensuing year, there is at the time of holding the vestry or meeting at which the yearly report of the auditors is produced any surplus money at the disposal of the board, the surplus is in the case of a burial board established and acting for a poor law parish to be paid by the board to the overseers in aid of the poor rate, and in other cases is, no doubt, to be paid to the overseers or others raising the rates out of which the expenses of the board are payable in aid of such rates (s).

Such a surplus is assessable to income tax under Sched. D (t).

Income tax.

SUB-SECT. 10 .- Fees of Ministers of Religion, Sextons etc.

996. Every burial authority are required to submit to the Minister's Secretary of State a table of fees to be received by them in respect of fees for services services rendered by any minister of religion or sexton, and the rendered.

regard to such annuities. For form of terminable annuity, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 119.

(q) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 83. See ss. 4, 31. As to borrowing under this Act, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(r) The then Public Works Loan Commissioners were authorised to lend to burial boards by s. 21 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), and the section, which remains unrepealed, authorises loans by the present Public Works Loan Commissioners (Public Works Loan Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 89), s. 55). Burial grounds provided by burial boards are also mentioned among the purposes for which the Commissioners may lend (*ibid.*, Sched. I.). They have also, it may be added, power under the Public Works Loans Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 42), to lend for any purpose for which the council of a county, borough, district, or parish are authorised to borrow. Such loans must be repaid by instalments (in the form of an annuity or otherwise) within a period not exceeding thirty years (Public Works Loans Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 89), s. 11, as amended by the Public Works Loans Act, 1898 (61 & 62 Vict. c. 54), s. 5). As to loans from the

Commissioners generally, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(s) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 22. The section of course contemplates the case of a burial board for a poor law parish only, but must, it seems, be read as applicable in other cases with the modification suggested in the text. In Scadding v. St. Pancras Burial Board, [1889] W. N. 45, 120, C. A., a sum paid by a railway company to a burial board under an agreement for the reinterment in their burial ground of remains removed by the company from another burial ground which they had acquired under statutory powers was held to be payable in aid of the poor rate as surplus income.

(t) Paddington Burial Board v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue (1884), 13

Q. B. D. 9. See title Income Tax.

Digitized by Google

Collection of fees.

Secretary of State may approve the table with or without modifications. The fees are to be of the same amount in respect of burial service in the consecrated and unconsecrated parts of the burial ground. And if the burial authority fail to submit such a table on being requested to do so by the Secretary of State, he may make a table of fees (a).

The fees fixed by the table are payable to, and are to be collected by, the burial authority, together with the other fees payable to them, and are to be paid by the burial authority to the minister or sexton in such manner as may be agreed on, or as, in default of agreement, may be directed by the Secretary of State (b). An incumbent, although he may be exclusively entitled to perform the burial service in the consecrated part of a burial ground, is not, however, entitled to be paid the fees fixed by such table in respect of any interments at which he does not personally or by authorised deputy officiate, and cannot recover from the burial authority any such fees collected by them in respect of interments at which a burial service was in fact performed by other persons without his knowledge or authority (c).

Abolition of fees other than for services rendered. 997. With the temporary exceptions mentioned in the next paragraph, no fee is payable to any incumbent of a parish in respect of any right of exclusive burial, or the erection of a monument, or any other matter whatsoever, in any burial ground maintained by a burial authority, except for services rendered by him. Nor is any such fee payable to the churchwardens of any parish or to trustees or other persons for any parochial purpose, or for the discharge of any debt or liability (d).

Temporary continuance of fees other than for services rendered.

998. If, however, at the passing of the Burial Act, 1900 (July 10, 1900), fees other than for services rendered were payable in respect of any matter arising in any burial ground attached to or used for the purposes of a parish, and laid out and used before that date, then (subject to the provisions for commutation mentioned in the next paragraph) the like fees are payable during the incumbency of the person who at that date was incumbent of the parish, or during a period of fifteen years from that date, whichever is longer, or if the fees were not paid to the incumbent or to any person claiming through or under him, then during the said period of fifteen years, and such fees are to be applied to the like purposes as before the above-mentioned date, and are to be collected by the burial authority and paid by them in like manner as the fees payable for services rendered (e).

⁽a) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (1), (2). Having regard to s. 32 of the Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), it seems that the powers of the burial authority and the Secretary of State could be exercised from time to time, so that the table would be capable of alteration.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (3).
(c) Williams v. Briton Ferry Burial Board, [1905] 2 K. B. 565. As to the rights of incumbents and sextons in regard to burials in the consecrated part of a burial ground provided by a burial authority, see pp. 469 et seq., ante.

a burial ground provided by a burial authority, see pp. 469 et seq., ante.

(d) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (4).

(e) Ibid., s. 3 (4) (i.). In Young v. Kingston-on-Thames etc. Joint Burials Committee, [1907] 1 K. B. 416, C. A., it was held that the enactment did not give the

Fees other than for services rendered which are payable to the

incumbent where the above exception applies are-

(1) The fees which were payable before the Act of 1900 to an incumbent on the sale by a burial board, or authority with the powers of a burial board, of an exclusive right of burial, or a right of constructing a vault or of placing a monument etc. in the burial ground (f).

(2) Fees which before the Act of 1900 were payable to the What fees are incumbent upon burial in the consecrated part of the burial ground continued. without the burial service of the Church of England under the

Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (g).

Fees other than for services rendered are payable to churchwardens, trustees etc., in some cases where similar fees were formerly payable by custom or under a local Act(h).

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

incumbent any right to fees other than for services rendered with regard to a piece of land added to their existing burial ground by a burial authority, but of which no part was consecrated, and in which no interment took place, till after the Act, though before that Act the wall separating the land from the existing burial ground had been removed, the sanction of the Home Secretary for its division into consecrated and unconsecrated portions had been obtained, and a petition for consecration had been sent to the bishop; and in *Anderson* v. Wandsworth Borough Council, [1908] 2 Ch. 81, it was held, on the ground that the enactment is intended only to preserve existing rights, that where, after the Act, the parish of T. G. and certain neighbouring parishes were amalgamated and formed into a single area for the purposes of the Burial Acts, so that the parishioners of T. G. became entitled to burial in burial grounds which had been provided for the neighbouring parishes before the Act, the rector of T. G. did not become entitled to fees other than for services rendered in respect of the burial of parishioners of T. G. in those burial grounds.

(f) S. 33 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), after the provisions referred to p. 473, ante, enabling burial boards to sell exclusive rights of burial etc., continued: "But there shall be payable to the incumbent or minister of the parish out of the fees or payments to be paid in respect of any rights acquired under this enactment in the consecrated part of such burial ground (in lieu of the fees or sums which he would have been entitled to on the grant of the like rights in the burial ground of his parish) such fees or sums as shall be settled and fixed by the vestry with the approval of the bishop of the diocese, or if no such fees or sums shall have been so settled then such fees as he would by law or custom have been entitled to on the grant of the like rights in the burial ground of his parish." These words are repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12; but while the repeal put an end to the power of the vestry to settle such fees, the right to fees conferred by the repealed words continues temporarily in the cases falling within s. 3 (4) (i.) of that Act. There is the usual difficulty about the application of the words in question where the area of the burial authority is not an ecclesiastical parish. As to rights to fees of the kind in churchyards and other like burial grounds, see p. 430, ante; and as to the incumbent entitled to the fees and the settlement of disputes where two or more persons severally claim to be incumbents so entitled, see the definition of "incumbent" in s. 52 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), referred to p. 449, ante.

(g) 43 & 44 Vict. c. 41, s. 5. See pp. 424 et seq., ante. It seems, though the contrary has been suggested, that these fees fall within the description of fees "other than for services rendered," for it is difficult to see what services are rendered where, as may be the case, the funeral takes place without any service at all. The question was raised, but not decided, in Williams v. Briton Ferry

Burial Board, [1905] 1 K. B. 565.

(h) See the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), ss. 36, 50. The first of these sections, which is repealed in general terms by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12, provided that where fees payable on interments,

Commutation of fees other than for **services** rendered.

999. The Ecclesiastical Commissioners may, at the request and subject to the approval of the incumbent or other person interested, agree with any burial authority for a payment, periodical or otherwise, in commutation of the above-mentioned fees other than for services rendered, and an agreement so approved will be binding on the persons for the time being interested, and the burial authority may make accordingly any payment so agreed upon. Where the fees are paid to an incumbent, or person claiming through or under him. the Commissioners are to apply the commutation money in the first instance to such compensation of the existing incumbent as they may deem equitable, regard being had to all the circumstances of the case, and the residue, if any, for the augmentation of the benefice (i).

Abolition of fees to clerks

1000. No fee other than fees payable to a sexton for services rendered by him (k) is payable to any clerk or other ecclesiastical officer in respect of interments in a burial ground maintained by a burial authority (1).

Fixed annual payments in lieu of fees.

1001. Before the Burial Act, 1900, the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry had power, with the consent of the bishop, to substitute a fixed annual sum for the fees payable under the Burial Acts to the incumbent, clerk, and sexton, and other persons and bodies respectively; and in such case the fees which would otherwise have been payable to the incumbent, clerk etc., became payable to the burial board, and the burial board were required to make the fixed payments to the person or persons entitled (m).

The Burial Act, 1900, repealed the enactment by which the foregoing provisions were made, but contains a sub-section applying

or for any monument, gravestone etc., in the burial ground of any parish for which a burial ground was provided under the Act of 1852, were by law or custom payable to the churchwardens, or to trustees or others, for the payment of an annuity or stipend to the incumbent or minister, or any other parochial purpose, or the discharge of any debt or liability, like fees should be payable in the burial ground provided under the Act, and be collected and paid over by the burial board. In R. v. St. Marylebone Vestry, [1895] 1 Q. B. 771, C.A., burial fees applicable under a local Act to the repair of a parish church were held to come within s. 36 of the Act of 1852. S. 50 of the Act of 1852, which is repealed by the Act of 1900 as regards burial grounds under burial authorities, provided that "where under any local Act fees on interments in any burial ground of any parish are payable to the churchwardens of such parish, or to any trustees or other persons, for the purpose of enabling them to pay an annuity or stipend to the incumbent or minister, the fees which under this Act, or any Act relating to any cemetery company, would on the interment in the cemetery of any company of any body brought from such parish be payable to such incumbent or minister, shall be payable to the said churchwardens, trustees, or persons, and any surplus of such fees which may remain in their hands after payment of such annuity or stipend shall be paid to such incumbent or minister." The section is hopelessly ungrammatical, and its meaning far from clear.

(i) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (4) (ii.).

(m) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 37, now repealed by the Burial

Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12.

⁽k) As to the sexton's fees for services rendered, see pp. 479, 480, ante.
(l) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (5), which provides also for the payment of compensation to clerks and ecclesiastical officers suffering pecuniary loss in consequence of its provisions.

the provisions of the Act with regard to fees to the fixed payments in question (n). By virtue of this sub-section any clerk to whom such fixed payment had theretofore been made ceased as from the commencement of the Act of 1900, on January 1, 1901, to be entitled to the payment, but became entitled to compensation for his consequent loss (o); and any sexton to whom such a fixed payment had been made also ceased as from the same date to be entitled to further payment, and was thenceforth entitled to fees for services rendered by him only (p). The sub-section seems to preserve for a time the obligation of the burial board or other burial authority with the powers of a burial board to make the fixed payment in the case of an incumbent or of churchwardens or trustees, but its effect in this respect is very doubtful.

SECT. 2. Elective Burial Boards in Urban Districts.

1002. A burial authority may borrow for the purposes of the Borrowing. provisions above referred to as to the commutation of fees other than for services rendered, and the payment of compensation to clerks and other ecclesiastical officers, in like manner as for the provision of a burial ground (q).

SUB-SECT. 11 .- Conveyance of Body to Place of Burial.

1003. A burial board may make such arrangements as they Arrangements think fit for facilitating the conveyance of dead bodies from the for conveyparish or place of death to the burial ground provided by the board, or to any other place of burial, subject to the provisions of the Burial Acts and the regulations made thereunder (r); and certain cemetery companies, at any rate, are expressly authorised to undertake and carry into effect such arrangements subject to such provisions and regulations (s).

1004. There is a provision exempting persons attending the Tumpike funeral of any person buried in a burial ground provided under tolls.

(o) Ibid., s. 3(5), as applied by ibid., s. 3(7); see note (n), supra.

(p) Ibid. $\binom{q}{q}$ I bid., s. 3 (6), which gives borrowing powers for the purposes of the section in general terms. The purposes mentioned in the text appear to be the only purposes of the section for which it can be intended that money should be borrowed.

(r) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 41. As to the power of making regulations under the Burial Acts (which is now vested in the Local Government Board), see p. 537, post. No general regulations with regard to the conveyance of bodies to burial grounds have been issued, but the matter was dealt with in a Home Office circular dated November, 1872 (Brooke Little, Law of

Burial, 3rd ed., p. 708, Appendix A, No. 3).

(a) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 41, which gives the power to "any of the aforesaid cemetery companies." The expression might, having regard to the reference to cemetery companies generally in s. 26, be taken as meaning any cemetery company, though this renders the word "aforesaid" superfluous; or, having regard to s. 7, it might be taken to mean the cemetery companies mentioned in Sched. B to the Act of 1852, though only "cemeteries," and not "cemetery companies," are mentioned in s. 7.

⁽n) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3(7), providing that "the provisions of this section, except those as to collection, shall apply to any fixed annual sum substituted for fees in pursuance of s. 37 of the Burial Act, 1852, in like manner as they apply to fees." The effect of sub-ss. (1)—(5) of the section has been already stated at pp. 480 et seq., ante. The remaining sub-section, (6), merely gives borrowing powers for the purposes of the section.

statute for the parish etc. where he died from the payment of turnpike tolls (t). There are, however, it is believed, no longer any roads subject to turnpike tolls, and the provision appears, therefore, to be obsolete.

SECT. 3.—Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Introductory.

Methods of investing urban authorities with powers of burial boards.

1005. Urban authorities, that is to say, borough councils and non-municipal urban district councils, may be invested with the functions, more or less modified, of a burial board in the following different ways :-

(1) By Order in Council investing the authority with the functions of a burial board. There are two distinct sets of provisions in this behalf, the one applicable to borough councils, the other to non-

municipal urban district councils;

(2) By a resolution of the vestry of a parish in the district under s. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858 (u), as re-enacted in the Public Health Act, 1875(v);

(3) By the transfer of the functions of a burial board to the urban authority under s. 44 of the Sanitary Act, 1866 (w), as re-enacted in the Public Health Act, 1875(x);

(4) By the transfer of the functions of a burial board to the urban authority under s. 62 of the Local Government Act, 1894(y);

(5) By provision in a local Act or in a statutory order dealing

with local boundaries.

These various methods of investing an urban authority with the functions of a burial board, and the consequences that ensue where they are adopted, which differ in important respects according to the method adopted, will be discussed in order.

SUB-SECT. 2 .- Order in Council investing Borough Council with Powers of Burial Board.

When Order in Council may be made.

1006. If it appears to His Majesty in Council, upon the petition of a borough council stating that an Order in Council has been made for closing all or any of the burial grounds of one or more parishes wholly or partly within the borough, that there is difficulty or inconvenience in providing under the powers of the Burial Act, 1853 (a), requisite places of burial for the inhabitants of such parish or parishes, His Majesty may, by Order in Council, order that powers shall be vested in the borough council for providing such places of burial (b).

Notice of petition.

Notice of the petition and of the time when it will be taken into consideration by the Privy Council must be published in the London

(w) 29 & 30 Vict. c. 90, s. 44.

(a) 16 & 17 Viet. c. 134.

⁽t) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 14. See further, title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.
(u) 21 & 22 Vict. c. 98, s. 49.

⁽v) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55, s. 343 and Sched. **V.**

⁽x) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55, s. 343 and Sched. V. (y) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73, s. 62.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1834 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 1. For form of petition, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III. 106.

Gazette, and in one of the newspapers usually circulating in the borough, one month at least before the petition is so considered (c).

1007. The effect of the Order is that, if the borough council decide upon providing one or more burial grounds, they become a burial board (d) for that purpose, and the provisions of the Burial Acts apply accordingly, subject to some exceptions and modifications, to the borough and the borough council, and to any burial ground and any places for the reception of the bodies of the dead previously to interment (e) which may be provided by the council under the Burial Acts, in like manner as they apply to any parish and the burial board thereof, and to any burial ground and places for the reception of bodies previously to interment provided by such burial board (f).

The express exceptions as regards the application of the Burial Exceptions Acts are that the provisions of those Acts as to the constitution, incorporation, meetings, entries of proceedings, and accounts of Burial Acts. burial boards are not to apply, and that no approval, sanction, or authorisation of the vestry of any parish is to be requisite (g). Some further modifications (particularly as regards finance) are impliedly made by the provisions noticed below.

The borough council act in the exercise of their functions as a burial authority in like manner as in the exercise of their functions

under the Municipal Corporations Act, 1882(h).

1008. The burial ground or grounds provided for the borough are Parishes for to be deemed to be provided for such parish or parishes wholly or partly within the borough as the borough council may determine(i); but if, before the Order in Council is made, it appears to His Majesty in Council, upon the petition of the borough council or otherwise, that any parish wholly or partly within the borough is provided with a sufficient burial ground, the Order in Council may direct that no part of such parish shall be assessed towards defraying the expenses of the execution of the Burial Acts in the borough, and in such case no burial ground provided for the borough is to be deemed to be provided for such parish (k).

1009. Where the Order in Council provides that a parish wholly Expenses of or partly in the borough is not to be assessed towards the expenses borough

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Effect of

from appli-

which burial

council.

(c) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 1.

⁽d) It is to be observed that the form of expression employed is not that the borough council shall have the powers etc. of a burial board, but that they "shall be a burial board." This unfortunate turn of expression, however, probably means little or nothing more than that they shall have the functions of a burial board.

⁽e) As to the provision of mortuaries under the Burial Acts, see pp. 564, 565, post.

⁽f) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 2.

⁽a) Ibid., s. 6; Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 50), s. 242 and Sched. IX., whereby a reference to that Act is substituted for a reference to the Act is the state of the control of to the Municipal Corporations Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 76), mentioned in s. 6 of the Burial Act, 1854. See generally, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽i) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 7.

⁽k) Ibid., s. 9.

SECT. 3.
Urban
Authorities
with Power
of Burial
Boards.

of the execution of the Acts in the borough, the expenses of the council in the matter are to be met by the levy of a separate rate, similar in all respects to a borough rate, in the residue of the borough. In other cases the council may either defray the expenses out of the borough fund and borough rate, or may levy a separate rate, similar in all respects to a borough rate, for the purpose (1).

Loans.

1010. Loans raised by the borough council for the purposes of the Burial Acts are to be charged on the moneys out of which their expenses in the execution of the Acts are to be paid, and the provisions of the Burial Acts as to loans of burial boards are to be construed accordingly (m). The council have thus exactly the same borrowing powers as an elective burial board, except that, no sanction from any vestry or like meeting being required (n), the only sanction necessary is that of the Local Government Board. The purposes for which the council may borrow are also the same as those for which an elective burial board may borrow (o).

Application of surplus moneys.

1011. Any surplus of borrowed money or of income from any burial ground provided by the council which, if the same were provided by a burial board for a parish, would be applicable in aid of the poor rate (p) is to be applied in aid of the borough fund or rates, or if a separate rate has been levied in parts only of the borough by reason of the exemption of any parish or parishes by the Order in Council as above mentioned, such surplus must be applied rateably towards payment or satisfaction of so much of any borough rate or burial rate as is leviable in such parts of the borough (q).

Acquisition and sale of land.

1012. The corporation of the borough are empowered to hold land for the purposes of the Burial Acts; and where land is purchased for those purposes, the conveyance is to be taken in the name of the corporation. The provisions of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1882, as to the sale of corporate land, apply to the sale of such land (r).

(m) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 3.

(n) See p. 485, ante.

(p) See p. 479, ante.
(p) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 3, as amended by Burial Act,

⁽¹⁾ Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), ss. 3, 9; Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 22. The provisions of these sections as to the expenses of the council are expressed in very lengthy language, but seem to mean no more than is stated in the text. The provisions of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 50), as to the borough rate are substituted by s. 242 and Sched. IX. of that Act for those of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 76), referred to in the Burial Acts.

⁽o) See pp. 476, 477, ante. Ss. 4 and 5 of the Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), as to borrowing at a lower rate of interest to pay off existing loans, and borrowing to pay off mortgages falling due, extend in terms to a borough council constituted a burial board by Order in Council.

^{1857 (20 &}amp; 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 22.

(r) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 6. The provisions of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 50), are substituted by s. 242 and Sched. IX. of that Act for those of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 76), referred to in the Act of 1854. It is expressly provided by s. 6 of the Act of 1854 in reference to s. 28 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 &

1013. The borough council may appropriate for the purposes of the Burial Acts any land belonging to the corporation of the borough, or vested in feoffees, trustees, or others for the general benefit of the borough, or for any specific charity, but if the land is subject to a charitable use, it can be taken on such conditions only as the Chancery Division of the High Court, in the exercise of its jurisdiction over charitable trusts, may direct (s).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Order in Council investing Non-municipal Urban District Council with Powers of Burial Board.

1014. If it appears to His Majesty in Council, upon the petition of When Order a non-municipal urban district council stating that their district is in Council co-extensive with a district for which it is proposed to provide a burial ground, that no burial board has been appointed for such district, and that an Order in Council has been made for closing all or any of the burial grounds within the district, His Majesty may, by Order in Council, order that the district council shall be a burial board for their district, and thereupon the council become burial board for their district accordingly, and the powers and provisions of the Burial Acts, with some exceptions, extend to the district and to the council thereof, and to any burial ground and places for the reception of the bodies of the dead previous to interment (a) which may be provided by the council, in like manner as to any parish or parishes and the burial board thereof and any burial ground and places for the reception of the bodies of the dead pending interment provided by such board (b).

Notice of the petition and of the time when it will be taken into Notice of consideration by the Privy Council must be published in the Petition. London Gazette and a local newspaper one month at least before

the petition is so considered (c).

The express exceptions with regard to the application of the Exceptions Burial Acts are that the provisions as to the constitution or from application of Burial

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Appropriation of land.

may be made.

Acts.

16 Vict. c. 85), that the signature of members of the council to the conveyance of the land sold shall not be necessary, and that the receipt of the borough treasurer for the purchase-money shall be a sufficient discharge.
(s) Burial Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 87), s. 11; and see p. 462, ante. As to

the appropriation of land for the purpose, see further p. 492, post. (a) As to the provision of mortuaries under the Burial Acts, see pp. 561, 565,

post.

(c) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81). s. 4.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 4. The section refers in terms only to districts of improvement commissioners and of local boards of health established under the repealed Public Health Act, 1848 (11 & 12 Vict. c. 63). It thus, besides applying to non-municipal urban districts constituted as Improvement Act districts, certainly extends to all non-municipal urban districts constituted as local government districts under the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), or the earlier Acts repealed by s. 343 and Sched. V. of that Act. See s. 6 of the repealed Local Government Act, 1858 (21 & 22 Vict. c. 98), and s. 313 of the Public Health Act, 1875. And it is probable that the section would be construed as extending to urban districts constituted under the Local Government Acts, 1888 and 1894 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 41; 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73). See R. v. Barnes Overseers (wrongly reported as R. v. Barnes and others), Ex parte Ratcliffe (1896), 13 T. L. R. 25; and compare the provisions of the Tramways Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict. c. 78, s. 3, and Sched. A), defining "local authority," which are always treated as including non-municipal urban district councils constituted under the Acts of 1888 and 1894.

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Expenses of district council.

appointment and resignation of members of burial boards are not to apply, and that no approval, sanction, or authorisation of any vestry is to be requisite (d). Some further modifications (particularly as regards financial matters) are made by the provisions mentioned below.

1015. Money required by the council for defraying the expense of executing the Burial Acts, or for paying any moneys borrowed or annuities granted under the authority of those Acts, or any interest thereon, or for providing a sinking fund for the repayment thereof, may, if the council so think fit (except in the case of a council originally constituted as improvement commissioners), be paid out of the general district rates leviable in the district for which they are constituted a burial board (e); and the council may levy as part of the general district rate, or by a separate rate under the name and designation of a burial rate to be assessed and recovered in like manner as a general district rate within the district for which they act as a burial board, such sums of money as are from time to time necessary for such purposes (f).

Precisely similar provisions apply in the case where the council were originally constituted as improvement commissioners, with the substitution of the improvement rate for the general district rate (q). But a provisional order under the Public Health Act. 1875 (h), substituting a general district rate for an improvement rate for the purposes of the expenses of such a council, might have the effect of casting the expenses of the council under the Burial

Acts on the general district rate.

Accounts. audit and surplus income.

Loans.

1016. The council are to keep distinct accounts of their receipts and expenditure as a burial board, and such accounts are to be audited by the district auditor (i); and there are provisions for the application of surplus income in aid of the rate out of which the expenses of the council are payable (k).

1017. Where the expenses of the council are payable out of an improvement rate or burial rate in the nature of an improvement

(d) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 4.

(f) Burial Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 64), s. 1. The section appears to leave it open to the council, at their option, to defray their expenses, like an elective burial board, out of the poor rate.

(g) Ibid., s. 2

'k) Burial Act 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 64), s. 3.



⁽e) The council cannot, as has been seen, be constituted a burial board by Order in Council for any area other than their entire district. The provisions referred to in the text, however, deal also with cases where the council are constituted a burial board under s. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858, repealed and re-enacted by Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 343 and Sched. V. (see p. 489, post), under which a council may be constituted a burial board for part of the district only.

⁽h) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55, s. 208.
(i) Burial Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 64), s. 3. The provisions of this section as to audit apply only where the council defray their expenses in accordance with the provisions of that Act. But, having regard to s. 58 of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), the accounts of the council in relation to the execution of the Burial Acts would in any case be subject to audit in the usual way.

rate, the council are expressly authorised to borrow on mortgage of such rate, with the approval of the Local Government Board (l), for the purposes of the Burial Acts; and the clauses of the Commissioners Clauses Act, 1847 (m), with respect to the mortgages to be executed by the Commissioners, are made applicable to such mortgages(n).

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Sub-Sect. 4.—Urban Authority invested with Powers of Burial Board under s. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858.

1018. In the case of some non-municipal urban districts, the vestry of a parish (o) in the district, if they resolve to appoint a burial board, have under a modified version of s. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858, contained in Sched. V. of the Public to act as Health Act, 1875, the option, instead of electing a burial board in burial board. the usual way, of requiring the district council, or in some instances the members of the council representing a particular ward of the district, to act as a burial board for the parish (p).

When vestry may require district council etc.

As the section in its present form is confined to parishes comprised in local government districts, it can hardly be taken to extend to non-municipal urban districts constituted as Improvement Act districts; and there seems much doubt as to its applicability to non-municipal urban districts constituted since the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), or even under the Local

⁽¹⁾ Substituted for the Treasury by the Local Authorities (Treasury Powers) Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 33), s. 1.

⁽m) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 16, ss. 75—88. See pp. 477, 478, ante.
(n) Burial Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 100). The preamble to the Act states that it was passed to remove doubts. It must therefore not be taken as showing that other councils have not power to borrow on the security of the rates applicable to their expenses under the Burial Acts by virtue of the enactments

enabling elective burial boards to borrow.

(o) As to the meaning of "parish" for this purpose, see the next note.

(p) S. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858 (21 & 22 Vict. c. 98), as it originally stood, was, shortly, to the following effect: In any "district"—i.e., the district of any local board—if the vestry of any one or more parish or place comprised therein having a known or defined boundary adopted the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), the "local board"—an expression that would include a municipal corporation or improvement commissioners acting as local board under the Act-might, at the option of the vestry, be the burial board for such purish or parishes etc.; and the expenses were to be defrayed out of rates in the nature of general district rates levied in the particular area. But the enactment was subject to a proviso to the effect that if the area in question were a ward or wards for the election of members of the local board, then the members for such ward or wards should constitute the burial board. By the Burial Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 64), the provisions already noticed as to the expenses of local boards constituted burial boards, and matters incidental thereto, were made (see p. 488, ante), and, as appears by the preamble to that Act, these provisions applied to local boards constituted burial boards under s. 49 of the Act of 1858, as well as to local boards constituted burial boards by Order in Council. By the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 343 and Sched. V., the Act of 1858 was repealed, but s. 49 of the Act of 1858 was "re-enacted" in the following much modified form: "When a vestry of any parish comprised in a local government district resolves to appoint a lurial board, the local board may at the option of the vestry be the burial board for such parish, and all expenses incurred by such burial board shall be defrayed out of a rate to be levied in such parish in the same manner as a general district rate. Provided, that if such parish has been declared a ward for the election of members of the local board, such members shall form the burial board for the parish, and shall be deemed to be a burial board elected under the Burial Acts for the time being in force."

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Expenses of district council.

1019. The expenses of a council acting as a burial board by virtue of s. 49 of the Local Government Act, 1858, may in all cases be paid out of a rate to be levied in the same manner as a general district rate in the area for which the council act as a burial board. The council may, in some cases at least, have the option of paying the expenses out of the actual general district rate, provided that the incidence of the expenses is confined to the proper area; but they have not the option which they would apparently have if constituted a burial board by Order in Council of defraying them out of the poor rate (q).

Control by vestry.

1020. A council, or the members of a council for a ward, acting as a burial board by virtue of s. 49 of the Local Government Act. 1858, would appear to be subject to the control of the vestry in the same manner as an elective burial board (r).

SUB-SECT. 5 .- Urban Authority invested with Powers of Burial Board under s. 44 of the Sanitary Act, 1866.

Transfer of powers of burial board to urban authority by agreement.

1021. The burial board of any district which is included in or conterminous with an urban district may by resolution of the vestry, and by agreement of the burial board and urban authority. transfer to that authority all their estate, property, rights, powers, duties, and liabilities, and the council will thereafter have such powers etc. as if they had been duly appointed a burial board under the Burial Acts (s).

Government Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 41), between the commencement of that Act and the commencement of the Act of 1894. The circumstance that the enactment did not extend to all kinds of non-municipal urban districts before the Acts of 1888 and 1894 differentiates the case in this respect from that considered at p. 487, ante. The expression "parish" in the enactment must apparently be confined in meaning to poor law parish. See the definition of the expression in s. 4 of the Public Health Act, 1875.

The provisions under which the members of the council representing a particular ward may be appointed a burial board give rise to numerous questions of difficulty—e.g., as to the sources whence their expenses are to be defrayed, as to the position that arises if the boundaries of the ward are altered, as to whether the members constitute an independent corporation—which it seems useless to discuss in the absence of any authority as to the lines on which these provisions should be interpreted. The functions of such a body of members could now apparently be transferred to the council as a whole by a resolution of the council under s. 62 of the Local Government Act, 1894, referred to at p. 491, post.

(q) See note (p), p. 489, ante.
(r) An urban authority may, however, be themselves invested with such of the functions of the vestry under the Burial Acts as would in the case of a rural parish be exercisable by the parish council by an order of the Local Government Board under s. 33 of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), though they cannot be invested with such of the functions of the vestry as would in the case of a rural parish attach to the parish meeting. As to the powers of the vestry that in the case of rural parishes attach to the

(a) Sanitary Act, 1866 (29 & 30 Vict. c. 90), s. 44, as re-enacted in an amended form by the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 343 and Sched. V. Whether the meaning of the section is that after the transfer the urban authority are to have the functions they would have if constituted a burial board by Order in Council or those of an elective burial board is uncertain. As the section originally stood it referred to "local boards" (at that time a municipal Sub-Sect. 6 .- Urban Authority invested with Powers of Burial Board under s. 62 of the Local Government Act, 1894.

1022. Where there is a burial board for an area co-extensive with, or comprised in, an urban district, the urban authority may resolve that the powers, duties, property, debts, and liabilities of the burial board shall be transferred to the urban authority as from the date specified in the resolution, and upon that date the same become transferred accordingly, and the burial board cease to exist, and the urban authority become their successors (t).

1023. An urban authority invested with the functions of a burial board in this way, except so far as the difference in constitution of the two bodies prevents it, stand exactly in the position of the burial board to whose functions they have succeeded. Thus, their expenses are payable out of the same rates as those of the burial board, i.e., the poor rate or a like rate, and not out of the rates on which the expenses would fall if the urban authority were constituted a burial board by Order in Council (u). Again, the authority are subject to the control of the vestry or meeting in the nature of the vestry, like the burial board whom they have succeeded (w).

SECT. 3. Urban Authorities with Powers of Burial Boards.

Transfer of powers of burial board on resolution of urban authority. Effect of transfer.

SUB-SECT. 7 .- Miscellaneous.

1024. Urban authorities not infrequently have the functions of a Local Acts burial board by virtue of provisions in local Acts, or in statutory orders, dealing with local boundaries (a) orders dealing with local boundaries (a).

1025. There is a provision in the Burial Act, 1855, the effect of Additional which seems to be that an urban authority formed as a local board powers of

authorities.

corporation might be a local board), and provided that after the transfer the local board should have the like functions as if they had been constituted a burial board under s. 4 of the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81). The dropping of the latter provision in the re-enactment of the section may have been due to the consideration that s. 4 of the Act of 1857 does not extend to boroughs and Improvement Act districts, and not to an intention to put the authority in the position of an elective burial board. If the proper view is that the transfer puts the authority in the position of an urban authority constituted a burial board by Order in Council, it is a far more satisfactory method of transferring the functions of an elective burial board to an urban authority than that next to be mentioned.

(t) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 62 (1). The section uses the expressions "urban district" and "council of an urban district," which include not only non-county boroughs and their councils (ibid., s. 21 (3)), but also county boroughs and county borough councils (Kirkdale Burial Board v. Liverpool Corporation, [1904] 1 Ch. 829). The doubt as to county boroughs arose from the provisions of s. 35 of the Act of 1894.

(u) R. v. Connah's Quay Overseers, [1901] 2 K. B. 174.

(w) See note (r), p. 490, ante.

(a) Provisions of the kind are very commonly made in provisional orders for the extension of borough boundaries. Such provisions usually place the borough council in the like position as if they had been constituted a burial board by Order in Council. Similar provisions are sometimes inserted in orders under the Local Government Acts constituting or altering non-municipal urban districts. As to such orders, see generally title Local Government. S. 19 of the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), contains a saving for the powers etc. of "any local board of health being the burial board of a borough created or to exist under or by virtue of any local Act of Parliament."



SECT. 3.
Urban
Authorities
with Powers
of Burial

of health, and as such constituted a burial board by a local Act, have, in addition to their powers as burial board under the local Act, the powers etc. of an elective burial board under the Burial Acts (b).

Boards.
Appropriation

of land.

1026. If s. 95 of the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907, is in force in the district of an urban authority acting as burial authority, they may with the consent of the Local Government Board, and subject to the conditions of the section, appropriate for the purposes of their powers as burial authority any lands acquired by them and not required for the purposes for which they were acquired, and appropriate to other purposes land acquired by them for the purposes of their powers as burial authority, but no longer required for those purposes (c).

SECT. 4.—Burial Authorities under the Burial Acts in Rural Areas.

Power of parish meeting to adopt Burial Acts.

1027. In a rural parish the parish meeting have exclusively the power of adopting any of the adoptive Acts (d). Accordingly, the parish meeting of a rural parish have power to adopt the Burial Acts for the whole of the parish, subject, if the parish has been divided into parts for all or any ecclesiastical purposes, and any one of such parts has a separate burial ground, to the consent of the Local Government Board (e).

Adoption for part only of parish.

Where there is power to adopt any of the adoptive Acts for part only of a rural parish, the Act may be adopted by a parish meeting held for that part (f). Accordingly, where any part of a rural parish is an area for which the establishment of an elective burial board is authorised by the Burial Acts (g), those Acts may be adopted for that part of the parish by a parish meeting for that part (h), subject to the consent of the Local Government Board where that consent is required by the Burial Acts (i).

Other rural areas. The provisions of the Local Government Act, 1894, as to the adoptive Acts appear to preclude the adoption of the Burial Acts for

(c) Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907 (7 Edw. 7, c. 53), s. 95. See generally, as to the provisions of this section, title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(g) See pp. 451, 452, ante.
(h) As to a parish meeting for part of a parish, see Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 49; and title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(i) See pp. 452, 453, ante.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 20: "Any local board of health acting as or created a board [sic; this must, it would seem, mean "burial board"] under or by virtue of the powers of any local Act of Parliament shall and may have and exercise all the powers, rights, and privileges which by this Act or by the secondly recited Act [the Burial Act, 1853] are or can be had, enjoyed, or exercised by any burial board therein named." It is doubtful whether or not this enactment applies only to a local board created a burial board by local Act previous to the passing of the Burial Act, 1855.

⁽d) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (1). By that sub-section the expression "the adoptive Acts" includes the Burial Acts and certain other Acts and groups of Acts. For the constitution and powers of a parish meeting, see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

⁽e) See pp. 452, 453, ante. (f) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (4).

an area comprising the whole or part of a rural parish and extending beyond the limits of that parish.

1028. Not less than fourteen days' notice must be given of a parish meeting at which it is proposed to adopt the Burial Acts (k), and any one parochial elector may demand a poll on the question (l). A simple majority of those present and voting at the assembly of the parish meeting, or, if a poll is taken, of those voting, is sufficient to carry the resolution (m). The resolution should in strictness adoption of take the form of a resolution that a burial ground be provided for Burial Acts. the parish or other area (n).

A copy of the resolution adopting the Acts must be sent to the

Local Government Board (o).

1029. Where the Burial Acts are in force for an entire rural Authority to parish having a parish council, the parish council act as the burial execute Acts. authority, and have, subject to the modifications mentioned below, the functions of an elective burial board for the parish (p).

Where the Acts have been adopted after the appointed day under the Local Government Act, 1894 (q), for part of a rural parish having a parish council, the council act as the authority for the

execution of the Acts in all cases (r).

Where the Acts are in force for part of a parish having a parish council, but were adopted for that part before the appointed day under the Local Government Act, 1894, the burial board continue to act as the burial authority, unless their functions have been transferred to the parish council. Such a transfer may be effected by a resolution either of the burial board, or of a parish meeting held for the part of the parish in which the Acts are in force (s).

Where the Acts are in force for the whole of a rural parish not having a parish council, whether the Acts were adopted before or after the appointed day, it seems that the parish meeting may, by

(k) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), Sched. I., Part I., r. 3. For form of notice, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 101.

(l) Ibid., r. 7 (e).

(n) See Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (8). A resolution to adopt the Acts would, however, doubtless be effective. For form of resolution, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 102.

(o) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 10, as amended, by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State, by the Burial Act,

1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4.

(s) Ibid., s. 53 (1).

SECT. 4. Burial Authorities under the **Burial Acts** in Rural Areas.

Procedure for

⁽m) A simple majority of the vestry is sufficient under the Burial Acts to carry a resolution for the provision of a burial ground, and s. 7 (2) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), requiring a special majority for the adoption of certain of the adoptive Acts, is therefore inapplicable to the Burial Acts.

⁽p) Where there was a burial board in existence for the parish before the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), the functions etc. of the board were transferred to the parish council on the coming into office of that council by s. 7 (5) of that Act. Where the Acts have been adopted since the Act of 1894, the parish council are the authority for the execution of the Acts by virtue of s. 7 (7).

(q) The "appointed day" fell at or towards the end of 1894 (ibid., s. 84).

(r) Ibid., s. 7 (7).

SECT. 4. Burial Authorities under the **Burial Acts** in Rural Areas.

means of an order of the county council, be invested with the powers of a parish council under the Burial Acts, and thus become themselves the burial authority (t). If this is not done, the Acts must be executed by a burial board elected by the parish meeting, and any vacancies in such board will be filled up by the parish meeting (a).

The Acts may theoretically be in force for part only of a rural parish not having a parish council, or for the whole or part of a rural parish grouped with another parish or parishes under a common parish council. These cases, if they exist at all, are very exceptional, and the position in the latter case would greatly depend on the

terms of the grouping order.

Committee of parish council.

1030. In some cases a parish council, acting as the burial authority for part only of their parish, are required to appoint a committee for the purpose of the exercise of their powers as burial authority.

In the first place, where the powers, duties, and liabilities of a burial board for part of the parish are transferred to the parish council by resolution of the burial board or of a parish meeting for the part of the parish in question under the provisions already referred to (b), the transfer may be made subject to any conditions with respect to the execution thereof by means of a committee as to the burial board or parish meeting may seem fit, and any such condi-

tions may be altered by any such parish meeting (c).

Again, whenever a parish council have any powers and duties which are to be exercised in a part only of the parish, or in relation to property held for the benefit of part of a parish, and that part has a defined boundary, the parish council must, if required by a parish meeting held for that part, appoint annually to exercise such powers and duties a committee consisting partly of members of the council and partly of other persons representing the part of the parish in question (d); and this provision would, no doubt, apply in the case of a parish council acting as burial authority for part of their parish.

The council can, in any case, appoint a committee for the exercise of any of their powers as burial authority under their general powers

for the appointment of committees (e).

Execution of functions of vestry.

1031. Where the Burial Acts are in force for the whole of a rural parish having a parish council the parish meeting are substituted for the vestry in cases where under those Acts the consent or

(a) The power to elect the burial board and to fill up vacancies therein is in the parish meeting as successors of the vestry (ibid., s. 19 (4)).

(b) Ibid., s. 53 (1); and see p. 493, ante.

(c) Ibid.

(d) Ibid., s. 56 (2).

⁽t) S. 19 (10) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), enables the county council, upon the application of the parish meeting of a rural parish not having a parish council, to confer on that meeting any of the powers conferred on a parish council by the Act. Numerous technical difficulties arise where an unincorporate body is invested with powers, like those of a burial board, for the proper execution of which corporate capacity, or special provisions supplying the want of corporate capacity, seem almost essential.

As to committees of parish councils generally, see title (e) Ibid., s. 56 (1). LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

approval of, or other act on the part of, the vestry is required in relation to any expense or rate (f). The other functions of the vestry attach to the parish council themselves (g), and are thus practically abrogated (h).

By whom, in the case where the Burial Acts are in force for part only of a parish having a parish council, the functions of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry are now exercisable

is uncertain (i).

1032. Where it is proved to the satisfaction of the county council Control of that any part of a rural parish has a defined boundary, and has any property or rights distinct from the rest of the parish, the county meeting for council may order that the consent of a parish meeting held for part of parish. that part of the parish shall be required for any such act or class

SECT. 4. Burial Authorities under the **Burial Acts** in Rural Areas.

parish council by parish

(f) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (3).

(g) Ibid., s. 6 (1) (a), whereby the civil functions of the vestry, other than those transferred to other bodies, were transferred to the parish council.

(h) The functions of the vestry under the Burial Acts comprise (in addition (a) The functions of the vestry under the burial Acts comprise (in addition to their power to adopt the Act and elect the burial board)—(i.) the determination of the expenses to be incurred in providing a burial ground etc. (Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 19; see p. 475, ante); (ii.) approving officers' emoluments and (quære) the hire of an office (ibid., s. 15; see p. 459, ante); (iii.) approving the borrowing of money (ibid., s. 20; see p. 476, ante); (iii.) approving the for the purphess of lands or of a constructive for (iv.) approving contracts for the purchase of lands or of a cemetery (ibid., s. 26; see p. 460, ante); (v.) approving the alienation of land (ibid., s. 28; see pp. 461, 462, ante); (vi.) approving the appropriation of parish land (ibid., s. 29; see note(h), p. 462, ante); (vii.) revising fees (ibid., s. 34; see p. 474, ante); (viii.) approving the provision of a mortuary (ibid., s. 42; see p. 564, post); (ix.) appointing auditors (ibid., s. 18; see p. 458, ante); (x.) approving the addition of unconsecrated ground to a burial ground provided under the Church Building Acts, and transferred to the burial authority (Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 7; see p. 463, ante; (xi.) approving the purchase of a closed cemetery (ibid., s. 26; see p. 463, ante). Of these (i.), (ii.), and (iii.) clearly relate to an expense or rate, but, in view of the provisions as to borrowing by parish councils for the purposes of the adoptive Acts referred to in note (r), p. 496, post, (iii.) appears to have no application to borrowing by a parish council for the purposes of the Burial Acts. Which, if any, of the other powers fall within this category is far from clear. (ix.) may probably be regarded as a power impliedly abolished except as regards elective burial boards. See note (b),

(i) There is no provision in the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), referring in terms to any power of a vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry for part of a rural parish under the Burial Acts except that of adopting the Acts. It is most difficult to construe s. 6 (1) (a) of the Act, whereby the civil functions of the vestry "of a rural parish" are, with some exceptions, transferred to the parish council, as effecting a transfer of the functions of a vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry for part of a parish; and it is scarcely less difficult to construe s. 7 (3) of the Act, whereby the powers of the vestry (including in that expression any meeting of ratepayers or voters) "of a rural parish" under the adoptive Acts, so far as they relate to any expense or rate, are transferred to the parish meeting, as effecting a transfer of powers of a vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry for part of a parish. At the same time, in view of the provisions of s. 7 (4) of the Act, whereby the power of adopting the Burial Acts for part of a rural parish (where that part is an area for which the adoption of the Acts is authorised) is transferred from the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry to a parish meeting for the part of the parish, it may be that the Act of 1894 should be construed as effecting by implication a transfer of some at least of the other powers of such a vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry, particularly where the Acts have been adopted since the coming into

operation of the Act of 1894.

SECT. 4. Burial **Authorities** under the **Burial Acts** in Rural Areas.

of acts of the parish council affecting the said property or rights as is specified in the order (k). A parish council acting as burial authority for part of their parish may thus be subjected to any extent that may be thought desirable to the control of a parish meeting for that part of the parish.

Expenses of parish council.

1033. A parish council acting in the execution of the Burial Acts raise the funds they require to meet expenditure under the Acts by means of precepts or certificates to the overseers (l), which the overseers meet out of the poor rate, or, where the Acts are in force for part of the parish, out of an addition to the poor rate or a separate rate in the nature of a poor rate, in the same way as if the precept or certificate were that of a burial board (m).

Limitation on expenses of parish council.

A parish council must not, without the consent of a parish meeting, incur expenses or liabilities which will involve the raising by a rate in any local financial year of a sum greater than a rate of threepence in the pound would have produced if the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (n), had not passed (o), or which will involve a loan (p).

Besides the above-mentioned limitation on the expenses and liabilities that may be incurred by a parish council, there is a limitation on the amount of the rate that may be levied to meet such expenses and liabilities. But expenses under the adoptive Acts are expressly excluded from the operation of this limitation (q).

Borrowing powers of parish council.

1034. The provisions regulating the borrowing of money by a burial board are not applicable to a parish council acting in the execution of the Burial Acts, but the council may borrow for purposes of these Acts in the same way that they may borrow for other purposes (r).

(k) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 37.

(m) This follows from ibid., s. 7 (6), which provides that the Act shall not alter the incidence of charge of any rate levied to defray expenses incurred under any of the adoptive Acts, and that any such rate shall be made and charged as heretofore.

(n) 59 & 60 Vict. c. 16.
(o) I.e., if agricultural land were still rateable to the poor rate and other like rates at the same rate in the pound as other property. See title RATES AND

hibition in regard to liabilities, e.g., in damages for tort, involuntarily incurred.

(q) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 11 (3), amended by the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 16), s. 8.

(r) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 12, which, in conjunc-

tion with ibid., s. 11, regulates the borrowing of money by a parish council,

⁽l) It is not clear whether the power of the council to issue such precepts or certificates is to be regarded as derived from the provisions of the Burial Acts authorising the issue of certificates to the overseers by a burial board (see p. 475, ante), or from the provisions of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 11, giving the parish council a general power to raise the funds they require by means of precepts to the overseers. The question becomes of practical importance where it is necessary to enforce the precept, as under a. 11 of the Act of 1894 the council have a remedy of a summary character.

⁽p) The statement in the text gives what appears to be the effect of s. 11 (1) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), as amended by s. 8 of the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 16). It is to be observed that the prohibition is against the incurring of expense, not against the raising of a rate. The distinction may be very important as regards the consequences where the prohibition is infringed, and also as regards the effect of the pro-

1035. The general provisions of the Local Government Act, 1894, enabling a parish council to acquire land, including the provisions enabling the land to be acquired compulsorily if need be, are available to the council with reference to land required by them for the

purposes of the Burial Acts (s).

The general provisions with regard to the alienation by a parish council of land acquired by such a council under the powers of the Local Government Act, 1894 (t), are applicable to land acquired under those powers for any purpose, including the purposes of the Burial Acts, and it is questionable whether the powers of the lastmentioned Acts for the alienation of land have any application to land so acquired (a).

Parish councils have general powers for the alienation of land vested in them, but not acquired under the powers of the Local Government Act, 1894 (b); and these powers would seem to be applicable to land held by them for the purposes of the Burial Acts (c). But the provisions of the Burial Acts as to the alienation of land also apply to land held by a parish council which was acquired under the powers of those Acts.

SECT. 4. Burial Authorities under the **Burial Acts** in Rural Areas.

Acquisition of land. Alienation of

gives the council borrowing powers—(a) for purchasing land etc.; "(b) for any purpose for which the council are authorised to borrow under any of the adoptive Acts"; and (c) for any permanent work or other thing which the council are authorised to execute or do, and the cost of which ought, in the opinion of the sanctioning bodies, to be spread over a term of years. The Act further provides that a parish council shall not borrow for the purposes of any of the adoptive Acts otherwise than in accordance with the Act of 1894, but that the charge for the purpose of any of the adoptive Acts shall ultimately be on the rate applicable to the purposes of that Act (*ibid.*, s. 12 (3)). The Local Government Board regard the parish council as authorised by this section to borrow for purposes of the adoptive Acts for which borrowing is not authorised by those Acts.

(s) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 9.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

(t) See the modified version of s. 11 of the Allotments Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 48), scheduled to the order of the Local Government Board of May 22, 1895, made pursuant to s. 9 of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73) (Stat. R. and O., 1894, p. 568).

(a) As to the powers of the Burial Acts for the alienation of land, see pp. 461, The question whether those powers apply to land acquired for the purposes of the Acts under the powers of the Act of 1894 is perhaps merely academic, since so far as the provisions of the modified version of s. 11 of the Allotments Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 48), referred to in the preceding note, are inconsistent with those of the Burial Acts, they would clearly override them in the case of land acquired under the powers of the Act of 1894. It might be difficult to determine in a particular case whether land acquired voluntarily by a parish council for the purposes of the Burial Acts had been so acquired under the powers of those Acts or of the Act of 1894.

The restrictions in reference to the alienation of land that has once formed part of a burial ground referred to p. 462, ante, apply, no doubt, under which-

ever power the land was acquired.

(b) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 8 (2). The application of this sub-section to land acquired under the powers of the Act of 1894 seems clearly subject to the modified version of s. 11 of the Allotments Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 48), referred to in note (t), supra.

(c) The restrictions referred to p. 462, ante, would, no doubt, apply with reference to the alienation under these powers of land held for the purposes

of the Burial Acts.

SECT. 4.
Burial
Authorities
under the
Burial Acts
in Rural

Areas.

Parish meeting acting as burial authority.
Limitation on expenditure.

Borrowing powers, dealings with land.

Committee.

Burial board for entire rural parish.

Burial board for part of rural parish. 1036. The position of a parish meeting acting as burial authority for their parish by virtue of an order of the county council investing them with the powers of a parish council in that behalf differs in some respects from that of a parish council acting as a burial authority.

The parish meeting raise the funds they require for the purposes of the Burial Acts by means of precepts to the overseers, which the

overseers meet out of the poor rate (d).

The expenditure of the parish meeting is limited by a provision in the Local Government Act, 1894 (e), which must now apparently (f) be read as enacting that the sum raised by means of a rate for defraying the expenses of the parish meeting (when added to expenses under any of the adoptive Acts) must not exceed in any local financial year the amount which a rate of sixpence in the pound would have produced if the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896, had not passed.

In the absence of express provision in the order of the county council dealing with the matter, doubt might arise as to how far the investing of the parish meeting with the powers of a parish council under the Burial Acts necessarily had the effect of investing them with the powers of a parish council as to borrowing money and dealing with land (g).

The parish meeting may appoint a committee for the purposes of their powers as burial authority under their general powers for the appointment of committees (h).

1037. The position of a burial board for an entire rural parish not having a parish council appears to be exactly the same as that of an elective burial board for an urban parish, except that all the powers under the Burial Acts exercisable by the vestry in the case of an urban parish, including the power of electing the burial board, attach to the parish meeting (i), and that possibly the expenses of the burial board must be included in computing the maximum amount which may be raised to meet the expenses of the parish meeting under the provisions referred to in the preceding paragraph.

The position of a burial board for part of a rural parish under a parish council appears to be exactly that of an elective burial board for part of an urban parish, save that some of the functions which would attach to the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry of part of an urban parish might be held to be exercisable by the

(f) Having regard to s. 8 of the Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict.

⁽d) As in the case of the parish council, it is doubtful whether the power of the parish meeting to issue such precepts should be regarded as derived from the Burial Acts or from the provisions of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 11, giving the parish meeting through their chairman a general power to raise funds. See note (l), p. 496, ante.

(e) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73, s. 19 (9).

⁽g) The intrinsic difficulties attendant on dealing with land by a parish meeting consequent on its not being a body corporate are partially, though inadequately, met by provisions in s. 19 (6), (7) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73).

⁽h) Ibid., s. 19 (3).

⁽i) Ibid., s. 19 (4).

SECT. 4.

Burial Authorities

under the **Burial Acts**

in Rural

Areas.

Transfer

parish meeting for the part of the parish or possibly by the parish $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ ouncil (k).

Sect. 5.—Joint Committees with Powers of Burial Boards.

1038. Where the area under a then existing burial board was not after the appointed day under the Local Government Act. 1894 (l), comprised within one rural parish, the powers and duties of the board were transferred to the parish councils of the rural parishes wholly or partly comprised in that area, or where the area committee. was partly comprised in an urban district to those parish councils and the urban authority, and became exercisable by a joint committee appointed by those councils; and where any such rural parish has not a parish council, the parish meeting is for this purpose substituted for the parish council (m).

1039. If any difference arises as to the constitution of such a Constitution joint committee, it may be determined by order of the Local and pro-Government Board (n).

of joint

The quorum, proceedings, and place of meeting of the committee, committee. whether within or without the area for which they act, may be determined by regulations of the appointing bodies, but, subject to any such regulations, are to be such as the committee direct. The chairman at any meeting of the committee has a second or casting vote (o).

1040. Where such a committee for the purposes of the Burial Finances Acts is appointed, any expenses incurred in carrying out those of joint purposes are to be defrayed, any money borrowed for those purposes is to be borrowed, and any receipts arising from those purposes are

(k) See p. 495, ante.

(i) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73. The "appointed day," for the purposes of the Act, occurred at different dates, all at or towards the end of 1894, in different localities and for different purposes (ibid., s. 84).

as regards property etc., see p. 504, post.
(n) Local Government (Joint Committees) Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 40),
s. 1(2). S. 57 of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), which deals generally with joint committees for the purposes of that Act, is inapplicable to a joint committee established under s. 53 (2) for purposes of the Burial Acts; and the provisions stated in the text are the only provisions as

to the constitution of such committees.

(o) Local Government (Joint Committees) Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 40).

5. 1 (1) (c), applying Part IV. of Sched. I. of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), to the committee. The text states what appears to be the effect of that Part as so applied.

⁽m) Ibid., s. 53 (2), which provides that the powers etc. shall be exercisable by the joint committee "until other provision is made in pursuance of this Act." Such other provision may be made in various ways, e.g., by an order dealing with local boundaries, by an order grouping parishes under a common parish council, by an order under s. 69, or possibly by an order under s. 53 (4), of the Act (as to which see p. 505, post), altering the area for which the Burial Acts are in force. Most of the joint committees established by s. 53 (2), however, continue in existence. In some instances similar joint committees have been established since 1894 by provisions in orders dealing with local boundaries. It is to be observed that, in the absence of such provisions, an order dealing with local boundaries, and leading to a state of things visions, an order dealing with local boundaries, and leading to a state of things similar to that contemplated by s. 53 (2), will not bring that sub-section into operation. As to the consequences of the transfer effected by the sub-section

SECT. 5. Joint Committees with Powers of Burial Boards.

Borrowing powers.

to be divided, by the appointing bodies in such proportion as they may agree on, or, in default of agreement, as may be determined by the county council, or, if one of the appointing bodies is the council of a county borough, by the Local Government Board (p).

The consent of the Local Government Board is required to the borrowing by any of the appointing bodies for the purposes of the Burial Acts; that consent is conclusive as to the power of the body in question to borrow; and no other consent is required either under the Burial Acts, or the Local Government Act, 1894, or any other Act. And where a parish meeting are an appointing body, they have the same power of borrowing for the purposes of the Burial Acts as a parish council would have (q).

Exercise of functions of vestry.

1041. There is no provision as to the body by which the functions of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry under the Burial Acts are to be exercised in cases where the functions of a burial board are exercised by a joint committee; and the question in whom these functions are vested is completely uncertain (r).

Sect. 6.—Burial Authorities under Burial Acts for Areas in London.

Areas in

1042. In London, outside the City, the Burial Acts were by the which Acts in London (Adoptive Acts) Scheme, 1900 (s), declared to be as from the

> (p) Local Government (Joint Committees) Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 40), s. 1 (1) (a). Though the appointing bodies are thus to contribute to the expenses of the execution of the Acts, there is no express provision giving those bodies power to obtain money for the purpose. Probably each appointing body must be taken to have, as regards so much of the area for which the Burial Acts are in force as is within their jurisdiction, the same powers of raising money that they would have if the functions of the burial board had been transferred to them alone. See Jenkin, Overseers' Manual, 3rd ed., p. 344, referring to an unreported case of R. v. Gainsborough Overseers (1901).

> (q) Local Government (Joint Committees) Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 40). s. 1 (1) (b), (3). In the case of a parish council or parish meeting the ordinary borrowing powers of a parish council are clearly available, subject to the circumstance that no sanction save that of the Local Government Board is required. since a parish council borrow in the same way whether their power to borrow is derived from the Burial Acts or not. See p. 496, ante. In the case of an urban authority there is some difficulty; but probably the urban authority must be taken to have the borrowing powers of the burial board whose functions were transferred to them jointly with the other appointing bodies. except, of course, that no consent to the exercise of those powers save that of the Local Government Board will be required, and that the loan must be raised on the security of, and repaid out of, the rates out of which the contributions of the authority towards the current expenses of the execution of the Burial Acts are payable.

> (r) The considerations bearing on the question differ in different cases. Where the burial board were originally constituted for a poor law parish which, being partly urban and partly rural when the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), came into operation, was divided as from that time into two poor law parishes either by the direct operation of that Act or by order under it—and this is the commonest case—a plausible, if not very logical, view is that the functions of the vestry are to be exercised jointly by the parish council or parish meeting of the rural parish and by the vestry of the urban parish. But it would be difficult to arrive at a similar result if the area of the burial board was not a poor law parish, but, say, an ecclesiastical parish forming part of a poor law parish. Again, the case of a joint burial board presents special features. The control of the vestry over the borrowing powers of the burial authority is abrogated by the provisions as to borrowing referred to supra.

(s) Made under the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), and

appointed day under the London Government Act, 1899 (t), in force throughout certain specified metropolitan boroughs, to be in force in specified parts of other metropolitan boroughs, and not to be in force in any part of the remaining metropolitan boroughs.

In the case of a metropolitan borough in which the Burial Acts were thus declared not to be in force, or to be in force in part of the borough only, the Acts may be brought into force throughout the borough or in the residue of the borough, as the case may be, by Order in Council in the same way that they may be put in force by Order in Council in a municipal borough (u); and it seems that, speaking generally (a), this is the only way in which the Acts can be brought into force in any area in the county of London in which they were declared not to be in force by the above-mentioned scheme (b).

SECT. 6. Burial Authorities under Burial Acts for Areas in London.

1043. Partly by the London (Adoptive Acts) Scheme, 1900, Burial referred to in the preceding paragraph, and partly by the London authorities. Government Act, 1899, the functions etc. of the then existing authorities acting in the execution of the Burial Acts in London outside the City were, as from the appointed day under that Act (c), transferred to the councils of the metropolitan boroughs for which, or for parts of which, the Acts were by that scheme declared to be in force (d).

confirmed by Order in Council of August 7, 1900 (Stat. R. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. VIII., London County, p. 28). See art. 4 (1) and Sched. V. Schemes under the Act so confirmed have statutory force. See s. 16 (3) of the Act of 1899; Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 50), s. 213 (5); Institute of Patent Agents v. Lockwood, [1894] A. C. 347.

(t) The appointed day under the Act for this purpose was November 9, 1900. See Metropolitan Boroughs (First Election and First Meeting) Order in Council, 1900, arts. 4, 7 (1), and two Orders of the Lord President of the Council of October 18, 1900 (Stat. R. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. VIII., London County, p. 37; Stat. R. and O., 1900, p. 385).

(a) See p. 484, ante.
(a) No doubt alterations in an area in which the Acts are in force might be effected by incidental provisions in an order altering parish boundaries or the

(b) The London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 4, provides that "any of the adoptive Acts may be adopted in a metropolitan borough in like manner as in a borough outside London, and not otherwise, and where any of the adoptive Acts adopted before the appointed day does not extend to the whole borough, the Act may be adopted in the rest of the borough in like manner as if it were a separate borough and the borough council were the council thereof." Any doubt as to the method of adopting the Burial Acts intended to be introduced in London by this sub-section seems to be removed by a provision in art. 4 (2) of the London (Adoptive Acts) Scheme, 1900 (see note (s), p. 500, ante), that for the purposes of the Act of 1899 and of the scheme "the making of an Order in Council pursuant to a petition by the council of a metropolitan borough under s. 1 of the Burial Act, 1854 [17 & 18 Vict. c. 87], as applied by the Act, shall be deemed an adoption of the Burial Acts."

(c) See note (t), supra.

(d) The provisions of the Burial Acts referred to pp. 451, 452, ante, under which elective burial boards could be established for parishes and other areas, applied in London outside the City substantially as elsewhere; and (except in Woolwich, where the local board acted as a burial board) there was before the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), no enactment enabling any form of executive authority for the purposes of the Burial Acts other

SECT. 6. Burial Authorities under Burial

Acts for Areas in London.

On the adoption of the Acts for a metropolitan borough or the residue of a metropolitan borough in part of which the Acts are already in force the metropolitan borough council become the burial authority (e).

Consequently, in all cases where the Burial Acts are in force in London outside the City, the metropolitan borough council are the burial authority, and as such have, with some modifications, the

functions of an elective burial board.

Where the Acts are in force in part only of a metropolitan borough, councillors representing wards in which the Acts are not in force must not be members of any committee of the council appointed for the purposes of the Acts (f).

Powers. of vestry.

1044. In most, if not in all, cases, a metropolitan borough council. acting in the execution of the Burial Acts, are free from the control of any vestry or like meeting (q).

Expenses of metropolitan borough council.

Borrowing powers.

1045. The expenses of a metropolitan borough council in the execution of the Burial Acts fall on the general rate, subject, however, to provisions securing that the incidence of such expenses shall be confined to the area for which the Acts are in force (h).

The council of a metropolitan borough in which, or in any part of which, the Burial Acts are or were for the time being in force, have, and are to be deemed always to have had, power to borrow for any purpose for which burial boards are authorised to borrow, and in like manner and subject to the like consent and approval.

than an elective burial board to be established in London outside the City. S. 33 of the Local Government Act, 1894, was, however, rightly or wrongly regarded as authorising the substitution of administrative vestries for burial boards in London by orders of the Local Government Board; and before the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), the execution of the Burial Acts was in many cases in the hands of administrative vestries under orders of the kind. The transfer of the functions of those vestries to the metropolitan borough councils by the Act of 1899 included, of course, their functions under the Burial Acts. The transfer in the case of the remaining elective burial boards was effected by the scheme above referred to in pursuance of s. 4 (2) of the Act of 1899.

(e) Ibid., s. 4 (2).

(f) London (Adoptive Acts) Scheme, 1900, art. 6.
(g) Where the Acts were in force before the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), for a parish with an elective vestry, which was the normal case, the functions of the vestry under the Burial Acts attached to the elective vestry (see Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), s. 8), and consequently passed, under the Act of 1899, to the metropolitan borough council. In cases where the Burial Acts were in force before the Act of 1899 for an area other than such a parish, it is difficult to find any express provision transferring to the borough council or abrogating the functions of the vestry or meeting in the nature of a vestry. Provisions in that behalf may, however, be contained in a scheme under the Act of 1899 relating to the particular borough, and, even in the absence of such provisions, it might be held that the controlling powers of the vestry or meeting had been impliedly abolished. In the case where the Acts are brought into force by Order in Council under the provisions above referred to, there can be little doubt that the metropolitan borough council are free from control by any body in the nature of a vestry.

(h) London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 10; London (Rating) Scheme, 1901, confirmed by Order in Council of March 9, 1901 (Stat. B. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. VIII., London County, p. 84).

but upon the security of the general rate of the parish or parishes in which the Acts are or were at the date of the borrowing in force. But this provision is not to be construed as requiring the Authorities consent or approval of any vestry to any borrowing for the purposes of the Burial Acts (i).

SECT. 6. Burial under Burial Acts for Areas in London.

1046. It seems that a metropolitan borough council cannot, under the general provisions enabling them to acquire land (j), Acquisition of obtain power to take land compulsorily for the purposes of the land. Burial Acts (k). The powers of the council for the acquisition of land for those purposes appear, therefore, to be those of an elective burial board only.

1047. The Corporation of the City of London, as successors of City of the City of London Commissioners of Sewers (1), have the functions London. of a burial board for the City under special legislation to which it is beyond the scope of this title to refer in detail (m).

Sect. 7.—Miscellaneous.

1048. The Local Government Act, 1894 (n), comprises several Provisions on sections containing general provisions as to the consequences of a transfer of transfer of functions from one body to another under that Act (o). under Local These provisions apply where a transfer of the functions of a Government burial board to a parish council, or to a parish council or parish Act, 1894.

(i) London Adoptive Acts (Borrowing) Scheme, 1904, made under the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), and confirmed by Order in Council of January 29, 1904 (Stat. R. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. VIII., p. 548). As to the statutory force of the scheme, which is expressed to have been framed for the removal of doubts, see note (s), p. 500, ante.

(j) London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 5 (2) and Sched. II., Part II., applying s. 65 of the Local Government Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 41).

(k) This is the view taken by the Local Government Board on this subject.

(i) Under the City of London Sewers Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. cxxxiii.).
(m) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 43; City of London Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 35), some provisions of which are repealed by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15); and see the City of London (Union of Parishes)
Act, 1907 (7 Edw. 7, c. cxl.). Provisions as to churchyards and burial grounds
in the City are contained in the City of London Sewers Acts, 1848 and 1851
(11 & 12 Vict. c. clxiii., s. 110; 14 & 15 Vict. c. xci., ss. 32—36). See title METROPOLIS.

(n) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 73.

(o) It is beyond the scope of this article to discuss these sections, as to which see title LOCAL GOVERNMENT. The matters with which they deal are briefly as follows:—S. 67 provides for the automatic transfer of property and liabilities upon the transfer of powers and duties by the Act. S. 68 provides for the adjustment of property etc. by agreement or arbitration between authocouncils and county borough councils large powers for dealing with matters arising out of any alteration of area made by the Act. These powers were held in R. v. Durham County Council (1897), Local Government Chronicle, 1897, p. 70, rities affected by the Act or things done under the Act. S. 69 gives county to extend to altering an area for which the Burial Acts were in force. provides a summary method for the determination of questions as to whether powers etc. are or are not transferred. S. 81 contains provisions as to existing officers of bodies whose functions are transferred, including provisions for the compensation of officers suffering pecuniary loss in consequence of the Act or things done under it. And ss. 85—88 contain savings for current rates and precepts, and the like, pending legal proceedings, existing securities, debts, and contracts, existing bye-laws, orders, and regulations, etc.

SECT. 7. Miscellaneous. meeting jointly with another authority or other authorities, was effected by the direct operation of the Act (p); and some of them apply also where a transfer of the functions of a burial board to a parish council, parish meeting, or urban authority was or is effected in pursuance of the Act (q), though not by its direct operation (r).

Property etc. to be credited to same area notwithstanding transfer of powers.

The Act further contains a special provision that the property, debts, and liabilities of any authority under any of the adoptive Acts (among which the Burial Acts are included (s)) whose powers are transferred in pursuance of the Act shall continue to be the property, debts, and liabilities of the area of that authority, and the proceeds of the property shall be credited, and the debts and liabilities and the expenses incurred in respect of the powers, duties, and liabilities shall be charged, to the account of the rates or contributions levied in that area, and where that area is situate in more than one parish the sums credited to and paid by each parish shall be apportioned in such manner as to give effect to the provision (t).

Provisions on transfer to metropolitan borough councils.

1049. General provisions as to the consequences of transfer of functions from other bodies to the metropolitan borough councils under the London Government Act, 1899 (a), of the same character as the general provisions of the Local Government Act, 1894, mentioned in the preceding paragraph, and applicable with reference to the transfer of the functions of burial authorities in London

(p) By the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (5) or 53 (2). See pp. 493, 494, ante.

(q) Under ibid., s. 53 (1) or s. 62 (1), or by an order of the county council investing a parish meeting with the powers of a parish council for the purposes of the Burial Acts under *ibid.*, s. 19 (10). See pp. 491, 493, 494, ante.

(r) How far the enactments expressed to apply where a change is effected "by" the Act apply where the change is effected by an order made or resolution

a) 62 & 63 Vict. c. 14.

passed in pursuance of the Act is not clear. In R. v. Connah's Quay Overseers, [1901] 2 K. B. 174, Lord ALVERSTONE, C.J., at p. 178, expressed the opinion that s. 67 applied in a case where the functions of a burial board were transferred to an urban authority by resolution under s. 62; but the point was not seriously argued, nor did the decision turn on it.

⁽s) See p. 492, ante. (t) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 53 (3). The subsection probably applies to all cases where the functions of a burial board have been or are transferred either by the direct operation of the Act or by resolution or order under the Act, though, occurring as it does after sub-sections dealing specifically with cases where on or as from the appointed day any of the adoptive Acts were in force for part only of a rural parish, or for an area comprising the whole or part of a rural parish and extending beyond that parish, it might be construed as confined to those cases. As property cannot be vested in an "area," the only construction to be placed on the sub-section which can give it due effect is that the property of the burial board which became vested in the authority or authorities to whom the powers and duties of the burial board were transferred is to be administered for the benefit of the inhabitants of the area. No property vests in a joint committee established under s. 53 (2) of the Act of 1894, and therefore the grant of exclusive rights of burial in the burial ground over which the committee exercise powers, being the grant of an incorporeal hereditament (see p. 474, ante), must be made by the councils etc. appointing the committee, and not by the committee, though the committee may enter into a valid contract for the grant.

to the metropolitan borough councils (b), are contained in that Act and in orders and schemes made under that Act (c).

SECT. 7. Miscellaneous.

1050. Provisions dealing with burial authorities and their areas may be, and frequently are, inserted as consequential provisions in orders dealing with the boundaries of parishes and county districts made by county and county borough councils and confirmed by the Local Government Board under the Local Government Acts, and in provisional orders of the Local Government Board under those Acts dealing with borough and county boundaries (d).

Provisions as to burial authorities in orders as to boundaries.

County councils, and possibly county borough councils, have also powers independently of other alterations of area for altering an area for which the Burial Acts are in force (e).

Alteration of Burial Acts areas.

(b) As to this transfer, see p. 501, ante.

(c) See London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), ss. 29—31, 33 (2); London (Adaptation of Enactments) Order in Council, 1900, of August 7, 1900; London (Miscellaneous) Scheme, 1900, approved by Order in Council of August 7, 1900; London (Financial Arrangements) Scheme, 1900, approved by Order in Council of August 7, 1900; London (Existing Officers) Scheme, 1900, approved by Order in Council of August 7, 1900 (Stat. R. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. VIII., London County, pp. 21, 26, 75, and 139). The provisions of these schemes are in many cases supplemented in regard to a particular metropolitan borough by a special scheme under the Act of 1899 relating to that borough.

(d) As to such orders, see title Local Government. The power to insert

(d) As to such orders, see title Local Government. The power to insert consequential provisions in the orders is derived from s. 59 of the Local Government Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 41), and has been held to extend to dealing with burial authorities (R. v. Durham County Council (1897), Local Government Chronicle, 1897, p. 70). Provisions of the kind are very usual in provisional orders extending boroughs. In R. v. Keighley Overseers (1897), Local Government Chronicle, 1897, p. 47, part of one of two parishes together forming the area of a burial board was transferred to a third parish by an order of a county council containing a provision that nothing therein should "affect the area under the jurisdiction of any burial board"; and afterwards another part of the same parish was transferred to the same third parish by a provisional order containing no reference to burial boards. It was held that neither order had affected the area subject to the jurisdiction of the burial board.

(e) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 53 (4): "The county council on the application of a parish council may, by order, alter the boundaries of any such area if they consider that the alteration can properly be made without any undue alteration of the incidence of liability to rates and contributions or of the right to property belonging to the area, regard being had to any corresponding advantage to persons subject to the liability or entitled to the right." The expression "such area" probably means any area under the adoptive Acts, though it may be read as confined to the cases, particularly dealt with in the earlier part of the section, where the area under the adoptive Acts on the appointed day either formed part only of a rural parish, or, comprising such a parish or part thereof, extended beyond the confines of the parish. The expression "county council" in the Act includes a county borough council (ibid., s. 75). An urban authority, metropolitan borough council, or parish meeting may be invested with the power of making an application under the sub-section (ibid., ss. 19 (10), 33).

Part VII.—Cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879.

SECT. 1.

SECT. 1.—Provision of Cemetery.

Provision of Cemetery.

Power to provide cemetery. Local

authority become a " burial authority."

Provision of cemetery for part of district.

1051. Any local authority within the meaning of the Public Health Acts—i.e., any urban authority or rural district council—may, and if required by the Local Government Board must, provide a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879(f).

A local authority maintaining a cemetery under the Act of 1879 are a "burial authority" within the Burial Act, 1900 (g), and subject to the provisions of that Act as to burial authorities accordingly (h).

1052. An urban authority may provide a cemetery under the Act of 1879 for a part only of their district, and for that purpose may divide their district into parts, and from time to time abolish or alter such divisions, and may make a separate assessment on the

(f) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 31. The Act consists of three sections only. S. 1 provides that the Act shall be construed with the Public Health Act, 1875. S. 2 provides, by sub-s. (1), that "the provisions of the principal Act [the Public Health Act, 1875], as to a place for the reception of the dead before interment, in the principal Act called a mortuary, shall extend to a place for the interment of the dead in this Act called a constant of the dead. place for the interment of the dead, in this Act called a cemetery; and the purposes of the principal Act shall include the acquisition, construction, and maintenance of a cemetery." The remaining sub-sections of a 2 provide that the cemetery may be constructed either within, or subject to certain conditions without, the district of the local authority (see in/ra), and empower the local authority to accept donations of land etc. for the purposes of the cemetery (see p. 507, post). S. 3 provides, without more, that "the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 [10 & 11 Vict. c. 65], shall be incorporated with this Act." The provisions of the Act of 1875 as to mortuaries are contained in ss. 141 and 142 of that Act; but of these the only provisions capable of application to a cemetery are the following provisions in s. 141: "Any local authority may, and if required by the Local Government Board shall, provide and fit up a proper place for the reception of dead bodies before interment (in this Act called a mortuary), and may make bye-laws with respect to the management and charges for the use of the same." The Public Health Act, 1875, does not apply to London (ibid., s. 2), nor consequently does the Act of 1879.

The most important consequences of the incorporation of the Act of 1879 with the Act of 1875 and the provision that the purposes of the Act of 1875 shall be deemed to include the acquisition etc. of a cemetery under the Act of 1879 are that the expenses of the local authority in connection with a cemetery under that Act are defrayable as expenses under the Act of 1875, and that the provisions of the Act of 1875 and the amending Acts as to the acquisition etc. of land, including those as to the compulsory acquisition of land, and as to the borrowing of money, are available with reference to the cemetery

The Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), which, subject to important exceptions introduced by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), applies to cemeteries under the Act of 1879, by virtue of its incorporation with that Act, is discussed at pp. 514 et seq., post, and its provisions as applicable to cemeteries under the Act of 1879 are accordingly referred to very shortly in the present Part of this title.

(q) 63 & 64 Vict. c. 15, s. 11. (h) The provisions of the Act applicable to burial authorities generally are dealt with pp. 450, 462, 466 et seq., 479 et seq., ante, and are accordingly only referred to shortly in the present Part.

part for which the cemetery is provided for the purposes of the cemetery (i).

Provision of Cemetery.

Situation of cemetery.

1053. The local authority may acquire, construct, and maintain a cemetery under the Act of 1879 either wholly or partly within or without their district. If, however, the local authority propose to construct the cemetery or any part of it without their district, they must give notice a certain time before commencing operations, and owners and occupiers of property and others may thereupon take objection, in which case the work is not to be carried out unless sanctioned by the Local Government Board after inquiry (k).

The cemetery must not in any case be constructed within one hundred yards of a dwelling-house without the consent of the owner, lessee, and occupier (l).

1054. The local authority may accept a donation of land or the Donation of purposes of a cemetery under the Act of 1879, and a donation of land or money money or other property for enabling them to acquire, construct, or maintain such a cemetery (m).

The provisions of the Public Health Act, 1875 (n), as to the Acquisition of acquisition of land, including those as to the compulsory acquisition land. of land (o), apply to the acquisition of land by a local authority for the purposes of a cemetery under the Act of 1879 (p). And where s. 95 of the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907 (q), is in force, the local authority may, with the approval of the Local Government Board, and subject to the provisions of that section, appropriate for the purposes of such a cemetery land acquired by the local authority for other purposes, but not required for those purposes.

The provisions also of the Public Health Act, 1875, as to the Alienation of alienation of land, apply to land acquired by a local authority for land.

by such contributory place.

(k) Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), s. 2(2), applying Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), ss. 32-34, which relate to the construction of sewage works by a local authority without their district. See further, as to these sections, title SEWERS AND DRAINS.

(1) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 10, as amended by the Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44), s. 2; and see p. 515, post.
(m) Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), s. 2 (3).

⁽i) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 211 (4). It is not altogether clear that a rural district council can provide a cemetery under the Act of 1879 for part only of their district. The Local Government Board, however, take the view that the council can provide a cemetery for a particular contributory place, or, no doubt, for two or more such places, for in the Board's circular on the Act of August 19, 1879, they say that if the cemetery were provided for a separate contributory place, it would be competent for the Board to order the expenses to be special expenses, in which case they would be borne

⁽n) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55. (o) S. 6 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), contains provisions as to the application of the Lands Clauses Acts where the compulsory acquisition of land for the purpose of a cemetery is authorised, but it is questionable whether the section is applicable with regard to a cemetery under the Act of 1879, and whether, if applicable, it adds anything to the provisions of the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55).

⁽p) See note (f), p. 506, ante. (q) 7 Edw. 7, c. 53, s. 95.

SECT. 1. Cemetery.

the purposes of a cemetery under the Act of 1879 (r); and there are Provision of enactments which in some cases authorise the appropriation of the land by the local authority for other purposes, if not required for the purposes of the cemetery (a). The powers of the local authority for the alienation or appropriation of land acquired for a cemetery are, however, subject to some restrictions.

Restrictions as to consecrated land.

In the first place, there is a statutory provision to the effect that if the land has been consecrated or used for the burial of the dead, it must not be sold or disposed of, or used for any purpose other than that of a cemetery (b); but this prohibition is to some extent overridden by later legislation (c). Again, consecrated land cannot be divested of its sacred character except by statute, and this doctrine operates to prevent the use of the land for purposes other than those for which a faculty can be obtained (d).

Unconsecrated ground set apart for burial.

There is also a statutory provision to the effect that unconsecrated ground which is maintained by a burial authority and set apart for burial must not be applied to any other purpose except by leave of the Local Government Board (e).

Lastly, if the land has once been set aside for the purposes of interment, even though never actually used for that purpose, statutory provisions apply which in general prevent its being used for building either in the hands of the local authority or their assignees (f).

Maintenance of cemetery.

1055. The local authority may lay out and embellish the cemetery, and are required to maintain it. They have powers for the construction and improvement of roads in connection with the cemetery. They are required to drain the cemetery, and have special powers for this purpose, and they are subjected to heavy liabilities if they permit water to be fouled by offensive matter from the cemetery (g).

Damage in exercise of powers.

1056. In the exercise of their statutory powers as to the cemetery the local authority must do as little damage as possible, and they are to make compensation for damage done in the exercise of those powers (h).

Bye-laws.

1057. The local authority may, in accordance with the provisions of the Public Health Act, 1875, as to bye-laws (i), make bye-laws

⁽r) See note (f), p. 506, ante.
(a) E.g., Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907 (7 Edw. 7, c. 53), s. 95; Electric Lighting Clauses Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 19), Schedule, s. 8.
(b) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 9, as applied by the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31); and see p. 515, post.
(c) E.g., by the provisions of the Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), as to which see pp. 533 et seq., post, authorising the conveyance or utilisation of a hurial ground for the purpose of an open space.

burial ground for the purpose of an open space.

⁽d) See pp. 423, 424, ante.

⁽e) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 6.

⁽f) See pp. 532, 533, post. (g) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 11—14, 16, 18—22; and see pp. 516, 517, post.

⁽h) Ibid., s. 17; and see p. 516, post. (i) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), ss. 182—186. As to these sections, which regulate the method of making bye-laws under the Act of 1875

with respect to the management of the cemetery and the charges for its use (k). The Local Government Board have issued a series Provision of of model bye-laws of the kind (l); and the bye-laws in force with regard to cemeteries under the Act of 1879 usually closely follow the model series.

SECT. 1. Cemetery.

1058. There is no special enactment as to the rating of cemeteries Rating. under the Act of 1879 similar to that applicable to burial grounds under the Burial Acts, and the rating of such cemeteries is accordingly entirely governed by the general law (m).

Sect. 2.—Burials in Cemeteries, Consecration etc.

1059. The local authority may apply to the bishop to consecrate Consecration any portion of the cemetery approved by the Secretary of State; of portion of and if they do not so apply upon request, the Secretary of State may make the application in their stead (n). There is not, however, as in the case of a burial ground under the Burial Acts (o), any provision for appeal if the application is rejected by the bishop.

cemetery.

1060. In the case of a cemetery provided since the Burial Act, Allotting 1900, the unconsecrated part of the cemetery must be allotted by the unconsecrated local authority in such manner and in such portions as may be portion. sanctioned by the Secretary of State (p).

1061. The local authority may at their own expense erect a chapel Erection of (not to be consecrated or reserved for a particular denomination) chapel. in any part of the cemetery which is not consecrated or reserved exclusively for a particular denomination, and further chapel accommodation may be provided at private expense (q).

and the Acts incorporated therewith, and, inter alia, render the bye-laws subject to confirmation by the Local Government Board, see title Local GOVERNMENT

(k) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 141, as applied by the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), s. 2 (1). See note (f),

(1) Series 14. See Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 130. The subjectmatters dealt with in the model bye-laws are—the structure of vaults; the prohibition of the burial at one time of more than one body in one grave, except in the case of members of one family; the minimum period within which graves may be reopened for fresh burials; the depth of graves; the separation of coffins from one another; the closing of vaults after burial therein; the turfing or other covering of graves after burial; the prevention of the interruption of burials by violent or indecent behaviour; the charges to be made by the local authority in respect of burials.

(m) Subject to what is stated in the text, the observations as to the rating of burial grounds under the Burial Acts (see pp. 465, 466, ante), and on the question whether burial grounds are extra commercium (see p. 465, ante), apply

also to cemeteries under the Act of 1879.
(n) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 1; Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 23, 24; and see p. 466, ante; p. 517, post.

(o) See p. 467, ante.

(p) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 9, applying s. 7 of the Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134). The effect of these provisions is fully stated at p. 467, ante. See also Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 35; and p. 519, post.

(q) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 2; and see p. 468, ante. Formerly a local authority providing a cemetery under the Act of 1879 were required, if any part of the cemetery was consecrated, to provide a chapel on the consecrated SECT. 2.
Burials in
Cemeteries,
Consecration etc.

Ministers' fees.
Incumbents' obligation to perform service.

Chaplains appointed before 1900.

Performance of service by other person in holy orders. 1062. A table of fees payable for services rendered in the cemetery by any incumbent or minister of religion is to be settled by the local authority subject to the approval of the Secretary of State, or may be settled by the latter on default of the local authority; and the fees so settled are to be collected by the local authority and paid over by them (r).

1063. The incumbent of any ecclesiastical parish situate wholly or partly within the area for which a cemetery is provided under the Act of 1879 is with respect to his own parishioners and persons dying in his parish under the same obligation to perform funeral services in the cemetery as he is to perform funeral services in a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts (s).

Before the Burial Act, 1900, a local authority maintaining a cemetery under the Act of 1879 of which any part was consecrated were required to appoint a chaplain to officiate in that part of the cemetery; and the chaplain was, when required, to perform the burial service over bodies buried there (t). The power to appoint a chaplain is abrogated by the Act of 1900 (a); but that Act makes no provision with regard to chaplains previously appointed, and there may, accordingly, be cases where a chaplain appointed for a cemetery under the Act of 1879 before the Act of 1900 is still in office.

Any clerk in holy orders not under ecclesiastical censure, nor prohibited by the bishop, at the request of the executor of the deceased or other person having charge of the burial, and with the consent of the chaplain, if any, or otherwise of the bishop, may perform the burial service in the consecrated part of the ground (b).

part for the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Established Church by s. 25 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), but this obligation was abolished by s. 2 (4) of the Act of 1900. S. 11 of the Act of 1847 contains a general provision authorising the erection of chapels in the cemetery at the expense of the "company"; but this provision must now, in the case of a cemetery under the Act of 1879, be read unconsecrated chapels in the cemetery, see Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847, s. 36; and p. 519, post.

s. 36; and p. 519, post.

(r) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3 (1)—(3); and see pp. 479, 480, ante. These sub-sections extend in terms to fees payable to sextons as well as incumbents and ministers; but, as sextons are not required or entitled to officiate in cemeteries under the Act of 1879, the reference to sextons' fees should perhaps be taken as impliedly confined to burial grounds under the Burial Acts. The provisions of s. 3 of the Burial Act, 1900, as to fees other than for services rendered, are inapplicable to cemeteries under the Act of 1879, as fees of the kind were never payable in the case of such cemeteries.

(s) Ibid., s. 7. As to the obligation of an incumbent to perform funeral services in a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts, see pp. 469 et seq., ante. An incumbent performing a service will be entitled to the fee prescribed under s. 3 of the Act of 1900.

(t) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 27, 28; and see p. 518, post. As to the chaplain's stipend, see ibid., ss. 30, 31; and p. 518, post.

(a) 63 & 64 Vict. c. 15, s. 7.

(b) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 29. It appears to be implied that the burial service cannot be lawfully performed in the consecrated part of the cemetery except by the chaplain, if any, or by a person in

Digitized by Google

1064. Burials may take place in the consecrated part of the cemetery without the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England, in like manner and subject to the same provisions and conditions as such burials may take place in a burial ground provided by a burial board (c).

SECT. 2. Burials in Cemeteries, Consecration etc.

1065. The local authority may appoint gravediggers and other Various servants for the purposes of the cemetery (d), and, apparently, a clerk to assist in the performance of the burial service in the consecrated part of the cemetery (e). They must make regulations for securing the proper conduct of burials in the cemetery (f); they may set apart a portion of the cemetery for the purpose of granting exclusive rights of burial therein, and may sell such rights and also rights of placing monuments etc. in the cemetery or in a chapel or building therein (g); and they may remove monuments etc. placed in the cemetery without their consent (h).

authority.

The bishop has, however, a certain control over monumental Bishop's inscriptions in the consecrated part of the cemetery (i).

rights as to monumental inscriptions.

hely orders acting with the consent of the chaplain or bishop, as stated in the text, or, in the case of his own parishioners and persons dying in his parish, by the incumbent of an ecclesiastical parish situate in the area for which the cemetery is provided. In the absence of statutory authority, it would be contrary to ecclesiastical law for any person to officiate other than the incumbent of the parish in which the cemetery is situate or his licensee. See note (g), p. 472, ante. And the rights of the incumbent of that parish as such appear to be impliedly abrogated to the extent above indicated. It has been doubted whether s. 29 of the Act of 1847 is not impliedly repealed as regards cemeteries under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), by s. 7 of the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), which casts upon incumbents of parishes situate within the area for which the cemetery is provided the obligation to perform funeral services stated in the text, but does not in terms confer upon them the right to perform such services which is conferred upon incumbents by s. 32 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85). As the earning of the fee depends upon performing the service, it may be thought that the incumbent upon whom the statutory obligation of performing the service is cast has a right to earn the fee. On the other hand, it may be considered that the necessity of obtaining the bishop's consent is a sufficient safeguard to the incumbent, as the bishop might make it a term of his consent that the officiating minister should pay over the fee for services rendered to the incumbent who would otherwise perform the service.

(c) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 9, applying the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), as amended, to cemeteries provided by a local authority. For the provisions of the Act of 1880, see pp. 424,

et seq., ante.
(d) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 37; and see p. 519, post. Sextons of parishes within the area for which a cemetery is provided by a local authority have no rights in respect of burials in the cemetery corresponding with those of sextons of parishes for which a burial ground is provided under the Burial Acts, as to which see pp. 469 et seq., 473, ante.

(e) Ibid., s. 34; and see p. 519, post.

f) Ibid., s. 38; and see p. 519, post. The power to make regulations is in

addition to their power, referred to at p. 508, ante, to make bye-laws.

(g) 1bid., ss. 40—49; and see pp. 520, 521, post. These sections contain detailed provisions as to the form and effect of grants of the kind and the registration thereof.

(h) Ibid., s. 50; and see p. 522, post.
(i) Ibid., s. 51; and see p. 522, post.

SECT. 2.
Burials in
Cemeteries,
Consecra-

Burials are not to take place in any vault under or within fifteen feet of a chapel of the cemetery (k).

tion etc.
Liability of

offenders.

Sect. 3 .- Protection of Cemetery; Accounts of Local Authority etc.

1066. Persons injuring the cemetery etc. or guilty of various forms of misconduct therein are subject to penalties recoverable in a summary way (l), and the offender is liable to immediate arrest if his name and address are not known (m).

Publication of particulars of offences.

The local authority are required to publish on notice boards particulars of the offences punishable summarily under the statutes and bye-laws applicable to the cemetery, and failure on their part in this respect precludes the recovery of penalties in respect of such offences (n).

Accounts.

1067. The local authority are required, under penalties, to send a copy of their accounts in relation to the cemetery to the clerk of the peace annually (o).

Part VIII.—Private Cemeteries.

Establishment of private cemeterics. 1068. Any person may provide and keep a cemetery for the interment of the dead, though in some localities not without the sanction of the Local Government Board (p), provided that no nuisance is caused thereby (q); and burial grounds, especially for the use of particular religious communities other than the Church of England, are frequently provided without statutory authority. As, however, it would not be practicable to obtain the consecration of any cemetery so established by a private person or by a company without any safeguards for its proper maintenance and regulation, it is necessary, when it is desired that any part of the cemetery should be consecrated, to obtain a private Act authorising its establishment.

Altogether between thirty and forty Acts of the kind, besides amending Acts, have been passed (r). The Acts passed since 1847

incorporated. See pp. 523 et seq., post.

(m) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 154, incorporated with the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), as stated in the preceding note; and see pp. 524, 525, post.

(n) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 143.
(o) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 60; and see p. 523, post.

(p) See pp. 525 et seq., post.
(q) See Cowley (Lord) v. Byas (1877), 5 Ch. D. 944, C. A. In that case it was assumed, as had been decided by MALINS, V.-C., in Greenwood v. Wadsworth (1873), L. R. 16 Eq. 288, that actual interment in a private cemetery within a certain distance of a dwelling-house was prohibited by s. 9 of the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128); but the correctness of this decision is generally doubted. See note (p), p. 464, ante.

(r) The first Act of the kind appears to be the statute 2 & 3 Will. 4, c. cx., passed

⁽k) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 39; and see p. 519, post. (l) Ibid., ss. 58, 59; and see ibid., s. 62, and the provisions of the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), ss. 140—160, as thereby incorporated. See pp. 523 et seq., post.

all incorporate the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (s), with some modifications and additions; and the earlier Acts contain provisions of the same character as those in that Act.

PART VIII. **Private** Cemeteries.

1069. A company maintaining a cemetery under a local Act of Liabilities of the usual type are the "occupiers" of the cemetery, including parts companies thereof consisting of plots conveyed to purchasers for graves, cemeteries to and liable to be rated as such (t). They are also "owners" of the rates etc. land within statutes such as the Metropolis Management Acts and the Public Health Acts, for the purposes of which "owner" is defined in effect as meaning the person receiving the rack-rent of the premises, or who would receive the rack-rent if the premises were let at a rack-rent, and are liable to statutory burdens imposed on "owners" of land by such statutes accordingly (a).

If a cemetery company receive lump sums in any year by Liability to way of commutation of annual payments for keeping graves in income tax. repair, such lump sums are assessable to income tax under Sched. A, No. 3, r. 3, of the Income Tax Act, 1842 (b), as being part of the annual profits of the company (c).

1070. A cemetery company must provide for the due registration Registration of burials in their cemetery (d).

1071. Where under any local Act fees on interments in any Fees payable burial ground of any parish other than a burial ground maintained to churchby a burial authority are payable to the churchwardens of such for incumparish, or to any trustees or other persons, for the purpose of bent's stipend. enabling them to pay an annuity or stipend to the incumbent or minister, the fees which under any Act relating to any cemetery company would on the interment in the cemetery of any company of any body brought from such parish be payable to such incumbent or minister are payable to the said churchwardens, trustees, or persons, and any surplus of such fees which may remain in their hands after payment of such annuity or stipend is payable to such incumbent or minister (e).

to authorise the formation of Kensal Green Cemetery, and the last the statute

(t) R. v. St. Mary Abbot's, Kensington (Inhabitants) (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 824; R. v. Abney Park Cemetery Co. (1873), L. R. 8 Q. B. 515.

(b) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 35. See title INCOME TAX.

^{18 &}amp; 19 Vict. c. clix.
(s) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65. This Act, which is incorporated not only with a certain number of private Acts, but also with the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31) (as to which see pp. 506 et seq., ante), is discussed at pp. 514 et seq., post. The Act applies only when expressly incorporated with some subsequent Act, differing in this respect from some of the other "clauses" Acts.

⁽a) St. Giles, Camberwell, Vestry v. London Cemetery Co., [1894] 1 Q. B. 699 (liability of frontagers to contribute to the expenses of paving new streets under the Metropolis Management Acts).

⁽c) Paisley Cometery Co., Ltd. v. Reith (Surveyor of Taxes) (1898), 63 J. P. 806. But it might be otherwise if the company contracted to set aside the lump sum and apply the interest arising therefrom in keeping the graves in order (ibid.).

(d) See p. 559, post.

⁽r) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 50, as extended by the Burial Act. 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 7, and restricted by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 12, and Sched. II.

Part IX.—The Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847.

SECT. 1.

Application and Construction of Act.

Incorporation with other Acts.

SECT. 1.—Application and Construction of Act.

1072. The Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (f), which was passed, like other "clauses" Acts, with the object of providing a statutory code which could be applied in particular cases by special legislation, is in force only where and so far as it is incorporated with some subsequent Act. Its clauses, where and as so incorporated, are to be read with the clauses of the incorporating Act as one Act(q).

The Act has been incorporated with all the local Acts authorising the establishment of cemeteries subsequently passed (h); it is also incorporated with the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (i), and thus applies, subject to important modifications made by legislation subsequent to the Act of 1879, to cemeteries provided

by local authorities under that Act(k).

Like the other "clauses" Acts passed about the same time, the Act of 1847 contains a number of groups of clauses each with a heading stating generally the subject-matter with which the clauses therein comprised deal; and parts of the Act may be incorporated by reference to such headings (l).

Definitions.

1073. Besides definitions of a formal character (m), the Act of 1847 contains the following definitions (n), to which it is necessary to refer:-

"Special Act" is defined as meaning the incorporating Act.

"Prescribed" is in effect defined as meaning prescribed by the

"The lands" is defined as meaning the lands by the special Act

authorised to be taken or used for the purposes thereof.

"The company" is defined as meaning the person by the special

Act authorised to construct the cemetery.

"The cemetery" is defined as meaning the cemetery or burial ground, and the works connected therewith, by the special Act authorised to be constructed.

In the ensuing account of the provisions of the Act, the language of the Act is followed, so that the above expressions must be understood to be used in accordance with the above definitions.

⁽f) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65.

The section is wordy, but seems to mean no more than is (g) Ibid., s. 1. stated in the text.

⁽h) See pp. 512, 513, ante.

^{(1) 42 &}amp; 43 Vict. c. 31.

⁽k) As to such cemeteries generally, see pp. 506 et seq., ante.
(l) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 5.
(m) The expressions defined in addition to those mentioned in the text are "person," "lands," "month," "superior courts," "oath," "Established Church," "county," "justice," "two justices," and "quarter sessions." The Act also contains provisions of the usual kind as to the construction of the usual kind as to the constr words importing the singular or plural and words importing the masculine gender.

⁽n) Ibid., ss. 2, 3.

SECT. 2.—Making of Cemetery (o).

1074. Where by the special Act the company are empowered, for the purpose of making the cemetery, to take or use lands otherwise than with the consent of the owners and occupiers thereof, they are, in exercising the power so given to them, subject to the land. provisions and restrictions contained in the Act of 1847 and the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (p), and are to make to the owners and occupiers of and all other parties interested in any lands taken or used for the purposes of the special Act, or injuriously affected by the construction of the works thereby authorised, full compensation for the value of the lands so taken or used, and for all damage sustained by such owners, occupiers, or other parties, by reason of the exercise as regards such lands of the powers vested in the company by the Act of 1847 or the special Act, or any Act incorporated therewith, and, except where otherwise provided by the Act of 1847 or the special Act, the amount of such compensation is to be determined in manner provided by the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (q), for determining questions of compensation with regard to lands purchased or taken under the provisions thereof; and all the provisions of the Act of 1845 are applicable to determine the amount of such compensation, and to enforce payment or other satisfaction thereof (r).

SECT. 2. Making of Cemetery.

Compulsory acquisition of

1075. Provision is made for the correction by a certificate of Correction of justices of omissions and errors in the special Act as regards lands errors in described therein as intended to be taken, and for the proof of special Act. such certificates (s).

1076. The company may not sell or dispose of any land which Land used for has been consecrated or used for burial, or make use of such be used for be used for land for any purpose other than such as is authorised by the Act other purof 1847 or the special Act or any Act incorporated therewith (t).

1077. No part of the cemetery may be constructed nearer to any Proximity to dwelling-house than the prescribed distance, or if no distance is dwellingprescribed, 200 yards, except with the consent in writing of the owner, lessee, and occupier of such house (a). The distance is to

⁽c) Ss. 6—17 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), are headed "With respect to the Making of the Cemetery."

⁽p) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18. See further, title COMPULSORY PURCHASE AND COMPENSATION.

⁽q) Ibid.

⁽r) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 6. (s) Ibid., ss. 7, 8.

⁽t) Ibid., s. 9. As to the application of the section in the case of a local

authority acquiring land for the purposes of the Public Health (Interments)

Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see p. 508, ante.

(a) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 10. In the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), the prescribed distance is now 100 yards (Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44), s. 2). The prohibition is against construction of the cemetery, and not, like the corresponding prohibition in the case of a burial ground under the like the corresponding prohibition in the case of a burial ground under the Burial Acts (as to which see p. 464, ante), against interment.

SECT. 2. Making of Cemetery. be measured from the walls of the dwelling-house, not from the boundary of the curtilage adjoining the house (b).

Power to build chapels and lay out grounds. 1078. The company may build upon any land which by the special Act they are authorised to use for the purposes of the cemetery such chapels for the performance of the burial service as they think fit, and may lay out and embellish the grounds of the cemetery as they think fit (c).

Making or improvement of roads.

1079. The company, upon any land purchased by them under the Act of 1847 or the special Act, or any Act incorporated therewith, may make new roads to the cemetery, or widen or improve any existing roads thereto, which they think fit; but they may not widen or improve any private road without the consent of the owner thereof, or any public road without the consent of the persons in whom the management of the road is vested by law (d). The company and the owners or persons having the management of any such road may enter into such agreements as they think fit for enabling the company to widen or improve any such road, and for maintaining the same (e).

Inclosure or fencing.

1080. Every part of the cemetery is to be inclosed by walls or other sufficient fences of the prescribed materials and dimensions, and, if no materials or dimensions be prescribed, by substantial walls or iron railings of the height of eight feet at least (f).

Repair.

1081. The company are to keep the cemetery and the buildings and fences thereof in complete repair, and in good order and condition, out of the moneys to be received by them by virtue of the Act of 1847 and the special Act (g).

Compensation for damage.

1082. In the exercise of the powers by the Act of 1847 and the special Act granted to the company they are to do as little damage as can be, and are to make full compensation to all parties interested for all damage sustained by them through the exercise of such powers (h).

(b) Wright v. Wallasey Local Board (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 783.

(d) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 12, 13.

(a) Completeles Clauses Act, 1847 (10 td 11 vict. c. 05), ss. 12, (e) Ibid., s. 14.

(g) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 16.
(h) Ibid., s. 17. In the case of a company with whose special Act the Act of 1847 is incorporated, compensation under this section would apparently, in the absence of provisions to the contrary in the special Act, be assessable and recoverable before justices (subject to appeal to quarter sessions) under the provisions of the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20) (as to which see note (m), p. 524, post), incorporated with the Act of 1847 by s. 62 of that Act. See, however, Fletcher v. Birkenhead Corporation, [1907] 1 K. B. 205, C. A., where it appears to have been assumed that the machinery of the Lands Clauses Acts was applicable to the assessment of compensation under s. 12 of the Waterworks Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 17), the provisions of

which as to compensation correspond closely with those of s. 17 of the Cemeteries

Digitized by Google

⁽c) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 11. As to chapels in the case of a cemetery provided under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see p. 509, ante.

⁽f) Ibid., s. 15. This provision does not apply to a burial ground provided under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31) (Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 10).

Sect. 3.—Prevention of Nuisances (i).

1083. The company are required to provide for the proper drainage of the cemetery, and are enabled, subject to obtaining certain consents, to connect their drains with sewers; and the provisions of the Waterworks Clauses Act, 1847 (k), as to breaking Drainage of up streets to lay pipes, are applied for this purpose (l).

Penalties recoverable by action are imposed on the company if Fouling of they cause or permit streams etc. to be fouled by offensive matter streams etc. from the cemetery, and the company are also expressly declared liable in damages in such case to any person entitled to use the water (m).

SECT. 3. Prevention Nuisances.

Sect. 4.—Burials in the Cemetery (n).

1084. The bishop of the diocese in which the cemetery is situated Consecration may, on the application of the company, consecrate any portion of part of of the cemetery set apart for the burial of the dead according to the rites of the Established Church, if he is satisfied with the title of the company to such portion, and thinks fit to consecrate such portion; and the part which is so consecrated is (subject to the provisions of the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880(o)) to be used only for burials according to the rites of the Established Church (p). The company are to define by suitable marks the consecrated and unconsecrated portions of the cemetery (q).

Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65). In the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), it may be, in view of the incorporation of that Act with the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), that the machinery of the Act of 1875 (ss. 179—181) is applicable with regard to compensation under s. 17 of the Act of 1847.

regard to compensation under s. 17 of the Act of 1847.

(i) Ss. 18—22 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), are headed "With respect to preventing Nuisance from the Cemetery."

(k) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 17, ss. 28—34. As to these sections, see title WATER SUPPLY. The procedure with regard to the assessment and recovery of compensation, damages, and penalties under these provisions of the Waterworks Clauses Act, 1847, as incorporated with the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), is, in the absence of provisions to the contrary in the gracuit Act regulated by the previsions of the Railways Clauses Conin the special Act, regulated by the provisions of the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), ss. 140—160, incorporated with the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847, by s. 62 of that Act. See note (m), p. 524, post. In the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), however, it may be, in view of the incorporation of that Act with the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), that the machinery of the Act of 1875 is applicable to these matters instead of that of the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845.

(1) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 18, 19.

(m) Ibid., ss. 20—22. The company might also be liable to proceedings under the Rivers Pollution Prevention Acts and at common law. As to the liability at common law, see Ballard v. Tomlinson (1885), 29 Ch. D. 115, C. A.; Womersley v. Church (1867), 17 L. T. 190; and generally, titles Nuisance;

WATERS AND WATERCOURSES.
(n) Ss. 23—39 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), are headed "With respect to Burials in the Cemetery."

(o) 43 & 44 Vict. c. 41; and see pp. 424 et seq., ante. (p) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 23. consecration of a portion of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments)
Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see p. 509, ante.

(q) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 24.

SECT. 4. Burials in the Cemetery.

Removal of bodies from consecrated ground.

Appointment and licensing of chaplain.

Burial service over bodies buried in consecrated ground.

Other clergymen may officiate.

Chaplain's stipend.

1085. The company are to build within the consecrated part of the cemetery, and according to a plan approved by the bishop of the diocese, a chapel for the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Established Church (r).

1086. No body buried in the consecrated part of the cemetery may be removed from its place of burial without the like authority as is by law required for the removal of any body buried in the churchyard belonging to a parish church (s).

1087. The company are from time to time, with the approval of the bishop of the diocese in which the cemetery is situated, to appoint a clerk in holy orders to officiate as chaplain in the consecrated part of the cemetery; and such chaplain is to be licensed by, and is subject to the jurisdiction of, the bishop, and the bishop has power to revoke any such licence and to remove such chaplain for any cause which appears to him reasonable (t).

The chaplain is when required, unless prevented by sickness or other reasonable cause, to perform the burial service over all bodies brought to be buried in the consecrated part of the cemetery which are entitled to be buried in consecrated ground according to the rites and usage of the Established Church (a).

1088. Any clerk in holy orders of the Established Church, not being prohibited by the bishop nor under ecclesiastical censure, at the request of the executor of the will of any deceased person or any other person having the charge of the burial of the body of any deceased person, and with the consent of the chaplain for the time being of the cemetery, or if there be no chaplain with the consent of the bishop, may perform the burial service over the body in the consecrated part of the cemetery (b).

1089. The company, out of the moneys to be received by virtue of the Act of 1847 and the special Act, are to allow the chaplain such a stipend as is approved of by the bishop of the diocese in which the cemetery is situated; and there are provisions for the payment of the stipend half-yearly, for the apportionment of the stipend in the case of a broken half-year, and for the recovery of the stipend by action (c).

(a) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 28. See the preceding

(c) I bid., ss. 30, 31. See note (t), supra.

⁽r) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 25. This provision does not apply to a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31) (Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 2 (4)). As to chapel accommodation in such a cemetery, see p. 509, ante.
(a) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 26.

disinterment, see pp. 553 et seq., post.

(t) Ibid., s. 27. The power of a burial authority acting under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), to appoint a chaplain under this section was taken away by s. 7 of the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15). As to the performance of the burial service in the consecrated part of a cemetery under the Act of 1879, see p. 510, ante.

note. (b) Ibid., s. 29. As to the application of the section to cemeteries under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see note (b), pp. 510.

1090. There are special provisions for the registration of burials in the cemetery (d), which will be noticed later.

SECT. 4. Burials in the Cemetery.

1091. The company may, with the consent of the chaplain for the time being, from time to time appoint a clerk to assist in performing the service for burials in the consecrated part of the cemetery, and allow to such clerk such stipend as they think proper out of the moneys to be received by virtue of the Act of 1847 and the special Act. and they may remove such clerk at their pleasure (e).

Appointment of clerk.

1092. The company may set apart the whole or a portion of the Burial of unconsecrated part of the cemetery as a place of burial for the bodies persons not of persons not being members of the Established Church, and may Established allow such bodies to be buried therein, subject to such regulations Church. as the company appoint (f).

1093. The company may allow, in any chapel built within the Services in unconsecrated part of the cemetery, a burial service to be performed chapel on according to the rites of any church or congregation other than the Established Church by any minister of such church or congregation duly authorised by law to officiate in such church or congregation, or recognised as such by the religious community or society to which he belongs (g).

ground.

1094. The company may appoint gravediggers and other servants Appointment necessary for the care and use of the cemetery, and may pay them such wages and allowances as they think fit out of the moneys to be received by virtue of the Act of 1847 and the special Act, and may remove them or any of them at pleasure (h).

of gravediggers etc.

1095. The company are to make regulations for ensuring that all Regulations. burials within the cemetery are conducted in a decent and solemn manner (i).

1096. No body may be buried in any vault under any chapel of No burials the cemetery, or within fifteen feet of the outer wall of any such near chapels. chapel (k).

(d) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 32, 33; and see pp. 559, 560, post.

unconsecrated part of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see p. 509, ante.

(g) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s 36. As to chapel accommodation in the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments)
Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), see p. 509, ante.

(h) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 37. A bye-law,

made by a cemetery company under provisions in their special Act, prohibiting the admission of a discharged servant into the cemetery, and authorising the removal of such person if found within the cemetery, is not unreasonable (Martin v. Wyatt (1883), 48 J. P. 215).

(i) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 38.

(k) 1 bid., s. 39.

⁽e) Ibid., s. 34. It seems that a local authority maintaining a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), may appoint a clerk under this section notwithstanding that they no longer have power to appoint a chaplain. Payment of a stipend, however, to such clerk, though not in terms forbidden by ss. 5 and 7 of the Burial Act, 1900 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 15), is in opposition to the principles of those sections.

(f) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 35. As to the

SECT. 5.

Exclusive
Rights of
Burial,
Monuments,
Inscriptions
etc.

Grant of exclusive right of burial in specified part of cemetery. Plan of parts set apart and

book of

reference.

Sect. 5.—Exclusive Rights of Burial, Monuments, Inscriptions etc. (1).

1097. The company may set apart such parts of the cemetery as they think fit for the purpose of granting exclusive rights of burial therein, and they may sell either in perpetuity or for a limited time, and subject to such conditions as they think fit, the exclusive right of burial in any parts of the cemetery so set apart or the right of one or more burials therein, and they may sell the right of placing any monument or gravestone in the cemetery or any tablet or monumental inscription on the walls of any chapel or other building within the cemetery (m).

1098. The company are to cause a plan of the cemetery to be made upon a scale sufficiently large to show the situation of every burial-place in all the parts of the cemetery so set apart, and in which an exclusive right of burial has been granted; and all such burial-places must be numbered, and such numbers entered in a book, which must contain the names and descriptions of the several persons to whom the exclusive right of burial in any such place of burial has been granted by the company; and no place of burial, with exclusive right of burial therein, may be made in the cemetery without the same being marked out in such plan, and a corresponding entry made in the book. The plan and book are to be kept by the clerk of the company (n)

Form of grant.

1099. The grant of the exclusive right of burial in any part of the cemetery, either in perpetuity or for a limited time, and of the right of one or more burials therein, or of placing therein any monument, tablet, or gravestone, may be made in the form scheduled to the Act of 1847, or to the like effect, and where the company are not incorporated it may be executed by the company or any two or more of them (o).

Register of grants.

1100. A register of all such grants is to be kept by the clerk to the company, and within fourteen days after the date of any such grant an entry or memorial of the date thereof and of the parties thereto, and also of the consideration for such grant, and also a proper description of the ground described in such grant, so as the situation thereof may be ascertained, is to be made by the clerk in such register. The register may be perused at all reasonable times by any grantee or assignee of any right conveyed in such grant upon payment of the prescribed sum, or if no sum be prescribed, one shilling, to the clerk of the company (p).

Grant is personal estate. 1101. The exclusive right of burial in any such place of burial is, whether granted in perpetuity or for a limited time, to be considered

(p) Ibid., s. 43.

⁽¹⁾ Ss. 40—51 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), are headed "With respect to exclusive Rights of Burial, and Monumental Inscriptions, in the Cemetery."

⁽m) Ibid., s. 40.

⁽n) Ibid., s. 41.
(o) Ibid., s. 42, and Schedule. For form of grant, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 145.

as the personal estate of the grantee, and may be assigned in his

lifetime or bequeathed by his will (q).

Every such assignment made in the lifetime of the assignor is to be by deed duly stamped, in which the consideration is to be duly set forth, and may be in the form scheduled to the Act of 1847, or to the like effect (r).

The assignment is within six months after the execution thereof if executed in Great Britain or Ireland, or within six months after the arrival thereof in Great Britain or Ireland if executed elsewhere, to be produced to the clerk of the company, and an entry or memorial of such assignment is to be made in the register by the clerk of the company, in the same manner as that of the original grant; and until such entry or memorial, no right of burial is acquired under any such memorial (s).

An entry or memorial of the probate of every will by which the Register of exclusive right of burial within the cemetery is bequeathed, and, in case there be any specific disposition of such exclusive right of burial in the will, an entry of such disposition, is within six months after the probate of the will to be made in the register, in the same manner as that of the original grant; and until such entry, no right of exclusive burial is acquired under the will (t).

For every entry or memorial of a grant, assignment, or probate Fees on entry as above mentioned there is payable to the clerk of the company in register. such sum as the company think fit not exceeding the prescribed sum, or if no sum be prescribed, the sum of two shillings and sixpence (a).

1102. No body may be buried in any place wherein the exclusive No burial right of burial has been granted by the company, except with the without consent in place consent of the owner for the time being of such exclusive right of where excluburial (b).

1103. No such grant as has been mentioned gives the right to No right to bury within the consecrated part of the cemetery the body of any burial in person not entitled to be buried in consecrated ground according consecrated ground to the rites and usage of the Established Church, or to place conferred. any monument, gravestone, tablet, or monumental inscription respecting any such body within the consecrated part of the cemetery (c).

SECT. 5. Exclusive Rights of Burial, Monuments, Inscriptions etc.

Form of assignment of grant. Register of assignments.

wills disposing of right.

sive right granted.

⁽q) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 44. If the grant be made to the grantee and his heirs, it is doubtful whether this section would have any operation. Semble the right would be exercisable according to the terms of the grant (Matthews v. Jeffery (1880), 6 Q. B. D. 290).

(r) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 45, and Schedule.

For form of assignment, see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 146.
(s) *Ibid.*, s. 46. Semble, the last word of this provision should be "assignment," not "memorial," to carry out the obvious intention of the section.

⁽a) Ibid., ss. 43, 46, 47. The case of a grantee dying intestate does not appear to have been considered. If in such a case there were numerous next of kin, considerable difficulty would arise as to who was the "owner" under s. 48.

⁽b) Ibid., s. 48. (c) Ibid., s. 49.

SECT. 5. Exclusive Rights of Burial. Monuments, Inscriptions

etc.

Bishop's right to object to monuments in consecrated ground.

1104. The company may take down and remove any gravestone, monument, tablet, or monumental inscription which has been placed within the cemetery without their authority (d).

1105. The bishop of the diocese in which the cemetery is situated and all persons acting under his authority have the same right and power to object to the placing, and to procure the removal, of any monumental inscription within the consecrated part of the cemetery as he by law has to object to or procure the removal of any monumental inscription in any church or chapel of the Established Church, or the burial ground belonging to such church or chapel, or any other consecrated ground (e).

Sect. 6.—Payments to Incumbents and Parish Clerks (f).

Payment to incumbent of parish whence body brought.

1106. The company are, on the burial of every body within the consecrated part of the cemetery, to pay to the incumbent for the time being of the parish or ecclesiastical district from which the body has been removed for burial such sums, if any, as are

prescribed for the purpose in the special Act (q).

Accounts and mode of payment to incumbents.

Detailed provisions are made requiring the company to keep records to enable the amounts due to the several incumbents to be ascertained; requiring that such records shall be open to inspection by such incumbents; requiring the company on demand to furnish half-yearly accounts of the sums due to such incumbents; providing for the payment of the sums due half-yearly, on or within one month after March 25 and September 29, in each case to the person who was incumbent on March 25 or September 29 or his representatives; rendering the amounts so payable recoverable by action; and providing that an incumbent or the representatives of an incumbent receiving sums that accrued during a preceding incumbency shall account for the sums that so accrued to the preceding incumbent or his representatives (h).

Payment to parish clerk.

1107. The company are on the burial of every body in the consecrated part of the cemetery, except where the body is buried

(d) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 50. But they may not remove a monument the erection of which they have authorised on the ground that it has not been paid for (Sims v. London Necropolis Co. (1885), 1 T. L. R. 584).

(e) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 51. As to the

jurisdiction of the ordinary over inscriptions in churches and churchyards, see

p. 418, ante.

(f) Ss. 52-57 of the Act are headed "With respect to Payments to Incumbents

of Parishes or Ecclesiastical Districts, and to Parish Clerks.

(h) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 53-56. See

note (q), supra.

⁽q) Ibid., s. 52. This section and ss. 53-57 are inapplicable in the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), as no payments to incumbents or parish clerks are prescribed by that Act. As to the fees payable to incumbents for services rendered in such cemeteries, see pp. 479, 480, 510, ante. Where there is a provision in a special Cemetery Act for the payment of a fee to the incumbent of the parish or ecclesiastical district from which a body has been removed for burial in the cemetery, the incumbent of an ecclesiastical district constituted after the passing of such Act has been held entitled to the fee to the exclusion of the incumbent of the mother parish (Vaughan v. South Metropolitan Cemetery Co. (1860), 1 John. & H. 256; Bowyer v. Stantial (1878), 3 Ex. D. 315, C. A.).

at the expense of any parish, or ecclesiastical district, or union of parishes for the relief of the poor, to pay to the parish clerk of the Payments to parish or ecclesiastical district from which such body has been removed for burial, if he held the office of parish clerk of such parish or ecclesiastical district at the time of the passing of the special Act, such sum, if any, as is prescribed for that purpose in the special Act(i).

SECT. 6. Incumbents and Parish Clerks.

Sect. 7.—Protection of the Cemetery.

1108. Every person who wilfully destroys or injures any building, Penalty for wall, or fence, belonging to the cemetery, or destroys or injures any tree or plant therein, or who daubs or disfigures any wall thereof, or puts up any bill therein or on any wall thereof, or wilfully destroys, injures, or defaces any monument, tablet, inscription, or gravestone within the cemetery, or does any other wilful damage therein, and every person who plays at any game or sport, or discharges firearms, save at a military funeral, in the cemetery, or who wilfully and unlawfully disturbs any persons assembled in the cemetery for the purpose of burying any body therein, or who commits any nuisance in the cemetery, is liable to a penalty not exceeding £5, which is payable to the company (k).

disturbance.

SECT. 8.—Accounts.

1109. The company are every year to cause an account to be Annual prepared showing the total receipt and expenditure of all moneys account. levied by virtue of the Act of 1847 or the special Act for the year ending December 31, or some other convenient day in each year, under the several distinct heads of receipt and expenditure, with a statement of the balance of such account certified by the chairman of the company and duly audited, and are to send a copy of the same. free of charge, to the clerk of the peace for the county in which the cemetery is situated on or before the expiration of one month from the day on which the account ends. The account is to be open to public inspection on payment of one shilling for every inspection. If the company omit to prepare or send such an account as above stated, they are liable to a penalty of £20(l).

SECT. 9.—Recovery of Penalties, Damages etc.

1110. Penalties under the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847, for the Recovery of recovery of which no other provision is made, are recoverable in a

penalties and detention of offenders.

⁽i) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 57. See note (q), p. 522, ante.

⁽k) Ibid., ss. 58, 59. These sections, which are headed "With respect to the Protection of the Cemetery," are incorporated with the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85) (see s. 40), and apply accordingly to burial grounds under the Burial Acts as well as to cemeteries to which the Act of 1847 applies generally. As to the recovery of the penalties and of compensation for damage done to property of the company by the offender, and as to the summary arrest of the offender, see infra.

⁽¹⁾ Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 60. The section, which is headed "With respect to the Accounts to be kept by the Company," would appear to apply, mutatis mutandis, to a local authority maintaining a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), as there is no enactment to the contrary. As to the recovery of the penalty, see infra.

Recovery of Penalties, Damages etc.

summary way (m); and any officer or agent of the company and all persons called by him to his assistance may seize and detain any person who has committed an offence against the Act of 1847 or the special Act, and whose name and residence are unknown to such officer or agent, and convey him with all convenient despatch before a justice (n).

Publication of particulars of offences. 111. The company must publish the short particulars of the several offences for which any penalty is imposed by the Act of 1847 or the special Act, or by any bye-law of the company affecting other persons than the shareholders, officers, or servants of the company, and of the amount of every such penalty, and must cause such particulars to be painted on a board or printed upon paper and pasted thereon, and must cause such board to be hung up or affixed on some conspicuous part of the principal place of business of the

(m) The provisions of the Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), with respect to the recovery of damages not specially provided for and of penalties, and to the determination of any other matter referred to justices, are incorporated with the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), and the special Act by s. 62 of the Act of 1847. The provisions of the Act of 1845 in question are contained in ss. 140—161 of that Act, of which ss. 146, 147, 151, 155, 161, and in part ss. 145, 150, 153, and 167, have been repealed as being superseded by provisions in the Summary Jurisdiction Acts or otherwise obsolete (Statute Law Revision Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 66), s. 1 and Schedule; Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 43), s. 4 and Schedule; Statute Law Revision Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict. c. 19), s. 1 and Schedule). Under the unrepealed sections (as to which see title Magistrates) compensation etc., for the determination and recovery of which no other provision is made, is assessable, and, subject to the provisions of the Summary Jurisdiction Acts as to the recovery of civil debts (see East London Waterworks Co. v. Charles, [184] 2 Q. B. 730; R. v. Kerswill, [1895] 1 Q. B. 1), recoverable, before two justices, subject to an appeal to quarter sessions; and penalties are recoverable before two justices, subject, again, to an appeal to quarter sessions. The Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 64, however, expressly enables a magistrate, having by law authority to act alone in lieu of two justices, so to act for the purposes of that Act.

There is some doubt as to the applicability of these provisions in the case of a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), for the provisions are many of them expressed to apply only where other provision is not made by the special Act or enactments incorporated therewith; and such other provision might be regarded as made in the case of a cemetery under the Act of 1879 by the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), with which that Act is incorporated. Again, s. 6 of the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907 (7 Edw. 7, c. 53), might be construed as substituting to some extent at least the provisions of the Act of 1875 for those of the Act of 1845. Moreover, the provision in s. 316 of the Act of 1875 that all penalties incurred under the provisions of any Act incorporated with that Act shall be recovered and applied in the same way as penalties incurred under that Act may perhaps apply to penalties under the Act of 1847 as incorporated with the Act of 1879. As to the recovery of penalties under the Act of 1875, see ss. 251, 253, and 254 of that Act, and title Public Health etc.

(n) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 154, as

(n) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 154, as incorporated with the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65). The section provides that "such justice shall proceed with all convenient despatch to the hearing and determining of the complaint against such offender." The justice cannot, however, it seems, act alone, unless indeed such justice may be said to have "by law," i.e. by this section, authority to act in lieu of two justices, as proceedings under the Act are required to be before two justices. See note (m), supra

Digitized by Google

company; and where any such penalties are of local application must cause such board to be affixed in some conspicuous place in Recovery of the immediate neighbourhood to which such penalties are applicable or have reference; and such particulars are to be renewed as often as the same or any part thereof is obliterated or destroyed, and no such penalty is recoverable unless it has been published and kept published as thus required (o). Penalties recoverable in a summary way are imposed on persons pulling down or injuring such notice boards or obliterating the matter thereon (p).

SECT. 9. Penalties. Damages etc.

SECT. 10.—Miscellaneous.

1112. Provision is made securing, under penalties, that copies of Access to the special Act shall be kept at the office of the company and at special Act. the office of the clerk of the peace, and persons interested are given rights to inspect such copies (q).

1113. Nothing in the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847, is to be Saving as to deemed to exempt the company from any general Act relating to other Acts. burials in towns or populous places passed in the same session of Parliament in which the special Act is passed or in any future session (r).

Part X.—Closed and Disused Burial Grounds.

SECT. 1.—Closing of Burial Grounds and Prohibitions against opening New Burial Grounds.

1114. No new burial ground or cemetery, parochial or non- Prohibition of parochial, may be provided and used in the metropolis or within new burial two miles of any part thereof without the previous approval of the Local Government Board (s).

or near metropolis.

Elsewhere the opening of any new burial ground in any city or Elsewhere. town or within any other limits without the previous approval of the Local Government Board may be prohibited by Order in Council; and where such an Order has been made no new burial ground or cemetery, parochial or non-parochial, may be provided and used in such city or town or within such limits without such previous approval (t).

(p) $\dot{I}\dot{b}i\dot{d}$., s. 144. (q) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), ss. 66, 67.

(s) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 9, as amended by the substitution

of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I. As to the meaning of "metropolis" for the purposes of the Burial Acts, see pp. 445, 446, ante.

(t) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), ss. 1, 6, as amended by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

⁽o) Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 143. See note (m), p. 524, ante.

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

Addition to existing burial ground.

Order in Council for discontinuance of burials.

Liability for breach of Order.

Deposit of ashes of

cremated

body.

Burial in metropolis of parishioner whose parish burial ground is closed.

An addition to an existing burial ground is a "new burial ground" within the above prohibitions; but the approval of the Local Government Board is not essential to the validity of a contract entered into by a burial authority for the purchase of land for a burial ground within an area to which such a prohibition extends, for the mere purchase of the land is not in itself the "provision" of a burial ground (a).

1115. Subject to certain exceptions (b), an Order in Council may be made ordering that, after a time mentioned in the Order, burials in any part or parts of the metropolis, or in any city or town outside the metropolis, or within any other limits outside the metropolis, or in any burial grounds or places of burial (either within or without the metropolis) shall be discontinued wholly or subject to any exceptions or qualifications mentioned in the Order (c).

It is unlawful after the time mentioned in an Order in Council for the discontinuance of burials to bury the dead in any church, chapel, churchyard, or burial-place, or elsewhere, within the limits to which the Order extends, or in the burial grounds or places of burial (as the case may be) in which burials have by the Order been ordered to be discontinued, except as by statute or in the Order excepted. Every person who buries or acts or assists in the burial of any body contrary to this provision is guilty of a misdemeanour (d), and is also liable to a penalty on summary conviction (e).

It is, however, not forbidden to deposit an urn containing the ashes of a cremated body in a church in which burials have been ordered to be discontinued, and in a proper case a faculty may be obtained for that purpose (f).

1116. After the time from which burials in any place of burial of any parish in the metropolis are required by Order in Council to be discontinued, the body of any parishioner or inhabitant of that parish may not be buried in any burial ground in the metropolis belonging to any other metropolitan parish save where the body of any of the family or relatives of the deceased has been buried there, and the relatives or other persons having the care and direction of the funeral signify a desire on that account that the deceased should also be buried there (such burial ground not being closed for burials under the Burial Acts). Any person having the care or control of

(b) See pp. 527 et seq., post.

(d) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 4; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17

Vict. c. 134), s. 3.

(e) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 2. (f) Re Kerr, [1894] P. 284.

⁽a) Ward v. Portsmouth Corporation, [1898] 2 Ch. 191, C. A., approved in Re Bosworth and Gravesend Corporation, [1905] 2 K. B. 426, C. A., per Collins, M.B., at p. 434.

⁽c) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 2; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 1. The sections apply to the metropolis and to the rest of the country respectively. The Act of 1853 (except ss. 7 and 8 thereof) is declared inapplicable to the metropolis by s. 9. As to the meaning of the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Burial Acts, see pp. 445, 446, ante.

any burial ground who knowingly authorises or permits a burial therein contrary to this provision is guilty of a misdemeanour (g).

1117. The making of an Order in Council for the discontinuance of burials, or prohibiting the opening of new burial grounds, is authorised only upon the representation of the Local Government Board (h) that, for the protection of the public health, burials in any part or parts of the metropolis or in any city or town etc. outside the metropolis or in any burial grounds or places of burial should be discontinued, or that the opening of any new burial ground in any city or town etc. should be prohibited, as the case may be.

Notice of the representation and of the time when it will be Notice of taken into consideration by the Privy Council is to be published representain the London Gazette, and affixed on the doors of the churches or chapels of the parishes in which any burial grounds or places of burial affected by the representation are situate, or on some other conspicuous places within the parishes (or, in the case of the metropolis, the part or parts of the metropolis) affected by the representation, one month at least before the representation is so considered; and no such representation is to be made in relation to the burial ground of any parish until ten days' previous notice of the intention to make such representation has been given in the case of a metropolitan parish to the incumbent and the vestry clerk of the parish, or in the case of a parish outside the metropolis to the incumbent and vestry clerk or churchwardens of the parish (i).

1118. Orders in Council may from time to time be made postponing Postponement the time appointed by any Order in Council for the discontinuance and variation of burials, or otherwise varying any Order in Council made under of Order. the Burial Acts, whether the time thereby appointed for the discontinuance of burials thereunder or other operation of such Order may or may not have arrived (k).

1119. The provisions above referred to do not extend to prevent St. Paul's the interment in St. Paul's Cathedral or in Westminster Abbey of Cathedral and the body of any parson where a written parson that the body of any parson where a written parson that the body of any parson where a written parson that the body of th the body of any person where a written permit under the royal Abbey. sign manual is granted for such interment (l).

No such Order in Council as has been mentioned is to be Burial deemed to extend to any burial ground (either within or without grounds of the metropolis) of the people called Quakers, or of the persons of Jews, the Jewish persuasion, used solely for the burial of the bodies of such people and persons respectively, unless the same be expressly

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

Representation by Local Government Board.

(h) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

⁽y) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 5. As to the meaning of the "metropolis" for the purposes of the Burial Acts, see pp. 445, 446. ante.

⁽i) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 2; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 1. Whether the provisions as to notice to the churchwardens are affected by the transfer of the civil functions of churchwardens by and under the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 6 (1) (b) (and

see s. 33), is uncertain.
(k) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 1. (1) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 8.

mentioned in such Order; and nothing in the Burial Acts is to

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds

etc.

Private burial grounds. Ground in respect of which exclusive right is reserved to donor.

prevent the burial in any such burial ground in which for the time being interment is not required to be discontinued of the bodies of such people and persons respectively. No such Order in Council is to be deemed to extend to any non-

parochial burial ground being the property of a private person, unless the same be expressly mentioned in the Order (m).

Where an exclusive right of burial in a part of land given under the Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867, for addition to a consecrated churchyard has, in pursuance of that Act, been reserved to the donor, such part may not be included in any Order in Council for closing the churchyard to which it belongs, but it may be closed under a separate Order founded on a special report that the ground is in such a state as to render further interments therein prejudicial to the public (n).

Cemeteries provided under special Acts.

The provisions above mentioned do not authorise an Order in Council to be made for the discontinuance of burials in any of the cemeteries mentioned in Sched. B to the Burial Act, 1852, or in any cemetery established under a special Act of Parliament, or in any burial ground or cemetery provided with the approval of a Secretary of State or of the Local Government Board, as the case may be, under the Burial Acts (o).

Licence to exercise right of burial in church etc.

1120. Where by virtue of any faculty legally granted, or by usage or otherwise, there was at the passing of the Burial Act, 1852, or the Burial Act, 1853, as the case may be, any right of interment in or under any church or chapel affected by an Order in Council under the Burial Acts, or in any vault of any such church or chapel, or of any churchyard or burial ground affected by such an Order in Council, and where any exclusive right of interment in any such burial ground had been purchased or acquired before the passing of such Act, the Local Government Board may, on being satisfied that the exercise of the right will not be injurious to health, grant a licence for the exercise of such right, subject to such conditions and restrictions as they may think fit; but there are provisions preventing such licence from operating to enlarge the right (p). The owners in fee of a closed burial ground have no

(n) Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133), s. 11; and see pp. 441, 442, ante.

(p) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 6; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 4, as amended by the substitution of the Local Government

⁽m) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 3; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 2.

⁽o) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 7; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 5, as amended by the substitution of the Local Government Board for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I. The saving in s. 7 of the Act of 1852 refers to the cometeries mentioned in Sched. B to the Act, most of which were established by special Acts; that in s. 5 of the Act of 1853 refers to "any cemetery established under the authority of any Act of Parliament." The last-cited words were held in R. v. Manchester Justices (1855), 5 E. & B. 702, to be confined to cemeteries established under special Acts. The saving for cemeteries provided with the sanction of a Secretary of State (now the Local Government Board) is expressed in each section as applying to cemeteries to be "hereafter" provided with such sanction.

right to interfere with any vaults in which such a right of burial may possibly be exercised or to do any act by which the exercise of such right in the future may be prevented (q).

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

1121. As an Order in Council closing a burial ground may be varied by a subsequent Order (r), it follows that such an Order, however absolute in terms, does not extinguish, but merely suspends, any trusts upon which the land is held for a burial ground (8). Nor is the property in a burial ground so closed altered by the Order, and if any part of such ground is acquired under the Lands Clauses Acts, and the purchase-money is paid into court in accordance with those Acts, the court will order payment of the dividends accruing to the persons who, but for the Order, would be entitled to the burial fees (t). The assessment of the purchase-money in such a case must be based upon the bare value of the land as a closed burial ground, and not upon any augmentation of value by reason of the secularisation of the land (a).

Effect of Order on property in burial ground.

1122. Where an Order in Council has been issued for the discontinuance of burials in any churchyard or burial ground, the burial board (or authority having the powers of a burial board) or (subject to what is said below) the churchwardens, as the case may be, are to maintain such churchyard or burial ground of any parish in decent order, and to do the necessary repair of the walls and other fences thereof; and the costs and expenses are to be repaid by the overseers upon the certificate of the burial board (or authority having the powers of a burial board) or (subject to what is said below) of the churchwardens, as the case may be, out of the poor rate of the parish or place in which such churchyard or burial ground is situate, unless there is some other fund legally chargeable with such costs and expenses (b).

Maintenance of closed burial ground.

In a rural parish having a parish council, however, if and as On whom soon as the churchwardens issue a certificate in order to obtain obligation to repayment of their expenses out of the poor rate, their obligations in the matter pass to the parish council (c); and the provisions in this behalf may be applied, mutatis mutandis, to a rural parish not

maintain lies.

Board for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

(q) Moreland v. Richardson (1857), 24 Beav. 33.

(r) Under the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 1; and see p. 527, ante.

(s) Re St. Pancrus Burial-ground (1866), L. R. 3 Eq. 173.

(t) As being, within s. 70 of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), the party who would for the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18), the party who would for the time being have been entitled to the rents and profits of the land (Re St. Pancras Burial-ground, supra; Campbell v. Liverpool Corporation (1870), L. B. 9 Eq. 579; Ex parte Liverpool (Rector) (1870), L. B. 11 Eq. 15; Ex parte St. Martin's, Birmingham (Rector) (1870), L. B. 11 Eq. 23); and see title Compulsory Purchase and Compensation.

(a) Stebbing v. Metropolitan Board of Works (1870), L. B. 6 Q. B. 37.

(b) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 18; R. v. St. Mary, Islington, Vestry (1890), 25 Q. B. D. 523, where a vestry (in whom the functions of overseers were vested by a local Act) authorised certain repairs to a closed churchyard, and one of the churchwardens, having entered into contracts by

churchyard, and one of the churchwardens, having entered into contracts by which he made himself personally responsible for the expense, wrote to the vestry asking for the necessary money before the work was begun, and it was held that the vestry were liable to pay the money, and that the letter was a sufficient "certificate."

(c) Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 6 (1) (b). H.L.-III.

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

having a parish council by an order of the county council conferring the powers of a parish council in the matter on the parish meeting (d), or to an urban parish or a parish in the county of London, by an order of the Local Government Board conferring such powers on the urban authority or some other representative body, or on the metropolitan borough council, as the case may be (e).

In the case of a churchyard the obligation in question falls on the churchwardens or parish council etc. as successors of the churchwardens, notwithstanding that the churchyard is within the area of a burial board or authority with the powers of a burial board, and the expenses are payable out of the poor rate of the parish in which the churchyard is locally situate, notwithstanding that it may be the churchyard of some other parish (f).

The obligation does not extend to a private burial ground (q).

Powers of urban authority as to closed ground.

1123. An urban authority constituted a burial board may repair and uphold the fences surrounding any burial ground which has been discontinued as such in their jurisdiction, or take down such fences and substitute others in lieu thereof. They are required to take the necessary steps for preventing the desecration of such burial ground and placing it in a proper sanitary condition, and may make bye-laws (subject to the provisions of the Public Health Act, 1875(h)) for the preservation and regulation of all burial grounds within their jurisdiction.

Their expenses in the matter may be defrayed out of any rates authorised to be levied by any urban authority constituted a burial

board (i).

Disposal of land etc. vested in trustees for purposes of closed ground.

1124. When unconsecrated land or buildings is or are vested in trustees, under a local Act or otherwise, for the purposes of a cemetery or burial ground, and burials in the cemetery or burial ground are, by Order in Council under the Burial Acts, ordered to be wholly or partially discontinued, the trustees are empowered, with the sanction of the Local Government Board (j), to lease or sell any part of the cemetery or burial ground in which no interment has taken place.

Application of proceeds.

If the property was held in trust for a parish the proceeds are applicable, after discharging incumbrances and any debts properly

(d) See Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 19 (10). (e) See ibid., s. 33; London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14),

(j) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. L.

s. 16 (1) (c).
(f) R. v. Bishop Wearmouth Burial Board (1879), 5 Q.B.D. 67, where it was also held that consecrated ground provided (otherwise than by a burial authority) for a parochial burial ground is a churchyard for the purpose of s. 18 of the Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), although situate at a distance from the church. In the case of a burial ground declared by or under the Church Building Acts to be part of the parish for which it is provided, though locally beyond the confines thereof (see p. 440, ante), special questions may arise as to the rates applicable to its maintenance when closed.

⁽g) R. v. St. John, Westgate, Burial Board (1862), 2 B. & S. 703.
(h) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 55. See ss. 182—186.
(i) Local Government Act, 1858, Amendment Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 61), s. 21, re-enacted in Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), Sched. V.. Part III. As to the ways in which urban authorities may be constituted burial boards, see pp. 484, et seq., ante.

incurred by the trustees in their fiduciary capacity, for the benefit of the parish as the vestry direct (k). If the property was held in trust for private persons, the proceeds are, after the discharge of incumbrances etc., to be distributed among the cestuis que trustent (1).

1125. The vestry, or body with the powers for this purpose of the vestry (m), of any parish in which a burial ground closed by Order in Council, and not belonging to the parish, is situate, may, by vestry. by resolution at a meeting called for the purpose, purchase the same; and such burial ground will thereafter belong to the parish, subject to all conditions affecting the burial grounds of that parish (n).

1126. Where a burial ground in which interment is discontinued Conveyance under the Burial Acts belongs to a parish other than that in which it is locally situate the incumbent and churchwardens of the former to trustees for parish may, with the consent of the vestry, or persons possessing parish in the powers of vestry for ecclesiastical purposes of or in such parish, situate. and of the bishop, convey any chapel belonging to such parish situate in or attached to such burial ground, and the site thereof, to trustees named by the incumbent and churchwardens of the parish within which the same is situate, with the consent of the vestry, or persons possessing the powers of vestry of or in such parish for ecclesiastical purposes, and of the bishop, upon such trusts and subject to such conditions for and on behalf of the last-mentioned parish, and with such provision for the appointment of new trustees, as to the bishop may seem proper. Such conveyance is effectual to pass all the estate and interest vested in

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

Purchase of closed ground

burial ground

(k) Subject to the exception created by the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 7 (3), and to exceptions immaterial with reference to the Burial Acts, the civil functions of the vestry of a rural parish are now vested by ss. 6 (1) (a) and 19 (4) of the Act of 1894 in the parish council, or, if there is no parish council, in the parish meeting. The powers of the vestry which in a rural parish are vested in the parish council may, in the case of an urban parish, be vested in the urban authority or in some other representative body by order of the Local Government Board under s. 33 of the Act of 1894. In London parishes other than the City the civil functions of the vestry are now vested in the metropolitan

borough councils under the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 4.

(/) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 24. The section is very elaborate; its main provisions only are stated in the text. The power of the vestry under this section to direct the application of such rents or proceeds is not "a power of the vestry which relates to the affairs of the church" nor "an interest of the vestry in church property" within the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), s. 23, and such power was therefore transferred from the vestry to the metropolitan borough council, to whom its general powers and duties were, under ibid., s. 4, transferred (Westminster Corporation v. St. George's, Hanover Square (Rector), 23rd June, 1908, per WARRINGTON, J., not yet reported, but noted (1908) 72 J. P. Jo. 316, where it was assumed that the word "parish" in the section meant a parish according to the interpretation of that term in the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 52 (as to which see p. 448, ante), and would not, therefore, include a new or district parish, or a district not coinciding with a parish).

(m) See note (k), supra. (n) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 8. There appears to be nothing to authorise expenditure out of the rates on a purchase under the section; nor is any provision made as to the persons to whom the conveyance is to be made, though a vestry, being unincorporate, are incapable of taking the legal estate in land. The latter difficulty might, however, probably be got over by taking the conveyance to trustees, and is obviated where the functions of the vestry have been transferred to a corporate body.

мм2

SECT. 1. Closing of Burial Grounds etc.

any persons in trust or in behalf of the parish to which such chapel and site belong; and after the execution of the conveyance all obligation on such last-mentioned parish or any trustees or others on behalf thereof to repair such chapel, or to pay any stipend to the minister thereof, or otherwise in relation to or in connection with such chapel, ceases (o).

Sect. 2.—Building upon Disused Burial Grounds.

Prohibition against building.

1127. The erection of any buildings upon a disused burial ground, except for the purpose of enlarging a church, chapel, meetinghouse, or other place of worship, is prohibited by statute (p), except where the burial ground has been sold or disposed of under the authority of any Act of Parliament (q), or where a faculty

(o) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 51. No expenditure out of the rates is authorised by the section, and, that being so, it seems that the powers of the vestry under it can in no case be powers in relation to any expense or rate within the provisions of s. 7 (3) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 the Loca Vict. c. 73), referred to in note (k), p. 531, ante. If so, the powers of the vestry under the section, being clearly for ecclesiastical purposes, are not affected by

any of the enactments mentioned in that note.

(p) Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), s. 3. no statutory provision as to the consequences of breach of the prohibition, and a person contravening it is consequently liable to indictment for misdemeanour, or he may be restrained by injunction in an action by the Attorney-General. In Boyce v. Paddington Borough Council, [1903] 1 Ch. 109, Buckley, J., held that where a building infringing the prohibition blocked the access of light to a house on adjoining land the owner of the house had, as a person specially damaged by the breach of the prohibition, a good cause of action against those erecting the building. On appeal ([1903] 2 Ch. 556) doubt was expressed as to the correctness of this holding, but decision of the point was evaded, as, after the case had been partly argued before the Court of Appeal, the Attorney-General was added as a plaintiff. The case ultimately went to the House of Lords (sub nom. Paddington Corporation v. A.-G., [1906] A. C. 1), where it was held that there had been no contravention of the prohibition. See note (s), p. 533, post.

In St. James the Less, Bethnal Green (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1899] P. 55, the Consistory Court of London, notwithstanding the prohibition, granted a faculty, the grant of which was not opposed, for rebuilding and enlarging schools and a parish hall on a disused burial ground, holding that the work came within the saving with regard to the enlargement of a church, chapel, meeting-house, or other place of worship. The decision in that case was, however, in effect, overruled in London County Council v. Dundas, [1904] P. 1, where the Consistory Court of London refused to revoke, at the instance of the London County Council, a faculty issued for the erection on a disused burial ground of a hall for parochial purposes communicating with a church, together with vestries, lavatories, and a kitchen, in substitution for existing smaller buildings, on the ground that the work authorised was, within the meaning of the section, the enlargement of the church; but on appeal the Court of Arches, while holding that, as the faculty had been obtained without fraud and had not been appealed against, it could not be revoked, held that, in view of the section under consideration, the faculty was a nullity so far as the hall, lavatories, and kitchen were concerned. In Re St. Sepulchre, Holborn Viaduct (1903), 19 T. L. R. 723. the Consistory Court, following London County Council v. Dundas, supra, refused a faculty for the rebuilding, for the purposes of enlargement, of schools on a disused burial ground. As to the buildings prohibited by the section, see further note (s), p. 533, post.

(q) Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), s. 5. In Re St. Saviour's Rectory Trustees and Oyler (1886), 31 Ch. D. 412, the trustees of a disused burial ground held by them under a private Act of 1883, which gave them express power to sell or let the land for building, proposed to sell the land for the erection of the building was obtained before August 14, 1884 (r).

For the purposes of the prohibition the expression "building" includes a temporary or movable building (s); and the expression "disused burial ground" means any burial ground, whether consecrated or not, which has been at any time set apart for the purposes of interment, and is no longer used (t) for interments, whether or not Meaning of interment, and is no longer used (t) for interments, whether or not Meaning of "building" the ground has been partially or wholly closed for burials under the provisions of any statute or Order in Council (u), and whether burial or not the ground has been lawfully set apart as a burial ground (a). ground."

The prohibition attaches to the whole of a site which has been site of set apart as a burial ground, whether it has ever been used for church. interments or not (b); but the site of a church which is pulled down is not "ground set apart for the purpose of interment," although intramural interments have taken place in the church (c).

SECT. 3.—Conveyance and Utilisation of Burial Grounds for Open Spaces.

1128. The owner (d) of any disused burial ground may convey the Conveyance burial ground to, or grant any term of years or other limited

SECT. 2. Building upon Disused Burial Grounds.

and "disused

in 1885 for building purposes; but BACON, V.-C., held that the purchaser would be precluded from building by the Act of 1884, apparently considering that the exception in s. 5 did not apply to a sale after the Act of 1884 under an earlier Act. In Re Ecclesiastical Commissioners and New City of London Brewery Co., [1895] 1 Ch. 702, however, North, J., held that a sale of a disused burial ground after the Act of 1884 under a scheme made pursuant to the Union of Benefices Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 142), would be a sale under the authority of an Act of Parliament within the meaning of s. 5 of the Act authority of an Act of Parliament within the meaning of 8.5 of the Act of 1884, and that the purchasers would consequently not be prevented by that Act from building on the land; and in A.-G. v. London Parochial Charities Trustees, [1896] 1 Ch. 541, STIRLING, J., following the decision of NORTH, J., in preference to that of BACON, V.-C., held that, by reason of the saving in s. 5, the Act of 1884 did not prohibit building on a portion of a disused burial ground acquired, after that Act, by a metropolitan authority for street improvements under the Metropolitan Paving Act, 1817 (57 Geo. 3, 2727), which was sold by that authority as superfluous land under that Act, c. xxix.), which was sold by that authority as superfluous land under that Act.

(r) Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), s. 4.
(s) Open Spaces Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 32), s. 4, left unrepealed for this purpose by the Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), s. 23 and Schedule. A band-stand is a building within the prohibition (A.-G. v. St. Pancras Vestry (1893), 69 L. T. 627); but a wall to separate the ground from a street, the inner (1893), 69 L. T. 627); but a wall to separate the ground from a street, the inner side of which is so built as to form an arcade or covered way for the protection of frescoes on that side of the wall, is not such a building (St. Botolph, Aldersyate Without (Vicar) v. Parishioners, [1900] P. 69), nor is a screen erected to prevent the acquisition of a right to light over the ground (Paddington Corporation v. A.-G., [1906] A. C. 1). See also note (p), p. 532, ante.

(t) The words "no longer used" here mean no more than "not used" (Re Ponsford and Newport District School Board, [1894] 1 Ch. 454).

(u) Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), s. 2, as amended by provisions in the Open Spaces Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 32), s. 4, left unrepealed by the Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), s. 23 and Schedule.

(a) Re Bosworth and Gravesend Corporation, [1905] 2 K. B. 426.

(b) Re Ponsford and Newport District School Board, supra; Re Bosworth and

(b) Re Ponsford and Newport District School Board, supra; Re Bosworth and

Gravesend Corporation, supra.

(c) Re Ecclesiastical Commissioners and New City of London Brewery Co., supra. (d) The Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), the provisions of which as to burial grounds form the subject-matter of the ensuing section, is a consolidating Act repealing and replacing, with little alteration in substance, the Open

or lease to local authority.

SECT. 3.

Conveyance etc. of Burial Grounds for Open Spaces.

Powers of local authorities.

interest therein to, or make any agreement with, any local authority within the meaning of the Open Spaces Act, 1906 (e), for the purpose of giving the public access to the burial ground, and preserving the same as an open space accessible to the public and under the control of the local authority, and for the purpose of improving and laying out the same (f).

1129. A local authority within the meaning of the Open Spaces Act, 1906, may, subject to the provisions of that Act, acquire by agreement and for valuable or nominal consideration by way of payment in gross or of rent, or otherwise, or without any consideration, the freehold of, or any term of years or other limited estate or interest in, or any right or easement in or over, any burial ground, whether situate within the district of the local authority or not; and may undertake the entire or partial care, management, and control of any burial ground, whether any interest in the soil is transferred to the local authority or not; and for these purposes may make any agreement with any person or persons authorised by the Act or otherwise to convey or to agree with reference to any burial ground, or with any other persons interested therein (g).

Purposes for which local authority may hold burial ground. 1130. A local authority who have acquired any estate or interest in or control over any burial ground under the Act are, subject to any conditions under which the estate, interest, or control was so acquired, to hold and administer the burial ground in trust to allow, and with a view to, the enjoyment thereof by the public as an open space within the meaning of the Act (h), and under proper control and regulation, and for no other purpose, and are to maintain and keep the burial ground in a good and decent state.

Spaces Acts. 1877 to 1890 (40 & 41 Vict. c. 35; 44 & 45 Vict. c. 34; 50 & 51 Vict. c. 32; 53 & 54 Vict. c. 15), as amended by s. 17 of the Commons Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 30). In the Act of 1906, unless the context otherwise requires, "owner" used in relation to a burial ground means the person in whom the freehold of the burial ground is vested, whether as appurtenant or incident to any benefice or cure of souls or otherwise; "burial ground" includes any churchyard, cemetery, or other ground, whether consecrated or not, which has been at any time set apart for the purpose of interment; "disused burial ground" means any burial ground which is no longer used for interments, whether or not the ground has been partially or wholly closed for burials under the provisions of a statute or Order in Council; "open space" means any land, whether inclosed or not, on which there are no buildings or of which not more than one twentieth part is covered with buildings, and the whole or the remainder of which is laid out as a garden, or is used for purposes of recreation, or lies waste and unoccupied; and "building" includes any temporary or movable building. The definitions of "disused burial ground" and "building" are practically the same as those obtaining for the purposes of the Disused Burial Grounds Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 72), as to which see p. 533, ante. For other provisions of the Act, see title Open Spaces and Recreation Grounds.

(e) The following bodies are local authorities for the purposes of the Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25): the council of any county, of any municipal or metropolitan borough, or of any district; the Common Council of the City of London; and any parish council invested by order of the county council with the powers of the Act, or who had before the Act been invested by such an order with the powers of the Acts thereby repealed (*ibid.* ss. 1, 23 (b)).

(h) See note (d), p. 533, ante.

⁽f) Ibid, s. 6. See note (d), p. 533, ante. (g) Ibid., s. 9. See note (d), p. 533, ante.

The local authority may inclose it or keep it inclosed with proper railings and gates, and may drain, level, lay out, turf, plant, ornament, light, provide with seats, and otherwise improve it, and do all such works and things and employ such officers and servants as may be requisite for the above purposes, or any of them (i).

The local authority, however, may not exercise any of the powers of management under the Act with reference to any consecrated burial ground unless and until they are authorised so to do by the

licence or faculty of the bishop (k).

The playing of any games or sports is not to be allowed in Games or the burial ground, except that in the case of a consecrated burial sports. ground the bishop by licence or faculty, and in the case of an unconsecrated ground the persons from whom the local authority have acquired the estate, interest, or control in or over the same, may expressly sanction any such use of the burial ground, and may specify any conditions as to the extent or nature of such use (l).

1131. In the case of any disused burial ground, at least three Removal of months before removing or changing the position of any tombstone tombstones, or monument, the local authority must (1) prepare a statement sufficiently describing by the name and date appearing thereon the tombstones and monuments standing or being in the ground, and such other particulars as may be necessary, and cause the statement to be deposited with their clerk, and to be open to inspection by all persons, and (2) insert an advertisement of the intention to remove or change the position of such tombstones and monuments three times at least in some newspaper circulating in the neighbourhood, and by that advertisement give notice of the deposit of the above-mentioned statement and of the place at which, and the hours within which, it may be inspected, and (3) place a notice in terms similar to the advertisement on the door of the church (if any) to which the burial ground is attached, and deliver or send by post a notice to any person known or believed by the local authority to be a near relative of any person whose death is recorded on any such tombstone or monument (m).

In the case of a consecrated ground, no tombstone or monu- Licence or ment may be removed, or its position changed, without a licence or faculty for faculty from the bishop, and no application for such licence or faculty removal from consecrated may be made until the expiration of one month at least after the ground. appearance of the last of the advertisements. But on an application for such a licence or faculty the bishop is at liberty to direct or sanction (n) the removal or change of position of any tombstone or monument, if he is of opinion that reasonable steps have been taken to bring the intention to effect such removal or change of position to the notice of some person having a family interest in the tombstone or monument (o).

SECT. 3. Conveyance etc. of Burial Grounds for Open Spaces.

(v) Ibid., s. 11 (4). On an application for such faculty inquiries will not be

⁽i) Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), s. 10.

⁽k) *I bid.*, s. 11 (1).

⁽l) Ibid., s. 11 (2).

⁽m) Ibid., s. 11 (3).
(n) The words are, "provided that on an application for a licence or faculty nothing shall prevent the bishop from directing" etc.

SECT. 3. Conveyance etc. of Burial Grounds for Open Spaces.

A licence or faculty for the above purposes may be granted by the bishop of the diocese within which the consecrated burial ground is situated on the application of the local authority who have acquired any estate, interest, or control in or over the burial ground, and may be granted subject to such conditions and restrictions as to the bishop may seem fit (p).

Burial grounds vested in local authorities.

1132. The powers above mentioned respecting burial grounds may be exercised by a local authority in respect of any burial grounds of a similar nature vested in them in pursuance of any statute or of which they are otherwise the owners (q).

Compensation for interests affected.

1133. No estate, interest, or right of a profitable or beneficial nature in, over, or affecting a burial ground, may, except with the consent of the person entitled thereto, be taken away or injuriously affected by anything done under the Open Spaces Act, 1906, without compensation being made for the same; and such compensation is to be paid by the local authority by whom the estate, interest, or right is taken away or affected, and is in case of difference to be assessed as if the same were for lands taken otherwise than by agreement or injuriously affected under the Lands Clauses Acts (r).

Bye-laws.

1134. A local authority may with reference to any burial ground in or over which they have acquired any estate, interest, or control under the Open Spaces Act, 1906, make bye-laws for the regulation thereof and of the days and times of admission thereto, and for the preservation of order and prevention of nuisances therein, and may by such bye-laws impose penalties recoverable summarily for the infringement thereof, and provide for the removal of any person infringing any bye-law by any officer of the local authority or police constable (s).

Combined exercise of powers.

1135. Local authorities may combine for the purpose of the exercise of the above powers (t).

(t) Ibid., s. 16.

made into questions of title (Re Camden Town Burial Ground (1889), 5 T. L. R. 311). If a vault be in good repair, the faculty will not allow interference therewith without the consent of the family; but if in bad repair, it may sanction its being levelled and filled up (St. Botolph-without-Aldgate (Vicar) v. Parishioners (No. 2), [1892] P. 173).

⁽p) Open Spaces Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 25), s. 11 (5).

⁽q) Ibid., s. 12. (r) Ibid., s. 13. As to the Lands Clauses Acts, see title COMPULSORY PURCHASE AND COMPENSATION.

⁽s) Ibid., s. 15. The section specifies, in the case of each class of local authority, the enactments subject to and in accordance with which the power of making the bye-laws is to be exercised.

Part XI.—Supervision of Burial Grounds by Government Departments.

SECT. 1.—Sanitary Regulation and Inspection of Burial Grounds.

1136. The Local Government Board (a) may from time to time make regulations in relation to burial grounds and to places for the reception of bodies previously to interment provided under the Burial Acts for the protection of the public health and the maintenance of public decency; and burial authorities and all other persons having the care of such burial grounds and places for the reception of bodies must conform to and obey such regulations (b).

SECT. 1. Sanitary Regulation and Inspection of Burial Grounds.

Any person who violates or neglects or fails to comply with any Breach of such regulations is liable upon summary conviction to a penalty regulations. not exceeding £10(c).

The Local Government Board (d) are empowered to appoint Inspection of and authorise persons to inspect any burial ground or cemetery, parochial or non-parochial, or place for the reception of bodies, to ascertain its state and condition, and, where regulations in relation thereto have been made under the powers above referred to, to ascertain whether such regulations have been observed and complied with. Any person having the care of any such burial ground or cemetery or place who obstructs any person so authorised to inspect it is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £10 (e).

grounds etc.

1137. On the representation of the Local Government Board (f) Orders in Orders in Council may be made ordering acts to be done by or under the directions of the churchwardens or such other persons danger to as have the care of any vaults or places of burial for preventing health. them from becoming or continuing dangerous or injurious to the public health. Every such Order must be published in the London

(a) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

graves, and the depth of graves. The Local Government Board have not themselves issued any model regulations.

(c) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 8. The penalty is imposed not only in respect of the violation etc. of the regulations in question, but also of "any regulation imposed by this Act." It is difficult to say what the regulations

thus referred to are.

(d) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

⁽b) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 44. The power of making regulations under this section appears to have been exercised in relation to particular burial grounds only. A series of model regulations issued by the Home Office, as reprinted in 1899, will be found in Mackenzie and Handford, Model Byelaws, p. 487, and another series also issued by the Home Office, differing in some important particulars from the above, is set out in Brooke Little, Law of Burials, 3rd ed., p. 713. Both series deal with the fencing, draining, and planning of the ground, the area and registration of graves, the closing of vaults after burial, the burial of more than one body in one grave, the reopening of graves, and the depth of graves. The Local Government Board have not

⁽e) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 8.
(f) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act. 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

SECT. 1.
Sanitary
Regulation
and
Inspection
of Burial
Grounds.

Gazette, and the churchwardens or other persons must do or cause to be done all acts so ordered, and the expenses incurred in and about the doing thereof are to be paid out of the poor rates of the parish (9).

The power to make such Orders in Council does not, however, extend to unconsecrated land which, though it has been used for burial, is no longer so used, and is not subject to any trust preventing its use for purposes other than burial; and an Order in Council purporting to be made under the power in question with

regard to such land is consequently a nullity (h).

Default in compliance with Order.

If it appears to the Local Government Board (i), on the representation of a person authorised by them to inspect any vaults or place of burial in relation to which such an Order in Council has been made, that any acts ordered by such Order to be done by or under the direction of persons other than churchwardens having the care of such vaults or place of burial are not done within a reasonable time and according to the intent of the Order, the Board may authorise and direct the churchwardens of the parish in which the vaults or place of burial may be situate, or the parish council or other authority subject to the civil duties of such churchwardens (j), as the case may be, forthwith to do or complete the acts mentioned in the Order, or such of them as remain undone; and the churchwardens, parish council, or other authority must obey such direction, and for that purpose they and all persons acting under their direction have the same powers of entry and otherwise as if they had been directed to do such acts by the Order in Council, and such vaults or place of burial had been under their care; and any person obstructing them or removing or interfering with the work done by them is guilty of a misdemeanour (k).

Faculty in aid of Order.

If the Order in Council directs any acts to be done in any church or churchyard, the persons thereby directed to do such acts must, according to the decisions of the Ecclesiastical Courts, first obtain a faculty permitting them to do such acts (l).

(h) Foster v. Nodd (1867), L. R. 3 Q. B. 67; Jacobson v. St. Pancras Vestry (1880), 44 J. P. 184.

(i) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

(j) See Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), ss. 6 (1) (b). 33. It would seem that the duties of churchwardens under the section are in no case "ecclesiastical," so as to be reserved to them in a parish where the civil duties of churchwardens have been transferred by or under the Act of 1894, though there might possibly be some doubt upon the point in the case of a consecrated burial ground.

(k) Burial Act, 1859 (22 Vict. c. 1), s. 1.

(1) St. Mary-at-Hill etc. (Rector) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 394; St. Michael

⁽g) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 23. The first branch of the section provides that the Order in Council may "order such acts to be done . . . for preventing" etc., and is thus, as it stands, ungrammatical. Probably the word "such" should be rejected as meaningless. The section provides for notice before the representation of the Local Government Board is made. So far as it refers to churchwardens, the section should probably still be read as referring to these officers even in the case of parishes where the civil functions of the churchwardens have been transferred to a parish council or other authority by or under the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), ss. 6 (1) (b), 33, since it appears to be as custodians of vaults etc. that the section enables duties to be imposed on them, and it is in pursuance of their ecclesiastical duties, in ordinary cases at least, that they are such custodians.

1138. On the representation of the Local Government Board (m). Orders in Council may be made establishing regulations for the protection of the public health, and for the maintenance of public decency, in respect of all burials in common graves in any cemeteries named in Sched. B to the Burial Act. 1852 (n), or in any cemetery established under the authority of any local Act. Everv such Order must be published in the London Gazette, and all persons having the care of such cemeteries must conform to and Regulations obey such regulations, and any person violating or wilfully neglecting to observe the same is liable on summary conviction to a penalty graves. not exceeding £10 (o).

SECT. 1. Sanitary Regulation Inspection of Burial Grounds.

as to burial in common

SECT. 2.—Inquiries by Secretary of State.

1139. A Secretary of State may appoint a person to inquire into Appointment any matter relating to the consecration of any part of a burial of person to ground or the building of any chapel therein, or to the fixing, make inquiries. varying, commutation of, or compensation for, fees payable to ministers of religion, ecclesiastical officers, and sextons in connection therewith, and may assign to such person remuneration not exceeding five guineas a day and an allowance for expenses, payable, except so far as otherwise provided, out of moneys provided by Parliament.

He may, however, make such order as he thinks just as to the Payment of payment by the burial authority or other parties of the whole or expenses. part of the costs of the inquiry, including such remuneration and Such order may direct payment to the Exchequer or other parties, and may be enforced as an order of the High Court (p).

Part XII.—Burial of Poor Persons.

1140. The expression "poor law union" is, for the purposes of Meaning of the present Part of this title, used to include a parish with a terms. separate board of guardians (q); the expression "union" is used to mean a union consisting of two or more poor law parishes under a board of guardians, whether such union was constituted under the Poor Law Amendment Act, 1834 (r), or otherwise; and the

Bassishaw (Rector) v. Parishioners, [1893] P. 233; Lee v. Hawtrey, [1898] P. 63. The authority of these decisions is, however, very doubtful, and the decisions themselves are in opposition to the expressed opinion of the law officers of the Crown.

⁽m) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64

Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

(n) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 85.

(a) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 10. The section provides for notice being given before the representation of the Local Government Board is

 ⁽γ) Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 5.
 (γ) This is in accordance with the definition in the Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), s. 16 (2).

⁽r) This is in substance the effect of the elaborate definition of "union" in the Poor Law Amendment Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 76), s. 109, the definitions

PART XII. Poor Persons.

Obligation on poor law

authorities.

expression "common fund" is used to include the fund out of Burial of which the expenses of a board of guardians for a single parish are paid (s).

> 1141. Boards of guardians are bound, as occupiers of their workhouses, to provide for the burial of poor persons dying therein; and there is a similar obligation on other poor law authorities in respect of poor persons dying in their institutions. Except in the case of persons dying on premises in their occupation, there appears to be no obligation on poor law authorities to provide for the burial of paupers (t).

Power of board of guardians to bury poor persons. Place of burial.

1142. It is, however, lawful for a board of guardians to bury, at the expense of the common fund, the body of any poor person which may be within their poor law union (a).

The enactment conferring this power on the guardians contains provisions as to the place where the burial is to take place, the effect of which is not quite clear, but under which it seems that, subject to certain enactments providing that, for the purposes of burial, paupers dying in workhouses and other poor law institutions are to be regarded in some cases as dying elsewhere, and to some exceptions introduced by later legislation (b), the burial ought in general to take place in the churchyard or other consecrated burial ground of the parish etc. where the death occurred (c).

in which are applicable to the interpretation of the various Poor Law Amendment Acts referred to in the present part of the article.

(s) This is in accordance with the modern practice, though not sanctioned by

any general statutory definition.

(t) R. v. Stewart (1840), 12 Ad. & El. 773. The enactments referred to later conferring powers on boards of guardians for the burial of paupers are all expressed as enabling enactments; and there appears to be nothing imposing a legal obligation on the guardians to avail themselves of those powers.

As to the duties of guardians of the poor in general, see title Poor Law.
(a) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 31; Union Chargeability Act, 1865 (28 & 29 Vict. c. 79), s. 1.

(b) See pp. 541, 542, post. (c) The Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 31, after providing that it shall be lawful for the guardians to bury the body of any poor person which may be within their union or parish, and making provision (now superseded as to parishes in unions) for charging the expense on the poor rate of the parish to which the person in question "may have been chargeable, or in which he may have died, or otherwise in which such body may be," continues as follows: "and unless the guardians, in compliance with the desire expressed by such person in his lifetime, or by any of his relations, or for any other cause, direct the body of such poor person to be buried in the churchyard or burial ground of the parish to which such person has been chargeable (which they are hereby authorised to do), every dead body which the guardians or any of their officers duly authorised shall direct to be buried at the expense of the poor rates shall (unless the deceased person, or the husband or wife or next of kin of such deceased person, have otherwise desired,) be buried in the churchyard or other consecrated burial ground in or belonging to the parish, division of parish, chapelry, or place in which the death may have occurred." The question of the effect of this obscure language is now further complicated by the fact that since the Union Chargeability Act, 1865 (28 & 29 Vict. c. 79), the chargeability of paupers has become a chargeability to the union as a whole, and not to any particular parish therein. In s. 2 of the Poor Law Amendment Act, 1850 (13 & 14 Vict. c. 101), it should be added, under which guardians are empowered to provide and contribute to the provision of burial grounds and to

Where guardians or any of their officers duly authorised in that behalf undertake, or contribute money or other aid towards, the burial of a poor person, and the burial cannot take place in the parish where, according to the provisions above referred to, the same would have been required to take place, by reason of the public burial ground of such parish having been closed and no other provided, or where, in consequence of the crowded state of such burial ground, the guardians are of opinion that the burial of such dead body therein would be improper, it is lawful to bury the body in a public burial ground (some part of which has been consecrated) of or in some other parish as near as conveniently may be to the first-mentioned parish (d).

PART XII. Burial of Poor Persons.

1143. There are provisions under which, for the purposes of the burial of paupers dying in a workhouse, the workhouse is in many cases to be considered as situate in a parish other than that in which it is actually situate. Under these provisions, if the workhouse belongs to a parish not in union, and the pauper was chargeable to that parish, the workhouse is, for the purposes of the pauper's burial, to be considered as situate in that parish; and if the workhouse belongs to a union, and the pauper resided in the union before removal to the workhouse, the workhouse is, for the purposes of the pauper's burial, to be considered as situate in the parish in which the pauper last so resided. The effect of the provisions in other cases is very doubtful (e).

situation of workhouse.

If a union is comprised in any school or asylum district, the Constructive death of a pauper in the school or asylum of such district is for situation of the purposes of burial to be deemed to have taken place in the district school or asylum.

bury paupers dying in the workhouse therein, there is a saving, evidently intended to refer to the provisions of the Act of 1844, above quoted, for "the obligation now imposed by law upon the guardians to bury the dead body of such poor person elsewhere, in case the deceased person, or the husband, or wife, or next of kin of such deceased person, shall have so requested."

(d) Poor (Burials) Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 79), s. 1. Although it may be

lawful for the guardians to bury the body in a neighbouring parish, there is no express obligation upon the incumbent and churchwardens or upon the burial authority of such parish to allow the interment to take place in the parish

churchyard or burial ground.

(e) The Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 56, provides that, for the purposes of the burial of the poor, the workhouse of any union or parish and every district school of a school district constituted under that Act shall be considered as situated in the parish to which each poor person to be buried is or has been chargeable; and s. 10 of the Union Chargeability Act, 1865 (28 & 29 Vict. c. 79), provides that, "for the purposes of the burial of any poor person dying in the workhouse of any union, such workhouse shall be considered as situated in the parish in the union where such poor person resided last, previously to his removal to the workhouse." The provisions of s. 10 of the Act of 1865, no doubt, in cases where they are applicable, override those of s. 56 of the Act of 1844, but the provisions of the last-named section still apply where the workhouse belongs to a parish not in union, and also, it would seem, where the workhouse belongs to a union, but the provisions of s. 10 of the Act of 1865 are incapable of application, e.g., in the case of the death of a child born in the workhouse and dying there without having left it. The provisions of s. 56 of the Act of 1844 are, however, difficult of application in the case of a union now that paupers are chargeable to the union as a whole, and not to a particular parish. With regard to the provisions of the section as to district schools, see infra.



PART XII.
Burial of
Poor
Persons.

parish of the union from which such pauper was sent to such school or asylum, or to the workhouse of the union, as the case may be, and the charges of the burial must be borne by the common fund of the union (f). This provision is confined to the case of a pauper sent from a union as distinguished from a parish not in union (g). There are, however, provisions under which the death in a district school, or in an asylum of a district founded under the Metropolitan Poor Act, 1867, of a pauper sent from a parish not in union, is in most, if not all, cases to be deemed, for the purposes of burial, to have taken place in that parish, and under which the expenses of burial fall on that parish (h).

Payment of fees by guardians. 1144. In all cases of burial under the direction of guardians under the powers above referred to, the fees payable by the custom of the place where the burial may be, or by statute, are to be paid by the guardians for the burial of each such body to the person or persons who by such custom or statute are entitled to receive the same (i).

Paupers in receipt of non-resident relief.

1145. A board of guardians may pay the costs of the burial of any poor person dying out of the limits of their poor law union who was at the time of the death in receipt of relief from them (j). And they may, when necessary, pay the expenses of the burial of any idiotic pauper sent by them to a public asylum or establishment for idiots under the Poor Law Amendment Act, 1868, and of any idiotic, imbecile, or insane pauper sent by them under that Act to the workhouse of another union or parish (k).

Reimbursement out of deceased's assets. 1146. In the event of the death of any pauper having in his possession or belonging to him any money or property, the guardians of the union or parish wherein such pauper dies may reimburse themselves the expenses incurred by them in and about the burial of such pauper (l); and the cost of burying any poor

 ⁽f) Divided Parishes and Poor Law Amendment Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Vict. c. 61), s. 21.

⁽y) Ibid., s. 44, incorporates the definitions in the Poor Law Amendment Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 76), s. 109, and "union" in the Act of 1876, therefore,

seems clearly not to include a parish not in union.

(h) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 56; Metropolitan Poor Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 6), ss. 24, 32. As to s. 56 of the Act of 1844, see note (e), p. 541, ante. Ss. 24 and 32 of the Act of 1867 provide that with reference to burnal an asylum under that Act shall, in reference to each inmate, be deemed to be in the union or parish from which the inmate was sent, and that the expenses incurred by the managers in or about the burial of the inmates shall be separately charged to the unions or parishes from which the inmates are sent. These provisions of the Acts of 1844 and 1867 are, no doubt, overridden by those of s. 21 of the Act of 1876 cited in note (f), supra, in cases coming within that section, but appear to be still operative in other cases.

⁽i) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 31; Poor (Burials) ct. 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 79), s. 1.

Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 79), s. 1.

(j) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 103), s. 17.

(k) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 122), s. 13.

⁽¹⁾ Poor Law Amendment Act, 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 103), s. 16. The section, after provisions to the effect, briefly, that where a pauper has any money or valuable security for money the guardians of the union or parish to

person by or under the direction of any guardians is recoverable in like manner and from the same parties as the cost of any relief (if given to such person when living) would have been recover-The guardians are not preferential creditors of the deceased pauper for such expenses, and the pauper's executor may accordingly retain a debt due to him from the pauper's estate before satisfying the claim of the guardians (n).

Administration of the effects of a pauper who is buried by the Grant of adguardians may be granted to a nominee of the guardians on the ministration footing that they are creditors for the expenses of such burial (o).

1147. It is unlawful for any officer connected with the relief of Poor law the poor to receive any money for the burial of the body of any officers not to poor person which may be within the parish, division of parish, from burial chapelry, or place in which the death may have occurred, or to act etc. as undertaker for personal gain or reward in the burial of any such body, or to receive any money from any dissecting school, or school of anatomy, or hospital, or from any person to whom any such body may be delivered, or to derive any personal emolument whatever for or in respect of the burial or disposal of any such body. breach of this prohibition is punishable on summary conviction by a penalty not exceeding £5 (p)

1148. Where the guardians of any parish or union are possessed Consecration of land suitable for the purposes of a burial ground, and the Local Government Board consent to the same being appropriated to the guardians. reception of the dead bodies of any poor persons whom the guardians are authorised or required by law to bury, the ordinary may, if he see fit, consecrate the whole or a part of such land for burial purposes, and after consecration the guardians may lawfully direct any such dead body to be buried therein (q).

PART XII. Burial of Poor Persons.

guardians.

belonging to

which he is chargeable may take and appropriate so much of the money or produce of the security, or recover the same as a debt, as will reimburse them for their expenditure on his relief during the preceding year, enacts that, "in the event of the death of any pauper having in his possession or belonging to him any money or property, the guardians . . . may reimburse themselves the expenses incurred by them in and about the burial of such pauper, and in and about the maintenance of such pauper at any time during the twelve months previous to the decease." In Laver v. Botham & Sons, [1895] 1 Q. B. 59, the opinion was expressed that the provisions for the appropriation of money etc. in the first branch of the section cannot be read into the second, and that the effect of the second branch is merely to make the guardians ordinary creditors for the expenses there referred to. The guardians appear, it should be added, to be in the position of ordinary creditors in respect of relief given to a pauper independently of the section. See the cases cited in note (o), infra, and Birkenhead Union Guardians v. Brookes (1906), 95 L. T. 359.

(m) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 103), s. 17. As to the recovery of the cost of relief given to paupers, see title Poor LAW.

(n) Laver v. Botham & Sons, supra.
(o) See Cleaver v. M. Kenna (1865), 35 L. J. (p. & m.) 91; Re Reeres (1890), 55 J. P. 24; Re Lillicrap (1891), 55 J. P. 825; Windeatt v. Sharland (1871), L. R. 2 P. & D. 217, 266.

(p) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1844 (7 & 8 Vict. c. 101), s. 31. The prohibition appears to be of general character, though it is enacted in the form of a proviso to the section, the first part of which gives the guardians the powers for the burial of paupers referred to p. 540, ante.

(q) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 6.

PART XII.
Burial of
Poor
Persons.

Use of land so consecrated.

Contribution by guardians to enlargement etc. of burial ground.

Payment of fees.

Agreement with proprietors of cemetery or burial board.

The land so consecrated must not thenceforth be used for any other purpose than for burials according to the rites of the Church of England (r), and is to be kept in decent order; and the fences thereof, and any building or other erection thereon or adjoining thereto used for the performance of the burial service, are to be maintained by the guardians out of their common fund. But the guardians are not authorised to direct the body of any poor person to be buried in such grounds who, or whose husband, wife, or next of kin, shall, by letter addressed to the master of the workhouse or otherwise, have expressly desired burial to take place elsewhere (s).

1149. A board of guardians may contribute out of their common fund such sum as the Local Government Board may approve towards the enlargement of any churchyard or the enlargement or obtaining of any consecrated public burial ground in the parish in which the workhouse is situated, or in any other parish of the union; and where such burial ground is enlarged or obtained with the aid of such contribution, they may bury therein the body of any poor person dying in the workhouse, unless, it seems, the husband, wife, or next of kin of such person have expressed a desire that the body should be buried elsewhere (t).

In all cases of burial under the direction of the guardians in pursuance of this provision, the fee or fees payable by the custom of the place where the burial may be, or by statute, are to be paid by the guardians to the person or persons entitled to receive such fee or fees (t).

1150. Guardians may make agreements in such form and with such stipulations as the Local Government Board may approve with the proprietors of any cemetery established under the authority of Parliament, or with any burial board or authority exercising the powers etc. of a burial board, for the burial of the bodies of poor persons which they may undertake to bury, or towards the burial of which they may render assistance; and thereupon the burial of any such body under the direction of the guardians or their officer, or with their aid, in such cemetery or in the burial ground of such

(r) It may be doubted whether the provisions of the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), referred to at pp. 424 et seq., ante, authorising burial in consecrated ground without the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Established Church, apply to such burial ground. but as "graveyard" is not defined in that Act in such terms as to exclude such a burial ground, it might well be held to be a "graveyard" within the Act.

(s) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 6; and see note (c), p. 540, ante. As the ground is provided under one of the Burial Acts, it may not be used for a walking house already ground whether the state of a dwalling house already ground whether the

⁽a) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 6; and see note (c), p. 540, ant. As the ground is provided under one of the Burial Acts, it may not be used for burials within one hundred yards of a dwelling-house already erected when the ground was provided without certain consents (Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 9, as amended by Burial Act, 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 44); and see p. 464, ante). The Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 133) (as to which see p. 441, ante), is extended by s. 2 of the Consecration of Churchyards Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 47), to burial grounds attached or belonging to union-houses.

⁽t) Poor Law Amendment Act, 1850 (13 & 14 Vict. c. 101), s. 2. The provisions of the section authorising the burial of paupers in the churchyard or burial ground are subject to the proviso that nothing in the Act shall "discharge or vary the obligation now imposed by law upon the guardians to bury the dead body of such poor person elsewhere, in case the deceased person, or the

PART XII.

Burial of

Poor

Persons.

Burial in

service.

consecrated

ground with-

out Church of England

burial board or authority, is lawful, unless the deceased person, or the husband, wife, or next of kin of the deceased, have otherwise expressly desired (u).

1151. The provisions of the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (w), authorising burial in consecrated ground without the performance of the burial service according to the rites of the Church of England, extend to the case of a pauper buried at the expense of the guardians (x).

1152. The visiting committee of an asylum, with the consent of Burial of the local authority by whom they are appointed and of a Secretary lunatics. of State, may provide for the burial of lunatics dying in the asylum and of the officers and servants belonging thereto—(1) by appropriating land belonging to them or acquiring land, not exceeding in either case two acres, for providing a new or enlarging an existing burial ground; (2) by agreeing with any corporation or persons or body of persons willing to provide for the burial of such persons. They may procure consecration of a new or enlarged burial ground, and provide for the appointment of a chaplain for a new burial ground. The incumbent of the parish in which such new or enlarged burial ground is situate is not entitled to any fee for the interment of any person buried therein by direction of the committee (y).

Where the visiting committee of an asylum undertake the burial Burial in of a pauper lunatic, and the public burial ground of the parish parish in where the death took place is closed or inconveniently crowded, the did not occur. burial may take place in a public burial ground of some other parish with the consent of the minister and churchwardens of that parish, and in that case the visiting committee must pay to the person entitled thereto the burial fees payable under any statute or custom (a).

The necessary expenses attending the burial of a pauper lunatic Expenses of in any institution for lunatics are to be borne by the poor law burial of union to which the lunatic was chargeable, or by the local lunatics. authority liable for his maintenance when alive, and must be paid by the guardians of the poor law union or the treasurer of the

husband, or wife, or next of kin of such deceased person, shall have so requested."

local authority (b). This provision applies to expenses of the burial

As to the meaning of this proviso, see note (c), p. 540, ante.
(u) Poor (Burials) Act. 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 79), s. 2; and see note (c),

(w) 43 & 44 Vict. c. 41; and see pp. 424 et seq., ante.

(x) For the special provisions applicable in such a case, see p. 425, ante.
(y) Lunacy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 5), s. 258. See generally, as to

pauper lunatics, title LUNATICS AND PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND.

(a) Ibid., s. 259. If the public burial ground of such other parish is under a burial authority, the visiting committee can obtain their object by agreement with such authority under s. 258, supra.

(b) Ibid., s. 297. The provisions for determining whether a lunatic is charge-

able to a union or whether a local authority is liable for his maintenance are contained in ss. 286—291 of the Act. The provisions of ss. 287 and 291 as to the making of orders on guardians and local authorities for the payment of expenses of lunatics are not expressly extended to burial expenses; but see Leeds Guardians v. Wakefield Guardians (1857), 7 E. & B. 258; R. v. Bruce, [1892] 2 Q. B. 136.

Digitized by Google

PART XII.
Burial of
Poor
Persons.

of lunatics in institutions for lunatics who become paupers (c); and it also applies to the expenses of the burial of persons confined as pauper lunatics sent to any institution for lunatics under any Act other than the Lunacy Act, 1890, authorising their reception therein as pauper lunatics, and (save as provided by the Lunacy Act, 1890, concerning any lunatic who may appear to have any real or personal property applicable to his maintenance) of all other lunatics sent to any institution for lunatics under an order of a justice or justices made before May 1, 1890, or under a summary reception order made by a justice under the Lunacy Act, 1890, or under an order made by two or more of the Lunacy Commissioners at any time, as if such last-mentioned lunatics were, at the time of being so sent, actually chargeable to the poor law union from which they are sent (d).

Pauper lunatics received from other areas. A resolution of the visiting committee under s. 270 of the Lunacy Act, 1890, for the reception into their asylum of pauper lunatics from areas other than their own, may require that no pauper lunatic should be admitted under the resolution without an undertaking by minute of the guardians of the poor law union to which the lunatic is chargeable for the payment of the expenses of, inter alia, his burial if he dies in the asylum (e).

Limitation of fees in certain cases. 1153. When any body is buried in any of the cemeteries mentioned in Sched. B to the Burial Act, 1852, at the expense of any union or parish, or in any other cemetery established under the authority of Parliament, at the expense either of any union or parish or of any hospital or infirmary, the fee or sum to be paid or payable on the interment or otherwise in respect of such body to the incumbent of the parish or ecclesiastical district from which such body is removed for interment is not to exceed one shilling, or where the incumbent formerly received in respect of the like burial in the ground of his parish more than a shilling is not to exceed the sum then received, and is in no case to exceed two shillings and sixpence. No fee or sum whatever is payable in respect of such interment to any person as officer of or for or on behalf of such parish or district (f).

(d) Lunacy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 5), s. 298. (e) Ibid., s. 270.

⁽c) Lunacy Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 65), s. 22.

⁽f) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 49, which applied originally to the cemeteries (most of them established by companies under special Acts) mentioned in ibid., Sched. B, as extended by Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 7, to all other cemeteries "established under the authority of Parliament." These words, in the context, seem clearly to mean established under special Acts, so that the enactment would not, e.g., extend to the burial ground of a burial board. See R. v. Manchester Justices (1855), 5 E. & B. 702, decided on s. 5 of the Act of 1853. The words of s. 49 of the Act of 1852 referring to the fees formerly received by incumbents are "where the incumbent now receives" etc., so that as regards the cemeteries mentioned in Sched. B to that Act the critical date is that of the passing of that Act (July 1, 1852). As regards other cemeteries the critical date would seem to be that of the passing of the Act of 1853 (August 20, 1853).

PART XIII. Burial of

Persons found

Drowned.

seers to bury

bodies found drowned.

Part XIII.—Burial of Persons found Drowned.

1154. The overseers (including the churchwardens where they are ex officio overseers (g)) of any parish in which any dead human body Duty of overor bodies may be found thrown in or cast on shore from the sea by wreck or otherwise, or found in or cast on shore from any tidal or navigable waters, or found floating or sunken in any such waters and brought to the shore or bank, must upon notice to them that any such body or bodies are thrown or cast on shore by the sea, or as the case may be, and that the same is or are lying within the parish, cause the same to be removed forthwith to some convenient place, and with all convenient speed cause the same to be decently interred in the churchyard or burial ground of the parish, so that the expenses attending such burial do not exceed the sum which at the time is allowed in the parish for a pauper funeral (h).

Any overseer neglecting to remove, or cause to be removed, such Penalty for body or bodies from the shore or bank (i) to a convenient place, prior default by to the interment thereof, for the space of twelve hours after notice given to him or left in writing at his last or usual place of abode by any person whomsoever, or neglecting or refusing to perform his other statutory duties in the matter, is liable to a penalty of £5 (k), to be paid personally by him, and not by the parish (l).

1155. The minister, clerk, and sexton of the parish must, without Duties of any improper loss of time, admit such bodies to be interred in minister etc.

⁽⁹⁾ The reference in the Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), is to the churchwardens and overseers. In rural parishes, under provisions in s. 5 of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), the churchwardens have ceased to be ex officio overseers, and as regards such parishes statutory references to churchwardens and overseers (except in relation to affairs of the church) are to be construed as references to the overseers. These provisions of s. 5 of the Act of 1894 apply also, mutatis mutandis, in the majority of urban parishes by virtue of orders of the Local Government Board made under s. 33 of that Act. As regards metropolitan parishes, except the City of London, the metropolitan borough councils are the overseers under s. 11 of the London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), and by s. 23 (3) of that Act statutory references to the churchwardens and overseers (except in relation to affairs of the church) are to be construed as references to these

⁽h) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 1, as extended by Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1886 (49 & 50 Vict. c. 20). This latter Act was passed in consequence of the decision in Woolwich Overseers v. Robertson (1881), 6 Q. B. D. 654, to the effect that persons drowned in and cast up on the bank of the Thames at Woolwich were not "cast on shore from the sea" within the meaning of the earlier Act. The Act of 1808 contains provisions as to places where there are no overseers; but it has been thought unnecessary to refer to these provisions in the present title, as there are hardly any, if any, such places left.

⁽i) The expression in the Act of 1808 is "sea-shore"; but an extended meaning must be given to it by virtue of the Act of 1886.

⁽k) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 7. As to penalties under the Act, see p. 548, post.

⁽l) Ibid., s. 12.

PART XIII. **Burial** of Persons found Drowned.

Expenses.

the parish churchyard or burial ground, and perform their customary duties in respect of the burial, and are entitled by way of compensation to such sums as are usually paid on pauper funerals (m).

1156. The necessary expenses attending such removal and burials must be paid in the first instance by the overseers (n); and a justice of the peace, having jurisdiction in the county or place where any such body is buried, may then by writing under his hand order the treasurer of the county to pay to such officers such sum in respect thereof (o) as may seem to such justice reasonable and necessary, and the treasurer must forthwith pay such sum to the person or persons empowered to receive the same, and will be allowed the same in his accounts (p).

Payment to persons giving notice.

1157. Any person or persons finding any such body or bodies as above mentioned, and within six hours thereafter giving notice thereof to some one of the overseers of the parish, or causing such notice to be left at his last or usual place of abode, or giving notice to a police constable (who is bound to communicate such notice forthwith to an overseer), is entitled to receive from the overseers of the parish the sum of five shillings for his, her, or their trouble. Such sum is to be paid to the person or persons first giving notice only, and no greater sum than five shillings is to be paid for any one notice, although there may be more bodies than one (q).

Duty to give notice.

Any person or persons finding any such body, and omitting to give such notice within six hours thereafter as above mentioned, is liable to a penalty of £5 (r).

Recovery of penalties.

1158. All penalties which may be incurred in respect of any of the matters above mentioned are recoverable summarily (s), and when recovered are to be paid to the informer or informers (t).

Appeal to quarter sessions.

1159. Any person aggrieved by any judgment or determination, or by any matter or thing done in pursuance of any of the foregoing provisions, may appeal to quarter sessions, who may mitigate any penalty, and also order such further satisfaction to be made to the

(n) Ibid., s. 5.

(o) The words of the statute are, "for his or their costs and expenses in or

(t) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 8.

⁽m) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 2.

about the execution of this Act."
(p) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 6. The justice's order must show that the expenses were incurred in and about the execution of the Acts, so that it may appear or be inferred that he had jurisdiction to make the order, otherwise the treasurer need not, and will not be compelled to, pay (R. v. Kent County Treasurer (1889), 22 Q. B. D. 603).

(q) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 3, as extended by Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1886 (49 & 50 Vict. c. 20).

⁽r) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 4.
(s) Provisions in ibid., ss. 8, 9, 11, regulating the recovery of penalties under the Act, are repealed by the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 43), s. 4; and the Summary Jurisdiction Acts are applied to the recovery thereof by s. 5 of that Act. As to the Summary Jurisdiction Acts, see title MAGISTRATES.

party injured as they shall judge reasonable, and whose determinations therein are final (a).

1160. Where the lord of any manor claims to be entitled to wrecks, he may if so disposed, as evidence of his right to wreckage, pay to the parish officers the like sums that have been usually paid by him for burying any such body or bodies as have been cast up on the manor, such sums to go in part payment of the expenses to be incurred in respect of such body or bodies by such officers, and to be credited in their accounts (b).

PART XIII. Burial of Persons found Drowned.

Payments by lord of manor.

Part XIV.—Burial of Persons dying of Infectious Diseases.

SECT. 1.—Provisions applicable to London.

1161. In London, where (1) the body of a person who has died Removal and of any infectious disease is retained in a room in which persons live or sleep, or (2) the body of a person who has died of any dangerous infectious disease is retained without the sanction of the medical officer of health or any legally qualified medical practitioner for more than forty-eight hours elsewhere than in a room not used at the time as a dwelling-place, sleeping-place, or workroom, or (3) any dead body is retained in any house or room so as to endanger the health of the inmates thereof or of any adjoining or neighbouring house or building, a justice may, on a certificate signed by a medical officer of health or other legally qualified medical practitioner, direct that the body be removed, at the cost of the sanitary authority, to any available mortuary, and be buried within the time limited by the justice, and may if it is the body of a person who has died of an infectious disease, or if he considers immediate burial necessary, direct that the body be buried immediately without removal to the mortuary.

burial of body

Unless the friends or relations of the deceased undertake to Expenses of bury and do bury the body within the time so limited, it is the duty of the relieving officer to bury such body, and any expense so incurred must be paid in the first instance by the guardians of the poor law union, but may be recovered by them in a summary manner from any person legally liable to pay the expense of such

Any person who obstructs the execution of any direction given by Penalty for a justice as above mentioned is liable, on summary conviction, to a obstruction. fine not exceeding £5 (c).

(c) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 89. This Act

Digitized by Google

⁽a) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 10. The provisions of the section regulating the procedure are repealed by the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 43), s. 4, as superseded by the provisions of the Summary Jurisdiction Acts as to appeals to quarter sessions from courts of summary jurisdiction. As to such appeals, see title MAGISTRATES.
(b) Burial of Drowned Persons Act, 1808 (48 Geo. 3, c. 75), s. 13.

SECT. 1. **Provisions** applicable to London.

Retention of body unburied.

1162. In London a person must not, without the sanction in writing of the medical officer of health or of a legally qualified medical practitioner, retain unburied for more than forty-eight hours elsewhere than in a room not used at the time as a dwellingplace, sleeping-place, or work-room, the body of any person who has died of any dangerous infectious disease. A person acting in contravention of this prohibition is, on the information of the sanitary authority, liable to a penalty, recoverable summarily, not exceeding £5 (d).

Removal of body from hospital.

1163. If in London a person dies in a hospital from any dangerous infectious disease, and the medical officer of health or any legally qualified medical practitioner certifies that in his opinion it is desirable, in order to prevent the risk of communicating such infectious disease, that the body be not removed from such hospital except for the purpose of being forthwith buried, it is not lawful for any person to remove the body except for that purpose; and the body when taken out of the hospital must be forthwith taken direct to the place of burial, and there buried. But this provision does not prevent the removal of a dead body from a hospital to a mortuary, and such mortuary is, for the purposes of the provision, to be deemed part of such hospital. A person wilfully offending against the above provisions is, on the information of the sanitary authority, liable to a fine, recoverable summarily, not exceeding £10 (e).

Meaning of "dangerous infectious disease."

1164. The expression "infectious disease" is not defined for the purpose of the foregoing provisions, and therefore includes every infectious disease. But the expression "dangerous infectious disease" is defined as meaning any of the following diseases: small-pox, cholera, diphtheria, membranous croup, erysipelas, the disease known as scarlatina or scarlet fever, and the fevers known by any of the following names, typhus, typhoid, enteric, relapsing, continued, or puerperal, and any other infectious disease to which the provisions in question may have been applied in the manner provided by the Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (f).

SECT. 2.—Provisions of the Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act. 1890.

Justice's order for removal and burial.

1165. Where in an area in which the provisions of the Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890, in that behalf are in force (q) the body of any person who has died from any infectious disease remains

applies to the administrative county of London only, but is in force throughout it.

⁽d) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 72.
(e) Ibid., s. 73. The expression "hospital" in the Act means any premises or vessels for the reception of the sick, whether permanently or temporarily applied for that purpose, and includes an asylum of the Metropolitan Asylum Managers (ibid., s. 141).

⁽f) Ibid., ss. 55 (8), 56, 58.
(g) The Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 34), or any section or sections of that Act may be adopted for any urban or rural district, and such adoption may be subsequently rescinded (ibid., ss. 3, 21); and the Local Government Board may assign to any port sanitary authority any

unburied elsewhere than in a mortuary or in a room not used at the time as a dwelling-place, sleeping-place, or work-room, for more than forty-eight hours after death without the sanction of the medical officer of health or of a registered medical practitioner, or where the dead body of any person is retained in any house or building so as to endanger the health of the inmates thereof or of any adjoining or neighbouring house or building, any justice may, on the application of the medical officer of health, order the body to be removed at the cost of the local authority to any available mortuary, and direct the same to be buried within a time to be limited in the order; and any justice may in the case of the body of any person who has died of any infectious disease, or in any case in which he shall consider immediate burial necessary, direct the body to be so buried.

SECT. 2. **Provisions** of the Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890.

Unless the friends or relatives of the deceased undertake to Duty to bury. bury and do bury the body within the time limited by such order, it is the duty of the relieving officer of the relief district from which the body has been removed to the mortuary, or in which the body shall be if it has not been so removed, to bury such body, and any expense so incurred may be charged by the relieving officer in his accounts, and may be recovered by the board of guardians in a summary manner from any person legally liable to pay the expenses of such burial (h).

1166. Where the provisions of the above-mentioned Act in that Retention of behalf are in force, no person, without the sanction in writing of the medical officer of health or of a registered medical practitioner, may retain unburied elsewhere than in a public mortuary or in a room not used at the time as a dwelling-place, sleeping-place, or work-room, for more than forty-eight hours, the body of any person who has died of any infectious disease (i).

unburied.

1167. If, where the provisions of the above-mentioned Act in Removal from that behalf are in force, any person dies from any infectious disease in any hospital or place of temporary accommodation for the sick, and the medical officer of health or any other registered medical practitioner certifies that in his opinion it is desirable, in order to prevent the risk of communicating any infectious disease or of spreading infection, that the body shall not be removed from the hospital or place except for the purpose of being forthwith buried.

hospital etc.

powers, rights, duties, capacities, and obligations under the Act with the necessary modifications (Public Health (Ports) Act, 1896 (59 & 60) Vict. c. 20), s. 1).

(i) Ibid., s. 8.

⁽h) Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 34), s. 10. The "local authority" for the purposes of the Act are the urban authority, The "local authority" for the purposes of the Act are the urban authority, rural district council, or port sanitary authority for whose area its provisions are in force (ibid., ss. 2, 3; Infectious Disease (Notification) Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 72), s. 16; Public Health (Ports) Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 20), s. 1). "Medical officer of health" for the purposes of the Act includes any person duly authorised to act temporarily as such (Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 34), s. 2). As to the meaning of "infectious disease," see p. 552, post. For other provisions of the Act, see title Public Health etc.

Provisions of the Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890.

it is not lawful for any person to remove the body from the hospital or place except for that purpose, and when the body is taken out of the hospital or place for that purpose it must be forthwith carried or taken direct to some cemetery or place of burial and be forthwith there buried. But this provision does not prevent the removal of any dead body from any hospital or temporary place for the accommodation of the sick to any mortuary, and such mortuary is for the purposes of the provision to be deemed part of such hospital or place (k).

Penalties.

1168. Penalties, recoverable summarily, are imposed for breach of the foregoing provisions and for obstructing their execution (l).

Meaning of "infectious disease." 1169. For the purposes of the foregoing provisions "infectious disease" means any of the following diseases: small-pox, cholera, diphtheria, membranous croup, erysipelas, the disease known as scarlatina or scarlet fever, and the fevers known by any of the following names, typhus, typhoid, enteric, relapsing, continued, or puerperal, and any other infectious disease to which the provisions in question may have been applied in the statutory manner (m).

SECT. 3.—Provisions of the Public Health Act, 1875.

Burial of body dangerous to health. 1170. Where (except in London) the dead body of anyone who has died of any infectious disease is retained in a room in which persons live or sleep, or any dead body which is in such a state as to endanger the health of the inmates of the same house or room is retained in such house or room, any justice may, on a certificate signed by a legally qualified medical practitioner, order the body to be removed, at the cost of the local authority, to any mortuary provided by such authority, and direct the same to be buried within a time limited in such order. Unless the friends or relations of the deceased undertake to bury the body within the time so limited, and do bury the same, it is the duty of the relieving officer to bury such body at the expense of the poor rate, and any expense so incurred may be recovered by the relieving officer in a summary manner from any person legally liable to pay the expense of such burial (n).

(m) Ibid., s. 2; Infectious Disease (Notification) Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict.

⁽k) Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 34), s. 9. See note (h), p. 551, ante.
(l) Ibid., ss. 9, 16, 18.

c. 72, s. 6.

(n) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 142. The section is apparently confined to areas for which the local authority have provided a mortuary (see p. 566, post); but, subject to this limitation, it is of general application outside London. It is, however, practically superseded by the similar, but more stringent, provisions of the Infectious Disease (Prevention) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 34), s. 10, as to which see pp. 550 et seq., ante, where those provisions are in force. "House" for the purposes of the Act of 1875 includes schools, also factories and buildings in which persons are employed. See the definition of "house" in s. 4 of the Act of 1875 as amended, by the repeal of certain words, by the Factory and Workshop Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 16), s. 107. "Infectious disease" is not defined for the purposes of the section

SECT. 4.—Miscellaneous.

SECT. 4. Miscellaneous.

1171. There are provisions in the Public Health Acts Amendment Act, 1907 (o), which may be brought into force in certain areas, with or without modification, as in that Act provided (p), prohibiting, under penalties, the holding of a wake over the body of any person who has died of infectious disease (q).

Prohibition of

1172. Special provisions are made by orders of the Local Govern- Deaths on ment Board with regard to the disposal of the bodies of persons ships in dying of cholera, yellow fever, or plague on board ships detained in quarantine (r).

Part XV.—Disinterment of Dead Rodies.

1173. As there is no property in a corpse, it cannot be the Unauthorised subject of larceny (s); but it is a common law misdemeanour to disinterment. disinter a dead body without lawful authority, whether for the purpose of dissection or sale or other indignity (t), or even for a pious and laudable purpose (a).

1174. Except in cases where a body is removed from one conse- Licence of crated place of burial to another by faculty, it is unlawful to remove any body, or the remains of any body, which may have been interred in any place of burial, without licence of a Secretary of State, or without observing such precautions as may be prescribed by the Secretary of State as the condition of such licence; and any person who removes any such body or remains contrary to this provision, or who neglects to observe the precautions prescribed

67, per BYLES, J., at p. 77.
(a) R. v. Sharpe (1857), Dears. & B. 160.

⁽o) 7 Edw. 7, c. 53. (p) See ibid., s. 3.

⁽q) Ibid., s. 68. For the purposes of the Act "infectious disease," by s. 13, means any infectious disease to which the Infectious Disease (Notification) Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 72), for the time being applies within the district of the local authority, i.e., any of the specific diseases mentioned on p. 552, ante, and any other infectious disease to which the Act of 1889 may have been duly applied. See ss. 6, 7 of the Act of 1889.

⁽r) See art. 17 of the Regulations of the Local Government Board dated September 9, 1907, made under s. 130 of the Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), and the amending enactments, with regard to cholera, yellow fever,

vict. c. 55), and the amending enactments, with regard to cholera, yellow fever, and plague on ships arriving from foreign ports (Stat. R. and O., 1907, No. 710). Certain ports are excepted from the operation of that order, and are subject to special orders of similar character. See title Public Health etc.

(s) Compare Haynes's Case (1614), 12 Co. Rep. 113. It was, however, at one time felony to steal dead bodies for the purpose of witchcraft (stat. 1 Jac. 1, c. 12, repealed by the Witchcraft Act, 1735 (9 Geo. 2, c. 5). As a corpse cannot possess property, the shroud in which it is buried remains the property of him in whom it was when wrapped round the dead body, and should be so described in an indictment for stealing the shroud after burial (Haynes's Case. described in an indictment for stealing the shroud after burial (Haynes's Case,

supra). (t) R. v. Lynn (1788), 2 Term Rep. 733; Foster v. Dodd (1867), L. R. 3 Q. B.

PART XV. Disinterment of Dead Bodies.

as the condition of the licence, is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £10 (b).

Removal from consecrated ground.

1175. It is an offence against ecclesiastical law to remove the remains of the dead from consecrated ground without a faculty in that behalf (c).

Considerations governing grant of faculty. Removal for sanitary

reasons.

Faculties are frequently granted to authorise the removal of the remains of the dead from one consecrated place of burial to another. And in a proper case a faculty will even issue for the removal of a dead body from consecrated to unconsecrated ground (d).

On an application for a faculty for the removal of a body from one place of burial to another consideration will be paid to the expressed wishes of the deceased as to the place of burial (e).

In a proper case a faculty will also be decreed for the removal of remains from consecrated ground for sanitary reasons, and provisions will be inserted in the faculty authorising members of families whose relatives are buried therein to remove the remains of their relatives to any consecrated ground selected by them (f).

Purposes for which faculty granted.

The jurisdiction of the ordinary to grant a faculty for the disinterment of remains is not confined to cases where the purpose is to remove such remains to another place of burial. It extends to cases where the exhumation is required for purposes of identification (g), or for the purpose of taking out of the coffin papers etc. which have been buried with the dead body (h).

Effect of faculty.

1176. A faculty for the disinterment of a dead body, though it prevents the disinterment of the body from being an ecclesiastical offence or, it would seem, an offence at common law, does not dispense with statutory restrictions upon the disinterment of the Consequently, where such a faculty has been obtained in a dead.

(b) Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 2. Vict. c. 81), s. 25.
 (c) Adlam v. Colthurst (1867), L. R. 2 A. & E. 30; and see Foster v. Dodd,

(1867) L. R. 3 Q. B. 67, per Byles, J., at p. 77.

(d) Re Talbot, [1901] P. 1, where a faculty was granted to the superior of a college for the removal of the remains of a former superior from a churchyard to a vault in unconsecrated ground under the college chapel; and the court pointed out that, since by the provisions of s. 25 of the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), above referred to, the removal of dead bodies from unconsecrated places of burial has been subjected to the control of the Secretary of State, the objection to the grant of a faculty for the removal of remains to unconsecrated ground formerly arising from the absence of adequate protection against their disturbance no longer exists. The faculty was granted subject to a condition that it should not be acted upon until a licence from the Secretary of

State had been obtained. See note (k), p. 555, post.

(e) See Re Dixon, [1892] P. 386, per Dr. Tristram, at pp. 391, 392, referring, inter alia, to an unreported case of Smith v. Roberts. In Re Dixon, supra, the court refused a faculty for the disinterment of a body that had been buried for eighteen years in consecrated ground in order that it might be cremated, although the deceased had expressed a wish that his wife, at whose instance the faculty was applied for, should have the option either of burying or cremating his

(f) St. Helen's, Bishopsgate, with St. Mary Outwich (Rector etc.) v. Parishioners, [1892] P. 259.

(g) Re Pope (Sarah) (1851), 15 Jur. 614; R. v. Tristram (Dr.) (No. 1), [1898] 2 Q. B. 371.

(h) Re Hall (Edward) (1893), not reported, cited in R. v. Tristram (Dr.) (No. 1), supra, at p. 373.

case where the disinterment is desired for a purpose other than that of the removal of the body from one consecrated place of burial to another, the disinterment cannot lawfully take place unless, in addition to the faculty, the licence of a Secretary of State (i) has been obtained; but it is not essential to the validity of the faculty that it should contain a clause providing that it is not to be acted upon unless a licence is obtained (k). The Ecclesiastical Court has no jurisdiction to insert in a faculty for the disinterment of a dead body buried in the consecrated part of a cemetery belonging to a cemetery company an order requiring the company to disinter or permit the disinterment of the body (l).

PART XV. Disinterment of Dead Bodies.

1177. If a faculty for the removal of human remains from con- Revocation of secrated ground has been obtained by misrepresentation, or if the faculty. permission thereby granted be exceeded, the Ecclesiastical Court may revoke the faculty and order the remains removed to be decently reinterred in their original position (m).

1178. By the common law a coroner may order a body to be coroner's disinterred within a reasonable time after death either for the order. purpose of taking an original inquisition where none has been taken, or a further inquisition where the first was insufficient (n).

Part XVI.—Registration of Burials.

Sect. 1.—Burials in Churchyards etc.

1179. Registers of burials solemnised according to the rites of the Register to be Church of England within all parishes or chapelries in England, kept. whether subject to the ordinary or peculiar or other jurisdiction, are to be made and kept by the rector, vicar, curate, or officiating minister of every parish, or of any chapelry where the ceremony of burial has been usually and may according to law be performed, for the time being, in books to be provided for the purpose (o).

(i) Under s. 25 of the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), referred to

(l) R. v. Tristram (Dr.) (No. 2), supra. (m) St. Pancras Vestry v. St. Martin-in-the-Fields (Vicar etc.) (1860), 6 Jur.

(N. S.) 540. (n) Stanforde's Les Pleas del Coron. 51; Hale's Summary, p. 170; 2 Hawk. P. C., c. 9, s. 23. It is still undecided whether the licence of a Secretary of State is necessary to legalise the disinterment of a corpse under a coroner's order. See also title CORONERS.

(o) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 1. This Act, which forms the basis of the existing law with regard to the registration of burials, originally provided for the keeping of registers of baptisms, marriages, and burials, but now applies only to registers of baptisms and burials, having been repealed as regards the registration of marriages by the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 86), s. 1. Its chief object appears from the preamble to have been to facilitate proof of pedigree. The chapelries in which

pp. 553, 554, ante.
(k) R. v. Tristram (Dr.) (No. 1), [1898] 2 Q. B. 371; R. v. Tristram (Dr.) (No. 2) (1899), 80 L. T. 414, where the High Court did not accept the view expressed by Dr. Tristram in Druce v. Young, [1899] P. 84, that the faculty might be acted upon without a licence from the Secretary of State.

SECT. 1. Burials in Churchyards etc. The books are to be provided from time to time by the churchwardens or chapelwardens of each parish or chapelry, at the expense of the parish or chapelry (p), on the requisition of the rector etc., and must apparently be obtained from the King's Printers. Detailed provisions are made as to the form of such books and of the entries to be made therein (q).

Duty to make entries.

It is the duty of the rector etc. as soon as possible after the burial (and in no case, unless prevented by sickness or other unavoidable impediment, later than within seven days thereafter) to record and enter in a fair and legible handwriting in the register book the several particulars contained in the prescribed form, i.e., "name," "abode," "when buried," "age," "by whom the ceremony was performed," and to sign the entry (r).

Burial elsewhere than in churchyard etc. 1180. If the ceremony is performed in any other place than the parish church or churchyard of any parish or the chapel or chapel yard of any chapelry providing its own distinct registers, and is performed by a minister not being the rector, vicar, minister, or curate of such parish or chapelry, the minister who performs the ceremony must on the same or the next day transmit to the rector, vicar, or other minister of the parish or chapelry, or his curate, a certificate of the burial in a statutory form, and the rector, vicar, minister, or curate of the parish or chapelry must thereupon enter the burial, according to the certificate, in the register, adding to the entry, "according to the certificate of the Rev.

''(s).

Burial in extraparochial place. In all cases of burial according to the rites of the Established Church in any extra-parochial place where there is no church or chapel, it is lawful for the officiating minister within one month after the burial to deliver to the rector, vicar, or curate of such parish immediately adjoining the place where the burial takes place as the ordinary shall direct, a memorandum of the burial signed by the person employed about the same, together with two of the persons attending the same; the memorandum is to contain all such particulars as are required for filling in the register; and every such memorandum delivered to the rector, vicar, or curate of such adjoining parish or chapelry is to be entered in the register of his parish and to form part thereof (t).

(t) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 10.

registers are to be kept under s. 1 of the Act are there described as chapelries "where the ceremonies of baptism, marriage, and burial" have been usually performed etc.; but these words must doubtless be read disjunctively. Neither "parish" nor "chapelry" is defined for the purpose of the Act.

(p) The fund out of which the expense is to be met is not specified.

⁽⁷⁾ Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), ss. 1—3; see Encyclopædia of Forms, Vol. III., p. 135. The provision of the register books appears to be an ecclesiastical purpose, so that the duties of churchwardens in the matter are not affected by the transfers of the civil functions of churchwardens that have taken place under the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73).

place under the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73).

(r) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 3.

(s) *Ibid.*, s. 4. It is expressly provided by s. 16 of the Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), that s. 4 of the Act of 1812 shall not apply in the case of burial in a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts.

1181. The register books, and also all register books in use before the Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (a), are to be deemed to belong to the parish or chapelry, and are to be kept by and remain in the power and custody of the rector, vicar, curate, or other officiating minister thereof (b).

SECT. 1. Burials in Churchyards etc.

The books are to be kept in a dry well-painted iron chest, to be Custody of provided and repaired as occasion may require at the expense of books. the parish or chapelry (c); and detailed provisions are made for the care of the chest, and the constant keeping of the books in it under lock and key, except when temporarily removed for certain specified purposes, such as making fresh entries and inspection (d).

1182. Every rector, vicar, or curate who has the keeping for the Searches and time being of any register book of burials must at all reasonable certified times allow searches to be made of any register book in his keeping, and must give a copy certified under his hand of any entry or entries in the same on payment of the fees following: for every search extending over a period not more than one year, one shilling, and sixpence additional for every additional year; and two shillings and sixpence for every single certificate (e). The copy is subject to stamp duty of one penny, payable by the person requiring the copy. An adhesive stamp, which must be cancelled by the person signing the copy before he delivers it out of his hands, custody, or power, may be used (f).

existing law as to the custody of registers of burials.

(c) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 5. There is no provision as to the person by whom the chest is to be provided, nor as to the fund out of which the expense is to be met. It has been suggested, but apparently without good ground, that the enactment in the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 6 (1) (c) (ii.), transferring the functions of the churchwardens and overseers as to the provision of a "parish chest," refers to this iron chest.

Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8, and the Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 97), s. 6. See title EVIDENCE.

(f) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 39), ss. 1, 64, and Schedule, Copy or Extract, Certified. These provisions impose a stamp duty of 1d. on any certified copy of or extract from a register of births, baptisms, marriages, deaths, or burials, subject to some exemptions, which, appear not to apply to deaths, or burials, subject to some exemptions which appear not to apply to the copies of registers of burials to be furnished under the provisions above

referred to.

⁽a) There were statutory provisions for the keeping of registers of burials before the Act of 1812; but these provisions, though not repealed by that Act, have since been repealed. The practice of keeping such registers, moreover, dates back to a time prior to the first statutory provisions on the subject. See Phillimore, Ecclesiastical Law, 2nd ed., pp. 496, 497.

(b) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 5. The Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 17 (8), which made new provision as to the custody of parish documents in rural parishes, expressly preserves the existing law as to the custody of registers of hurials

⁽d) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 5.
(e) Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836 (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 86), s. 35.
The section provides that "every rector, vicar, or curate, and every registrar, registering officer, and secretary, who shall have the keeping for the time being of any register book of births, deaths, or marriages," shall allow searches etc. But it is obvious that the expression "register of deaths" in the section must include registers of burials kept by rectors etc., as these persons keep no registers of deaths as distinguished from registers of burials; and the section is treated as applied by the registers of burials; and the section is treated as applied by registers of burials; and the section is treated as applicable to registers of burials kept by rectors etc. in the Burial

SECT. 1. Burials in Churchyards etc.

1183. Provisions are made for the annual transmission of copies of entries in the register to the registrar of the diocese, and for the preservation of such copies by the registrar (g), of which the effect is as follows:-

Copies for diocesan registrar.

Two months after the end of every year (December 31) copies of all the entries of burials which took place during the preceding year are to be made by the rector etc. or under his direction, and to be verified and signed by him in a specified manner, and his signature is to be attested by the churchwardens or chapelwardens, who are, on or before June 1, to transmit these copies to the registrar of the diocese, or if, owing to the default of the rector etc. in duly verifying and signing the copies, they cannot do so, to certify to that effect to the registrar. The registrar is to cause the copies transmitted to him to be arranged and indexed, and is to preserve them; and the copies with the indices are to be open to public search on payment The registrar is to report annually to the of the usual fees (h). bishop with regard to the transmission of the copies to him.

Fees : penalties, etc.

1184. Besides the provisions above referred to, the Parochial Registers Act, 1812, contains a section preserving the existing law as to fees payable to any minister in respect of the performance of the duties with which the Act deals (i); a section providing for the application of pecuniary penalties (k); and a section providing for the extension of the Act to cathedral and collegiate churches and college and hospital chapels and burial grounds belonging thereto (l).

Correction of errors.

1185. The rector, vicar, curate, or officiating minister of any parish, district parish, or chapelry, who discovers any error in the form or substance of the entry in the register of any burial by him solemnised, may, within one calendar month after the discovery of the error, in the presence of two persons who attended at the burial, or in case of the death or absence of such persons, then in the presence of the churchwardens or chapelwardens, correct the entry, according to the truth of the case, by entry in the margin of the register, without any alteration or obliteration of the original entry, and sign the entry in the margin, adding the date when the correction is made. The correction and signature are to be attested by the persons in whose presence they are directed to be made, and in the copy of the register transmitted to the registrar of the diocese the rector, vicar, curate, or officiating minister is to certify the corrections so made by him (m).

⁽g) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), ss. 6—9, 11, 12.
(h) It is possible that s. 35 of the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836
(6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 86), applies to the inspection of these copies; see note (e), p. 557, ante.

⁽i) Parochial Registers Act, 1812 (52 Geo. 3, c. 146), s. 16. It is difficult to see how there can be any right to such fees, seeing that the duties are statutory, and the statute does not provide for the payment of fees.

(k) Ibid., s. 18. The Act does not impose any pecuniary penalties.

(l) Ibid., s. 20.

⁽m) Forgery Act, 1830 (11 Geo. 4 & 1 Will. 4, c. 66), s. 21. The section is expressed as a proviso on earlier provisions of the Act (now repealed and replaced) imposing penalties for forgery etc. of registers, to the effect that if

Sect. 2.—Burials in Burial Grounds, Cemeteries etc.

1186. All burials in any burial ground provided under the Burial Acts, 1852 to 1906 (n), in any cemetery provided under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (o), in any cemetery provided under a local Act incorporating the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (p), so far as the special Act contains nothing to the contrary, or in any other burial ground (an expression for this purpose including a vault or other place where any body is buried) not subject to special statutory provisions as to the registration of burials, are to be registered in register books provided, as the case may be, by the burial authority, or the company, body, or persons to whom the cemetery or burial ground belongs, and kept for that purpose in accordance with the laws by which registers are to be kept by rectors, vicars, and curates of parishes or ecclesiastical districts (q).

In the case of a cemetery under a local Act incorporating the Officer to keep Cometeries Clauses Act, 1847 (r), or of a cometery under the Public register. Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (s), for which a chaplain is still in office, the register book, as regards burials in the consecrated part

SECT. 2. Burials in Burial Grounds. Cemeteries etc.

Registration.

the course stated in the text is followed, the rector etc. shall not be liable to such penalties.

(n) See p. 445, ante.

(a) See p. 343, ante.
(b) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 31. See p. 506, ante.
(c) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65. See p. 514, ante.
(d) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847
(10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 32; Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 97), ss. 1, 7. The Burial Act, 1853, s. 8, applies in terms to burial grounds provided under that Act or the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), but extends to all burial grounds provided under the Burial Acts by virtue of the incorporation of the more important of those Acts with each other. See p. 448, ante. The provisions of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847, as to the registration of burials, are confined to burials in the consecrated part of the cemetery. Besides applying to burials in the consecrated part of a cemetery provided under a local Act incorporating the Act of 1847 so far as the local Act contains nothing to the contrary, they apply to burials in the consecrated part of a cemetery provided under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), if a chaplain appointed for the cemetery is still in office. See p. 510, ante. If, however, there is no chaplain in office for a cemetery under the Act of 1879, burials in the consecrated part of the ground are by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 7, to be registered in like manner and subject to the like provisions as burials in the unconsecrated part of the cemetery, that is, under the provisions as burials in the unconsecrated part of the cemetery, that is, under the provisions of the Registration of Burials Act, 1864. The last-mentioned Act, by s. 1, applies to the registration of burials in any burial ground burials in which are not "now by law" required to be registered; and by s. 7 of that Act it is provided that "the term 'burial ground' includes a vault or other place where any body is buried." The Act of 1864 thus applies to burials in the unconsecrated part of a cemetery provided under a local Act incorporating the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), or in a cemetery provided under a local Act not incorporating the Act of 1847, in the absence of provisions in the local Act for the registration of such burials; to burials in cemeteries under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), except as regards the consecrated part of the ground in the cases above referred to where a chaplain appointed for the cemetery is still in office; and also, it seems, to any burial in private ground. As to the legality of such burials, see p. 512, ante. The law with regard to the keeping of registers of burials by rectors etc. applied by the enactments above mentioned is stated at pp. 555, 556, ante.
(r) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65.

(s) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 31.

SECT. 2. Burials in Burial Grounds. Cemeteries

of the ground, is to be kept by the chaplain of the cemetery (t). In other cases it is to be kept by an officer appointed to that duty by the burial authority or by the company, body, or persons to whom the burial ground or cemetery belongs, as the case may be (u).

etc.

Particulars to be inserted.

In the case of a burial ground provided under the Burial Acts it is required that in the register books shall be distinguished in what parts of the burial ground the several bodies the burials of which are entered in such register books are buried, and, where the whole of the burial ground is not consecrated, whether in the consecrated or unconsecrated portion; and where the burial ground has been provided for more than one parish, the register must be kept or indexed so as to facilitate searches for entries in the register books in respect of bodies from the several parishes (w).

Searches etc.

1187. The register books kept under the above provisions, both for burial grounds provided under the Burial Acts and for all other burial grounds, are as to searches therein and copies thereof and extracts therefrom subject to the regulations of the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836(x), so far as those regulations relate to register books of burials kept by rectors, vicars, or curates (y).

Registers or copies etc. to be evidence.

1188. The register books kept under the foregoing provisions, or copies thereof or extracts therefrom, are to be received in all courts as evidence of the burials entered therein (z).

Copies for diocesan registrar.

1189. Copies or transcripts of the register books kept under the foregoing provisions must from time to time be sent to the registrar of the diocese to be kept with the copies of the register books of the parishes within the diocese (a).

Penalty for default.

1190. Where a register of burials is required to be kept by the Registration of Burials Act, 1864, wilful failure on the part of the company, body, or persons to whom the burial ground belongs, or

(t) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 32.

(z) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 32; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict.

c. 97), s. 5.

(a) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 32; Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 97), s. 3. The sections are not equally explicit as to the sending of the copies. S. 32 of the Act of 1847 merely provides that the copies or transcripts of the register shall be sent; s. 8 of the Act of 1853 provides that copies or transcripts verified and signed by the officer appointed to the duty of keeping the register shall be sent; and s. 3 of the Act of 1864 provides that copies or transcripts shall from time to time be made, verified, and signed by the officer appointed to the duty of keeping the register and sent by him. As to the application of the several sections, see note (q), p. 559, ante.

⁽u) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; Registration of Burials Act, (w) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; and see note (q), p. 559, ante.
(w) Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; and see note (q), p. 559, ante.
(x) 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 86.
(y) Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65), s. 33; Burial Act,

^{1853 (16 &}amp; 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8; Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 97), s. 6. As to the application of these enactments, see note (q), p. 559, ante. For the regulations of the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1836 ante. For the regulations of the Births (6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 86), s. 35, see p. 557, ante.

any officer or person appointed by them to the duty of keeping the register, to fulfil the obligations imposed on them or him as above stated is punishable on summary conviction by a penalty for every such offence not exceeding £5 (b).

SECT. 2. Burials in Burial Grounds, Cemeteries etc.

SECT. 3.—Burials in Consecrated Ground without Church of England Service.

1191. When any burial has taken place in the consecrated ground Registration of a churchyard or graveyard without the rites of the Church of of burials England under the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880, the person Laws Amendhaving the charge of or being responsible for such burial must on ment Act, the day thereof, or the next day thereafter, transmit a certificate of 1880. such burial in the form scheduled to the Act or in a form to the like effect to the rector, vicar, incumbent, or other officiating minister in charge of the parish or district in which the churchyard or graveyard is situate or to which it belongs, or in the case of any burial ground or cemetery vested in a burial authority to the person required by law to keep the register of burials therein, who must thereupon enter such burial in the register of burials of such parish or district or of such burial ground or cemetery. entry, instead of stating by whom the ceremony of burial was performed, must state by whom the same is certified.

Any person wilfully making a false statement in such certificate, Default. and any rector, vicar, minister, or other person as aforesaid receiving such certificate and refusing or neglecting duly to enter such burial in the register, is guilty of a misdemeanour (c).

A rector etc. refusing to enter such burial may be compelled by mandamus to make the entry (d).

SECT. 4.—Destruction, Forgery, and Falsification of Register.

1192. It is a felony, for which the maximum punishment is Destroying or penal servitude for life, unlawfully to destroy, deface, or injure, or forging cause or permit to be destroyed, defaced, or injured, any register of burials by law authorised or required to be kept or any part thereof, or any certified copy thereof or any part thereof, or to forge or fraudulently alter in any such register any entry relating to any burial or any part of such register, or any certified copy thereof or of any part thereof, or knowingly and unlawfully to insert or cause or permit to be inserted in such register or in any certified copy thereof any false entry of any matter relating to any burial, or

registers etc.

⁽b) Registration of Burials Act, 1864 (27 & 28 Vict. c. 97), s. 4. As to the application of this Act, see note (7), p. 559, ante. The section imposes the penalty if the company etc. "wilfully fail to comply with any of the provisions of this Act." There does not appear to be any corresponding provision with regard to registers of burials required to be kept under the Burial Acts or the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65).

⁽c) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 10, and Sched. B., extended to cemeteries under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), by Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 9. As to burials under the Act of 1880, see pp. 424 et seq., ante; and for the definition of "graveyard" for the purposes of that Act, see note (f), p. 424, ante.

(d) R. v. Hall (1881), 45 J. P. 436.

SECT. 4.

Destruction,
Forgery,
and Falsification of
Register.

knowingly and unlawfully to give any false certificate relating to any burial, or to certify any writing to be a copy or extract from any such register knowing such writing or the part of the register of which it is a copy or extract to be false in any material particular, or to forge or counterfeit the seal of, or belonging to, any register office or burial board, or to offer, utter, dispose of, or put off any such register, entry, certified copy, certificate, or seal, knowing the same to be false, forged, or altered, or any copy of any entry in any such register knowing the entry to be false, forged, or altered (e).

Making false entries etc. It is also a felony, for which the maximum punishment is penal servitude for life, to do any of the following things to any copy of any register of burials directed or required by law to be transmitted to any registrar or other officer—that is to say, knowingly and wilfully to insert or cause or permit to be inserted therein any false entry of any matter relating to any burial, or to forge or alter, or to offer, utter, dispose of, or put off, knowing the same to be forged or altered, any such copy; knowingly and wilfully to sign or verify any such copy which shall be false in any part thereof knowing the same to be false; unlawfully to destroy, deface, or injure any such copy; or for a fraudulent purpose to take any such copy from its place of deposit or to conceal it (f).

SECT. 5.—Coroner's Order and Registrar's Certificate for Burial.

Order on inquest by coroner for burial.

1193. A coroner upon holding an inquest upon any body may if he thinks fit, after view of the body, by order under his hand, authorise the body to be buried before verdict and before registry of the death, and in such case must deliver the order to the relative of the deceased or other person who causes the body to be buried, or to the undertaker or other person having charge of the funeral; but, except upon holding an inquest, no order, warrant, or other document for the burial of a body is to be given by a coroner (g).

Registrar to give certificate. A registrar of births, deaths, and marriages, upon registering any death, or upon receiving a written requisition to attend at a house to register a death, or upon receiving written notice of the occurrence of a death, accompanied by due medical certificate, must forthwith, or as soon after as he is required, give, without fee or reward, either to the person giving information concerning the death or sending the requisition or notice, or to the undertaker or other person having charge of the funeral of the deceased, a

to forgery, see title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

(f) Forgery Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 98), s. 37. See further, title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

⁽e) Forgery Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 98), s. 36. The Burial Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 81), s. 15, contains similar, though slightly less elaborate, provisions, expressed to apply with reference to "any register book of burials kept according to the provisions of this Act." The requirements of the Burial Acts as to the registration of burials are, however, contained, not in the Act of 1857. but in the Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 8. See p. 559, ante. As to forgery, see title CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE.

⁽g) Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 88), s. 17. 28 amended by Coroners Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 71), ss. 18 (6), 45 and Sched. III. As to coroners generally, see title CORONERS.

certificate under his hand that he has registered or received notice of the death, as the case may be.

Every such order of the coroner and certificate of the registrar is to be delivered to the person who buries or performs any funeral or religious service for the burial of the body of the deceased; and any person to whom such order or certificate was given by the coroner or registrar who fails so to deliver or cause to be delivered Order or the same is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding forty shillings.

The person who buries or performs any funeral or religious performing service for the burial of any dead body as to which no order or certificate as above provided is delivered to him must within seven registrar of days after the burial give notice thereof in writing to the registrar, non-delivery. and if he fails so to do is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £10 (h).

1194. If, however, the body of the deceased is buried in conse-Burial in crated ground without the rites of the Church of England under consecrated the Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880, the order of the coroner or out Church of certificate of the registrar is to be delivered to the relative, friend, England or legal representative of the deceased having the charge of or being service. responsible for the burial, instead of to the person who buries or performs any funeral or religious service for the burial of the body of the deceased; and any person to whom the order or certificate is given by the coroner or registrar who fails so to deliver or cause to be delivered the same is liable to a penalty not exceeding forty shillings; and any such relative, friend, or legal representative above mentioned to whom no such order or certificate is delivered must within seven days after the burial give notice thereof in writing to the registrar, and if he fails to do so is liable to a penalty not exceeding £10 (i).

SECT. 5. Coroner's Order and Registrar's Certificate for Burial.

certificate to be delivered to person

SECT. 6.—Still-born Children.

1195. A person may not wilfully bury or procure to be buried Child born

the body of any deceased child as if it were still-born.

A person who has control over or ordinarily buries bodies in any born burial ground may not permit to be buried in such burial ground Conditions of the body of any deceased child as if it were still-born, and may not burial of child permit to be buried or bury in such burial ground any stillborn child, before there is delivered to him either—(a) a written certificate that such child was not born alive, signed by a registered medical practitioner who was in attendance at the birth or has examined the body of such child, or (b) a declaration signed by some person who would, if the child had been born alive, have been required by the Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1874, to give information concerning the birth, that is to say, the father or

alive not to be buried as stillas still-born.

⁽h) Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 88), ss. 17, 45. (i) Burial Laws Amendment Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict. c. 41), s. 11, as amended by Burial and Registration Acts (Doubts Removal) Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 2), s. 2. As there is no express provision for the recovery of the penalties under these Acts, they appear only to be recoverable by action at the instance of the Crown. See Bradlaugh v. Clarke (1883), 8 App. Cas. 354.

SECT. 6. Still-born Children.

mother, or the occupier of the house in which to his knowledge the child was born, or any person present at the birth, to the effect that no registered medical practitioner was present at the birth, or that his certificate cannot be obtained, and that the child was not born alive, or (c), if there has been an inquest, an order of the coroner.

Any person acting in contravention of any of these provisions is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £10(k).

SECT. 7.—Coffins containing more than one Body.

Particulars to be furnished to person performing service.

1196. Where there is in the coffin in which any deceased person is brought for burial the body of any other deceased person or the body of any still-born child, the undertaker or other person who has charge of the funeral must deliver to the person who buries or performs any funeral or religious service for the burial of such body or bodies notice in writing signed by such undertaker or other person, and stating to the best of his knowledge and belief with respect to each such body the following particulars: (a) if the body is the body of a deceased person, the name, sex, and place of abode of the said deceased person; (b) if the body has been found exposed, and the name and place of abode are unknown, the fact of the body having been so found and of the said particulars being unknown; and (c) if the body is that of a deceased child without a name, or a still-born child, the name and place of abode of the father, or, if it is illegitimate, of the mother of such child.

Every person failing to comply with any of these provisions is liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £10 (1).

Part XVII.—Mortuaries.

Power of boards to provide mortuaries.

1197. An elective burial board may, with the approval of the elective burial vestry or body having the powers of the vestry in this behalf (with the necessity for which approval the Local Government Board (m) have, however, power to dispense (n), and subject to the provisions of the Burial Acts and the regulations to be made thereunder (0), hire, take on lease, or otherwise provide fit and proper places for the reception of bodies previous to interment, and make arrangements for the reception and care of bodies to be deposited therein, and for providing such places may exercise all the powers vested in them under the Burial Acts for providing a burial ground (p).

(l) Ibid., ss. 19, 45.

(n) Burial Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 128), s. 6. See p. 461, ante. (o) As to regulations under the Burial Acts, see p. 537, ante. No regulations

⁽k) Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 88), ss. 1, 18, 40 (3), 45.

⁽m) Substituted for a Secretary of State by the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 4 and Sched. I.

of a general kind have been made under the Acts with regard to mortuaries.

(p) Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 42. As to the body to whom the functions of the vestry now attach where an elective burial board act for a rural parish or part of a rural parish, see pp. 498, 499, ante. There is no general

This power of providing a mortuary may be exercised also by PART XVII. authorities (other than elective burial boards) in whom the powers Mortuaries of a burial board are vested, and in some cases is exercisable without any approval on the part of a vestry or body substituted ties with for a vestry (q).

The enactment conferring the power above mentioned also In parishes enables a mortuary to be provided for a parish not under a burial not under board or an authority having the powers of a burial board, but there is some doubt as to the authority by whom and the manner in which this power is exercisable (r).

Other authorisimilar power.

provision substituting any other body for the vestry for the purposes of the section in the case of an urban parish; and if, as is probably the better view, the approval of the vestry required is "in relation to any expense or rate" within s. 7 (3) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), so that the power of approval is exercisable by the parish meeting in the case of a rural parish with a parish council, it follows that the power of approval could not be transferred from the vestry in the case of an urban parish by order under s. 33 of the Act of 1894.

(q) See pp. 485, 488, 490, 502, ante. (r) The Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), s. 42, gives the power to provide a mortuary, subject to the provisions of the Burial Acts and of the regulations to be made thereunder, not only to any burial board, but also to "the churchwardens and overseers of the poor of any parish [in the metropolis] for which a burial board shall not have been appointed under this Act, by the direction of the vestry," and provides that such churchwardens and overseers may exercise all such powers as under the Poor Relief Act, 1819 (59 Geo. 3, c. 12), or otherwise "the churchwardens and overseers of any parish not having a workhouse might exercise for providing a workhouse for such parish." The words referring to the metropolis were impliedly repealed by the Burial Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 134), s. 7, and expressly by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 56), s. 1 and Sched. I. At the time when the Burial Act, 1852, was passed, the powers of the churchwardens and overseers under the Poor Relief Act, 1819, for the provision of workhouses had by the Union and Parish Property Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 69), s. 4, been declared to be exercisable, under the control and subject to the rules etc. of the Poor Law Commissioners (afterwards the Poor Law Board and now the Local Government Board), by the overseers (including the churchwardens as ex officio overseers, see ibid., s. 9) in any parish not under a board of guardians, and by the guardians of any union or parish formed or established by virtue of any Act of Parliament. In 1852, though there were still numerous parishes elsewhere not under any board of guardians, there appears to have been no longer any such parish in the metropolis. Consequently there was no parish to which, as originally passed, the Act of 1852 applied, in which the churchwardens and overseers had actually any power to provide a workhouse under the Act of 1819. S. 42 of the Act of 1852 refers, however, not to the powers which the churchwardens and overseers "exercise," but to those which they "might exercise," and must, doubtless, be read as meaning that the churchwardens and overseers shall, for the purpose of providing a mortuary, have the powers that they would have under the Act of 1819 and otherwise for providing a workhouse if their parish were not under a board of guardians. Ss. 8—10 of the Act of 1819, which empowered churchwardens and overseers to provide workhouses, are repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 66), but under the provisoes to that Act are still in force for the purposes of s. 42 of the Burial Act, 1852. The other enactments as to the provision of workhouses by churchwardens and overseers still in force are—Poor Relief Act, 1601 (43 Eliz. c. 2), s. 4 (s. 5 in some editions of the Statutes); Poor Law Amendment Act, 1834 (4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 76), ss. 21, 23; Union and Parish Property Act, 1835 (5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 69); and Union and Parish Property Act, 1837 (7 Will. 4 & 1 Vict. c. 50). See also Divided Parishes and Poor Law Amendment Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 58), s. 14; Commons Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 30), s. 22 and Sched. I.

It remains to be considered, in the view of the effect of s. 42 of the

PART XVII. Mortuaries.

Powers of local authorities under Public Health

1198. Any local authority under the Public Health Acts may, and if required by the Local Government Board must, and every sanitary authority in London must, provide and fit up a mortuary, i.e., a proper place for the reception of dead bodies before interment, and may make bye-laws with respect to the management and charges for use of the same. They may also provide for the decent and economical interment, at charges to be fixed by such bye-laws, of any dead body which may be received into a mortuary (s).

Buildings for conduct of post-mortem examinations.

1199. A local authority under the Public Health Acts may provide and maintain a proper place (otherwise than at a workhouse or at a mortuary) for the reception of dead bodies during the time required to conduct any post-mortem examination ordered by a coroner or other constituted authority, and may make regulations with respect to the management of such place (t); and a sanitary authority in London may, and (except in the case of the Common Council of the City (u), if required by the London County Council, must, provide and maintain a proper building (otherwise than at a workhouse) for the reception of dead bodies during the time required to conduct any post-mortem examination ordered by a coroner or other constituted authority, and may make regulations

Burial Act, 1852, taken above, in whom the powers of the vestry and churchwardens and overseers under consideration are now vested. In the case of a rural parish with a parish council the powers of the vestry appear to be vested in the parish council under s. 6 (1) (a) of the Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), for s. 7 (3) of that Act, substituting the parish meeting for the vestry for certain purposes of the Burial Acts, appears only to apply where the Burial Acts have been adopted. By s. 6 (1) (e) (iii.) of the Act of 1894, the powers of the churchwardens and overseers as to the "holding or management of parish property" are in such a parish transferred to the parish council; but these powers would not seem to include the powers of the churchwardens and overseers for the provision of a mortuary.

The best view accordingly seems to be that in a rural parish with a parish council the powers in question are exercisable by the overseers alone (see ibid., s. 5 (2)) under the direction of the parish council. Possibly, however. the conveyance of the land acquired should be made to the parish council. Similarly it seems that in the case of a rural parish not under a parish council the powers would be exercisable by the overseers alone under the direction of the parish meeting. See *ibid.*, s. 19 (4). In the case of an urban parish, in the absence of an order under *ibid.*, s. 33, affecting the matter, the power would still be exercisable by the churchwardens and overseers under the direction of the vestry. In the county of London the powers in question for the provision of a mortuary appear to attach in all cases to the metropolitan borough council. See Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), s. 8; Metropolis Management Amendment Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. c. 112), s. 3; London Government Act, 1899 (62 & 63 Vict. c. 14), ss. 4, 23 (3).

(a) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 141; Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 88. As to the removal of the bodies of

persons dying of infectious diseases to such mortuaries, see pp. 549 et seq., ante. The Local Government Board have issued a series of model bye-laws for mortuaries under the Act of 1875 (series 15). See Mackenzie and Handford,

Model Bye-laws, p. 507.

(t) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 143.

(u) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 133. The Common Council are the sanitary authority for the City in succession to the City Commissioners of Sewers under the City of London Sewers Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. cxxxiii.).

with respect to the management of such building. The building PART XVII. may be provided in connection with a mortuary, but this provision Mortuaries. does not authorise the conducting of any post-mortem examination in a mortuary (a).

A coroner may order the removal of a dead body to or from Coroner's a place so provided for the purpose of conducting a post-mortem order for examination (b).

Any sanitary authorities in London may, with the approval of Arrangements the London County Council, execute their duty with respect to between mortuaries and buildings for post-mortem examinations by com- authorities. bining for that purpose, or by contracting for the use by one of the contracting authorities of any such mortuary or building provided by another of such contracting authorities, upon terms to be agreed upon (c). Similar arrangements might be made by local authorities under the Public Health Acts under their general powers of combination for the purposes of those Acts (d).

Borrowing powers are available to local and sanitary authorities Borrowing for the above purposes (e).

The London County Council are required to provide and main- Accommodatain proper accommodation for the holding of inquests, and may, by agreement with a sanitary authority, provide and maintain the London. same in connection with a mortuary or a building for post-mortem examinations provided by that authority, or with any building belonging to that authority, and may do so on such terms as may

1200. The London County Council are also empowered to provide Reception of and fit up one or two buildings as mortuaries for the reception, under unidentified a coroner's order, of unidentified dead bodies found in London (g).

dead bodies in London.

be agreed on with the authority (f).

(a) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 90.
(b) Coroners Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 71), s. 24.
(c) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 91.
(d) Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 285.
(e) The general borrowing powers of the Public Health Act, 1875 (see ibid., ss. 233—244), are available for the provision of a mortuary or place for postmortem examinations under that Act, as for other purposes of the Act; see title Local Government. The Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76) s. 105(2) (c) expressly enables sanitary authorities in London to Vict. c. 76), s. 105(2) (c), expressly enables sanitary authorities in London to borrow for the purpose.

(f) Public Health (London) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 76), s. 92. See title

CORONERS.

⁽g) Ibid., s. 93. The section contains somewhat detailed provisions as to such buildings, the reception etc. of dead bodies therein, and the holding of inquests over such dead bodies.

Part XVIII.—Cremation.

SECT. 1. Statutory Provisions. SECT. 1.—Statutory Provisions.

SUB-SECT. 1.—Introductory.

Statutory regulation of cremation.

1201. It is not unlawful at common law to burn a dead body instead of burying it, provided that the burning is done in such a manner as not to amount to a nuisance (h). But the place and manner and conditions of burning are now defined by the Cremation Act, 1902 (i), and the regulations made by the Home Secretary under that Act, and it is an offence to effect any cremation in contravention thereof (j).

Definitions.

1202. In the Cremation Act, 1902, "burial authority" is defined as meaning any burial board, any council, committee, or other local authority having the powers and duties of a burial board, and any local authority maintaining a cemetery under the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (k), or under any local Act; and "crematorium" is defined as meaning any building fitted with appliances for the purpose of burning human remains, and as including everything incidental or ancillary thereto (l).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Crematoria.

Provision by burial authority.

1203. The powers of a burial authority to provide and maintain burial grounds or cemeteries, or anything essential, ancillary, or incidental thereto, extend to and include the provision and maintenance of crematoria; but no human remains may be burned in any such crematorium until the plans and site thereof have been approved by the Local Government Board, and until the crematorium has been certified by the burial authority to the Secretary of State to be complete, built in accordance with such plans, and properly equipped for the purpose of the disposal of human remains by burning (m).

Approval of plans etc.

⁽h) R. v. Price (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 247; R. v. Stephenson (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 331.

⁽i) 2 Edw. 7, c. 8.

⁽j) I bid., s. 8; and see p. 575, post.

⁽k) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 31.

⁽¹⁾ Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 2. The definition of "burial authority" is the same as that in the Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 11. See p. 450, ante.

⁽m) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 4. It will be observed that the section leaves the provision of a crematorium optional. A burial board or an authority with the powers of a burial board are bound, under s. 25 of the Burial Act, 1852 (15 & 16 Vict. c. 85), to provide a burial ground, unless they avail themselves of the alternative of contracting with a cemetery company for interments under s. 26 of that Act. See p. 460, ante. Such a burial authority consequently, though they may provide a crematorium in addition to a burial ground, cannot provide a crematorium in substitution for a burial ground. Similarly a local authority within the meaning of the Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 31), can only provide a crematorium in addition to but not in substitution for, a burial ground, since it is only where such a local authority are maintaining a burial ground that they come within the definition of "burial authority."

A crematorium may not be constructed nearer to any dwelling-house than 200 yards, except with the consent in writing of the owner, lessee, and occupier of such house, nor within fifty yards of any public highway, nor in the consecrated part of the Position. burial ground of any burial authority (n).

SECT. 1. Statutory Provisions.

A burial authority may accept a donation of land for the Donations. purpose of a crematorium, and a donation of money or other property for enabling them to acquire, construct, or maintain a crematorium (o).

SUB-SECT. 3 .- Incumbents and Coroners.

1204. The incumbent of any ecclesiastical parish is not, with Incumbent respect to his parishioners or persons dying in his parish, under not bound to any obligation to perform a funeral service before, at, or after the service. cremation of their remains, within the ground of a burial authority, but upon his refusal so to do any clerk in holy orders of the Established Church not being prohibited under ecclesiastical censure may, with the permission of the bishop and at the request of the executor of the deceased person, or of the burial authority or other person having charge of the cremation or interment of the cremated remains, perform such service within such ground (p).

1205. Nothing in the Cremation Act, 1902, is to interfere with Saving for the jurisdiction of any coroner, or authorise a burial authority to coroners; create or permit a nuisance (q).

nuisance.

SUB-SECT. 4.—Fees.

1206. A burial authority may demand payment of any such Fees chargecharges or fees for the burning of human remains in any crema- able by burial torium provided by them as may be authorised by any table approved by the Local Government Board, and such charges or fees, and any other expenses properly incurred in or in connection with the cremation of a deceased person, are to be deemed to be part of the funeral expenses of the deceased (r).

authority.

any table of fees respecting burials to be made or Minister's approved by the Secretary of State, a fee may be fixed in respect fees. of a burial service before, at, or after cremation, and if no fee is fixed, the fee, if any, fixed in respect of a burial service applies (s).

(r) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 9. As to funeral expenses, see pp. 406, 407, ante.

(s) Ibid., s. 12. As to such table of fees, see Burial Act, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 15), s. 3; and pp. 479, 480, ante.

⁽n) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 5. The distance of 200 yards is to be measured as the crow flies (Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), s. 34) from the walls of the dwelling-house, not from the boundary of the curtilage adjoining the house (Wright v. Wallasey Local Board (1887), 18 Q. B. D. 783). For the meaning of "any public highway," see title HIGHWAYS, STREETS AND BRIDGES.
(ο) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 6.

⁽p) Ibid., s. 11. (q) Ibid., s. 10. It is a misdemeanour at common law to burn or otherwise dispose of a dead body with intent thereby to prevent the holding upon such body of an intended inquest where the inquest is one which the coroner has jurisdiction to hold (R. v. Price (1884), 12 Q. B. D. 247; R. v. Stephenson (1884), 13 Q. B. D. 331).

SECT. 1. Statutory Provisions.

Payment to incumbents.

If the ashes of any cremated body are disposed of or interred by a statutory cemetery company, the company are in some cases required to pay fees to the incumbent and clerk of the parish or ecclesiastical district from which the body of the deceased was removed for cremation (a).

Sub-Sect. 5.—Repeal of certain Enactments.

Substitution for existing provisions.

1207. By virtue of the Cremation Act, 1902, any provisions in any previous local and personal Act for the like purpose as that Act and any bye-laws or regulations made thereunder, so far as they related to that purpose, ceased to be in operation as from the date when regulations under that Act came into force, i.e., August 11, 1903(b).

Sect. 2.—Regulations for Cremation.

SUB-SECT. 1 .- Definitions.

Definitions.

1208. For the purposes of the Cremation Regulations, 1903 (c), the expression "cremation authority" means any burial authority or any company or person by whom a crematorium has been established; and "medical referee" means a medical referee or deputy medical referee appointed in pursuance of the provisions in the regulations in that behalf (d).

Other expressions in the regulations have, unless the contrary intention appears, the same respective meanings as in the Crema-

tion Act, 1902 (e).

Sub-Sect. 2.—Maintenance and Inspection of Crematoria.

Maintenance.

1209. Every crematorium must be (1) maintained in good working order, (2) provided with a sufficient number of attendants,

(a) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 13, by which ss. 52 and 57 of the Cemeteries Clauses Act, 1847 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 65) (as to which see pp. 522, 523, ante), and any similar provisions in any local and personal Act authorising the making of a cemetery, are to apply to the disposition or inter-

ment of the ashes of a cremated body as if it were the burial of a body.

(b) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 14; and see note (c), infra.

(c) The regulations referred to in the present section are contained in an order of the Home Secretary (Stat. R. and O. Rev., 1904, Vol. IV., "Cremation (England)") dated March 31, 1903, and made under s. 7 of the Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8). That section empowers the Secretary of State to make regulations with regard to various matters there enumerated in connection with cremation, and provides that a copy of regulations so made shall be laid before both Houses of Parliament, and that, after having lain for forty days before Parliament, they shall, unless an address is presented by either House praying His Majesty to withhold assent therefrom, have effect as if enacted in the Act. The Regulations of 1903 were duly laid before Parliament, and, no address having been presented against them, came into force on May 11, 1903, when they had lain before Parliament for forty days. In view of the provision that the regulations shall have effect as if enacted in the Act, they must be taken conclusively to be intra vires (Institute of Patent Agents v. Lockwood, [1894] A. C. 347). The regulations have no short title, and are referred to in the present part of this title as the Cremation Regulations, 1903.

A schedule to the regulations contains a series of forms for the certificates etc. required by the regulations in connection with cremation. The regulations do not contemplate any departure from the prescribed forms, for they prescribe the use of the scheduled forms in absolute terms, and not only the use of these

forms or forms to the like effect.

(d) Cremation Regulations, 1903, preliminary provisions. (e) Interpretation Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), s. 31.

and (3) kept constantly in a cleanly and orderly condition. But a crematorium may be closed by order of the cremation authority if Regulations not less than one month's notice be given by advertisement in two papers circulating in the locality, and by written notice fixed at the entrance to the crematorium. The cremation authority must give notice in writing to the Secretary of State of the opening or closing of any crematorium (f).

SECT. 2. for Cremation.

Every crematorium must be open to inspection at any reason- Inspection. able time by any person appointed for that purpose by the Secretary of State or by the Local Government Board (g).

SUB-SECT 3 - Conditions under which Cremation may take place.

1210. No cremation of human remains may take place except in Notice of a crematorium of the opening of which notice has been given to the opening of crematorium. Secretary of State (h).

It is not lawful to cremate the remains of any person who is When known to have left a written direction to the contrary, or to cremate human remains which have not been identified (i).

No cremation is to be allowed until the death of the deceased has Registration been duly registered, except where an inquest has been held and a of death. certificate given by a coroner (k).

No cremation is to be allowed to take place unless application Application therefor be made, and the particulars stated in the application be for cremation. confirmed by statutory declaration. Such application must be signed and the statutory declaration made by an executor or by the nearest surviving relative of the deceased, or, if made by any other person, must show a satisfactory reason why the application is not made by an executor or by the nearest surviving relative (1).

1211. No cremation is to be allowed to take place unless (1) a Certificates certificate be given by a registered medical practitioner who has etc. attended the deceased during his last illness, and who can certify definitely as to the cause of death, and a confirmatory medical certificate be given by another medical practitioner, who must be qualified as hereinafter mentioned (m), or (2) a post-mortem examination has been made by a medical practitioner expert in pathology, appointed by the cremation authority (or in case of emergency appointed by the medical referee), and a certificate given by him(n), or (3) an inquest has been held and a certificate has been given by the coroner (o). And no cremation may, in any case, take place except on the written authority of the medical referee (p).

The confirmatory medical certificate above mentioned, if not Confirmatory

certificate.

```
(f) Cremation Regulations, 1903, r. 1.
```

⁽g) I bid., r. 2. (h) I bid., r. 3.

⁽i) 1 bid., rr. 4, 5.

⁽k) Ibid., r. 6. (l) Ibid., r. 7. For the prescribed form, see ibid., Schedule, Form E. For the prescribed form, see ibid., Schedule, Form A. (m) 1 bid., r. 8. For the prescribed forms, see ibid., Schedule, Forms B

and C. (n) Ibid. For the prescribed form, see ibid., Schedule, Form D. (o) Ibid. For the prescribed form, see ibid., Schedule, Form E. (p) Ibid. For the prescribed form, see ibid., Schedule, Form F.

SECT. 2. Regulations for Cremation.

given by the medical referee, must be given by a registered medical practitioner of not less than five years' standing, who must either (1) be appointed for the purpose by the cremation authority, or (2) hold one of the following appointments: medical officer of health; police surgeon; certifying surgeon under the Factory and Workshop Act, 1901 (q); medical referee under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1906 (r), or (3) hold an appointment as physician or surgeon in a public general hospital containing not less than fifty beds (s).

Medical referee and deputy.

1212. A cremation authority must appoint a medical referee, who must be a registered medical practitioner of not less than five years' standing and possess such experience and qualifications as will fit him for the discharge of the duties required of him by the Cremation Regulations of 1903. If otherwise qualified he may be a person holding the office of coroner or of medical officer of They must also appoint a deputy medical referee possessing the like qualifications to act in the absence of the medical referee and in any case in which the medical referee has been the medical attendant of the deceased. On making any such appointment they must notify the name, address, and qualifications of the medical referee or deputy medical referee to the Secretary of State (t).

Certificate by medical referee.

The medical referee, if he has personally investigated the cause of death, may give the prescribed confirmatory certificate, and if he has made the post-mortem examination may give the prescribed post-mortem certificate. He may also, if a coroner, himself give the prescribed coroner's certificate (u).

Duties of medical referee. When cremation must not be allowed.

1213. The duties of the medical referee are as follows:—

He must not allow any cremation to take place if it appears that the deceased left a written direction to the contrary, nor (except where an inquest has been held and a certificate given by a coroner in the prescribed form) unless he is satisfied that the death of the deceased has been duly registered, by the production of a "certificate of registry of death" on one of the forms provided by the Registrar-General for production in case of burial.

Examination of certificates etc.

He must, before allowing the cremation, examine the application and certificates and ascertain that they are such as are required by the Cremation Regulations, 1903, and that the inquiry made by the persons giving the certificates has been adequate. He may make any inquiry with regard to the application and certificates that he may think necessary.

Application by proper person.

He must not allow the cremation unless he is satisfied that the application is made by an executor or by the nearest surviving relative of the deceased, or, if made by any other person, that the

⁽q) 1 Edw. 7, c. 22.

⁽r) The regulations refer to the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 37), for which the Act of 1906 (6 Edw. 7, c. 58), s. 10, is now substituted.

⁽s) Cremation Regulations, 1903, r. 9. (t) Ibid., r. 10. (u) Ibid., r. 11. For the prescribe For the prescribed forms, see ibid., Schedule, Forms

fact that the executor or nearest relative has not made the application is sufficiently explained, and that the person making the Regulations application is a proper person to do so, nor unless he is satisfied that the fact and cause of death have been definitely ascertained; and in particular, if the cause of death assigned in the medical No reason to certificates be such as, regard being had to all the circumstances, might be due to poison, to violence, to any illegal operation, or to privation or neglect, he must require a post-mortem examination to be held, and if that fails to reveal the cause of death, or if it appears that death was due to poison, to violence, to any illegal operation, or to privation or neglect, or if there is any suspicious circumstance whatsoever, whether revealed in the certificates or otherwise coming to his knowledge, he must decline to allow the cremation unless an inquest be held and a certificate given by the coroner in the prescribed form.

SECT. 2. for Cremation.

suspect cause of death.

If a coroner has given notice that he intends to hold an inquest Inquest. on the body, he must not allow the cremation to take place until the inquest has been held.

The medical referee may in any case decline to allow the crema- Prohibition tion without stating any reason.

In the case of the remains of a person who has died in any place assigned. out of England the medical referee may accept a declaration con- Persons dying taining the prescribed particulars if it be made before any abroad. person having authority in that place to administer an oath or to take a declaration; and he may accept medical certificates if they be signed by any medical practitioners who are shown to his satisfaction to possess qualifications substantially equivalent to those prescribed in the case of each certificate by the Cremation Regulations, 1903 (v).

bodies already

1214. The regulations above referred to, save those prohibiting Cremation of cremation except in a crematorium of the opening of which due notice has been given, and prohibiting the cremation of the remains of a person who has left written directions to the contrary (w), do not apply to the cremation of the remains of a deceased person who has already been buried for not less than one year. Such remains may be cremated, subject to such conditions as the Secretary of State may impose in the exhumation licence granted by him or otherwise; and any such cremation in which those conditions are not observed is to be deemed a contravention of the Cremation Regulations, 1903(x).

1215. In the case of any person dying of plague, cholera, or suspension of yellow fever on board ship or in a hospital or temporary place of regulations. reception of the sick provided by a port or other local authority under the Public Health Acts or by a hospital committee under the Isolation Hospital Acts, the medical referee, if satisfied as to the cause of death, may dispense with any of the requirements of certain

(w) Ibid., rr. 3, 4; and see p. 571, ante.

(x) Ibid., r. 13.



⁽v) Cremation Regulations, 1903, r. 12. For the prescribed forms of declaration and medical certificates, see ibid., Schedule, Forms A-D.

SECT. 2.
Regulations
for
Cremation.

of the regulations above referred to (a). These regulations may also be temporarily suspended or modified in any district during an epidemic or for other sufficient reason by an order of the Secretary of State on the application of a local authority (b).

Still-born child. 1216. Notwithstanding the foregoing regulations, the medical referee may permit the cremation of the remains of a still-born child if it be certified to be still-born by a registered medical practitioner after examination of the body, and if the referee after such inquiries as he may think necessary is satisfied that it was still-born, and that there is no reason for further examination (c).

SUB-SECT. 4.—Disposal of Ashes.

Ashes.

1217. After the cremation of the remains of a deceased person the ashes must be given into the charge of the person who applied for the cremation if he so desires. If not, they must be retained by the cremation authority, and, in the absence of any special arrangement for their burial or preservation, must be decently interred in a burial ground or in land adjoining the crematorium reserved for the burial of ashes. In the case of ashes left temporarily in the charge of the cremation authority and not removed within a reasonable time, a fortnight's notice must be given to the person who applied for the cremation before the remains are interred (d).

SUB-SECT. 5.—Registration of Cremations etc.

Registration.

1218. A cremation authority must appoint a registrar, who must keep a register in a prescribed form of all cremations carried out by the cremation authority. He must make the entries relating to each cremation immediately after the cremation has taken place, except the entry in the last column, relating to the disposal of the ashes, which he must make as soon as the remains of the deceased have been handed to the relatives or otherwise disposed of (e).

All applications, certificates, statutory declarations, and other documents relating to any cremation must be marked with a number corresponding to the number in the register, filed in order, and carefully preserved by the cremation authority. All such registers and documents may be inspected at any reasonable hour by any person appointed for that purpose by the Secretary of State, the Local Government Board, or the chief officer of any police force (f).

Custody of registers on closing of crematorium.

When any crematorium is closed as hereinbefore mentioned, the cremation authority must send all registers and documents relating to the cremations which have taken place therein to the Secretary of State, or otherwise dispose of them as he may direct (g).

⁽a) I.e., Cremation Regulations, 1903, rr. 4-9 and 12.

⁽b) Ibid., r. 14.

⁽c) Ibid., r. 15. (d) Ibid., r. 16.

⁽e) Ibid., r. 17. As to the prescribed form of register, see ibid., Schedule, Form G.

⁽f) Ibid., r. 18. (g) Ibid., r. 19.

1219. All statutory provisions relating to the destruction and falsification of registers of burials, and the admissibility thereof as evidence in courts and otherwise (h), apply to the register of cremations, and the provisions as to stamp duty on certified copies of registers of burials (i) apply to the register as if it were a register of burials (i).

SECT. 2. Regulations for Cremation.

1220. The Secretary of State may make any inquiry he thinks fit as to the carrying out of the Cremation Regulations, 1908, in connection with any crematorium (k).

Forgery etc. of register and copies. Inquiry by Secretary of State.

SECT. 3.—Penalty for Breach of Statute or Regulations.

1221. Any person who contravenes any regulations made under the Cremation Act, 1902 (l), or knowingly carries out or procures or takes part in the burning of any human remains except in accordance with such regulations and the provisions of the Act, is (in addition to any liability or penalty which he may otherwise incur) liable on summary conviction to a penalty not exceeding £50; but any person aggrieved by such conviction may appeal to quarter sessions.

Contravention of Act or regulations.

Any person who wilfully makes any false declaration or repre- False statesentation, or signs or utters any false certificate, with a view to procuring the burning of any human remains, is (in addition to any penalty or liability which he may otherwise incur) liable to imprisonment, with or without hard labour, not exceeding two

Any person who, with intent to conceal the commission or impede Cremation to the prosecution of any offence, procures or attempts to procure the cremation of any body, or, with such intent, makes any declaration or gives any certificate under the Cremation Act, 1902, is liable on conviction on indictment to penal servitude for a term not exceeding five years (m).

proceedings.

BYE-LAWS.

See Companies; Local Government; Open Spaces and Regreation GROUNDS; PUBLIC HEALTH ETC.; RAILWAYS AND CANALS; and other titles passim.

⁽h) As to these provisions, see pp. 555 et seq., ante.
(i) Stamp Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 19), ss. 1, 64, Sched. I., Copy or Extract Certified.

⁽j) Cremation Act, 1902 (2 Edw. 7, c. 8), s. 7. (k) Cremation Regulations, 1903, r. 20.

^{(1) 2} Edw. 7, c. 8.

⁽m) Ibid., s. 8.

CABLES.

See Telegraphs and Telephones.

CABS.

See STREET TRAFFIC.

CANADA.

See DEPENDENCIES AND COLONIES.

CANAL BOATS.

See Public Health etc.

CANALS.

See RAILWAYS AND CANALS.

CANCELLATION OF DOCUMENTS.

See DEEDS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS.

CANON LAW.

See Ecclesiastical Law.

CAPITAL AND INCOME.

See SETTLEMENTS; WILLS.

CARDS.

See Gaming and Wagering; Revenue.

Digitized by Google

(578)

CARGO.

See Shipping and Navigation.

CARRIAGE BY SEA.

See Shipping and Navigation.

END OF VOL. III.

```
BARBED WIRE. See BOUNDARIES ETC.
BILLS OF QUANTITIES. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.
BILLS OF SALE, 1-77
    absolute dispositions, 29
    accidental omissions, relief against, 74, 75
    act of bankruptcy by grantor, no right of seizure on, 69 grant of bill as, 58, 59 addition to bill, 29
    address and description of attesting witness, omission of, 35
    adjudication against grantor, seizure on, 69
    affidavit and bill, discrepancies etc. in, 49
              of attestation, requisites of, 46
              on registration, 48, 49
    after-acquired property, assignment of, 37
                                     in pursuance of marriage settlement, 17
                               inclusion of, in bill or schedule, 28, 29
    agreement to give bill, 13, 14
                    hold goods at creditor's disposal, 18
    amount of consideration, 30
    apparent possession, husband and wife, in case of, 17, 57
                           meaning and effect of, 56
    termination of, 56, 57
"as beneficial owner," effect of insertion of, 35
    assignment, as bill, 6, 8
                  for creditors, not bill, 6, 16
                                 avoidance of certain absolute bills in case of, 55, 56
                  of bill, 72, 73
                          renewal of registration not necessary on, 52
                     debt arising under unregistered mortgage of chattels, 22
                     farm lettings etc., 23
                     fixtures, 24
                     growing crops, 23
                    reversionary right to chattels, 22 rights under hire agreement, 22
                    share in partnership, 22 trade machinery, 25, 26
    attestation, avoidance of security bills for want of, 53, 54
                 necessity for, 45 statement of, in affidavit, 48
    attesting witness, insertion of name etc. of, 35, 43
   attornment clause in mortgage, 14, 15 auctioneer, memorandum in book of, 10
    avoidance of bills, 53-64 etc.
         apparent possession, 56, 57
         as against execution creditors, 61
                    rates and taxes, 63
                     trustee in bankruptcy, 57-59
         by fraudulent conveyance, 61, 62
         effect of possession under void bill, 54
         extent of, in various cases where bill given for security, 54
                                events in case of absolute bill, 55, 56
         grounds for, in case of security bill, 53
         partial, in certain cases, 29, 31, 45
```

(1)

P P 2

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
     avoidance of bills-continued.
          reputed ownership, by doctrine of, 59-61
          successive bills, in case of, 64
     avoidance of registration, 54
     bailee, chattels in possession of, may be in grantor's apparent possession. 56
     bankers, letters to, for advance on goods outstanding, 19
bankrupt, undischarged, grant of bill by, 65
bankruptcy of grantor, extent of distress on assigned goods in case of, 70
                                  grantee's rights on, 57, 58
     scizure by grantee on, 69
beneficial interest, constitution of "true ownership" by, 30
     bills of lading, 6, 18 boat, transfer of, 17
     bonus, agreement in bill for, 38
     breach of covenant for maintaining security, seizure on, 69
     building contracts, powers in, 12, 259, 264, 265
     cancellation by successive bills, 64
     certificate of registration, insufficiency of, as proof of registration, 76 chattels real, effect of inclusion in bill, 22
     choses in action, assignment of, 22
     not "personal chattels," 21 classes of bill of sale, 5
     clerical errors in copy of bill etc., effect of, 47 collateral agreement, bill requiring to be read with, not in statutory form, 36
                               incorporation of, 44, 45
                               to be stated in consideration, 32
     companies, capital in certain, not "personal chattels," 21 composition, bill given by debtor after, 65
                     by grantor, no right of seizure on, 69
     condition, effect of unregistered, 54
                   incorporation of, 43
     consent of true owner, application of doctrine of, in case of grantee of bill, 60, 61
     consideration, 30-34, 53, 54
          agreement to repay part on demand, effect of, 31
          amount, 30, 53
          deductions, 33
          expenses of transaction, inclusion of, 33, 34
          mis-statement of, 31, 53, 54
          must be complete, 32
"now paid" etc., meaning of, 32, 33
           promissory note not due, addition of, 31
     consolidation, applicability of doctrine of, 72
     construction of instruments made before 1879...4
     constructive possession of goods, effect of, 7
     costs of maintaining rights under security, retention by grantee of proceeds of sale
        for, 71
     countries to which Acts apply, 4 county court, filing and inspection of abstract in, in certain cases, 52
     court, payment into, effect of, in interpleader 63
     creditors, assignment for benefit of, 16
     custom, exclusion of reputed ownership by, 60
     damages for wrongful seizure, measure of, 67
     date of execution of bill, statement of, in affidavit, 45
     debentures, 19, 20
     debt under unregistered mortgage of chattels, assignment of, 22
     declaration of trust, incorporation of, 43
     declarations of trust without transfer, 8, 9
     deductions from consideration money, 33
     deed of charge, 20
     deeds, effect of inclusion in bill, 22
     default of payment, seizure by grantee on, 68 defeasance, effect of unregistered, 54
                   incorporation of, 43
                   meaning of, 40, 44
     definition, 5, 6
     delivery of chattels, effect of, 6, 7, 22
     up of bill, by grantee on payment, 74 demand of possession, exclusion of reputed ownership by, 60
                                                (2)
```

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
    description of grantee, omission of, 35
                    grantor and attesting witness, burden of proof of insufficiency
                                                of, 50
                                              witnesses, supplementing omission of, 49
                    parties and attesting witnesses, necessity for, 48, 49
                  specific, of chattels, extent of avoidance by want of, 54. See also
                    specific description etc.
    distress, bill no protection against, 54, 70
              extent of, where assignor bankrupt, 70
              form of instruments giving powers of, 34
              grantee's indemnity in case of, 70
              on assigned and other goods, marshalling in case of, 70
              powers of, in document, 11, 12, 14, 15
              removal of goods by grantee to avoid, 70
              seizure by grantee on, 69
              surplus in case of, 70
    divisible, void and effectual parts of bill may be, 35
    documents accompanied by delivery not bills of sale, 6, 7
                confirming oral contracts not bills of sale, 7, 8
                of transfer, 5, 6
                to be deemed and not deemed bills of sale, 6
    entering up satisfaction, 73
         effect of, 74
    equitable right to take chattels, effect of, 6, 13, 14
    estoppel, grantor of void bill liable to, 55
              no "true ownership" by reason of, 30
    evidence, admission of office copies etc. as, 75, 76
               extraneous, of description of attesting witness inadmissible, 43
    execution against grantor, seizure by grantee on, 69
               avoidance of certain absolute bills in case of, 55, 56
               chattels seized under, not in grantor's apparent possession, 57
               creditor, and grantee, priority between, 72
avoidance of bills in certain cases as against, 61
               of bill, statement of, in affidavit, 48
    expenses of transaction, inclusion of, in consideration, 33, 34
    explanation of effect of absolute bill, necessity for, 45 expunging bill from register on payment, 74
    extension of time for registration, 74, 75
    extraneous evidence of description of attesting witness inadmissible, 43
    false pretences, fraudulent acts of grantor constituting, 68
    farm lettings, etc., assignment of, 23
    farm stock, certain, not "personal chattels," 21
    fees on registration, 77
     fixed sum to be secured, 38
    fixtures, conditions under which deemed "personal chattels," 20, 21, 24
              no reputed ownership of, 60
              separately assigned, limitation on rules as to true ownership and specific
                description in case of, 29
    foreign parts, bill of sale of goods in, 18
    form, requisites of, 34-53 etc
         absolute bill, in case of, 34
         acknowledgment of receipt of money, 37
         agreements for maintenance or defeasance of security, 39, 40
              powers deemed to be, 40, 41
         assignment of property other than personal chattels specifically described, 37
         attestation, 45, 46
         attesting witness, name etc. of, 43
         defeasances etc., meaning and incorporation of, 43, 44
         fixed sum to be secured, 38
         growing crops, no deviation in case of, 37
         inclusion of non-existing chattel, 37
         instalments, provision for payment by, 39
         interest, in respect of, 38
         joint grantees, 37
               granters, 36
         manner of repayment, 38, 39
         material and non-material divergences, 35, 36
         omission of concluding proviso, 42
                                          (3)
```

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
    form, requisites of-continued.
         parol agreements, incorporation of certain, 44, 45
         parties, 36
         personal chattels, necessity for subject-matter to be, 21 powers of distress, form of instruments giving, 34
         registration, 46-53. See registration.
         retention of bill after payment, agreement for, 42 sale, insertion of powers of, 41, 42
         schedule, 43
         seizure, insertion of power of, 40, 41
         specific description, goods must be capable of, 27
         substituted articles, no deviation in case of, 37
         time of repayment, 38 variations, effect of, 35, 36
         want of statutory form, effect of, 34, 35
    formal defects, 36
    fraud, repudiation of bill induced by, 67
    fraudulent conveyance, evidence of solicitor as to, 61
                               facts constituting and not constituting, 61, 62
                               impeachment of bill as, 58, 61
                 disposal or removal by grantor, 67-69
                 preference, bill of sale as, 59
    Government securities, interests in, not "personal chattels," 21
    grantor, person entitled to be, 64, 65
    growing crops, conditions under which deemed "personal chattels," 20, 23
                     limitation on rules as to true ownership and specific description in
                        case of, 23, 29
                     no deviation from statutory form in case of, 37
    guarantee of third party, incorporation of, 45
    hiring agreement, assignment of rights under, 13, 22 licence to seize, in, 12, 13
    household furniture etc., no reputed ownership of, 60 hypothecation, letter of, 18
    identification of grantor and attesting witnesses in affidavit filed with registrat,
    immaterial omissions, 36
    imported goods, instrument creating charge on, 9, 19
    inconsistent clauses, effect of, 35
    incumbrances on chattels, retention by grantee of proceeds of sale for discharge of,
    indemnity of grantee in case of distress on assigned goods, 70
    India warrants, 6, 18
    injunction against grantee, 65, 66
    injury to goods after lawful seizure, effect of, 67
   instalments, effect of provision for payment by. 39
                   scizure by grantee on default of, 68
   insurance, insertion of power for grantee to pay, 41
   interest, mode of charging, 38
   interpleader, jus tertii in case of, 63
                  order for sale on, 62, 66
                   payment into court, effect of, 63
   inventories and receipts, conditions under which bills of sale, 9
                 of goods with receipt attached, 6
   inventory, catalogue of chattels not necessarily, 47
   invoice and receipt given separately, 9
   Ireland, Acts do not extend to, 4
   joint grantees, 37
          grantors, 36
not "true owners," 30
   judgment, bill given to defeat, not within Debtors Act. 62
               for rates, protection of goods comprised in bill against, 63
   jus tertii, defendant setting up, in interpleader, 63 legal interest, constitution of "true ownership by," 30
   letter of hypothecation, 18
   letters to bankers for advance on goods outstanding, 19
   licence to seize, bill void for want of form not deemed, 34, 35
                     in building contracts, 12
                         general, 6, 11
                                           (4)
```

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
    licence to seize, in hiring agreements, 12, 13
                 sell, 11
    lien, chattels held under, not in grantor's apparent possession, 56 document giving rights to holder of, 7, 11
          purporting to create, 11 for unpaid purchase-money given in writing, 10
    loan, grantor obtaining, by false representation, 68 local registration, necessity for, and mode of, 51, 52
                         notice of entering up satisfaction in case of, 74
                         of renewals, 53
     London Bankruptcy District, local registration where grantor or chattels outside,
     maintenance of security, meaning of agreement for, 40
                                  powers deemed to be for, 40, 41
                                  seizure on breach of covenant for, 69
     manner of repayment, 38, 39
     marriage settlements, 6, 16, 17
     married woman, chattels belonging to, not in husband's apparent possession, 57
                        grant of bill by, 64
     marshalling in case of distress on assigned and other goods, 70
     memorandum of agreement for marriage settlement, 17
                        sale in auctioneer's book, 10
                        satisfaction, 73
     mining lease, power of distress in, 15
     mis-statement of consideration, 31
     Money-lenders Act, powers of relief under, 66
     mortgage, attornment clause in, 14, 15
     mortgagee and grantee of fixtures, rights as between, 24
                  in possession, demise by, 15, 16
              See description.
     non-existing chattel, inclusion of, 37 "now paid" etc., meaning of, in statement of consideration, 32, 33
     object and scope of Acts, 3, 4
     occupation, sufficient description of, in affidavit, 50, 51
     office copies, 75
    omission of concluding proviso, effect of, 42
    omissions, accidental, relief against, 74, 75
    oral contracts, collateral, 44, 45
independent, 7, 9, 10
ordinary course of business, transfers of goods in, 6, 18
"other assurance of personal chattels," meaning of, 9, 10
     owner, application of Acts limited to dealings by, 6
     true. See true owner.
parol agreement. See oral contracts.
     parties, sufficient description of, 36
     partnership, assignment of share in. 22
     past debt, act of bankruptcy by giving bill as security for, 58
                 effect of consideration being, 61
     payment by instalments, effect of provision for, 39
                delivery up by grantee, on, 74
                into court in interpleader, effect of, 63
                under void bill, allowance of, by trustee in bankruptcy, 59
     "personal chattels," meaning of, 20-22
     plant, limitation on rules as to true ownership and specific description in case of, 22, 23, 29
     pledge, chattels held under, apparent possession in case of, 56
              of stock in trade obtained on credit, 18
     pledgee and grantee, priority between, 72
     possession, licence accompanied by, 11
     post-nuptial settlements, 17
     power of attorney, as absolute bill, 6, 10
                           bill of sale under, 10, 11
                seizure, insertion of, 40
     powers of sale, insertion of, 41, 42
     principal sum, time for repayment of, 38
     priority between bills comprising same chattels, 71, 72
                         grantee and execution creditor, 72
```

(5)

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
    priority between grantee and pledgee, 72
    proceeds of sale, application of, 71
    production of receipts for rent etc. by grantor, seizure by grantee on failure of, 69
    promissory note as consideration, 31
                      defeasance by giving, 44
    proviso, effect of omission of concluding, 42
    purchaser from grantee, title of, 73
                     grantor, title of, against grantee, 67, 68
    rates, distress for, on goods subject-matter of bill, 63, 70
           judgment for, protection of goods comprised in bill against, 63
           seizure by grantee in case of distress for, 69
                                           non-production of receipt for, 69
    real estate, chattel interests in, not "personal chattels," 21
    "reasonable excuse" for non-production of receipt for rent, 69 "receipt attached," meaning of, 9
    receipts for purchase-money of goods, 6
                 rent etc., seizure by grantee on non-production of, 69
    receiver, seizure or removal by grantee after appointment of, 68
    rectification of accidental omissions, 74, 75
    redemption before time for payment, 66
                 by grantor, 65
    register, contents of, 75
              expunging bill from, on payment, 74
              inspection etc. of, 76
    registrar, persons holding office of, 75
    registration, 46-53 etc.
         affidavit and bill of sale, discrepancies etc. in, 49
                  on, contents and swearing of, 48
         assignment of registered bill, not necessary on, 72
         avoidance of, 54
                        bill for want of, 53, 54
         cases where not necessary, 56, 72
         county court, filing and inspection of abstract in, in certain cases, 52
         description of parties and attesting witnesses, 48, 49
         fees on, 77
         local, 51, 52
         mode of, 46
necessity for, 46
         occupation, sufficient description of, in affidavit, 50, 51
         place of, 47
         priority between grantees dependent on, 71, 72
         relief against accidental omission, 74, 75
         renewal of, 52, 53, 72
         residence, sufficient description of, in affidavit, 50
         time for, 47
         "true copy" of bill etc., meaning of, 47 validity previously to, 47
    registry, entry of satisfaction of debt at. 65
    relief against accidental omissions, 74, 75
                   removal or sale after seizure, application for, by grantor, 65, 70, 71
    removal and sale of goods by grantee after seizure, 65, 70
                                              to avoid distress, 70
              of goods by grantor without fraud, effect of, 69
    renewal of registration, 52, 53
on assignment of bill, 72
    rent, bill no protection against distress for, 70
          insertion of power for grantee to pay, 41 seizure by grantee on distress for, or non-production of receipt for, 69
    repairs, insertion of power for grantee to pay for, 41
    repayment, time and manner of, 38, 39
    repealed Acts, 3
    replacement of chattels, provision for, 29, 30. See also substitution.
    repudiation of bill induced by fraud, 67
    reputed ownership, 21, 59, 60
    residence etc. of grantor and attesting witnesses, statement of, in affidavit, 48, 50
    retention of bill after payment, agreement for, 42
    retrospective, how far Acts to be deemed, 4, 5
    reversionary right to chattels, assignment of, 22
                                           (6)
```

!!!!

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
    sale by grantee, conditions of, and relief against, 65, 70, 71
                      effect of, on claim for interest, 66
                      insertion of power of, in bill, 41, 42
         fraudulent, by grantor, 67, 68
         order for, in interpleader, 62, 66
    satisfaction of bill, 73, 74
         effect of, 74
    schedule, catalogue of chattels not necessarily, 47
               necessity for, 26, 27, 43
               registration of copy of. 27
    scheme of arrangement by grantor, effect of, with regard to seizure, 69
    Scotland, Acts do not extend to, 4
    sea, bills of sale of goods at, 18
    searches of register, 76
    security, maintenance of, and defeasance of, 39-41
                                seizure on breach of covenant for, 69
    scizure by grantee, effect of lawful, 65, 67
                                   wrongful, 66, 67
                         insertion of power of, in events not permitted by Acts, 40
                         no power of, except for statutory causes, 69
                         relief against, 65, 66, 70, 71
    right of, 40, 68, 69
separate assignment of fixtures, 24
                             growing crops, 23
                             trade machinery, 25, 26
    setting aside bill induced by fraud, 67
   settlements, marriage, 16, 17
post-nuptial, 17
    ship, transfer or assignment of, 6, 17, 18
    solicitor, attestation of absolute bill by, 45, 46
   specific description of chattels, 21, 23, 26-28
        sufficiency of, 27, 28
   stamps on bill, necessity for, and amount of, 76, 77
   stock, sufficient description of, 28
   subsequent agreements, incorporation of, not required, 45
   substitution, no deviation from statutory form in case of, 37
                 of fixtures, 24, 29
new for damaged articles, 29
                    plant, 22, 23, 29
                    successive bills, 64
                    trade machinery, 25, 29
   successive bills, avoidance of, 53, 64
                     meaning of, 53
                     substitution of, 64
   Sunday etc., effect of time for registration expiring on, 47
   surplusage, rejection of, 49, 51 tacking, applicability of doctrine of, 72
   taxes, distress for, on goods subject-matter of bill, 63, 70
          seizure by grantee in case of distress for, 69
                                         non-production of receipt for, 69
   tender of principal, interest, and costs, after seizure, effect of, 65
   time of payment, statement of, in consideration, 32
           repayment of principal sum, 38
   trade machinery, as personal chattels, 20, 21, 25
                      assignment of, 25, 26
                      limitation on rules as to true ownership and specific description
                        in case of, 29
         meaning of, within Bills of Sale Acts, 25 name, party named by, 36
  transfer of bill, renewal of registration not necessary on, 52, 72
  transferee of bill, position of, 72, 73
  transfers of chattels, 5, 6, 8
"true copy" of bill etc., meaning of, 47
  true owner, extent of avoidance where grantor not, 84
               necessity for grantor to be, and limits to rule, 21, 27, 29
                who is, 30
  trust, declaration of, to be deemed part of bill etc., 43
         effect of unregistered declaration of, 54
                                         (7)
```

```
BILLS OF SALE-continued.
     trustee in bankruptcy, avoidance of certain absolute bills as against, 55, 56
                              rights of, as against grantee, 58
     unregistered defeasance, effect of, 54
    variations from statutory form, 35, 36 verbal contract. See oral contract.
            errors in copy of bill, 47
                      statement of consideration, 31
            omissions, 36
     vessel, transfer of, 17, 18
    void bill, possession under, 54
    warehouse keepers' certificates, 6, 18
    warrants for delivery of goods, 6, 18
    witness, attesting, name etc. of, material parts of form, 35, 43
    wrongful seizure by grantee, 66, 67
BOARD OF GUARDIANS. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.
BONDS, 79-105
    accord and satisfaction, discharge of obligation by, 97
                               with one of joint obligees, 98
    acknowledgment, effect of, on Statute of Limitations, 100
    action on, 101-103
         in case of joint bond, 102, 103
    administration of assets, priority in, 89
    agreement, amount recoverable under bond for performance of, 94
                 injunction to restrain breach of, where penalty imposed by bond, 90
    alteration, discharge of obligation by, 98
    alternative conditions, 84, 93
    ambiguity, construction in case of, 87
    annuity, bond for payment of, 81, 82, 94
         stamp duties, 104
    arbitration bonds, 82, 95
    assignment, 97
    "assignment of breaches," 94, 102
    beyond seas, extension of time for bringing action in case of, 100
    blank, filling up, after execution, 98
           parol evidence to supply, 83
    breach of condition, amount recoverable on, 93—96 etc. application of statutes of Anne and William III., 94, 95
         in case of bond for performance of covenant, 94
                   bonds other than penalty bonds, 95, 96 common money bond, 93, 94
                   forfeiture of bond, 80
        interest, 95
        penalty and costs, 93
                 or liquidated damages, rules for determining, 96
    cancellation, discharge of obligation by, 98
    capacity of parties, 83
    classification, 81, 82
    cohabitation, bonds in consideration of, 85, 86
   collateral security by mortgagor, bond as, Statute of Limitations in case of, 99, 100
   common money bond, 81
        amount recoverable on, 94
   condition, breach of. See breach of condition etc.
               impossibility of, 84, 85
               performance or breach of, 92, 93
   uncertainty, unlawfulness etc. of, 85, 86 validity of, 84 conditions, alternative, 84, 93
   conditional bond, definition of, 80
   conflict of laws in case of Statute of Limitations, 101
   consideration, no necessity for, 83
   construction, 86-89
        indorsed memorandum, 88
        intention to be regarded, 86, 87
        joint and several liability, 88, 89
        obligatory part and condition, 87 parol evidence, 87
                                          (8)
```

```
BONDS-continued.
     construction-continued.
          recitals, 87, 88
    time of payment or performance, 88 corporation sole, effect of bond given to, 83
    costs, recovery of, on breach of condition, 93 court, payment into, 94, 102
     covenant, amount recoverable under bond for performance of, 94
                 bonds to secure performance of, 81
                 by one of joint obligees not to sue, effect of, 98, 99
                 difference between bond and, 81
                 injunction to restrain breach of, where penalty imposed by bond, 90
                 not to sue, difference between release and, 98, 99
                              discharge of obligation by, 97
     crime, bonds conditioned for, 85, 86
     damage sustained, amount recoverable under certain bonds limited to, 94
     date of bond, effect of want of etc., 84 definite sum, bond to be for, 83
     definition, 80
     delivery, effect of, 84 demand for payment or performance of condition, 92
     discharge of obligation, 97-99
          in case of joint obligees, 98, 99
                            obligors, 99
          modes of, 97, 98
     double bond, definition of, 80
     escrow, operation of bond as, 84
     estoppel, 90, 91, 97
     evidence, parol, admission of, 83, 85, 87 execution, 84, 102
     executor of obligee, right of, to sue, 91
     form, 80, 81
     fraud, release by one of joint obligees by, effect of, 98, 99
     gaming, bonds for money lost at, 85, 86 grammar etc., effect of defects in, 85 heirs, bond binding on, 89
     impossibility of condition, effect of, 84, 85, 93, 97
     indorsed memorandum, construction of, 88
     infant, capacity of, as party, 83
              extension of time for bringing action in case of, 100
     injunction to restrain breach of agreement where penalty imposed by bond, 90 instalments, bonds for payment of sum by, 81, 82, 94
                    default in payment of, 92
                    whole sum becoming due under bond on failure to pay, effect of, 95
     intention, regard to, in construction, 86, 87
     interest, agreement to pay, on certain bonds implied, 95
               on judgment, recovery of, 93
               principal becoming due under bond on failure to pay, effect of, 96
     interpretation. See construction.
     joinder of joint obligees and obligors, 102
     joint and several obligees, 80, 91
obligors, 80, 88, 89, 91
           bond, construction of, 99
           obligees, discharge of obligation in case of, 98, 99
                      joinder of, 102
           obligors, discharge of obligation in case of, 99
                      execution by one of, 84
                      joinder of, 102
                     judgment against some of, 102, 103
     judgment for penalty, effect of, 102
recovery of interest on, 93
     justice, bonds affecting due administration of criminal, 85, 86
     kinds, various, 81, 82
     Limitations, Statute of.
                                  See Statute of Limitations.
     liquidated damages, effect of sum named in obligation being, 82
                            or penalty, rules for determining, 96
     Lloyd's bond, object and effect of, 82
     lost bond, action on, 101
     lunatics, capacity of, as parties, 83
                                               (9)
```

```
BONDS—continued.
    lunatics, extension of time for bringing action in case of, 100
    marriage, bonds in restraint of, 86
               brocage bonds, effect of, 86
    married women, capacity of, as parties, 83
    memorandum indorsed, construction of, 88
    merger of simple contract debt in, 90
    mistake, effect of cancellation by, 98
    mortgagor, bond as collateral security by, Statute of Limitations in case of, 99,
       100
    name in blank, effect of, 83
    obligation, discharge of.
                                 See discharge of obligation.
    obligee, definition of, 80 obligor, definition of, 80
    parol evidence, admission of, 83, 85, 87
    part payment, bond conditioned to be void on, 95
                     effect of, on Statute of Limitations, 100
    parties, capacity of, 83
    partners, construction of joint bond given by, 91 payment, discharge of obligation by, 94, 97, 98
               into court, 94, 102
               time for, 88
    penalty bond, definition of, 95
                     statutes of Anne and William III. only applicable to, 95
               breach of condition where sum named in obligatory part is, 89
              injunction to restrain breach of agreement where bond imposes, 90
              judgment for, 102
              money bond with, amount recoverable under, 93, 94
              performance of condition of bond secured by, 80
              or liquidated damages, rules for determining, 96
    performance, discharge of obligation by, 97
                   of covenant, bond for, amount recoverable under, 94
    or breach of condition, 92, 93
personal representative of obligee, right of, to sue, 91
    post obit bonds, 82, 94
    precedent act of obligee, performance of condition dependent on, 92
    priority in administration of assets, 89
    real estate, bond binding on, 89
    recitals, construction of, 87, 88
              estoppel by, 90, 91
              position of, in bond, 80
    release, and covenant not to sue, distinction between, 98, 99
             by one of joint obligees, effect of, 98
    discharge of obligation by, 97 representative of obligee, right of, to sue, 91
    repugnancy of condition, effect of, 85
    restraint of marriage, bonds in, 86
                  trade, bonds in, 86
    seal of joint obligor, effect of destruction of, 99 several acts, default in performance of one of, 92
            and joint obligees, 91
                       obligors, 88, 89
    simoniacal resignation bonds, effect of, 85, 86
    simple bond, definition of, 80
             contract debts, bond debts have no priority over, 89
                              merger of, 90
    single bond, definition of, 80
    specially indorsed writ in action on bond, 101
    spelling etc., effect of mistake in, 85 stamp duties, 103—105 annuity bonds, 104
         excise or customs bonds, 104, 105
         money bonds, 103, 104
    Statute of Limitations, 99-101
         acknowledgment of part payment, effect of, 100, 101
                            to stranger, effect of, 100
         collateral security by mortgagor, 100
         conflict of laws, 101
         extension of time, 100
                                           (10)
```

```
BONDS-continued.
    Statute of Limitations-continued.
         when remedy barred, 99, 100
               time begins to run, 100
    survivorship in case of joint bond, 91 third person, effect of delivery to, 84
    time of payment or performance, 88, 92
    tort, bonds conditioned for, 85, 86
    trade, bonds in restraint of, 86
    uncertainty of condition, 85
    unlawfulness of condition, 85, 86
    unsound mind, extension of time for bringing action in case of person of, 100
     validity, 83-86
         essentials to, 83
         execution, 84
         false grammar etc., 85
         impossibility of condition, 84 unlawfulness of condition, 85, 86
     varieties of bond, 81, 82
     words necessary to create, 81
BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS, 107-150
    accretion, application of rules of, to land bounded by river, 122
                                                              sea, 119, 120
    agreement, fixing of boundaries by, 109, 110
                 implied, in respect of fencing, 131
     allotments, duty to fence, 130
     ambiguity of description of boundary, 139, 140
     area, discrepancy between, and boundary indicated by physical objects, 138
    assessments etc., admission of, as evidence, 146
     assurance of boundary by deed, 110, 111
     Attorney-General, joinder of, as defendant in suits against Crown respecting
       boundaries, 118
     barbed wire fences, 128
     bishop, duty of, to fence ecclesiastical property, 130
     Board of Agriculture and Fisheries, jurisdiction of, 112-114
         apportionment of rent, 113
         award, making, confirming and correction of, 113
         commons, 113
         for purpose of Copyhold Act, 1894...114
                         Inclosure Acts, 112
         in case of inconveniently intermixed lands, 112, 113
         tithe commutation, 113, 114
     Board of Agriculture and Fisheries, orders etc. of, admission of, as evidence, 146
     boundary wall, repair of, under covenant to repair external parts, 131
     building, user of party-wall for purposes of, 137
    cattle, liability for injury to, and by, through non-repair of fences, 132, 133 market, liability of owner of, for fences, 127, 128
            pens, liability of owner of cattle market for dangerous, 127, 128
            straying, distress on, damage feasant, 132
                                  for rent, 133
     commission to ascertain boundaries, issue of, by court, 117
     commons, power of Board of Agriculture and Fisheries to settle boundaries of, 113
     commutation of tithes, powers of Board of Agriculture and Fisheries in respect of,
     conflicting descriptions of boundary, 140
     confusion of boundaries, jurisdiction of court in case of, 116, 117
     conveyance of boundary by deed, 110, 111
     copyholds, boundaries of, 112, 114, 149. See also manor.
     costs of commission by court to determine boundaries, 117, 118
     counties, evidence of boundaries of, 141
    court, jurisdiction of, over boundaries, 115—118 courts of equity, 116—118
              cases for exercise of jurisdiction, 117
              costs, 117, 118
              Crown, rights of, involved, 118
         courts of law, 115, 116
     court rolls of manor, admissibility of, as evidence, 149
     Crown, construction of grant by, 110
                                         (11)
```

```
BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS-continued.
     Crown, procedure in suits against, to determine boundaries, 118
              survey, evidence of, 143, 144
     dangerous fences, 127, 128
     deceased persons, evidence of, 141, 142
     dedication to public of roadside waste, presumptions as to, 123 deed, conveyance of boundary by, 110, 111
     definition of boundary, 108
                    fences, 126
                    freeboard, 126
     description of boundaries, modes of, 110
     discovery of title deeds, 150
     distress damage feasant, 132
     disused mine, duty to fence, 130 ditch, evidence of boundary from act of cleansing, 148, 149
             loss of, by dispossession, 111, 112. See also hedge and ditch.
     diversion of public footway, duty to fence in case of, 129
Domesday Book, evidence of, 143
     casement, right to have fence repaired not an, within Prescription Act, 132
     easements over walls, 136
     ecclesiastical property, duty to fence, 130 "terriers," admission of, as evidence, 145
    enfranchisement of copyhold land, definition of boundaries by Board of Agriculture and Fisheries for purpose of, 114
     entry on neighbour's land, justification for, 127
    equitable obligation to preserve boundaries, jurisdiction of court in case of, 116,117 estovers, allowance of, to occupier, 128
     evidence of boundaries, 138-150
          acts of ownership, 148, 149
admissibility of extrinsic evidence, 139, 140
          ambiguous or conflicting descriptions, 139, 140
          arbitrator's award, 145, 146
          auction, particulars of sale at, 148
          copyholds, in case of, 149
          Crown survey, 143, 144
discovery of title deeds, 150
          Domesday Book, 143
          ecclesiastical "terriers," 145
          Falsa demonstratio etc., application of maxim, 140, 141 former verdict of jury, 145
          orders of sessions, certificates, assessments etc., 146
          ordnance and other maps, 144, 145, 147
          perambulations, 148
physical objects and stated area, discrepancy between, 138
          plan, identification of, 139, 140
          private documents, 146-148
               agreements, 148
               county histories, 148
               inscriptions, 147
leases etc., 146
               maps and surveys, 147
         public documents, 143
   reputation or hearsay, 141, 142
examples of, 145—147
excavation, duty to fence, 128, 129
by statute, 130
    Falsa demonstratio etc., application of maxim, 140, 141 fences, dangerous, 127, 128
             definition of, 126
             liability for erection and repair of, 128-134 etc.
                 allotments, in case of, 130
                 at common law, 129
                 by agreement, 131
                     prescription, 132
                     statute, 130
                 covenant running with land, 131
                 ecclesiastical property, 130
                 evidence of, 149
                 extinguishment of, 134
                                              (12)
```

```
BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS-continued.
     fences, liability for erection and repair of-continued.
                   in general, 128
                   injury to and by cattle through non-repair, 132, 133
                   pit, shaft, quarry etc., 128-130
                   railway company, in case of, 130, 131
     fishing, evidence of boundary from act of, 148
               in river, presumption of ownership of river bed from, 121
     fixing boundaries, modes of, 109
     footway, public, duty to fence on diversion of, 129 foreshore, inclusion of, within boundary of grant, 118, 119 freeboard, meaning of, 126
     fruit, overhanging, possession of, 127 grant of boundary by deed, 110, 111 hearsay evidence, 141, 142
     hedge, clipping, trimming etc., evidence of boundary from act of, 148, 149
              and ditch, boundary line of land bounded by, 124, 125 loss of ditch by dispossession, 111, 112
     highway, boundary line of land bounded by, 122-124
     histories, admission of, as evidence, 148
     horizontal boundaries, 109
     implied agreement in respect of fencing, 131
     Inaccuracy in statement of dimensions, effect of, 110
Inclosure Acts, power of Board of Agriculture and Fisherics to settle boundaries for purpose of, 112
     inclusion of boundary in property bounded, 109
     injunction against overhanging trees, 126
     inscriptions on boundary wall, admission of, as evidence, 147
     intermixed land, settling boundaries of, by Board of Agriculture and Fisheries.
        112, 113
     island in midstream, boundary line of property in case where, 122
     lake, boundary line of land bounded by, 120
    landlord and tenant, duty to repair fences as between, 130
Land Transfer Act, 1897, description of land or boundaries registered under, 115
     leases etc., admission of, as evidence, 146
     legal presumption as to boundaries, 118-125
          hedges and ditches, 124, 125
          highways and private ways, 122—124
          lakes, 120
          railways, 124
          rebuttal of, 118
          rivers, 120-122
    sea-shore, 118—120
level crossing, duty of fencing, 131
Limitations, Statute of. See Statute of Limitations, lopping trees, right of adjoining owner in respect of, 126
manor, evidence of boundaries of, 141, 148. See also copyholds.
    maps, plans and surveys, admission of, as evidence, 144, 145, 147 mine, disused, duty to fence, 130
     mistake in conveyance, remedies for, 110, 111
    modes of fixing boundaries, 109
non-user on part of owner, effect of, 112
     occupier and owner, liability to repair fences as between, 128
     ordnance maps, evidence of, 144
     overhanging fruit, possession of, 127
                    trees, no right to, by prescription etc., 127 right of action in respect of, 126
                                   to cut, 126
     owner and occupier, liability to repair fences as between, 128
     ownership, evidence of boundary derived from acts of, 148, 149
     parish, evidence of boundaries of, 141
    parson, duty of, to fence ecclesiastical property, 130 party-walls, 134—138
          common user, evidence from, 135
          contribution to building of, 138
          divided ownership, 135
          easements over, 136
          meanings of, 134
          ownership in common, presumption of, 135
                                                (13)
```

```
BOUNDARIES, FENCES AND PARTY-WALLS-continued.
     party-walls-continued.
          partition, 138
          pulling down, 135, 136
remedy for exclusion from, 137
           right of support, 136
           Statute of Limitations, application of, 138
          user of, for building purposes, 137
     "perambulations," evidence of boundaries of manor from, 148
     petition of right, procedure by, for determination of boundaries, 118 physical objects, discrepancy between boundary indicated by, and stated area,
     pit, duty to fence, 128, 129
             by statute, 130
     plan, description of boundaries by, 110
            identification of, 139, 140
     poisonous trees overgrowing boundary, liability for, 126
     possession, acquisition of boundary by undisturbed, 111, 112
     prescription, liability to repair fence by, 132
                     no right to overhanging trees by, 127
    Prescription Act, right to have fence repaired not an easement within, 132 presumption as to boundaries. See legal presumption as to boundaries private documents, admission of, as evidence, 146—148
              way, boundary line of land bounded by, 123
     projecting roots, no property in tree by reason of, 127
     public documents, evidence of, 143
              footpath, diversion of, duty to fence in case of, 129
    pulling down party-wall, 135 quarry, duty to fence, 129
                 by statute, 130
     railway, boundary line of land bounded by, 124
                company, duty of, in respect of fencing, 130, 131
     rectification of conveyance, 110, 111
    rector, duty of, to fence ecclesiastical property, 130 repair of fence. See fences etc.
     reputation, evidence of, 141, 142
    rescission of conveyance, grounds for, 111 river, boundary line of land bounded by, 120—122
     roadside waste, presumption of dedication to public of, 123
    roots, projecting, no property in tree by reason of, 127 sea-shore, boundary of, 118—120 settlement of boundaries, modes of, 109
     shaft, duty to fence, 129
                by statute, 130
    shifting boundary in case of sea-shore, 119, 120
    soil, boundaries descending into, 109
spiked fences, liability for injury through, 127, 128
Statute of Limitations, acquisition of boundary by, 111, 112
                                 application of, to use of neighbour's wall, 138
                                 no right to overhanging trees by, 127
    street, boundary line of land bounded by, 124
    support for wall, right of, 135, 136 "terriers," ecclesiastical, admission of, as evidence, 145
     tidal and non-tidal rivers, distinction between, as boundaries, 120, 121
    Tithe Commissioners, certificate of proceedings before, admission of, as evidence, 146
            commutation maps, admission of, as evidence, 144
                             powers of Board of Agriculture and Fisheries in respect of,
                                113, 114
    town, evidence of boundaries of, 141
    trees, evidence of boundary from act of felling, 148
            no property in, by reason of projecting roots, 127
            overhanging, no right to, by prescription etc., 127 right of action in respect of, 126
                                    to cut, 126
    trespass in respect of party-wall, 137
    trespasser, remedy of, for injury through neglect to fence pit, 130
     vertical boundaries, 109
     vicar, duty of, to fence ecclesiastical property, 130
    walls. See party-walls.
                                                (14)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS, 153-317 abandonment of contract, application of arbitration clause in case of, 283
                                 breach constituting, 238, 239
                                 by mutual consent, 196
                                 recovery by employer of payments on, 225
    abut, meaning of, 175
    acceptance of materials not equivalent to affixing to freehold, 165
                    tender, 167-170
                        by corporation, 168
                        conditional, 167
                        further document to be prepared, effect of, 167
                        misrepresentation, obtained by, 169
                        ratification of, 169
                        secret commission, obtained by, 168
                        stamping, 170
                        unauthorised, 169
                    work, implication and effect of, 203-205, 213
                           liability of employer by, 231
                           of sub-contractor, no contract with employer by, 275
                           waiver of right to certificate by, 221
    accession, application of doctrine of, 165
    accidents, unforeseen or unavoidable, effect of, on contractor's liability, 190
    account, liability of employer to furnish, on completion after forfeiture, 257
              payment on, 117, 212, 225
                            recovery of, by architect, 306
    acquiescence by employer in assignment of contract, effect of, 271
                   knowledge of defects not equal to, 204
                   liability of employer to quantity surveyor through, 310, 313
                   waiver of performance by, 199
    action for breach of covenant, accrual of employer's right of, 237
    additions. See extras.
    adjacent, meaning of, 175
    adjoining, meaning of, 175
               owner, architect's liability towards, 296
    administrator. See executor. advertisement for tender, 166
    agency of arbitrator, effect of, 286, 287
architect, 218, 219, 288, 289
               contractor for employment of sub-contractor, 276
    agent, effect of misrepresentation by, 169
            liability of employer for acts of architect as, 220, 221
            unauthorised acceptance of tender by, 169
    agreement, secret, between employer and architect, 201, 218
    alteration of contract, effect of, 173
                             immaterial, surety not discharged by, 280
                             mode of, 179, 180
unauthorised, 230. See also variation.
    antiquities dug up, builder not entitled to, 187
    appointment of architect or engineer, implied condition as to, 198
    apportionment of contract, 182
    appraisement.
                     See valuation.
    appraiser, 162
appropriation of payments, 227
    approval by architect as condition precedent to payment, 207
                  employer and architect, effect of, 208
architect's liability after, 297
                            fraudulent or unreasonable withholding of, 206
                            not an implied condition precedent to payment, 205
                             withdrawal of, 204, 208
                             withholding of, 205, 206
               meaning of, 175
    approved plan, meaning of, 175
    arbitration, agreement to be bound by certificate not a submission to, 209
                 cases where valuation or certificate equivalent to award in, 284. See
                   also arbitration clauses.
                 clauses, 281-288 etc.
                      application of, to sub-contracts, 283
                      cases where inoperative, 286
                      confinement of, to disputes, 285, 286
  H.L.-III.
                                           (15)
                                                                               QQ
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
     arbitration clauses-continued.
                       construction, 282, 283
                       effect of, on architect's powers, 245, 246
                       scope of, where certificate agreed to be final, 285, 286
                                                    inconclusive, 286
                       stay of action in case of, 282
                       usual, 281, 282. See also arbitrator.
     arbitrator, architect giving certificate not, 218
                  certifier a quasi-, 209
                 disqualification of, 286-288
                  distinction between certifier and, 283, 284
                  extent of architect's authority as, 2 17
                  removal of, 288
                 substitute on death etc. of, 285
                       See also arbitration clauses.
     architects and engineers, 288-309 etc.
          agency, extent of, 288, 289
                   no disqualification by, 218, 219
          appointment of, 288
          approval of, effect of, 204, 205, 207
          authority of, to employ quantity surveyors, 309
                             order deviations etc., 233
                                    extras, 289, 290
           bankruptcy of, 309
           breach of warranty of authority by, 291
           certificate of, forfeiture in pursuance of, 250
                           mistake in, 216. See also certificates.
           communications by, as certifier, duty as to, 220
           contractor's duty to obey, 207
           corruption of, 300, 301
           death, illness etc. of, 293, 305, 306
           default of, employer's liability for, 202, 220, 221
           definition of, 160
           deviations, measurement of, 291
           dismissal of, by employer, 222, 294, 295
                         contractor by, 290
           disqualification of, as certifier, 218-220
           dual function of, 207
           duties of. See duties of architects; liabilities of architects etc. errors or want of skill in, effect of, 216, 217, 219. See also negligence. extent of power and liability of, as arbitrator, 207, 218 failure by employer to provide, 198, 238
           for the time being, meaning of, 294
           for purpose of certificate, 222 fraud of, employer's liability for, 202, 250, 289
                                                See liabilities etc.
                     personal liability for.
           fraudulent agreement by employer with, 201
           implied condition as to appointment of, 198 liabilities of, in general. See liabilities etc.
                           to quantity surveyor, 314
           lien of, 308
           non-completion through default of, 202
           powers of, construction of, 288
           qualifications of, 160
           quantities, authority to take out, 291
           remuneration. See remuneration etc.
           secret agreement of, with employer, 218
            sub-contractors, employment of, by, 290
            tenders, authority to procure, 289, 290
            unauthorised order by, ratification of, 231
            variation of contract by, 290
            warranty of plans etc., 289
            working drawings, duty of supplying, 289
       as far as possible, meaning of, 175
```

employer, 271 (16)

assignment of agreement, including plant and materials, a bill of sale, 259 liabilities, 270—274 by act of contractor, 270, 271

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
     assignment of liabilities-continued.
                           by bankruptcy of contractor, 272
employer, 272, 273
                               death of contractor, 273, 274
                                         employer, 273
                   rights, 266-270 etc.
                          by contractor before breach, 227, 266, 267
                                          of rights against sub-contractor, 275
                              employer before breach, 266
                          way of mortgage, 268
effect of bankruptcy on, 268, 269
                          notice of, 267
                          of entry under forfeiture clause, 269
                             instalments, 267, 268
                          retention money, 268
share of profits, 267, 268
priority as between, and attachment, 274
                          stamp on, 267
                          stipulation against, 268 subject to equities, 227, 267, 269, 270
                                      forfeiture, 270
    attachment of instalments and retention money, 274
    authority, breach of warranty of, by architect, 291, 301, 302, 314
    award as condition precedent to payment, 286
            cases where valuation or certificate equivalent to, 284
            certificate not an, 209
    bad weather, non-performance by reason of, 200 balance due, ascertainment of, when no provision for, in contract, 214, 215
    bankruptcy of architect or engineer, payments in case of, 309
                     contractor, completion of contract by trustee on, 272
                                  effect of, in general, 272
                                             on assignment of instalments, 268
                                  employer's lien, 265
forfeiture on, 252, 254, 272
                                  vesting of materials on, 262, 263
                     employer, 272, 273
    benefit of extras, liability of employer taking, 235
    bill of exchange, payment by, 226
            sale, agreement conferring lien constituting, 14, 265
                  forfeiture clause as, 12, 259 vesting clause not, 12, 264
    bills of quantities, architect's authority to obtain, 309, 310
                         inaccuracy in, 164
                          liability of architect for, 298, 302
                         lithographer's charges for, 312, 313
                          meaning of, 161, 163, 164
                          warranties or representations as to, 169
    blanks, construction of, 173
    boards of guardians, requisition of sureties by, 279
    bonus, 224
    breach of contract, 238-249
         by contractor, 239
employer, 238, 239
         measure of damages for, on breach by contractor, 240
                                                    employer, 242
         penalties and liquidated damages. See liquidated damages.
         specific performance, 248, 249
    breach of covenant, accrual of employer's right of action for, 237. See also breach
                 of contract.
                warranty of authority by architect, 291, 301, 302, 314
    bribe, architect's liability for taking, 299
           tender obtained by, 168
    brick-built, meaning of, 175
    builder, meaning of, 159. See also contractor, builder's merchant, definition and duties of, 163
                          liability of building owner to, 278, 279
    building, meaning of, 175, 176
               owner, meaning of, 159. See also employer.
                                                                              Q Q 2
                                           (17)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS—continued. building purposes, meaning of lands used for, 176
    burial ground, contract to build on, 196, 532, 533
     bye-laws, compliance with, by architect, 295, 296
effect of disregard of, 195
    cancellation of contract made by mistake, 181
    cash discount, contractor's right to, 278
                     in case of lithographer's charges, 312
          payment in, 226
    cease work, effect of notice to, 239
    certificates, 208-222 etc.
          architect's duty and liability in respect of, 209, 299
         as condition precedent to payment, 210-212
              matters to which condition precedent applies, 211
          persons bound by, 212
award, distinguishable from, 209
                 equivalent to, in certain cases, 284
         classes of, 209 control of arbitration clause over, 285, 286
          final certificate, 213-222. See final certificate.
          forfeiture in pursuance of, 250
          fraudulent withholding of, 201
         inclusion in, of necessary works as extras, 229
         necessity for, 208
          parties bound by, 210, 212
          progress certificates, 212, 213
    certifier, distinction between arbitrator and, 283-286
    charge on contractor's interest, 281
    charges of architects, scale of, 306, 307. See also remuneration of architects and
                  engineers.
                quantity surveyors, scale of, 312
    clerical errors, construction, rectification etc., of, 173, 174, 181
    clerk of the works, architect's responsibility for, 293, 299
                         definition and duties of, 163
    collusion between contractor and architect, effect of, 270 employer and architect, effect of, 201, 217, 220
    commission, secret, architect's liability for taking, 299, 300
                          tender obtained by, 168
    communications by architect as certifier, duties as to, 220
    company, contract with, 172
                proof of new contract with, 231
    compensation for trivial omissions in entire contract, 187, 188
    competition drawings, estimate of value of, 307
    completion after forfeiture, employer's liability to account on, 257
                 by employer, effect of, 204
                     surety on insanity of contractor, 280
                     trustee in bankruptcy, 252, 268, 269, 272
                 extension of time for, effect of, on claim for damages, 243-215
                 in general, 182—192
                  meaning of, 176
                 payment on, 226
                  prevention of. See prevention of completion.
                 relief from stipulations as to, 238
                 security for, 226
                 time for, 189, 190
                       extension of, effect of, 244, 245. See also non-completion.
     concealment, discharge of surety by, 280 conclusive certificate. See final certificate.
     condition, implied, as to existence of site, 198, 238
                                             subject-matter, 194
                                furnishing of plans, appointment of architect etc., 198
                                workmanship, 189
                precedent to contractor's liability, failure by employer to perform, 198.
                                  238
                               forfeiture, 256
                               payment, approval of architect as, 207
                                                       employer, not implied, 205
                                          award as, 286
                                          certificate as, effect of arbitration clause on, 285
```

(18)

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    condition precedent to payment, certificate as, in general, 210-212
                                                    waiver of, 220, 221
                                                 final, as, 214
                                                      waiver of, 221
                                                 progress, as, 213
                                       for extras, 234
                                             waiver of, 232, 233, 235, 290
                                       how far capable of creation by express words, 266
                                       provision of sureties as, 280
    conditional acceptance of tender, 167
                payment to architect, 308
    conditions, usual, as to defects and repairs, 236
                      of forfeiture, 251, 252
    consent of local authority, effect of not obtaining, where necessary, 196
    consideration, failure of, recovery of payments made on, 225
                              where work not fit for particular purpose, 188
                   for contract, 170
                      increase of price, 223
    conspiracy, agreement not to tender not a, 170
                between architect and employer, 201
    construction, 173-178
        admission of evidence for purpose of, 173
        blanks, erasures, and clerical errors, 173
        custom, evidence of, 173
        implied stipulations, 174
        partnership contracts, 174
        words and phrases judicially considered, 175-178
    continuing breach of contract, effect of, on right of forfeiture, 256, 257
                                   waiver of, 258
    contractor, abandonment of work by, 239
               agency of, to employ sub-contractor, 276
               assignment of liability by, 270, 271
               bankruptcy of. See bankruptcy of contractor.
                charge on interest of, 281
               death of, 273
               default of, damages for, 239-212
               dismissal of, by architect, 290
               duty of, to obey architect or engineer, 207, 208
               forfeiture of contract as against. See forfeiture.
               insanity of, completion by surety on, 280
               liability of, to quantity surveyor, 313, 314
               lien of, 264
               meaning of, 159, 160
               payment to architect by, 308
               remedies of, on employer's default, 238, 239
               solvency of, architect's liability for, 298
               sureties for financing, 281
   copies of specifications, charges for, by architect, 299, 300
   corporation, acceptance of tender by, 168
appointment of architect by, 288, 289
                contract with, in general, 172
                effect of payment on account by, 179
                implication of new contract with, 231
   corruption of architect, 300, 301
   counterclaim by employer, payment subject to, 225
   covenant for repair, construction of, 236
   covenants, onerous, not implied, 174
   criminal liabilities of agents, architects, and engineers, 168, 300, 303, 304
   custom. See usage.
   damages for breach of contract by contractor, 183, 239-241
                                       employer, 242
             liquidated. See liquidated damages.
             measure of, against architect for breach of warranty of authority, 301, 302
                                              useless design, 297, 298
             recovery of unliquidated, after loss of right to liquidated, 248
   dangerous work, duty of employer in case of, 315, 316
   day work, meaning of, 176
   death of architect, 293, 305, 306
                                       (19)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS—continued.
     death of contractor, 273
employer, 273, 294
     debentures, payment by, 226
     deceit, action against architect for, 301, 302
                             employer for, 169, 201
     deductions from price, by employer, 224
     default of contractor, damages for, 239-242
                employer, non-completion through, 196-199, 238, 239
    damages for, 242
defective design, architect's liability for, 297
    defects by sub-contractor, 277
                   assignment of contractor's rights in respect of, 275
             clauses, liability under, 236, 237
             in construction, deductions for, 242
                design, architect's liability for, 297
                soil, effect of, 194
             notice of, by employer, 237, 238
             patent, effect of approval of work on rights in respect of, 204
             remedies of employer in case of, 241, 242
             usual conditions as to, 236
     definitions, 158—166.
                             See various terms.
    delay, damages for, effect of progress certificate on right to, 213
                          measure of, 240
                          release of claim for liquidated, 243, 244
            forfeiture for, construction of right of, 257
    in delivering certificate, 220 delegation of duties by architect, 293, 294
     delivery of certificate, prevention of. 220
    departure from specification. See extras. design, defective, architect's liability for, 297
    destruction of subject-matter, contractor's liability in case of, 194, 199, 237
    deviations, architect's and builder's authority to employ quantity surveyor to
                    measure, 310, 311
    measurement of, by architect, 291, 300, 308 devisee of employer, rights of, 273
    devolution of rights and liabilities on death, 273, 274. See assignment etc.
    difficulty of performance, 193, 200 diploma, architect not bound to obtain, 160
     disclaimer by contractor's trustee in bankruptcy, 272
    discount for cash, in case of lithographer's charges, 312
                        right of contractor to, 278
    quantity surveyor to, 312 dismissal of architect, 222, 294, 305
                  certifier, 222
                  contractor by architect, 290
     disputes, confinement of arbitration clause to, 285, 286
    disqualification of arbitrator, 286-288
                         architect or engineer as certifier, 218-220
     drawings, defective, liability of architect for, 297, 298
                implied condition as to furnishing, 198, 199
                lien of architect on, 308
                probationary, payment for, 304, 305 property in, 298
    duties of architects, 291-294 etc.
          bye-laws, knowledge of, and compliance with, 295, 296
         delegation of, 293
         duration of, 294
         effect of death, illness, etc. on 293
         examination of site etc., 296, 297
         necessary notices, 296
         quasi-judicial, 292, 293
skill and care etc., 291, 292
         supervision, 298, 299
    dwelling-house, meaning of, 176
    earnings, estimate of loss of, in employer's damages, 240, 241
    easements, architect's duty respecting, 296
    employer, approval of, not implied condition precedent to payment, 205
                              withdrawal of, 208
                                           (20)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    employer, assignment of rights by, 266-270
                bankruptcy of, 272, 273
death of, 273, 294
                default of, damages for, 242
                            non-completion through, 197, 198, 200, 201
                definition of, 159
                failure by, to perform condition precedent, 238
                fraudulent or secret agreement by, with architect, 201, 218
                injunction against, 250
                interference by, effect of, on certificate, 217, 220
                liability of, for acts of architect, 202, 220, 221
                                fraud of architect, 289
                                sub-contractors, 202
                             to contractor on completion after forfeiture, 257
                                quantity surveyor, 313
                                sub-contractor, 232
                lien of, 265
                notice by, to cease work, effect of, 239
                prevention of completion by. See prevention etc.
delivery of certificate by, 211, 220
                remedies of, in case of defects, 236-238, 241
                repudiation of contract by, 200
    employment, contract constituted by, 184
    engineer, charges of, 307
               contractor's duty to obey, 207, 208
               disqualification of, 218
               fraudulent agreement by employer with, 201
               implied condition as to appointment of, 199
               meaning, qualifications and classes of, 160, 161
               not arbitrator, 218
quasi-judicial duties of, 292. See also architects and engineers.
     entire contract, effect of non-completion of, 183
                      meaning and classes of, 182
                      payment of architect in case of, 308
                      performance of, 186-189
    entry on site by contractor after forfeiture, 253
                      trustee in bankruptcy of contractor, 252
    equitable assignment of debt, priority of, over attachment, 274 equities, assignment subject to, 227, 267, 269, 270
     erasures, construction and effect of, 173
     essence of contract, cases where time is of, 190, 191
     estimate for tender, effect of, 167, 168
               of cost by architect, effect of, 218
     estoppel, application of, to employer acquiescing in assignment of contract,
       271
     evidence, parol, admission of, 173, 174, 178
     examination, architects not bound to undergo, 160 exclusion from site by employer, effect of, 197, 201
                                         remedy of architect against, 211
     excuses for non-completion, 192-205
          acceptance before completion, 203-205
          difficulty or impossibility, 192-195
              defects in soil, 194
              destruction of subject-matter, 194
              during performance, 199, 200
               unforeseen impediments, 193
          illegality, 195, 200
               amounting to impossibility, 196
               during performance, 200
          prevention by architect etc., 202
                         employer, 196-199
                              during performance, 200-202
          waiver, 199
               during performance, 202, 203
     execution against contractor, effect of, on employer's right of forfeiture, 253
                                     employer's lien on materials subject to, 266
                 materials exempt from, in certain cases, 261
     executor of architect, claim by, 305, 306
                                           (21)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    executor of contractor, rights and liabilities of, 273, 274
employer, rights and liabilities of, 273
    existence of subject-matter, implied condition as to, 194
    expedition, extra payment for, 223, 224 expulsion by employer, injunction against, 248
    extension of time, effect of, on right of forfeiture for delay, 257
                                               liquidated damages for delay, 243, 244
                         no waiver of agreement by, 199
    external part, meaning of, 176
    extras, appropriation of payments to, 227
            architect's authority to order, express, 228
                                             not implied, 289, 290
                                             proof of, required in claim by contractor, 233
                        duty to keep down, 219, 220
            conditions under which contractor bound by architect's order for, 208
            definition of, 228
             final certificate, effect of, on claim for, 233, 234
            liability of employer for, by taking benefit, 235
                                         in general, 228, 229, 233
                                        lump sum contracts, in case of, 231
                                        where ordered by architect, 233
performed by sub-contractor, 232
                                                written order condition precedent to pay-
                                                       ment, 232, 233
                                                     refusal to give written order, in case
                                                        of, 236
            matters outside contract not classed as, 230
            necessary works not included in, 229
            no general duty respecting, 228
             orders in writing for, 234-236
                                    orders constituting, 234, 235
            promise to pay for specified work as, 232
             usual conditions precedent to payment for, 234
    failure of consideration, recovery of payments on, 225
                               where work not fit for particular purpose, 188
    final certificate, 213-222 etc.
         as condition precedent, 214 classes of, 213
         conclusiveness of, 215-218
              conditions of, 215, 216
              fraud or collusion, effect of, on, 217, 218
              interference by employer, in case of, 217
              mistake etc. in case of, 216
              ultra vires certificates, 216, 217
         delay in delivery of, 220
         dispensing with, 220, 221
         disqualification of certifier, 218-220
         extras, effect of, on, 233, 234
         form of, 214
         loss of claim for liquidated damages for delay by, 247
         person to give, 221, 222
         prevention of delivery, 220
         subjection of, to arbitration clause, 285
         unreasonable refusal of, 220, 221
         waiver of, 220, 221
         where payment postponed for fixed period, 214
          withholding, 220
     fire, contractor's liability in case of, 237 insurance companies, effect of election by, to reinstate buildings, 191, 192
     fitness of work for intended purpose, 188
     fixtures, regard to, in making progress certificate, 213
     forfeiture, 249-259 etc.
         absolute or qualified, 255
         accrual of right of, 251
         assignment of right under clause, by employer, 269
         completion after, liability of employer to account on, 257
          condition precedent to right of, 256
         defeat of attachment of accruing debt by, 274
                                           (22)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    forfeiture-continued.
         defeat of right of liquidated damages for delay through, 246
         exercise of right of, 256-258
         for delay, construction of right of, 257 further rights of contractor, on, 253
         implied right of, 258
         injunction against, 250
         loss of right of, 253
         meaning and effect of, 251
         new agreement after, 251
on bankruptcy, 252, 254, 272
         events other than bankruptcy, 239, 255 premature, 257, 258
         property of contractor liable to, 252, 253
         registration of agreement for, as bill of sale, necessity for, 259 sub-contractor, rights and liabilities of, in case of, 276, 277
         use of materials and plant on, 253
         usual conditions of, 251, 252
         waiver of right of, 258
         wrongful, 201, 249, 250, 258
              remedy in case of, 246, 250
    foundations, builder's right to soil dug for, 187
    fraud, architect's liability for, 299, 300, 303
                        power to certify obtained by, 218
           of architect, effect of, on final certificate, 215, 217
                          employer's liability for, 202, 250, 289
               employer in collusion with architect, 201, 217
               quantity surveyor, employer's liability for, 164
                                    quantity surveyor's own liability for, 312
           withholding of approval by, 206
                             certificate by, 220
    freehold, materials affixed to, 164, 165 front main wall, meaning of, 177
    garnishee order, attachment by, 274
                      priority as between assignee not giving notice, and creditor
                         obtaining, 267
    gratuitous, evidence that services of architect were, 304
    ground, defects in, 194
    guarantee, writing required for contract containing, 171. See also sureties.
    heir of employer, rights of, 273
    hoardings, property in, 261 holidays, computation of, in estimating penalties for delay, 243
    house, meaning of, 177
    ignorance of particulars of work on making tender, effect of, 192
    illegality of contract, 195, 196, 200
         distinction between impossibility and, 196
         non-completion by reason of, 200
         recovery of quantum meruit in case of, 200
    illness of architect, 293
    impartiality of architect, duty of, 218, 219
    impediments to completion, relief in cases of, 193
    implied acceptance of work, 203
             condition precedent to payment, employer's approval not, 205
             contracts, conditions, and stipulations, as to consent of local authority, 196
                                                              existence of site and subject-
                                                               matter, 194
                                                              employment of architect, 293
                                                              payment of architect, 304
                                                                          contractor, 179, 225
                                                              provision of site, plans, archi-
                                                               tect etc., 198, 199
                                                              workmanship, 189
                                                        in general, 174
             lien on materials, 265
    impossibility of completion, 188
                     performance, 192, 193, 199, 200
                          through act of employer, 197
    independence of architect in making certificate, necessity for, 215, 217
                                           (23)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    indictment of architect for manslaughter, 304
    injunction against contractor continuing work, 250
                         dismissal etc. of architect, 294
                         expulsion by employer, 248
                         forfeiture, 250
    injuries to persons or property, 315—317 insanity of architect, 293
                 contractor, completion by surety on. 280
    instalments, agreement for payment by, effect of, 183
assignment of, 267, 268
attachment of, 274
                  claim for, by executors of architect, 274, 305, 306 forfeiture of, 255 payment by, 183, 184, 225
                  recovery of, by employer, 195, 225
                  refusal by employer to pay, 201, 202. See also progress certificates.
    insurance companies, effect of election by, to reinstate buildings, 191, 192
    interest, claim for, 223
    interference by employer, effect of, on architect's certificate, 215, 217, 220
    interpretation. See construction.
    intra vires, subject-matter of final certificate must be, 215, 216
    joint contractors, assignment by one of, 271 death of one of, 273
          employers, death of one of, 273
          stock companies, contracts with, 172
    land, cases where building contracts involve an interest in, 171
          payment in, 227
    liabilities, assignment of. See assignment of liabilities.
               of architects and engineers, 295-304 etc.
                        adjoining owner, towards, 296
                       certificates and measurements, as to, 299
                        contractor, towards, 291, 301
                        criminal, 300, 303, 304
                        fraud or secret commission, 299, 300, 303
                       limitation of actions, 304
                        materials, in respect of, 302
                        negligence. See negligence.
                        plans, specifications etc., defects in, 297, 298, 302 quantities, in respect of, 298, 302
                        site, examination of, 296, 297
                       solvency of contractor, 298
                       supervision, 298, 299
                        warranty, 301, 302
    licence, architect generally does not require, 160
             of valuers and appraisers, 162, 163
            to seize contractor's property, 12, 253-261
   lien of architect, 308
            builder or contractor, 165, 264
           employer, 265
    limitation of actions against architects, 304
   liquidated damages, distinction between penalties and, 243, 255
                          recovery of, 246, 247
                          release of claim for, by extension of time, 244, 245
failure to deduct from payments, 246
                                                     forfeiture or abandonment, 246, 247
                                                     prevention of work, 244, 245
                                                     uncertainty of time from which to
                                                     run, 243, 244
waiver, 247
                          relief from stipulations as to, 238
   demand, when price a, 222, 223 lithographer's charges, 312, 313
        quantity surveyor's right to discount on, 278
   Lloyd's bonds, payment in, 226, 227
   local authority, contracts with, 172
                     effect of not obtaining consent of, where necessary, 196, 197
                      implied condition as to obtaining consent of, 196
   locality, construction with reference to custom of particular, 173
                                          (24)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
     loss of rent, estimate of, in employer's damages, 240
    lump sum contract, claim for, a liquidated demand, 222
                         effect of, 183, 184
                         liability for extras in case of, 231
                         meaning of, 182, 185
    machinery, forfeiture of, 252
    maintain, meaning of, 177
    maintenance and defect clauses, 236-238
         distinction between, 236, 237
         notice to remedy defects, 237, 238
         reconstruction, liability for, under maintenance and repairing clause, 237
         usual conditions as to, 236
    mandatory order for employer to allow architect to value, 211, 294
    manslaughter, indictment of architect for, 304
    market price, meaning of, 224
    materials, 260-266 etc.
         affixed to freehold, 164, 165
         bill of sale, vesting clause as to materials not, 12, 264 claim for, where better than stipulated, 187, 232
         contractor's right of user, when property in, has passed, 262
         deduction of value of, from price, 266
         execution against, 261
         failure by employer to supply according to contract, 201
         forfeiture of, 249, 252, 253
         implied agreement to pay for, 184
                  condition as to quality, 189
         liability of architect for, 301
         lien on, 264, 265
         old, 266
         regard to, in making progress certificate, 213
         removal by contractor, 253, 260, 262
         reputed ownership of, 254, 263
         rights of contractor's trustee in bankruptcy where vesting clause, 262, 263
         vesting of, 260-262
              rights qualifying, 262, 263
    measure of damages against architect for useless design, 297, 298
                                               breach of warranty of authority, 301, 302
                          for breach of contract by contractor, 240
                                                     employer, 242
    measurements, architect's liability in respect of, 299
    merchant, builder's, definition and duties of, 163
                          liability of building owner to, 278, 279
    minerals, effect of reservation of, 187
               meaning of, 177
     misconduct of arbitrator, removal for, 288
     misrepresentation, architect's power to certify obtained by, 218
                        as to bills of quantities, 169
                        rescission of tender given through, 169
     mistake by architect, effect of, 216, 219, 292
             cancellation etc. of contract made by, 180, 181
             in bills of quantities, relief in case of, 164
     modification of original scheme, provision for, 165
    money had and received, bribe to architect recovered as, 168
     morals, contracts contrary to, 195
                                    recovery of quantum meruit in case of, 200
     mortgage of moneys payable under contract, 267, 268
               registration of, in certain cases, 259
    mutual agreement, rescission by, 180, 196
    naval architects, 160
    necessary, meaning of, 178
                  works not included in extras, 229
     negligence, architect's, facts constituting, 296, 297
                             liability for, in general, 292, 295-299, 301, 302
                             loss of right to payment by, 306
                             where due to clerk of works etc., 293, 299
                 contractor's liability to third persons for, 315, 317
                 employer's liability for, 315, 316
                 quantity surveyor's liability for, 311, 312
                                         (25)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS—continued.
    new contract, agreement constituting, 180, 202, 203
                    by novation, 179, 274
                    incorporation of old conditions in, 199, 251
                    with corporation, 231
    non-completion, damages for, 183, 186, 190, 241
                       effect of, in various kinds of contract, 183-183
                                 on price, 224
                                     recovery of instalments, 225
                       excuses for. See excuses for non-completion.
                       facts not amounting to, 188
                       omissions constituting and not constituting, 186
                       through default of employer, 197, 198, 200, 201
                                            sub-contractor, architect etc., 202
                                impossibility or impracticability, 186, 188
                       within agreed time, effect of, 190. See also completion, prevention
                         of completion.
    notice, architect's duty to give, whenever required, 296
by employer of defects, 237, 238
            matters of which parties employing architect etc. to certify, possess, 219,
            of assignment, 267
            to contractor to cease work, effect of, 239
    novation. See new contract.
    occupation or possession, acceptance not to be inferred from, 203
    old materials, property in, 266
    omissions, express power to order, 228
         construction of, 230
    oral. See parol.
    order and disposition of bankrupt, application of rule as to, 254, 263
    orders for extras. See extras.
           in writing, 234, 235
refusal to give, 236
    parol agreement, waiver by, of right to certificate in certain cases, 221
          certificate by architect, 214
evidence, admission of, 173, 174, 178
    partial prevention of completion by employer, 198
    particular purpose, work ordered to be constructed for, 188
                substance, construction of statute requiring use of, 196
    partners, contract by member of firm of, 174
    patent defects, no recovery in respect of, after approval, 204 payment, accrual of, where no express agreement, 184, 185
              appropriation of, 227
              assignment of right to, by contractor, 267 by instalments, 183, 184, 225. See also progress certificates.
              condition precedent to. See condition precedent.
              detention of, by employer for fixed period, 214
              effect of non-completion upon right of, 183, 184
              for extras. See extras. forfeiture of, 252
              modes of, 226, 227
              of architect. See remuneration of architects etc.
                 quantity surveyor, 312-314
                 retention money, 209, 214, 226
sub-contractor, 275, 276
              on account, effect of, 179, 212, 225
                           recovery of, by employer, 306
                 completion, 226
              premature, discharge of surety by, 280
              recovery of, by employer, 225, 306
              right to, in case of entire contract, 182, 183
              set-off against, 272
              time for, 184
              under illegal contract, recovery of, 194, 195
              where no provision for ascertaining price, 184, 185
   "p.c.," meaning of, 277, 278
   penalties and liquidated damages. See liquidated damages.
    performance, impossibility or difficulty of, 192, 193, 199, 200
                  of entire contract, 186-189
                                          (26)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS—continued. performance, waiver of, 199
     personal contract, effect of contractor's death in case of, 274
     meaning and effect of, 270 phrases, construction of, 174—178
     plans, architect's lien on, 308
            defective, liability of architect for, 297, 298, 302 duties of architect in respect of, 160
                      quantity surveyor in respect of, 162
            implied condition as to furnishing, 198, 199
            property in, 298
     plant, assignment of agreement including, a bill of sale, 259
            construction of clause giving right of seizure of, 253
            damages for loss of use of, 242
            forfeiture of, 253, 254
             vesting and removal of, 253, 261
     plastering, meaning of, 178
     policy, public, contracts contrary to, 195
          recovery of quantum meruit in case of, 200
     possession, acceptance not to be inferred from, 203
     premature forfeiture, 257
                 payment, discharge of surety by, 280
     premises, meaning of, 178
     preparatory work, inclusion of, in contract, 186, 187
     prevention of completion by architect, 202
                                     assignee of contract, 271
                                     employer, by failure as to condition precedent, 198
wrongful forfeiture, 201, 249, 250
                                                 in general, before performance, 196-198
                                                              during performance, 200-202
                                  payment to architect on, 305
                     delivery of certificate, 211, 220
     price, 222-224 etc.
          bonus, 224
          deductions from, 224, 266
          defects, reduction in case of, 240, 241
         increase of, 223
          interest, 223
          liquidated demand, 222, 223
         market price, meaning of, 221
         mode of ascertaining, 185
          quantum meruit. See quantum meruit.
          reasonable, when not fixed, 185, 223, 224
          schedule, payment in accordance with, 222, 223, 230. See also payment.
     prime cost sum, meaning of, 277, 278
     private rights, duty of architect to inquire as to, 296
     privity, want of, between employer and sub-contractor, 275
     probationary drawings, meaning of, 178
                               payment for, 304
     professional assistance, necessity for, 165
     profits, assignment of share of, 267, 268
     progress certificates, 212, 213
     provisional sums, meaning of, 278
Public Health Acts, contracts of urban authorities under, 172
    public policy, contracts contrary to, 195
    recovery of quantum meruit, in case of, 200 qualification of architects and engineers, 160
     quality of materials, implied condition as to, 189
    quantities, architect's authority to take out, 291, 310, 311
                 liability for, 298, 302
                               employer's, 164, 169
    quantity surveyor, 309-314 etc.
         architect or engineer as, 312. 314
         architect's authority to employ, 309, 310, 313
         builder's authority to employ, 311
         definition of, 160
         duties of, 161, 310—312
fraud of, 312
         liabilities of, 311, 312
                                           (27)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    quantity surveyor-continued.
        lithographer's charges, discount on, 278, 312, 313
        person with whom privity of contract, 311, 312
         remedy of, against architect for breach of warranty of authority, 314
        remuneration of, by architect, 314
                             contractor, 313, 314
                             employer, 313
        scale of charges, 312
    quantum meruit, claim on, by architect, 305
                                  executors, 274
                               for extras, 223
                                   work under illegal contract, 200
                               in case of continuation of work after breach, 203
                                         delay by act of employer, 198
                                         non-completion of entire contract, 183
                                         wrongful forfeiture, 250
                               where new contract supervenes, 179, 224
                                     no price fixed originally, 166, 223
    quasi-arbitrator, architect as, 218
    quicquid plantatur etc., application of maxim, 164, 165
    railway companies, specific performance against, 249
    ratification of agent's acceptance of tender, 169
                  architect's unauthorised order for employment of quantity surveyor,
                                                       310, 313
                                                     extras, 231
    reasonable price. See quantum meruit.
                time, employer's right to demand completion within, 189-191
    reasonableness of custom, 173
    rebuild, meaning of, 178
    reconstruction after fire etc., contractor's liability for, 237
    recovery of instalments by employer, 195, 225
                liquidated damages, 247, 248
    rectification of contract, 180-182
    reduction of price in case of defects, 240
    registration of forfeiture clause, 259
    reinstate buildings, effect of election by insurance companies to, 191, 192
    relief from completion in case of certain impediments, 193
               forfeiture, 250
               stipulations in contract, 234, 238
    removal of arbitrator by court, 288
               materials etc. by contractor after attachment to soil, 260
                                            on forfeiture without right of seizure by
                                                 employer, 253
                                                rejection of materials, 262
    remuneration of architects and engineers, 304-309 etc.
         amount of, 306, 307
         bankruptcy of architect, in case of, 309 conditional, 308
         death of architect, effect of, on, 305, 306
         employer's discretion as to, 304
         express contract for, 304
         implied contract for, 304
         lien for, 308
         negligence, in case of, 295, 306
         person liable for, 307, 308
         probationary drawings, 304, 305
         procuring tenders, 305
         quantum meruit on termination of employment, 305
         right to reasonable, where no price fixed, 304, 307
         time for, 308
         work outside design and superintendence, 307
    rent, loss of, estimate of, in employer's damages, 240
    repair, cases where contract for, entire, 184, 185
            construction of covenant for, 236
            meaning of, 178
    repairs, usual conditions as to, 236
    representation, statements not amounting to, 169. See also misrepresentation.
    repudiation of contract, 196-198, 200
                                        (28)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    reputed ownership, application of rule of, 254, 263
    rescission of contract, application of arbitration clause in case of, 283
                           breaches constituting, 238, 239
                           by agreement, 180, 196
                               contractor, 239
                               employer without express right of forfeiture, 258
                                         wrongful, 200
                           mutual, 180
                           on ground of fraud, 169
                                         illegality, 195, 196
mistake, 181. See also breach of contract.
     resident engineer, 161
     retention money, assignment of, 268
                       attachment of, 274
                       forfeiture of, 255
                       meaning and payment of, 209, 214, 226
     revocation of submission to arbitration, 284, 285
     Royal Institute of British Architects, 160
     Ryde's scale, 307
     salary of architect or engineer, effect of bankruptcy on, 309
     satisfaction, certificate of, implied, 214 scaffolding, forfeiture of, 252
     scale of charges of architects, 306, 307. See also remuneration of architects and
                           engineers.
                         quantity surveyor, 312
     schedule, payment in accordance with, 222, 223
     scope of authority of architect. See architect.
     seal, necessity for use of, by corporations, 168, 172, 288, 289
          variation of contract under, 179
          waiver of certificate in case of contract under, 221
                    contract under, 199
     secret agreement between architect and employer, effect of, 218
            commission, architect's liability for, 299
                        tender obtained by, 168
     security for completion, retention money as, 226.
                                                         See surcties.
     seizure by sheriff, loss of right of forfeiture by, 253
     separate works, or separate parts of work, non-completion of contract for, 184-186
     set off of claims by employer against payment, 225, 266, 272
            in case of assignment by contractor, 269
     severable contract, 184
     several works, meaning of, 178
     share of profits, assignment of, 267, 268
     shares, effect of architect holding, in company which employs him, 219
             payment by, 226, 227
     ship, distress on, 263
          ownership of things fitted to, 165, 260
          reputed ownership of, 263
                                  materials for, 254
     shipbuilding contracts, 165, 260, 271
         liquidated damages for delay, 247
     site, duty of architect to examine, 194, 296, 297
          effect of exclusion from, by employer, 197, 201
         implied condition as to provision of, 198, 238
     skill, architect's liability for want of.
                                             See negligence.
               in giving final certificate, 209
          of quantity surveyor, necessity for, 311, 312
             workman, implied condition as to, 189
     soil, defects in, 194
     solvency of contractor, architect's liability for, 298
     special damage, employer's right to, on breach by contractor, 210, 211
     specialist, meaning of, 160
     specially indorsed writ for lump sum contract, 222
     specific performance of building contract, 197, 248, 249
                             contract for employment of architect, 294
                                           payment in land, 227
     specifications, charges by architect for copies of, 299, 300
                    defective, liability of architect for, 297, 298
                    duties of quantity surveyor in respect of, 162
                                         (-29)
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
    specifications, meaning of, 178
    stamp duty on acceptance of tender, 170
                     valuations, 162, 163
    Statute of Frauds, application of, 171, 172 statutes, illegality by disregard of, 195, 196
              knowledge of, required of architect, 295, 296
    statutory power, extra expense caused by employer's exercise of, 236
    stay of proceedings in case of arbitration clause, 282 stipulations implied. See implied contracts etc.
    strikes, effect of, on time for completion, 200
             meaning of, 178
    structure, meaning of, 178
    sub-contractor, acceptance of work of, by employer, effect of, 275
                      assignment of contractor's rights against, 275 contractor's liability to, through breach by employer, 242
                      damages against, by contractor's trustee, 272
                     defective work by, 277 effect of terms of principal contract on rights of, 277
                      employment of, by architect, 290
                      guarantee for payment of, 281
                      liability of employer for extras done by, 232
                      meaning of, 160
                      non-completion through default of, 202
                      payment to, by employer, 232, 275, 276
                      rights and liability of, on forfeiture of contract, 276, 277
                             of contractor's trustee against, 272
                                on contractor's bankruptcy, 275
                                     See also sub-contracts.
    sub-contracts, 275-277, 283
         application of arbitration clause to, 283
         relations between sub-contractor and employer, 275, 276
                                                  principal contractor, 276, 277
         terms of principal contract, effect of, on, 277
              See also sub-contractor.
    subject-matter, effect of destruction of, 194, 195, 199, 237
    submission to arbitration, agreement to be bound by certificate not, 209
    substantial performance of contract, effect of, 187, 188
    substituted contracts. See new contract.
    Sundays, computation of, in estimating penalties for delay, 243
    superintendence, duties of architect in respect of, 298, 299
                       necessity for, 166
    sureties, 279-281
         for due performance by contractor, 279, 280
             financing contractor, 281
             payment of contractor, 281
         position and discharge of, 280
    surveyor, duties and definition of, 162
    taste, employer's discretion in matters of, 206
    temporary difficulty or impossibility, effect of, 195
    tender, acceptance of, 167-170. See acceptance of tender.
            agreements not to make, 170
            authority of architect to procure, 289, 290
             effect of ignorance of particulars on making, 192, 193
             invitation for, 166, 167
payment to architect for procuring, 305
             reduction of, architect's authority to employ quantity surveyor for, 310
             withdrawal of, or of invitation for, 167
    terms of contract, ascertainment of, 170
    third persons, injury to, 315—317 time, cases in which, of essence of contract, 190
          extension of, effect of, on claim for liquidated damages for delay, 243, 244
                                       right of forfeiture for delay, 257
          for completion, 189, 190
               effect on, of strikes, 200
                             variation of work, 243, 244. See also completion; non-com-
           measure of damages for non-completion within, 241
          reasonable, employer's right to demand completion within, 189
                                           ( 30 )
```

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS-continued.
     time, reasonable, mode of calculating, 189
           relief from stipulations as to, 197, 238
           waiver of condition as to, by implication, 204
     trade or business, construction of words peculiar to particular, 173
     trading corporations, contracts with, 172
     trivial omissions by contractor, 187, 188
     trustee in bankruptcy of architect or engineer, rights of, 309 contractor, claim of, to assigned instalments, 268
                                              completion of contract or disclaimer by, 254.
                                                255, 268, 269, 272
                                              rights of, where contract forfeited on bank-
                                                                   ruptcy, 252
                                                                 materials subject to em-
                                                                               ployer's lien,
265
                                                                             vest in employer.
                                                                               262, 263
     ultra vires certificates, 216, 217
umpire, reference to, 282, 285
     unauthorised acceptance of tender, 169
     unfixed materials, vesting of, 261
unforescen or unavoidable accidents, 190, 193, 194
     unlawful work, employer's liability for, 316 unreasonableness of architect, 207, 221
     urban authorities, contracts of, under Public Health Acts, 172
                         security by, 279
     usage, admission of parol evidence to prove, 173, 178
            examples of void, 300, 302
     use and occupation of work, no implied acceptance by, 203
         of building, estimate of loss of, in employer's damages, 240, 241
            materials and plant of contractor, construction of clause giving right of, 253
    uselessness of work, effect of, 188, 189
     usual conditions of forfeiture, 251, 252
                       precedent to payment for extras, 234
    valuation of work and materials, cases where equivalent to award, 284
                                         duties of architect in respect of, 160
                                         effect of, 210, 211
    value of work done, meaning of, for purpose of progress certificate, 213
    valuer, architect acting as, 160, 284
    valuers and appraisers, 162
    variation of contract, express power to order, 228
                                                      construction of, 230, 290
                            immaterial, surety not discharged by, 280
                            in general, 179, 180
                 specification, effect of order for, 228
    verbal. See parol.
    vesting of materials, 260, 261
         construction of clause for, 262
         rights qualifying, 262, 263
    voluntary performance of extra work, employer not liable for, 228, 229
    waiver of certificate as condition precedent to payment, 220, 221
               condition as to time, 203
                                written order for extras, 232, 233
               precedent to payment for extras, 235 contract in writing, 180, 199
              defects, 203
              notice of defects from employer, 238 performance, 199, 202, 203
              right of forfeiture, 258
                    to liquidated damages, 212, 213, 244, 247
   warranty as to bills of quantities, 169
              by architect, 289, 301-303
              contractor, 188 of authority, breach of, by architect, 291, 301, 302, 314
   way, right of, duty of architect to inquire into, 297
   wear and tear, liability in respect of, 236
   weather, non-performance by reason of, 200
   weekly accounts, meaning of, 178
                                                                              RR
                                         (31)
 H.L.-III.
```

Digitized by Google

```
BUILDING CONTRACTS, ENGINEERS, AND ARCHITECTS—continued, withdrawal of approval by employer, 204
                     final certificate, 216
                     invitation for tender and of tender, 167
     withholding approval, 205, 206
     certificate, 220 words, construction of, 174—178
     work and labour. implied agreement to pay for, 184
     workmen and workmanship, implied condition as to, 189
     writ, specially indorsed, for lump sum contract, 222
     writing as condition precedent to payment for extras, waiver of, 232—234, 290 cases where necessary for building contract, 171, 172
             not required for exercise of powers of forfeiture, 249
                               making final certificate, 214
              variation or rescission of contract in, 180
     written contract, production of, to prove extras, 231
                        waiver of, 199
     order, nature of order constituting, 234, 235 wrongful forfeiture, 201, 246, 249, 250, 257
     year, contracts not to be performed within one, 172
BUILDING SOCIETIES, 321-400
    account, annual, 355, 389
              duty to render, 337, 338, 389
              examination and attestation of, 342, 344
              on dissolution, 390, 393, 394
     accountant, one of auditors must be, 343
     action against officer by society, 338
            by or against treasurer of unincorporated company, 348
    administration of deceased member, avoidance of necessity for, in certain cases, 342,
         353
     advanced members, 351
         bankruptcy of, proof in, for future instalments, 370
    advances, 363-373
          by ballot, 363, 364
         mortgages for, law applicable to, 363
                         transfer of, 364
          security on which made, 364, 365.
              See also mortgages for advances
    affairs of society, inspection of, 390, 391 agency of secretary, 343
     agent, sale to, under power in mortgage, 368, 369
            signature of instrument of dissolution by, 392
    amalgamation, 391
     annual account, presentment of, 355, 389, 390
     appeal from cancellation or suspension of registry, 397
            none from award, 384, 387
                        opinion of Court on case stated by arbitrator, 383
     appointment by court of person to transfer property for unincorporated company,
       348
     arbitration, 381-385
         award, effect of, 384
                  enforcement of, 384, 385
                  making of, 384
                  refusal or neglect to make, 383, 384
         discovery under, 383
          election of arbitrators, 381, 382
          hearing, 383
          notice of intention to proceed, 382, 383
          statement of case for Supreme Court, 383
     arbitrators. See arbitration.
    attestation of account by auditors, 344 audit of accounts, mode of, to be set out in rules, 332
     auditors, duties of, 344
                  to be set out in rules, 332
              See arbitration.
     award.
             of registrar, dissolution by, 394
     back payments, 326
     bailee, liability of officer of unincorporated company, as, 343
                                           (32)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES—continued.
    ballot, choice of arbitrators by, 382
            for advances, discontinuance of, 334, 335, 363, 364
    bankruptcy of advanced member, proof in, for future instalments, 370
                  etc. of officer of unincorporated society, effect of, 346, 347
    benefit for loan, receipt of, by officer, 339
    bequests etc. of shares, 357
    bills and notes, liability of officers on, 340
    bonus for loan, receipt of, by officer, 339
    books of society, inspection of, 390
    borrowing, limits of, to be set out in rules, 332 members, position of, 351
                powers, 373-377
                     conditions of repayment, 375, 376
                     directors, personal liability of, for borrowing ultra vires, 377
                     exercise of, 375-377
                          excessive, 376, 377
                     in case of incorporated societies, 373-375
                                 unincorporated societies, 375
                     limitation on, in case of permanent society, 373, 374
                                                terminating society, 374
                     notice of withdrawal of loan, 374
                     security for loan, 376
                     statement of, in rules, 373
                     ultra vires, 376, 377
    calculated to deceive, refusal to register name which is, 329, 330
    cancellation of mortgage, 365
                     registry, 396, 397
                         appeal from, 397
                          effect of, 397
    power of, when exercised, 396, 397 case, statement of. See statement of case.
    certificate of discharge of registered mortgage, 372
                   incorporation, cancellation of. See cancellation of registry.
                       necessity for, 327
                       requisites for, 328
    cessation of membership, 349
    change of chief office, 330, 331
               name, 330
    charge on land, registration of, 364. 365
            under Land Transfer Acts, discharge of, 372
    chief office, 330, 331
    children, priority of, in winding-up, 360, 361
    classes of members, 351
    classification, 324
    commission for loan, receipt of, by officer, 339
    committee of management, powers, duties etc. of, to be set out in rules, 332
                                  rights and liabilities of, 341, 342
    unincorporated society, powers of, 347 Companies Acts, winding-up under, 394—396
                          unincorporated society, in case of, 398-400
    copies of proposed rules required for registrar, 327, 328
    copyholds, mortgage of, 365
    corporation, capacity of, to be member, 350, 351
                                     officer, 337
    costs of foreclosure, 370
    counsel, refusal by arbitrators to hear, 383
    county court, enforcement of award by, 384
                   jurisdiction of, in case of dispute, 383, 384, 385
                                    over foreclosure, 370
                    winding-up of society in, 395
    court, appointment by, of person to transfer property for unincorporated
               company, 348
            jurisdiction of, when ousted, 381, 387, 388
            statement of case for opinion of, 383
    creditor, judgment, petition for winding-up by, 395 creditors, liabilities towards, 352, 396, 399, 400
              not prejudiced by amalgamation etc., 391
    criminal proceedings against officer, 338
                                                                          R R 2
                                          (33)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES—continued.
     criminal proceedings for misappropriation, 346
     death of arbitrator, 382
depositor, 374, 375
member, 349, 353
               officer of unincorporated society, 346, 347
     debts of unincorporated society, liability for, 399
     deceased members, privileges regarding estates of, 353 defaults of society, liability of officers for, 339, 340
     definition, 323
     deposit, notice of withdrawal of, 374
     deposits, temporary, by incorporated societies, 379, 386
                                 unincorporated societies, 379
     directors, general position, rights and liabilities of, 341, 342
     powers, duties etc. of, to be set out in rules, 332 discharge of mortgage, 370—372
     discovery in case of disputes, 383, 387
     disputes, 380-388 etc.
          arbitration, 381-385.
                                     See arbitration.
          county court, jurisdiction of, 385
          justices, jurisdiction of, 386
          meaning of, for purpose of reference to special tribunal, 387, 338
          mode of settlement of, to be set out in rules, 332
          special tribunal for hearing, 380, 381
     dissolution etc., 392-400
           incorporated society, 392—397
               by award of registrar, 394
                   cancellation or suspension of registry, 396, 397
                   instrument of dissolution, 392, 393
                   winding-up under Companies Acts, 394-396
               under rules, 393, 394
          unincorporated society, 397-400
               by winding-up under Companies Acts, 398-400
               under Friendly Societies Act, 397
     distress, enforcement of award by, 385 documents, liability of officers, for false statements in, 340
     donatio mortis causa, shares not subjects of, 357
     equity of redemption, transfer of, 369
     escrow, delivery of statutory receipt as, 371 estates of deceased members, privileges as regards, 353
     evidence, copy of rules as, 331
                of rules, 335
     examination of society's affairs, 390, 391
     executors, how far members, 350, 388
                 mortgage by, 363
     expelled member, reinstatement of, 386 expulsion of member, 349, 350
     false representations, obtaining society's money by, 346
           statements in documents, liability of officers for, 340
     fines, payment of, on redemption, 367
            and forfeitures, 361, 362
                   provisions regulating, to be set out in rules, 332
     foreclosure of mortgage, 369, 370
     forfeiture, 362, 363
          cessation of membership by, 349
     form of award, 384
     forms, schedule of, 332, 335
     fraud, obtaining certificate of incorporation by, 396
                        society's money by, 346
             order by directors for proceedings against persons guilty of, 342
     funds, mode of raising etc. to be set out in rules, 331
             surplus. Sec surplus funds.
     future instalments, proof in bankruptcy for, 370 gazetting award of registrar for dissolution, 394
     illegal purpose, society existing for, 396 income tax, 372, 373
     incorporation, 327-329
           vesting of property, on, 328, 329
     indemnity to directors, extent of, 341
                                             (34)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES—continued.
     indictment of officer, 339
                  proceedings by unincorporated society by way of, 316
     infant, consent to dissolution by, 392
              incapacity of, to hold office, 337
              membership of, 350
              mortgage by, 363
     injunction, not available against award intra vires, 384
                                           order of justices, 386
                                                     registrar, 387
     insolvency, effect of, on withdrawal, 360
     inspection of books or affairs, 390, 391 instalments, future, proof in bankruptcy for, 370
     instrument of dissolution, 392
     interest in case of withdrawal, 361
              on fines, 362
     investigation into affairs of society, 390, 391, 394
     investing members, position of, 351 investment of surplus funds, 378, 379
     unincorporated society, in case of, 347 investments, unauthorised, 379 joint holders of shares, 357
           stock companies, not to be deemed, 352
     judgment creditor, petition for winding-up by, 395 jurisdiction in winding-up, courts having, 395
                   of courts, ousting of, 381
     justices, enforcement of award by, 385
               jurisdiction of, over disputes, 382, 383, 385, 386
     letters of administration to member, avoidance of necessity for, in certain cases,
       342, 353
     liabilities of members, 351, 352, 396, 399, 400
     liquidators, vesting property of unincorporated society in, 398, 399 loan by incorporated society, indorsement on security for, 374 person depositing money as, not a member, 350
          receipt by officer of commission etc. in return for, 339
     loans to unincorporated society, liability for, 399.
                                                                            See also borrowing
       powers.
     losses, liability for, 351
             mode of ascertaining and providing for, to be set out in rules, 332
     lunacy etc. of trustee of unincorporated company, 348
     majority, award by, 384
     mandamus against registrar refusing to register, 333
     married woman, right of membership of, 350
                        transfer of shares by, 358
     matured members, position of, 359
    meetings of members, 353-355 etc.
          annual account to be presented at, 355
          mode of calling, to be specified in rules, 332
          place of, 330, 331, 355
          powers of members at, 354
          special, 355
    members, 348-355 etc.
          classes of, 351
          corporations as, 350, 351
          deceased, privileges regarding estates of, 353
         executors as, 350, 388 expulsion of, 349, 350
               reinstatement after, 386
          infants as, 350
          liabilities of, 351, 352, 396, 399, 400
         married women, 350
          meetings of. See meetings of members.
         number of, 348
          persons who are not, 350
          punishment of, 353
          rights of, 352, 353
    membership, cessation of, 349
          test of, 348, 349. See also members.
    misapplication etc. of securities, 353
                                            (35)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES—continued.
     misappropriation, criminal proceedings for, 338, 339, 346
     misrepresentations, obtaining securities etc. by. 353
     mistake, certificate of incorporation obtained by, 396
               statutory receipt given by, 371
     "moneys," shares not included in bequest of, 357 mortgage, investment of surplus funds on, 379
     mortgaged property, purchase of by officer, 339 mortgages for advances, 363—373
          by executor, 363
             infant, 363
          cancellation of, 365
          construction and effect of, 365, 366
          copyholds, of, 365
          discharge of, 370-
          enforcement of, 368-370
          foreclosure of, 369, 370
          implied covenant to pay, 365
          law applicable to, 363
          property liable to, 364, 365
redemption of. See redemption of mortgages.
registration of, 364, 365
          sale under, 368, 369
          stamp duties on, 372
          transfer of, 364
          transferee of, rights of, 369
     Mortmain Acts, shares not within provisions of, 357
     name of society, change of, 330
                         limitations on choice of, 329
                        to be in rules, 331
     notes, promissory, liability of officers on, 340
     notice of amalgamation or transfer, 391
                cancelling or suspension of registry, 397 change of chief office, 330, 331
                           name, 330
                dissolution, 393, 394
                rules, presumption of, 331, 337, 344
                winding-up, 396
                withdrawal of deposit, 374
                                 shareholder, 359
     number of members, 327, 318
     obtaining securities etc. by misrepresentation, 353
     officers, 336-348 etc.
          account, duty to, 337, 338
                       unincorporated company in case of, 345
          action against, 338
          appointment etc. of, 337
                by court in certain cases, 348
                unincorporated company, in case of, 344
          auditors, 343
          bills and notes, liability on, 340 borrowing by, in case of unincorporated society, 375
          committee of unincorporated society, powers of, 347
          criminal proceedings against, 338, 339
                in case of unincorporated company, 346
          death, bankruptcy etc. of, in case of unincorporated society, 346, 347 defaults of society, liability for, 339, 340 directors, powers and liabilities of, 341, 342
           distress on goods of, 385
           false statements in documents, liability for, 340
           misappropriation of money etc., 338, 339
           mode of appointment etc. to be set out in rules, 332, 337
           necessary and usual, 336, 337
                unincorporated companies, in case of, 344
           purchase of mortgaged property by, 339, 368, 369 receipt of commissions etc. by, 339
           secretary, position and duties of, 342
           security by, 337
                in case of unincorporated company, 345
                                               (36)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES-continued.
     officers—continued.
         treasurer of unincorporated society, functions of, 347, 313
         trustee of unincorporated society, functions of, 347
    outside creditors, liabilities towards, 352
    overdraft at bank by society, effect of, 374 participation in profits, rights of, 351
    partnerships, societies not to be deemed, 352
    penalties, fines not, 362
permanent society, limitation on borrowing powers of, 373, 374
    personal liability of directors, 341
              representatives of deceased members, membership of, 350, 383
              security, advances on, 364
    petition for winding-up, 395
    place of meeting, 330, 331
    powers, borrowing. See borrowing powers. preferential shares, 356, 357
    presumption of notice of rules, 331, 337, 344
    priorities of payment on winding-up, 360, 393
    proceedings against officer, civil, 338
                                   criminal, 338, 339
    profits, right to share in, 351
    proof in bankruptcy for future instalments, 370
    property, transfer of, appointment by court of person for, in certain cases, 834
               vesting of, in case of unincorporated society, 398, 399
                            on amalgamation, 391
                                incorporation, 328, 329
    punishment of members, 353
    purchase of land, investment of funds in, 378, 379
    mortgaged property by officer, 339
quarter sessions, confirmation of alteration of rules by, 336
    receipt, statutory, exemption of, from stamp duty, 372
                        re-vesting of mortgaged property by, 370, 371
    recital in mortgage, effect of, 400
    re-conveyance of mortgage, 370
         by statutory receipt, 370, 371
    rectification of register during winding-up, 396
    redemption of mortgages, 366-368
         contribution by redeeming member, 368
         payment of fines on, 367
         proportion of losses on, 368 statement of conditions of, in rules, 366
         subjection of, to rules, 367
         terminating and permanent societies, difference in case of, 366, 367
    winding-up, effect of, on, 368
register, rectification of, during winding-up, 396
    registered mortgage, discharge of, 371, 372
    name, necessity for use of, 329, 330 registrar, dissolution by award of, 394
              duties of, 324
              investigation by, 394
              jurisdiction of, in case of disputes, 386, 387
    registration of alteration of rules, 333, 336
                    charge on land, 364, 365
                    instrument of dissolution, 392
                    notice of union of societies, 391
                    rules, 328, 329
                    scheme for discontinuance of ballot for advances, 331, 335
    registry, cancellation or suspension of, 396, 397
    reinstatement of expelled member, 386
    representations, false, obtaining society's money by, 346
    rights and liabilities of members, 351-353. See also members.
           of action, vesting of, on incorporation, 328, 329
    rules, 331-336 etc.
         alteration of, 332-335
             discontinuance of ballot for advances, 334
             extent of power of, 333, 334
             in case of unincorporated society, 335, 336
              mode of, 332, 333
                                          (37)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES-continued.
     rules-continued.
         alteration of-continued.
              registration of, 333
         contents of, 331, 332
         contract between society and members contained in, 331
         copies of, as evidence etc., 331
                   for registrar, 327, 328
         dissolution under, 393, 394
         general power of making, 332
          incorporation of, in mortgage, 365
          notice of, presumed, 331, 337, 344
         registration of, 328, 329
          schedule of forms to, 332, 335
          unincorporated societies, of, 335
    sale of foreclosed land, 370
    under power in mortgage, 368, 369 schedule of forms, provision of, 332, 335
     scheme for discontinuance of ballot for advances, 334, 335
    seal, matters relating to, to be set out in rules, 332 registered name to appear on, 330
    sealing of statutory receipt on mortgage, order for, by directors, 312
    secretary, position and duties of, 343
                sale to, under power in mortgage, 368, 369
    security, accounting to society for, 389
by officers, 337, 345
                  society for loans, 376
              misappropriation etc. of, 353
              mode of custody of, to be set out in rules, 332
    set off of subscriptions against money due on mortgage, 365, 366
    shares, 355-363 etc.
         advances on, 364
         classes of, 356
         distinction of, from shares in company, 355
         donatio mortis causa in respect of, 357
         forfeiture of, 362, 363
         joint holders, 357
limit to value of, in unincorporated societies, 356
         moneys, do not pass under bequest of, 357
         mortmain provisions, not within, 357 preferential, 356, 357
         terms of issue of, to be stated in rules, 331
         title to, 357
         transfer of, 357, 358
         during winding-up, 396 withdrawal.
    solicitor not necessarily officer of, 337
             sale to, under power in mortgage, 368, 369
    stamp duties on mortgages, 372
    statement of case by arbitrators, 383
                           county court, 385
                           registrar, 387
    statutory liabilities of directors, 342
               receipt, re-vesting of mortgaged property by, 370, 371
               receipts, exemption of, from stamp duty, 372
    stock, transfer of, 380
                application for, 342
    stoppage of business, effect of, on withdrawal, 360
   set-off of, against money due on mortgage, 365, 366 surplus funds, 378—380
         investment of, 378, 379
             by unincorporated society, 347
         transfer of stock, 380
   suspension of registry, 396, 397 "tacking," by holder of statutory receipt, 371
    temporary deposits by incorporated societies, 379, 380
                             unincorporated societies, 379
    terminating and permanent societies, 325, 326
                                           (38)
```

```
BUILDING SOCIETIES-continued.
     terminating society, limitation on borrowing powers of, 374
     termination of society, provisions regulating to be set out in rules, 332 test of membership, 348, 349
     transfer of engagements by incorporated society, 391
                 mortgage, 364
                 property, appointment by court of person for purpose of, in certain
                              cases, 348
                            on amalgamation, 391
                 shares, 357, 358
                     during winding-up, 396
                 stock, 380
                     application for, 342
     treasurer of unincorporated society, functions of, 347, 348
     tribunal, special, for hearing disputes, 380, 381 trustee, appointment and removal of, by directors, 342
             in bankruptcy of member, not a member, 350 liability of, on dissolution, 393
              necessity for, 325
              of unincorporated society, functions of, 347, 348
     ultra vires acts, liability of directors for, 341
                 award, 384
                 exercise of borrowing powers, 376, 377
     unadvanced members, 351
     unauthorised acts, liability of directors for, 341
                    investments, 379
     unincorporated and incorporated societies, 324, 325
                      society, investment of surplus funds by, 347
                               rights and duties of members of. See under various heads.
                               rules of, 335, 336
     vesting of property in case of unincorporated societies, 347, 348, 398, 399
                          on amalgamation, 391
                              incorporation, 328, 329
     widows and children, priority of, in winding-up, 360, 361
     winding-up, effect of, on rights of members, 352, 360
                     in respect of redemption, 368
                   membership for purposes of, 350
                   under Companies Acts, 394-396
                     in case of unincorporated society, 398-400
     withdrawal, 358-361
          interest, right to, on, 361
          liability after notice of, 359, 360
          loan after notice of, effect of, 361
          loss of right of, 360
         notice of, 359
         of deposit, notice of, 374
     winding-up, effect of, on, 360
witnesses, cases where defendant and wife competent, 340
BURIAL AND CREMATION, 401-575
    accounts by burial board, 458
                  cemetery company, 522, 523
                  local authorities relating to cemeteries, 512
                  non-municipal urban district council as burial board, 488
                   overseers in relation to rate for burial board, 476
    acquisition of land by parish council for purposes of burial, 497. See also burial
       grounds.
     administration to pauper, grant of, to nominee of guardians, 543
    adoption of Burial Acts, meaning of, 450
    transfer of power of, 447
alienation of land acquired by parish council for purposes of burial, 497
    allotment of unconsecrated ground, 467
    alteration, fraudulent, of register of burials, 561. 562 annuities, terminable, burial board's power of borrowing by means of 478
    annuity certificates, burial board's power of porrowing by means of, 479
    appeal as to grant of faculty for erection of monument, 417
            from conviction for brawling in churchyard. 422
                  penalties in connection with cremation, 575
                                                  dead body found drowned, 548
                                           (39)
```

BURIAL AND CREMATION—continued. areas, alteration of, by county councils, for purpose of Burial Acts, 505 other than parishes, provision of burial grounds etc. for, 446 ashes, disposition of, on cremation, 574 assault in churchyard, punishment of clergyman for, 423 assignment of exclusive right of burial, provisions as to, in Cemeteries Clauses audit of accounts of burial board, 458 non-municipal urban district council, 488 overseers in relation to rate for burial board, 476 board of guardians, powers and duties of, respecting burial, 540-542 body, mode of disposing of, 404 no property in, 405 possession of, 405, 406 books for entering proceedings of burial board, duty to keep, 457 inspection of, 458 registration of burial, duty to keep, 556, 557, 559-561 borough council, methods of investing, with powers of burial boards. See urban authorities with powers etc. powers of, under Burial Acts, 447 metropolitan, powers of, as burial authority, 502, 503 transfer of functions of burial authorities to, 503, 504 borrowing powers of borough council, 486 burial authority, 483 board, 476 modes of exercise of, 477-479 joint committees with powers of burial boards, 500 local and sanitary authorities for mortuaries etc., 567 metropolitan borough council, 502, 503 non-municipal urban district council, 488, 489 parish council, 496 meeting, 498 boundaries of consecrated and unconsecrated burial grounds, 467 provisions dealing with burial authorities in orders as to, 505 brawling in churchyard, 422 building in disused burial grounds, 532, 533 Burial Acts, provision of burial grounds under, 445-505 alterations of areas, 505 burial authorities for areas in London, 500-503 in rural areas. See rural areas, burial authorities in. board, establishment of, 446 boards, elective, in urban districts. See elective burial boards etc. definitions for purpose of, 448-450 joint committees with powers of burial boards, 499, 500 metropolis, meaning of, for purpose of, 446 special application to, 445, 446 modifications of original scheme, 446—448 transfer of functions, general provisions on, 503-505 urban authorities with powers of burial boards. See urban authorities etc. vestries, meaning and powers of, for purposes of, 446, 450, 451 burial authority, meaning of, 448, 450 for purpose of cremation, 568 notice to, of burial without Church of England service, 425 boards, elective, in urban districts. See elective burial boards etc. establishment of, under Burial Acts, 446 transfer of powers of, 447 Burial Ground Act, sale of land for churchyard under, 436 burial grounds, alienation of land for, 432-Crown lands and waste manor lands, 435 Mortmain Acts, effect of, 433 perpetuity, grant of land or money in, 433 under Burial Ground Act, 436, 445 Church Building Acts. See Church Buildi Consecration of Churchyards Acts, 441, 442 See Church Building Acts etc. Gifts for Churches Acts, 434, 435 New Parishes Act, 441 Places of Worship Sites Acts, 442—444 Trustee Appointment Acts, 444 provision of, by burial board. See elective burial boards etc. (40)

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
     burial grounds, provision of, under Burial Acts. See Burial Acts etc.
            service at cremation, 569
                    bye-laws for purpose of burial without, 425
                    in cases to which Cemeteries Clauses Act applies, 519
                                        Public Health (Interments) Act applies, 510
                       churchyard, 420, 421
                       ground provided under Burial Acts, 469, 472
                    of paupers, 545. See also Church of England service etc.
    bye-laws for management of burial ground converted into open space, 536
                                   cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 508,
                                   closed burial ground, 530
                                   mortuaries, 566
    purpose of burial without service, 425
Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514—525
          accounts, preparation of annual, under, 523
          burials, provisions as to, 517-519
         chapel on land acquired under, 516
          compulsory acquisition and use of land under, 515
          definitions, 514
          drainage, 517
          exclusive rights of burial etc., 520-522
          incorporation with other Acts, 514
          maintenance, improvement etc. of cemetery, 516
         miscellaneous provisions, 525
          payments to incumbents and parish clerks, 522, 523
          prevention of nuisances, 517
          protection of cemetery, 523
          recovery of penalties, damages etc., 523, 524
     cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 1879...506-512
         accounts by local authorities, 512
         acquisition of land for, 507
          alienation of land acquired for, 507, 508
          allotment of unconsecrated portion in, 509
          bye-laws as to, 508, 509
          chaplains appointed for, before 1900, position of, 510
         consecration of, 509
          control of local authority, 511
          damage by local authority in exercise of powers, 503
         donation of land or money for, 507
          erection of chapel in, 509
          fees for services of minister, 510
         incumbent, fees and duties of, 510
         injury to, 512
          liability of local authority to provide, 506
              outside district, 507
          maintenance etc. of, 508
          monuments etc. in, 511
          performance of burial service in, 510, 511
          rating of, 509
     cemetery, meaning of the, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514 certificate by registrar of deaths, 562, 563
                false, relating to burial, 561, 562
                to procure cremation, 575 of burial without Church of England service, 561
     certificates required before cremation, 571, 572
     certified copy of entry in register of burials for public, 557, 560
                                                        registrar of diocese, 538, 560
                       register of cremations, stamp duty on, 575
     chapel, burials under, 512, 519
             erection of, for burial service, under Burials Acts, 468
                                                    Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516, 518
                                                    Public Health (Interments) Act, 509
     chaplain, appointment and payment of, 510, 518
     notice to, of burial without service, 425 charges of burial board for burial, 473, 474. See also fees. charitable corporations, grant of land by, for churchyard under Church Building
       Acts, 438
                                           (41)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
     charitable purposes, conveyance of land held for, as churchyard, 443
     charity, provision etc. of burial ground a, 432, 433
              maintenance of tomb or monument, cases in which a, 433, 434
     cholera, burial of persons dying of, in quarantine, 553
              cremation of person dying of, 573, 574
     Christian burial, exclusion from, 421, 422
               religion, penalty for attempting to bring into contempt at burial service,
                 426, 427
               service, meaning of, 426
     Christmas Day, burial on, 426
     Church Building Acts, acquisition of land for burial under, 436-440
                                  charitable corporations, grants by, 438
                                  consecration, 438
                                  Crown lands, 437
                                  general scope, 436, 437
                                  outside area, or for several areas, 440
                                  powers of Ecclesiastical Commissioners, 437
                                  stamp duty, exemption from, 438
                        vesting of land, 439, 440
Commissioners, powers of, now vested in Ecclesiastical Commissioners, 437
             of England, ministers of, saving as to, under Act of 1880...428 service, burial without, 424—428, 511
                                    fees and regulations, 427
                                    manner of, 426, 427
notice of, 424, 425
                                    substituted service, 426, 428
                                    time for, 425, 426
                                    under Public Health (Interments) Act, 511
                                     use of, in unconsecrated ground, 427. See also
                                        burial service.
    churches, burial in, 415, 416
    gifts for, 434, 435
churchwardens, control over churchyards by, 410
                      meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449
                       obedience by, to Order in Council respecting vaults, 537, 538
                      payment of fees to, 429, 480, 481
                      repair of churchyard by, 411
summoning of parish meeting by, to consider acquisition of
burial ground, 453, 454
    churchyards, 107-
                        -424
          brawling and nuisances in, 422, 423
         burial service in, 420, 421
                            exclusion from, 421, 422
         churchwardens, control by, 410
         closed. See closed churchyard.
         consecration of, 412, 413
constitution of, 408
         erection of monument in, 416-418
         exclusive right of burial in part of, 419
         faculty for making alterations in, 411
         felling trees in, 409, 410
         freehold of, 408, 409 interference with, 410, 411
         maintenance and repair of, 411, 412
         ownership and occupation of, 408-410
         parish, 407, 408
         right of burial in, 413-415
                             strangers to parish, in case of, 414
                to herbage and timber in, 409, 410
         use of, for secular purposes, 423, 424
    City of London, Corporation of, powers of, as burial doard, 447, 503
    clergyman. See clerk in holy orders, minister.
    clerk, abolition of fees to, 482, 483
appointment of, by burial board, 459
           cemetery company, 519
           duties and payment of, in respect of burial of persons found drowned, 547, 548
           in holy orders, where service may be performed by any, 510, 518, 569
                                          (42)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    clerk, meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449
           payment to, in respect of interment, 482, 483
    closed churchyard, faculty for way over, 423, 424 use of, as open space, 424. See also closed and disused burial
                           grounds
                         powers of Ecclesiastical Commissioners in respect of, 412
           burial ground, purchase of, by burial board, 463. See also closed and
              disused burial grounds.
    closed and disused burial grounds, 525-536
         building in, 532, 533
         lease or sale of unconsecrated, 530, 531
         maintenance of, 529, 530
Order in Council for discontinuance of burials in, 526
              grounds for, and variation of, 527
              limitations on, 527. 528
         powers of urban authority as to, 530
         property in, 529
purchase of, by vestry, 531
         transfer, in certain cases to trustees for parish in which situate, 531
         use of, as open spaces, 533-536
     closing of crematorium, 571
     coffin containing more than one body, regulations for burial of, 564
           opening of, 554
     committee of parish council, exercise of powers as burial authority by, 494, 498
     common fund, meaning of, 540
     company, meaning of the, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
     compensation for compulsory acquisition of land, 515
                       damage in exercise of powers under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516
                       interests injured by converting disused burial ground into open
                         space, 536
     compulsory acquisition of land for burial, 436, 437, 515
     consecrated and unconsecrated portions of burial ground or cemetery, divisions
                    between, 467, 517
                  ground, licence for removal of tombstones from, 535, 536
                          right of burial etc. in, 521
                          sale and disposal of, 462, 508, 515
     consecration of cemetery under Public Health (Interments) Act, 509
                     churchyards and burial grounds, 412, 413, 466
                     land belonging to guardians, 543, 544 new or additional burial ground, 438
                     private cemeteries, 512
                  provision for, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 517
                   refusal by bishop in respect of, 467
                  use of ground before, 467
     Consecration of Churchyards Acts, conveyance of land for churchyards under-
       441, 442
     construction of vault, 415, 419
         fees for, 430
         sale by burial board of right of, 473
     contempt, penalty for attempting to bring Christian religion into, at burial service,
       426, 427
     contracts of burial boards, 459, 460
     convenient warning to minister of burial, necessity for, 420, 421
     conveyance of body to place of burial, 483
     copyholds, acquisition of, as burial grounds under the Places of Worship Sites Act,
     copy of entry in register of burials for public, 557, 560
                                             registrar of diocese, 558, 560
             register of cremations, stamp duty on, 575
    coroner, jurisdiction of, unaffected by Cremation Act, 569
             order of, disinterment under, 555
                       for burial on inquest, 562
                           removal of body for post-mortem examination, 567
             postponement of cremation for inquest by, 573
              provision of accommodation for inquests by, in London, 567
     corporation, grant of land for churchyard by, 435, 443
                      in case of charitable corporation, 438
                      in case of ecclesiastical corporation, 436
                                         (43)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    corporation of City of London, powers of, as burial board, 447, 503 powers of burial board as, 456
                            joint burial board as, 456, 457
    corpse, possession of, 405, 406
    corse-presents, 431, 432
    county councils, alteration of areas for purpose of Burial Acts by, 505
    creditor, retention of debtor's body by, 406
    cremated body, deposit of ashes of, 526 cremation, 568—575
         against written directions, 571
         apart from Cremation Act, 568
         application for, 571
         burial service, 569
         buried bodies, in case of, 573
         certificate, necessity for, 571, 572
         conditions of, 571-574
         coroners, jurisdiction of, unaffected, 569
         crematoria. See crematorium.
         definitions, 568, 570
         disposal of ashes, 574
         fees, 569, 570
         inquiry as to carrying out of regulations, 575
         medical referee, appointment and duties of, 572, 573
         penalties in connection with, 575
         persons dying abroad, in case of, 573
         plague etc. suspension of regulations in case of, 573, 574
         prohibition or postponement by medical referee, 573
         registration, 574, 575
         repeals by Cremation Act, 1902...570
         still-born child, 574
         suspension of regulations, 573, 574
    cremation authority, meaning of, 570 crematorium, closing of, 571, 574
                   donation of money for, 569
                   inspection of, 571
                   maintenance of, 570, 571
                   meaning of, 568
                   provision of, 568, 569
    criminal proceedings, cremation to avoid, penalty for, 575
    Crown lands, grant of, for burial ground under Church Building Acts, 437
                                 churchyard, 435
    custom, payment of burial fee by, 428, 429
    damage in exercise of powers under Cemeterics Clauses Act, 516
             to burial grounds and cemeteries, 466, 523
    dangerous infectious disease, meaning of, 550
    debentures, burial board's power of borrowing by means of, 479
    deceased pauper, omission of burial service on request of relative etc. of, 425
    decency, observance of, at burial, 426, 427
                             in mode of burial, 404
    declaration, false, to procure cremation, penalty for, 575
    deed, mortgage of rates by burial board to be by, 477
          sale of exclusive right of burial to be by, 474
    definitions for purposes of Burial Acts, 448-450
                                burial of poor person, 539
                                Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
                                cremation, 570
    denominational chapels, erection of, by burial authority, 468
    destruction of register of burials, 561, 562
                               cremations, 575
    diocesan registrar, copies of entries of burials to be sent to, 558, 560
    directions of deceased as to burial, 405
                                 cremation, 405, 571
    discontinuance of burials, Order in Council for, 526
                                              grounds for, and variation of, 527 limitations on, 527, 528
    disease, infectious, burial of persons dying of. See infectious disease etc.
    disinterment for purpose of cremation, 573
                  in general, 553-555
                                        (44)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    disinterment under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 518
    disorderly conduct at burial, 426, 427
     district school or asylum, burial of pauper dying in, 541, 542
    disturbance in churchyard or cemetery, 422, 523 disused churchyard. See closed churchyard; closed and disused burial grounds.
    donation of land or money for cemetery, 507
                                     crematorium, 569
    drainage of cemeteries under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 517
                                    Public Health (Interments) Act, 508
    drowned persons, burial of, 547-549
     Duchies of Cornwall and Lancaster, grant of land of, for burial ground, 435, 437
    duty to dispose of body, persons under, 405
    dwelling-house, cemetery in neighbourhood of, 507, 515
                      crematorium in neighbourhood of, 569
                      interment in neighbourhood of, 464
                      removal from, of body of person dying of infectious disease, 549,
                        551, 552
     easement, exclusive right of burial in nature of, 474
     Ecclesiastical Commissioners, burial fees fixed by, 431
                                     grants to, of land for burial ground, 444
                                     powers of, in respect of churchyards, 412
                                                under Church Building Acts, 437
                   corporation, sale of ground for churchyard by, 436
    elective burial boards in urban districts, 451-484
         accounts and audit, 458
         burial ground, provision of, 460-466
              alienation of land provided, 461, 462
              appropriation of parish lands, 462
              contract with cemetery owners, 461
              in neighbourhood of dwelling-house, 464
              purchase by agreement, 460, 461
                        of closed cemetery, 463
              redemption of tithe rent-charge in respect of, 463
              refusal by vestry of consent to expenditure, 461
              rights and duties in respect of ground, 465, 466
              transfer of ground provided under Church Building Acts, 463
         chapels, 468
         charges for burial, 473, 474
         consecrated and unconsecrated grounds, duties as to, 466, 467
         contracts of, for necessary works, 459, 460
                       with cemetery owners, 461
         control and management of burial ground by, 465
         conveyance of body to burial by, 483, 484 establishment and election, 451-456
              appointment of board, 454-456
              filling vacancies, 455
              meetings for adoption of Burial Acts, 453, 454
         sanctions required, 451—453
fees of ministers etc. See fees on burial etc.
finances of board, 474—479. See finances of burial board.
         joint burial boards, 456, 457
         meetings and proceedings, 457
         mortuaries, provision of, 564-565
         office of, 459
         rates, liability to, 465, 466
         right of burial in ground provided by etc., 468 et seq.
         sale of exclusive right of burial etc. by, 473
         servants and officers, 459
         transfer of powers, 447
    entry in register of burials, copy of, for public, 557, 560
                                               registrar of diocese, 558, 560
                                  false, 561, 562
     epidemic, cremation of person dying during, 574
     erection of monument, fees for, 430, 480, 481
                             in cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 511
                             in churchyard, 416-418
                             reservation of right of, under Consecration of Churchyards
                                Acts, 441, 442
                                         (45)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
     erection of monument, sale of right of, 473, 520
     evidence, minutes of proceedings at burial board meetings as, 457, 458
                 of burial, register books as, 560
                 register of cremations as, 575
    exclusion from Christian burial, 421, 422
exclusive right of burial, in cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act. 51?
                                   order for discontinuance of burials in ground reserved
                                     for, 528
                                   provisions as to, in Cemeteries Clauses Act, 520
                                   reservation of, 441
                       sale of, by burial board, 473, 474 to perform burial, fees in respect of, 480, 481
     excommunicated persons, exclusion of, from Christian burial, 421
     excommunication of clergyman for assault in churchyard, 423
     executed criminals, burial of, 422
     executor, allowance to, for funeral expenses, 406
                 duty of, 405
    of pauper, rights and liabilities of, 543 exhumation for purpose of cremation, 573
                   in general, 553-555
     expenses of funeral, 406, 407
     faculty for burial in church, 415
                  disinterment, 553, 554
                  erection of monument, 417
                  vault, 419
                  way over churchyard, 423, 424
    false certificate relating to burial, 561, 562
           entry in register of burials, 561, 562
           statements etc. to procure cremation, 575
    falsification of register of cremations, 575
    fees on burial in cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 510
                        churchyard, 428-432
                              by custom, 428, 429
                              fixed by Ecclesiastical Commissioners, 431
                              mortuaries or corse-presents, 431, 432
                              on erection of monuments etc., 430
                             recovery of, 429 special, 430, 431
             cremation, 569, 570
             registration of exclusive right of burial etc., 521
          under Burial Acts payable to burial board, 473, 474
                                             minister etc., 479-483
                                                  collection of, 480
                                                  rights of incumbent and churchwardens
                                                               480-482
                                                             sexton, clerk etc., 482, 483
    felo de se, burial of, 421, 422
    fencing of cemetery under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516
    finances of burial board, 474-479
          application by burial board of money received, 479
         control over expenditure by vestry, 474, 475 payment of board's expenses, raising money for, by borrowing, 476—479
                                                                       rates, 475, 476
    firearms, discharge of, in cemetery, 523 forgery of register of burials, 561, 562
    fouling of streams by cemeteries, 517
    frands, Statute of, exclusive right of burial not within, 474 funeral expenses, liability for, 406, 407 games in disused burial ground, 535 gifts for churchyards, 434, 435
    Good Friday, burial on, 426
    gravediggers, employment of, 459, 511, 519
    guardians, notice to, of burial of pauper without service, 425 heirloom, monument constitutes, 418
    highway, public, crematorium in neighbourhood of, 569
    hospital, cremation of person dying in, of plague etc., 573, 574 removal from, of body of person dying of infectious disease, 550, 55h
    householder, duty of burial by, 405
                                             (46)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION—continued.
     husband, duty of burial by, 405
               liability of, for cost of wife's funeral, 407
    identification, exhumation for, 554. See also unidentified bodies.
     idiot or imbecile pauper, cost of burial of, 542, 545
    inclosure of cemetery under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516
    income tax, liability of cemetery companies to, 513
    incumbent, control over churchyard by, 409, 410
                duties of, in cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 510
                              respect of burial service on cremation, 569
                exclusive right of, to perform burial service in certain cases, 472
                fees payable to, by custom, 429
                                 on cremation, 570
                                 under Burial Acts, 480, 481
                                       Cemeteries Clauses Act, 522
                                       Public Health (Interments) Act, 510
                meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449
    indecent behaviour in churchyard, 422
    infant, liability of, for funeral expenses of husband or parent, 407
    infectious disease, burial of persons dying of, 549—553 meaning of infectious disease, 550, 552
        provisions applicable to London, 549, 550
                   of Infectious Disease Prevention Act, 1890...550-552
                     Public Health Act, 1875...552
        ships in quarantine, in case of, 553
        wakes, prohibition of, in certain cases, 553
   injunction against interference with churchyard, 409, 410
   injury to burial grounds or cemeteries, 466, 512, 523
             register of burials, 561, 562
   inquest, preventing, by disposal of body, 405. See also coroner.
   insane pauper, cost of burial of, 542, 545
   inscription, monumental, decision of question in respect of, 465
                             in cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 511
                             sale of right of placing, under Cemeteries Clauses Act,
                             supervision of, 418
  insolvent estate, allowance for funeral expenses in case of, 406
  inspection of burial grounds, 537-539
                crematoria, 571
                minute books of burial board, 458
                register of cremations, 574
  interest in land, exclusive right of burial not, 474
  interference with clergyman officiating in churchyard, 422
                     monument, action for, 418
  interment, fees and charges for. See fees on burial etc.
  irregularity at vestry meetings, effect of, 451
  Jews, burial of, 527
  joint burial boards, 453, 456
                   expenses of, 475, 476
       ground, performance of service in, 472 committees with powers of burial boards, 499, 500
  justice, removal or burial of body on order of, 549, 551, 552
 land, acquisition of. See burial grounds.
 lands, meaning of the, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
 laying out of burial grounds by burial board, 464
 lease of closed unconsecrated burial ground, 530, 531
         land acquired by burial board, 462
 levy of rate for burial expenses, 485, 486
 liability for funeral expenses, 406, 407
          to dispose of body, 405
 licence for burial after order for discontinuance, 528
            disinterment, 553, 555
                 for purpose of cremation, 573
            interments by archbishop on bishop's refusal to consecrate, 467
loans, powers of raising, for burial expenses by borough council, 486
                                                     non-municipal urban district
local Acts, urban authorities with functions of burial board by, 491
                                                        council, 488, 489
      authorities, liability of, to provide cemetery, 506
```

(47)

H.L.—III.

SS

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    local authorities, powers of, as to acquisition etc. of disused burial ground for
                          open space, 534
                       provision of mortuary etc. by, 566, 567
    Local Government Acts, transfer of functions under, 503-505
                               urban authorities invested with powers of burial board
                                  under, 489, 490
                         Board, necessity of sanction of, for establishment of burial board, 452, 453
                                 regulations by, 537
    London, accommodation in, for inquests etc., 567
              areas, burial authorities in, 500-503
                                           powers of metropolitan borough councils as,
                                              502, 503
              burial of persons dying in, of infectious disease, 549, 550
              sanitary authority, provision of mortuary etc. by, 566, 567. See also
                metropolis.
    lord of manor, payment by, for burial of body cast up by sea etc., 549
    lunatics, pauper, burial of, 545, 546
    maintenance of cemeteries, 508
                     closed burial ground, 529, 530
                     crematoria, 570, 571
    mandamus to compel entry of burial, 561
                           minister to bury parishioner, 420
    manor lands, waste, grant of, for churchyard, 435
    lord of, payment by, for burial of body cast up by sea etc., 549 master of workhouse, notice to, of burial of pauper without service, 425
    medical referee, appointment of, by cremation authority, 572
                      duties of, 572, 573
                      meaning of, for purposes of cremation, 570
    meeting in nature of vestry, 450, 451
    meetings of burial boards, 457
    metropolis, meaning of, for purpose of Burial Acts, 446
                 opening of new burial ground in or near, consent for, 525, 526
                 special application of Burial Acts to, 446, 447. See also London.
    metropolitan borough councils, transfer of powers under Burial Acts to, 447,
       501-504
    minister, duties of, in respect of burial of persons found drowned, 547, 548
               fees to, for funeral services, 474, 510, 547, 548
               meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449 notice to, of burial without service, 425
               saving as to, under Act of 1880, 428
     minutes of proceedings of burial boards, 457, 458
     misrepresentation, faculty for disinterment etc. obtained by, 555. See also
       representation.
     money, grant of, in perpetuity for burial ground, 433
                                          tomb or monument, 433, 434
     monument, erection of. See erection of monument.
                 inscription on, decision of question in respect of, 465
    unauthorised, removal of, 522 mortgage of rates by burial board, 477, 478
     Mortmain Acts, effect of, on grant of land for burial ground, 433
     mortuary, nature of, 431, 432
                post-mortem examination at, 567
provision of, by elective burial board, or authorities having their
                                       powers, 564, 565
                                    local and sanitary authorities, 566
                removal of body to, on justice's order, 549-552
     negligence, funeral expenses of person killed by, 407
new burial ground, necessity of approval of Local Government Board for, in
certain cases, 525, 526
           parishes, provisions as to burial in case of, 470, 471
     New Parishes Act, conveyance of land for churchyards under, 441
     non-municipal urban district council, investing with powers of burial boards.
                                                  See urban authorities with powers etc.
                                               meaning of, 450
     non-parishioner, burial of, in parish churchyard, 414, 419
                       fees for burial of, 430, 431
     notice of finding body of drowned person, payment for etc., 548
                                          (48)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    notice of omission of burial service, 424, 425
              parish meeting for adoption of Burial Acts, 493
              vestry meeting to consider acquisition of burial ground, 454
    nuisance, disposal of dead body constituting, 404
              in churchyard, 422, 423
              no authority for, by reason of Cremation Act, 569
              under Cemeteries Clauses Act, prevention of, 517
    obstructing burial of body removed or buried on order of justice, 549, 551
                 inspection of burial ground, 537
                 service at burial, 426, 427
    office of burial board, hiring of, 459
    officer of burial board, appointment of, 459
    open spaces, use of closed churchvards as, 424
                         disused burial grounds as, 533-536
    Order in Council, application to metropolitan borough of Burial Acts by, 501
                       for discontinuance of burials, 526
                                grounds for and variation of, 527
                                limitations on, 527, 528
    respecting vaults etc., 537, 538 orders, statutory, urban authorities with functions of burial board, by, 491
    overseers, duty of, in respect of persons found drowned, 547
               meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449
               powers and duties of, in relation to rate for burial board, 475, 476
     parent, duty of, 405
    parish charities, appropriation by burial board of land held for, 462 churchyard. See churchyards.
            clerk, provision as to payments to, in Cemeteries Clauses Act, 522, 523
            council, acquisition and alienation of land by, 497
                    borrowing powers of, for purpose of burial, 496
                    committee of, for exercise of powers as burial authority, 494
                    control of parish meeting over, in certain cases, 495, 496 defrayment of burial expenses by, 496
                    transfer of powers of burial boards to, 447, 503, 504
            land, appropriation of, by burial board, 462
                  conveyance of, for churchyard, 443
            meaning of, under Burial Acts, 448
            meeting, adoption of Burial Acts by, 492
                     appointment of committee by, 498
                      borrowing powers of, for purpose of burial, 498
                      control of, over parish council in certain cases, 495, 496
                      defrayment of burial expenses by, 498
                      transfer of certain of vestry's powers to, 447, 494, 495
                                  powers of burial board to, 447, 503, 504
     parishes, areas other than, provision of burial grounds etc. for, 446
              union of, for purposes of burial, 457
     pauper. See poor persons.
     penalty, appeal from, to quarter sessions. See quarter sessions.
     perpetuity, grant of land or money in, for burial ground, 433
                                                  tomb or monument, 433, 434
     person liable to duties of burial, 405
                      expenses of burial, 406, 407
     petition by borough council for powers of burial board, 484
                                                                effect of order on, 485
                 non-municipal urban district council for powers of burial board, 487
     place of burial, conveyance to, 483
     Places of Worship Sites Acts. conveyance of land for churchyards under, 412-411
     plague, cremation of person dying of, 573, 574
             persons dying of, in quarantine, 553
     poll, demand for, by parochial elector, regarding adoption of Burial Acts, 493
     poor law authorities, duties of, respecting burial, 540
               parish, meaning of, 448, 449
               union, meaning of, 539
          persons, burial of, 539-546
                      agreement by guardians with proprietors of cemetery or burial board, 544,\,545
                       board of guardians, powers and duties of, 540, 541
                       burial service, 545
                                      omission of, on request, 425
                                                                          ss2
                                          (49)
```

Digitized by Google

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    poor persons, burial of-continued.
                      consecration of land belonging to guardians, 543, 544
                      definitions for purpose of, 539
                      district school or asylum, persons dying in, 541, 542
                      enlargement etc. of burial ground by board of guardians, 544
                      fees and expenses, amount of, 546
                                           payment and reimbursement, 542-544
                      lunatics, 545, 546
                      poor law authorities, duties of, 540
                                 officers not to derive profit from, 543
                      rights and liabilities of executor, 543
                      union, persons dying outside limits of, 542
                      workhouses, persons dying in, 540, 541
    possession of body, 405, 406
    post-mortem examination before cremation, 573
                                provision of place for, 566, 567
    powers of borrowing of joint committees with powers of burial boards, 500
                              parish council, for purposes of burial, 496
    meeting, for purposes of burial, 498 prescribed, meaning of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
    private Acts for establishment of private cemeteries, 512, 513
             burial grounds, exemption of, from operation of Order in Council for discontinuance of burial, 527, 528
            cemeteries, 512, 513
    property in monuments, 418
    protection of burial grounds and cemeteries, 466, 523
    public highway, crematorium in neighbourhood of, 569
Public Works Loans Acts, burial board's power of borrowing under, 479
    purchase of closed burial ground by vestry, 531 Quakers, burial of, 527
    quarantine, persons dying in, 553
    quarter sessions, appeal to, from penalty for brawling, 422
                                                 in connection with body found drowned,
                                                                       cremation, 575
    quorum for meetings of burial boards, 457
    rates, borrowing by burial board on security of, 476, 477
           defrayment from, of burial expenses of borough council, 485, 486
                                                      metropolitan borough council, 502
                                                      non-municipal urban district coun-
                                                         cil, 488, 490
                                                      parish council, 496
                                                              meeting, 498
                                  costs of repair of closed burial ground, 530
          liability for, of burial grounds, 465, 466
                           cemeteries under Public Health (Interments) Act, 509
   private cemeteries, 513 ratepayers, election of burial board from, 454, 455
                meaning of, under Burial Acts, 449
   rector, control over churchyard by, 409 redemption of tithe rent-charge by burial board, 463
   refusal to perform burial service, on cremation, 569
   penalty for, 420 register of burials. See registration of burials.
               cremations, destruction etc. of, 575
   registrar, appointment of, by cremation authorities, 574
              of deaths, certificate by, 562, 563
                 diocese, copies of entries of burials to be sent to, 558, 560
   registration of burials, 555-564 etc.
        coffins containing more than one body, 564
        coroner's order, in case of burial under, 562
        destruction, forgery etc. of register, 561, 562
        in burial grounds and cemeteries, 559, 560
           churchyards, 555-558
                  correction of errors, 558
                  duty of keeping books, 556, 557
                  fees etc., 557, 558
                  searches and copies of register, 557, 558
                                         (50)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
     registration of burials-continued.
         in consecrated grounds without Church of England service, 561
            private cemeteries, 513
         registrar's certificate, 562, 563
         still-born children, 563, 564
     registration of cremations, 574, 575
                    grant etc. of exclusive right of burial, 520, 521
                    mortgages of rates by burial board, 478
    regulation, sanitary, of burial grounds, 537-539. See also bye-laws.
    religious service, burial without, 426
    removal of monument, 418
                tombstones from disused burial ground, 535, 536
    repair of cemetery etc. under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516
              closed burial ground, 526, 530, 534, 535
              monument, 417
    representation, false, to procure cremation, penalty for, 575. See also misrepre-
    reservation of exclusive right of burial, 441
    reversion of land on discontinuance of burials, 424
    revocation of faculty for disinterment etc., 555
    right of burial, exclusive, reservation of, 441
                                sale of, by burial board, 473, 474
    riotous behaviour at burial, 426, 427
                       in churchyard, 422
    roads, making and improvement of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 516
    rural areas, burial authorities in, 492-499
                            authority to execute Acts, 493, 494
                            burial board for entire rural parish without parish council,
                                                  position of, 498
                                               part of rural parish under a parish council,
                                                  position of, 498
                            parish council, acquisition and alienation of land by, 497
                                            exercise of powers of, by committee, 494
                                            expenses of, 496
                            parish meeting, adoption of Burial Acts by, 492, 493
                                             consent and approval of, 494, 495
                                             control of, over parish council in certain
                                               cases, 495, 496
                                             expenses of, 498
                            vestry, abrogation in certain cases of powers of, 494, 495
          district council, liability of, to provide cemetery, 506
    sailors, funeral expenses of, 407
    St. Paul's Cathedral, burial in, 527
    sale of closed unconsecrated burial ground, 530, 531
            exclusive right of burial, 473, 474
           land acquired by burial board, 461, 462
    sanitary authority in London, provision of mortuary etc. by, 566, 567
             regulation of burial grounds, 537-539
    sea, burial of bodies cast up by, 547—549 seal of burial board, forgery of, 456, 562
                          necessity of, for contracts, 460
    seamen, funeral expenses of, 407
    searches in register of burials, 557, 560
    Secretary of State, inquiry by, regarding burial grounds, 539 jurisdiction of, over cremations, 568-575
    licence by, for disinterment, 553, 555 secular purposes, use of churchyard for, 423, 424
    select vestry, meaning and powers of, 450, 451
    servant of burial board, appointment of, 459
    service. See burial service.
    sexton, duties and payment of, in respect of burial of persons found drowned, 547,
                                     under Burial Acts, 459, 473, 482, 483
    ship, cremation of person dying of plague etc. on, 573, 574
   in quarantine, persons dying in, 553 shore, burial of bodies cast on, 547-549
    sinking fund, establishment of, by burial board for payment of mortgages,
      478
                                        (51)
```

```
BURIAL AND CREMATION-continued.
    special Act, cemeteries provided under, exclusion of, from Order in Council for,
                    discontinuance of burials, 528
                 meaning of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
    sports in disused burial ground, 535
    stamp duty, conveyance of land for churchyards under certain Acts exempt from,
                    438, 441
                 on certified copy of register of cremations, 575
                    copy of entry in register of burials, 557
    Statute of Frauds, exclusive right of burial not within, 474
    statutory orders, urban authorities with functions of burial board, by, 491
    still-born child, burial of, 563, 564
                     cremation of, 574
    strangers to parish, fees for burial of, 430, 431
    streams, fouling of, by cemeteries under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 517 suicides, burial of, 421, 422
    Sunday, burial on, 426
    supervision of burial grounds, 537-539
    surplus moneys, application of, by burial board, 479
    suspension of minister brawling in churchyard, 422
                            refusing burial service, 420, 421
    terminable annuities, burial board's power of borrowing by means of, 478
    the cemetery, meaning of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
    the company, meaning of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
    the lands, meaning of, under Cemeteries Clauses Act, 514
    time for burial in certain cases, 425, 426 tithe rent-charge, redemption of, by burial board, 463
    tolling bell, sexton's right of, 473
    transfer of functions under Local Government Acts, general provisions on, 503—505
                powers of burial board to urban authority on resolution, 490, 491
    trespass, action of. for injury to monument, 418
             in churchyard, action for, 409, 410
    Trustee Appointment Acts, conveyance of land acquired for burial ground under,
      444
    turnpike tolls, exemption from, of persons attending funerals, 483, 484
    unbaptised persons, exclusion of, from Christian burial, 421
    unconsecrated ground, allotment of, 467
                            use of Church of England burial service in, 427
                                   for other purposes than burial, 462
    undertaker, grant of administration to, 407
                 payment to, 406, 407
    unidentified bodies, cremation of, 571
                        reception of, in London, 567
   union, meaning of, 539
          of parishes for burial purposes, 457
   urban authorities with powers of burial boards. 484-492
        methods of investing urban authorities with powers, 484
        miscellaneous, 491, 492
        Order in Council investing non-municipal urban district council with powers of burial board, 487—489
             powers of raising money under, 488, 489
        Order in Council investing urban authorities with functions of burial board
               484-487
             effect of, 485
             petition for, 484, 485
             powers of borough council under, respecting acquisition of land, 486, 487
                                                            raising money, 485, 486
        under Local Government Act, 1858...489, 490
                                        1894...491
               Sanitary Act, 1866...490
   urban authority, consent of, to adoption of Burial Acts, 451
                      liability of, to provide cemetery, 506
                     meaning of, 450
                      powers of, in respect of closed burial ground, 530
                                 under Burial Acts, 447
          transfer of powers of burial boards to, 447, 503, 504 district, elective burial boards in. See elective burial boards etc.
                   meaning of, 450
   vacancies on burial board, 455
                                        (52)
```

BURIAL AND CREMATION—continued. vault, construction of, in churchyard, 415, 419 fees for, 430

sale by burial board of right of, 473 under a church, 415, 416

Orders in Council respecting, 537, 538
vesting of land conveyed for burial ground under Church Building Acts, 439, 440
vestry, abrogation of powers of consent and approval in certain cases, 494, 495
control by, over council acting as burial board under Local Government
Act, 1858...490

expenditure of burial board, 474, 475

meaning of, 450, 451
meetings of, duty to convene, for considering acquisition of burial ground,

powers of, under Burial Acts, 446, 450 visiting committee of asylum, powers of, 545, 546 wake, prohibition of, in case of infectious disease, 553 warning to minister of burial, necessity for, 420, 421 waste manor lands, grant of, for churchyard, 435 way over churchyard, faculty for, 423, 424 Westminster Abbey, burial in, 527 will, disposal of body by, 405 workhouse, burial of persons dying in, 540, 541

without service, 425 wreck, burial of bodies from, 547—549 yellow fever, cremation of persons dying of, 573, 574 persons dying of, in quarantine, 553

CERTIFICATE. See Building Contracts etc.; Burial and Cremation.

CHAPLAIN. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

CHURCHYARDS. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

CLERK OF THE WORKS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

CONSECRATION. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

DECLARATION OF TRUST. See BILLS OF SALE.

DEFEASANCE. See BILLS OF SALE.

DITCH. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

DOMESDAY BOOK. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

DROWNING. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

ENGINEERS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

ESTOVERS. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

FRUIT. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

GRAVEDIGGER. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

HEDGES. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

IDENTIFICATION. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

INCUMBENT. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

(53)

INDIA WARRANTS. See BILLS OF SALE.

INSCRIPTIONS. See BURIAL AND CREMATION; BOUNDARIES ETC.

INVENTORIES. See BILLS OF SALE.

ISLAND. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

LAKE. See BOUNDABIES ETC.

LETTER OF HYPOTHECATION. See BILLS OF SALE.

LEVEL CROSSING. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

MAPS. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

MATERIALS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

MINISTER, See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

NAVAL ARCHITECT. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

OBLIGEE. See Bonds.

OBLIGOR. See Bonds.

ORDER IN COUNCIL. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

PLAGUE. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

PLANS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.; BOUNDARIES.

PLANT. See BILLS OF SALE; BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

POST-MORTEM EXAMINATION. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

PRIVITY. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

QUANTITY SURVEYORS. See Building Contracts ETC.

QUANTUM MERUIT. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

RELIGIOUS SERVICE. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

RETENTION MONEY. See Building Contracts etc.

RYDE'S SCALE. See Building Contracts etc.

SCAFFOLDING. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

SECRET COMMISSION. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

SEXTON. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

SPECIFICATION. See Building Contracts etc.

STRIKES. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

(54)

SUB-CONTRACTORS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.
SURVEYORS. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

TIME. See BUILDING CONTRACTS ETC.

TRADE MACHINERY. See BILLS OF SALE.

TREES. See BOUNDARIES ETC.

UNDERTAKER. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

VAULTS. See BURIAL AND CREMATION

WARRANT FOR DELIVERY. See BILLS OF SALE,

YELLOW FEVER. See BURIAL AND CREMATION.

Bradbury, agnew, & co. ld., printers, london and tonbridge. $(\ \ \, 55 \ \,)$

Digitized by Google